

Predictors of voluntary uptake of modern contraceptive methods in rural Sindh, Pakistan

MEMON, Zahid, MIAN, Abeer, AHMED, Wardah, JAWWAD, Muhammad, MUHAMMAD, Shah, NOORANI, Abdul Qayyum, BHUTTA, Zulfiqar and SOLTANI, Hora <<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9611-6777>>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<https://shura.shu.ac.uk/33527/>

This document is the Supplemental Material

Citation:

MEMON, Zahid, MIAN, Abeer, AHMED, Wardah, JAWWAD, Muhammad, MUHAMMAD, Shah, NOORANI, Abdul Qayyum, BHUTTA, Zulfiqar and SOLTANI, Hora (2024). Predictors of voluntary uptake of modern contraceptive methods in rural Sindh, Pakistan. PLOS Global Public Health, 4 (4): e0002419. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

S2_Table. Distribution of MCM among sociodemographic characteristics

	Female Sterilization	Male Sterilization	IUD (Intrauterine Device)	Injection	Implants	Pills	Condom	SDM (Standard Days Method)	Lactation amen method (LAM)	Rhythm method	Withdrawal
No of children											
1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (2.6%)	0 (0%)	5 (1.6%)	16 (6.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)
2	4 (1.7%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	21 (8.3%)	3 (0.9%)	16 (6.1%)	15 (5.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	2 (0.7%)
3	6 (2.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)	20 (7.6%)	4 (1.5%)	12 (4.2%)	12 (4.1%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)
4 or more children	99 (11.5%)	1 (0.1%)	10 (1.1%)	65 (7.5%)	29 (3.3%)	29 (3.3%)	44 (4.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.3%)	13 (0.9%)
Respondent's age											
15-19	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (6.9%)	1 (1.5%)	2 (6.7%)	1 (1.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
20-24	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (7.5%)	1 (0.6%)	5 (2.2%)	15 (8.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
25-29	7 (2.3%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.6%)	14 (4.5%)	1 (0.4%)	15 (4.8%)	13 (4.8%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (1.2%)
30-34	20 (5%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.4%)	37 (8.7%)	11 (2.3%)	16 (3.2%)	25 (5.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.7%)	7 (1.6%)
35-39	48 (13.8%)	1 (0.3%)	3 (1.2%)	22 (6%)	7 (2.1%)	13 (4.4%)	22 (5.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (1.3 %)
40 or above	34 (9.8%)	0 (0%)	5 (1.1%)	24 (7.4%)	15 (4.3%)	11 (2.5%)	11 (2.6%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	4 (1.1%)
Respondent's Education											
No education	70 (6.3%)	0 (0%)	10 (0.8%)	84 (7%)	30 (2.5%)	40 (3.2%)	38 (2.8%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	3 (0.2%)	10(0.8%)
Primary	26 (10.1%)	1 (0.5%)	2 (0.8%)	18 (7.9%)	3 (1.3%)	12 (5.4%)	16 (6.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (2.6%)
Middle	6 (9.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (13.1%)	0 (0%)	2 (2.8%)	4 (6.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Secondary	3 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.2%)	1 (1.2%)	2 (2.7%)	12 (16%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3(4.4%)
Intermediate or above	4 (3.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (3%)	2 (1.9%)	6 (5.4%)	17 (18.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Huband's Education											
No education	34 (6.1%)	1 (0.2%)	7 (0.9%)	54 (8.8%)	19 (2.8%)	25 (3.9%)	14 (1.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.3%)
Primary	16 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	21 (5.9%)	6 (1.7%)	7 (2%)	16 (4.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	2 (0.5%)
Middle	9 (8.8%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	10 (9%)	3 (3.8%)	6 (5.2%)	10 (8.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.8%)
Secondary	17 (7.4%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.7%)	11 (5%)	7 (3.3%)	7 (3.3%)	13 (5.6%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	4 (1.8%)
Intermediate or above	33 (8.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.8%)	17 (5.2%)	1 (0.3%)	17 (4.3%)	34 (9.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.8%)
Wealth Index											

Poorest	15 (4.3%)	1 (0.4%)	2 (0.5%)	28 (8.4%)	6 (2%)	11 (3.7%)	5 (1.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.7%)
Poor	15 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.7%)	22 (7.9%)	9 (2.7%)	8 (2.6%)	6 (1.5%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.7%)
Middle	22 (7.3%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.8%)	30 (8.5%)	10 (2.7%)	14 (3.7%)	9 (2.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	5 (1.4%)
Rich	30 (9.6%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)	21 (5.7%)	7 (2.2%)	15 (4.1%)	25 (7.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	3 (0.9%)
Richest	27 (7.7%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.6%)	12 (4%)	4 (1.1%)	14 (3.8%)	42 (11.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.6%)	7 (2.0%)