

Physical activity in adult users of inpatient mental health services: a scoping review

TEW, Garry, PECKHAM, Emily, KER, Suzy, PHILIP, Hodgson, SMITH, Jo, MACHACZEK, Katarzyna <<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5308-2407>> and FAIRES, Matthew

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<https://shura.shu.ac.uk/33469/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

TEW, Garry, PECKHAM, Emily, KER, Suzy, PHILIP, Hodgson, SMITH, Jo, MACHACZEK, Katarzyna and FAIRES, Matthew (2024). Physical activity in adult users of inpatient mental health services: a scoping review. PLoS One, 19 (8): e0301857.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Physical activity in adult users of inpatient mental health services: A scoping review

Garry A. Tew^{1*}, Emily Peckham², Suzy Ker³, Jo Smith³, Philip Hodgson^{1,3}, Katarzyna K. Machaczek⁴, Matthew Faires¹

1 Institute for Health and Care Improvement, York St John University, York, United Kingdom, **2** School of Medical and Health Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, United Kingdom, **3** Tees Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust, Darlington, United Kingdom, **4** College of Health, Wellbeing and Life Sciences, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, United Kingdom

* g.tew@yorks.ac.uk



Abstract

People with severe mental illness engage in considerably less physical activity than those without. They also experience premature mortality of around 10–25 years. A large proportion of these premature deaths are attributed to modifiable behaviours, including physical activity. The inpatient environment provides an opportunity to support people to become more physically active; however, there is limited evidence on which interventions are most successful and what contextual factors affect their delivery. A scoping review was conducted to help understand the extent and type of evidence in this area and identify research gaps. We included studies of physical activity correlates and interventions in adult inpatient mental health services published in peer-reviewed journals. Reviews, meta-analyses, and papers focusing on eating disorder populations were excluded. We searched the MEDLINE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, ASSIA and Web of Science databases for relevant studies published in English. We extracted data on study design, participant characteristics, intervention and control conditions, key findings, and research recommendations. We used a descriptive analytical approach and results are presented in tables and figures. Of 27,286 unique records screened, 210 reports from 182 studies were included. Sixty-one studies reported on correlates of physical activity, and 139 studies reported on physical activity interventions. Most intervention studies used a single-group, pre-post design (40%) and included fewer than 100 participants (86%). Ninety percent of interventions delivered physical activity directly to participants, and 50% included group-based sessions. The duration, type, frequency and intensity of sessions varied. Mental health was the most commonly reported outcome (64%), whereas physical activity was rarely an outcome (13%). Overall, there is a modest but growing body of research on physical activity in adult users of inpatient mental health services. More high-quality trials are needed to advance the field, and future research should target neglected intervention types, outcomes, populations and settings.

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Tew GA, Peckham E, Ker S, Smith J, Hodgson P, Machaczek KK, et al. (2024) Physical activity in adult users of inpatient mental health services: A scoping review. *PLoS ONE* 19(8): e0301857. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301857>

Editor: Maher Abdelraheim Titi, King Saud University Medical City, SAUDI ARABIA

Received: November 4, 2023

Accepted: March 22, 2024

Published: August 19, 2024

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301857>

Copyright: © 2024 Tew et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its [Supporting information](#) files.

Funding: This study was supported by Research Capability Funding from Tees Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Trust. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

People who use mental health services, including people with severe mental illness (SMI), depression, anxiety or stress disorders and people with alcohol and substance use disorders, experience worse health outcomes and a shortened life expectancy when compared to people without these disorders (10–25 years for SMI, 7–20 years for anxiety/stress disorders and alcohol and substance use disorders) [1–4]. Much of this reduced life expectancy is due to modifiable risk factors such as engaging in health risk behaviours, one of which is physical inactivity [5–7]; and it has been reported that between 70 and 75% of people with schizophrenia do not meet public health targets for physical activity [8]. Yet, physical activity interventions have been shown to improve cardiometabolic health outcomes in people with mental illnesses and improve symptoms of depression, cognitive function, feelings of isolation, and quality of life [9–11].

Insufficient physical activity and excessive sedentary behaviour in people receiving care for SMI have been observed in both community and inpatient settings [12,13]. However, the majority of intervention studies have recruited people receiving care in community and outpatient settings, and less research has been done in inpatient settings [14]. While some of the reasons for insufficient physical activity and excessive sedentary behaviour are common across both inpatient and community settings, such as the sedative effects of psychotropic medicine [15] and mental health symptoms (lack of motivation, anhedonia, fatigue and negative body image) [13], there are some unique barriers presented by inpatient settings. For example, lack of appropriate facilities, lack of suitably trained staff to support physical activity, and restrictions on leave for people detained under mental health legislation all contribute to decreased opportunities for physical activity in inpatient settings [16–19]. However, inpatient physical activity programmes provide an opportunity to improve patients' physical and mental health. For this reason, it is important to have an up-to-date understanding of the literature on this topic.

The scope of inpatient physical activity research is broad, including quantitative or qualitative research such as randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of physical activity interventions or interviews with service users exploring their perceptions of physical activity. Studies have also explored correlates of physical activity or been more intervention focused. Qualitative studies have examined the views of patients, carers or healthcare professionals, or a particular subpopulation of patients. Recent reviews regarding inpatient physical activity have focused on tightly defined inclusion criteria leading to the inclusion of specific sets of studies, such as RCTs. One systematic review and meta-analysis [14] explored the benefits of, adherence to, and safety of physical activity interventions delivered in inpatient mental health settings. This review and meta-analysis also investigated trials that supported sustaining physical activity after patient discharge and discussed patient feedback on physical activity interventions [14]. In addition, two reviews focused on physical activity interventions for inpatients in secure forensic settings. The first of these reviews investigated the effectiveness of physical activity programmes for inpatients in secure forensic settings on various health outcomes [20]. The second paper used the scoping review methodology to explore and synthesise the literature on physical activity interventions for inpatients in secure mental health settings [21]. [14,20,21] A broader review of inpatient physical activity research, that considers all inpatient settings and quantitative and qualitative evidence would be advantageous to understand the current state of the literature and inform future research. A scoping review is a suitable way of achieving this [22]. Understanding and mapping the available evidence on physical activity in inpatient settings, including qualitative and quantitative research, is important due to their complementary nature. They answer different questions about physical activity. For example, quantitative research can

shed light on cause-and-effect relationships between various factors associated with physical activity, while qualitative research can tell us why this is the case. A scoping review also provides a means to determine whether there is scope and a need for a systematic review of a particular type or in a specific area of literature, and to identify gaps in the existing research base that could be filled by future primary research [22]. The overall aim of this scoping review was therefore to understand the extent and type of evidence regarding physical activity in adult users of inpatient mental health services. This included study designs used, primary conditions of participants, types of outcomes assessed, correlates of physical activity explored, intervention characteristics, outcomes, and research recommendations.

Methods

This scoping review was conducted in accordance with the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) methodology for scoping reviews [23] and reported in accordance with the PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA ScR) [24]. A protocol was prepared in advance and published in the Open Science Framework [25].

Participants/Context

We included studies pertaining to adult (≥ 18 years) users of inpatient mental health services. This included studies where psychiatric inpatients were the participants and studies where other stakeholders were involved (e.g., healthcare professionals giving their views regarding services for psychiatric inpatients). Studies focusing on learning disability populations were included whereas those focusing on eating disorder populations were excluded because of the unique requirement to carefully manage energy input/output in these populations. “Inpatient Setting” was defined as mental health care facilities which provide continuous care for a period of over 24 hours. This included psychiatric hospitals, separate inpatient units of a general hospital, residential treatment centres, and the prison service. Outpatient and community living participant-based studies were not included. There were no limits on the country of origin.

