

Development of an innovative method for evaluating a network of collective defensive interactions in football

PACHECO, Rui <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8522-9397>, RIBEIRO, João <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9559-378X>, COUCEIRO, Micael, DAVIDS, Keith <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1398-6123>, GARGANTA, Júlio, MARQUES-ALEIXO, Inês, NAKAMURA, Fábio, CASANOVA, Filipe and GONZÁLEZ-VÍLLORA, Sixto <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2473-5223>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/31297/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

PACHECO, Rui, RIBEIRO, João, COUCEIRO, Micael, DAVIDS, Keith, GARGANTA, Júlio, MARQUES-ALEIXO, Inês, NAKAMURA, Fábio, CASANOVA, Filipe and GONZÁLEZ-VÍLLORA, Sixto (2022). Development of an innovative method for evaluating a network of collective defensive interactions in football. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part P: Journal of Sports Engineering and Technology. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

Title: Development of an innovative method for evaluating a network of collective defensive

interactions in football.

Authors: Rui Pacheco^{1,2*}, João Ribeiro^{1,3}, Micael Couceiro⁴, Keith Davids⁵, Júlio Garganta³, Inês Marques Aleixo^{1,6}, Fábio Nakamura⁷, Filipe Casanova^{8,9}, Sixto González-Víllora¹⁰

- 3 ¹ Universidade Lusófona do Porto, Porto, Portugal
- ⁴ ² Escola Internacional de Doutoramento, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Espanha
- 5 ³ CIFI2D, Centre for Research, Education, Innovation and Intervention in Sport, Faculdade de
- 6 Desporto, Universidade do Porto, Rua Dr. Plácido Costa, 91, 4200-450 Porto, Portugal
- 7 ⁴ Ingeniarius, Ltd.Coimbra, Portugal
- ⁵ Sport & Human Performance Research Group, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, United
 Kingdom
- ⁶ Research Center in Physical Activity, Health and Leisure (CIAFEL), Faculty of Sports, University of
- 11 Porto; Laboratory for Integrative and Translational Research in Population Health (ITR), Portugal
- 12⁷ Instituto Superior da Maia, Maia, Portugal
- 13 ⁸ Lusófona University, Lisboa, Portugal
- ⁹ Centro de Investigação em Desporto, Educação Física e Exercício e Saúde (CIDEFES), Lusófona
 University, Lisbon, Portugal
- ¹⁰ Facultad de Educación, Departamento de Didáctica de la Educación Física, Artística y Música,
 Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Spain
- 18
- 19 * Corresponding Author: <u>p5912@ulp.pt</u>
- 20
- *2*0
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

27 Abstract

Social network analysis (SNA) has been increasingly applied to performance analytics in team sports, 28 29 seeking to better understand the dynamic properties of competitive interactions. Despite considerable 30 potential to analyze individual (micro) and team (macro) behavioral patterns of play, there are 31 important limitations that can undermine the potential applicability of SNA. One important limitation 32 in existing research is the lack of network analyses of defensive interactions, curtailing understanding 33 of the functionality and adaptability of teams during competitive performance. This study developed an innovative network method for assessing interactions between players in defensive phases of play 34 35 in football. The networking method was evaluated using a small-sided and conditioned game (SSCG; 36 GK+7v7+GK) of 20 minutes duration (two halves of 10 minutes each, interspersed by five minute 37 intervals of active recovery). The method traced interactions between groups of three players (effective 38 defensive triangulations) as network nodes, weighted according to the number of passes performed by 39 the attacking players. Results showed how this social network analysis method may provide 40 researchers, coaches, and performance analysts with relevant information regarding the functional 41 properties of teams in the defensive phase of the game. For instance, coaches and performance analysts 42 can evaluate the geometry of a team's defense, with players engaged in effective triangular-shaped 43 positioning, that allowed them to provide defensive cover and defensive equilibrium, to protect the 44 goal and recover ball possession.

45

46

47 Keywords: social network methodology, performance analysis, team defensive networks, effective
48 defensive triangulations, Football, Soccer.

49 **1 Introduction**

50 Emerging approaches in team sports performance analysis have provided meaningful information 51 regarding the topological structure exhibited by sports teams during competitive performance [1; 2]. 52 Network science is one such approach and comprises an academic field, which investigates the 53 functioning of complex collective systems such as computer, biological, and social networks, among 54 others. Social network analysis utilises concepts and tools derived from graph theory to investigate 55 social structures [3]. A social structure or collective (e.g., a sports team) can be framed as a network 56 composed of individuals (e.g., players in teams), typically modelled as nodes or vertices, whose 57 interactions are bounded by specific relational ties (e.g., a ball-passing network in a team game) [4].

In sports, social network analysis techniques provide insights into coordinated patterns of behaviour displayed by members of a team during competition, enhancing understanding of the topological structure of collaborative behaviours, investigating aspects like cohesiveness, roles, and hierarchies among players [3]. Additionally, and more importantly, network analysis bridges the gap between the *micro* (e.g., dyads, triads, and small groups) and *macro* (e.g., the whole structure) levels of analysis [5]. Therefore, social network analysis supports identification of local and global patterns of team behaviour, examining system (team) dynamics.

