

Extracorporeal shockwave for intermittent claudication and quality of life

CAI, Paris, PYMER, Sean, IBEGGAZENE, Said http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9457-7887, RAZA, Ali, HITCHMAN, Louise, CHETTER, Ian and SMITH, George

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at: https://shura.shu.ac.uk/33570/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

CAI, Paris, PYMER, Sean, IBEGGAZENE, Said, RAZA, Ali, HITCHMAN, Louise, CHETTER, Ian and SMITH, George (2024). Extracorporeal shockwave for intermittent claudication and quality of life. JAMA Surgery. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

- 1 Title:
- 2 A double-blind, placebo-control, randomized trial of extracorporeal shockwave for
- 3 claudication

- 5 **Subtitle:**
- 6 A novel therapy for symptomatic peripheral arterial disease

7

- 8 Authors:
- 9 Paris Cai MB BCh BAO, MRCS¹, Sean Pymer PhD^{1,2}, Ali Raza MBBS², Said Ibeggazene
- 10 PhD^{1,3}, Louise Hitchman MBBS, MRCS^{1,2}, Ian Chetter MD, FRCS^{1,2}, George Smith MD,
- 11 FRCS^{1,2}

12

- 13 Institute Affiliations:
- ¹ Hull York Medical School, UK
- ² Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, UK
- ³ Sheffield Hallam University, UK

- 18 Corresponding author:
- 19 Mr Paris Cai
- 20 Academic Vascular Surgery Unit
- 21 1st Floor, Tower Block, Hull Royal Infirmary
- 22 Anlaby Road
- 23 Hull
- 24 HU3 2JZ
- paris.cai@nhs.net

Manuscript word count: 2924 Date of revision: 4th January 2024 **Key points** Question: Can extracorporeal shockwave therapy improve quality of life and walking distances in patients with lower limb intermittent claudication? Findings: In this double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial that included 138 patients, patients receiving extracorporeal shockwave therapy had statistically higher measures of quality of life and walking distances when compared to patients receiving placebo. Meaning: Given the increasing prevalence of peripheral arterial disease, and the low uptake and adherence to supervised exercise programs among patients with intermittent claudication, extracorporeal shockwave therapy is a safe, non-invasive and efficacious alternative with comparable improvements in quality of life and walking distances to supervised exercise.

Abstract 51 **Importance:** Lower limb intermittent claudication limits function and quality of life. 52 Supervised exercise programs are not readily available, and a non-invasive alternative is 53 required. 54 55 **Objective:** Pilot data in extracorporeal shockwave therapy for claudication showed a likely 56 57 benefit in walking distances. The aim of this study was to assess extracorporeal corporeal shockwave therapy in improving quality of life in patients with claudication. 58 59 **Design:** Double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial. Patients were randomised at 1:1 60 ratio to extracorporeal shockwave therapy or placebo. Recruitment was between June 2015 and 61 62 January 2020, with 12 week follow up ending March 2020. Statistical analysis was completed by May 2021. 63 64 **Setting:** Single tertiary centre for vascular surgery. Participants recruited from the outpatient 65 setting. 66 67 Participants: A convenience sample of patients with claudication, to be managed 68 conservatively, who refused or were unable to participate in supervised exercise, were eligible. 69 70 Patients on anticoagulation therapy or with an active cancer were excluded. 522 patients were screened, 389 were eligible and 138 consented to participate and were randomized. 71 72

Intervention: 3 times weekly for 3 weeks, the intervention group received 100 impulses of 0.1mJ/mm per cm² in an area of the gastrocnemius muscle. The steps for treatment were replicated for the control group, without delivering the treatment.

73

74

76 **Outcomes:** 77 Primary outcome was the physical functioning domain of SF-36 quality of life questionnaire 78 79 at 12-week follow-up. Secondary outcomes included walking distances, ankle brachial pressure index, and other quality of life measures. 80 81 82 **Results:** 138 patients recruited and randomized. 67% were male with a mean age of 67 years. 83 84 The intervention group had a significantly higher physical function score at 12 weeks (Estimate median difference 3.83, 95% CI [0, 7.66], p=0.033). However, this significance did not remain 85 when adjusting for covariates (p=0.07). At 12-weeks the intervention group had significantly 86 87 longer pain-free and maximum walking distances (pain-free estimate median difference 34.08, 95% CI [11.36, 56.80], p=0.004) (maximum estimate median difference 51.37, 95% CI [10.65, 88 86.50], p=0.013). 89 90 **Conclusions and Relevance:** 91 This is the first double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial to consider extracorporeal 92 shockwave therapy for the management of intermittent claudication. It has demonstrated 93 94 efficacy for walking distances, may have a positive effect on quality of life, and can provide a 95 safe, non-invasive alternative.

Trial registration

clinicaltrials.gov: NCT02652078

100

96

97

98

Introduction

An estimated 237 million people worldwide suffer with lower limb peripheral arterial disease (1) with this number expected to rise due to population ageing (2). Intermittent claudication (IC) is the most common symptomatic manifestation of peripheral arterial disease (3) and limits physical function, walking distances and quality of life (4,5)

The current first-line recommendations for the management of IC consist of smoking cessation, best medical therapy, cardiovascular risk reduction and supervised exercise (6,7). Despite overwhelming evidence for the clinical and cost effectiveness of supervised exercise (8) its utility is limited by suboptimal provision, uptake and adherence rates (9–13). A non-invasive, efficacious, and cost-effective intervention, that is more appealing to patients and easy to implement may be an attractive alternative.

