

EULAR recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of systemic lupus erythematosus and systemic sclerosis

PARODIS, Ioannis, GIRARD-GUYONVARC'H, Charlotte, ARNAUD, Laurent, DISTLER, Oliver, DOMJÁN, Andrea, VAN DEN ENDE, Cornelia H.M., FLIGELSTONE, Kim, KOCHER, Agnes, LAROSA, Maddalena, LAU, Martin, MITROPOULOS, Alexandros, NDOSI, Mwidimi, POOLE, Janet L., REDMOND, Anthony, RITSCHL, Valentin, ALEXANDERSON, Helene, SJÖBERG, Yvonne, VON PERNER, Gunilla, UHLIG, Till, VARJU, Cecilia, VRIEZKOLK, Johanna E., WELIN, Elisabet, WESTHOVENS, René, STAMM, Tanja A. and BOSTRÖM, Carina

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<https://shura.shu.ac.uk/32499/>

This document is the Published Version [VoR]

Citation:

PARODIS, Ioannis, GIRARD-GUYONVARC'H, Charlotte, ARNAUD, Laurent, DISTLER, Oliver, DOMJÁN, Andrea, VAN DEN ENDE, Cornelia H.M., FLIGELSTONE, Kim, KOCHER, Agnes, LAROSA, Maddalena, LAU, Martin, MITROPOULOS, Alexandros, NDOSI, Mwidimi, POOLE, Janet L., REDMOND, Anthony, RITSCHL, Valentin, ALEXANDERSON, Helene, SJÖBERG, Yvonne, VON PERNER, Gunilla, UHLIG, Till, VARJU, Cecilia, VRIEZKOLK, Johanna E., WELIN, Elisabet, WESTHOVENS, René, STAMM, Tanja A. and BOSTRÖM, Carina (2023). EULAR recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of systemic lupus erythematosus and systemic sclerosis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

EULAR recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of systemic lupus erythematosus and systemic sclerosis

Ioannis Parodis ^{1,2,3} Charlotte Girard-Guyonvarc'h,^{4,5} Laurent Arnaud ⁶ Oliver Distler ⁷ Andrea Domján,⁸ Cornelia H M Van den Ende,^{9,10} Kim Fligelstone,¹¹ Agnes Kocher ¹² Maddalena Larosa ¹³ Martin Lau,¹⁴ Alexandros Mitropoulos,¹⁵ Mwidimi Ndosi ¹⁶ Janet L Poole,¹⁷ Anthony Redmond,¹⁸ Valentin Ritschl ^{19,20} Helene Alexanderson,^{1,21} Yvonne Sjöberg,²² Gunilla von Perner,²² Till Uhlig ²³ Cecilia Varju,²⁴ Johanna E Vriezekolk ⁹ Elisabet Welin,²⁵ René Westhovens ²⁶ Tanja A Stamm ^{19,20} Carina Boström ^{21,27}

Handling editor Mary K Crow

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Ioannis Parodis, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm 171 76, Stockholm, Sweden; ioannis.parodis@ki.se

Received 9 May 2023
Accepted 20 June 2023



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Parodis I, Girard-Guyonvarc'h C, Arnaud L, et al. *Ann Rheum Dis* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/ard-2023-224416

ABSTRACT

Objective To develop evidence-based recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and systemic sclerosis (SSc).

Methods A task force comprising 7 rheumatologists, 15 other healthcare professionals and 3 patients was established. Following a systematic literature review performed to inform the recommendations, statements were formulated, discussed during online meetings and graded based on risk of bias assessment, level of evidence (LoE) and strength of recommendation (SoR; scale A–D, A comprising consistent LoE 1 studies, D comprising LoE 4 or inconsistent studies), following the European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology standard operating procedure. Level of agreement (LoA; scale 0–10, 0 denoting complete disagreement, 10 denoting complete agreement) was determined for each statement through online voting.

Results Four overarching principles and 12 recommendations were developed. These concerned common and disease-specific aspects of non-pharmacological management. SoR ranged from A to D. The mean LoA with the overarching principles and recommendations ranged from 8.4 to 9.7. Briefly, non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc should be tailored, person-centred and participatory. It is not intended to preclude but rather complement pharmacotherapy. Patients should be offered education and support for physical exercise, smoking cessation and avoidance of cold exposure. Photoprotection and psychosocial interventions are important for SLE patients, while mouth and hand exercises are important in SSc.

Conclusions The recommendations will guide healthcare professionals and patients towards a holistic and personalised management of SLE and SSc. Research and educational agendas were developed to address needs towards a higher evidence level, enhancement of clinician–patient communication and improved outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic, inflammatory, autoimmune disease that

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ABOUT THIS SUBJECT?

⇒ Non-pharmacological management of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and systemic sclerosis (SSc) is helpful but unstandardised and often underused in current clinical practice.

WHAT DOES THIS STUDY ADD?

⇒ We developed recommendations to provide guidance for non-pharmacological management of people living with SLE and SSc.
⇒ In this work, we present evidence to support common and disease-specific non-pharmacological interventions for SLE and SSc.
⇒ We generated a research agenda as well as an educational agenda to support non-pharmacological management of people with SLE and SSc.

HOW MIGHT THIS IMPACT ON CLINICAL PRACTICE?

⇒ These recommendations will provide guidance on non-pharmacological interventions in the management of SLE and SSc in clinical practice and promote their use alongside pharmacotherapy to improve the overall quality of care.

predominantly affects women and is characterised by multisystem involvement.¹ SLE can affect all organs or tissues, including the skin, joints, kidneys, central and peripheral nervous system, lungs, heart, white blood cells and platelets.^{1 2} Despite advances in pharmacotherapy during the last decades, patients with SLE still experience poor health-related quality of life (HRQoL).³ Systemic sclerosis (SSc), also known as scleroderma, is another rheumatic autoimmune disease that is characterised by vasculopathy and fibrosis of the skin and visceral organs.⁴ SSc is coupled with a high morbidity burden and has a major impact on patients' HRQoL.⁴ New therapies hold promise regarding prevention or even improvement of skin

Recommendation

and lung fibrosis, as well as disease manifestations such as renal crisis, pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), digital ulcerations and gastro-oesophageal reflux, yet premature death remains a concern, pointing to the urgent need for further optimisation of the disease management.⁴

Non-pharmacological management and self-management strategies are progressively substantiated through growing evidence.⁵ While a substantial use of non-pharmacological interventions is generally seen, the usage, content, delivery methods and access to such interventions are not always optimised, or even suitable. Importantly, no standardised European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR)-endorsed guidance has been developed for the non-pharmacological management of people with SLE and SSc. The absence of proper guidance hinders the widespread adoption of non-pharmacological interventions, representing a missed opportunity to enhance patient care to its fullest potential.

Hence, a EULAR task force convened to develop recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc. Successful implementation of the recommendations is likely to result in improved quality of care for people with SLE and SSc across Europe and worldwide.

METHODS

Steering committee and task force

Following the EULAR standard operating procedure (SOP) for the development of EULAR-endorsed recommendations,⁶ the convener (CB; physiotherapist) formed the steering committee and task force. The steering committee included the convener, a methodologist (TS; outcomes researcher, health scientist, occupational therapist), a deputy methodologist (CG-G; rheumatologist) and a postdoctoral fellow within rheumatology who also was an Emerging EULAR network (EMEUNET) representative and rheumatologist (IP; rheumatologist). In addition to the steering committee members, the task force comprised five rheumatologists (one representing EMEUNET), four nurses, two physiotherapists, two occupational therapists, two psychologists, one exercise psychologist, one dietician, one podiatrist and three patient research partners. All healthcare professionals in the task force were experienced in managing patients with SLE and/or SSc. Many had also participated in clinical trials, observational studies, outcome research and research deriving from quality registries. All task force members declared potential conflicts of interest prior to commencement of the task and updated those before submitting the manuscript.

Target audience

In compliance with the 2014 update of EULAR SOP for the development of EULAR-endorsed recommendations,⁶ the main target audience of the recommendations presented herein is healthcare providers (health professionals in rheumatology and physicians) as well as people living with SLE or SSc. Nevertheless, the recommendations and the accompanying research and educational agenda derived by the task force also highlight important unmet needs, thus targeting policymakers and health insurance companies.

