

Psychological Mediators of the Relations between Goal Motives, Physical Activity and Well-Being: Testing a Model of Path Analysis.

GARSTANG, Katie, MAGISTRO, Daniele, JACKMAN, Patricia C., COOPER, Simon B. and HEALY, Laura C.

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/35432/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

GARSTANG, Katie, MAGISTRO, Daniele, JACKMAN, Patricia C., COOPER, Simon B. and HEALY, Laura C. (2025). Psychological Mediators of the Relations between Goal Motives, Physical Activity and Well-Being: Testing a Model of Path Analysis. Journal of Health Psychology. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

1	Psychological Mediators of the Relations between Goal Motives, Physical Activity and
2	Well-Being: Testing a Model of Path Analysis
3	
4	Katie R. Garstang ^{1,2} (0009-0006-1716-1996),
5	Daniele Magistro ¹ (0000-0002-2554-3701),
6	Patricia C. Jackman ³ (0000-0002-5756-4494),
7	Simon B. Cooper ¹ (0000-0001-5219-5020),
8	Laura C. Healy ¹ (0000-0003-1372-7308)
9	Sport, Health and Performance Enhancement (SHAPE) Research Group, School of Science &
10	Technology, Nottingham Trent University, UK ¹
11	Centre for Behavioural Science and Applied Psychology (CeBSAP), Sheffield Hallam
12	University, UK ²
13	School of Psychology, Sport Science and Wellbeing, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, UK ³
14	The research in this manuscript was conducted as a part of the doctoral studies of the first
15	author, supervised by the other authors, and funded through a doctoral studentship from
16	Nottingham Trent University.
17	Please address all correspondence to: Katie Garstang, Department of Sport Science, School of
18	Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Clifton Campus, Clifton Lane,
19	Nottingham, NG11 8NS, UK. email: katie.garstang2020@ntu.ac.uk. Data Availability: This
20	study is conducted as part of a PhD. As such, the data has been embargoed until published or
21	the entire thesis have been completed at which time a link to the data repository will be shared.
22	Funding Statement: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the
23	public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. Ethics: Ethics was granted by Nottingham Trent
24	University Ethics Committee (ID: 1605768). Declaration of Conflicting Interests: The Authors
25	declare that there is no conflict of interest.

1

Abstract

2 The autonomous and controlled motivations underpinning goal pursuit directly impact 3 physical activity and mental well-being and are important for healthy behaviour adherence. 4 Psychological variables can also affect physical activity and mental well-being. This study 5 tested the association between goal motives, psychological variables, physical activity, and 6 mental well-being using structural equation modelling. Adults (N = 323; $M_{age} = 32.46 \pm 13.12y$) 7 completed a cross-sectional survey measuring goal motives, motivation, affective experiences, 8 self-efficacy, physical activity, and mental well-being. Our analysis showed support for the proposed model fit: ($\gamma^2(6) = 14.16$, p = .028, RMSEA = .07, CFI = .99, TLI = .97). In contrast to 9 10 controlled goal motives, autonomous goal motives were positively related to the psychological 11 variables associated with physical activity and mental well-being. Motivation and affective 12 experiences were positively associated with physical activity. Self-efficacy was positively 13 associated with mental well-being. Intricacies of the associations between goal motives, 14 psychological variables, physical activity, and mental well-being are discussed.

15

16 Keywords

17 Motivation, exercise, self-efficacy, affect, well-being, goal pursuit

1

Psychological Mediators of the Relations between Goal Motives, Physical Activity and

2

Well-Being: Testing a Model of Path Analysis

3 Higher levels of sedentary behaviour and lower levels of physical activity are associated 4 with increased risks of health issues and mortality (Hechanova et al., 2017). When aiming to 5 increase an individual's long-term engagement in physical activity, it is also important to 6 consider relationships with mental well-being, defined as a combination of feeling good and 7 functioning effectively (Stewart-Brown et al., 2009). Greater mental well-being can predict 8 repeated, continuous physical activity behaviours (Rector et al., 2019) and is considered 9 fundamental for optimal physical health (Biddle and Mutrie, 2007). When seeking to promote 10 mental wellbeing, health and exercise practitioners are usually encouraged to help individuals 11 set physical activity goals (e.g., Cooper, 2020). Goal setting is a widely used and effective 12 technique for increasing physical activity (Howlett et al., 2019; McEwan et al., 2016). Although 13 goals people set are underpinned by motives (Sheldon and Elliot, 1999), many goal-setting 14 interventions fail to consider the underlying reasons for engaging in specific behaviours, the 15 psychological variables that may influence these, and relationships with mental well-being. 16 Therefore, this study examined how motives underpinning goal pursuit were associated with 17 physical activity and mental well-being.

18 In the self-concordance model (SCM), Sheldon and Elliot (1999) proposed well-being 19 as the main outcome of goal striving. Within the SCM, two overarching goal motives are 20 proposed: autonomous goal motives (i.e., motives that hold intrinsic value and are of personal 21 interest to the individual); and controlled goal motives (i.e., an individual feels compelled to do 22 something due to internal or external pressures). Both goal motives can be powerful drivers of 23 goal striving, but the long-term impacts of these goal motives can vary. Controlled motives may 24 initially change behaviours yet are unlikely to result in long-term behaviour change as the effort 25 invested in goal pursuit can fade over time (Sheldon and Elliot, 1998). Furthermore, controlled

motives are negatively related to perceived mental well-being (Briki, 2016; Ng et al., 2012) and
unrelated to moderate-intensity physical activity (Standage et al., 2008) and the maintenance
of healthy behaviours (Ng et al., 2012).