Extracorporeal shockwave therapy (ESWT) was originally used in urological lithotripsy and has since been utilized in the treatment of musculoskeletal disorders (14), wound healing (15–17) and myocardial ischaemia (18,19). Its use in peripheral arterial disease is less established with small studies reporting heterogenous outcomes (20). Our group has conducted a pilot study on the use of ESWT in patients with IC (21,22), showing it to be safe and well tolerated with a likely benefit on pain free walking distance. However, to date there is no evidence of the effect of ESWT on quality of life in patients with IC. The aim of this study was to address this evidence gap and assess the effects of ESWT on quality of life in patients with IC.

Methods

A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial was conducted at a university teaching hospital that is a tertiary referral center for vascular surgery. The trial was reviewed by a

regional research ethics committee and full ethical approval was granted by the UK Health Research Authority (REC reference: 14/EE/1257). It was also compliant with the Declaration of Helsinki (1975)(23) and all participants provided written, informed consent prior to any trial procedures. The trial was prospectively registered on a recognized trial registry (*clinicaltrials.gov*: NCT02652078).

Participants

A convenience sample of participants were identified and screened at the outpatient vascular surgery service, where a diagnosis of stable calf IC (Fontaine II with no change in symptoms in a 3-month period prior to recruitment) was made by a vascular surgeon and treated conservatively with best medical therapy, smoking cessation advice and exercise advice. All participants were offered supervised exercise and had either declined participation or had already completed the local 12-week program and remained significantly symptomatic. Participants were deemed eligible if they were over the age of 18 years, were able to provide written, informed consent and adhere to the trial protocol, and had either unilateral lower limb IC or if bilateral, had an index leg that was symptomatically worse. Participants were not eligible if they had contraindications to the use of ESWT including active malignancy, anticoagulation therapy, known coagulopathies or were pregnant at the time of screening.

Randomization

Participants were randomized at a 1:1 ratio using computer-generated numbers in random permuted blocks, with allocation sequence concealment to all investigators, via an online randomization tool (Sealed Envelope Ltd, London, UK, www.sealedenvelope.com) to either ESWT (intervention group) or a placebo treatment (control group). Randomization allocation was concealed from both the participants and the outcome assessors.

Intervention

Participants in both groups received a total of 9 treatment sessions over a 3-week period. At each session, participants were positioned prone to expose their calf muscles for treatment and were facing away from the equipment.

The treatment and placebo protocol have been previously published (24). The intervention group received 100 impulses of 0.1mJ/mm per cm² in an area of 6cm by 5cm per head of gastrocnemius muscle of the index leg, using the PiezoWave 2 shockwave system (Elvation Medical Inc. Duluth, GA, USA). The identical steps for treatment were replicated for the control group, including having the system display on with the correct settings, the application of ultrasound gel and the passage of the transducer over the same area, without delivering the shockwave treatment. Instead, a recording of the sound of the active shockwave treatment was used to simulate the delivery of ESWT, via an MP3 speaker mounted on the device. All participants were followed up at 4 weeks, 8 weeks, and 12 weeks after the first treatment session.

Outcomes

All outcome measurements were assessed at all time-points by assessors blinded to group allocation. The primary outcome was Physical Functioning as measured by the Medical Outcomes Survey – Short Form 36 (SF-36) quality of life questionnaire at 12-week follow-up.

Secondary outcome measures were pre-planned and included pain-free and maximum walking distance assessed via a standardized treadmill test. The treadmill protocol was constant-load and was performed at 1.6 miles per hour and 10% incline for a maximum of 10 minutes.

Patients began walking on the treadmill and indicated when their IC pain occurred, which was recorded as the pain-free walking distance. Maximum walking distance was recorded when the patient could no longer continue due to maximal claudication pain or when 10 minutes had elapsed. For patients unable to walk at 1.6 miles per hour, the speed was reduced by the outcome assessor, but remained constant at all follow-up visits to ensure standardization. Ankle brachial pressure index was measured at rest and immediately following the treadmill protocol. Laser doppler flowmetry, used to assess microcirculatory blood flow of the skin on the medial aspect of the calf and the dorsum of the foot, was also undertaken for a period of 5 minutes at rest and immediately following the treadmill protocol using the moorVMS-LDF2 laser doppler monitor (Moor Instruments Ltd, Axminster, UK). Additional quality of life measures were assessed using the EuroQol-5 Dimension 3-Level (EQ-5D-3L), the remainder of SF-36 domains, and the disease specific Vascular Quality of Life questionnaire (VascuQoL).

Power calculation and sample size

In order to demonstrate at least a 10-point difference in SF-36 physical functioning domain with 80% power and 5% significance, 55 participants were required for each treatment group (25). Based on the completion rates of the local supervised exercise program and the results of the internal pilot study (21), we allowed for a 20% attrition rate resulting in a total sample size of 138 participants required to achieve power.

Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed using SPSS (IBM, Version 28, New York, USA). A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Outcome measures were analyzed on an intention-to-treat basis, according to the randomization group.

Baseline characteristics and outcome measures are presented as means and standard deviations for parametric data, medians, and interquartile range (IQR) for non-parametric data. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine the normality of distribution. Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to estimate the difference in outcomes between groups. Hodges-Lehmann estimator used to provide an estimate of the median differences between groups with 95% Confidence Intervals. Secondary analysis by one-way analysis of co-variance (ANCOVA) using rank transformation of non-parametric data was carried out to compare outcomes at follow up, controlling for baseline characteristics.

This trial is reported in line with the CONSORT guidelines (26).

Results

- Between June 2015 and January 2020, 522 patients were assessed for eligibility, and 389 (75%)
- patients were eligible. Of these, 138 (35.5%) consented to participate and were randomized
- 215 (Figure 1). Table 1 summarizes the participants' baseline characteristics. All patients were
- 216 White/Caucasian, reflecting the demographics of the local population (27).

