

The Impact of Ethnicity and Migration on Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes: A Secondary Analysis of the Born in Bradford Cohort.

MARVIN-DOWLE, Katie http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6945-923X and SOLTANI, Hora http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9611-6777

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/36245/

This document is the Published Version [VoR]

Citation:

MARVIN-DOWLE, Katie and SOLTANI, Hora (2025). The Impact of Ethnicity and Migration on Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes: A Secondary Analysis of the Born in Bradford Cohort. International Journal of Social Determinants of Health and Health Services. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html



The Impact of Ethnicity and Migration on Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes: A Secondary Analysis of the Born in Bradford Cohort

International Journal of Social Determinants of Health and Health Services I-10

© The Author(s) 2025

Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/27551938251383287 journals.sagepub.com/home/joh



Katie Marvin-Dowle | D and Hora Soltani |

Abstract

International evidence suggests differences in perinatal outcomes between migrant women and their native-born peers, but the intergeneration impact of family migration and interplay with maternal ethnicity remains unclear. This study investigates the relationships between maternal ethnicity, migration status, and perinatal outcomes using secondary analysis of data obtained by an established birth cohort study in the north of England using regression models. Pakistani migrants had higher odds of low birth weight and lower odds of macrosomia compared to white British natives. Pakistani migrants of all generations had higher odds of gestational diabetes, with odds among first-generation migrants almost double that of second-generation migrants. First-generation Pakistani migrants also had lower odds of preterm birth and Apgar score < 7 at 1 min in comparison with other groups. Lower incidence of premature birth in first-generation migrant Pakistani women is of importance. Higher odds of low birth weight and lower odds of macrosomia among Pakistani migrants compared to white British women merits further investigation. It is noteworthy that this is despite higher odds of gestational diabetes overall in this population and generational differences in among Pakistani migrants requiring further attention, with a full consideration of confounding environmental and biological factors, with a view to addressing identified inequalities.

Keywords

perinatal, pregnancy, ethnicity, migration, born in Bradford

The World Health Organisation (WHO) in its 2018 report on the health of refugees and migrants in the WHO European Region highlights significant differences in pregnancy-related indicators among refugees and migrants compared to native populations. While the nature and magnitude of these differences vary between host countries, a WHO review found that refugee and migrant women were at increased risk of adverse events including induced and spontaneous abortions, caesarean sections, birth complications, and instrumental deliveries across the WHO European Region.² Further country-specific evidence has shown specific examples of adverse outcomes, including an 18-fold increased risk of neonatal death among African refugee and migrant mothers in Sweden³ and increased risk of preterm birth in Italy⁴ and Portugal.⁵ While these studies provide important context, the majority are of a significant age (10–15 years), meaning that the need for updated evidence in this field is of paramount importance.

In the United Kingdom, analysis of health outcomes related to migration is often based on self-reported ethnicity due to a lack of data relating to migration in health datasets. According to mortality rates in the 2011 census, a slight

advantage in terms of life expectancy at birth among first-generation migrants was observed, however further research has highlighted that ethnic minority groups have lower disability-free life expectancy compared to the white British population. Improving recording of ethnicity and migration history in health records is of paramount importance in studying the patterns of health and disease in these populations.

Bradford is an ethnically diverse and relatively economically deprived city in the north of England. The population of the city was influenced by large numbers of Pakistani

¹Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, College of Health, Wellbeing and Life Sciences, Sheffield Hallam University, Collegiate Crescent, Sheffield, S10 2BP,

Corresponding Author:

Katie Marvin-Dowle, Sheffield Hallam University, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, College of Health, Wellbeing and Life Sciences, Collegiate Crescent, Sheffield, \$10 2BP, UK. Email: k.marvin-dowle@shu.ac.uk

migrants arriving in the 1950s, having been actively recruited to take up employment in the city's wool mills. Most migrants initially were working-aged men; subsequently family reconstitution migration has meant that Bradford is now home to a three-generation population of Pakistani origin.⁹

It has been established that an association exists between perinatal outcomes and maternal ethnicity; women of South Asian origin have been found to be more at risk of delivering babies who are of a low birth weight and less at risk of macrosomia, despite higher prevalence of gestational diabetes. It is also important to consider that the concept of ethnicity is a social construct that attempts to convey a sense of shared cultural characteristics which may include elements such as language, religion, dietary practices, norms, and customs in addition to shared nationality or family heritage. While the use of ethnic groupings is useful in identifying and addressing inequalities, it does not account for variation in environment and experience within an ethnic group. 10 The relationship between maternal ethnicity and migration status is less clear in the existing literature. A narrative review of available evidence relating to perinatal outcomes in migrant women highlighted the need for research that attempts to explore the interplay between ethnicity and migration to examine whether migration status influences the established additional risks associated with ethnicity. 11

Given the international importance of improving maternity outcomes and reducing inequalities, as highlighted as a key component of achieving the U.N. Sustainable Development Goals, 12 it is imperative that factors contributing to adverse perinatal events are identified and contextualised to inform preventative strategies. While international evidence of poorer outcomes for refugee and migrant women exists, this evidence tends to focus on recent and/or forced migration, and therefore does not account for intergenerational influences, nor does it assess whether belonging to a largely settled and established migrant community impacts outcomes.

The Born in Bradford cohort offers a unique opportunity to examine these factors, having collected data relating to the personal and family history of migration of all participants. Assessing pregnancy and birth outcomes, particularly with the inclusion of more objective variables such as migration status, length of stay in the host country (or length of migration), and country of origin, in addition to self-reported ethnicity, could provide substantive information on health inequalities and the extent to which these variables may change over time. The objectives of this study are therefore to:

- Investigate the impact of maternal migration status on perinatal outcomes.
- Explore the influence of family migration history on differences in perinatal outcomes.
- Assess the extent to which self-reporting ethnic group contributes to differences in perinatal outcomes.

