Effect of the away goal rule on the technical performance of football teams in the UEFA Champions League.

KUBAYI, Alliance and STONE, Joseph <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9861-4443>

Citation:

1 **Effect of the away goal rule on the technical performance of football teams in the UEFA**

2 **Champions League**

3

4 Alliance Kubayi[1] and Joseph. A. Stone[2]

5

6 [1]Department of Sport, Rehabilitation and Dental Sciences, Tshwane University of Technology,

7 Pretoria, South Africa

8

9 [2]Sport and Physical Activity Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, United
10 Kingdom.
11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

Abstract

Despite significant debate surrounding UEFA's removal of the away goal rule in 2021, its impact on technical performance has not been studied. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate how selected technical performance indicators were impacted by the change in the away goal rule during the UEFA Champions League. Data were sourced via the Wyscout platform. The sample consisted of 112 UEFA Champions League games played during the knock-out stages of the competition, in which 56 games were played during seasons with an away goal rule (2017–2018 and 2018–2019) and 56 were played in seasons without an away goal rule (2021–2022 and 2022–2023). The findings showed that the removal of the away goal rule was accompanied by significant ($p < 0.05$) decreases in average pass length, long passes, progressive passes, passes to the final third, offsides, duels, duels won, and counterattacks. Furthermore, a significant ($p < 0.05$) interaction was found between the away goal rule and the leg of competition, affecting the number of counterattacks with shots. Therefore, UEFA should take cognisant of the present findings when considering the use of the away goal rule in future competitions.

Introduction

Match analysis research is increasingly being adopted in football as a tool to provide coaches and players with crucial feedback and to inform their understanding of the most effective tactical strategies to guide the coaching process (Pearson, Webb, Milligan, & Miller-Dicks, 2023). For example, studies have investigated various performance indicators related to passing sequences, possession, playing tactics, and contextual variables in football (e.g. Fernandez-Navarro, Fradua, Zubillaga, & McRobert, 2019; González-Rodenas, Mitrotasios, Aranda, & Armatas, 2020; Wang, Qin, Jia, & Igor, 2022). Beyond the coaching process, notational analysis can also be used to examine the effects on the game of rule changes and whether these rule changes achieve the intended modification (Evaes, Hughes, & Lamb, 2008).

Rule changes are typically implemented for safety, the ethos of the game, game development, commercial/media pressure, or entertainment (Arias, Argudo, & Alonso, 2011; Williams, Hughes, & O'Donoghue, 2005). The focus of this study is the away goal rule introduced into UEFA competitions in the 1965/1966 season. The away goal rule decided the winner of a two-legged knockout match (i.e. two matches with a combined score where one is played at home and one away) when the two teams had scored an equal number of goals in aggregate. The rule granted victory to the team that scored more goals when away, allowing them to progress to the next round of the competition (UEFA, 2023).

This rule change was designed to encourage away teams to attack the home team and reduce the benefit of the away team tactic of focusing on defending, ideally resulting in a more active and entertaining match (Jost, 2021; Patterson, 2020; UEFA, 2021; UEFA, 2023). However, recent years have seen calls to remove the away goal rule. For instance, Arsene Wenger, the former manager of Arsenal, made the following remarks after his team's elimination from the 2014–2015 UEFA Champions League by AS Monaco: "This rule has been created in the '60s to encourage the teams to attack away from home. Since that football has changed. The weight of the away goal is too big today" (Lawrence, 2015).

Furthermore, in case of a tie after regulation time, the home team will be at a disadvantage, as the away goals rule still applies if the second match goes into extra time (Jost, 2021). For example, after losing 1–0 in the first match in Spain, Liverpool F.C. hosted Atletico Madrid for the second match of their round of the 16 UEFA Champions League at Anfield on March 11, 2020. Liverpool scored in the first half to put the game into extra time. During these extra 30 minutes, Atletico Madrid scored three goals and won 4–2 in aggregate (Jost, 2021). Nevertheless, Diego Simeone, the Atletico Madrid coach, offered an unexpected response: "What I have to say, and will be saying at the next UEFA coaches' meeting, is what I think is

103   unfair. Today we had 30 minutes of extra time to score. Liverpool never had that. We had 30

104   more minutes to score an away goal. The rule favoured us today but it might go against us in

105   the future. Liverpool had 30 minutes fewer to score an away goal. That's wrong" (Chomyn,

106   2020).