Definitions

On proposals by the steering committee, the task force agreed on definitions and uniform nomenclature concerning non-pharmacological management and its goals as well as the patient population for a subsequent systematic literature review (SLR). These were discussed and amended until consensus during the

first task force meeting, which was held remotely in December 2020.

The task force defined non-pharmacological management as all management that is not classified as pharmacological by the Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union (6 November 2001) on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use, that is, any substance or combination of substances, which may be used in or administered to human beings either with a view to restoring, correcting or modifying physiological functions by exerting a pharmacological, immunological or metabolic action, or to making a medical diagnosis.^{7,8} In addition, non-registered pharmaceuticals under current investigation, for example, in clinical trials, were not considered non-pharmacological management. However, the task force included dietary substitutes, pre and probiotics and faecal microbiota transplants as non-pharmacological interventions, unless they are pharmaceuticals licensed by drug regulatory authorities.

Non-pharmacological management of connective tissue diseases (CTDs) may be invasive and non-invasive, and includes, but is not limited to patient education,⁹ self-management,¹⁰ physical exercise,¹¹ lifestyle or behaviour interventions (eg, photoprotection or smoking cessation),^{12,13} psychological counselling,¹⁴ cognitive behavioural therapy,¹⁵ relaxation or yoga,^{16,17} dietary, nutritional or microbiome interventions,^{18,19} stretching,²⁰ massage,²¹ hand and foot interventions, assistive technology and devices,²² mouth exercise therapy,²³ dental health and hygiene,²⁴ modalities such as paraffin baths,²⁵ shockwave therapy,²⁶ acupuncture²⁷ and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation,²⁸ hydrotherapy and manual lymph drainage (MLD).²⁹ Furthermore, it may include skin and wound care, ulcer management (eg, debridement),³⁰ minor surgical procedures such as calcinosis removal and detection and management of malnutrition.³¹

Non-pharmacological management can be provided as a single intervention or a combination of several non-pharmacological interventions, and alone or adjunct to pharmaceutical treatment.^{20,32} Non-pharmacological management should not substitute pharmaceutical treatment when the latter is required.^{33,34}

The goals of non-pharmacological management of CTDs include but are not limited to optimisation of body function and structures, increased activities and participation¹¹ as well as implementation of favourable environmental and personal factors as defined by the International Classification of Functioning nomenclature.³⁵ In this context, environmental factors include working and living conditions, health promotion services, access to insurance and treatments, housing and transportation and social support. Personal factors include well-being, social integration, expectations, capacity to act and lifestyle, for example, physical and intellectual activity, eating and drinking habits, and smoking.

To mention some examples, non-pharmacological management in CTDs aims for amelioration of disease symptoms,³⁶ improvement of HRQoL³⁷ as well as prevention of disease progression, organ damage accrual,³⁸ comorbidities (eg, cardiovascular disease) and adverse events.³⁶ Additional aims include contribution to increased patient knowledge of the disease through structured patient education⁹ and optimisation of psychosocial functioning,¹⁵ for example, distress abatement, increased coping ability, alleviation of maladaptive illness perceptions and fear for disease progression, increased adherence to treatment, optimised care use and improvement of work capacity.

While several aspects of non-pharmacological management may be generic or apply to more than one CTD, this task force focused on two CTDs that is, SLE and SSc, and particularly adult

patients. This decision was made to ensure feasibility and facilitate in-depth analysis within the given scope.

Research questions and SLR

The task force formulated nine research questions to be addressed during the SLRs and that should steer the development of the recommendation statements. Those comprised (1) what non-pharmacological management should aim for, (2) which non-pharmacological interventions have been used, (3) which non-pharmacological interventions have been shown to be efficacious, (4) which instruments have been used to assess the outcome of non-pharmacological management, (5) when the outcome of non-pharmacological management should be assessed, (6) within which health-related domains or organ systems non-pharmacological management should be assessed, (7) SLE and SSc patients' needs, expectations and preferences with regard to non-pharmacological management, (8) the educational needs for healthcare providers and patients regarding non-pharmacological management and (9) identification of facilitators and barriers for the use of non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc.

Subsequently, one SLR was performed about SLE and one about SSc, by the fellow (IP) and colleagues, under the supervision of the methodologists (TS, CG), in compliance with the 2014 update of the EULAR SOP.⁶ The search strategies were designed in collaboration with an expert librarian from the Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. The MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science and CINAHL databases were searched for content published between January 2000 and June 2021. For each SLR, a two-block search was conducted including the diagnosis of interest and a list of non-pharmacological management strategies. Case series of less than five individuals were excluded, as were articles in languages other than English, Spanish or Swedish. Due to the diverse nature of the research questions, we did not exclude articles based on study design. Two independent reviewers screened the identified titles and abstracts for final selection. Disagreements between reviewers were discussed until consensus; the discussions were guided by the fellow (IP) and the convener (CB). All selected papers underwent risk of bias (RoB) assessment and were deemed robust, intermediate or weak, using the Joanna Briggs Institute critical appraisal (CA) checklists.³⁹ The detailed process and results of the SLR are reported elsewhere.⁴⁰

Formulation of overarching principles and recommendation statements

Based on the results from the SLR and mainly driven by the overall CA, but also expert opinion, overarching principles and recommendation statements were proposed by the steering committee and were presented and discussed with the task force members at four consecutive online meetings in May and June 2022. In these meetings, 23, 20, 17 and 16 of 25 task force members participated, respectively.

On discussion and amendment of the overarching principles and recommendation statements, a voting process was applied for each statement. In the first round of this voting process, a majority of at least 75% was required to adopt the respective statement. If this was not reached, the statement was discussed and amended further. Subsequently, a second voting round was applied, where a majority of at least 66% was required for adoption of the rephrased statement. If this was not reached, the statement was discussed and amended further to next be subjected to a third voting round. In this third round, a majority of at least

50% was required for adoption of the rephrased statement. If this was not reached, the statement was discarded.

The voting process was supported by preformulated motivational texts summarising results of the SLR, including the result of the RoB and level of evidence (LoE) assessment, the latter based on the 2011 Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine LoE 2 system.⁴¹ After the meetings, final LoE and strength of recommendation (scale A–D, with A comprising consistent LoE 1 studies and D comprising LoE 4 or inconsistent studies) assessment was performed following the 2011 Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine LoE 2 system⁴¹ and the EULAR SOP.⁶ The agreed on overarching principles and recommendation statements were distributed to all task force members through the Research Electronic Data Capture system. Level of agreement (LoA) with each statement was scored in a pseudonymous manner on a scale from 0 (complete disagreement) to 10 (complete agreement). Results from the LoA scoring are presented in [table 1](#) as mean, SD and range.

Additionally, the task force proposed a research agenda based on identified needs ([box 1](#)) as well as an educational agenda for providers of non-pharmacological management of people with SLE and SSc ([box 2](#)).

RESULTS

Twelve recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of people with SLE and SSc were developed based on evidence and expert opinion within the task force, emanating from the derivation of four overarching principles, as detailed in [table 1](#). The recommendations were grouped into five generic recommendation statements applicable to people with SLE and people with SSc, four recommendation statements applicable to people with SLE and three recommendation statements applicable to people with SSc. Examples of studies supporting each statement are provided.

Recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc

Non-pharmacological management should be directed towards improving HRQoL in people with SLE (LoE: 1–3) and SSc (LoE: 2–4). Physical exercise⁴² and psychological interventions⁴³ were found in meta-analyses of RCTs (two and three RCTs, respectively)^{42, 43} (LoE: 1) to improve HRQoL in patients with SLE. Furthermore, non-pharmacological management in the form of physical exercise was proven efficacious in improving fatigue in patients with SLE based on two meta-analyses, one of an RCT and a quasi-experimental study (LoE: 3) and one of two RCTs and one quasi-experimental study (LoE: 1),^{44, 45} and psychological interventions were found to improve anxiety in patients with SLE based on a meta-analysis of three RCTs⁴⁶ (LoE: 1); these studies were assessed as robust in RoB assessment.

