

Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative: A critical analysis within the nursing workforce

WATSON, Adrianna Lorraine <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0134-0520>>, JACKSON, Debra and BOND, Carmel <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9945-8577>>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<https://shura.shu.ac.uk/37518/>

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

WATSON, Adrianna Lorraine, JACKSON, Debra and BOND, Carmel (2026). Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative: A critical analysis within the nursing workforce. Nursing Ethics. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

1 **Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative:**

2 **A Critical Analysis Within the Nursing Workforce**

3
4

5 Adrianna Lorraine Watson, PhD, RN, CCRN, TCRN, CHSE

6 Assistant Professor, College of Nursing, Brigham Young University, USA

7 500 KMBL, Provo, UT, USA, 84602, 801-602-2794

8 Adrianna.Watson@byu.edu

9 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0134-0520>

10

11 Debra Jackson, AO, FRCN, FACN, FRCSI

12 Professor, Sydney Nursing School, University of Sydney, AU

13 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5252-5325>

14

15 Carmel Bond, PhD, MSc, MSc, BSc (Hons), PGCE, RMN

16 Senior Lecturer, Sheffield Hallam University, UK

17 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9945-8577>

18

19 The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship,
20 and/or publication of this article.

21 The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of
22 this article.

23 Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the
24 current study.

25

26

27

28
29

Abstract

30 Healthcare systems routinely frame breastfeeding as an ethical and clinical priority requiring
31 institutional protection, particularly in maternity and newborn services. The Baby-Friendly
32 Hospital Initiative represents a global effort to embed these commitments through policy,
33 practice, and environmental support. While these protections are well established for patient-
34 mothers, far less attention has been given to how they are applied to the nursing workforce
35 responsible for enacting them.

36 The authors critically appraise an ethical contradiction experienced by lactating nurse-mothers.
37 Breastfeeding is institutionally supported for patients but structurally constrained within nurses'
38 own workplaces. Using relational ethics as the primary interpretive lens, supported by clinical
39 and organizational ethics frameworks, the analysis positions nurse-mothers as embodied ethical
40 agents whose experiences reveal systemic moral incoherence within maternal-centered
41 institutions.

42 Evidence suggests that nurse-mothers frequently encounter delayed or denied pumping breaks,
43 inadequate lactation facilities, stigmatization, and career penalties despite strong breastfeeding
44 knowledge and commitment. These conditions generate ethical tension, moral distress, and
45 professional disengagement. Achieving ethical integrity in Baby-Friendly Hospitals therefore
46 requires enforceable structural protections that recognize maternal embodiment and caregiving as
47 legitimate dimensions of ethically sustainable professional life.

48
49 **Keywords:** *nursing ethics, relational ethics, ethics of care, organizational ethics, nursing*
50 *workforce, breastfeeding, nurse-mother, infant, newborn*
51

52 **Introduction**

53 Healthcare institutions routinely frame breastfeeding as an ethical good requiring
54 structural protection, particularly within maternity and newborn services. When equivalent
55 protections are not extended to lactating nurses within the same organizations, a structural
56 asymmetry emerges. When healthcare institutions protect breastfeeding for patient-mothers but
57 fail to extend comparable protections to nurse-mothers within their workforce, a form of role-
58 based distributive injustice is produced.[1]

59 Because these institutions explicitly define breastfeeding as an ethical obligation,
60 selectively extending protections to patient-mothers while withholding them from nurse-mothers
61 results in an inequitable distribution of moral concern. This asymmetry reflects a breach of
62 institutional ethical commitments rather than an inevitable feature of workplace organization.
63 This paper does not critique the effectiveness of the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) as
64 a policy intervention; rather, it examines how its ethical commitments are selectively applied
65 within healthcare institutions.

66 Workplace constraints on breastfeeding are well documented across sectors, with many
67 lactating individuals encountering inadequate facilities, inflexible scheduling, and organizational
68 cultures that deprioritize caregiving needs. These challenges are not unique to healthcare and
69 reflect broader structural tensions between productivity expectations and embodied caregiving.
70 However, healthcare institutions, particularly those aligned with Baby-Friendly principles,
71 occupy a distinct ethical position. By formally recognizing breastfeeding as an institutional
72 obligation, these settings establish a higher standard of internal ethical consistency. When
73 institutions fail to extend equivalent protections to nurse-mothers, this omission represents a
74 breach of institutional moral commitments rather than a neutral workplace limitation.