4 In contrast, goals pursued with autonomous motives have not only been linked to 5 achievement of desired outcomes, but also to improved well-being (Ntoumanis et al., 2014) and 6 more generally to psychological health (Deci and Ryan, 2008). Furthermore, all forms of 7 autonomous regulation can predict exercise and physical activity participation (Teixeira et al., 8 2012), highlighting the potential benefits of more self-concordant and autonomous goals for 9 long-term physical activity adherence. While researchers have assessed the direct effects of 10 goal motives on exercise and physical activity (Teixeira et al., 2012), there is limited 11 understanding of how the effects of goal motives on physical activity and mental well-being 12 might be mediated via other psychological variables. Thus, further research is needed to better 13 understand how different psychological variables relate to individuals' goal motives for 14 physical activity, and the subsequent impacts upon long-term physical activity adherence and 15 overall mental well-being.

16 One of the most important correlates of physical activity behaviour is self-efficacy 17 (Bauman et al., 2012). In the context of physical activity promotion, researchers have found 18 that self-efficacy is positively associated with increased vigorous-intensity physical activity 19 (Sallis et al., 1989), decreased sedentary behaviour (Szczuka et al., 2021), and is a strong 20 predictor of exercise behaviours for those in the initial stages of starting to be physically active 21 (McAuley and Blissmer, 2000). In turn, this suggests that self-efficacy could play a vital role 22 in one's intent and pursuit of long-term physical activity behaviours. Despite suggestions that 23 individuals who pursue more self-concordant goals are more likely to feel more competent and 24 effective (Sheldon and Elliot, 1999), research is needed to empirically examine the relationship 25 between goal motives and self-efficacy in the context of physical activity.

1 Motivation is another psychological variable that has been shown to be a direct 2 determinant of behaviour (Knittle et al., 2018), including prolonged physical activity (≥10 3 weeks; Wilson and Rogers, 2007). While there are many types of motivation (Ryan and Deci, 4 2017), it is generally accepted that more self-determined motivation is more likely to lead to 5 behavioural adoption and maintenance (Weman-Josefsso et al., 2015). In terms of physical 6 activity behaviour, research shows that autonomous motivation, and more specifically 7 integrated motivation, is particularly influential for promoting physical activity (Sevil et al., 8 2015). These findings suggest that autonomous forms of motivation are key for physical activity 9 behaviours, but further research is needed to understand how goal motives are related to 10 motivation for physical activity, and the subsequent influence on mental well-being.

11 Finally, in recent years, there has been increased recognition of the importance of 12 affective experiences in promoting physical activity (Ekkekakis and Zenko, 2016). When 13 individuals experience more pleasure in physical activity, they are more likely to approach this 14 behaviour again in future, whereas unpleasant experiences are more likely to lead to avoidance 15 behaviours (Ekkekakis and Brand, 2019). Therefore, it is proposed that if an individual has an unpleasant affective experience with physical activity, this could lessen the likelihood of them 16 17 engaging in physical activity (Ekkekakis et al., 2021) and thus negatively impact their perceived 18 mental well-being. While evidence continues to accumulate on the relationship between 19 affective experience and physical activity behaviours, further research is needed to examine 20 whether different goal motives for physical activity elicit different affective experiences in 21 physical activity, and how these experiences might subsequently be related to physical activity 22 behaviours and mental well-being.

In this study, we aimed to enhance understanding of the influence goal motives have on psychological variables associated with physical activity (i.e., self-efficacy, motivation, and affect), physical activity, and mental well-being in adults, and examine the relationships

between these variables. In addressing this aim, we sought to answer the following questions:
(a) are psychological variables associated with increased physical activity and improved mental
well-being linked to one's motives for pursuing physical activity goals?; (b) how do selfefficacy, motivation, and affective exercise experiences link to physical activity and mental
well-being?; and (c) how do these psychological variables mediate the relationship between
motives for physical activity and both physical activity and mental well-being?

7 We hypothesised that: (H1) goal motives would be directly associated with self-8 efficacy, motivation, and affective exercise experiences, and expected autonomous motives to 9 show positive associations, and controlled motives to have negative associations; (H2) self-10 efficacy, motivation, and affective exercise experiences would be directly, positively associated 11 with physical activity and mental well-being; and (H3) goal motives would be indirectly 12 associated with physical activity and mental well-being via self-efficacy, motivation and 13 affective exercise experiences. Therefore, in our proposed model (Figure 1), we suggested that 14 the motives underpinning physical activity goals would not be directly related to physical 15 activity and well-being; instead, we hypothesised that one's belief in their ability to achieve the 16 goal, the quality of motivation one has striving for the goal, and their affective exercise 17 experiences should be considered, in addition to goal motives, when examining factors related 18 to physical activity and perceived well-being. The effect of these autonomous and controlled 19 motives on self-efficacy, motivation, and affective exercise experience, in turn, was posited to 20 influence physical activity behaviours and perceived mental well-being. By considering how 21 goal motives might be related to both physical activity and mental well-being together, we 22 sought to develop evidence that could provide a platform to enhance goal-setting interventions 23 in future.

24

INSERT FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE

25

Methods

1 **Participants**

A total of 368 individuals completed an online survey on a single occasion. This sample size was accepted based on the *N*:q ratio, minimum recommendation of 20:1 (participants:parameter), suggestive that a minimum sample of 140 participants was required to perform the analysis (Kline, 2016; Kyriazos, 2018). Individuals were all living in the United Kingdom at the time of completing the survey. Responses were recorded between June 2021 and February 2023.