In patients with SSc, improvements in HRQoL were noted after occupational therapy provided for improving upper extremity function in a quasi-experimental study⁴⁷ (LoE: 4). RCTs encompassing patients with SSc assessed as intermediate in CA found rehabilitative treatment of the hands⁴⁸ (LoE: 2) and home-based aerobic exercise⁴⁹ (LoE: 2) to improve HRQoL.

People with SLE and SSc should be offered patient education and self-management support (LoE: 2–4)

RCTs assessed as intermediate in RoB assessment employed patient education as a part of physical exercise programmes. The addition of patient education was efficacious in improving

Recommendation

Table 1 Recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc

	LoE	SoR	LoA		
			Mean	SD	Range
Overarching principles					
1. Non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc should be tailored to patients’ needs, expectations and preferences and be based on a shared-decision making.	NA	NA	9.7	0.8	7–10
2. Non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc may comprise one or more interventions.	NA	NA	9.7	0.5	8–10
3. Non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc may be provided alone or as an adjunct to pharmaceutical treatment.	NA	NA	9.4	1.1	6–10
4. Non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc should not substitute for pharmaceutical treatment when the latter is required.	NA	NA	9.6	0.8	7–10
Recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc					
1. Non-pharmacological management should be directed toward improving health-related quality of life in people with SLE (LoE: 1–3) and SSc (LoE: 2–4).	1–4	C	9.4	1.1	6–10
2. People with SLE and SSc should be offered patient education and self-management support (LoE: 2–4).	2–4	C	9.7	0.7	7–10
3. In people with SLE (LoE: 3) and SSc (LoE: 4), smoking habits should be assessed, and cessation strategies should be implemented.	3–4	B/C	9.4	1.1	6–10
4. In people with SLE (LoE: 5) and SSc (LoE: 4), avoidance of cold exposure should be considered for the prevention of Raynaud’s phenomenon. In people with SSc, this is of particular importance for the mitigation of severe Raynaud’s phenomenon (LoE: 4).	4–5	C/D	9.4	0.9	7–10
5. Physical exercise should be considered for people with SLE (LoE: 1–3) and SSc (LoE: 2–4).	1–4	C	9.6	0.7	8–10
Recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of SLE					
1. In people with SLE, patient education and self-management support should be considered for improving physical exercise outcomes (LoE: 2) and HRQoL (LoE: 2–4), and could be considered for enhancing self-efficacy (LoE: 3).	2–4	C	9.4	0.9	8–10
2. In people with SLE, photoprotection should be advised for the prevention of flares (LoE: 4).	4	C	9.2	1.0	7–10
3. In people with SLE, psychosocial interventions should be considered for improving health-related quality of life (LoE: 1–2), anxiety (LoE: 1) and depressive symptoms (LoE: 1).	1–2	B	9.2	1.2	6–10
4. In people with SLE, aerobic exercise should be considered for increasing aerobic capacity (LoE: 1), and for reducing fatigue (LoE: 1–3) and depressive symptoms (LoE: 3).	1–3	B	9.2	1.4	4–10
Recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of SSc					
1. In people with SSc, patient education and self-management support should be considered for improving hand function (LoE: 2–4), mouth-related outcomes (LoE: 2), HRQoL (LoE: 2–4) and ability to perform daily activities (LoE: 2–3).	2–4	C	9.4	0.9	7–10
2. In people with SSc, orofacial, hand, and aerobic and resistance exercise should be considered for improving microstomia (LoE: 2–4), hand function (LoE: 2–4) and physical capacity (LoE: 2–4), respectively.	2–4	C	9.3	0.9	7–10
3. In people with SSc and puffy hands, manual lymph drainage could be considered for improving hand function (LoE: 2).	2	B	8.4	1.9	3–10
LoE was assessed using the 2011 Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine LoE 2 system. LoA with each statement was scored in a pseudonymous manner on a scale from 0 (compete disagreement) to 10 (complete agreement). SoR ranges from A to D, with A comprising consistent LoE 1 studies and D comprising LoE 4 or inconsistent studies. LoE levels: LoE 1: Systematic reviews or meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials with consistent results. LoE 2: Well-conducted randomised controlled trials. LoE 3: Non-randomised controlled trials, cohort studies, case–control studies, or systematic reviews of these types of studies. LoE 4: Case series, case reports or studies with poor methodological quality. LoE 5: Expert opinion or consensus statements. HRQoL, health-related quality of life; LoA, level of agreement; LoE, level of evidence; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SoR, strength of recommendation; SSc, systemic sclerosis.					

aerobic capacity in an RCT of SLE⁵⁰ (LoE: 2) and mouth opening as compared with the same physical exercise programme (mouth stretching) in an RCT of SSc⁵¹ (LoE: 2). Qualitative assessments of multidisciplinary patient education programmes⁵² (LoE: 4) and group education on disease management⁵³ (LoE: 4) found these strategies to be beneficial for patients with SLE in terms of improving HRQoL⁵² (LoE: 4) as well as for implementing favourable lifestyle changes⁵³ (LoE: 4); these studies were assessed as robust. In patients with SSc, internet-based self-management programmes could improve self-efficacy and fatigue⁵⁴ (LoE: 4), and patient education as a complement to occupational therapy improved functional abilities over a longer term (ie, 24 weeks)⁵⁵ (LoE: 3); these two quasi-experimental studies were deemed robust.

In people with SLE (LoE: 3) and SSc (LoE: 4), smoking habits should be assessed, and cessation strategies should be implemented. In the general population, tobacco smoking is an established risk factor for cardiovascular disease, cancer, osteoporosis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, among other conditions that constitute relevant comorbidities for patients

with SLE and SSc.^{1 4} A meta-analysis of nine case-control studies found that current smokers had an approximately 50% increased risk for SLE compared with non-smokers (OR: 1.49; 95% CI 1.06 to 2.08; $p=0.02$)⁵⁶ (LoE: 3; CA: robust). Moreover, among patients with SLE, smoking has been associated with reduced treatment efficacy. A meta-analysis of 10 observational studies found smoking to be negatively associated with the response of cutaneous SLE to antimalarial therapy (OR: 0.53; 95% CI 0.31 to 0.93; $p=0.002$),⁵⁶ while prospective cohort studies have reported reduced overall belimumab efficacy in smokers compared with non-smokers in a Swedish⁵⁷ (LoE: 3; CA: robust) and an Italian SLE population⁵⁸ (LoE: 3; CA: robust) as well as reduced belimumab efficacy in mucocutaneous disease activity⁵⁹ (LoE: 3; CA: robust).

In the SSc population, a cross-sectional study of 101 patients found that current smokers were more likely to require intravenous vasodilators (OR: 3.8; 95% CI 1.1 to 12.9) and digital debridement (OR: 4.5; 95% CI 1.1 to 18.3) for digital vascular disease compared with non-smokers⁶⁰ (LoE: 4; CA: robust). Similarly, in a cohort study using the

Box 1 Research agenda

1. Randomised controlled trials of non-pharmacological management of people with SLE and SSc with blinding strategies detailed in the study protocols are encouraged.
2. Identification of patients' needs for non-pharmacological management is essential, and strategies for identification of such needs should be implemented.
3. Studies assessing outcomes of non-pharmacological management over a longer term are needed.
4. Investigation of the efficacy of psychosocial interventions in patients with SSc is required.
5. Investigation of the efficacy of skin and wound management strategies is required, particularly for people with SSc.
6. Investigation of the efficacy of different dietary programmes is encouraged.
7. Further identification of barriers for the implementation of non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc, as well as means to alleviate those barriers, is warranted.

SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc, systemic sclerosis.

European Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) database, heavy smokers (>25 pack-years) had an increased risk for digital ulcers (OR: 1.6; 95% CI 1.1 to 2.3) compared with non-smokers, although no differences were observed in skin fibrosis or gastrointestinal symptoms across different smoking status groups⁶¹ (LoE: 4; CA: robust). In the Canadian Scleroderma Research Group cohort, smoking was found to have a negative impact on vascular, gastrointestinal and respiratory outcomes, while cessation was associated with reduced severity of Raynaud's phenomenon⁶² (LoE: 4; CA: robust).