Throughout the study period there were no side effects or serious adverse events recorded that were related to the ESWT. One patient in the intervention group withdrew during the treatment period because they were unable to tolerate lying flat and prone due to dyspnoea.

Primary outcome

- Normalized medians of the physical functioning domain of the SF-36 questionnaire at 12-week follow up were significantly higher in the intervention group (41.3 [IQR 31.2 46.1] when
- compared to the control group (34.6 [IQR 28.8 42.7]; (p=0.03); estimate median difference

226	3.83; 95% CI [0.00, 7.66]. There were no statistically significant intragroup differences at any
227	follow up timepoint.
228	
229	Secondary outcomes
230	Other Quality of Life Outcomes (Table 2)
231	Short Form 36 domains
232	No statistically significant intergroup differences in the other SF-36 domain scores were
233	observed at baseline, or at 8 or 12 weeks. At 4-weeks follow up, the intervention group
234	demonstrated significantly better scores in the SF-36 General Health (p=0.004) and, Vitality
235	(p=0.03) domains, and the Physical Component Summary (p=0.02) than the control group
236	(Table 2).
237	
238	The intervention group showed statistically significant improvement in multiple domains of
239	SF-36 between baseline and follow up. The Physical Component Summary score had a
240	statistically significant increase between baseline and all follow up time points (4-week p=0.02;
241	8-week p=0.01; 12-week p=0.05). The score for Bodily Pain was significantly increased
242	between baseline and 4-week (p=0.007) and baseline and 8-week (p=0.02). The score for
243	Vitality was significantly increased between baseline and 4-week (p=0.009).
244	
245	The control group had a statistically significant improvement in only one component of SF-36,
246	Bodily Pain, between baseline and 4-week (p=0.02).
247	
248	EuroQol-5 Dimension 3-Level
249	No statistically significant intergroup differences in the EQ-5D-3L VAS scores were observed
250	at baseline, or at 8 or 12 weeks. At 4-weeks the intervention group demonstrated significantly

251	better scores than the control group (p=0.03). There were no statistically significant intragroup
252	differences.
253	
254	<u>Vascular Quality of Life</u>
255	No statistically significant intergroup or intragroup differences in VascuQoL questionnaire
256	scores were observed at baseline or at any time during follow up.
257	
258	Pain-free walking distance
259	No statistically significant intergroup differences in pain-free walking distance were observed
260	at baseline. Thereafter, pain free walking distances were significantly greater in the
261	intervention group at 4, 8 and 12-weeks (Table 3). Statistically significant intragroup
262	improvements in pain free walking distances were observed in both the intervention (p<0.001)
263	and the control group (p $<$ 0.001).
264	
265	Maximum walking distance
266	No statistically significant intergroup differences in maximum walking distance were observed
267	at baseline or at 4 weeks. Thereafter, maximum walking distances were significantly greater in
268	the intervention group at 8 and 12-weeks (Table 3). Statistically significant intragroup
269	improvements in maximum walking distances were observed in both the intervention (p<0.001)
270	and the control group (p $<$ 0.001).
271	
272	Ankle Brachial Pressure Index
273	No statistically significant intergroup or intragroup differences in ankle brachial pressure index
274	pre or post exercise were observed at baseline or at any time during follow up. (Supplementary
275	Table 1).

276 Laser Doppler Flowmetry 277 No statistically significant intergroup or intragroup differences in Laser Doppler Flowmetry 278 pre or post exercise were observed at baseline or at any time during follow up. (Supplementary 279 Table 2). 280 281 282 Secondary analysis Secondary ANCOVA analysis, adjusting for baseline values, showed that a history of coronary 283 284 artery disease appears to have a significant effect on physical functioning domain of the SF-36 questionnaire and there was no statistically significant difference in the physical functioning 285 domain at 12-week follow up F(1,94)=3.394, p=0.07. 286 287 As above, after adjustment for baseline values, SF-36 General Health and Vitality domains 288 continue to be significantly higher in the intervention group when compared to the control 289 group at 4-week follow up (General Health F(1.97)=6.321, p=0.014; Vitality F(1.97)=6.213, 290 p=0.014). 291 292 After adjustment for baseline values, pain-free walking distances continue to be significantly 293 higher in the intervention group when compared to the control group at all follow up points (4-294 295 week F(1,99)=5.562, p=0.02; 8-week F(1,81)=9.774, p=0.002; 12-week F(1,78)=10.779, p=0.002). 296 297 298 After adjustment for baseline values, maximum walking distances continue to be significantly higher in the intervention group when compared to the control group at 12-week follow up 299

300

(F(1,92)=9.456, p=0.005).

Discussion

In patients with IC who have declined or completed a supervised exercise program, ESWT is safe, well tolerated, and efficacious delivering benefits in walking distances and quality of life. Supervised exercise is the recommended first-line treatment for IC, but suffers from uptake and completion rates as low as 25% and 75% respectively (9–12). Of the 389 patients eligible for this study, 138 (35.5%) agreed to participate, and of these, 110 (80%) completed the intervention and follow up. Additionally, many of these participants had previously declined participation in an exercise program. Therefore, ESWT appears to be a potential alternative to supervised exercise for patients with IC that can improve patient choice and increase access and engagement with non-invasive treatment.

With regards to the primary outcome, the median improvement in the SF- 36 domain of physical functioning at 12-week follow up was of a magnitude similar to that associated with a 12-week supervised exercise program (8). Post-hoc secondary analysis however, revealed that this difference in physical functioning was no longer significant when accounting for baseline characteristics that can affect outcomes in lower limb peripheral arterial disease in general, though a trend did remain (p=0.07). In this cohort, a history of coronary artery disease/ischaemic heart disease, significantly affected the physical functioning score as well as a difference between groups at baseline, likely representing a chance imbalance at randomization.