Concept

The phenomenon of interest was physical activity. Physical activity has been defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles and requiring energy expenditure [26]. Exercise is a subset of physical activity that has been defined as any structured and repetitive physical activity that has an objective of improving or maintaining physical fitness [26]. To address the aims of the review we took a broad view of physical activity and included studies that had focused on supervised exercise or promotion of self-managed physical activity.

Types of sources

This scoping review included experimental and quasi-experimental study designs such as RCTs, non-randomised controlled trials, before and after studies and interrupted time-series studies. In addition, analytical observational studies including prospective and retrospective cohort studies, case-control studies and analytical cross-sectional studies were included. This review also considered descriptive observational study designs including case series, individual case reports and descriptive cross-sectional studies for inclusion. Qualitative studies that utilised various methodologies (e.g., phenomenology, grounded theory, ethnography, action research) were also considered. Literature reviews and meta-analyses were used to identify primary studies, but were excluded from data analysis. Conference abstracts and opinion papers were also excluded.

Search strategy

The search strategy targeted peer-reviewed publications. A pilot search of the MEDLINE database was undertaken to identify articles on the topic. The text words contained in the titles and abstracts of relevant articles, and the index terms used to describe them were employed to develop a full search strategy for the MEDLINE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, ASSIA and Web of Science databases ([S1 File](#)). The search strategy, including all identified keywords and index terms, was adapted for each included database and/or information source. The database searches were conducted on October 31, 2022 and updated on October 24, 2023. The reference list of all included sources of evidence, and those of any review articles or meta-analyses, were screened for additional studies. We also conducted forward citation tracking of included studies using Google Scholar. We only included studies that were published in English language from 2007 onwards. The latter relates to amendments in the 1983 Mental Health Act that were made in 2007; prior studies may have employed different clinical practices and patient populations.

Study selection

Following the search, all identified citations were collated and uploaded into Covidence [27], and duplicates were removed. After a pilot test, the titles and abstracts were screened by pairs of independent reviewers against the eligibility criteria for the review. Potentially relevant sources were retrieved in full and assessed in detail against the eligibility criteria by pairs of independent reviewers. The reasons for excluding sources of evidence in full text that did not meet the eligibility criteria were documented. Any disagreements that arose between the reviewers at each stage of the selection process were resolved through discussion or with the aid of additional reviewers.

Data extraction

Data were extracted from papers included in the scoping review by pairs of independent reviewers using a data extraction form ([S2 File](#)) developed by the reviewers in Covidence [27], which was based on the JBI template extraction tool [28]. The form was piloted on twenty papers to ensure it was fit for purpose.

The extracted data encompassed specific details about the participants, concept, context, study methods, key findings relevant to the review questions, and research recommendations. Any disagreements between the reviewers were resolved through discussion or with the aid of an additional reviewer. Due to limited timescales and resources, we did not contact any authors to request missing or additional data.

Data analysis and presentation

A descriptive analytical approach was used to summarise the included studies' contextual, process and outcome-related data [29,30]. This approach was undertaken to map the key concepts and available evidence, synthesise existing research findings, and identify research gaps.

Extracted data were organised in Microsoft Excel. Physical activity correlates were categorised based on the Socio-Ecological Model [31–33]. This model was chosen as it considers the multifaceted and interactive effects of various factors (e.g., interpersonal, organisational and community), which characterise the delivery of physical activity interventions for people with SMI. Themes for research recommendations were derived inductively following review of original quotes by two independent reviewers. The analysis was reported in accordance with the synthesis without meta-analysis (SWiM) guideline [34], with data presented in tables and figures where appropriate.




Fig 1. PRISMA flow diagram.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301857.g001>

Results

[Fig 1](#) shows the PRISMA flow diagram. The database searches yielded 35,278 records. After removing duplicates, we screened 27,040 records, from which we reviewed 280 full-text reports, and finally included 132 reports. Later, we reviewed a further 246 full-text reports that were identified from review articles or the forward and backward citations of included reports. This resulted in a further 78 reports being included. Together, 210 reports from 182 studies were included in the review. The complete reference list of included reports can be found in [S3 File](#).

Descriptive characteristics and methodological information of included articles

Sixty-one (34%) studies reported on correlates of physical activity, 139 (76%) studies reported on physical activity interventions, and 19 (10%) studies reported on both physical activity correlates and interventions.

Of the 61 studies on correlates of physical activity, 43 (70%) studies reported on quantitative data (28 observational studies, 15 interventional studies) and 22 (36%) studies reported on qualitative data (14 observational studies, 8 interventional studies) ([Table 1](#)). Sample sizes varied by study type, with observational quantitative studies including the largest samples. The majority of studies were conducted in Europe (62%), with most of the remainder originating from Australasia (16%) and Asia (11%). The three most common settings were psychiatric hospitals (39%), forensic/secure settings (23%), and 'mixed' settings (16%).

Table 1. Characteristics of studies of physical activity correlates by type of study*.

	Quantitative		Qualitative		Overall (n = 61)
	Observational (n = 28)	Interventional (n = 15)	Observational (n = 14)	Interventional (n = 8)	
Sample size	1–100: 11 (39%)	1–100: 12 (80%)	1–15: 6 (43%)	1–15: 3 (37.5%)	1–100: 40 (65.5%)
	101–500: 15 (54%)	101–500: 3 (20%)	16+: 8 (57%)	16+: 4 (50%)	101–500: 18 (29.5%)
	500+: 2 (7%)	500+: 0 (0%)	Missing: 0 (0%)	Missing: 1 (12.5%)	500+: 2 (3%)
					Missing: 1 (2%)
Continent					
Europe	16 (57%)	12 (80%)	7 (50%)	6 (75%)	38 (62%)
North America	1 (4%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (5%)
South America	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Asia	4 (14%)	0 (0%)	2 (14%)	1 (12.5%)	7 (11%)
Australasia	5 (18%)	1 (7%)	4 (29%)	1 (12.5%)	10 (16%)
Africa	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)
Multiple continents	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)
Setting					
Psychiatric hospital	13 (46%)	8 (53%)	3 (21%)	3 (37.5%)	24 (39%)
General hospital	1 (4%)	2 (13%)	1 (7%)	2 (25%)	6 (10%)
Mental health rehabilitation centre	3 (11%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (7%)
Forensic/secure	3 (11%)	3 (20%)	5 (36%)	3 (37.5%)	14 (23%)
Mixed	5 (18%)	1 (7%)	5 (36%)	0 (0%)	10 (16%)
Other	2 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)
Missing	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)

*Numbers are not exclusive—studies can be counted multiple times, for example, if they include both quantitative and qualitative data.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301857.t001>

Of the 139 studies on interventions (Table 2), 57 (41%) studies used a single-group, pre-post design and 57 (41%) studies were RCTs. The majority of studies included fewer than 100 participants (86%) and were conducted in Europe (47%) and within a psychiatric hospital (45%). The most common study populations included people with schizophrenia or related psychotic disorders (35%) and a mixture of diagnoses (20%). Mental health was the most commonly reported outcome (64%), whereas physical activity (13%) and quality of life (16%) were rarely reported outcomes (Table 2).

Fourteen (10%) of the intervention studies were qualitative studies. All 14 studies collected qualitative data from service users who had participated in the intervention; seven studies (50%) also collected data from healthcare staff, and one study (7%) also collected data from family members. Most studies (71%) used one-to-one interviews to collect data. Other methods included observations (n = 2), evaluation forms (n = 2), document analysis (n = 1) and focus groups (n = 1).