Despite the lack of interventional studies specifically assessing the efficacy of smoking cessation strategies in the SLR performed to inform the recommendations, it was consensual among the task force members that smoking cessation should be encouraged and facilitated in smokers with SLE and SSc based on the above evidence and expert opinion. Nevertheless, cost-effectiveness aspects should be accounted for, and there should be awareness that literature is inconsistent regarding the effect of smoking on vascular outcomes.⁶³

Box 2 Educational agenda for providers of non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc

1. Regular training for providers of non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc is advised to ensure the best possible quality of services and patient outcomes.
2. Increased awareness and education on how to facilitate and evaluate patient education and self-management for people with SLE and SSc should be reinforced among healthcare professionals.
3. Educational programmes within EULAR and EMEUNET dedicated to the non-pharmacological management of people with SLE and SSc are advocated, both for healthcare providers and patients. This could be done in collaboration with the EULAR School of Rheumatology.

EULAR, European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology; EMEUNET, Emerging EULAR network; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc, systemic sclerosis.

In people with SLE (LoE: 5) and SSc (LoE: 4), avoidance of cold exposure should be considered for the prevention of Raynaud's phenomenon. In people with SSc, this is of particular importance for the mitigation of severe Raynaud's phenomenon (LoE: 4)

Raynaud's phenomenon constitutes one of the most frequent and troublesome manifestations of SSc.⁶⁴ Although less frequent, Raynaud's phenomenon also impacts negatively on SLE patients' hand function and performance of daily activities.⁶⁵ Cold exposure and sudden temperature changes trigger episodes of Raynaud's phenomenon acknowledged by healthcare providers and patients. In a qualitative study, patients with SSc identified cold as the main exacerbating factor for Raynaud's phenomenon⁶⁶ (LoE: 4; CA: robust). In a cross-sectional study, SSc patients reported more frequent and longer Raynaud's phenomenon exacerbations during winter compared with summer⁶⁷ (LoE: 4; CA: intermediate). Consistently, cold challenge induces delayed reperfusion as evidenced by imaging techniques in people suffering from Raynaud's phenomenon⁶⁸ (LoE: 4; CA: robust). Finally, the task force argued that practical advice to people with SSc suffering from Raynaud's phenomenon may include the use of gloves and heating devices for the hands, avoidance of direct contact with cold surfaces and a thorough drying of the skin, as recommended by the Arthritis Research and Collaboration Hub study group.⁵ A recent RCT corroborated that gloves decrease the burden of Raynaud's phenomenon, but silver fibre gloves yielded no difference compared with conventional ones⁶⁹ (LoE: 2; CA: robust).

Physical exercise should be considered for people with SLE (LoE: 1–3) and SSc (LoE: 2–4)

For both diseases, exercise and promotion of physical activity were among the most studied intervention strategies and were found to improve patient outcomes in several studies. Physical exercise was found to be a viable management strategy in improving fatigue in adult patients with SLE based on two meta-analyses, one of one RCT and one quasi-experimental study (LoE: 3) and one of two RCTs and one quasi-experimental study (LoE: 1),^{44 45} and in improving aerobic capacity, based on one meta-analysis of seven RCTs⁴⁴ (LoE: 1); both studies were deemed as robust in overall CA. In adult patients with SSc, an RCT found improvements in mouth opening after application of an oral exercise programme²³ (LoE: 2; CA: intermediate). Physiotherapy was found to improve functional impairment in a quasi-experimental study⁷⁰ (LoE: 4; CA: robust).

The task force felt that it is important to underline that the patient's health status, cardiorespiratory status in particular, potential risks or medical contraindications should always be considered before commencing physical exercise programmes, and such programmes should be provided or suggested based on risk and benefit ponderation. Moreover, it is important that physical exercise programmes are tailored to each individual patient, based not only on the risk/benefit ratio but also on the patient's individual needs, expectations and preferences.

Recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of SLE

In people with SLE, patient education and self-management support should be considered for improving physical exercise outcomes (LoE: 2) and HRQoL (LoE: 2–4) and could be considered for enhancing self-efficacy (LoE: 3)

An RCT employed patient education and self-management support as parts of a supervised aerobic exercise programme and found the intervention to be efficacious in improving aerobic

Recommendation

capacity and mental health as compared with usual care in an RCT of SLE⁵⁰ (LoE: 2; CA: intermediate). Furthermore, an RCT that investigated web-based patient education and counselling¹⁴ (LoE: 3; CA: weak) and a quasi-experimental study that examined an educational programme for enhancing self-management in patients with SLE⁷¹ (LoE: 3; CA: intermediate) found these interventions to be efficacious in improving self-efficacy. A pilot RCT that investigated an internet-based coping skill training programme in patients with SLE revealed benefit in HRQoL⁷² (LoE: 3; CA: weak), as did a qualitative study of multidisciplinary patient education⁵² (LoE: 4; CA: robust).

In people with SLE, photoprotection should be advised for the prevention of flares (LoE: 4)

Ultraviolet (UV) radiation is a well-acknowledged triggering factor of cutaneous and systemic lupus flares.^{73 74} Quasi-experimental studies have shown that broad-spectrum sunscreens prevent cutaneous lesions on photo-provocation (LoE: 4; CA: robust⁷⁵ and LoE: 4; CA: weak⁷⁶). Based on this evidence and expert opinion within the task force, people with SLE should avoid direct sun exposure, especially during days with high UV index, use physical barriers such as hats, sunglasses and long-sleeved shirts and pants, and use of broad-spectrum sunscreen; assessment of the need for vitamin D supplements should be done when indicated.^{1 73}

In people with SLE, psychosocial interventions should be considered for improving HRQoL (LoE: 1–2), anxiety (LoE: 1) and depressive symptoms (LoE: 1)

In SLRs with meta-analyses that were assessed as robust in overall CA, psychological interventions in the form of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), group therapy and psychoeducational programmes were shown to be an efficacious management strategy for improving HRQoL in adults with SLE based on a meta-analysis of two RCTs⁴² (LoE: 2) and a meta-analysis of three RCTs⁴³ (LoE: 1). Counselling, CBT and supported psychotherapy improved anxiety based on a meta-analysis of three RCTs⁴⁶ (LoE: 1). CBT and psychoeducational self-management support ameliorated depressive symptoms based on a meta-analysis of three RCTs⁴³ (LoE: 1). Counselling and psychoeducational programmes were led by different healthcare providers, including social workers, psychologists, and nurses, whereas psychotherapeutic interventions were delivered by certified psychotherapists. Which healthcare providers deliver different psychoeducational programmes may differ considerably across countries, depending on local legislation as well as access to and use of resources.

In people with SLE, aerobic exercise should be considered for increasing aerobic capacity (LoE: 1) and for reducing fatigue (LoE: 1–3) and depressive symptoms (LoE: 3)

An SLR with meta-analyses from 2017 found that aerobic exercise increased aerobic capacity in patients with SLE (based on a meta-analysis of two RCTs and three quasi-experimental studies; LoE: 1), while decreasing fatigue (based on a meta-analysis of one RCT and one quasi-experimental study; LoE: 3), and depressive symptoms (based on a meta-analysis of two RCTs and one quasi-experimental study; LoE: 3)⁴⁴ and was assessed as robust in CA. Another meta-analysis of two RCTs and one quasi-experimental study assessed as robust in CA found that aerobic physical exercise was effective in managing fatigue in patients with SLE⁴⁵ (LoE: 1). Moreover, aerobic exercise improved functional performance as

assessed using the 6 min walk distance (6MWD) test in an RCT deemed as intermediate in CA⁷⁷ (LoE: 2).

Recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of SSc

In people with SSc, patient education and self-management support should be considered for improving hand function (LoE: 2–4), mouth-related outcomes (LoE: 2), HRQoL (LoE: 2–4) and ability to perform daily activities (LoE: 2–3)

An RCT found self-administered hand exercises effective in improving hand mobility⁷⁸ (LoE: 2; CA: intermediate). Another RCT demonstrated the efficacy of face-to-face training in improving the outcomes of orofacial exercise⁵¹ (LoE: 2; CA: intermediate). An RCT assessed as intermediate in CA found that home-based exercise comprising aerobic exercise on a stationary bike, muscular endurance training of the upper limb and stretching exercises for the hands, following a physiotherapist-supported educational programme, was effective in improving SSc patients' HRQoL and functional ability⁴⁹ (LoE: 2). Individualised rehabilitation programmes were found to improve hand mobility and HRQoL⁷⁹ (LoE: 3) while psychoeducational group programmes ameliorated feelings of helplessness⁸⁰ (LoE: 4) in quasi-experimental studies of patients with SSc assessed as robust in overall CA. Another robust in CA quasi-experimental study found patient education as a complement to occupational therapy to improve functional abilities as assessed with the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) and the Evaluation of Daily Activity Questionnaire (EDAQ)⁵⁵ (LoE: 3). A home-based self-management programme for hand exercise was found to improve hand function in a quasi-experimental study⁸¹ that was also deemed as robust in CA (LoE: 4).