107   To date, few published studies have examined the effect of removing the away goal rule

108   on technical performance parameters in football. For example, although Waquil, Horta, and

109   Moraes (2020) examined the away goal rule in the Brazil Cup, their observations considered

110   only matches that included the application of the rule. Furthermore, Bahamonde-Birke and

111   Bahamonde-Birke's (2023) recent examination of elimination competition in football with and

112   without the away goal implementation focused solely on match outcomes and goals scored. No

113   data-led investigations have been conducted into how removing the away goal rule has

114   impacted match style or technical performance. To address this gap, this study draws on

115   objective analyses of key match and tactical variables to elucidate how the change to the away

116   goal rule in the UEFA Champions League has affected various technical performance

117   indicators. Based on the limited data to date (Bahamonde-Birke and Bahamonde-Birke's 2023),

118   it was hypothesised the removal of the away goal rule would not change the amount of goal

119   scoring by the away team. However, it was anticipated, more goals would be scored during the

120   second leg of the competition, compared to the 1st regardless of rule changes."

121

122   **Methods**

123   *Match sample*

124   The sample included 112 UEFA Champions League games played during the knock-out stages

125   of the UEFA Champions League – 56 games played during seasons with an away goal rule

126   (2017–2018 and 2018–2019) and 56 played in seasons without the rule (2021–2022 and 2022–

127   2023). Games played during the COVID-19 pandemic (2019–2020 and 2020–2021) were

128   excluded because some games were played in empty stadiums or neutral locations. The final

129   games of each competition were removed from the sample because they were held in neutral

130   locations with one leg, meaning that the away goal rule did not apply. This study received

131   ethical approval from the institutional research ethics committee.

132

133   *Data source and technical variables*

134   Data were sourced via the Wyscout platform, which gave the researcher permission to use its

135   data. The study's technical performance variables included goals, shots, shots on target,

136   passes, percentage of accurate passes, average passes per possession, average pass length,

137   long passes, progressive passes, passes to the final third, percentage of ball possession, fouls,

138   offsides, yellow cards, defensive duels, duels won, counterattacks, counterattacks with shots,

139   corners, and free kicks. Data from 'normal time' (i.e., 90 mins, plus stoppage time) were used

140   in the sample. For matches that went into extra time (i.e., 30 extra minutes of play), this

141   period of play was excluded from the analysis. Furthermore, the match outcome (i.e., win,

142   draw, lost) was based on the final score reached within regular time (i.e., 90 min, plus

143   stoppage time). Hence matches that went to extra time and penalties where considered a

144   draw. Table 1 shows the operational definitions of these technical indicators. Inter-observer

145   reliability using Intraclass correlation was conducted to determine the reliability of the

146   technical performance variables. One match was randomly chosen, analysed and compared

147   with the data from the Wyscout platform. The values ranged from 0.93 to 1.00, demonstrating

148   excellent reliability.

149

150   ***Insert Table 1 here***

151

152   *Data analysis*

153   Data were analysed using SPSS (version 28), and the statistical significance level was set to *p*

154   < 0.05. Descriptive statistics are reported as frequency counts, percentages, means, and

155   standard deviations. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test indicated that none of the technical

156   performance indicators were normally distributed ($p < 0.05$). The Mann-Whitney *U* test was

157   used to assess how the technical performance indicators differed between the competitions with

158   and without the away goal rule. A generalised linear model (GLM) was calculated to determine

159   whether removing the away goal rule significantly affected the teams' technical performances.

160   The model was specified as follows:

161

162    $Y_i = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i}$. Away goal rule $+ \beta_{2i}$. Match location $+ \beta_{3i}$. Away goal rule . Match location $+$

163   ε_i

164

165   Y_i refers to all technical performance indicators. The away goal rule is a categorical variable,

166   assigned a value of one if the rule was implemented and zero if not. Similarly, match location

167   is a dichotomous variable that equalled one if the team was playing at home and zero for away

168   matches. Furthermore, as a game's location may influence the away goal rule's impact on

169   technical variables, the interaction term of these two independent factors was included to

170   indicate potential association. β_{0i} denotes a constant term, and β_{1i}, β_{2i}, and β_{3i} represent the model

171   coefficients that need to be estimated. ε_i represents random error.