Correlates of physical activity

Tables 3 and 4 summarise the physical activity correlates examined or highlighted in quantitative and qualitative studies, respectively. A broad range of individual, interpersonal, environmental and organisational correlates was reported regarding inpatient physical activity, whereas no wider societal factors were highlighted. ‘Health status’ and ‘medication side effects’ were the most commonly reported demographic and biological factors in both quantitative (23% and 21%, respectively) and qualitative (55% and 23%, respectively) studies. ‘Self-motivation’ was the most common factor in the ‘psychological, cognitive and emotional’ category

Table 2. Characteristics of studies of physical activity interventions by type of study*.

	Randomised controlled trials (n = 57)	Other design (n = 84)	Overall (n = 139)
Sample size	1–100: 49 (86%)	1–100: 71 (84.5%)	1–100: 119 (86%)
	101–500: 8 (14%)	101–500: 10 (12%)	101–500: 17 (12%)
		Missing: 3 (3.5%)	Missing: 3 (2%)
Continent			
Europe	24 (42%)	44 (52%)	66 (47%)
North America	4 (7%)	13 (15%)	17 (12%)
South America	3 (5%)	1 (1%)	4 (3%)
Asia	24 (42%)	13 (15%)	37 (27%)
Australasia	2 (4%)	12 (14%)	14 (10%)
Africa	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
Multiple continents	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Setting			
Psychiatric hospital	28 (49%)	35 (42%)	63 (45%)
General hospital	16 (28%)	18 (21%)	34 (24%)
Forensic/secure	1 (1%)	15 (18%)	16 (12%)
Mental health rehabilitation centre	9 (16%)	13 (15%)	22 (16%)
Mixed	1 (1%)	2 (2%)	3 (2%)
Other	2 (4%)	1 (1%)	3 (2%)
Primary condition			
Depression or bipolar disorder	17 (30%)	10 (12%)	27 (19%)
Schizophrenia or related psychotic disorders	23 (40%)	25 (30%)	48 (35%)
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder	1 (2%)	1 (1%)	2 (1%)
Dementia	2 (4%)	1 (1%)	3 (2%)
Substance misuse	4 (7%)	3 (4%)	7 (5%)
Personality disorder	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
Learning disability	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
Mixed	6 (11%)	22 (26%)	28 (20%)
Unclear	4 (7%)	20 (24%)	24 (17%)
Type of programme			
Physical activity delivered	46 (81%)	67 (80%)	113 (81%)
Physical activity promoted	7 (12%)	4 (5%)	11 (8%)
Mixed	3 (5%)	10 (12%)	13 (9%)
Unclear	1 (2%)	3 (4%)	4 (3%)
Type of physical activity			
Various activities (including the other categories listed)	11 (19%)	22 (26%)	33 (24%)
Structured exercise	22 (39%)	26 (31%)	48 (34.5%)
Exer-gaming	1 (2%)	4 (5%)	5 (4%)
Sport	2 (3.5%)	8 (9.5%)	10 (7%)
Yoga	3 (5%)	8 (9.5%)	11 (8%)
Unstructured walking/ jogging/ running	12 (21%)	5 (6%)	17 (12%)
Dance	4 (7%)	6 (7%)	8 (6%)
Unclear	2 (3.5%)	5 (6%)	7 (5%)
Duration of programme			
Variable	0 (0%)	7 (8%)	7 (5%)
Duration of admission	2 (4%)	17 (20%)	19 (14%)
Fixed (≤6 weeks)	24 (42%)	8 (9.5%)	32 (23%)
Fixed (>6 weeks)	26 (46%)	32 (38%)	58 (42%)

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

	Randomised controlled trials (n = 57)	Other design (n = 84)	Overall (n = 139)
Single session	5 (9%)	11 (19%)	16 (11.5%)
Unclear	0 (0%)	9 (11%)	9 (6.5%)
Frequency of sessions			
Single session	4 (7%)	10 (12%)	14 (10%)
Two sessions	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Three sessions	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
1 x week	1 (2%)	7 (8%)	8 (6%)
2 x week	10 (17.5%)	13 (15.5%)	23 (16.5%)
3 x week	19 (33%)	15 (18%)	34 (24.5%)
4 x week	2 (3.5%)	1 (1%)	3 (2%)
5 x week	5 (9%)	6 (7%)	11 (8%)
6 x week	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
7 x week	7 (12%)	6 (7%)	13 (9%)
Mixed frequency	0 (0%)	8 (9.5%)	8 (6%)
Unclear	7 (12%)	17 (20%)	24 (17%)
Duration of sessions			
0–30 mins	17 (30%)	12 (14%)	29 (21%)
31–60 mins	28 (49%)	44 (52%)	72 (52%)
60+ mins	2 (3.5%)	4 (5%)	6 (4%)
Variable	1 (2%)	2 (2%)	3 (2%)
Unclear	9 (16%)	22 (26%)	31 (22%)
Intensity of physical activity			
Self-selected	4 (7%)	7 (8%)	11 (8%)
Prescribed	34 (60%)	20 (24%)	54 (39%)
Unclear	19 (33%)	57 (68%)	76 (55%)
Provider			
Study team	15 (26%)	6 (7%)	21 (15%)
Qualified exercise therapist (internal)	16 (28%)	27 (32%)	42 (30%)
Qualified exercise therapist (external)	3 (5%)	10 (12%)	12 (9%)
Physiotherapist	1 (2%)	6 (7%)	7 (5%)
Occupational therapist	2 (3.5%)	7 (8%)	9 (6.5%)
Mental health worker	6 (10.5%)	7 (8%)	13 (9%)
Mental health worker trained by study team	3 (5%)	4 (5%)	7 (5%)
Unclear	11 (19%)	17 (20%)	28 (20%)
Who with?			
Individual	7 (12%)	10 (12%)	17 (12%)
Group	24 (42%)	45 (54%)	69 (50%)
Mixed	4 (7%)	9 (11%)	13 (9%)
Unclear	22 (39%)	20 (24%)	42 (30%)
Comparator			
No comparator	0 (0%)	55 (65%)	55 (40%)
Usual care	25 (44%)	16 (19%)	41 (29%)
Other PA intervention	11 (19%)	4 (5%)	15 (11%)
Other non-PA intervention	11 (19%)	6 (7%)	17 (12%)
Other PA and usual care	6 (11%)	2 (2%)	8 (6%)
Other non-PA and usual care	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)
Other PA and other non-PA intervention	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

	Randomised controlled trials (n = 57)	Other design (n = 84)	Overall (n = 139)
Unclear	1 (2%)	1 (1%)	2 (1%)
Outcomes			
PA self-report	4 (7%)	7 (8%)	11 (8%)
PA device-based	2 (3.5%)	5 (6%)	7 (5%)
PA mixed	2 (3.5%)	2 (2%)	4 (3%)
Mental health	40 (70%)	49 (58%)	89 (64%)
Physical health	22 (39%)	29 (35%)	51 (37%)
Cognition	11 (19%)	1 (1%)	12 (9%)
Quality of life	11 (19%)	11 (13%)	22 (16%)

*Numbers are not exclusive—studies can be counted multiple times.

PA, Physical activity.

'PA promoted' refers to interventions such as health education, coaching and physical activity referral designed to increase total levels of physical activity. 'PA delivered' refers to interventions, such as structured exercise like strength, balance, functional and/or resistance training, where the activity is provided directly to the participant.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301857.t002>

(37% quantitative, 45% qualitative). Factors were less frequently reported in the 'behavioural' and 'social and cultural' categories, but 'physical activity enjoyment' (7% quantitative, 5% qualitative) and 'social support' (26% quantitative, 41% qualitative) were the most common factors, respectively. 'Access to equipment and facilities' (28% quantitative) and 'environment restrictions' (23% quantitative, 32% qualitative) were commonly cited physical environment factors. 'Staff capacity' (33% quantitative, 82% qualitative) and 'staff capability' (51% quantitative, 59% qualitative) were among the top-ranking organisational factors.