In people with SSc, orofacial, hand and aerobic and resistance exercise should be considered for improving microstomia (LoE: 2–4), hand function (LoE: 2–4) and physical capacity (LoE: 2–4), respectively

Microstomia and hand function emerged as major targets of non-pharmacological management, especially in studies evaluating physical exercise. RCTs assessed as intermediate in CA found mouth exercise to be efficacious in improving microstomia²³ (LoE: 2) and hand exercise in improving hand function⁷⁸ (LoE: 2), while body exercise increased the 6MWD⁴⁹ (LoE: 2). The favourable effects of rehabilitation programmes were discussed. Quasi-experimental studies assessed as robust in CA found that rehabilitative exercise programmes were efficacious in improving hand function and HRQoL, for example, programmes comprising warm-up and cool-down exercises, training of motor functions and respiratory exercises⁷⁹ (LoE: 3), mouth stretching and oral augmentation exercises ameliorated microstomia⁸² (LoE: 4), thermal modalities (eg, baths), tissue mobilisation and hand mobility exercises improved hand function⁴⁷ (LoE: 4) and combined resistance and aerobic exercise enhanced aerobic capacity⁸³ (LoE: 4) in patients with SSc.

In people with SSc and puffy hands, MLD could be considered for improving hand function (LoE: 2)

One RCT examined the effect of 5 weekly sessions of MLD compared with usual care in SSc patients with oedematous hands and found that MLD improved hand function measured using the Hand Mobility in Scleroderma index and SSc patients' perception of upper extremity function assessed using visual analogue scales⁸⁴ (LoE: 2; CA: robust). The improvements in these outcomes were maintained up to 9 weeks after treatment

discontinuation. Improvements were also noted in HAQ and 36-item Short Form health survey scores at the end of treatment, although these improvements were not fully sustained at the 9-week follow-up. The findings from this study and expert opinion within the task force supported the endorsement of this recommendation statement.

Research agenda

Box 1 details the research agenda proposed by the task force. This was based on areas of limited or weak evidence as well as identified needs. The overarching principles should be applied when addressing the proposed research topics.

In brief, while the SLR identified, several RCTs dealing with the non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc, design details were not always clearly indicated, especially the blinding strategies, which limited their LoE. Identification of patients' needs is essential, and strategies for identification of such needs should be implemented, for example, as suggested by the James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnerships.^{85 86} The majority of interventional studies had a limited follow-up time of 4–12 weeks, seldom longer. Hence, studies assessing outcomes of non-pharmacological management over a longer term are needed.

While the efficacy of diverse psychological interventions was investigated in several studies encompassing people with SLE, it has yet to be explored within SSc. Dietary therapy was not thoroughly explored in either of the two diseases. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet was associated with a lower cardiovascular risk, lower disease activity levels and protection against organ damage in a cross-sectional study of SLE assessed as robust in CL³⁶ (LoE: 3), but no conclusions regarding causality can be drawn from this study.

Moreover, recommendations about wound management could not be derived based on current evidence, indicating a need for further studies within this area, which is particularly important for patients with SSc. Finally, further identification of barriers for the implementation of non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc, as well as means to alleviate those barriers, is warranted.

Educational agenda for providers of non-pharmacological management of SLE and SSc

Box 2 details the educational agenda proposed by the task force for providers of non-pharmacological management of people with SLE and SSc. The purpose of this agenda is to enhance the healthcare professionals' skills and competencies as well as the confidence needed for providing these services.

DISCUSSION

Increasing awareness of the importance of non-pharmacological management and self-management strategies for people living with SLE and SSc necessitated the development of overarching principles and recommendations by a group of experts, to be used as a guide in the identification of needs, implementation and evaluation of non-pharmacological management. Hence, a multidisciplinary EULAR task force convened and formulated the overarching principles and recommendations presented herein following the EULAR SOPs.⁶ An SLR preceded to inform the recommendations. Along with recommendations regarding lifestyle behaviours⁸⁷ as well as recommendations for physical activity,⁸⁸ patient education⁸⁹ and implementation of self-management strategies in inflammatory arthritis,⁹⁰ the statements presented herein intend to not only guide non-pharmacological management but also increase awareness of the

importance of patient involvement in the management of their disease, encourage interprofessional and multidisciplinary teams to tackle clinical challenges and prompt orchestrated research for addressing remaining important questions that form a research agenda, as determined by the task force.

The heterogeneity in study design and conduct limited the LoE and strength of recommendation in several instances. Data in the literature were scarce even for well-established non-pharmacological strategies such as photoprotection for patients with SLE, which is not surprising considering the known contribution of sun exposure to disease precipitation, imposing ethical limitations for the conduct of RCTs on such interventions. The same could be argued for the contribution of assistive devices to enhancing mobility or improving accessibility, which is rather self-evident. Nonetheless, the rarity of SLE and SSc necessitates global collaborative efforts in the design of studies, especially investigator-initiated endeavours that deserve better funding.

Moderate to strong evidence existed in the literature for the benefits of physical activity and exercise for SLE and SSc patients, including documented benefits regarding HRQoL, fatigue and cardiovascular burden.^{33 91–104} Despite sparse evidence regarding smoking cessation for improving disease activity and treatment outcomes and avoidance of cold exposure for the prevention of Raynaud's phenomenon, the task force agreed on the crucial importance of these two recommendations. The task force also agreed that cost-effectiveness aspects should be accounted for; to illustrate why, proper modelling of the effect of smoking has been shown to be essential in studies of vascular outcomes within rheumatic diseases, SSc in particular, resulting in rather insipid evidence.⁶³

It is important to underscore that concomitant conditions such as fibromyalgia or other syndromes causing chronic pain, as well as established irreversible organ damage, pose challenges when evaluating the effectiveness of non-pharmacological management. Together with the complexity of SLE and SSc in terms of heterogeneity of disease manifestations, the multidimensionality of non-pharmacological interventions and sparsity of high-quality data and RCTs, especially RCTs meeting their predetermined endpoints, is not unexpected. These factors also form incentives for large-scale collaborative efforts to determine patient needs and priorities, identify barriers and means for overcoming them and investigate the efficacy of psychosocial interventions, different dietary schemes and skin and wound management. Also, efforts should be applied in educating healthcare professionals and patients on the potentiality of different non-pharmacological strategies, which in turn is expected to facilitate person-centredness in non-pharmacological management, accounting for the heterogeneity of SLE and SSc. While implementation of the recommendations will be conducted at various phases according to Loza *et al*,¹⁰⁵ a first step will be a survey-based investigation of SLE and SSc patients' and healthcare professionals' perception of whether the recommendations and overarching principles align with current management praxis across different countries as well as their views on facilitators and barriers they foresee for their implementation. This will provide an important mapping of the current practice patterns and highlight needs for the implementation. Further steps will include determination of implementation strategies at a centre, national or international levels such as educational activities designed for patients and for healthcare professionals, and evaluation of the implementation.

In summary, results from an SLR, RoB assessment and expert opinion within the task force resulted in the formulation of overarching principles and a comprehensive set of recommendations

Recommendation

for the non-pharmacological management of people living with SLE and SSs. The overarching principles and recommendations presented herein promote holistic and multidisciplinary approaches in SLE and SSs patient management, patient involvement in their care and individually tailored strategies towards optimised outcomes. Despite a sparsity in high-quality evidence, the recommendations presented herein may be seen as a useful guide for healthcare providers and patients with SLE and SSs when setting up individual disease management strategies, with non-pharmacological constituents as integral components. Last but not least, the task force developed a research agenda to guide future endeavours in the field.