Nevertheless, there were statistically significant differences between groups in the General Health and Vitality domains of the SF-36, which were not influenced by baseline differences.

This therefore suggests ESWT does have a positive effect on quality of life.

The remaining SF-36 domains and other measures of quality of life did not show statistically significant improvements. However, the median scores in the intervention group were consistently higher than in the control group. The lack of statistical significance may be due to the trial being powered to detect a significant change in the SF-36 physical functioning domain, therefore lacking the power to detect changes in other quality of life domains. It is also important to note that the aim of the intervention was not to eradicate claudication symptoms, but rather to reduce them to enable patients to mobilize further. This means that there will be a continuing impact of IC on quality of life, which can skew the results obtained from a disease specific quality of life questionnaire such as the VascuQol influencing the lack of a significant change. This will especially apply to patients with bilateral claudication, as the intervention only treated the index leg.

With regards to other secondary outcomes, walking distances improved at each time point, peaking at 12-week follow-up. The improvements in the intervention group were comparable to those provided by exercise therapy and represented a small to moderate minimal clinically important difference (31,32). Importantly, the control group also had a significant increase in their objective walking distances suggesting adequate blinding, and validating our placebo treatment protocol (20). Another possible explanation for these increases is continuing to check that participants did not discontinue and were appropriately taking their statin and antiplatelet therapy at every follow up point, ensuring strict adherence to best medical therapy. This, coupled with constant smoking cessation and exercise advice and encouragement throughout the trial period is something that patients are unlikely to receive as part of routine clinical practice but has a positive impact on their IC.

Nevertheless, given that the conservative management approach used within both groups conformed to latest guidance (6,7), the significant increase in walking distances and quality of life measures in the intervention group can be attributed to the effects of ESWT. Future research should perhaps investigate various doses and durations of ESWT, compare ESWT with supervised exercise, investigate the potential additive effects of the two interventions, and consider the potential mechanism of action for ESWT. A previously postulated mechanism of action i.e. upregulation of angiogenic factors (33), does not appear to be evident at a macrovascular level nor is it superficial enough to be adequately detected by laser doppler flowmetry. Other proposed mechanisms of action such as neural stunning, resulting in reduction in ischaemic pain in patients with critical limb threatening ischaemia (34), might have a role in the effects of ESWT seen in this study. However from the current evidence it is unclear whether this reduction in pain is due solely to neural stunning or due to angiogenesis and vasodilation (33).

A final, but important consideration, is that our findings further the suggestion that quality of life in patients with IC cannot be solely assessed via the functional outcome of walking distance, but requires generic and disease specific quality of life tools. However, our findings also demonstrate the impact that concurrent comorbidities have on such tools. As such, future research in patients with lower limb peripheral arterial disease should adopt patient reported health related quality of life measures as primary endpoint, whilst stratifying for the impact of concurrent comorbidities (4,35,36).

Limitations

This study is not without limitations. Firstly, post-hoc secondary analysis revealed that the difference in physical functioning as measured by the SF-36 questionnaire was no longer

significant when adjusting for baseline characteristics, in particular a history of coronary artery disease.

The study is also limited by the use of a constant load treadmill test, for assessing walking distances. Though a reliable test, especially when assessing maximum walking distance in patients with IC (38), it has disadvantages in terms of test, re-test reliability compared to a graded treadmill test and may not be as closely related to every day walking as the 6-minute walking test (39).

Lastly, this is a single center trial of a modest convenience sample. Future research should aim for a multi-center trial to allow for generalizability of results and will be of great interest for comparison with the current recommendation of supervised exercise therapy.

Conclusions

To our knowledge this is the first adequately powered, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial to consider ESWT for the management of lower limb IC. It has successfully demonstrated efficacy for improving walking distances within a comparable cohort of patients with IC, whilst suggesting a potential positive effect on quality of life. Further trials are required to compare this treatment to the current available treatment, including a supervised exercise program, and identify the potential mechanism of action.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the members of the Academic Vascular Surgery Unit at Hull York Medical School and the Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust for their invaluable assistance with the completion of this trial.

401	
402	Mr Paris Cai had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity
403	of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.
404	
405	The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
406	
407	Funding: None
408	
409	<u>List of Figures and Tables</u>
410	Figure 1: CONSORT diagram.
411	Table 1: Baseline characteristics.
412	Table 2: Median quality of life measures at all time points
413	Table 3: Median pain free and maximum walking distances at all trial time points.
414	
415	
416	
417	
418	
419	
420	
421	
422	
423	
424	
425	

426 Table 1: Baseline characteristics.

Baseline Demographics	Intervention Group n = 68	Control Group n = 70
Male sex (%)	44 (64.7)	48 (68.6)
Female sex (%)	24 (35.3)	22 (31.4)
Age Mean ± SD (years)	66 ± 10.7	67 ± 8.5
BMI Median (IQR) (kg/m ²)	27.9 (24.3-30.9)	27.8 (24.1-29.9)
Smoking status (%)	31 (45.6) 33 (48.5) 4 (5.9)	25 (35.7) 38 (54.3) 7 (5.6)
Diabetes (%)	16 (23.5)	25 (35.7)
HTN (%)	40 (58.8)	43 (61.4)
Hx CAD/IHD (%)	22 (32.3)	31 (44.3)
Hx CVA (%)	7 (10.3)	6 (8.6)
Hx Resp (%)	16 (23.5)	17 (24.3)
Fontaine Classification • Fontaine IIa • Fontaine IIb	5 (7.3) 63 (92.6)	9 (12.9) 61 (87.1)
Site of claudication	62 (91.2) 6 (8.8)	66 (94.3) 4 (5.7)
Bilateral claudication (%)	7 (10.3)	8 (11.4)

427

428 <u>KEY</u>

429 BMI – Body Mass Index

430 HTN – Hypertension

431 CAD – Coronary Artery Disease

432 IHD – Ischaemic Heart Disease

433 CVA – Cerebrovascular Accident

434 Resp – Respiratory Disease

Table 2: Median quality of life measures at all time points.