8 **Procedure**

9 After receiving ethical approval (ID: 20/21-97), a JISC online survey was distributed 10 through social media, posters, and word of mouth. After reading the information sheet and 11 providing informed consent, respondents were asked to complete sets of questions in the 12 following order: demographics, current physical activity levels, mental well-being, affective 13 exercise experiences, motivation, self-efficacy, and goal motives. The average duration to 14 complete the survey was 30.14 minutes, and respondents did not receive any compensation for 15 participating.

16 Measures

17 Goal Motives

18 To measure goal motives, we utilised a 4-item questionnaire that has been used in prior 19 research (Sheldon and Elliot, 1999). Participants were asked to identify a physical activity goal 20 (e.g., "to stay healthy and fit" or "to feel mentally sharp") and to rate the extent to which the four items represented their motives for goal pursuit on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 21 22 (Not at all) to 7 (Very much so). The four items were divided into two subscales, representing the two overarching motives: autonomous goal motives ("Because you personally believe it's 23 24 an important goal to have" and "Because of the fun and enjoyment the goal provides you") and controlled goal motives ("Because someone else wants you to" "Because you would feel 25

1 *ashamed, guilty, or anxious if you didn't"*). Autonomous and controlled motives were scored 2 by taking an average of the two responses relating to that subscale; the reliability of the 3 subscales were very good ($\rho = .79$) and fair ($\rho = .36$) respectively.

4 Affective Exercise Experiences (AFFEXX)

5 Affective exercise experiences were measured using the AFFEXX questionnaire 6 (Ekkekakis et al., 2021). For the purpose of this study, the single scale of 'antipathy-attraction' 7 was used to represent affective experiences and one's desire to complete physical activity as it 8 is ultimately influenced collectively by the antecedent and core variables of affective 9 experiences that are stated in the measure. The scale is comprised of five items, where questions are phrased as pairs of opposites on a 7-point scale (e.g., "Exercise is an uninviting activity" = 10 *l versus "Exercise is a tempting activity"* = 7). Higher scores corresponded with attraction, 11 12 and lower scores corresponded to antipathy. These subscales were previously reported to 13 correlate with self-reported moderate and vigorous physical activity and have demonstrated 14 very good internal consistency scores ($\alpha = .92$; Ekkekakis et al., 2022). In the current study, 15 internal consistency of the antipathy-attraction subscale was very good ($\alpha = .88$).

16 Behavioural Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire 3

17 Motivation regulations were measured using the BREQ-3 (Markland and Tobin, 2004; 18 Wilson et al., 2006). The BREQ-3 is a multidimensional measure based on SDT literature 19 offering scores for six subscales ('amotivation', 'external regulation', 'introjected regulation', 20 'identified regulation', 'integrated regulation', and 'intrinsic regulation') and a relative 21 autonomy index (RAI) of self-determination. Each of the 24 items was scored on a 5-point 22 Likert scale ranging from 0 to 4 (0 = Not true for me; 1, 2 = Sometime true for me; 3, 4 = Verytrue for me). An average score is calculated for each subscale, and then multiplied by its 23 24 predisposed weighting, before summing the total weighted scores to provide a RAI score. The higher the score, the greater one's autonomous motivation. The RAI score was used due to its 25

practicality and ability to predict outcomes (Ryan and Deci, 2017). Various versions of the BREQ scale are consistently used in exercise contexts (Teixeira et al., 2012). The BREQ-3 captures a broader scope of subscales than the previous versions of the scale that compromise an overall scale of motivation and has displayed good internal consistency in adult populations ($.66 \le \alpha \le .75$; Vancampfort et al., 2018). In the current study, internal consistency values for the BREQ-3 subscales were very good ($.82 \le \alpha \le .89$).

7 Generalised Self-Efficacy Scale (GSE)

8 Self-efficacy was measured using the GSE (Schwarzer et al., 1995), which contained 9 10 items (e.g., "*I can always manage to solve difficult problems if I try hard enough*") scored 10 on a 4-point Likert scale (1 = Not at all true; 2 = Hardly true; 3 = Moderately true; 4 = Exactly11 *true*). Self-efficacy was determined by a sum of all items; the higher the score, the higher an 12 individual's self-efficacy. The GSE has previously displayed very good internal consistency (α 13 = .86; Sherer et al., 1982). In the current study, internal consistency of the GSE was very good 14 (α = .88).

15 Short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale (SWEMWBS)

16 Perceived mental well-being was captured using the SWEMWBS (Tennant et al., 2007). The SWEMWBS is made up of seven statements about the respondents' feelings and thoughts 17 18 over the past two weeks. Permission for use of the measure was sought prior to data collection. 19 Respondents reported their answers on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = None of the time; 2 = Rarely;20 3 = Some of the time; 4 = Often; and 5 = All of the time). The sum of the items is then scored and converted, with higher scores indicative of higher positive mental well-being. The 21 22 SWEMWBS was selected due to its validity for use with the general population (Ng Fat et al., 2017) and its very good internal consistency ($\alpha = .89$; Stewart-Brown et al., 2011). In the current 23 24 study, internal consistency of the SWEMWBS was very good ($\alpha = .84$).

25 International Physical Activity Questionnaire short form (IPAQ-short form)

1 Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) was captured using the IPAQ-short 2 form (Craig et al., 2017). The IPAQ-short form was selected as total moderate and total 3 vigorous activity time is scored in isolation to other types of activity and it has been shown to 4 have a very good internal consistency ($\alpha = .80$; Craig et al., 2017). Total minutes of moderate 5 and vigorous activity was scored separately and summed to provide one minutes of MVPA 6 score.