172

173   The second model was specified as follows:

174

175    $Y_i = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i}$. Away goal rule $+ \beta_{2i}$. Leg of competition $+ \beta_{3i}$. Away goal rule . Leg of

176   competition $+ \varepsilon_i$

177   In this model, match location was replaced by leg of competition (0 = first leg, 1 = second leg).

178   Each technical performance variable was initially fitted with a GLM using a Poisson

179   distribution, and overdispersion was checked (Cameron & Triveldi, 1990). Goals, shots, shots

180   on target, passes, percentage of accurate passes, fouls, offsides, yellow cards, defensive duels,

181   duels won, counterattacks, counterattacks with shots, corners, free kicks, percentage of

182   accurate passes, average passes per possession, average pass length, long passes, progressive

183   passes, and passes to the final third were subjected to a negative binomial distribution. In

184   contrast, yellow cards were subjected to a Poisson distribution.

185

186   **Results**

187   *Match outcome*

188   The outcomes of the first leg of matches were not affected by the away goal rule. The home

189   team won 43% of matches, lost 36%, and drew 21% (see Figure 1). However, during leg two,

190   there was an increase in the number of losses for the home team when the away goal rule was

191   in place (50%) compared to games when it was not. Five (8.9%) of the matches played with

192   the away goal rule in place decided which team would progress to the next stage of the

193   competition.

194

195   ***Insert Figure 1 here***

196

197   *Technical performance indicators*

198   Table 2 indicates the change in the technical performance variables between the two conditions

199   (i.e. when the away goal rule was and was not applied) during the UEFA Champions League.

200   The results show that the removal of the away goal rule was followed by significant ($p < 0.05$)

201   decreases in average pass length, long passes, progressive passes, passes to the final third,

202   offsides, defensive duels, duels, duels won, and counterattacks. Table 3 presents the estimated

203   results of the technical variables' GLM for the away goal rule, match location, and the

204 interaction between the two variables. No significant ($p > 0.05$) differences were found in any
205 of the technical performance variables. Table 4 shows the estimated results of the GLM for the
206 away goal rule, leg of competition, and the interaction between the two variables. The results
207 showed significant ($p < 0.05$) differences in the numbers of counterattacks and counterattacks
208 with shots depending on the application of the away goal rule. A further significant ($p < 0.05$)
209 interaction was found between the away goal rule and the leg of competition on the number of
210 counterattacks with shots.

211 ***Insert Table 2 here***

212 ***Insert Table 3 here***

213 ***Insert Table 4 here***

214

215 As Figure 2 indicates, when the away goal rule was in play, counterattacks were used more
216 frequently overall as well as increasing in number from leg one to two. Conversely, playing
217 without the away goal rule led to a decrease in counterattacks. Figures 2 provides further
218 descriptive indications of possible metrics which changed across conditions but were not
219 statistically different. For example, Home teams scored the lowest number of goals when no
220 away goal rule was in place. Generally, more goals were scored in the second leg than in the
221 first. Home teams scored most goals in the second leg when the away goal rule was in place.
222 The home teams also took the most shots; this increased in the second leg. Away teams reduced
223 their number of shots in the second leg when the away goal rule was removed.

224

225 ***Insert Figure 2 here***

226

227 **Discussion**
228 This research has examined how the change to the away goal rule during the UEFA Champions
229 League has affected technical performance indicators. The findings showed that the removal
230 of the away goal rule resulted in decreases average pass length, long passes, progressive passes,
231 passes to the final third, offsides, duels, duels won, and counterattacks. Furthermore, a
232 significant interaction was found between the away goal rule and the leg of competition,
233 affecting the number of counterattacks with shots. Home teams won slightly more matches in
234 leg one regardless of whether the away goal rule was in place. However, the home team lost
235 more often in the second leg when the away goal rule was in place. Since the removal of the
236 away goal rule, home teams now draw more often than they lose. Bahamonde-Birke and
237 Bahamonde-Birke (2023) reported that more goals were scored in the second leg of competition

238 The descriptive findings here tentatively support that more goals were scored in the second leg
239 than in the first leg when the away goal rule was in place 9see figure 2), but this did not reach
240 statistical significance so be should be interpreted with caution. This study's findings suggest
241 that teams preferred to play more open, end-to-end games and were more aggressive in their
242 attacks to score goals when the away goal rule was still in place.