Methods

The Born in Bradford Cohort

Born in Bradford (BiB) is a prospective cohort study that recruited participants during pregnancy. The cohort was established in the city of Bradford, located in northern England, in response to the observation of very high rates of infant mortality in the city. Women were invited to participate in the study when attending a universally offered oral glucose tolerance test appointment at 26–28 weeks' gestation or when attending other antenatal appointments. Informed consent was obtained, and women were asked to complete a baseline questionnaire providing data on maternal characteristics, including their personal and family migration background. Recruitment took place between March 2007 and December 2010, and over 80 percent of women eligible in this period agreed to take part, which represents approximately 64 percent of the births occurring in Bradford during this period. Data from the baseline questionnaire has been linked with routine maternity data which enables the examination of perinatal outcomes by maternal characteristics. Details of the cohort profile are published elsewhere. 13

Outcome Variables

The neonatal outcome variables studied were low birth weight (below 2,500 g), macrosomia (birth weight over 4,000 g), preterm birth (< 37 completed weeks gestation), outcome of birth (live birth or stillbirth) and Apgar score at 1 min and 5 min (analysed as two groups: <7 and 7–10). Low birth weight and macrosomic infants were compared with infants born weighing 2,500-4,000 g, and those born preterm to those born ≥ 37 completed weeks' gestation. Birth weight and gestational age at delivery were also considered as continuous variables. Maternal outcome variables studied were diagnosis of pre-eclampsia (diagnosis in this cohort was made when proteinuria was > 0.3 mg and blood pressure was $\ge 140/90$ mmHg on more than one occasion), diagnosis of gestational diabetes (defined as a 2-h post-glucose load plasma glucose level of 7.8 mmol/L or a fasting plasma glucose level of 6.1 mmol/L), ¹⁴ and mode of birth (vaginal or caesarean section). Distinction between elective and emergency caesarean sections was not available. The outcome variables were collected in the process of routine maternity care and were made available for this analysis via data linkage to questionnaire data.

Migration Status

Migration status groups were calculated using questionnaire responses regarding the mother's country of birth and that of her parents and grandparents. First-generation migrants are therefore those women who were themselves born outside Marvin-Dowle and Soltani 3

of the United Kingdom; second generation migrants were those women who were themselves born in the United Kingdom but have at least one parent who was born abroad. Due to small numbers, those with a higher order migrant background (i.e., grandparents born abroad) were combined with second-generation migrants. To account for the impact of ethnicity in differences between groups, each migrant group was stratified based on self-reported ethnicity. White British, Pakistani or Other Ethnicity. While it is acknowledged that 'Pakistani' may not commonly be regarded as an ethnicity, rather a nationality, and 'White British' an ethnic group and nationality combined, these categorisations were self-reported by the individuals participating in the study when asked to define their ethnic group. These groupings therefore reflect the source data and are analysed with the complexities of defining a socially constructed concept such as ethnicity in mind.

Statistical Analysis

Characteristics of the sample and perinatal outcomes in all other groups were compared with those for white British native women, who were considered as the reference group. Characteristics of the sample were described, presenting categorical variables as percentages and continuous variables as means and standard deviations (SD). Differences between groups were explored using $\chi 2$ for categorical data and Student's t-test for continuous data.

Logistic regression analyses were used to compare the rate of each of the binary outcome variables by migration status, with white British women considered as the reference group and differences between groups estimated using odds ratios (ORs). The adjusted regression model included maternal characteristics which may influence the outcome variables. These were informed by the literature and refined by the assessment of multicollinearity. Index of multiple deprivation (IMD) score, maternal age, and parity were therefore included as covariates in the adjusted analysis. Crude and adjusted ORs (OR and aOR) are therefore presented with 95 percent confidence intervals (CI). IMD is the official measure of relative deprivation for small areas in England and combines information from seven domains of deprivation (income, employment, education, health, crime, housing and environment) to give a deprivation score. IMD score is therefore assigned to participants based on their self-reported home address.

In the multivariate logistic regression model for this study, there is no clear logical or theoretical basis for assuming any variable to be prior to any other, either in terms of its relevance to the research goal of explaining phenomena or in terms of a hypothetical causal structure of the data. For this reason, a simultaneous model of including independent variables in the multivariate logistic regression model was most appropriate.

Where significant associations between first-generation migrant status and outcome variables were observed, multiple linear regression was employed to explore the extent to which maternal length of stay in the United Kingdom was associated with changes in outcomes. Statistical analysis was undertaken using SPSS V.24.

Results

Characteristics of the Sample

For descriptive analysis, differences between groups were explored using χ^2 for categorical data and Student's t-test for continuous data. There were a significantly higher proportion of adolescent mothers in all native groups compared to migrant groups, except for second-generation white migrants (majority Irish immigrants). Approximately, 23.5 percent of first-generation Pakistani migrants had parity of three or more compared to 17.1 percent among second generation Pakistani migrants and 7.2 percent of white British natives. Migrant women of both Pakistani and other ethnicities were significantly more likely to be married compared to native women in the same ethnic groups. Both mothers and fathers in migrant groups were more likely to have educational qualifications equivalent to A level or higher; first-generation Pakistani migrants were twice as likely than any other group to have never been employed, and the highest levels of unemployment among fathers were in the native Pakistani men and native men of other ethnicities. Characteristics of the sample are shown in Table 1. Exploratory analysis of differences in perinatal outcomes between migrant groups is shown in Table 2. The results suggest a higher prevalence of gestational diabetes among migrant groups with the highest rate being among first-generation Pakistani migrants. There was also a higher incidence of low birth weight and a lower rate of macrosomia among these groups. The rate of low birth weight was highest among second-generation migrants of Pakistani or other ethnicities.

Perinatal Outcomes by Ethnicity and Migration Status

Table 3 presents a comparison of perinatal outcomes by ethnicity and migration status. Native women of Pakistani ethnicity are excluded from this analysis due to small numbers. First- and second-generation migrant Pakistani women and second-generation migrant women of other ethnicities had higher odds of delivering low birth weight babies in the adjusted analysis while lower odds of macrosomia were also observed among these groups. First generation Pakistani migrants had increased odds of stillbirth, but it did not reach statistical significance. However, decreased odds of preterm delivery, Apgar score below 7 at 1 min, and caesarean section were observed in this group of migrant women compare to native mothers.

Given the differing observations relating to preterm delivery and birth weight categories between migrant groups, multiple linear regression analyses were conducted to examine the

Table I. Characteristics of the Sample.