	Intervention Group (n=55)	Control Group (n=55)	p value	Estimate median difference [95% CI]		
Baseline						
SF-36 PF	36.5 (30.8 – 44.2)	33.0 (26.9 – 38.9)	0.05	3.82 [0, 5.74]		
SF-36 RP	39.1 (31.3 – 48.2)	37.0 (30.2 – 43.1)	0.18	2.25 [0, 6.73]		
SF-36 BP	38.2 (30.6 – 43.5)	38.2 (30.6 – 42.2)	0.32	0 [0, 4.03]		
SF-36 GH	43.2 (35.2 – 50.8)	38.4 (30.8 – 47.5)	0.07	3.33 [0, 7.13]		
SF-36 VT	46.7 (40.7 – 49.6)	43.7 (32.5 – 49.6)	0.16	2.97 [0, 5.94]		
SF-36 SF	42.3 (32.3 – 53.6)	42.3 (32.3 – 47.3)	0.06	5.01 [0, 10.02]		
SF-36 RE	45.7 (31.8 – 56.2)	42.2 (28.3 – 56.2)	0.33	0 [0, 6.97]		
SF-36 MH	50.9 (42.4 – 58.7)	45.6 (37.8 – 56.1)	0.11	2.62 [0, 7.84]		
SF-36 PCS	36.1 (31.3 – 41.7)	34.0 (27.6 – 39.8)	0.09	2.46 [-0.46, 5.32]		
SF-36 MCS	49.5 (43.1 – 58.3)	45.6 (35.4 – 56.4)	0.16	3.16 [-1.02, 7.49]		
EQ-5D VAS	0.66 (0.53 – 0.68)	0.65 (0.38 – 0.66)	0.15	0 [0, 0]		
VascuQol	4.4 (3.33 – 5.5)	4.2 (3.2 – 4.8)	0.13	0.36 [-0.12, 0.84]		
4-week follow		(6.12)	0,120	0.00 [0.12, 0.0 .]		
SF-36 PF	39.4 (32.6 – 44.6)	36.5 (28.8 – 44.2)	0.11	2.37 [0, 5.75]		
SF-36 RP	40.3 (34.7 – 52.7)	39.2 (32.5 – 48.2)	0.11	2.25 [0, 6.74]		
SF-36 BP	42.2 (37.3 – 51.5)	38.2 (34.2 – 46.3)	0.19	3.23 [0, 4.44]		
SF-36 GH	43.7 (38.7 – 53.2)	38.0 (33.2 – 46.1)	0.004	5.71 [2.38, 9.51]		
SF-36 VT	49.6 (45.9 – 55.6)	46.7 (34.8 -55.6)	0.03	2.98 [0, 8.91]		
SF-36 SF	47.3 (37.3 – 57.3)	42.3 (37.3 – 52.3)	0.37	0 [0, 5.01]		
SF-36 RE	49.2 (35.3 – 56.2)	42.2 (31.8 – 56.2)	0.26	0 [0, 6.96]		
SF-36 MH	56.1 (42.4 – 58.7)	50.9 (40.4 – 58.7)	0.19	2.62 [0, 5.24]		
SF-36 PCS	39.7 (33.9 – 44.5)	35.9 (31.0 – 40.2)	0.02	3.86 [0.78, 6.53]		
SF-36 MCS	53.5 (43.5 – 60.0)	49.3 (40.6 – 59.3)	0.27	2.32 [-1.73, 6.61]		
EQ-5D VAS	0.66 (0.60 - 0.69)	0.66 (0.36 – 0.69)	0.03	0.03 [0, 0.07]		
VascuQol	5.3 (4.2 – 5.9)	4.8 (3.9 – 5.6)	0.14	0.32 [-0.12, 0.80]		
8-week follow	` '	/		, ,		
SF-36 PF	42.2 (31.2 – 46.1)	36.5 (30.3 – 42.7)	0.08	3.83 [0, 7.66]		
SF-36 RP	39.2 (32.5 – 52.1)	39.2 (30.2 – 43.7)	0.14	4.49 [0, 8.98]		
SF-36 BP	42.2 (34.2 – 49.9)	38.2 (34.2 – 46.3)	0.17	3.63 [0, 4.83]		
SF-36 GH	43.7 (36.2 – 50.8)	40.4 (33.2 – 48.4)	0.14	3.32 [-0.95, 7.14]		
SF-36 VT	49.6 (38.5 – 55.6)	43.7 (37.7 – 49.6)	0.09	2.98 [0, 8.91]		
SF-36 SF	47.3 (37.3 – 57.3)	42.3 (37.3 – 52.3)	0.17	5.01 [0, 10.02]		
SF-36 RE	45.7 (31.8 – 56.2)	42.2 (35.3 – 56.2)	0.66	0 [-3.48, 6.96]		
SF-36 MH	53.5 (43.0 – 58.7)	48.3 (37.8 – 58.7)	0.37	2.61 [-2.62, 5.24]		
SF-36 PCS	41.2 (35.9 – 46.0)	35.9 (30.7 – 40.9)	0.02	4.18 [0.74, 7.38]		
SF-36 MCS	52.6 (39.9 – 59.0)	47.2 (39.7 – 57.5)	0.53	1.52 [-2.97, 6.53]		
EQ-5D VAS	0.66(0.60-0.69)	0.66(0.50-0.66)	0.10	0.03 [0, 0.09]		
VascuQol	5.2 (3.8 – 5.8)	4.6 (3.8 – 5.3)	0.08	0.44 [-0.08, 0.92]		
12-week follow up						
SF-36 PF	41.3 (31.2 – 46.1)	34.6 (28.8 – 42.7)	0.03	3.83 [0, 7.66]		
SF-36 RP	41.4 (32.5 – 48.2)	39.2 (32.5 – 48.2)	0.39	2.24 [-2.24, 6.73]		
SF-36 BP	40.2 (34.2 – 46.7)	38.2 (30.6 – 46.7)	0.48	0 [-0.80, 4.43]		
SF-36 GH	44.4 (35.6 – 50.8)	38.0 (33.2 – 46.1)	0.06	4.75 [0, 8.55]		