Table 5 summarises the themes of research recommendations from the studies of physical activity correlates. Twenty-two (36%) of the 61 studies included research recommendations, which we grouped according to whether they were related to the research topic, research methods, or patient involvement.

Physical activity interventions

The components of physical activity interventions are summarised in Table 2. Most interventions delivered physical activity directly to participants (90%) and in group-based sessions (50%). Most physical activity interventions included structured exercise (34.5%) such as aerobic and/or resistance training, or a variety of physical activities (24%). Fewer studies considered sport- or dance-based interventions (7% and 6%, respectively). The duration, frequency and intensity of sessions varied (see Table 2). Most interventions were delivered by health and/or exercise professionals, but few studies assessed interventions for staff. There was also very little evidence on environmental interventions with only one study exploring an environmental intervention.

Table 6 summarises the themes of the findings from the 14 qualitative studies, which we grouped under the headings of 'perceptions of the intervention' and 'factors influencing intervention delivery and participation'. Beneficial psychosocial effects of interventions were reported in most studies (79%), such as participants feeling more relaxed, happier and calmer. Several studies reported on a range of personal and environmental factors that might influence participation in physical activity. Examples of personal factors included perceived health benefits, social support, medication side effects, confinement in a locked facility, and the availability of trained staff. Environmental factors included physical space and facilities.

Table 3. Summary of physical activity correlates examined or highlighted in quantitative studies.

Factor	Number (%) of studies out of 43
Demographic and biological factors	
Health status	10 (23%)
Medication side-effects	9 (21%)
Weight/fatness	5 (12%)
Financial status	4 (9%)
Age	4 (9%)
Gender	4 (9%)
Physical activity status of healthcare staff	3 (7%)
Education	3 (7%)
Insomnia	2 (5%)
Fitness status	1 (2%)
Diabetes	1 (2%)
Marital status	1 (2%)
Anti-psychotic medication dose	1 (2%)
Legal guardianship status	1 (2%)
Residential status	1 (2%)
Duration of treatment	1 (2%)
Psychological, cognitive and emotional factors	
Self-motivation	16 (37%)
Psychological health	7 (16%)
Self-efficacy	7 (16%)
Attitudes towards physical activity	6 (14%)
Outcome expectations	6 (14%)
Time availability	5 (12%)
Mental illness stigma	5 (12%)
Mood	5 (12%)
Physical activity knowledge	3 (7%)
Fear of injury	3 (7%)
Fatigue/Tiredness	3 (7%)
Value of physical health benefits	2 (5%)
Cognitive function	1 (2%)
Fear of going outdoors	1 (2%)
Attitudes to staff support	1 (2%)
Self-consciousness	1 (2%)
Mental health condition	1 (2%)
Severity of dependence	1 (2%)
Behavioural factors	
Physical activity enjoyment	3 (7%)
Alcohol use	3 (7%)
Drug use	2 (5%)
Physical activity duration	1 (2%)
Physical activity intensity	1 (2%)
Physical activity autonomy	1 (2%)
Sleep	1 (2%)
Smoking habits	1 (2%)
Dietary habits	1 (2%)
Social and cultural factors	
Social support from family, friends, or peers	11 (26%)

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

Factor	Number (%) of studies out of 43
Staff influence/involvement	5 (12%)
Someone to exercise with	1 (2%)
Acceptance of women exercising	1 (2%)
Acceptance of group exercise	1 (2%)
Religious beliefs	1 (2%)
Physical environment factors	
Access to equipment and facilities	12 (28%)
Environment restrictions	10 (23%)
Safe environment	4 (9%)
Unhealthy environment	2 (5%)
Weather/climate	1 (2%)
Access to green space	1 (2%)
Organisational factors	
Staff capability to support physical activity	22 (51%)
Staff availability/capacity	14 (33%)
Attitudes to/priority for physical activity	10 (23%)
Staff responsibility for physical activity	6 (14%)
Funding	6 (14%)
Opportunities for physical activity	5 (12%)
Staff confidence to support physical activity	2 (5%)
Staff working collaboratively	1 (2%)
Staff awareness of physical health issues	1 (2%)
Hospital size	1 (2%)
Safeguarding procedures	1 (2%)
Awareness of opportunities	1 (2%)
Timing of physical activity sessions	1 (2%)
Length of inpatient stay	1 (2%)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301857.t003>

Table 7 summarises the themes of research recommendations from the studies of physical activity interventions. Forty-nine (35%) of the 139 studies included research recommendations, which we grouped according to whether they were related to the research topic or research methods. The most commonly reported research topic-related recommendation was to investigate other physical activity interventions (11.5%), such as different modes of exercise or different behaviour change strategies. The most commonly reported research methods-related recommendations were longer follow-up periods (12%), investigating other outcomes (11.5%), and larger sample sizes (11%).

Discussion

Summary of evidence

This scoping review shows that a wide range of research has been published regarding physical activity and adult users of inpatient mental health services since 2007.

Forty-three quantitative studies explored correlates of physical activity. Organisational factors and psychological, cognitive and emotional factors were the top two domains cited (**Table 3**). Within these, four out of the top five factors were organisational factors: staff capability, staff capacity, staff attitudes to physical activity, and access to equipment, while self-

Table 4. Summary of physical activity correlates examined or highlighted in qualitative studies.

Factor	Number (%) of studies out of 22
Demographic and biological factors	
Health status	12 (55%)
Medication side-effects	5 (23%)
Psychological, cognitive and emotional factors	
Self-motivation	10 (45%)
Mental illness stigma	7 (32%)
Value of physical health benefits	7 (32%)
Psychological health	3 (14%)
Time availability	2 (9%)
Cognitive function	2 (9%)
Behavioural factors	
Physical activity enjoyment	1 (5%)
Physical activity structure (e.g., intensity/duration)	1 (5%)
Perceived suitability of activities	1 (5%)
Social and cultural factors	
Social support from family, friends or peers	9 (41%)
Acceptance of women exercising	1 (5%)
Acceptance of group exercise	1 (5%)
Physical environment factors	
Environment restrictions	7 (32%)
Safe environment	7 (32%)
Organisational factors	
Staff availability/capacity	18 (82%)
Funding	16 (73%)
Staff capability to support physical activity	13 (59%)
Staff working collaboratively	4 (18%)
Therapeutic relationship with staff	4 (18%)
Links to community groups/services	1 (5%)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301857.t004>

motivation was the second most highly cited correlate of physical activity overall. Similar findings were observed among the 22 qualitative studies that reported on correlates, with the addition of social support. There was also more emphasis on stigma, health, value of health and funding for physical activity programmes in the qualitative studies. Overall, a broad range of correlates have been reported.

One hundred and thirty-nine interventional studies were identified; only eight of these were larger-scale RCTs with 100 or more participants, and long-term follow-up was rare with only six out of the 57 RCTs (11%) having a follow up of 6 months or more. We did not identify any studies that included an economic evaluation, and there were few replications, suggesting that it would be challenging to evaluate the clinical and cost-effectiveness of physical activity interventions within the current evidence.

In terms of intervention design, the majority of studies explored an intervention that involved delivering physical activity (81%) rather than promoting physical activity (8%); 9% used a mixed model of both delivering and promoting physical activity. Interventions were mainly limited to the period of inpatient stay. The type of physical activity delivered was generally some form of structured exercise or physical recreation targeting individuals. However, descriptions of the interventions were often incomplete, and interventions appeared to lack

Table 5. Themes of research recommendations from studies of physical activity correlates.