75 As such, the authors undertook a critical ethical analysis of the asymmetry using
76 relational ethics as the primary interpretive lens, supported by clinical and organizational ethics
77 frameworks as complementary analytic tools. Within this analysis, BFHI is understood not
78 simply as a clinical or policy initiative, but as an institutional articulation of breastfeeding as an
79 ethical obligation requiring consistent and enforceable support. Nurse-mothers are
80 conceptualized as embodied ethical agents whose lived experiences illuminate how institutional
81 commitments are selectively applied across professional roles. Clinical and organizational ethics
82 frameworks are used to examine how governance structures, policies, and workplace norms
83 allocate protection and constraint. Accordingly, ethical integrity requires enforceable structures
84 that recognize maternal embodiment and caregiving as legitimate dimensions of professional life
85 within healthcare workforces. [2-3]

86 Within this analysis, the nurse-mother experience represents a form of embodied ethical
87 tension in which maternal caregiving is institutionally valorized for patients yet constrained
88 within the workforce. Work environments that explicitly promote breastfeeding as an ethical and
89 clinical priority may intensify this tension, placing nurse-mothers in positions of moral
90 dissonance. Nurse-mothers are expected to advocate for and model practices grounded in
91 maternal-infant proximity and physiological responsiveness while navigating conditions that
92 restrict their ability to sustain these same practices.

93 Moral dissonance may extend beyond individual experience to influence care delivery.
94 When nurses are required to promote standards that they are structurally unable to uphold, their
95 moral authority and experiential credibility are placed at risk, with implications for the
96 consistency and sustainability of breastfeeding support provided to patients. Over time, these
97 conditions may contribute to moral distress, professional disengagement, and workforce attrition,

98 with direct and indirect consequences for patient care quality, continuity, and the retention of
99 experienced clinicians.

100 The result is a fracture in organizational moral coherence. Addressing this contradiction
101 extends beyond workforce accommodation policy and raises questions about the ethical
102 obligations healthcare institutions owe to those who sustain and deliver care. To further
103 contextualize this ethical inquiry, the following section outlines the historical development and
104 institutional evolution of the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI).[4]

105 **Background**

106 The BFHI emerged in the early 1990s in response to hospital practices that separated
107 mothers and infants, delayed breastfeeding initiation, promoted breast-milk substitutes, and
108 undermined lactation. Evidence demonstrates that adherence to the ‘Ten Steps’ improves
109 breastfeeding initiation, exclusivity, and duration, while reducing neonatal morbidity and
110 improving maternal health outcomes.[4] Facilities achieving Baby-Friendly designation often
111 report transformative changes, including more patient-centered care, improved staff
112 competencies, and reduced formula use. [4]

113 The 2018 revision reframed BFHI as a systems-based approach emphasizing
114 sustainability, universal coverage, monitoring, and compliance with the International Code of
115 Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes.[5-6] This shift clarified that breastfeeding protection is an
116 ongoing structural obligation rather than a discrete accreditation outcome.[4] BFHI functions as
117 an institutional commitment to maternal-centered care requiring structural support, extended
118 through initiatives such as Baby-Friendly Community Initiatives across care settings.[7-9]
119 Nurses play a central role in operationalizing these commitments. As BFHI principles are
120 increasingly embedded in national standards, they represent baseline expectations for maternal

121 and newborn care rather than optional designation.[4] Consequently, institutions employing
122 nurses in relevant settings participate in these commitments regardless of formal status, and the
123 ethical claims of BFHI extend to the workforce responsible for delivering care.

124 The orientation aligns with ethics of care frameworks emphasizing relational
125 responsibility.[10-11] However, when institutional support is inconsistent, responsibility shifts
126 from organizations to individuals and informal networks, as reflected in working mothers'
127 reliance on internet-based peer support.[12] Nurses, who are bound by professional obligations
128 to advocate for vulnerable populations, remain central to implementing breastfeeding-supportive
129 care.[1, 13]

130 Paradoxically, nurse-mothers returning to clinical practice while lactating frequently
131 encounter workplace conditions that constrain breastfeeding, including delayed or denied
132 pumping breaks, inadequate lactation facilities, rigid scheduling, managerial gatekeeping,
133 stigmatization, and career penalties, despite strong breastfeeding knowledge and
134 commitment.[14, 15] These constraints place nurse-mothers in ethically fraught positions. They
135 are expected to uphold maternal-centered standards for patients [16] while lacking equivalent
136 support for the caregiving relationships they sustain with their own children.[17-19]

137 In environments that explicitly promote breastfeeding as an ethical and clinical priority,
138 this tension may intensify into moral dissonance. Nurse-mothers are required to advocate for and
139 model practices grounded in maternal-infant proximity and physiological responsiveness while
140 navigating conditions that prevent them from sustaining those same practices. When
141 professionals are expected to promote standards they are structurally unable to uphold, their
142 moral authority and experiential credibility may be undermined.