SF-36 VT	49.6 (40.0 – 55.6)	43.7 (37.7 – 52.6)	0.20	2.97 [-2.97, 5.95]
SF-36 SF	47.3 (32.3 – 57.3)	42.3 (37.3 – 47.3)	0.31	0 [0, 10.20]
SF-36 RE	45.7 (35.3 – 56.2)	42.2 (28.3 – 56.2)	0.42	0 [0, 6.96]
SF-36 MH	52.2 (40.4 – 58.7)	48.3 (40.4 – 56.1)	0.28	2.61 [-2.62, 5.24]
SF-36 PCS	40.8 (33.5 – 45.4)	36.6 (31.4 – 43.7)	0.12	2.75 [-0.71, 6.02]
SF-36 MCS	48.7 (39.4 – 58.6)	46.4 (37.7 – 57.4)	0.47	1.71 [-2.88, 6.90]
EQ-5D VAS	0.66(0.59 - 0.69)	0.66(0.50-0.67)	0.67	0 [-0.03, 0.03]
VascuQol	4.9(3.9-5.9)	4.9 (3.6 – 5.5)	0.48	0.16 [-0.36, 0.64]

437 <u>KEY</u>

438 PF – Physical Function

439 RP – Role Physical

440 BP – Bodily Pain

441 GH – General Health

442 VT – Vitality

443 SF – Social Functioning

444 RE – Role Emotional

445 MH – Mental Health

446 PCS – Physical Component Summary

447 MCS – Mental Component Summary

448

Table 3: Median pain free and maximum walking distances at all trial time points.

450

Walking distance Intervention Group Control Group		p value	Estimate median	
Meters (IQR)	(n=55)	(n=55)		difference [95% CI]
Baseline Pain Free	49 (32.7 – 82.4)	40 (22.7 – 72.1)	0.10	8.77 [-2.13, 18.99]
Baseline Maximum	85 (55.4 – 132.5)	93 (47.5 – 141.1)	0.93	-1.03 [-22.01, 17.75]
4-weeks Pain Free	87 (58.2 – 127.8)	58 (30.5 – 110.9)	0.03	20.03 [2.14, 38.34]
4-weeks Maximum	142 (90.3 – 176.1)	103 (54.1 – 195.1)	0.12	22.94 [-6.90, 52.54]
8-weeks Pain Free	98 (56.1 – 147.1)	60 (37.1 – 91.2)	0.006	31.95 [10.61, 57.10]
8-weeks Maximum	158 (107.5 – 256.8)	110 (62.4 – 200.6)	0.04	38.34 [1.30, 73.76]
12-weeks Pain Free	106 (67.5 – 157.6)	70 (43.5 – 106)	0.004	34.08 [11.36, 56.80]
12-weeks Maximum	172 (118.6 – 239.3)	114 (68.7 – 200.9)	0.01	51.37 [10.65, 86.50]

References

- 1. Song P, Rudan D, Zhu Y, Fowkes FJI, Rahimi K, Fowkes FGR, et al. Global, regional,
- and national prevalence and risk factors for peripheral artery disease in 2015: an
- 455 updated systematic review and analysis. Lancet Glob Heal. 2019 Aug 1;7(8):e1020–
- 456 30.
- 457 2. Fowkes FGR, Rudan D, Rudan I, Aboyans V, Denenberg JO, McDermott MM, et al.
- 458 Comparison of global estimates of prevalence and risk factors for peripheral artery
- disease in 2000 and 2010: A systematic review and analysis. Lancet.
- 460 2013;382(9901):1329–40.
- 461 3. Harwood AE, Pymer S, Ingle L, Doherty P, Chetter IC, Parmenter B, et al. Exercise
- 462 training for intermittent claudication: A narrative review and summary of guidelines
- for practitioners [Internet]. Vol. 6, BMJ Open Sport and Exercise Medicine. BMJ
- 464 Publishing Group; 2020 [cited 2021 Mar 20]. Available from:
- 465 /pmc/articles/PMC7673109/
- 466 4. Chetter IC, Spark JI, Dolan P, Scott DJA, Kester RC. Quality of life analysis in
- patients with lower limb ischaemia: Suggestions for European standardisation. Eur J
- Vasc Endovasc Surg [Internet]. 1997 [cited 2021 Mar 22];13(6):597–604. Available
- from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9236714/
- 5. Dumville JC, Lee AJ, Smith FB, Fowkes FGR. The health-related quality of life of
- people with peripheral arterial disease in the community: The Edinburgh Artery Study.
- 472 Br J Gen Pract [Internet]. 2004 Nov [cited 2021 Mar 20];54(508):826–31. Available
- from: /pmc/articles/PMC1324915/
- 474 6. Aboyans V, Ricco J-B, Bartelink M-LEL, Björck M, Brodmann M, Cohnert T, et al.
- Editor's Choice 2017 ESC Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Peripheral