Minister Questionnaire Responses			_	Native			First-Generation Migrants	neration	Second- c Migrants	Second- or Third-Generation Migrants	eneration		
pervised Questionnaire Responses British Pakistani Ethnicity Pakistani Ethnicity Gritish Pakistani Ethnicity Total proted Questionnaire Responses British Pakistani Ethnicity Pakistani Ethnicity Total proted Questionnaire Responses British In 1238 10 0000 977% 812% 812% 977% 1000% 99.6% 818% 918% 917% 1000% 99.6% 918% 918% 918% 918% 918% 918% 918% 918			ı >	Vhite		Other		Other	White		Other	ı	
State of the part o	Self-Reported Questionnaire	Responses	Ф	ritish	Pakistani		Pakistani		British	Pakistan		Total	= d
inhister Questionnaire Mirpuri/Purijati	Language Used to		h 4	238	01	130	8601	1001	2130	175	482	9270	< 0.001
Mirpuri/Purjabi	Administer Questionnaire		%	%2'6	100.0%	%1.7%	36.7%	88.8%	%6'.26	100.0%	%9.66	81.8%	
Other no 0ther no 0 00 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				č	0	0	511	33	ω (0	0	553	
Outlet no Other no 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				%0:	%0.0 •	%0:0 •	% . 	2.9%	0.4%	%0:0 •	%0.0 •	4.9%	
r's Age at Delivery				ò	0 0	0	- ò	6 7 7 0 0	0 0	0	0 0	6 0	
r's Age at Delivery				% O.	% O. C	%O:O -	0.0%	% 22	% 0.0 %	% O: C	%O.O -	0.1%	
r's Age at Delivery < 20				%0	%0.0	80	45.7%	6.4%	84 84 84	%00	0.2%	13.0%	
Status 20-34	Mother's Age at Delivery			75		37	4	33	80	26	22	720	< 0.001
Status	Grouped		~	1.2%	30.0%	27.8%	1.5%	2.9%	3.7%	14.9%	4.5%	6.4%	
Status			n 3	230	7	93	2548	937	1857	<u>8</u>	388	9178	
Status			/ %	%0.9	%0.02	%6.69	85.2%	83.5%	85.3%	67.4%	80.2%	80.9%	
Status				4	0	3	397	152	239	3.	74	1440	
Status Married and Llving with 1349 4 18 2860 862 1944 60 374 7471				2.8%	%0.0	2.3%	13.3%	13.5%	%O:11	17.7%	15.3%	12.7%	
Partner % 31.7% 40.0% 13.5% 95.7% 76.8% 89.3% 34.3% 77.3% 65.9% Not Living with Partner n 1212 2 85 121 107 211 42 69 1849 Not Living with Partner n 1212 2 85 121 107 211 42 69 1849 Not Living with Partner n 1212 2 85 121 107 211 42 69 1849 Not Living with Partner n 1212 2 85 120 8 150 16 73 40 1956 with Partner n 1826 4 80 2.26% 0.1% 13.4% 0.7% 41.7% 83.3% 17.6% 1956 with Partner n 1926 4 8 76 18 1848 0.7% 41.7% 83.3% 17.6% 1956 n 1926 44.4% 61.3% 28.3% 48.8% 27.6 89 20.7 43.65 1	Marital Status			349	4	<u>8</u>	2860	862	1944	09	374	7471	> 0.001
Not Living with Partner n 1212 2 85 121 107 211 42 69 1849 Not Married and Living n 1680 4 3 3 150 16 73 40.0 1948 Not Married and Living n 1680 4 76 22.6% 0.1% 13.4% 0.7% 41.7% 83.3% 176% with Partner % 39.5% 40.0% 22.6% 0.1% 13.4% 0.7% 41.7% 83.3% 176% n 1926 4 76 799 488 776 89 20.0 43.65 176% n 1926 4 26 753 309 529 40 135 3088 1				l.7%	40.0%	13.5%	95.7%	%8.9/	86.3%	34.3%	77.3%	%6:59	
Not Married and Living Not Married N				212	7	82	121	107	211	42	69	1849	
Not Married and Living n 1680 4 30 3 150 16 73 40 1996 with Partner 8, 395.8 40.0 22.6% 0.18, 13.4% 0.7% 41.7% 83.7 176.8 with Partner 1, 12.2 4 76 799 488 776 89 207 4365 n 1262 4 2.6 753 309 529 40 135 3058 2 2 44.4% 61.3% 26.3% 47.8% 381.8 54.3% 46.0% 41.1% 2 3 16.8 44.4 21.0% 26.7% 30.3% 25.9% 24.4% 30.0% 28.8% 3 + 8 13.1% 11.1% 97.7% 21.5% 14.5% 18.9% 12.2% 13.3% 16.5% 3 + 8 13.1% 11.1% 97.7% 21.5% 14.5% 18.9% 12.2% 13.3% 16.5% 3 + 8 13.1% 11.1% 97.% 21.5% 14.5% 18.9% 12.2% 13.3% 16.5% 4 14.8 20.0% 40.0% 27.8% 13.2% 15.8% 19.4% 7.7% 21.6% A-Level** or Higher 1 171 4 57 891 170 717 891 174 3498 A-Level** or Higher 1 151 8 2 30 1008 632 978 72 276 4516 A-Level** or Higher 1 151 8 2 30 1008 632 978 72 276 4516 Cother/Unknown 1 406 0 9 91 16.2 135 19 45 877 S 5GCSE's or Equivalent 1 737 3 28 469 12.5% 13.6% 14.9% 12.0% 15.4% 17.7% 14.5% 12.6% 12.0% 13.6% 13.6% 14.5% 12.0% 15.4% 17.7% 13.6% 13.6% 15.4% 17.7% 13.6% 13.6% 15.4% 17.7% 13.6% 13.6% 13.6% 15.4% 13.6% 15.4% 13.6% 15.4% 13.6% 15.6% 13.6% 13.6% 15.6%				8.5%	20.0%	63.9%	4.0%	9.5%	%2′6	24.0%	14.3%	16.3%	
with Partner % 39.5% 40.0% 22.6% 01,% 13.4% 07% 41.7% 81.3% 17.6% 0.1% 13.4% 07% 41.7% 81.3% 17.6% 0.1% 13.4% 07% 41.1% 81.3% 17.6% 0.1% 13.4% 0.1% 41.8% 17.6% 9.207 43.65 0.1% 13.4% 0.1% 12.8% 13.8% 17.6% 9.207 43.65 0.1% 12.8% 13.8% 12.9% 12.9% 13.1% 12.8% 13.8% 12.9% 12.9% 13.1% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1% 12.8% 13.1%				089	4	30	m	150	91	73	40	9661	