143 Over time, these conditions may contribute to moral distress, professional
144 disengagement, and workforce attrition, with indirect consequences for patient care quality,
145 continuity, and the retention of experienced clinicians. When workplace structures restrict
146 breastfeeding, mothers often adopt compensatory strategies, including intensified feeding upon
147 reunion or extended nighttime feeding, frequently at additional physical and emotional cost.[20]
148 To move beyond identifying this inconsistency, the following section applies a relational ethics
149 framework to examine how these tensions are produced, experienced, and sustained within
150 everyday clinical environments.

151 **Relational Ethics as an Interpretive Framework**

152 Relational ethics focuses on how ethical meaning is produced within relationships shaped
153 by environment, embodiment, mutual recognition, and engagement. [21] Rather than locating
154 ethics solely in individual decision-making or rule compliance, this perspective situates moral
155 agency within institutional and relational contexts that enable or constrain action. In Baby-
156 Friendly Hospitals, nurses are positioned as ethical agents who assume responsibility for
157 sustaining breastfeeding-supportive practices for patients. Relational ethics invites examination
158 of whether those same institutional relationships sustain or constrain nurses as moral subjects.
159 In foregrounding embodiment, relational ethics understands lactation as a time-sensitive
160 physiological and relational process requiring structural accommodation. It also clarifies how
161 respect and engagement are enacted or withheld across professional roles. Table 1 applies key
162 elements of relational ethics to empirical accounts of nurse-mothers' workplace experiences,
163 illustrating how institutional arrangements selectively distribute ethical protection. This
164 framework enables analysis of the mechanisms through which institutional environments shape,
165 constrain, and differentially distribute ethical action across roles.

Table 1. *Relational Ethics Analysis of Nurse-Mothers' Experiences in Baby-Friendly Hospitals*

Relational Ethics Element	Ethical Focus	Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) Expectations for Nurse Employees	Workplace Conditions Reported in Nursing Literature	Relational Ethical Interpretation(s)
Environment	Moral action is conditioned by material, organizational, and cultural structures.	Nurses enact BFHI standards through patient education, protection of maternal-infant contact, and adherence to breastfeeding-supportive policy.	Delayed or denied pumping breaks; inadequate or inaccessible lactation spaces; rigid scheduling; managerial gatekeeping; stigmatization; career penalties.	Institutional structures predefine which bodies and caregiving relationships receive protection. Maternal embodiment is structurally supported for patients but operationally constrained for employees.
Embodiment	Ethical knowing arises through bodily experience, temporality, and vulnerability.	Breastfeeding support presumes time-sensitive physiology, proximity, and responsiveness; nurses are expected to model and teach these principles.	Nurses delay or forgo milk expression during clinical demands; suppress discomfort; absorb physiological and emotional consequences to conform to productivity norms.	Bodily distress functions as ethically significant evidence of structural misalignment. Lactation is reframed institutionally as disruption rather than legitimate caregiving labor.
Mutual Respect	Persons are recognized as moral subjects whose needs and voices carry ethical weight.	BFHI frames breastfeeding protection as an institutional obligation requiring consistent safeguards.	Nurse-mothers negotiate lactation privately through informal accommodations; needs treated as discretionary, stigmatized, or professionally risky.	Moral recognition becomes role contingent. Patient-mothers are institutionally affirmed; nurse-mothers are positioned as peripheral moral stakeholders within the same system.
Engagement	Ethical practice requires relational presence, responsiveness, and sustained attentiveness.	Nurses are expected to sustain therapeutic presence and actively support breastfeeding initiation and continuation.	Institutional conditions render self-directed caregiving engagement difficult or professionally penalized; structural barriers impede timely lactation.	Ethical engagement becomes asymmetrical. Nurses are required to remain present for others while their own caregiving relationships are structurally deprioritized, contributing to moral distress.