243 The results demonstrate that the rule change has influenced some of the game's
244 performance metrics. The removal of the away goal rule was accompanied by a significant
245 reduction in the average pass length, progressive passes, passes to the final third, and long
246 passes. This suggests that teams adopted a more conservative playing style and more often
247 looked for shorter, less risky passes. Maintaining possession of the ball through short passing
248 is a more reliable means of moving the ball into the opposing half to create goal-scoring
249 opportunities than using less accurate, long passes (Liu, Yi, Giménez, Gómez, & Lago-Peñas,
250 2015; Oberstone, 2009). Hence, without the urgency to score more goals when playing away,
251 teams may look for more controlled and 'safer' attack options. The reduction in the number of
252 duels prompted by the removal of the away goal rule indicates that players are taking fewer
253 defensive actions. This could be linked to the reduction in attacking passes, which results in
254 less need for defensive actions.

255 The number of counterattacks decreased after removing the away goal rule, whereas
256 counterattacks increased between leg one and leg two when the away goal rule was in place.
257 This finding suggests that the team trailing might 'sit back' in the first leg and hope for a better
258 result in the second using a more positional attack style in which teams perform more passes
259 and circulate the ball more in width than in depth, employing a more cadenced play in terms
260 of intensity and ball speed circulation. Whereas the team being outscored is forced to play
261 aggressively in the second leg to avoid the risk of elimination (Bahamonde-Birke &
262 Bahamonde-Birke, 2023). Similarly, the number of counterattacks decreased after the away
263 goal rule was removed. This seems to suggest that teams are not looking to 'push' to win the
264 match via the away goal rule, but are rather more comfortable playing conservatively towards
265 extra time.

266 The significant decrease in the number of offsides since removing the away goal rule
267 may have been caused by a shift to a less attack-focused style of play that results in teams
268 having fewer chances to be in an offside position. The reduction in progressive and final third
269 passes would seem to confirm this. However, this finding could also be linked to the
270 introduction of Video Assisted Referees (VAR) into the Champions League, which may have
271 impacted the number of offside decisions taken (some incorrectly). For example, previous

272 research (Kubayi, Larkin, & Toriola, 2022) has reported a decline in the number of offsides
273 called by referees following VAR implementation. The authors explained that this may be
274 because assistant referees have been instructed not to raise their flags for close offside calls,
275 allowing play to continue. This is because VAR can review an incident and reverse a goal if an
276 offside occurred before it (Kubayi et al., 2022). Players may also have adjusted their play to
277 account for VAR.

278 **Strengths and limitations of the study and recommendations for future research**
279 Despite this study's contribution to understanding how removing the away goal rule has
280 affected technical performance indicators in the UEFA Champions League, it does have some
281 limitations that should be considered when interpreting its findings. The limited number of
282 seasons covered by the study restricts the results' generalisability. However, it should be noted
283 that the away goal rule was in place until the start of the 2021–2022 season. Future research
284 could examine how the technical and tactical metrics may have changed over time from the
285 introduction of the away goal rule in 1965 to the removal in 2021. Furthermore, given the lack
286 of research into the removal of the away goal rule, there is no basis on which to compare the
287 results of this study with other recent investigations. Future studies should investigate how the
288 UEFA Champions League's removal of the away goal rule has changed the game's physical
289 demands. Other research approaches, such as mixed-method design (quantitative and
290 qualitative methods), could be used to fully explore coaches' and fans' perceptions of the away
291 goal rule to obtain further rich data and in-depth information.
292

293 **Conclusion**
294 This study has investigated how technical performance metrics have changed following the
295 UEFA Champions League's removal of the away goal rule. The results showed that removing
296 the away goal rule has impacted several of the game's technical performance parameters,
297 leading to significant reductions in average pass length, long passes, progressive passes, passes
298 to the final third, offsides, defensive duels, duels, duels won, and counterattacks. The results
299 demonstrate that removing the away goal rule has decreased the technical performance of teams
300 in the UEFA Champions League.
301
302
303
304
305

References

306 **References**

307 Arias, J. L., Argudo, F. M., & Alonso, J. I. (2011). Review of rule modification in

308 sport. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, *10*(1), 1.