Author affiliations

- ¹Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ²Department of Gastroenterology, Dermatology and Rheumatology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
- ³Department of Rheumatology, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden
- ⁴Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, University Hospital of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
- ⁵Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
- ⁶Department of Rheumatology, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Centre National de Référence (RESO), INSERM UMR-S 1109, Strasbourg, France
- ⁷Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁸Department of Rheumatology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
- ⁹Department of Research, Sint Maartenskliniek, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- ¹⁰Department of Rheumatology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- ¹¹Federation of European Scleroderma Associations (FESCA), Brussels, Belgium
- ¹²Institute of Nursing Science, Faculty of Medicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
- ¹³Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medical Specialties, ASL3, Genoa, Italy
- ¹⁴Arthritis Action, London, UK
- ¹⁵Department of Nursing and Midwifery, College of Health Wellbeing and Life Science, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK
- ¹⁶School of Health and Social Wellbeing, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK
- ¹⁷Occupational Therapy Graduate Program, School of Medicine, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA
- ¹⁸Leeds Institute for Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- ¹⁹Section for Outcomes Research, Center for Medical Statistics, Informatics and Intelligent Systems, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- ²⁰Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Arthritis and Rehabilitation, Vienna, Austria
- ²¹Department of Occupational Therapy and Physiotherapy, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
- ²²Swedish Rheumatism Association, Stockholm, Sweden
- ²³Center for Treatment of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Diseases, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway
- ²⁴Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Medical School of University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary
- ²⁵School of Health Sciences, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden
- ²⁶Skeletal Biology and Engineering Research Center, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- ²⁷Division of Physiotherapy, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Twitter Ioannis Parodis @IoannisParodis, Agnes Kocher @kocheragnes and Valentin Ritschl @v_ritschl

Acknowledgements The task force expresses gratitude to Alvaro Gomez, Alexander Tsoi, Jun Weng Chow and Denise Pezzella for contributions to the SLR performed to inform the recommendations, as well as the EULAR Secretariat for assistance during the entire process. We would also like to thank Emma-Lotta Säätelä, librarian at the KI Library, for her help with the search strategy for the SLRs.

Contributors IP wrote the first draft of the manuscript with help and guidance from CG-G, TAS and CB. All authors participated in the work of the task force, including the formulation of the overarching principles and recommendation statements, as well as read and approved the final manuscript. The convenor (CB) is responsible for the overall content as guarantor, controlled the decision to publish, and accepts full responsibility for the finished work and conduct of the project.

Funding This project was funded by the European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) [ref.: HPR046].

Competing interests IP has received research funding and/or honoraria from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Aurinia, Eli Lilly, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Novartis, Otsuka, and Roche. LA has received research funding and/or consultancies from Alexion, Alpine, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Abbvie, Biogen, BMS, Boehringer-Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen-Cilag, Kezar, Eli Lilly and Company, Medac, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche-Chugai, Semeia, and Union Chimique Belge (UCB). OD has/had consultancy relationship with and/or has received research funding from and/or has served as a speaker for 4P-Pharma, Abbvie, Acceleron, Alced, Amgen, AnaMar, Arxx, AstraZeneca, Baecon, Blade, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Corbus, CSL Behring, 4P Science, Galapagos, Glenmark, Horizon, Inventiva, Janssen, Kymera, Lupin, Medscape, Miltenyi Biotec, Mitsubishi Tanabe, MSD, Novartis, Prometheus, Redxpharma, Roivant, Sanofi, and Topadur. AK has/had consultancy relationship with and/or has received research funding from Boehringer Ingelheim, Pfizer, Swiss Nursing Science Foundation, Swiss League Against Rheumatism, and University of Basel. MN reports research grants from BMS, Vifor Pharma and Sanofi paid to his institution, speaking fees from CCIS The Conference Company and Eli Lilly, all outside the submitted work. JEV reports speaker fees from Eli Lilly, and Galapagos. RW has received honoraria from Galapagos, Celltrion, Gilead Sciences, and Union Chimique Belge (UCB). The other authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

ORCID iDs

Ioannis Parodis <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4875-5395>
Laurent Arnaud <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8077-8394>
Oliver Distler <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0546-8310>
Agnes Kocher <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8716-9727>
Maddalena Larosa <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1398-5967>
Mwidi Ndosi <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7764-3173>
Valentin Ritschl <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8763-8215>
Till Uhlig <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6881-9552>
Johanna E Vriezeekolk <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4783-8663>
René Westhovens <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3432-3073>
Tanja A Stamm <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3073-7284>
Carina Boström <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2506-687X>

REFERENCES

- 1 Kaul A, Gordon C, Crow MK, et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2016;2:16039.
- 2 Anders HJ, Saxena R, Zhao MH, et al. Lupus nephritis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2020;6:7.
- 3 Gomez A, Qiu V, Cederlund A, et al. Adverse health-related quality of life outcome despite adequate clinical response to treatment in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2021;8:651249.
- 4 Denton CP, Khanna D. Systemic sclerosis. *Lancet* 2017;390:1685–99.
- 5 Stöcker JK, Schouffoer AA, Spierings J, et al. Evidence and consensus-based recommendations for non-pharmacological treatment of fatigue, hand function loss, Raynaud's phenomenon and Digital ulcers in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Rheumatology* 2022;61:1476–86.
- 6 van der Heijde D, Aletaha D, Carmona L, et al. Update of the EULAR standardised operating procedures for EULAR-endorsed recommendations. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:8–13.
- 7 Cramp F, Hewlett S, Almeida C, et al. Non-pharmacological interventions for fatigue in rheumatoid arthritis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;23.
- 8 Directive 2001/83/EC of the European parliament and the council of the European Union (November 6 of 2001). Available: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/regulatory-procedural-guideline/directive-2001/83/ec-european-parliament-council-6-november-2001-community-code-relating-medicinal-products-human-use_en.pdf [Accessed 11 Oct 2022].
- 9 Samuelson UK, Ahlén EM. Development and evaluation of a patient education program for persons with systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). *Arthritis Care Res* 2000;13:141–8.
- 10 Poole JL, Skipper B, Mendelson C. Evaluation of a mail-delivered, print-format, self-management program for persons with systemic sclerosis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2013;32:1393–8.
- 11 Keramiotou K, Anagnostou C, Kataxaki E, et al. The impact of upper limb exercise on function, daily activities and quality of life in systemic lupus erythematosus: a pilot randomised controlled trial. *RMD Open* 2020;6:e001141.
- 12 Xu D, You X, Wang Z, et al. Chinese systemic lupus erythematosus treatment and research group registry VI: effect of cigarette smoking on the clinical phenotype of Chinese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *PLoS ONE* 2015;10:e0134451.
- 13 Zahn S, Graef M, Patsinakidis N, et al. Ultraviolet light protection by a Sunscreen prevents interferon-driven skin inflammation in cutaneous lupus erythematosus. *Exp Dermatol* 2014;23:516–8.