7 Data Analysis

8 SPSS Version 29 was used to screen the data for univariate and multivariate outliers and to produce descriptive statistics. Correlations were performed between all variables. To 9 10 assess the co-variances between goal motives, the associated psychological variables, physical 11 activity and well-being, structural equation model path analysis was performed using Amos 12 Version 26 software (Arbuckle, 2019). Associations were characterised as follows: small, $\beta \leq \beta$ 13 0.29; moderate: $0.30 \le \beta \le 0.49$; and large $\beta \ge 0.50$ (Cohen, 1998; Fey et al., 2023). Absolute 14 fit indices were used to determine the best model fit for the data. Hu and Bentler (1999) 15 determined a model to be of good fit if chi-square (χ^2) was found to be non-significant, the 16 absolute fit measure root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA; Steiger, 1990) value was below .06, and relative fit measures of the Comparative Fit Index (CFI; Bentler, 1990) and 17 18 the Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI; Tucker and Lewis, 1973) were $\geq .95$ (Hu and Bentler, 1999). 19 However, these indices are considered a guide, and not absolute values (Hu and Bentler, 1999). 20 Gender was not controlled for as previous goal motive research found no gender differences 21 (Ntoumanis et al., 2014; Sheldon and Elliot, 1999).

22

Results

23 **Descriptive Statistics**

Data were screened for partially completed and ineligible participant responses before
 being screened for outliers using univariate and multivariate screening. This resulted in data for

323 participants being used for analysis (*M*_{age} = 32.46 ± 13.12 years; *n*_{male} = 135, *n*_{female} = 188;
Caucasian = 240, Black = 50, Asian = 17, Other = 16; Area: Suburban = 107, Urban = 127,
Rural = 85, Other = 4; Occupation: Student = 129, Office/Desk role = 96, Teacher/Educator =
39, Other = 37, Unemployed = 7, Labourer = 7, Fitness Instructor/Coach = 6, Driver = 2). The
means, standard deviations, and correlations between the variables stated in the model are
presented in Table 1.

7

INSERT TABLE 1 NEAR HERE

As shown in Table 1, autonomous goal motives were significantly associated with higher reported self-efficacy, greater quality of motivation, greater positive affective experiences, higher levels of reported MVPA, and greater perceived mental well-being. In contrast, controlled goal motives were found to be significantly associated with lower selfefficacy, poorer-quality motivation, and poorer perceived mental well-being.

13 Structural Equation Model Path Analysis

14 The data demonstrated good fit to the proposed model: χ^2 (6) = 14.162, *p* = .028, 15 RMSEA = .065, CFI = .990, TLI = .965 (Hu and Bentler, 1999). Direct and indirect associations 16 of the proposed model are presented in Figure 2.

17

INSERT FIGURE 2 NEAR HERE

18 Goal Motives

Autonomous Goal Motives. (H1) Autonomous goal motives had a significant, positive, small-to-large association with greater self-efficacy ($\beta = 0.32, 95\%$ CI [0.21, 0.42], p = .001), positive affective experiences ($\beta = 0.14, 95\%$ CI [0.05, 0.24], p = .003), and quality of motivation ($\beta = 0.68, 95\%$ CI [0.61, 0.74], p = .001). (H3) Autonomous goal motives also showed small significant, indirect, positive associations with greater perceived mental wellbeing, via self-efficacy ($\beta = 0.41, 95\%$ CI [0.26, 0.58], p = .001) and higher reported MVPA

1	via motivation ($\beta = 26.27, 95\%$ CI [3.84, 52.58], $p = .02$), affect ($\beta = 6.28, 95\%$ CI [0.95,
2	15.34], $p = .02$), and through motivation <i>and</i> affect ($\beta = 21.60, 95\%$ CI [1.56, 41.52], $p = .04$).
3	Controlled Goal Motives. (H1) When goals were underpinned by controlled motives,
4	the model showed a significant, direct association with lower reported self-efficacy ($\beta = -0.17$,
5	95% CI [-0.27, -0.06] $p = .004$) and poorer quality of motivation ($\beta = -0.11$, 95% CI [-0.20, -
6	(0.02] p = .02), both with small effect sizes. Controlled motives had a significant, small positive
7	association with positive affective experiences ($\beta = 0.10, 95\%$ CI [0.03, 0.16] $p = .002$). (H3)
8	Indirectly, the pursuit of goals with controlled motives was found to have small significant
9	associations with poorer perceived mental well-being through self-efficacy (β = -0.23, 95% CI
10	[-0.40, -0.08], $p = .003$). Controlled motives were also reportedly, indirectly associated with
11	lower minutes of MVPA via motivation ($\beta = -4.51$, 95% CI [-12.44, -0.60], $p = .02$), and
12	motivation and affect ($\beta = -3.71, 95\%$ CI [-10.19, -0.28], $p = .03$); but were indirectly associated
13	with higher MVPA via affect ($\beta = 4.68, 95\%$ CI [0.45, 11.48], $p = .03$).
14	Psychological Variables

15 Self-efficacy. (H2) In the final model, perceived self-efficacy was significantly, largely, 16 and directly associated with greater perceived mental well-being ($\beta = 0.55, 95\%$ CI [0.47, 0.62] 17 p = .001). Conversely, self-efficacy was not directly associated with greater positive affective 18 experiences ($\beta = -0.00, 95\%$ CI [-.07, 0.08] p = .99), nor was it directly associated with reported 19 MVPA ($\beta = 0.02, 95\%$ CI [-0.10, 0.14] p = .75). Additionally, self-efficacy was not found to 20 be indirectly associated, via affect, with reported MVPA ($\beta = -.02, 95\%$ CI [-1.15, 1.26], p =21 .92) or perceived mental well-being ($\beta = 0.00, 95\%$ CI [-0.01, 0.01], p = .84).