0 n 1926 4 76 799 488 776 89 207 4365 8 48.2% 44.4% 61.3% 28.3% 47.8% 38.1% 54.3% 46.0% 41.1% 1 1 n 1262 4 4 26 753 30.9 52.9 40 135 30.58 1 2 n 1262 4 4.4% 61.3% 28.3% 47.8% 38.1% 54.3% 46.0% 41.1% 30.5% 21.5% 14.5% 18.9% 12.2% 13.0% 28.8% 20 60 1759 20 1759		with Partner		9.5%	40.0%	22.6%	%I.0	13.4%	0.7%	41.7%	8.3%	%9 ′′′1	
1 % 48.2% 44.4% 61.3% 28.3% 47.8% 38.1% 54.3% 46.0% 41.1% 1 n 1262 4 26 753 309 529 40 135 3058 2 n 525 1 1 26.7% 30.3% 25.9% 40 135 3088 3+ n 525 1 1 2 21.5% 14.8% 18.9% 12.2% 13.0% 28.8% 3+ n 286 0 10 644 76 349 15 48 1448 3+ n 286 0 10 644 76 349 15 48 1448 4 5 GCSE** or Equivalent 851 4 37 988 152 344 34 37 2447 15.1% 10.7% 11.6% 5 GCSE** or Equivalent 1 471 4 57 891 170 17 50 124 31.8% A-Level*** or Higher 1 518 2 33.2% 13.6% 15.2% 13.4% 17 50 <td< td=""><td>Parity</td><td></td><td></td><td>976</td><td>4</td><td>76</td><td>799</td><td>488</td><td>776</td><td>68</td><td>207</td><td>4365</td><td>< 0.001</td></td<>	Parity			976	4	76	799	488	776	68	207	4365	< 0.001
1			%	8.2%	44.4%	61.3%	28.3%	47.8%	38.1%	54.3%	46.0%	41.1%	
2		_	n l	262	4	26	753	309	529	4	135	3058	
2			ж %	%9·I	44.4%	21.0%	26.7%	30.3%	25.9%	24.4%	30.0%	28.8%	
3+			n 5	25	_	12	809	148	385	70	09	1759	
3+			-	3.1%	<u>%</u> 	%2'6	21.5%	14.5%	18.9 %	12.2%	13.3%	16.5%	
t < 5 GCSE's* or n 851 4 37 988 152 344 34 37 2447			<i>n</i> 2	98	0	0	664	76	349	2	48	1448	
t < 5 GCSE's* or n 851 4 37 988 152 344 34 37 2447 Equivalent % 20.0% 40.0% 27.8% 33.2% 13.6% 15.8% 19.4% 7.7% 21.6% 5 GCSE's* or Equivalent n 1471 4 57 891 170 717 50 124 3484 A-Level*** or Higher n 1518 2 30 1008 632 978 72 276 4516 Other/Unknown n 406 0 9 91 162 135 19 45 < 5 GCSE's or Equivalent n 737 3 28 469 126 295 26 58 1742 S GCSE's or Equivalent n 112 3 27 697 136 608 36 85 2715			~ %	.2%	%0.0	8. <u>1</u> %	23.5%	7.4%	% ./	<u>%</u> 	10.7%	13.6%	
Equivalent % 20.0% 40.0% 27.8% 33.2% 13.6% 15.8% 19.4% 7.7% 21.6% 5 GCSE's* or Equivalent n 1471 4 57 891 170 717 50 124 3484 A-Level** or Higher n 1518 2 30 1008 632 978 72 276 4516 Other/Unknown n 406 0 9 91 162 135 19 45 867 <	Mother's Highest		2	2	4	37	88	152	344	34	37	2447	< 0.00 ×
5 GCSE's* or Equivalent n 1471 4 57 891 170 717 50 124 3484 A-Level** or Higher n 1518 2 30 1008 632 978 72 276 4516 A-Level** or Higher n 1518 2 30 1008 632 978 72 276 4516 Other/Unknown n 406 0 9 91 162 135 19 45 867 < 5 GCSE's or Equivalent n 737 3 28 469 126 295 26 58 1742 5 GCSE's or Equivalent n 112 3 27 697 136 608 36 85 2715	Qualifications		%	%0.0	40.0%	27.8%	33.2%	13.6%	15.8%	19.4%	7.7%	21.6%	
8. 34.6% 40.0% 42.9% 15.2% 33.0% 28.6% 25.7% 30.8% A-Level** or Higher n 1518 2 30 1008 632 978 72 276 4516 4516				471	4	57	168	170	717	20	124	3484	
A-Level ^{t++} or Higher n 1518 2 30 1008 632 978 72 276 4516 % 35.8% 20.0% 22.6% 33.8% 56.6% 45.0% 41.1% 57.3% 39.9% Other/Unknown n 406 0 9 91 162 135 19 45 867 < 5 GCSE's or Equivalent n 737 3 28 469 126 295 26 58 1742 \$ 17.4% 30.0% 21.1% 15.7% 11.3% 13.6% 14.9% 12.0% 15.4% 5 GCSE's or Equivalent n 1123 3 27 697 136 608 36 85 2715				4.6%	40.0%	42.9%	29.9%	15.2%	33.0%	28.6%	25.7%	30.8%	
8 35.8% 20.0% 22.6% 33.8% 56.6% 45.0% 41.1% 57.3% 39.9% Other/Unknown n 406 0 9 91 162 135 19 45 867 867 867 867 86.2% 10.9% 9.3% 7.7% 8.5 GCSE's or Equivalent n 737 3 28 469 126 295 26 58 1742 5 GCSE's or Equivalent n 1123 3 27 697 136 608 36 85 2715			n l	518	7	30	8001	632	826	72	276	4516	
Other/Unknown n 406 0 9 91 162 135 19 45 867 8 9.6% 0.0% 6.8% 3.1% 14.5% 6.2% 10.9% 9.3% 7.7% 4 5 GCSE's or Equivalent n 737 3 28 469 126 295 26 58 1742 8 17.4% 30.0% 21.1% 15.7% 11.3% 13.6% 14.9% 12.0% 15.4% 5 GCSE's or Equivalent n 1123 3 27 697 136 608 36 85 2715			%	2.8%	20.0%	22.6%	33.8%	26.6%	45.0%	41.1%	57.3%	39.9%	
% 9.6% 0.0% 6.8% 3.1% 14.5% 6.2% 10.9% 9.3% 7.7%				90	0	6	16	162	135	<u>6</u>	45	867	
< 5 GCSE's or Equivalent <i>n</i> 737 3 28 469 126 295 26 58 1742 · % 17.4% 30.0% 21.1% 15.7% 11.3% 13.6% 14.9% 12.0% 15.4% 5 GCSE's or Equivalent <i>n</i> 1123 3 27 697 136 608 36 85 2715				%9 :	%0.0	%8.9	3.1%	14.5%	6.2%	10.9%	9.3%	7.7%	
% 17.4% 30.0% 21.1% 15.7% 11.3% 13.6% 14.9% 12.0% 5 GCSE's or Equivalent <i>n</i> 1123 3 27 697 136 608 36 85	Father's Highest			37	m	28	469	126	295	76	28	1742	< 0.001
n 1123 3 27 697 136 608 36 85	Qualifications			7.4%	30.0%	21.1%	15.7%	H.3%	13.6%	14.9%	12.0%	15.4%	
				123	m	27	269	136	809	36	82	2715	