309

310 Bahamonde-Birke, F. J., & Bahamonde-Birke, R. A. (2023). About the "Away Goals Rule" in

311 association football. Does scrapping the rule increase the fairness of the game?. *Journal of*

312 *Sports Economics*, *24*(3), 310-328.

313

314 Cameron, A. C., & Trivedi, P. K. (1990). Regression-based tests for overdispersion in the

315 Poisson model. *Journal of Econometrics*, *46*(3), 347-364.

316

317 Chomyn, N. (2020, March 12). Diego Simeone critical of away goal rule that gave Atletico

318 Madrid Edge.

319

320 Eaves, J. S., Hughes, D. M., & Lamb, L. K. (2008). Assessing the impact of the season and

321 rule changes on specific match and tactical variables in professional rugby league football in

322 the United Kingdom. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 8(3), 104-118.

323

324 Fernandez-Navarro, J., Fradua, L., Zubillaga, A., & McRobert, A. P. (2019). Evaluating the

325 effectiveness of styles of play in elite soccer. *International Journal of Sports Science and*

326 *Coaching*, *14*(4), 514-527.

327

328 Gonzalez-Rodenas, J., Mitrotasios, M., Aranda, R., & Armatas, V. (2020). Combined effects

329 of tactical, technical and contextual factors on shooting effectiveness in European professional

330 soccer. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, *20*(2), 280-293.

331

332 Jost, P. J. (2021). Competitive balance and the away goals rule during extra time. *Journal of*

333 *Sports Economics*, 22(7), 823-863.

334

335 Kubayi, A., Larkin, P., & Toriola, A. (2022). The impact of video assistant referee (VAR) on

336 match performance variables at men's FIFA World Cup tournaments. *Proceedings of the*

337 *Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part P: Journal of Sports Engineering and*

338 *Technology*, 236(3), 187-191.

339

340 Lago, C., & Martín, R. (2007). Determinants of possession of the ball in soccer. *Journal of*
341 *Sports Sciences*, *25*(9), 969-974.

343 Lago-Peñas, C., & Dellal, A. (2010). Ball possession strategies in elite soccer according to the
344 evolution of the match-score: the influence of situational variables. *Journal of Human*
345 *Kinetics*, *25*, 93-100.

347 Lawrence, A. (2015, March 19). Arsène Wenger calls for away goals rule to be scrapped in
348 Champions League. The Gurdian.https://www.theguardian.com/football/2015/mar/19/arsene-
349 wenger-away-goals-scrappedchampions-league.

351 Liu, H., Yi, Q., Giménez, J. V., Gómez, M. A., & Lago-Peñas, C. (2015). Performance profiles
352 of football teams in the UEFA Champions League considering situational
353 efficiency. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, *15*(1), 371-390.

355 Patterson, C. W. (2020). Goal scoring in European soccer: Tournament structure and home
356 field advantage. Biomex Sports Science.

358 Pearson, A., Webb, T., Milligan, G., & Miller-Dicks, M. (2023). The use of video feedback as
359 a facet of performance analysis: an integrative review. *International Review of Sport and*
360 *Exercise Psychology*, 1-23.

362 Pollard, R., & Armatas, V. (2017). Factors affecting home advantage in football World Cup
363 qualification. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, *17*(1-2), 121-135.

365 Oberstone, J. (2009). Differentiating the top English premier league football clubs from the
366 rest of the pack: Identifying the keys to success. *Journal of Quantitative Analysis in*
367 *Sports*, *5*(3).

369 UEFA. (2021). Abolition of the away goals rule in all UEFA club competitions. https://www.
370 uefa.com/insideuefa/mediaservices/mediareleases/news/026a-1298aeb73a7a-5b64cb68d920-
371 1000–abolition-of-the-away-goals-rule-in-all-uefa-club-competitions/

373 UEFA (2023). https://www.uefa.com/returntoplay/news/026a-1298aeb73a7a-5b64cb68d920-
374 1000--abolition-of-the-away-goals-rule-in-all-uefa-club-competi/.

375

376 Wang, S. H., Qin, Y., Jia, Y., & Igor, K. E. (2022). A systematic review about the performance
377 indicators related to ball possession. *Plos one*, *17*(3), e0265540.

378

379 Waquil, A. P., Horta, E., & Moraes, J. C. (2020). Home advantage and away goals rule: An
380 analysis from Brazil cup. *Journal of Sports Analytics*, 6(1), 13-24.