- Arterial Diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery
- 477 (ESVS). Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg [Internet]. 2018 Mar [cited 2020 Feb
- 478 2];55(3):305–68. Available from:
- https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1078588417304549
- 480 7. NICE. Clinical Guideline (CG147) Peripheral arterial disease: diagnosis and
- management. NICE Guidel [Internet]. 2018;(August 2012). Available from:
- https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg147
- 483 8. Lane R, Harwood A, Watson L, Leng GC. Exercise for intermittent claudication.
- Cochrane Database Syst Rev [Internet]. 2017 Dec 26 [cited 2020 Feb 2]; Available
- from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/14651858.CD000990.pub4
- 9. Dua A, Gologorsky R, Savage D, Rens N, Gandhi N, Brooke B, et al. National
- assessment of availability, awareness, and utilization of supervised exercise therapy for
- peripheral artery disease patients with intermittent claudication. In: Journal of Vascular
- Surgery [Internet]. Mosby Inc.; 2020 [cited 2021 Mar 21]. p. 1702–7. Available from:
- 490 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31699514/
- 491 10. Harwood AE, Smith GE, Cayton T, Broadbent E, Chetter IC. A systematic review of
- the uptake and adherence rates to supervised exercise programs in patients with
- intermittent claudication. Vol. 34, Annals of Vascular Surgery. Elsevier Inc.; 2016. p.
- 494 280–9.
- 495 11. Harwood AE, Hitchman LH, Ingle L, Doherty P, Chetter IC. Preferred exercise
- modalities in patients with intermittent claudication. J Vasc Nurs [Internet]. 2018 Jun 1
- 497 [cited 2021 Mar 20];36(2):81–4. Available from:
- 498 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29747787/
- 499 12. Harwood AE, Pymer S, Ingle L, Doherty P, Chetter IC, Parmenter B, et al. Exercise

- training for intermittent claudication: a narrative review and summary of guidelines for
- practitioners. [cited 2021 Mar 21]; Available from: http://bmjopensem.bmj.com/
- 502 13. Harwood AE, Pymer S, Ibeggazene S, Ingle L, Caldow E, Birkett ST. Provision of
- exercise services in patients with peripheral artery disease in the United Kingdom.
- Vascular [Internet]. 2022 Oct 1 [cited 2022 Oct 15];30(5):874–81. Available from:
- 505 https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/17085381211035259
- 506 14. Xu ZH, Jiang Q, Chen DY, Xiong J, Shi DQ, Yuan T, et al. Extracorporeal shock
- wave treatment in nonunions of long bone fractures. Int Orthop [Internet]. 2009 Jun 25
- 508 [cited 2021 Mar 21];33(3):789–93. Available from:
- 509 https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00264-008-0553-8
- 510 15. Zissler A, Stoiber W, Pittner S, Sänger AM. Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy in
- Acute Injury Care: A Systematic Review. Rehabil Process Outcome [Internet]. 2018
- Jan 1 [cited 2021 Mar 21];7:117957271876513. Available from:
- 513 http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1179572718765138
- 16. Hitchman LH, Totty JP, Raza A, Cai P, Smith GE, Carradice D, et al. Extracorporeal
- Shockwave Therapy for Diabetic Foot Ulcers: A Systematic Review and Meta-
- Analysis. Vol. 56, Annals of Vascular Surgery. Elsevier Inc.; 2019. p. 330–9.
- 517 17. Hitchman LH, Totty JP, Cai P, Smith GE, Carradice D, Chetter IC. Extracorporeal
- shockwave therapy for diabetic foot ulcers: a feasibility study. J Wound Care
- [Internet]. 2023 Mar 2 [cited 2023 Apr 11];32(3):182–92. Available from:
- 520 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36930191/
- 521 18. Takakuwa Y, Sarai M, Kawai H, Yamada A, Shiino K, Takada K, et al. Extracorporeal
- Shock Wave Therapy for Coronary Artery Disease: Relationship of Symptom
- Amelioration and Ischemia Improvement. Asia Ocean J Nucl Med Biol [Internet].

- 524 2018 [cited 2021 Mar 21];6(1):1–9. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5765327/
- 525 19. Čelutkiene J, Burneikaite G, Shkolnik E, Jakutis G, Vajauskas D, Čerlinskaite K, et al.
- The effect of cardiac shock wave therapy on myocardial function and perfusion in the
- randomized, triple-blind, sham-procedure controlled study. Cardiovasc Ultrasound
- [Internet]. 2019 Jul 4 [cited 2021 Mar 21];17(1):13. Available from:
- 529 https://cardiovascularultrasound.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12947-019-
- 530 0163-1
- 531 20. Cayton T, Harwood AE, Smith GE, Totty JP, Carradice D, Chetter IC. Extracorporeal
- shockwave therapy for the treatment of lower limb intermittent claudication: study
- protocol for a randomised controlled trial (the SHOCKWAVE 1 trial). Trials
- [Internet]. 2017 Dec 6 [cited 2021 Mar 20];18(1):104. Available from:
- http://trialsjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13063-017-1844-4
- 536 21. Harwood AE, Green J, Cayton T, Raza A, Wallace T, Carradice D, et al. A feasibility
- double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial of extracorporeal shockwave therapy
- as a novel treatment for intermittent claudication. J Vasc Surg. 2018 Feb 1;67(2):514-
- 539 521.e2.
- 540 22. Green JL, Harwood AE, Smith GE, Das T, Raza A, Cayton T, et al. Extracorporeal
- shockwave therapy for intermittent claudication: Medium-term outcomes from a
- double-blind randomised placebo-controlled pilot trial.
- 543 https://doi.org/101177/1708538118773618 [Internet]. 2018 May 3 [cited 2022 Oct
- 544 25];26(5):531–9. Available from:
- 545 https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1708538118773618
- 546 23. World Medical Association declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical
- research involving human subjects. Vol. 310, JAMA Journal of the American