Theme	Examples of specific research recommendations	Number (%) of articles out of 61
Research topic-related recommendations		
Investigate other groups	“it seems worthwhile to replicate this study in out-patients” [35] “it might be preferable to compare more extreme groups” [35] “subgroups of patients for example, with persons with severe depression” [36]	6 (10%)
Investigate other correlates	“implicit attitudes towards exercise” [35] “consider other comparisons such as by body mass index, perceived physical health or age” [37] “the role of systemic influences on mental health treatment for exercise facilitation” [38] “investigation of non-linear relationships between the physical activity and the basic psychological needs is warranted” [39]	6 (10%)
Investigate other setting	“consider staff perceptions across other healthcare settings” [40]	2 (3%)
Research methods-related recommendations		
Use other data collection methods	“incorporating objective measurements of physical activity and sedentary behaviour” [41] “A more structured questionnaire or short interviews with patients might have allowed for the collection of richer descriptions” [42] “More extensive interviews with the patients and clinical staff and longer period of participant observation” [43]	7 (11%)
Larger studies	“with larger samples of patients” [36] “replicate the findings with larger sample sizes” [39]	5 (8%)
Longitudinal studies	“the examination of cross-lagged relationships between these variables over time” [35] “further (longitudinal) research to obtain more accurate insights on this topic” [44]	5 (8%)
Intervention studies	“explore the most effective exercise promotion strategies for autonomous exercise engagement for long lasting behaviour change” [40] “identify effective exercise interventions and feasible delivery models” [45]	5 (8%)
Control for additional factors	“controlling more systematically for cognitive capacity” [35] “while controlling for the effects of medication and symptom severity” [41]	2 (3%)
Explore mechanisms	“regarding the mechanisms to modify such views as a means of increasing such care provision” [46]	1 (2%)
Patient involvement-related recommendations		
Intervention co-produced with patients	“Patient led ideas and co-design should be key principles in programme and environmental design” [47]	1 (2%)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301857.t005>

systematic development. For example, within the 57 RCTs, only two interventions were described as being based on a specific theory [70,71], and only one [72] made reference to the Medical Research Council’s guidance on developing and evaluating complex interventions [73]. There was a notable lack of research on environmental interventions and interventions targeting healthcare professionals. In the current review, and elsewhere [74], we have observed that the reporting of interventions is often inadequate. Since adequate reporting of interventions is central to interpreting study findings and translating effective interventions into practice, we recommend that researchers use relevant reporting guidelines, such as TIDieR [75], when writing study reports.

Research has been conducted in a wide range of countries, including both high-income and low- and middle-income countries. However, the majority of studies were conducted in

Table 6. Themes of findings arising from qualitative studies of physical activity interventions.

Theme	Examples of specific findings	Number (%) of articles out of 14
Experiences of the intervention		
Feasibility and acceptability	“Service users gave positive feedback regarding the programme” [48] “The intervention was feasible as part of treatment” [49]	6 (43%)
Physical impacts	“Improvements in physical function” [50]	5 (36%)
Psychosocial impacts	“participants experienced interconnectedness and developed a mindful stance” [51] “Gaining a sense of competence” [50] “more relaxed, happier and calmer after training sessions” [48]	11 (79%)
Factors influencing intervention delivery and participation		
Barriers to participation	“medication side-effects” [52] “the locked environment” [52] “ill health” [50] “low confidence” [50] “perceived not physically able to undertake some activities” [50] “low motivation” [53]	6 (43%)
Facilitators of participation	“Enjoyment and some autonomy for service users” [52] “physical, mental and social benefits of participating” [52] “staff and patients exercising together” [45] “exercise being a mandatory part of treatment” [45] “instructor who is trained” [45]	6 (43%)
Factors impeding implementation/delivery	“lack of funding” [52] “staff resistance due to exercise apathy in their own lives” [52] “lack of physical space and facilities” [52]	2 (14%)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301857.t006>

European psychiatric inpatient settings. Given the fact that forensic settings are likely to have a more stable population, in terms of patients' length of stay, than some other inpatient settings, where patients may stay only a few days, surprisingly few RCTs have been conducted in forensic settings ($n = 1$).

Outcomes collected were mainly mental or physical health-related and the primary outcome was often not clearly stated. In many studies more than one mental or physical health outcome was examined, with some of the outcomes being positive and others neutral or negative, leading to difficulty interpreting the study results. It is important that studies, especially RCTs, clearly state their primary outcome from the outset to avoid cherry-picking results. Physical activity and health-related quality of life were rarely included as outcomes; the lack of physical activity outcomes suggests that increasing levels of physical activity was not the primary objective of many of the studies.

This current review differed in scope from the earlier papers [14,20,21] in that it encompassed varied settings (all adult inpatient mental health settings) and clinical populations (not only patients with serious mental illness but also those who receive care on inpatient mental health wards, e.g., those with dementia). In this way, it offered a systematically developed map of research available on physical activity interventions in adult users of inpatient mental health services. It also differed in its purpose and methods from previous studies [14,20]. For example, it included qualitative studies, which facilitated the identification of contextual factors that may influence the implementation and delivery of physical activity interventions in adult inpatient mental health settings.

Strengths and limitations

This review encompasses a wide range of articles and was conducted rigorously and systematically following a predefined protocol and existing guidance for scoping reviews. It provides a

Table 7. Themes of research recommendations from studies of physical activity interventions.

Theme	Examples of specific research recommendations	Number (%) of articles out of 139
Research topic-related recommendations		
Investigate other interventions/ intervention components	“Future interventions could use motivational interviewing” [53] “the effect of different exercise modes” [54] “explore other ways to foster regular physical exercise” [55]	16 (11.5%)
Investigate other groups	“replicated in cohorts with affective disorders” [56] “Further studies needed in women” [57]	9 (6.5%)
Investigate mechanisms of effect	“consider the impact of symptom severity as a moderator” [56] “elucidate other ways mindful-yoga impacts patient well-being and treatment” [58] “explore the mechanisms of improved functional outcomes” [59]	6 (4%)
Investigate optimal exercise strategy	“better identify specific exercise types, sets, repetitions, routines” [60] “clarifying the type and dose of physical activity” [61] “determining the ideal window for exercise intervention” [62]	6 (4%)
Investigate other setting	“it might be better to explore music and exercise interventions in separate study settings to ease the realization of the interventions in a routine clinical setting” [63]	1 (1%)
Research methods-related recommendations		
Longer follow-up	“effect of inpatient self-selected exercise on affect and exercise adherence following discharge” [56] “a longer follow-up period is needed” [58]	17 (12%)
Investigate other outcomes	“consider including measures of affect and arousal” [56] “other domains like cognitive performance, self-esteem, quality of life, stress and body image” [64] “variables such as physiological measures should be included” [59]	16 (11.5%)
Larger sample sizes	“Further studies in larger sample sizes are warranted” [65] “a larger sample size is required” [59]	15 (11%)
Use other data collection methods	“using objective measures of exercise intensity and sleep quality” [56] “include more comprehensive quantitative measures” [58] “using an extensive neuropsychological battery” [59]	10 (7%)
Another study including a control group	“using a quiet rest group or an alternate activity group as a comparator” [56] “a controlled research design” [58]	8 (6%)
Replications/ confirmatory studies	“More studies to confirm the findings” [66] “should be replicated in further clinical trial settings” [67]	8 (6%)
Control for confounding variables	“Future studies would need to control for these variables as part of their analysis” [68]	3 (2%)
Use a ‘sham exercise’ control group	“Future study designs might include fake conditions, such as . . . stretching and gymnastic sessions which do not boost the cardiorespiratory system” [69]	1 (1%)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301857.t007>

comprehensive overview of the extent and type of research on physical activity in inpatient mental health services and identifies important gaps in the literature.