381

382 Williams, J., Hughes, M., & O'Donoghue, P. (2005). The effect of rule changes on match and
383 ball in play time in rugby union. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, *5*(3),
384 1-11.

385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405

406   Table 1. Operational definitions of the technical variables (further information of each variable can be found here:

407   https://dataglossary.wyscout.com).

| Variable	Definitions
Goals	A goal scored as specified in law 10.1 of the IFAB Laws of the Game.
Shots	An attempt towards the opposition's goal with the intention of scoring.
Shots on target	A shot is considered successful if it lands on the target of the goal. A shot that hits the frame of the goal is not considered successful.
Number of passes	An attempt to pass the ball to a teammate.
Accurate passes (%)	A pass is considered successful if a teammate receives the next touch of the ball.
Average passes per possession	The mean number of completed passes per possession.
Average pass length	Mean pass length in meters.
Long passes (ground 45 or high 25)	A ground pass longer than 45 meters or a high pass longer than 25 meters.
Progressive passes	A forward pass that attempts to move a team significantly closer to the opponent's goal. A pass is considered progressive if the distance between the starting point and the next touch is: • at least 30 meters closer to the opponent's goal if the starting and finishing points are within a team's own half • at least 15 meters closer to the opponent's goal if the starting and finishing points are in different halves • at least 10 meters closer to the opponent's goal if the starting and finishing points are in the opponent's half
Passes to final third	Any pass that originates outside the final third and where the next ball touch occurs within the final third.
Fouls	An offence committed by a player according to law 12 (1, 3) of the IFAB Laws of the Game.
Offsides	As described in law 11 of the IFAB Laws of the Game. Only offsides that are whistled by the referee and where the game is resumed with an indirect free kick awarded to the opposite team are labelled as offsides.
Yellow cards	Disciplinary action by the referee. Indicated by showing a yellow card according to law 12.3 of the IFAB Laws of the Game.
Duels	A challenge between two players to gain control of the ball, progress with the ball, or change its direction.
Defensive duels	When a defender attempts to dispossess an opposition player to stop an attack.
Duels won	If the player stopped the progression of the attacking player with the ball and did not commit a foul, the duel is considered won.
Counterattacks	A transition of possession from the opposing team, where the team is transitioning quickly from a defensive to an attacking phase and trying to catch the opponent out of their defensive shape.
Counterattacks with shots	A counterattack (defined above) that ends in the team taking a shot at the goal.
Corners	A corner kick as specified in law 17 of the IFAB Laws of the Game.
Free kicks	The execution of a free kick according to law 13 of the IFAB Laws of the Game.

408

Figure 1. Home team outcome across the two legs and away goal implementation

409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439

Table 2. Technical performance indicators between when there was away goal and no away goal rule

	Away goal	No away goal	Z	Sig.
Goals	1.60 ± 1.47	1.31 ± 1.45	−1.70	0.90
Shots	12.12 ± 5.24	11.30 ± 4.98	−0.87	0.38
Shots on target	4.46 ± 2.62	4.05 ± 2.55	−1.31	0.19
Passes	480.99 ± 143.64	480.08 ± 130.12	−0.60	0.59
Accurate passes (%)	84.33 ± 4.51	85.20 ± 4.44	−1.80	0.07
Average passes per possession	4.65 ± 1.50	4.93 ± 1.40	−2.37	0.32
Average pass length	19.70 ± 1.58	18.48 ± 1.54	−5.39	0.00**
Long passes (ground 45, or high 25)	47.63 ± 12.23	40.84 ± 9.62	−4.21	0.00**
Progressive passes	83.15 ± 19.40	64.87 ± 14.18	−7.36	0.00**
Passes to final third	60.73 ± 19.70	49.90 ± 20.53	−4.27	0.00*
Fouls	11.69 ± 3.57	11.63 ± 3.65	−0.22	0.83
Offsides	2.34 ± 1.93	1.77 ± 1.53	−2.07	0.03*
Yellow cards	1.98 ± 1.22	1.95 ± 1.35	−0.55	0.58
Defensive duels	75.03 ± 14.14	64.35 ± 13.77	−5.15	0.00**
Duels	222.16 ± 33.21	190.13 ± 31.68	−6.60	0.00**
Duels won	105.78 ± 17.66	91.79 ± 16.66	−5.41	0.00**
Counterattacks	3.49 ± 2.57	2.37 ± 2.02	−3.44	0.00**
Counter attacks with shots	1.21 ± 1.34	0.91 ± 1.02	−1.55	0.12
Corners	4.78 ± 2.63	4.56 ± 2.88	−0.74	0.90
Free kicks	2.12 ± 1.56	2.06 ± 1.38	−0.14	0.38