- 548 Medical Association. 2013.
- 549 24. Cayton T, Harwood AE, Smith GE, Totty JP, Carradice D, Chetter IC. Extracorporeal
- shockwave therapy for the treatment of lower limb intermittent claudication: study
- protocol for a randomised controlled trial (the SHOCKWAVE 1 trial). Trials
- [Internet]. 2017 Mar 6 [cited 2023 Sep 4];18(1). Available from:
- https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28264725/
- 554 25. Ware JE, Snow KK, Kosinski M, Gandek B. SF-36 Health Survey Manual and
- Interpretation Guide. Bost New Engl Med Cent [Internet]. 1993 [cited 2013 Sep 23];
- Available from: http://www.mendeley.com/catalog/sf-36-health-survey-manual-
- 557 interpretation-guide/
- 558 26. Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, Montori V, Gøtzsche PC, Devereaux PJ, et al.
- 559 CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting
- parallel group randomised trials. BMJ [Internet]. 2010 Mar 24 [cited 2023 Sep
- 561 2];340:869. Available from: https://www.bmj.com/content/340/bmj.c869
- 562 27. How life has changed in Kingston upon Hull: Census 2021 [Internet]. [cited 2023 Sep
- 563 2]. Available from:
- https://www.ons.gov.uk/visualisations/censusareachanges/E06000010/
- 565 28. Sajobi TT, Wang M, Awosoga O, Santana M, Southern D, Liang Z, et al. Trajectories
- of health-related quality of life in coronary artery disease. Circ Cardiovasc Qual
- Outcomes [Internet]. 2018 Mar 1 [cited 2021 Mar 21];11(3). Available from:
- 568 http://ahajournals.org
- 569 29. Trikkalinou A, Papazafiropoulou AK, Melidonis A. Type 2 diabetes and quality of life.
- World J Diabetes [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Mar 21];8(4):120. Available from:
- 571 /pmc/articles/PMC5394731/

- 572 30. Naito A, Honma T, Sekizawa K. Quality of life in COPD patients. Respir Circ. 2002
- 573 Mar 1;50(3):241–5.
- 574 31. Lane R, Harwood A, Watson L, Leng GC. Exercise for intermittent claudication.
- Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017 Dec 26;
- 576 32. Gardner AW, Montgomery PS, Wang M. Minimal clinically important differences in
- treadmill, 6-minute walk, and patient-based outcomes following supervised and home-
- based exercise in peripheral artery disease. Vasc Med (United Kingdom) [Internet].
- 579 2018 Aug 1 [cited 2021 Mar 22];23(4):349–57. Available from:
- 580 /pmc/articles/PMC6062461/
- 581 33. Raza A, Harwood A, Totty J, Smith G, Chetter I. Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy
- for Peripheral Arterial Disease: A Review of the Potential Mechanisms of Action
- [Internet]. Vol. 45, Annals of Vascular Surgery. Elsevier Inc.; 2017 [cited 2021 Mar
- 584 21]. p. 294–8. Available from:
- http://www.annalsofvascularsurgery.com/article/S0890509617308452/fulltext
- 586 34. Belcaro G, Cesarone MR, Dugall M, Di Renzo A, Errichi BM, Cacchio M, et al.
- Effects of Shock Waves on Microcirculation, Perfusion, and Pain Management in
- 588 Critical Limb Ischemia. http://dx.doi.org/101177/000331970505600407 [Internet].
- 589 2016 Sep 6 [cited 2022 Oct 16];56(4):403–7. Available from:
- 590 https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/000331970505600407?url_ver=Z39.88-
- 591 2003&rfr_id=ori%3Arid%3Acrossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub++0pubmed
- 592 35. Gulati S, Coughlin PA, Hatfield J, Chetter IC. Quality of life in patients with lower
- limb ischemia; revised suggestions for analysis. J Vasc Surg [Internet]. 2009 Jan 1
- 594 [cited 2022 Oct 23];49(1):122–6. Available from:
- 595 http://www.jvascsurg.org/article/S0741521408013608/fulltext

596	<i>3</i> 6.	O'Banion LA, Saadi S, Hasan B, Nayfeh I, Simons JP, Murad MH, et al. Lack of
597		patient-centered evaluation of outcomes in intermittent claudication literature. J Vasc
598		Surg [Internet]. 2023 Sep 1 [cited 2023 Sep 3];78(3):828–36. Available from:
599		http://www.jvascsurg.org/article/S0741521423010157/fulltext
600	37.	Chetter C, Spark JI, Dolan P, Scott DJA, Kester RC. ESVS PRIZE WINNER 1996
601		Quality of Life Analysis in Patients with Lower Limb Ischaemia: Suggestions for
602		European Standardisation. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 1997;13:597–604.
603	38.	Nicolaï SPA, Viechtbauer W, Kruidenier LM, Candel MJJM, Prins MH, Teijink JAW.
604		Reliability of treadmill testing in peripheral arterial disease: a meta-regression
605		analysis. J Vasc Surg [Internet]. 2009 Aug [cited 2023 Sep 4];50(2):322–9. Available
606		from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19631868/
607	39.	McDermott MM, Guralnik JM, Criqui MH, Liu K, Kibbe MR, Ferrucci L. The Six-
608		Minute Walk is a Better Outcome Measure than Treadmill Walking Tests in
609		Therapeutic Trials of Patients with Peripheral Artery Disease. Circulation [Internet].
610		2014 Jul 7 [cited 2023 Sep 4];130(1):61. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC4154227/
611		