Table I. Continued.

		Native			First-Ger Migrants	irst-Generation ligrants	Second- o Migrants	second- or Third-Generation Migrants	eneration		
Self-Reported Questionnaire Responses	re Responses	White British	Pakistani	Other Ethnicity	Pakistani	Other Ethnicity	White British	Pakistani		Total	= d
	A-Level or Higher	% 26.4% n 1131 % 26.6%	30.0%	20.3% 24 18.0%	23.4%	12.2% 601 54.0%	28.1% 830 38.3%	20.6% 56 37.0%	17.6% 229 47.4%	24.0% 4005 35.4%	
	Other/Unknown		20.0%	54 40.6%	683	249	433	57 32.6%	23.0%	2844	
Mother's Employment	Currently Employed		30.0%	57 42.9%	340 11.4%	590 52.6%	871 40.0%	107	304 62.8%	4976 43.9%	< 0.001
	Never Employed	n 369 % 8.7%	3 30.0%	24 18.0%	1992 66.6%	257 22.9%	399 18.3%	19 10.9%	46 9.5%	3109 27.4%	
	Previously Employed	n 1174 % 27.6%	4 40.0%	52 39.1%	649 21.7%	272 24.2%	904 41.5%	49 28.0%	133 27.5%	3237 28.6%	
Father's Employment	Unemployed	n 483 % 12.1%	3 33.3%	30 25.9%	258 9.0%	163 14.9%	181 8.6%	21 12.5%	36 7.6%	1175	< 0.001
	Employee	n 3116 % 77.7%	4 44.4%	76 65.5%	2077 72.2%	799 73.1%	1469 70.0%	129 76.8%	362 76.7%	8032 74.1%	
	Self-Employed	n 409 % 10.2%	2 22.2%	01 8.6%	542 18.8%	131 12.0%	449 21.4%	18 10.7%	74 15.7%	1635 15.1%	

* General Certificate of Secondary Education, typically awarded at age 16. ** Advanced Level qualifications, typically awarded at age 18.

relationship between both birth weight and gestational age at delivery and length of stay in the United Kingdom for firstgeneration migrants.

The multiple linear regression calculated to predict birth weight based on length of stay in the United Kingdom and adjusted for maternal age, maternal body mass index (BMI), Index of Multiple Deprivation score, parity and gestational age at delivery found a significant regression equation (F(6,3625) = 418.1, p < 0.001), with an R^2 of 0.409 and effect size $f^2 = 0.692$. Predicted birth weight increased 4.4 grams for each additional year of residence in the United Kingdom amongst first-generation migrants. The multiple linear regression calculated to predict gestational age at delivery based on length of stay in the United Kingdom and adjusted for maternal age, maternal BMI, Index of Multiple Deprivation score, and parity found a significant regression equation (F(5,3626) = 8.67, p < 0.001), with an R^2 of 0.012 and effect size $f^2 = 0.012$. Predicted gestational age at delivery decreased by 0.014 days for each additional year of residence in the United Kingdom amongst first-generation migrants.

Discussion

Main Findings

The results show some important differences in outcomes both by ethnicity and migration status. The results of this study support the previous literature suggesting higher odds of low birth weight and gestational diabetes in Pakistani women and lower odds of macrosomia. However, the results show that first-generation Pakistani migrants had decreased odds of preterm delivery and Apgar score below 7 at 1 min, which were not apparent in other groups.

Examination of gestational age at delivery and birth weight for first-generation migrants using multivariate linear regression suggested a statistically significant association between both variables and length of stay in the United Kingdom. This said, the effect size related to gestational age was small, equating to 0.014 days gestation for each additional year of residence suggests this is not a clinically important finding. The effect size related to birth weight was larger, equating to an increase of 4.4 g for each year of residence. While this finding, in itself, is not sufficient to draw clear conclusions, it does suggest length of stay may be an important consideration for differences in birth weight.

While the odds of gestational diabetes in both first- and second-generation Pakistani women in the present study were significantly higher compared to white British native women, there were also differences between these two groups. Odds of gestational diabetes in first-generation Pakistani migrants were 2.68 (CI 2.20–3.27) compared to 1.79 (CI 1.43–2.23) for second-generation Pakistani migrants, meaning the odds for first-generation migrants were almost double those for second-generation migrants in the same ethnic group.

Previous studies examining the relationship between ethnicity and preterm birth have reported mixed results regarding Pakistani populations, ^{16–18} suggesting that factors other than ethnicity may be stronger predictors of preterm birth risk. A previous retrospective study examining the relationships between ethnicity, maternal country of birth and preterm birth in over 4.5 million births in England and Wales found an increased risk of preterm birth in Pakistani mothers; however, this risk was lower in mothers born outside the United Kingdom compared to U.K.-born Pakistanis. 19 This lower rate of preterm birth in mothers who were born outside the United Kingdom is in line with our study findings and raises the importance of considering intergenerational and migration status differences in the analysis of such population-based studies. Family structure and support through lower incidence of single parents, smoking and adolescent pregnancies and higher level of education observed mostly in the firstgeneration Pakistani families in a previous study may have also played a role in the observed positive outcomes.²⁰ Additionally, studies have shown that vaginal microecological dysbiosis-related diseases are the most common causes of preterm birth, such as bacterial vaginosis (BV), vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC), group B streptococcal (GBS) infections, and other infectious diseases.²¹ Therefore, the impact of healthy lifestyles in terms of lower incidence of smoking, adolescent pregnancies, maternal family structures (e.g., having higher rates of marriage and stable relationships in mothers), and vaginal infection rates in relation to differences in lower incidence of preterm birth in Pakistani women merits further investigation.