*$p<0.05$; **$p<0.01$

Table 3. The introduction of away goal rule on match location using GLM

	Goals	Shots	Shots on target	Passes	Accurate passes
Away goal rule (β_1)	0.19	0.07	-0.02	0.02	-0.01
Match location (β_2)	0.20	-0.27	0.10	0.10	0.01
Away goal rule*Match location (β_3)	0.01	0.01	0.21	-0.03	-0.00
Constant term (β_0)	0.16	2.28**	1.35**	6.12**	4.43**
	Long pass	Passes to final third	Progressive passes	Average passes per possession	Average pass length
Away goal rule (β_1)	0.13	0.22	0.25	0.04	0.02
Match location (β_2)	0.01	0.19	0.08	0.19	0.03
Away goal rule*Match location (β_3)	0.04	-0.05	-0.01	-0.07	0.09
Constant term (β_0)	3.70**	3.81**	4.13**	5.99**	7.41**
	Fouls	Offsides	Yellow cards	Defensive duels	Duels
Away goal rule (β_1)	0.03	0.22	0.11	0.12	0.16
Match location (β_2)	0.03	0.14	0.00	-0.09	0.00
Away goal rule*Match location (β_3)	-0.04	0.10	-0.20	0.06	0.00
Constant term (β_0)	2.44**	0.50*	0.66**	4.21**	5.25*
	Duels won	Counterattacks	Counterattacks with shots	Corner kicks	Free kicks
Away goal rule (β_1)	0.13	0.32	0.14	0.11	-0.08
Match location (β_2)	0.01	-0.07	-0.32	0.31	0.25
Away goal rule*Match location (β_3)	0.03	0.13	0.30	-0.10	0.19
Constant term (β_0)	4.51**	0.89**	0.05	1.35**	0.59**

461   *$p<0.05$; **$p<0.01$

462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480

481 Table 4. The introduction of away goal rule on the leg of competition using GLM

	Goals	Shots	Shots on target	Passes	Accurate passes
Away goal rule (β_1)	0.24	0.09	0.09	0.01	-0.01
Leg of competition (β_2)	-0.15	0.01	-0.26	0.02	0.01
Away goal rule* Leg of competition (β_3)	-0.09	-0.05	-0.01	-0.01	0.00
Constant term (β_0)	0.05	2.42**	1.41**	6.16**	4.44**
	Long pass	Passes to final third	Progressive passes	Average passes per possession	Average pass length
Away goal rule (β_1)	0.10	0.20	0.23	0.00	0.02
Leg of competition (β_2)	0.01	0.01	0.02	-0.03	-0.10
Away goal rule* Leg of competition (β_3)	0.11	-0.00	0.03	0.00	0.09
Constant term (β_0)	3.70**	3.91**	4.16**	6.11**	7.47**
	Fouls	Offsides	Yellow cards	Defensive duels	Duels
Away goal rule (β_1)	0.01	0.30	0.01	0.17	0.16
Leg of competition (β_2)	-0.02	-0.16	-0.09	0.03	0.02
Away goal rule* Leg of competition (β_3)	-0.01	-0.05	0.02	-0.03	-0.01
Constant term (β_0)	2.46**	0.65**	0.71**	4.15**	5.24**
	Duels won	Counterattacks	Counterattacks with shots	Corner kicks	Free kicks
Away goal rule (β_1)	0.15	0.58**	0.67*	0.10	-0.04
Leg of competition (β_2)	0.01	0.27	-0.32	0.11	-0.03
Away goal rule* Leg of competition (β_3)	-0.01	-0.36	0.75*	-0.10	0.12
Constant term (β_0)	4.51**	0.72**	-0.34	1.46**	0.74**

482 *$p<0.05$; **$p<0.01$

483
484
485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498
499
500 Figure 2. Technical variables displayed as mean values per match calculated on away goal rule, leg, and
501 location.
502
503
504
505
506
507