- 14 Kankaya H, Karadakovan A. Effects of web-based education and counselling for patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: self-efficacy, fatigue and assessment of care. *Lupus* 2020;29:884–91.
- 15 Navarrete-Navarrete N, Peralta-Ramírez MI, Sabio-Sánchez JM, et al. Efficacy of cognitive behavioural therapy for the treatment of chronic stress in patients with lupus erythematosus: a randomized controlled trial. *Psychother Psychosom* 2010;79:107–15.
- 16 Saoji AA, Das P, Devi NS. Yoga therapy as an adjunct to conventional management of systemic sclerosis: a case series. *J Ayurveda Integr Med* 2021;12:705–9.
- 17 Middleton KR, Haaz Moonaz S, Hasni SA, et al. Yoga for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE): clinician experiences and qualitative perspectives from students and yoga instructors living with SLE. *Complement Ther Med* 2018;41:111–7.
- 18 Minami Y, Sasaki T, Arai Y, et al. Diet and systemic lupus erythematosus: a 4 year prospective study of Japanese patients. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:747–54.
- 19 Frech TM, Khanna D, Maranian P, et al. Probiotics for the treatment of systemic sclerosis-associated gastrointestinal bloating/ distention. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2011;29:S22–5.
- 20 Mugii N, Hasegawa M, Matsushita T, et al. The efficacy of self-administered stretching for finger joint motion in Japanese patients with systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol* 2006;33:1586–92.
- 21 Vannajak K, Boonprakob Y, Eungpinichpong W, et al. The short-term effect of Gloving in combination with traditional Thai massage, heat, and stretching exercise to improve hand mobility in scleroderma patients. *J Ayurveda Integr Med* 2014;5:50–5.
- 22 Murphy SL, Barber M, Huang S, et al. Intensive and app-delivered occupational therapy to improve upper extremity function in early diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis: a pilot two-arm trial. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2021;60:5002–11.
- 23 Cüzdan N, Türk İ, Çiftçi V, et al. The effect of a home-based orofacial exercise program on oral aperture of patients with systemic sclerosis: a single-blind prospective randomized controlled trial. *Arch Rheumatol* 2021;36:176–84.
- 24 Poole J, Conte C, Brewer C, et al. Oral hygiene in scleroderma: the effectiveness of a multi-disciplinary intervention program. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2010;32:379–84.
- 25 Mancuso T, Poole JL. The effect of paraffin and exercise on hand function in persons with scleroderma: a series of single case studies. *J Hand Ther* 2009;22:71–7.
- 26 Saito S, Ishii T, Kamogawa Y, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for digital ulcers of systemic sclerosis: a phase 2 pilot study. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 2016;238:39–47.
- 27 Greco CM, Kao AH, Maksimowicz-McKinnon K, et al. Acupuncture for systemic lupus erythematosus: a pilot RCT feasibility and safety study. *Lupus* 2008;17:1108–16.
- 28 Sallam H, McNearney TA, Doshi D, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) improves upper GI symptoms and balances the sympathovagal activity in scleroderma patients. *Dig Dis Sci* 2007;52:1329–37.
- 29 Bongi SM, Del Rosso A, Passalacqua M, et al. Manual lymph drainage improving upper extremity edema and hand function in patients with systemic sclerosis in edematous phase. *Arthritis Care Res* 2011;63:1134–41.
- 30 Hassanien M, Rashad S, Mohamed N, et al. Non-invasive oxygen-ozone therapy in treating digital ulcers of patients with systemic sclerosis. *Acta Reumatol Port* 2018;43:210–6.
- 31 Doerfler B, Allen TS, Southwood C, et al. Medical nutrition therapy for patients with advanced systemic sclerosis (MNT PASS): a pilot intervention study. *JPN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2017;41:678–84.
- 32 Horváth J, Bálint Z, Szép E, et al. Efficacy of intensive hand physical therapy in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2017;35 Suppl 106:159–66.
- 33 Fangtham M, Kasturi S, Bannuru RR, et al. Non-pharmacologic therapies for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2019;28:703–12.
- 34 Gordon C, Amisshah-Arthur M-B, Gayed M, et al. The British society for rheumatology guideline for the management of systemic lupus erythematosus in adults. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2018;57:1502–3.
- 35 Jiménez Buñuales MT, González Diego P, Martín Moreno JM. International classification of functioning, disability and health (ICF) 2001. *Rev Esp Salud Publica* 2002;76:271–9.
- 36 Pocovi-Gerardino G, Correa-Rodríguez M, Callejas-Rubio J-L, et al. Beneficial effect of Mediterranean diet on disease activity and cardiovascular risk in systemic lupus erythematosus patients: a cross-sectional study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2021;60:160–9.
- 37 Carvalho M de, Sato EI, Tebexreni AS, et al. Effects of supervised cardiovascular training program on exercise tolerance, aerobic capacity, and quality of life in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;53:838–44.
- 38 Alvarez-Nemegyei J, Bautista-Botello A, Dávila-Velázquez J. Association of complementary or alternative medicine use with quality of life, functional status or cumulated damage in chronic rheumatic diseases. *Clin Rheumatol* 2009;28:547–51.
- 39 Aromataris E, Munn Z, eds. *JBI manual for evidence synthesis*. 2020. Available: <https://synthesismanual.jbi.global>
- 40 Parodis I, Gomez A, Tsoi A, et al. n.d. Systematic literature review informing the EULAR recommendations for the non-pharmacological management of systemic lupus erythematosus and systemic sclerosis. *RMD Open*
- 41 OCEBM Levels of Evidence Working Group. Oxford centre for evidence-based medicine: the Oxford levels of evidence 2. 2011. Available: <https://www.cebm.ox.ac.uk/resources/levels-of-evidence/ocebml-levels-of-evidence> [Accessed 14 Jun 2022].
- 42 da Hora TC, Lima K, Maciel R. The effect of therapies on the quality of life of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Adv Rheumatol* 2019;59:34.
- 43 Liang H, Tian X, Cao L-Y, et al. Effect of psychological intervention on Healthrelated quality of life in people with systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review. *Int J Nurs Sci* 2014;1:298–305.
- 44 O'Dwyer T, Durcan L, Wilson F. Exercise and physical activity in systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review with meta-analyses. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2017;47:204–15.
- 45 Wu M-L, Yu K-H, Tsai J-C. The effectiveness of exercise in adults with systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review and meta-analysis to guide evidence-based practice. *Worldviews Evid Based Nurs* 2017;14:306–15.
- 46 Zhang J, Wei W, Wang CM. Effects of psychological interventions for patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lupus* 2012;21:1077–87.
- 47 Murphy SL, Barber MW, Homer K, et al. Occupational therapy treatment to improve upper extremity function in individuals with early systemic sclerosis: a pilot study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2018;70:1653–60.
- 48 Bongi SM, Del Rosso A, Galluccio F, et al. Efficacy of connective tissue massage and MC Mennell joint manipulation in the rehabilitative treatment of the hands in systemic sclerosis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2009;28:1167–73.
- 49 Filippetti M, Cazzoletti L, Zamboni F, et al. Effect of a tailored home-based exercise program in patients with systemic sclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2020;30:1675–84.
- 50 Boström C, Elfving B, Dupré B, et al. Effects of a one-year physical activity programme for women with systemic lupus erythematosus - a randomized controlled study. *Lupus* 2016;25:602–16.
- 51 Uras C, Mastroeni S, Tabolli S, et al. A comparison between two educational methods in the rehabilitation of the microstomia in systemic sclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2019;33:1747–56.
- 52 Miljeteig K, Graue M. Evaluation of a multidisciplinary patient education program for people with systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Nurs Healthc Chronic Illn* 2009;1:87–95.
- 53 Brown SJ, Somerset ME, McCabe CS, et al. The impact of group education on participants' management of their disease in lupus and scleroderma. *Musculoskeletal Care* 2004;2:207–17.
- 54 Poole JL, Mendelson C, Skipper B, et al. Taking charge of systemic sclerosis: a pilot study to assess the effectiveness of an internet self-management program. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2014;66:778–82.
- 55 Zanatta E, Rodeghiero F, Pigatto E, et al. Long-term improvement in activities of daily living in women with systemic sclerosis attending occupational therapy. *Br J Occup Ther* 2017;80:417–22.
- 56 Parisi D, Bernier C, Chasset F, et al. Impact of tobacco smoking upon disease risk, activity and therapeutic response in systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Autoimmun Rev* 2019;18:102393.
- 57 Parodis I, Sjöwall C, Jönson A, et al. Smoking and pre-existing organ damage reduce the efficacy of Belimumab in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Autoimmunity Reviews* 2017;16:343–51.
- 58 Gatto M, Saccon F, Zen M, et al. Early disease and low baseline damage as predictors of response to Belimumab in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus in a real-life setting. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2020;72:1314–24.
- 59 Parodis I, Gomez A, Frodlund M, et al. Smoking reduces the efficacy of belimumab in mucocutaneous lupus. *Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy* 2018;18:911–20.
- 60 Harrison BJ, Silman AJ, Hider SL, et al. Cigarette smoking as a significant risk factor for digital vascular disease in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:3312–6.
- 61 Jaeger VK, Valentini G, Hachulla E, et al. Brief report: smoking in systemic sclerosis: a longitudinal European scleroderma trials and research group study. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2018;70:1829–34.
- 62 Hudson M, Lo E, Lu Y, et al. Cigarette smoking in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:230–8.
- 63 Hudson M, Lo E, Baron M, et al. Modeling smoking in systemic sclerosis: a comparison of different statistical approaches. *Arthritis Care Res* 2011;63:570–8.
- 64 Bassel M, Hudson M, Taillefer SS, et al. Frequency and impact of symptoms experienced by patients with systemic sclerosis: results from a Canadian national survey. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2011;50:762–7.
- 65 Marcus Johnsson P, Sandqvist G, Nilsson J-Å, et al. Hand function and performance of daily activities in systemic lupus erythematosus: a clinical study. *Lupus* 2015;24:827–34.
- 66 Pauling JD, Domsic RT, Saketkoo LA, et al. Multinational qualitative research study exploring the patient experience of Raynaud's phenomenon in systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2018;70:1373–84.
- 67 Pauling JD, Reilly E, Smith T, et al. Factors influencing Raynaud condition score diary outcomes in systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol* 2019;46:1326–34.