Associations between ethnicity, hyperglycaemia, and perinatal outcomes have previously been investigated in the BiB cohort.²² In addition to examining birth weight, this study also examined infant adiposity measured using skinfold thickness and cord blood leptin levels. The study found that while babies born to South Asian women were lighter, on average they had a higher body fat percentage when adjusted for birth weight compared to white British infants. These findings suggest that any attempts to address disparities in low birth weight babies must be mindful of the tendency for greater adiposity in South Asian infants, and that the thresholds for classification of low birth weight may need to be revisited to account for ethnic variations in the determinants of adverse outcomes. These findings are expanded upon by the current study by examining migration status of women of Pakistani origin as a strata within women of South Asian ethnicity. While our findings add weight to the need to consider ethnicity-specific thresholds, they also highlight that there are nuances within ethnic groupings which warrant further exploration. This is supported by clear evidence of correlation between incidence of low birth weight and poverty-related measures such as nutrition, health care, and housing that transcend international boundaries.²³ In the present study, Index of Multiple Deprivation and geographic small area-based measures were used to consider this factor in the model, meaning that individual differences between families living within the same area

Marvin-Dowle and Soltani 7

Table 2. Descriptive Analysis of Differences in Perinatal Outcome	by Migration status.
--	----------------------

Migration Status		Native			First-Ger Migrants	neration	Second- Migrants	or Third-G	eneration		
Self-Reported Ethnicity		White British	Pakistani	Other Ethnicity	Pakistani	Other Ethnicity	White British	Pakistani	Other Ethnicity	Total	p =
	n	3879	8	121	2662	995	163	1877	412	10117	
Low Birth Weight < 2500 g	n	262	2	9	284	70	6	253	57	943	< 0.001
	%	6.3	20.0	6.9	9.6	6.6	3.6	11.9	12.2	8.5	
Macrosomia	n	483	0	14	126	84	18	88	13	826	< 0.001
	%	11.7	0.0	10.8	4.3	7.9	10.7	4.1	2.8	7.5	
Preterm < 37 Completed Weeks	n	275	2	11	163	66	7	149	34	707	0.119
·	%	6.6	20.0	8.5	5.5	6.2	4 . I	7.0	7.2	6.4	
Apgar score < 7 at 1 Minute	n	527	0	20	287	125	18	242	55	1274	0.009
	%	13.0	0.0	15.9	9.9	11.9	10.7	11.6	11.9	11.7	
Apgar Score < 7 at 5 Minutes	n	87	0	3	59	27	3	48	12	239	0.971
. •	%	2.1	0.0	2.4	2.0	2.6	1.8	2.3	2.6	2.2	
Stillbirth	n	17	0	1	20	5	0	16	1	60	0.514
	%	0.4	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.8	0.2	0.5	
Pre-Eclampsia	n	103	0	0	68	28	6	66	9	280	0.283
·	%	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.7	3.7	3.3	2.0	2.6	
Gestational Diabetes	n	203	I	4	383	104	10	178	33	916	< 0.001
	%	4.9	10.0	3.1	13.0	9.8	5.9	8.4	7.1	8.3	

were not captured. This again highlights the need for improved clarity and detail in routine data collection in the United Kingdom.

Ethnicity-specific birth weight distributions have previously been investigated with significant results. A study assessing birth weight distribution of babies with European, Chinese and South Asian heritage reported that on average infants born at 40 weeks' gestation of European descent weighed 254.6 g more than those of South Asian origin.²⁴ The use of the proposed ethnicity-specific versus general population-based birth weight distributions in a further study found the former to be significantly better at identifying infants at increased risk of short-term neonatal morbidity (Apgar score < 7 at 5 min, admission to the neonatal intensive care unit, ventilation, extended length of stay in hospital, hypothermia, hypoglycaemia, and infection).²⁵ Previous work in this programme of research has found lower rates of physical activity in Asian women. ²⁶ Due to the known benefit of physical activity perinatally in reducing the risk of gestational diabetes²⁷ these findings provide an evidence base for targeted implementation research.

The observed higher odds of stillbirth among first-generation Pakistani migrants and the higher odds of pre-eclampsia in the Pakistani second-generation migrants were of a borderline statistical significance, which could be indicative of the need for a larger sample size for these outcomes. Similar patterns by ethnicity have been seen previously, 28 however the higher risk of pre-eclampsia in second-generation Pakistani mothers merits further attention to investigate the potential interplay between ethnicity, acculturation, and environmental influences on birth outcomes.

The increasing trend for risk of stillbirth is in line with previous reports in which Pakistani ethnicity was identified as a

significant risk factor for stillbirth alongside factors such as maternal obesity, smoking, pre-existing diabetes, socioeconomic inequalities, and foetal growth restriction.²⁹ Gardosi and colleagues³⁰ identified unrecognised foetal growth restriction as the single largest risk factor and, along with our findings, this provides a solid evidence base for the need for targeted preventive strategies. Stillbirth may also be associated with higher prevalence of congenital abnormalities particularly considering that consanguineous marriages are also more common in this group.³¹ A previous study of the BiB cohort showed the risk of congenital abnormalities in infants of Pakistani origin was almost twice that of white British infants and that 37 percent of babies born in Pakistani families had parents in first-cousin unions.³¹ The current study potentially adds a further level of detail to these associations by highlighting the increased odds of stillbirth in first-generation Pakistani migrants, however further investigation into these associations is needed.

There is a significant lack of evidence in the existing literature regarding the relationship between migration status of U.K. residents, country of origin, or migration journey experiences with perinatal health, and the focus is largely on refugees and asylum seekers' pregnancy and birth outcomes. It is possible that this fact highlights problems in grouping of 'migrants' versus 'non-migrants' without consideration of the reasons for migration or differences between migrant categories. Economic and family restoration migrants are likely to have very different characteristics compared to refugees and asylum seekers, for example—meaning the grouping of migrants as a homogenous group may be problematic. A recent systematic review evaluating maternal and perinatal outcomes of asylum seekers and undocumented migrants in Europe found evidence of increased risk of

Table 3. Perinatal Outcomes by Ethnicity and Migration status.