169 These conditions operate not incidentally but systematically, structuring when, how, and
170 for whom ethical action is possible. From a relational ethics perspective, ethical action is
171 inseparable from the environments in which it unfolds. Within Baby-Friendly Hospitals, nurses
172 are positioned as primary agents responsible for enacting institutional commitments to
173 breastfeeding. Expected behaviours include patient education, protection of maternal-infant
174 contact, and adherence to policies prioritizing breastfeeding in the absence of medical
175 contraindication. [4] The underlying assumption of the nurse's ethical duty here is that the
176 organizational context supports both ethical responsiveness and moral agency.

177 For nurse-mothers, however, clinical environments frequently undermine the conditions
178 required for ethical practice. [15, 17-18] Lactating nurses encounter delayed or denied pumping
179 breaks, inadequate or inaccessible lactation spaces, discretionary managerial gatekeeping,
180 stigmatization, and career penalties associated with breastfeeding at work. [14, 22] Such
181 conditions persist even within institutions that publicly espouse maternal-centered values through
182 Baby-Friendly designation. From a relational perspective, such environments function as moral
183 signals, indicating which forms of care are institutionally valued and which are rendered
184 marginal.

185 This reliance on informal substitution is echoed beyond the workforce. For example,
186 Hiito et al. demonstrated that breastfeeding parents frequently rely on internet-based peer support
187 communities for timely reassurance, practical guidance, and a sense of belonging, particularly
188 when formal services are inaccessible or insufficient. [12] In both contexts, ethically significant
189 care work is sustained through informal arrangements rather than guaranteed institutional
190 support.

191 **Embodiment and Ethical Knowing**

192 Relational ethics foregrounds embodiment as a source of moral knowledge rather than a
193 private or incidental experience. Lactation is a physiological, temporal, and relational process
194 requiring bodily responsiveness and deliberate environmental accommodation. Nurse-mothers'
195 ethical knowing arises through embodied experience, including physical discomfort, changes in
196 milk supply, psychological distress, and the relational pull between professional and maternal
197 responsibilities. [15, 18]

198 Qualitative accounts describe nurses delaying or forgoing milk expression during
199 emergencies, suppressing bodily discomfort to avoid burdening colleagues, and internalizing
200 guilt for attending to biologically necessary caregiving needs. [15, 19] These experiences render
201 the nurse-mother's body a site of ethical tension. Within relational ethics, such bodily distress is
202 ethically meaningful, signaling misalignment between institutional structures and lived realities
203 of care.

204 **Mutual Respect and Moral Recognition**

205 Mutual respect requires recognizing persons as moral subjects whose needs, voices, and
206 vulnerabilities carry ethical weight, even in asymmetrical relationships.[23] For nurse-mothers,
207 this respect is often rhetorically affirmed yet practically withheld. While patient-mothers receive
208 institutional protections for breastfeeding, nurse-mothers are frequently expected to negotiate
209 lactation privately through personal sacrifice or informal workarounds. Although nurses possess
210 strong breastfeeding knowledge and positive attitudes, their ability to sustain breastfeeding is
211 shaped primarily by workplace conditions rather than individual motivation.[14, 17] When
212 institutions fail to recognize nurse-mothers' caregiving needs as ethically legitimate, they erode
213 nurses' moral subjectivity and weaken their sense of moral belonging within organizations that
214 depend upon their ethical labor.[24]

215 **Engagement and Ethical Presence**

216 Engagement refers to active moral presence and responsiveness. Nurse-mothers are
217 expected to demonstrate sustained engagement with patients, particularly in supporting
218 breastfeeding initiation and continuation. At the same time, institutional conditions render similar
219 engagement with their own caregiving needs functionally untenable. This asymmetry produces
220 ethical dissonance, in which nurses recognize an appropriate course of action yet are structurally
221 constrained from enacting it without incurring personal or professional costs. Such conditions
222 align with descriptions of moral distress arising from institutional barriers to moral action.[25] In
223 this context, moral distress can be understood as an institutional outcome of systematically
224 constrained ethical engagement.