Although a systematic search was conducted, some eligible articles might have been missed. The review is also limited to English language articles and does not include grey literature. Although a scoping review was appropriate to meet the objectives of this study, it is subject to limitations that are typical of this approach. For example, it did not permit a quality assessment of the included studies. It was also limited in its capacity to conclude what factors affect participation in physical activity among adult users of inpatient mental health services, what interventions are effective, and what themes recur in the qualitative literature.

Recommendations for future research

A 2018 meta-review of the evidence on physical activity as a treatment for SMI made several research recommendations [9], the following of which are relevant for research in relation to the inpatient context:

- More research is needed to establish pragmatic, scalable methods for delivering physical activity with the ultimate goal of optimising treatment ‘reach’.

- More research is required to ascertain the optimal frequency, intensity, time and type of physical activity interventions in each inpatient sub-population, acknowledging that these might vary according to individual needs, preferences and characteristics.
- More research is needed to explore how specific individual, interpersonal, environmental, and organisational factors influence the uptake and maintenance of physical activity interventions when they are implemented in clinical practice.
- More research is needed to investigate whether sedentary behaviours can be reduced in inpatients and tease out the importance of reducing sedentary behaviours in structured exercise interventions.
- Economic evaluations are required to establish the cost-effectiveness of specific physical activity interventions.

In addition, we believe that more research is needed to develop and test interventions that span the transition from inpatient to community settings. For example, it would be useful to study longer-term outcomes, such as the impact of physical activity programmes on rates of readmission to inpatient mental health facilities. Finally, this scoping review has identified sets of articles that could be collated for quantitative or qualitative systematic reviews of physical activity in inpatient mental health services. Researchers conducting such reviews should consider the quality of the studies, which was beyond the scope of this review, and to consider the variety of populations represented in these studies.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this scoping review summarised the extent and type of research on physical activity in adult users of inpatient mental health services. We identified a modest volume of evidence regarding correlates of physical activity. A broad range of individual, interpersonal, environmental and organisational correlates have been reported, but more studies with a longitudinal design are needed to determine how these and other factors are associated. We also found a large number of studies reporting on the outcomes of specific physical activity interventions. Most interventions targeted service users and involved the delivery of physical activity sessions rather than self-management interventions. There were very few large-scale RCTs and most studies did not include physical activity or quality of life outcomes. The findings of this review will help guide further primary research that is needed to guide clinical practice and policy.

Supporting information

S1 File. Search strategy.

(DOCX)

S2 File. Data extraction form.

(DOCX)

S3 File. Reference list of included reports.

(DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Garry A. Tew, Emily Peckham, Suzy Ker, Jo Smith, Philip Hodgson.

Data curation: Garry A. Tew, Emily Peckham, Suzy Ker, Jo Smith, Philip Hodgson, Katarzyna K. Machaczek, Matthew Faires.

Formal analysis: Garry A. Tew, Emily Peckham.

Funding acquisition: Garry A. Tew, Emily Peckham, Suzy Ker, Jo Smith, Philip Hodgson.

Investigation: Garry A. Tew, Emily Peckham, Suzy Ker, Jo Smith, Philip Hodgson, Katarzyna K. Machaczek, Matthew Faires.

Methodology: Garry A. Tew, Emily Peckham, Jo Smith.

Project administration: Garry A. Tew.

Resources: Garry A. Tew.

Software: Garry A. Tew, Emily Peckham.

Supervision: Garry A. Tew, Emily Peckham.

Validation: Garry A. Tew.

Visualization: Garry A. Tew.

Writing – original draft: Garry A. Tew, Emily Peckham, Katarzyna K. Machaczek.

Writing – review & editing: Garry A. Tew, Emily Peckham, Suzy Ker, Jo Smith, Philip Hodgson, Katarzyna K. Machaczek, Matthew Faires.

References

1. Correll CU, Solmi M, Veronese N, Bortolato B, Rosson S, Santonastaso P, et al. Prevalence, incidence and mortality from cardiovascular disease in patients with pooled and specific severe mental illness: a large-scale meta-analysis of 3,211,768 patients and 113,383,368 controls. *World Psychiatry*. 2017; 16: 163–180. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20420> PMID: 28498599
2. Meier SM, Mattheisen M, Mors O, Mortensen PB, Laursen TM, Penninx BW. Increased mortality among people with anxiety disorders: total population study. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2016; 209: 216–221. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.115.171975> PMID: 27388572
3. Archer G, Kuh D, Hotopf M, Stafford M, Richards M. Association Between Lifetime Affective Symptoms and Premature Mortality. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2020; 77: 806. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.0316> PMID: 32267482
4. Kendler KS, Ohlsson H, Sundquist K, Sundquist J. Drug abuse-associated mortality across the lifespan: a population-based longitudinal cohort and co-relative analysis. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2017; 52: 877–886. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-017-1398-5> PMID: 28550519
5. Ringen PA, Falk RS, Antonsen B, Faerden A, Mamen A, Rognli EB, et al. Using motivational techniques to reduce cardiometabolic risk factors in long term psychiatric inpatients: a naturalistic interventional study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2018; 18: 255. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-018-1832-6> PMID: 30111298
6. Vancampfort D, Firth J, Schuch FB, Rosenbaum S, Mugisha J, Hallgren M, et al. Sedentary behavior and physical activity levels in people with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder: a global systematic review and meta-analysis. *World Psychiatry*. 2017; 16: 308–315. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20458> PMID: 28941119
7. Katzmarzyk PT, Friedenreich C, Shiroma EJ, Lee I-M. Physical inactivity and non-communicable disease burden in low-income, middle-income and high-income countries. *Br J Sports Med*. 2022; 56: 101–106. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-103640> PMID: 33782046
8. Scheewe TW, Backx FJG, Takken T, Jörg F, van Strater ACP, Kroes AG, et al. Exercise therapy improves mental and physical health in schizophrenia: a randomised controlled trial. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2013; 127: 464–473. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12029> PMID: 23106093
9. Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, Hallgren M, Firth J, Veronese N, Solmi M, et al. EPA guidance on physical activity as a treatment for severe mental illness: a meta-review of the evidence and Position Statement from the European Psychiatric Association (EPA), supported by the International Organization of Physical Therapists in Mental Health (IOPTMH). *European Psychiatry*. 2018; 54: 124–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.07.004> PMID: 30257806