- 68 Wilkinson JD, Leggett SA, Marjanovic EJ, *et al.* A multicenter study of the validity and reliability of responses to hand cold challenge as measured by laser speckle contrast imaging and Thermography: outcome measures for systemic sclerosis-related Raynaud's phenomenon. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2018;70:903–11.
- 69 Liem SIE, Hoekstra EM, Bonte-Mineur F, *et al.* The effect of silver fibre gloves on Raynaud's phenomenon in patients with systemic sclerosis: a double-blind randomized crossover trial. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2023;62:S174–81.
- 70 Brignoli E, Frassanito P, Vercesi E, *et al.* Rehabilitation in systemic sclerosis: proposed personalised rehabilitation programme. *G Ital Med Lav Ergon* 2018;40:248–56.
- 71 Sohng KY. Effects of a self-management course for patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Adv Nurs* 2003;42:479–86.
- 72 Allen KD, Beauchamp T, Rini C, *et al.* Pilot study of an internet-based pain coping skills training program for patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *BMC Rheumatol* 2021;5:20.
- 73 Barbhaiya M, Costenbader KH. Ultraviolet radiation and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2014;23:588–95.
- 74 Ahluwalia J, Marsch A. Photosensitivity and photoprotection in patients with lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2019;28:697–702.
- 75 Stege H, Budde MA, Grether-Beck S, *et al.* Evaluation of the capacity of sunscreens to photoprotect lupus erythematosus patients by employing the photoprovocation test. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 2000;16:256–9.
- 76 Herzinger T, Plewig G, Röcken M. Use of sunscreens to protect against ultraviolet-induced lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:3045–6.
- 77 Abrahão MI, Gomiero AB, Peccin MS, *et al.* Cardiovascular training vs. resistance training for improving quality of life and physical function in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2016;45:197–201.
- 78 Gökken N, Badak SO, Sarpel T, *et al.* The efficacy of a home-based, self-administered hand exercise program for patients with systemic sclerosis: a randomized controlled, evaluator-blind, clinical trial. *J Clin Rheumatol* 2022;28:e422–9.
- 79 Antoniolli CM, Bua G, Frigè A, *et al.* An individualized rehabilitation program in patients with systemic sclerosis may improve quality of life and hand mobility. *Clin Rheumatol* 2009;28:159–65.
- 80 Kwakkenbos L, Bluyssen SJM, Vonk MC, *et al.* Addressing patient health care demands in systemic sclerosis: pre-post assessment of a psycho-educational group programme. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2011;29:S60–5.
- 81 Landim SF, Bertolo MB, Marcatto de Abreu MF, *et al.* The evaluation of a home-based program for hands in patients with systemic sclerosis. *J Hand Ther* 2019;32:313–21.
- 82 Pizzo G, Scardina GA, Messina P. Effects of a nonsurgical exercise program on the decreased mouth opening in patients with systemic scleroderma. *Clin Oral Investig* 2003;7:175–8.
- 83 Pinto ALS, Oliveira NC, Gualano B, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of concurrent training in systemic sclerosis. *J Strength Cond Res* 2011;25:1423–8.
- 84 Bongio SM, Del Rosso A, Passalacqua M, *et al.* Manual lymph drainage improving upper extremity edema and hand function in patients with systemic sclerosis in edematous phase. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2011;63:1134–41.
- 85 Jongsma K, van Seventer J, Verwoerd A, *et al.* Recommendations from a James LIND alliance priority setting partnership - a qualitative interview study. *Res Invol Engagem* 2020;6:68.
- 86 Levelink M, Voigt-Barbarowicz M, Brütt AL. Priorities of patients, caregivers and health-care professionals for health research - a systematic review. *Health Expect* 2020;23:992–1006.
- 87 Gwinnutt JM, Wiecek M, Balanescu A, *et al.* EULAR recommendations regarding lifestyle Behaviours and work participation to prevent progression of rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2023;82:48–56.
- 88 Rausch Osthoff A-K, Niedermann K, Braun J, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for physical activity in people with inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77:1251–60.
- 89 Zangi HA, Ndosi M, Adams J, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for patient education for people with inflammatory arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:954–62.
- 90 Nikiphorou E, Santos EJF, Marques A, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for the implementation of self-management strategies in patients with inflammatory arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021;80:1278–85.
- 91 Alexanderson H, Boström C. Exercise therapy in patients with idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and systemic lupus erythematosus - a systematic literature review. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2020;34:101547.
- 92 Basta F, Fasola F, Triantafyllidis K, *et al.* Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) therapy: the old and the new. *Rheumatol Ther* 2020;7:433–46.
- 93 Choi MY, Hahn J, Malspeis S, *et al.* Association of a combination of healthy lifestyle behaviors with reduced risk of incident systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2022;74:274–83.
- 94 Gwinnutt JM, Wiecek M, Rodríguez-Carrio J, *et al.* Effects of physical exercise and body weight on disease-specific outcomes of people with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs): systematic reviews and meta-analyses informing the 2021 EULAR recommendations for lifestyle improvements in people with RMDs. *RMD Open* 2022;8:e002167.
- 95 Kawa L, Schlenker A, Mertz P, *et al.* Fatigue in systemic lupus erythematosus: an update on its impact, determinants and therapeutic management. *J Clin Med* 2021;10:3996.
- 96 Kostopoulou M, Nikolopoulos D, Parodis I, *et al.* Cardiovascular disease in systemic lupus erythematosus: recent data on epidemiology, risk factors and prevention. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2020;18:549–65.
- 97 Legge A, Blanchard C, Hanly JG. Physical activity, sedentary behaviour and their associations with cardiovascular risk in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2020;59:e153–4.
- 98 Lu MC, Koo M. Effects of exercise intervention on health-related quality of life in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2021;9:1215.
- 99 Pettersson H, Alexanderson H, Poole JL, *et al.* Exercise as a multi-modal disease-modifying medicine in systemic sclerosis: an introduction by the global fellowship on rehabilitation and exercise in systemic sclerosis (G-Forss). *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2021;35:101695.
- 100 Ritschl V, Ferreira RJO, Santos EJF, *et al.* Suitability for E-health of non-pharmacological interventions in connective tissue diseases: scoping review with a descriptive analysis. *RMD Open* 2021;7:e001710.
- 101 Rodríguez Huerta MD, Trujillo-Martin MM, Rúa-Figueroa I, *et al.* Healthy lifestyle habits for patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2016;45:463–70.
- 102 Sharif K, Watad A, Bragazzi NL, *et al.* Physical activity and autoimmune diseases: get moving and manage the disease. *Autoimmun Rev* 2018;17:53–72.
- 103 Sieczkowska SM, Smaira FI, Mazzolani BC, *et al.* Efficacy of home-based physical activity interventions in patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2021;51:576–87.
- 104 Gwinnutt JM, Wiecek M, Rodríguez-Carrio J, *et al.* Smoking, alcohol consumption and disease-specific outcomes in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs): systematic reviews informing the 2021 EULAR recommendations for lifestyle improvements in people with RMDs. *RMD Open* 2022;8:e002167.
- 105 Loza E, Carmona L, Woolf A, *et al.* Implementation of recommendations in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases: considerations for development and uptake. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2022;81:1344–7.