225 **Ethics of Care and the Devaluation of Maternal Embodiment:**

226 **A Four-Topic Ethical Analysis**

227 While relational ethics illuminates how these tensions are experienced in practice, a
228 structured ethical analysis is required to demonstrate how institutional commitments are
229 selectively applied across roles. Jonsen et al.'s 'Four Topic Approach' provides a framework for
230 examining how ethical criteria that justify breastfeeding protection for patients are differentially
231 applied when the moral subject is a nurse.[26] Although typically used in bedside ethics, its
232 extension to institutional contexts reveals how ethical reasoning can systematically privilege
233 certain moral subjects while marginalizing others.[1] Applied at this level, the framework
234 demonstrates how maternal embodiment is ethically recognized for patients but discounted
235 within the nursing workforce. It further highlights how consistent ethical reasoning is disrupted
236 by role-based distinctions in the allocation of protection and constraint. This analysis is
237 summarized in Table 2.

238 **Table 2.** *Four Topic Ethical Analysis of Maternal Embodiment in Baby-Friendly Hospitals*

Four Topic Domain	Ethical Question	Application to Patient-Mothers	Application to Nurse-Mothers	Ethical Implications
Medical Indications	<i>What are the relevant physiological facts and risks?</i>	Breastfeeding recognized as medically and ethically indicated. Institutions provide protection, education, and environmental support.	Identical lactation physiology reframed as a logistical inconvenience. Pumping needs subordinated to staffing and productivity demands.	Physiological reality is treated as ethically relevant only when the mother is a patient.
Patient (moral) Preferences	<i>What does the individual value and choose?</i>	Preferences to breastfeed actively elicited, supported, and protected as expressions of autonomy.	Preferences to breastfeed treated as negotiable or optional and subject to managerial discretion.	Respect for moral agency becomes role-dependent rather than person-centered.
Quality of Life	<i>How do practices affect dignity, suffering, and well-being?</i>	Breastfeeding support framed as contributing to maternal well-being, bonding, and long-term health.	Physical discomfort, emotional strain, and guilt normalized as professional sacrifice.	Quality-of-life harms to nurse-mothers are rendered ethically invisible.
Contextual Features	<i>What social, institutional, and power factors shape the case?</i>	Institutional policies and leadership accountability mechanisms protect breastfeeding.	Productivity metrics, staffing pressures, and ideal-worker norms override ethical commitments.	Institutional interests are prioritized over ethical consistency and justice.

239
 240 Across medical indications, patient preferences, quality of life, and contextual features,
 241 the same ethical criteria used to justify robust breastfeeding protections for patients are
 242 selectively suspended when the moral subject is a nurse. Lactation physiology is reframed as a
 243 logistical inconvenience, caregiving preferences are treated as negotiable, quality-of-life harms
 244 are rendered acceptable or invisible, and contextual pressures, including productivity and staffing
 245 demands, are prioritized over ethical consistency.

246 A parallel form of ethical displacement is visible in the wider breastfeeding support
 247 ecosystem. As Hiito et al. demonstrate, when formal institutional support is limited,
 248 responsibility for evaluating evidence, managing risk, and sustaining breastfeeding shifts onto

249 parents through reliance on online peer communities, the effectiveness of which depends on
250 moderation and individual health literacy.[12] In both contexts, responsibility for an
251 institutionally endorsed ethical good is transferred from systems to individuals. Selective
252 reasoning devalues maternal embodiment and undermines the moral coherence of Baby-Friendly
253 designation.

254 **Ethical Implications for Nursing Practice and Workforce Sustainability:**

255 **Indicators of Organizational ‘Ethical Collapse’**

256 These patterns extend beyond isolated inconsistencies and indicate broader systemic dysfunction.
257 Viewed through Jennings’ framework of organizational ethical collapse, the marginalization of
258 nurse-mothers can be understood as a manifestation of institutional moral failure rather than a
259 localized anomaly.[27] Key indicators include normalized deviance, role-based moral
260 compartmentalization, instrumentalization of ethical language, suppression of ethical voice,
261 displacement of responsibility onto individuals, erosion of moral community, and ethical
262 myopia. The dynamics reflect patterns of institutional behavior that signal failures of ethical
263 governance. Such conditions contribute to moral distress and professional disengagement,
264 driving workforce attrition.[3, 19, 25] The loss of experienced nurse-mothers may undermine
265 patient safety, continuity of care, and the retention of collective professional knowledge. Support
266 for nurse-mothers should therefore be understood not as ancillary to ethical nursing practice, but
267 as foundational to its ethical credibility. Without alignment between stated values and lived
268 conditions, BFHI designation risks functioning as a symbolic marker rather than an ethically
269 coherent practice. This misalignment signals institutional ethical fragility and an elevated risk of
270 moral failure. These patterns are conceptually mapped in Table 3.