Motivation. (H2) The quality of one's motivation was directly and significantly, largely associated with greater positive affective experiences ($\beta = 0.73$, 95% CI [0.65, 0.80] p = .001) and showed small associations with higher reported MVPA ($\beta = 0.19$, 95% CI [0.02, 0.36] p =

1 .03), yet was not directly associated with perceived mental well-being ($\beta = 0.05, 95\%$ CI [-0.11, 2 0.21] p = .51). Furthermore, quality of motivation was significantly, associated, through affect, 3 with higher reported MVPA indirectly ($\beta = 6.74, 95\%$ CI [0.31, 12.64], p = .04), but not 4 indirectly associated with perceived mental well-being ($\beta = 0.02, 95\%$ CI [-0.03, 0.08], p = .40).

Affect. (H2) Greater positive affective experiences appeared in the model to have a
small direct significant associated with greater reported MVPA (β = 0.21, 95% CI [0.02, 0.39] *p* = .03), but affective experiences were not directly associated with perceived mental wellbeing (β = 0.07, 95% CI [-0.08, 0.23] *p* = .39).

10

Discussion

11 This study aimed to enhance understanding of the association between goal motives and 12 psychological outcomes, physical activity, and mental well-being by answering the following 13 questions: (1) are psychological variables associated with improved physical activity and wellbeing linked to one's motives for pursuing physical activity goals?; (2) how do psychological 14 variables link to physical activity and well-being?; (3) what are the indirect effects of one's 15 16 motives for physical activity on physical activity and well-being? Overall, our findings 17 supported our hypotheses. First, H1 was accepted as both autonomous and controlled motives 18 were significantly associated, positively or negatively respectively, with all psychological 19 variables. Second, H2 was partially accepted as significant associations were observed between 20 both motivation and affect and physical activity, and between self-efficacy and mental well-21 being but not between all three psychological variables and physical activity and mental well-22 being. Lastly, H3 was partially accepted as significant indirect associations were found between 23 autonomous motives and physical activity and mental well-being, and controlled motives and 24 mental well-being, but not between controlled motives and physical activity. The findings of

the present study emphasise the importance of measuring physical activity and well-being
 simultaneously when assessing long-term adherence.

3 Considering autonomous and controlled motives are described broadly in SDT as the 4 motives for behaviour (Deci and Ryan, 1985) and that SDT proposes the continuum of 5 motivation types that are then categorised into the two broad motives (Ryan and Deci, 2017), 6 the finding that controlled motives and quality of motivation were not significantly associated 7 with each other was in line with these propositions. Further, more self-regulated motivation, 8 which can lead to an increased likelihood of adoption and maintenance of new behaviours 9 (Teixeira et al., 2012, was positively associated with increased belief in one's ability to 10 complete the desired behaviour. Blom et al. (2021) suggested that although it takes time to 11 change physical activity behaviours, initially improving autonomous motives and self-efficacy 12 could be beneficial and are suggested to be the first stages in changing long-term behaviours. 13 These findings also suggest that when an individual's autonomy for the activity is greater, they 14 should have higher quality of motivation (i.e., intrinsic and identified motivation; Ryan and 15 Deci, 2017) and positive affective experiences, specifically attraction to the activity. As a result, 16 being attracted to activities that increase moderate-vigorous activity could lead to increased 17 adoption of healthy physical activity behaviours (Ekkekakis and Brand, 2019). This idea is 18 supported by both the direct and indirect association between motivation and physical activity 19 in this study.

As hypothesised (H1), controlled motives were associated with significantly lower selfefficacy and quality of motivation. If controlled motives result in lower confidence in one's ability to complete a goal/be more active, pursuing goals with higher controlled motives could have adverse effects on physical activity adoption and maintenance; as lower self-efficacy can influence the time and effort invested to achieve a goal, thus reducing the likelihood of goal attainment (Bandura, 2001). In addition, lower RAI scores correspond with more introjected

1 and extrinsic motivation (Ryan and Deci, 2017) or, more simply, controlled motives. Thus, our 2 findings further demonstrate the negative associations between these controlled goal motives, 3 motivation and self-efficacy, the latter two of which have been linked to poor long-term 4 physical activity adherence. In contrast to self-efficacy and motivation, controlled motives were 5 positively associated with positive affective experiences (Sheldon and Elliot, 1998). When 6 physical activity is perceived to be pleasurable, individuals are more likely to maintain it 7 (Schmid and Reimann, 2019). However, given the findings of this data report a snapshot in 8 time, and as controlled motives are not considered to be enduring over an extended period 9 (Emm-Collinson et al., 2020), these relationships may prove different over time; the temporal 10 nature of these relationships require further investigation in future research.

11 Although hypothesised that all psychological variables would be positively associated 12 with physical activity and mental well-being (H2), this was not found to be the case. Self-13 efficacy has been suggested to be the best mediator of physical activity (Bauman, 2012; Sallis 14 et al., 1989), yet the present study found no significant association between the two variables 15 in this population. However, a significant positive association was found between one's belief 16 and confidence in their abilities to complete the task and perceived well-being. As well-being 17 and physical activity are essential variables for consideration when addressing long-term 18 behaviour change (Kates and Rhodes, 2015; Schmid and Reimann, 2019), these findings 19 emphasise the need to assess psychological outcomes and physical activity simultaneously 20 when evaluating the effects of physical activity interventions, something that is currently 21 lacking (Garstang et al., 2024). Previously, however, positive experiences have been shown to 22 be more important than self-efficacy at predicting physical activity behaviours (Lewis et al., 2016). Consequently, the current study supports those previous findings as alongside quality of 23 24 motivation, positive affective experiences were positively associated with higher levels of 25 physical activity. The findings of the current study thus reinforce the importance of considering

multiple psychological variables in the pursuit of positive health behaviours and the role each
 may have in long-term behaviour change.