10. Friedrich B, Mason OJ. Qualitative evaluation of a football intervention for people with mental health problems in the north east of London. *Ment Health Phys Act*. 2018; 15: 132–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhpa.2018.10.002>
11. Pearce M, Garcia L, Abbas A, Strain T, Schuch FB, Golubic R, et al. Association Between Physical Activity and Risk of Depression. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2022; 79: 550. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.0609> PMID: 35416941
12. Kruisdijk F, Deenik J, Tenback D, Tak E, Beekman A-J, van Harten P, et al. Accelerometer-measured sedentary behaviour and physical activity of inpatients with severe mental illness. *Psychiatry Res*. 2017; 254: 67–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.04.035> PMID: 28456024
13. Nyboe L, Lund H. Low levels of physical activity in patients with severe mental illness. *Nord J Psychiatry*. 2013; 67: 43–46. <https://doi.org/10.3109/08039488.2012.675588> PMID: 22563711
14. Martland R, Korman N, Firth J, Stubbs B. The efficacy of exercise interventions for all types of inpatients across mental health settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 47 studies. *J Sports Sci*. 2023; 41: 232–271. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2023.2207855> PMID: 37132599
15. Soundy A, Stubbs B, Probst M, Hemmings L, Vancampfort D. Barriers to and Facilitators of Physical Activity Among Persons With Schizophrenia: A Survey of Physical Therapists. *Psychiatric Services*. 2014; 65: 693–696. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201300276> PMID: 24585134
16. Long C, Rowell A, Gayton A, Hodgson E, Dolley O. Tackling obesity and its complications in secure settings. *Mental Health Review Journal*. 2014; 19: 37–46. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MHRJ-04-2013-0012>
17. Mateo-Urdiales A, Michael M, Simpson C, Beenstock J. Evaluation of a participatory approach to improve healthy eating and physical activity in a secure mental health setting. *J Public Ment Health*. 2020; 19: 301–309. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPMH-11-2019-0090>
18. Firth J, Rosenbaum S, Stubbs B, Gorczyński P, Yung AR, Vancampfort D. Motivating factors and barriers towards exercise in severe mental illness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med*. 2016; 46: 2869–2881. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716001732> PMID: 27502153
19. Gilbert H, Rose D, Slade M. The importance of relationships in mental health care: A qualitative study of service users' experiences of psychiatric hospital admission in the UK. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2008; 8: 92. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-8-92> PMID: 18439254
20. Hassan J, Shannon S, Tully MA, McCartan C, Davidson G, Bunn R, et al. Systematic review of physical activity interventions assessing physical and mental health outcomes on patients with severe mental illness (SMI) within secure forensic settings. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs*. 2022; 29: 630–646. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpm.12832> PMID: 35426209
21. Rogers E, Kinnafick F-E, Papatomas A. Physical activity in secure settings: A scoping review of methods, theory and practise. *Ment Health Phys Act*. 2019; 16: 80–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhpa.2018.11.004>
22. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2018; 18: 143. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x> PMID: 30453902
23. Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Munn Z, Tricco AC, Khalil H. Chapter 11: Scoping Reviews (2020 version). In: *JB Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. 2020.
24. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018; 169: 467–473. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850> PMID: 30178033
25. Tew G, Peckham E, Ker S, Smith J, Hodgson P, Daniel S. Physical activity in adult users of inpatient mental health services: a scoping review. In: <https://osf.io/pj6uw/>. 2022.
26. Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep*. 1985; 100: 126–31. PMID: 3920711
27. Veritas Health Innovation. Covidence systematic review software. Melbourne, Australia; 2022.
28. JBI template source of evidence details, characteristics and results extraction instrument. In: <https://jbi-global-wiki.refined.site/space/MANUAL/4687579>. 20 Sep 2022.
29. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implementation Science*. 2010; 5: 69. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-5-69> PMID: 20854677
30. Campbell F, Tricco AC, Munn Z, Pollock D, Saran A, Sutton A, et al. Mapping reviews, scoping reviews, and evidence and gap maps (EGMs): the same but different—the “Big Picture” review family. *Syst Rev*. 2023; 12: 45. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-023-02178-5> PMID: 36918977
31. Golden SD, Earp JAL. Social Ecological Approaches to Individuals and Their Contexts. *Health Education & Behavior*. 2012; 39: 364–372. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198111418634> PMID: 22267868

32. Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Dzawaltowski DA, Owen N. Toward a better understanding of the influences on physical activity. *Am J Prev Med.* 2002; 23: 5–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797\(02\)00469-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(02)00469-5) PMID: 12133733
33. Choi J, Lee M, Lee J, Kang D, Choi J-Y. Correlates associated with participation in physical activity among adults: a systematic review of reviews and update. *BMC Public Health.* 2017; 17: 356. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4255-2> PMID: 28438146
34. Campbell M, McKenzie JE, Sowden A, Katikireddi SV, Brennan SE, Ellis S, et al. Synthesis without meta-analysis (SWiM) in systematic reviews: reporting guideline. *BMJ.* 2020; 16890. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l6890> PMID: 31948937
35. Gerber M, Ehrbar J, Brand R, Antoniewicz F, Brand S, Colledge F, et al. Implicit attitudes towards exercise and physical activity behaviour among in-patients with psychiatric disorders. *Ment Health Phys Act.* 2018; 15: 71–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhpa.2018.08.001>
36. Ussher M, Stanbury L, Cheeseman V, Faulkner G. Physical Activity Preferences and Perceived Barriers to Activity Among Persons With Severe Mental Illness in the United Kingdom. *Psychiatric Services.* 2007; 58: 405–408. <https://doi.org/10.1176/ps.2007.58.3.405> PMID: 17325117
37. Fraser SJ, Chapman JJ, Brown WJ, Whiteford HA, Burton NW. Physical activity attitudes and preferences among inpatient adults with mental illness. *Int J Ment Health Nurs.* 2015; 24: 413–420. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.12158> PMID: 26332079
38. Ball H, Yung A, Bucci S. Staff perspectives on the barriers and facilitators to exercise implementation in inpatient mental health services: A qualitative study. *Ment Health Phys Act.* 2022; 22: 100452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhpa.2022.100452>
39. Bentzen M, Farholm A, Ivarsson A, Sørensen M. Longitudinal relations between needs satisfaction and physical activity among psychiatric patients with dual diagnoses. *Advances in Mental Health.* 2022; 20: 218–231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18387357.2021.1949360>
40. Kinnafick F, Papatomas A, Regoczi D. Promoting exercise behaviour in a secure mental health setting: Healthcare assistant perspectives. *Int J Ment Health Nurs.* 2018; 27: 1776–1783. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.12484> PMID: 29847009
41. Seet V, Abdin E, Asharani P V., Lee YY, Roystonn K, Wang P, et al. Physical activity, sedentary behaviour and smoking status among psychiatric patients in Singapore—a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2021; 21: 110. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-021-03103-7> PMID: 33602151
42. Wynaden D, Barr L, Omari O, Fulton A. Evaluation of service users' experiences of participating in an exercise programme at the Western Australian State Forensic Mental Health Services. *Int J Ment Health Nurs.* 2012; 21: 229–235. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1447-0349.2011.00787.x> PMID: 22533330
43. Bierski K, Eswaran S, LNU M. Finding Peace on a Psychiatric Ward with Yoga: Report on a Pilot Anthropological Study in Pondicherry, India. *Annals of SBV.* 2016; 5: 14–19. <https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10085-5205>
44. Deenik J, Kruisdijk F, Tenback D, Braakman-Jansen A, Taal E, Hopman-Rock M, et al. Physical activity and quality of life in long-term hospitalized patients with severe mental illness: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2017; 17: 298. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-017-1466-0> PMID: 28821287
45. Tetlie T, Heimsnes MC, Almvik R. Using Exercise to Treat Patients with Severe Mental Illness: How and Why? *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv.* 2009; 47: 32–40. <https://doi.org/10.3928/02793695-20090201-14> PMID: 19266974
46. Bartlem K, Bailey J, Metse A, Asara A, Wye P, Clancy R, et al. Do mental health consumers want to improve their long-term disease risk behaviours? A survey of over 2000 psychiatric inpatients. *Int J Ment Health Nurs.* 2018; 27: 1032–1043. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.12411> PMID: 29197143
47. Every-Palmer S, Huthwaite MA, Elmslie JL, Grant E, Romans SE. Long-term psychiatric inpatients' perspectives on weight gain, body satisfaction, diet and physical activity: a mixed methods study. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2018; 18: 300. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-018-1878-5> PMID: 30227840
48. Savage P, Long C, Hall L, Mackenzie R, Martin L. Reaping the rewards of better fitness. *Mental Health Practice.* 2009; 12: 32–35. <https://doi.org/10.7748/mhp2009.02.12.5.32.c6865>
49. Sørensen M, Bentzen M, Farholm A. Motivational physical activity intervention for psychiatric inpatients: A two phased single-cases experimental study. *European Journal of Adapted Physical Activity.* 2021; 14: 14–14. <https://doi.org/10.5507/euj.2021.008>
50. Wright A, Cattan M. Physical activity and the management of depression. *Working with Older People.* 2009; 13: 15–18. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13663666200900004>
51. Schulze T, Hahn E, Hahne I, Bergmann N, Fuchs LM, Mähler F, et al. Yoga-Based Group Intervention for In-patients With Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders—A Qualitative Approach. *Front Psychiatry.* 2021; 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2021.715670> PMID: 34484004