271 **Table 3.** *Mapping Jennings' Seven Signs of Organizational Ethical Collapse to Baby-Friendly*
 272 *Hospital Practices Affecting Nurse-Mothers*

Jennings' Sign of Ethical Collapse	Description (per Jennings)	Manifestation in Baby-Friendly Hospitals	Implications for Nurse-Mothers
Normalization of deviance	Ethically problematic practices become routine and accepted as normal.	Delayed or missed pumping breaks, lack of reliable lactation spaces, informal permission structures treated as standard.	Physiological harm, moral distress, and erosion of ethical expectations become normalized.
Role morality and compartmentalization	Ethical obligations are applied selectively based on role rather than moral status.	Breastfeeding protected when mothers are patients but not when mothers are nurses.	Nurses are expected to uphold ethics for others while excluded as moral subjects themselves.
Instrumentalization of moral language	Ethical rhetoric is used symbolically rather than enacted materially.	Baby-Friendly designation emphasized for reputation and accreditation while internal practices contradict it.	Maternal-centered care becomes performative rather than lived for the workforce.
Suppression of ethical voice	Dissent and ethical concern are discouraged or silenced.	Nurse-mothers remain silent about unmet lactation needs to avoid stigma or retaliation.	Moral agency is diminished and ethical concerns go unaddressed.
Displacement of responsibility onto individuals	Institutions shift ethical responsibility away from themselves.	Lactation framed as a personal accommodation rather than institutional obligation.	Costs of care are privatized through self-sacrifice, family substitution, or early weaning.
Erosion of moral community	Ethical failures undermine trust, integrity, and collective purpose.	Persistent moral distress contributes to disengagement, burnout, and attrition.	Loss of experienced clinicians weakens ethical and clinical capacity.
Ethical myopia and failure of moral imagination	Organizations fail to recognize morally relevant stakeholders.	Maternal embodiment recognized only in patients, not employees.	Caregiving labor by nurse-mothers is rendered invisible and ethically irrelevant.

273

274

275 **From Ethical Contradiction to Institutional Integrity: A Way Forward**

276 The ethical contradictions experienced by nurse-mothers within Baby-Friendly Hospitals
277 call for more than recognition; they require principled realignment between stated institutional
278 values and lived organizational practices. This analysis demonstrates that the problem is not a
279 lack of evidence, professional knowledge, or moral commitment among nurses, but rather a
280 systems failure within institutions to extend ethical commitments inward to the nursing
281 workforce who are responsible for promoting Baby-Friendly care. Addressing this contradiction
282 requires shifting from viewing breastfeeding support for staff as discretionary or auxiliary to
283 recognizing it as integral to organizational ethical coherence.

284 **Moral Symmetry and Distributive Justice**

285 Ethical integrity depends on symmetry of moral obligation. If breastfeeding is protected
286 as an ethical good for patient-mothers on the grounds of infant well-being, maternal
287 embodiment, and relational vulnerability, these same grounds apply to nurse-mothers.
288 Suspending this obligation based on employment status constitutes an inequitable distribution of
289 moral concern and undermines claims that Baby-Friendly designation reflects a consistent ethical
290 orientation. This asymmetry reflects role-based distributive injustice,[1] whereby institutional
291 protections are allocated according to employment status rather than moral equivalence between
292 mothers. Institutions must recognize nurse-mothers as ethical agents and moral subjects, not
293 merely as workers whose caregiving needs compete with productivity demands.

294 **Institutional Conditions and Moral Agency**

295 Nursing ethics frameworks emphasize that moral agency is exercised within, not apart
296 from, institutional contexts. When organizational structures systematically deny, delay, or

297 penalize biologically necessary caregiving practices such as lactation, nurses' capacity to act
298 ethically is constrained, producing moral distress and disengagement.

299 **Structural Responsibility and the Ethics of Care**

300 Healthcare organizations bear responsibility for creating conditions that enable, rather
301 than obstruct, moral action by their workforce. Breastfeeding support for nurse-mothers must be
302 understood as a structural responsibility rather than an informal accommodation. Reliance on
303 managerial discretion, peer goodwill, or individual negotiation signals ethical fragility rather
304 than genuine commitment. From an ethics of care perspective, institutional responsiveness
305 requires anticipatory structures that acknowledge dependency, embodiment, and relational needs
306 as predictable features of human life, including professional life. Support that is precarious,
307 stigmatized, or contingent fails to meet this standard.