3 In line with the goal motives literature (Deci and Ryan, 2008; Emm-Collinson et al., 4 2020; Maltby and Day, 2001; Sheldon and Elliot, 1998), higher autonomous motives for goal 5 pursuit were significantly associated with greater perceived mental well-being and higher levels 6 of physical activity. In contrast, in this study, we found controlled motives were significantly 7 and indirectly related to poorer mental well-being, which is somewhat consistent with past 8 research that found controlled motives were directly related to poorer mental well-being (Briki, 9 2016; Maltby and Day, 2001; Ng et al., 2012). Previous findings in relation to exercise also 10 support this (e.g., Standage et al., 2008), by suggesting that controlled motives are not 11 associated with physical activity. As such, these current findings provide further evidence to 12 support promoting autonomous motives and limiting controlled motives for physical activity 13 goals, as they do not fulfil one's psychological needs (Hagger et al., 2014). Furthermore, as the 14 association between physical activity and well-being is bidirectional, perceived mental well-15 being and psychological variables (e.g., self-efficacy and motivation) can impact upon 16 maintained physical activity behaviours (Kim et al., 2020) and should be considered in future 17 studies.

18 Implications

Based on the findings of this study, we suggest a number of implications. First, autonomous motives offered greater benefits to psychological variables associated with repeated and sustained engagement in physical activity, and well-being compared to controlled motives. Therefore, individuals, researchers and practitioners should seek to underpin future goal pursuits with autonomous motives to avoid potential detrimental effects that could lead to disengagement, and in the case of physical activity, sustained inactivity. Further, it is important for future goal-setting research to consider goal motives for goal pursuit as one's quality of

1 motivation could have significant impacts on physical activity behaviour in the long-term, as 2 often the focus is only on the type of goal set. Second, and relatedly, the findings underscore 3 the importance of considering motives in the process of goal setting (e.g., Bird et al., 2024). 4 Consequently, we suggest that guidance surrounding goal setting for physical activity should 5 emphasise the importance of understanding the motives that underpin goal pursuit and thus go 6 beyond solely focusing on the content of a goal (e.g., how specific, measurable, or challenging 7 is it?). Third, our findings demonstrate the importance of considering psychological outcomes 8 that can contribute to the outcomes of physical activity behaviour rather than solely focusing 9 on physical activity alone. Consideration of these psychological factors is important when 10 seeking to understand goal setting and physical activity in future as this will allow for a more 11 holistic approach to setting goals with different motives.

12 Limitations and Future Directions

13 This study is the first to offer insight into the association between goal motives, 14 psychological variables influencing, and the outcomes of, physical activity and well-being; yet 15 is not without limitation. Firstly, data reported in this study are cross-sectional and represent a 16 single time point, and it should be noted, although physical activity was not restricted by COVID-19 during the data collection period, the pandemic did alter attitudes, intentions and 17 18 behaviours. Subsequently, causality cannot be inferred nor firm conclusions about the 19 mechanisms between these variables offered. Nevertheless, we still, offer initial insight into the 20 associations between key psychological variables in relation to the pursuit of physical activity 21 goals. We also note that the high correlation between affect and motivation should be 22 considered when interpreting these results as any changes could be a result of the effect have 23 on the other. Future research may aim to examine these variables using a longitudinal approach, 24 with objective measures of physical activity, to gain a better understanding of their interactions 25 over time. Secondly, this study recruited a UK sample, therefore potentially limiting the

applicability of these findings globally. Future research may look to recruit individuals from
multiple countries to account for any geographical and cultural differences. Furthermore, few
studies have sought to integrate concepts from goal motives (e.g., SCM; Sheldon and Elliot,
1998) and goal setting (e.g., goal-setting theory; Locke and Latham, 2002). Future research
may look to explore this, which could better our current understanding of health behaviours.

6

Conclusion

7 The present study offers insight into the intricacies of how goal motives are associated 8 with psychological variables linked to improved physical activity and well-being, in turn 9 illustrating the importance of measuring physical activity and well-being simultaneously when 10 assessing long-term adherence. Autonomous motives were found to be associated with higher 11 levels of physical activity and greater well-being, whereas controlled motives were associated 12 with poorer well-being, suggesting that the promotion of autonomous goal motives would be 13 most advantageous for health behaviours. To summarise, goal motives were associated with 14 psychological variables linked to physical activity and well-being, with the proposed model 15 indicating that the relationship between goal motives, physical activity, and well-being was not 16 direct, but was influenced by perceived self-efficacy, motivation, and affective experiences.

17

Statements and Declarations

18 Declaration of Conflicting Interests: The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

19 Data Availability: This study is conducted as part of a PhD. As such, the data has been 20 embargoed until published or the entire thesis have been completed at which time a link to the 21 data repository will be shared.