		Native		First-Gener Migrants	ation	Second- or Higher Order- Generation Migrants			
		White British** (n = 3879)	Other Ethnicity (n = 121)	Pakistani (n = 2662)	Other Ethnicity $(n = 995)$	Pakistani (1877)	Other Ethnicity (412)	White British (n = 163)	
Low Birth Weight < 2500 g	aOR*	1.00	0.99	1.63	0.93	2.01	1.90	0.55	
-	LCI	1.00	0.48	1.35	0.70	1.66	1.39	0.24	
	UCI	1.00	2.05	1.97	1.24	2.42	2.60	1.25	
Macrosomia	aOR*	1.00	0.93	0.30	0.64	0.30	0.18	0.81	
	LCI	1.00	0.51	0.24	0.50	0.24	0.10	0.48	
	UCI	1.00	1.71	0.37	0.83	0.39	0.34	1.37	
Preterm < 37 Completed Weeks	aOR*	1.00	1.21	0.80	0.80	1.03	1.05	0.60	
	LCI	1.00	0.62	0.64	0.60	0.83	0.72	0.28 1.29 0.76	
	UCI	1.00	2.34	0.98	1.07	1.28	1.52	1.29	
Apgar Score < 7 at 1 Minute	aOR*	1.00	1.23	0.80	0.85	0.90	0.87	0.76 0.46	
	LCI	1.00	0.74	0.68	0.68	0.76	0.64	0.46	
	UCI	1.00	2.03	0.94	1.05	1.07	1.17	1.27	
Apgar Score < 7 at 5 Minutes	aOR*	1.00	1.16	1.08	1.08	1.15	1.18	0.46	
	LCI	1.00	0.36	0.76	0.69	0.79	0.64	0.26	
	UCI	1.00	3.74	1.54	1.70	1.65	2.18	2.64	
Stillborn	aOR*	1.00	1.68	2.01	1.29	1.95	0.59	0.00	
	LCI	1.00	0.22	1.00	0.46	0.95	0.08	0.00	
	UCI	1.00	12.92	4.01	3.61	4.01	4.47		
Pre-Eclampsia	aOR*	1.00	0.00	1.14	0.97	1.40	0.74	1.42	
	LCI	1.00	0.00	0.82	0.63	1.00	0.37	0.61	
	UCI	1.00		1.59	1.51	1.94	1.49	3.29	
Gestational Diabetes	aOR*	1.00	0.75	2.68	1.89	1.79	1.32	1.04	
	LCI	1.00	0.23	2.20	1.46	1.43	0.89	0.52	
	UCI	1.00	2.40	3.27	2.45	2.23	1.96	2.09	

^{**} Reference group.

adverse outcomes in these groups, largely attributed to lack of access to health care and quality of services.³² The authors said the study only reviewed eleven eligible papers, highlighting the dearth of research in this area. Data regarding the reason for migration and migration journey was not available in the BiB cohort, which is an important consideration for further research.

Strengths and Limitations

The size and diversity of the Born in Bradford cohort is a significant strength of this study, particularly the availability of detailed information regarding migration histories and country of origin. This analysis utilised this data in a unique way that added important nuance to established patterns of ethnic inequalities by including migration history and intergenerational variations as a contextual measure. Due to significant shortcomings in the collection of routine data relating to ethnicity and migration history in the United Kingdom, all variables related to these characteristics in this study were self-reported. For this reason, it is possible that errors of

recollection or knowledge of family history could have impacted groupings. There were also no data available regarding reasons for migration or travel histories before reaching the United Kingdom (for example, time spent in other countries or traumatic experiences while in transit during migration journey). These details could provide further insight into the mechanisms at play resulting in differential outcomes. Similarly, no standardised measure of individual socioeconomic status was available to this study. The use of a small geographical area measure as a proxy is legitimate but may, however, lead to individual differences being missed.

Analyses for some outcomes were also limited by small numbers, particularly in relation to rare outcomes such as stillbirth, meaning robust conclusions were difficult to draw.

Conclusion

At the time of writing, this article is the first U.K.-based study to examine the interplay between ethnicity and migration status in reference to perinatal health, and it has uncovered some important differences, particularly between groups of women

^{*}Adjusted odds ratio: Adjustment for Mother's age at delivery, Mother's booking BMI (derived), IMD 2010 score, Registerable parity, Gestation to last completed week.

LCI = Lower confidence interval.

UCI = Upper confidence interval.

Marvin-Dowle and Soltani 9

with shared ethnicity but different migration status. Positive results such as lower incidence of premature birth or lower incidence of macrosomia amongst Pakistani women compared to white British women is noteworthy; however, higher incidence of complications such as gestational diabetes, preeclampsia, and low birth weight among these women requires further attention. Of particular note is the finding that odds of gestational diabetes among first-generation Pakistani migrants were almost double that of second-generation migrants, despite shared country of origin. Work to develop appropriate interventions with a full consideration of confounding environmental and biological factors to address the identified inequalities is urgently needed.

Acknowledgements

The Born in Bradford study is only made possible because of the enthusiasm and commitment of the children and parents in BiB. We are grateful to all the participants, practitioners, and researchers who have made Born in Bradford happen.

ORCID iDs

Katie Marvin-Dowle https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6945-923X Hora Soltani https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9611-6777

Ethical Approval and Informed Consent

Ethical approval for the Born in Bradford cohort study was granted by Bradford Research Ethics Committee (ref no. 07/H1302/112), which includes informed consent to share anonymised data for secondary analysis and publication.

Authors' Contributions

KMD: data analysis, manuscript drafting. HS: review and finalisation of manuscript.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was funded by a grant from The Burdett Trust for Nursing, grant number 472356.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Availability of Data and Material

Requests for access to data should be addressed to the corresponding author or to the Born in Bradford programme manager at rosie.mceachan@bthft.nhs.uk.

References

 World Health Organization. Report on the health of refugees and migrants in the WHO European Region: No public health without refugee and migrant health. World Health Organization; 2018. Keygnaert I, Ivanova O, Guieu A, Van Parys AS, Leye E, Roelens K. What is the evidence on the reduction of inequalities in accessibility and quality of maternal health care delivery for migrants? A review of the existing evidence in the WHO European Region. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe; 2016.