308 **Protection from Moral and Professional Penalty**

309 Alignment also requires protection from moral and professional penalty. When nurse-
310 mothers incur career disadvantages, reputational harm, or implicit sanctions for attending to
311 lactation needs, institutions signal that caregiving is incompatible with professional legitimacy.
312 This message is ethically untenable within organizations that publicly affirm maternal-centered
313 care. Protecting nurses from such penalties is not a benefit or incentive but a matter of justice.

314 **Toward Ethically Coherent Institutions**

315 These considerations point toward a vision of Baby-Friendly Hospitals as ethically
316 coherent institutions in which caregiving, embodiment, and moral agency are recognized across
317 roles. Extending maternal-centered commitments inward is not an expansion of scope but a
318 fulfillment of ethical promise to patients, nurses, and communities. Without such alignment,

319 Baby-Friendly designation risks becoming symbolic: protective at the bedside, precarious in the
320 workplace, and ultimately vulnerable as an ethical claim.

321 **Implications for Institutional Practice**

322 While this analysis does not prescribe specific policy solutions, it identifies key areas of
323 institutional action. First, institutions should establish enforceable structural protections for
324 lactation, including protected time and appropriate facilities that enable timely and dignified milk
325 expression. Second, institutions should move beyond discretionary accommodation toward the
326 systemic integration of maternal embodiment within workforce design, recognizing caregiving as
327 a predictable and legitimate dimension of professional life. Third, institutions should ensure
328 protection from professional or reputational penalty, so that nurse-mothers are not disadvantaged
329 for engaging in biologically necessary caregiving practices.

330 **Conclusion**

331 Realigning Baby-Friendly commitments requires organizations to examine how
332 workforce policies, staffing norms, and performance expectations either reinforce or contradict
333 their ethical claims - not through prescriptive blueprints, but through institutional willingness to
334 apply the same ethical scrutiny to internal practices as to patient care. Ethical credibility depends
335 on consistency between values espoused and conditions enacted. Although BFHI frames
336 breastfeeding as an ethical good requiring organizational protection, maternal embodiment
337 protected for patient-mothers becomes precarious for nurse-mothers. Across ethics of care,
338 relational ethics, clinical ethics, and organizational frameworks, productivity norms constrain
339 ethical action and displace responsibility onto individuals. Nurse-mothers possess the knowledge
340 and commitment to enact breastfeeding support, yet their embodied distress, moral silence, and
341 personal sacrifice signal institutional failure. When identical ethical criteria applied to patient-

342 mothers are suspended for nurse-mothers, the Baby-Friendly designation risks becoming
343 symbolic rather than lived ethical practice. True integrity demands enforceable structures that
344 recognize caregiving and embodiment as legitimate dimensions of professional life, extending
345 maternal-centered commitments inward as well as outward.

346

347

348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368

References

1. Butts JB, Rich KL. Nursing ethics: across the curriculum and into practice. Burlington (MA): Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2022.
2. Burns E, Gannon S, Pierce H, Hugman S. Corporeal generosity: breastfeeding bodies and female-dominated workplaces. *Gender Work Organ.* 2022;29(3):778-799. doi:10.1111/gwao.12821
3. Poeira A, Nunes L. Retaining those who care: ethical climate, leadership, and workforce sustainability in healthcare. *Healthcare.* 2025;13:3014. doi:10.3390/healthcare13233014
4. World Health Organization, United Nations Children’s Fund. Protecting, promoting and supporting breastfeeding in facilities providing maternity and newborn services: implementing the revised Baby-friendly Hospital Initiative. Geneva: WHO; 2018.
5. International Code Documentation Centre. International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes and relevant WHA resolutions. 4th ed. Penang: IBFAN; 2022.
6. World Health Organization. The International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes: frequently asked questions (2017 update). Geneva: WHO; 2017.
7. Fan YW, Fan HSL, Shing JSY, Ip HL, Fong DYT, Lok KYW. Impact of baby-friendly hospital initiatives on breastfeeding outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Women Birth.* 2025;38:101881. doi:10.1016/j.wombi.2025.101881
8. Habte MB, Abdulahi M, Plusquin M, Cosemans C. Effectiveness of the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative on early initiation and exclusive breastfeeding practice: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients.* 2025;17(14):2283. doi:10.3390/nu17142283