52. Prebble K, Kidd J, O'Brien A, Carlyle D, McKenna B, Crowe M, et al. Implementing and Maintaining Nurse-Led Healthy Living Programs in Forensic Inpatient Settings: An Illustrative Case Study. *J Am Psychiatr Nurses Assoc.* 2011; 17: 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1078390311399094> PMID: 21659303
53. Firth J, Carney R, Pownall M, French P, Elliott R, Cotter J, et al. Challenges in implementing an exercise intervention within residential psychiatric care: A mixed methods study. *Ment Health Phys Act.* 2017; 12: 141–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhpa.2017.04.004> PMID: 28603555
54. Gerber M, Minghetti A, Beck J, Zahner L, Donath L. Sprint Interval Training and Continuous Aerobic Exercise Training Have Similar Effects on Exercise Motivation and Affective Responses to Exercise in Patients With Major Depressive Disorders: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Front Psychiatry.* 2018; 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2018.00694> PMID: 30622487
55. Bürge E, Berchtold A, Maupetit C, Bourquin NM-P, von Gunten A, Ducraux D, et al. Does physical exercise improve ADL capacities in people over 65 years with moderate or severe dementia hospitalized in an acute psychiatric setting? A multisite randomized clinical trial. *Int Psychogeriatr.* 2017; 29: 323–332. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610216001460> PMID: 27831462
56. Stanton R, Reaburn P, Happell B. The Effect of Acute Exercise on Affect and Arousal in Inpatient Mental Health Consumers. *Journal of Nervous & Mental Disease.* 2016; 204: 658–664. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0000000000000510> PMID: 27011309
57. Namdar Areshtanab H, Ebrahimi H, Abdi M, Mohammadian R, Mohammadpoor Asl A, Piri S. The Effect of Aerobic Exercise on the Quality of Life of Male Patients Who Suffer from Chronic Schizophrenia: Double-Blind, Randomized Control Trial. *Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci.* 2020; 14. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ijpbs.67974>
58. Spinelli C, Paradis-Gagné E, Per M, Fleischmann MH, Manova V, Wallace A, et al. Evaluating the Use of Mindfulness and Yoga Training on Forensic Inpatients: A Pilot Study. *Front Psychiatry.* 2020; 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.614409> PMID: 33362615
59. Shimada T, Ito S, Makabe A, Yamanushi A, Takenaka A, Kobayashi M. Aerobic exercise and cognitive functioning in schizophrenia: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *Psychiatry Res.* 2019; 282: 112638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2019.112638> PMID: 31685285
60. Tomasi D, Gates S, Reynolds E. Positive Patient Response to a Structured Exercise Program Delivered in Inpatient Psychiatry. *Glob Adv Health Med.* 2019; 8: 216495611984865. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2164956119848657> PMID: 31192053
61. Ng F, Dodd S, Jacka FN, Leslie E, Berk M. Effects of a walking program in the psychiatric in-patient treatment setting: a cohort study. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia.* 2007; 18: 39–42. <https://doi.org/10.1071/he07039> PMID: 17501709
62. Furzer BJ, Wright KE, Edo A, Maiorana A. Move your mind: embedding accredited exercise physiology services within a hospital-based mental health service. *Australasian Psychiatry.* 2021; 29: 52–56. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1039856220943030> PMID: 32722965
63. Pitkänen A, Alanen H-M, Kampman O, Suontaka-Jamalainien K, Leinonen E. Implementing physical exercise and music interventions for patients suffering from dementia on an acute psychogeriatric inpatient ward. *Nord J Psychiatry.* 2019; 73: 401–408. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08039488.2019.1645205> PMID: 31361175
64. Roy A, Govindan R, Muralidharan K. The impact of an add-on video assisted structured aerobic exercise module on mood and somatic symptoms among women with depressive disorders: Study from a tertiary care centre in India. *Asian J Psychiatr.* 2018; 32: 118–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2017.12.004> PMID: 29227962
65. Methapatara W, Srisurapanont M. Pedometer walking plus motivational interviewing program for Thai schizophrenic patients with obesity or overweight: A 12-week, randomized, controlled trial. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2011; 65: 374–380. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1819.2011.02225.x> PMID: 21682813
66. Stanton R, Donohue T, Garnon M, Happell B. Participation in and Satisfaction With an Exercise Program for Inpatient Mental Health Consumers. *Perspect Psychiatr Care.* 2016; 52: 62–67. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppc.12108> PMID: 25728913
67. Thaller L, Frühauf A, Heimbeck A, Voderholzer U, Kopp M. A Comparison of Acute Effects of Climbing Therapy with Nordic Walking for Inpatient Adults with Mental Health Disorder: A Clinical Pilot Trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022; 19: 6767. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116767> PMID: 35682348
68. Loh SY, Abdullah A, Abu Bakar AK, Thambu M, Nik Jaafar NR. Structured Walking and Chronic Institutionalized Schizophrenia Inmates: A pilot RCT Study on Quality of Life. *Glob J Health Sci.* 2015; 8: 238. <https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v8n1p238> PMID: 26234968
69. Salehi I, Hosseini SM, Haghighi M, Jahangard L, Bajoghli H, Gerber M, et al. Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) and aerobic exercise training (AET) increased plasma BDNF and ameliorated depressive

- symptoms in patients suffering from major depressive disorder. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2016; 76: 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.01.012> PMID: 26859236
70. Sailer P, Wieber F, Pröpster K, Stoewer S, Nischk D, Volk F, et al. A brief intervention to improve exercising in patients with schizophrenia: a controlled pilot study with mental contrasting and implementation intentions (MCII). *BMC Psychiatry.* 2015; 15: 211. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-015-0513-y> PMID: 26335438
 71. Göhner W, Dietsche C, Fuchs R. Increasing physical activity in patients with mental illness—A randomized controlled trial. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2015; 98: 1385–1392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2015.06.006> PMID: 26153058
 72. Cody R, Beck J, Brand S, Donath L, Faude O, Hatzinger M, et al. Short-term outcomes of physical activity counseling in in-patients with Major Depressive Disorder: Results from the PACINPAT randomized controlled trial. *Front Psychiatry.* 2023; 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2022.1045158> PMID: 36741581
 73. Skivington K, Matthews L, Simpson SA, Craig P, Baird J, Blazeby JM, et al. A new framework for developing and evaluating complex interventions: update of Medical Research Council guidance. *BMJ.* 2021; n2061. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n2061> PMID: 34593508
 74. Peckham E, Tew G, Lorimer B, Bailey L, Beeken R, Cooper C, et al. Interventions to increase physical activity and reduce sedentary behaviour in severe mental ill health: How effective are they?— A systematic review. *Ment Health Phys Act.* 2023; 25: 100547. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhpa.2023.100547>
 75. Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, Milne R, Perera R, Moher D, et al. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. *BMJ.* 2014; 348: g1687–g1687. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g1687> PMID: 24609605