- Essén B, Bödker B, Sjöberg N-O, et al. Are some perinatal deaths in immigrant groups linked to suboptimal perinatal care services? *BJOG*. 2002;109(6):677-682.
- Sosta E, Tomasoni LR, Frusca T, et al. Preterm delivery risk in migrants in Italy: An observational prospective study. *J Travel Med*. 2008;15(4):243-247.
- Harding S, Boroujerdi M, Santana P, Cruickshank J. Decline in, and lack of difference between, average birth weights among African and Portuguese babies in Portugal. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2006;35(2):270-276.
- Ng E. The healthy immigrant effect and mortality rates. Health Rep. 2011;22(4):C1.
- Wohland P, Rees P, Nazroo J, Jagger C. Inequalities in healthy life expectancy between ethnic groups in England and Wales in 2001. *Ethn Health*. 2015;20(4):341-353.
- Mathur R, Bhaskaran K, Chaturvedi N, et al. Completeness and usability of ethnicity data in UK-based primary care and hospital databases. *J Public Health*. 2014;36(4):684-692.
- Small N. Infant mortality and migrant health in babies of Pakistani origin born in bradford, UK. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*. 2012;33(5):549-564.
- 10. Lu C, Ahmed R, Lamri A, Anand SS. Use of race, ethnicity, and ancestry data in health research. *PLOS Global Public Health*. 2022;2(9):e0001060.
- Puthussery S. Perinatal outcomes among migrant mothers in the United Kingdom: Is it a matter of biology, behaviour, policy, social determinants or access to health care? *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2016;32(30.3):39-49.
- 12. Sachs JD. From millennium development goals to sustainable development goals. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9832):2206-2211.
- 13. Wright J, Small N, Raynor P, et al. Cohort profile: The born in Bradford multi-ethnic family cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013;42(4):978-991.
- 14. West J, Lawlor DA, Fairley L, Wright J. Differences in socioeconomic position, lifestyle and health-related pregnancy characteristics between Pakistani and white British women in the born in bradford prospective cohort study: The influence of the woman's, her partner's and their parents' place of birth. BMJ Open. 2014;4(6):e004805-2014.
- Urquia ML, Glazier RH, Blondel B, et al. International migration and adverse birth outcomes: Role of ethnicity, region of origin and destination. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2010:64(3):243-251.
- Aveyard P, Cheng K, Manaseki S, Gardosi J. The risk of preterm delivery in women from different ethnic groups. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2002;109(8):894-899.
- 17. Patel RR, Steer P, Doyle P, Little MP, Elliott P. Does gestation vary by ethnic group? A London-based study of over 122 000

- pregnancies with spontaneous onset of labour. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2004;33(1):107-113.
- Leon DA, Moser KA. Low birth weight persists in south Asian babies born in England and Wales regardless of maternal country of birth. Slow pace of acculturation, physiological constraint or both? Analysis of routine data. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2012;66(6):544-551.
- Li Y, Quigley MA, Macfarlane A, Jayaweera H, Kurinczuk JJ, Hollowell J. Ethnic differences in singleton preterm birth in England and Wales, 2006-12: Analysis of national routinely collected data. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2019;33(6):449-458.
- Marvin-Dowle K, Soltani H. Inter-generational differences in perinatal health behaviours: A secondary analysis of the born in bradford cohort, disentangling ethnicity and migration. *Matern Child Health J.* 2023;27(7):1219-1228.
- Gao Y, Shang Q, Wei J, Chen T. The correlation between vaginal microecological dysbiosis-related diseases and preterm birth: A review. *Med Microecol*. 2021;8(1):100043.
- Farrar D, Fairley L, Santorelli G, et al. Association between hyperglycaemia and adverse perinatal outcomes in south Asian and white British women: Analysis of data from the born in Bradford cohort. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2015;3(10):795-804.
- Okwaraji YB, Krasevec J, Bradley E, et al. National, regional, and global estimates of low birth weight in 2020, with trends from 2000: A systematic analysis. *Lancet*. 2024;403(10431): 1071-1080.
- Janssen PA, Thiessen P, Klein MC, Whitfield MF, Macnab YC, Cullis-Kuhl SC. Standards for the measurement of birth weight, length and head circumference at term in neonates of European, Chinese and south Asian ancestry. *Open Med.* 2007;1(2):e74-e88.
- Hanley GE, Janssen PA. Ethnicity-specific birthweight distributions improve identification of term newborns at risk for shortterm morbidity. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;209(5):428-e1.
- Marvin-Dowle K, Soltani H. Inter-Generational differences in perinatal health behaviours: A secondary analysis of the born in bradford cohort, disentangling ethnicity and migration. *Matern Child Health J.* 2023;27(7):1219-1228.
- Dempsey FC, Butler FL, Williams FA. No need for a pregnant pause: Physical activity may reduce the occurrence of gestational diabetes mellitus and preeclampsia. *Exerc Sport Sci* Rev. 2005;33(3):141-149.
- Farrar D, Santorelli G, Lawlor DA, et al. Blood pressure change across pregnancy in white British and Pakistani women: Analysis of data from the born in bradford cohort. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1):1-10.

- Bunn S, Montacute R. Infant Mortality and Stillbirth in the UK. The Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology; 2016.
- Gardosi J, Madurasinghe V, Williams M, Malik A, Francis A. Maternal and fetal risk factors for stillbirth: Population based study. *Br Med J.*. 2013;346(7893):f108.
- 31. Sheridan E, Wright J, Small N, et al. Risk factors for congenital anomaly in a multiethnic birth cohort: An analysis of the born in bradford study. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9901):1350-1359.
- Gieles NC, Tankink JB, van Midde M, et al. Maternal and perinatal outcomes of asylum seekers and undocumented migrants in Europe: A systematic review. *Eur J Public Health*. 2019; 29(4):714-723.

Author Biographies

Katie Marvin-Dowle has a master's degree in public health from The University of Sheffield, United Kingdom, and a PhD in child and maternal health from Sheffield Hallam University. She is a public health researcher at The City of Doncaster Council and also a Visiting Midwifery Research Fellow at Sheffield Hallam University. She is an experienced academic with a history of working in the higher education and public sector in nonprofit organisations, health communication, epidemiology, government, and reproductive health. Her research interests include quantitative analysis, big data and health inequalities.

Hora Soltani (MBE, PhD, MMedSci, BSc) is a professor of maternal and infant health in Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom. She is the director of the newly founded "Maternal and Infant Health Equity Research Centre (MIHERC)" and the lead for perinatal mental health theme as part of the NIHR-Maternity Disparity Consortium. Soltani's research is of an applied interdisciplinary nature centred on authentic community engagement. She advocates for reducing health inequality for mothers, babies and families through strengthening maternity care pathways co-development of care models which are sensitive to the needs of marginalised communities. She has contributed to the wider research community as well as mentoring the next generation of researchers, and she has served as a journal editor, participated in grant committees, invited keynotes, lectures and conference chair roles, expert academic advisory roles on national and international evidence-based policymaking committees such as NICE and WHO.