- 369 9. Lok KYW, Fan HSL, Ip HL, Leung ETY, Lau KW, Wong JYH, et al. Building a baby-
370 friendly community: development, strategy, implementation and evaluation of a BFCI
371 program. *J Adv Nurs*. 2025;81:7040-7051. doi:10.1111/jan.16267
- 372 10. Gilligan C. *In a different voice: psychological theory and women's development*. Cambridge
373 (MA): Harvard University Press; 1982.
- 374 11. Tronto JC. An ethic of care. *Generations*. 1998;22(3):15-20.
- 375 12. Hiito E, Ikonen R, Niela-Vilén H. Internet-based breastfeeding peer support for breastfeeding
376 parents: an integrative review. *J Adv Nurs*. 2024;80:4805-4824. doi:10.1111/jan.16221
- 377 13. International Council of Nurses. *The ICN code of ethics for nurses*. Geneva: ICN; 2021.
- 378 14. Iliyasu Z, Galadanci HS, Emokpae P, Amole TG, Nass N, Aliyu MH. Predictors of exclusive
379 breastfeeding among health care workers in urban Kano, Nigeria. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal*
380 *Nurs*. 2019;48(4):433-444. doi:10.1016/j.jogn.2019.04.285
- 381 15. Li S, Zhang W, Liu Y, Yu M, Yang S, Luo M, et al. Lactating nurses' experiences of return
382 to work after lifting COVID-19 lockdown: a qualitative study. *Heliyon*. 2024;10:e23761.
383 doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e23761
- 384 16. Gallagher TT, McKechnie AC. Missed care and equitable breastfeeding support: an
385 integrative review of exposure to in-hospital care by patient characteristics and breastfeeding
386 outcomes. *J Adv Nurs*. 2024;80:3086-3102. doi:10.1111/jan.16077
- 387 17. Nanthakomon T, Nukaw S, Kositamongkol S. Exclusive breastfeeding in health personnel:
388 incidence and barriers. *Children*. 2023;10(8):1424. doi:10.3390/children10081424
- 389 18. Riaz S, Condon L. The experiences of breastfeeding mothers returning to work as hospital
390 nurses in Pakistan: a qualitative study. *Women Birth*. 2019;32:e252-e258.
391 doi:10.1016/j.wombi.2018.06.019

- 392 19. Watson AL, Peterson C, Gardner T, Young C, Houston G, Nelson B, et al. Exploring the
393 impact of the motherhood penalty on critical care nurses: a hermeneutic phenomenological
394 study. *J Adv Nurs*. 2025;82(2):1587-1600. doi:10.1111/jan.17075
- 395 20. Wood NK, Helfrich-Miller KR. Changes in breastfeeding relationships when frontline
396 essential working mothers return to work during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal
397 qualitative online study. *J Adv Nurs*. 2025;81:6709-6721. doi:10.1111/jan.16793
- 398 21. Bergum V, Dossetor JB. *Relational ethics: the full meaning of respect*. Frederick (MD):
399 University Publishing Group; 2005.
- 400 22. Konlan KD, Pwavra JBP, Armah-Mensah M, Aryee R, Narkotey S. Challenges and coping
401 strategies of nurses and midwives after maternity leave: a cross-sectional study in a human
402 resource-constrained setting in Ghana. *Nurs Open*. 2023;10(1):208-216.
403 doi:10.1002/nop2.1296
- 404 23. Seidlein AH, Kuhn E. When nurses' vulnerability challenges their moral integrity: a
405 discursive paper. *J Adv Nurs*. 2023;79(10):3727-3736. doi:10.1111/jan.15717
- 406 24. Brewer KC, Dierkes AM, Norful AA. Organizational trust breaches among nurses and aides:
407 a qualitative study. *Nurs Ethics*. 2024;31(8). doi:10.1177/09697330241230520
- 408 25. Jameton A. *Nursing practice: the ethical issues*. Englewood Cliffs (NJ): Prentice Hall; 1984.
- 409 26. Jonsen AR, Siegler M, Winslade WJ. *Clinical ethics: a practical approach to ethical decisions*
410 *in clinical medicine*. 9th ed. New York: McGraw Hill; 2021.
- 411 27. Jennings M. *The seven signs of ethical collapse*. New York: St. Martin's Press; 2006
- 412