22 Declaration of Conflicting Interests: The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

23 Funding Statement: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the

24 public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

25 Ethics: Ethics was granted by Nottingham Trent University Ethics Committee (ID: 20/21-97).

1	References
2	Arbuckle JL (2019) Amos (Version 26.0) [Computer Program]. Chicago: IBM SPSS.
3	Bandura A (2001) Social cognitive theory: an agentic perspective. Annual Review of
4	Psychology 52(1): 1-26. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.1
5	Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, et al. (2012) Correlates of physical activity: why are some
6	people physically active and others not?. The Lancet 380(9838): 258-271.
7	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60735-1
8	Bentler PM (1990) Comparative fit indexes in structural models. <i>Psychological Bulletin</i> 107(2):
9	238-246.
10	Biddle S and Mutrie N (2007) Psychology of Physical Activity: Determinants, Well-Being and
11	Interventions. Routledge.
12	Bird MD, Swann C and Jackman PC (2024) The what, why, and how of goal setting: A review
13	of the goal-setting process in applied sport psychology practice. Journal of Applied
14	Sport Psychology 36(1), 75-97. https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2023.2185699
15	Blom V, Drake E, Kallings LV, et al. (2021) The effects on self-efficacy, motivation and
16	perceived barriers of an intervention targeting physical activity and sedentary
17	behaviours in office workers: a cluster randomized control trial. BMC Public Health
18	21(1): 1048. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11083-2
19	Briki W (2016) Motivation toward physical exercise and subjective wellbeing: the mediating
20	role of trait self-control. Frontiers in Psychology 1: 1546.
21	https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01546
22	Cohen J (2013) Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. Routledge.
23	https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587
24	Cooper SL (2020) Promoting physical activity for mental well-being. ACSM's Health & Fitness
25	Journal 24(3): 12-16. https://doi.org/10.1249/FIT.000000000000569

1	Craig C, Marshall A, Sjostrom M, et al. (2017) International physical activity questionnaire-
2	short form. Journal of American College Health 65(7): 492-501.
3	Deci EL and Ryan RM (1985) The general causality orientations scale: self-determination in
4	personality. Journal of Research in Personality 19(2): 109-134.
5	https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-6566(85)90023-6
6	Deci EL and Ryan RM (2008) Facilitating optimal motivation and psychological well-being
7	across life's domains. Canadian Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne 49(1): 14-23.
8	https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0708-5591.49.1.14
9	Ekkekakis P and Brand R (2019) Affective responses to and automatic affective valuations of
10	physical activity: fifty years of progress on the seminal question in exercise psychology.
11	Psychology of Sport and Exercise 42: 130-137.
12	Ekkekakis P, Zenko Z and Vazou S (2021) Do you find exercise pleasant or unpleasant? The
13	Affective Exercise Experiences (AFFEXX) questionnaire. Psychology of Sport and
14	Exercise 55: 101930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2021.101930
15	Ekkekakis P and Zenko Z (2016) Escape from cognitivism: Exercise as hedonic experience. In:
16	Raab M, Wylleman P, Seiler R, Elbe AM and Hatzigeorgiadis A (eds) Sport and
17	exercise psychology research. Academic Press, pp.389-414.
18	Emm-Collison LG, Sebire SJ, Salway R, et al. (2020) Multidimensional motivation for
19	exercise: a latent profile and transition analysis. Psychology of Sport and Exercise 47:
20	101619. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2019.101619
21	Fey CF, Hu T and Delios A (2023) The measurement and communication of effect sizes in
22	management research. Management and Organization Review 19(1): 176-197.
23	https://doi.org/10.1017/mor.2022.2
24	Garstang KR, Jackman PC, Healy LC, et al. (2024) What effect do goal setting interventions
25	have on physical activity and psychological outcomes in insufficiently active adults? A

- systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* 1: 1-13.
 https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2023-0340
- Hagger MS, Hardcastle SJ, Chater A, et al. (2014) Autonomous and controlled motivational
 regulations for multiple health-related behaviors: between-and within-participants
 analyses. *Health Psychology and Behavioral Medicine: An Open Access Journal* 2(1):
- 6 565-601. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/21642850.2014.912945</u>
- Hechanova RL, Wegler JL and Forest CP (2017) Exercise: a vitally important prescription. *Journal of the American Academy of PAs* 30(4): 17-22.
- 9 <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/01.JAA.0000513344.52255.cc</u>
- Howlett N, Trivedi D, Troop NA, et al. (2019) Are physical activity interventions for healthy
 inactive adults effective in promoting behavior change and maintenance, and which
 behavior change techniques are effective? A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Translational Behavioral Medicine* 9(1): 147-157.
- Hu LT and Bentler PM (1999) Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis:
 conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal* 6(1): 1-55. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118
- 17 Kim C, Kim J and Thapa B (2020) Bidirectional association between leisure time physical
 18 activity and well-being: longitudinal evidence. *Journal of Leisure Research* 51(5): 559-
- 19 580. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222216.2020.1807428
- Kline RB (2016) Principles and practice of structural equation modeling. 4th. *New York: Guilford Press.[Google Scholar].*
- Knittle K, Nurmi J, Crutzen R, et al. (2018) How can interventions increase motivation for
 physical activity? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Psychology Review*
- 24 12(3): 211-230. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2018.1435299</u>

1	Kyriazos TA (2018) Applied psychometrics: sample size and sample power considerations in
2	factor analysis (EFA, CFA) and SEM in general. Psychology 9(08): 2207.
3	Lewis BA, Williams DM, Frayeh A, et al. (2016) Self-efficacy versus perceived enjoyment as
4	predictors of physical activity behaviour. Psychology & Health 31(4): 456-469.
5	https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2015.1111372
6	Locke EA and Latham GP (2002) Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task
7	motivation: a 35-year odyssey. American Psychologist 57(9): 705-717.
8	https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.57.9.705
9	Maltby J and Day L (2001) The relationship between exercise motives and psychological well-
10	being. The Journal of Psychology 135(6): 651-660.
11	https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980109603726
12	Markland D and Tobin V (2004) A modification to the behavioural regulation in exercise
13	questionnaire to include an assessment of amotivation. Journal of Sport and Exercise
14	Psychology 26(2): 191-196. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.26.2.191
15	McAuley E and Blissmer B (2000) Self-efficacy determinants and consequences of physical
16	activity. Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews 28(2): 85-88.
17	McEwan D, Harden SM, Zumbo BD, et al. (2016) The effectiveness of multi-component goal
18	setting interventions for changing physical activity behaviour: a systematic review and
19	meta-analysis. Health Psychology Review 10(1): 67-88.
20	https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2015.1104258
21	Ng JY, Ntoumanis N, Thøgersen-Ntoumani C, et al. (2012) Self-determination theory applied
22	to health contexts: a meta-analysis. Perspectives on Psychological Science 7(4): 325-
23	340. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612447309
24	Ng Fat L, Scholes S, Boniface S, et al. (2017) Evaluating and establishing the national norms
25	for mental well-being using the short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale

11

1	(SWEMWBS): findings from the Health Survey for England. Quality of Life Research
2	26(5): 1129-1144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-016-1454-8
3	Ntoumanis N, Healy LC, Sedikides C, et al. (2014) Self-regulatory responses to unattainable
4	goals: the role of goal motives. Self and Identity 13(5): 594-612.
5	https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2014.889033
6	Rector JL, Christ SL and Friedman EM (2019) Well-being and long-term physical activity
7	participation in midlife adults: a latent class analysis. Annals of Behavioral Medicine
8	53(1): 53-64. https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kay016
9	Ryan RM and Deci EL (2017) Self-Determination theory: basic psychological needs in
10	motivation, development, and wellness. New York: The Guilford Press, Guilford

Publications.

- Sallis JF, Hovell MF, Hofstetter CR, et al. (1989) A multivariate study of determinants of
 vigorous exercise in a community sample. *Preventive Medicine* 18(1): 20-34.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-7435(89)90051-0
- Schmid J and Reimann L (2019) From epidemiology to psychology: physical activity
 recommendations in transition!?. Sports & Exercise Medicine Switzerland.
 https://doi.org/10.34045/SSEM/2018/22
- Schwarzer R and Jerusalem M (1995) Generalized self-efficacy scale. In: Weinman J, Wright
 S and Johnston M (eds) *Measures in Health Psychology: A User's Portfolio. Causal and Control Beliefs*. Windsor, UK: NFER-NELSON, pp.35-37.
- Seo MG, Barrett LF and Bartunek JM (2004) The role of affective experience in work
 motivation. *Academy of Management Review* 29(3): 423-439.
- Sevil J, Praxedes A, Abarca-Sos A, et al. (2015) Levels of physical activity, motivation and
 barriers to participation in university students. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness* 56(10): 1239-1248.

1	Sheldon KM and Elliot AJ (1998) Not all personal goals are personal: comparing autonomous
2	and controlled reasons for goals as predictors of effort and attainment. Personality and
3	Social Psychology Bulletin 24(5): 546-557. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167298245010
4	Sheldon KM and Elliot AJ (1999) Goal striving, need satisfaction, and longitudinal well-being:
5	the self-concordance model. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 76(3): 482-
6	497. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.76.3.482</u>
7	Sherer M, Maddux JE, Mercandante B, et al. (1982) The self-efficacy scale: construction and
8	validation. PsychologicalReports 51(2):663-671.
9	https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1982.51.2.663
10	Standage M, Sebire SJ and Loney T (2008) Does exercise motivation predict engagement in
11	objectively assessed bouts of moderate-intensity exercise?: a self-determination theory
12	perspective. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology 30(4): 337-352.
13	https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.30.4.337
14	Steiger JH (1990) Structural model evaluation and modification: an interval estimation
15	approach. Multivariate Behavioural Research 25(2): 173-180.
16	https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr2502_4
17	Stewart-Brown SL, Platt S, Tennant A, et al. (2011) The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-
18	being Scale (WEMWBS): a valid and reliable tool for measuring mental well-being in
19	diverse populations and projects. Journal of Epidemiol Community Health 65(Suppl 2):
20	A38-A39. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2011.143586.86
21	Szczuka Z, Banik A, Abraham C, et al. (2021) Associations between self-efficacy and sedentary
22	behaviour: a meta-analysis. <i>Psychology & Health</i> 36(3): 271-289.
23	https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2020.1784419

1	Teixeira PJ, Carraça EV, Markland D, et al. (2012) Exercise, physical activity, and self-
2	determination theory: a systematic review. International Journal of Behavioral
3	Nutrition and Physical Activity 9(1): 1-30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-9-78</u>
4	Tennant R, Hiller L, Fishwick R, et al. (2007) The Warwick-Edinburgh mental well-being scale
5	(WEMWBS): development and UK validation. Health and Quality of life Outcomes 5:
6	1-13. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-5-63
7	Tucker LR and Lewis C (1973) A reliability coefficient for maximum likelihood factor analysis.
8	Psychometrika 38(1): 1-10.
9	Vancampfort D, Van Damme T, Probst M, et al. (2018) Motives for physical activity in the
10	adoption and maintenance of physical activity in men with alcohol use disorders.
11	Psychiatry Research 261: 522-526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2018.01.038
12	Weman-Josefsson K, Lindwall M and Ivarsson A (2015) Need satisfaction, motivational
13	regulations and exercise: moderation and mediation effects. International Journal of
14	Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity 12: 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-
15	015-0226-0
16	Wilson PM and Rodgers WM (2007) Self-determination theory, exercise, and well-being. In:
17	Hagger MS and Chatzisarantis NLD (eds) Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Determination
18	in Exercise and Sport. Human Kinetics, pp.101–112,320–322.
19	Wilson PM, Rodgers WM, Loitz CC, et al. (2006) "It's who I amreally!" The importance of
20	integrated regulation in exercise contexts. Journal of Biobehavioral Research 11: 79-
21	104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9861.2006.tb00021.x