Despite the benefits associated with the applicability of social networks to team sports performance analysis, there are important limitations to current methodological understanding that need to be addressed. One such limitation relates to the absence of a network analysis on team interactions when defending in team games like football [6]. Indeed, previous social network studies have provided knowledge regarding interactional patterns displayed by team players during the process of information exchange in attacking phases of play (e.g., ball-passing networks) [7-13]. Other studies have used social network metrics, along with other performance indicators, to identify the most important players in football attacking plays [14]. These, and other research limitations, can be resolved through use of
network science and tracking data to study collaborative activity in systems during defensive phases
of play [15-18].

75 The combination of network analysis with tracking data provides a rich understanding of how team 76 dynamics emerge and evolve over time (see, for example, [19], for a review on the application of 77 tracking methods to assess tactical variables in team sports). In addition, network science allows 78 analysis of tracking data with patterns of play against different opponents/conditions/spaces/others. 79 On the other hand, the vast majority of data-driven performance indicators are based on football log 80 data (i.e., Wyscout, Chiavari, Genoa, Italy, and Stats, London, UK). Such reports are mainly focused 81 only on ball-related events during play, consequently it is not possible to evaluate whether a defender 82 prevented a penetrative pass from being completed by positioning themselves close to an immediate 83 opponent. There is a need to also understand the interactional patterns of players in defending teams.

This lack of information regarding the network of team defensive interactions is very important to consider, because in competitive performance, a crucial source of information constraining the perceptions and actions of collective agents (e.g., players in sports teams), is provided by the actions of other interacting agents (e.g., opponents) [20].

Based on network theory, competitive team games, like football, can be conceived as an emergent competitive relationship between collective systems conceptualised as two cooperative and interdependent complex networks, striving to surpass each other's performance effectiveness and efficiency through numerous skill-based interactions. From the standpoint of Network B, the interactions of members of Network A are deemed as external input, having an impact on the global topology and local dynamics of Network B, and vice-versa [9].

94 During competitive performance, the two competing networks have mutually exclusive goals, 95 displaying complex antagonistic behaviours. On one side of the spectrum, the team in possession of 96 the ball frequently seeks to create space by stretching and expanding space on field, through increasing 97 values of interpersonal distances between players, aiming to create goal-scoring opportunities. On the 98 other side of the spectrum, when defending, teams close down space by contracting and reducing space 99 between players, aiming to recover ball-possession or to prevent the opposing team from accessing 100 passing lanes to create scoring opportunities. Such collective system tactical behaviours emerge from 101 the assembly of interpersonal synergies established between teammates, which can be captured, for 102 example, through the analysis of the effective area of play of both competing teams.

103 This effective playing space, also called surface area, has been defined as the smallest polygonal area 104 delineated by all the peripheral players participating in a game [21]. Several studies have utilised 105 information from this, and other collective system metrics, to enhance understanding of tactical 106 behaviours concerning the expansion and/or contraction movements displayed by players within and 107 between teams, in competitive environments [22-26]. In this regard, Clemente, Couceiro, and Martins 108 [27] have used previous information on the application of this tactical metric to develop a new method 109 for computing effective areas of play. They calculated effective playing areas for both attacking and 110 defending teams, taking into consideration time spent with and without possession of the ball. This 111 process allowed them to analyse the effective offensive/defensive triangulations established between 112 players during goal-directed performance.

We propose that this novel method of analysing the effective playing area can be used as a possible basis for developing a methodological approach for assessing a network of defensive interactions in sports teams. Indeed, Yamamoto and Yokoyama [9] have emphasised that game momentum can be depicted by the number of "offensive triangular shapes" (triangular passing in groups of three players) achieved in attacking sequences of play. In this study, local and global dynamics inherent to team sports 118 were investigated based on a ball-passing network that sustained collective system behaviours. Insights 119 of Yamamoto and Yokoyama [9] have raised pertinent and interesting questions, such as: can team 120 interactions when defending be modelled by a network of effective defensive triangulations formed by 121 interactions of three players? In addition, why is measurement of triangulations important? We argue 122 that a geometric shape (triangle) is commonly manifested by players during competitive performance 123 in many of the major team games, including football, and may facilitate the execution of tactical 124 principles of play in defensive sub-phases of play, including providing cover and equilibrium. If players 125 maintain diagonal lines with respect to each other and the position of the ball, while defending, they 126 may facilitate the accomplishment of key principles of defensive cover and balance, enabling 127 individual and collective defensive organisation to be more effective [28]. Defensive cover can be 128 provided by player(s) supporting the teammate closest to the ball carrier, aiming to serve as a covering 129 barrier in case the attacker with the ball manages to dribble past that defender. Likewise, the principle 130 of balance can be provided by defending player(s) able to close gaps between different teammates, 131 covering any attacking passing lines, as well as limiting space and movement of free players (between 132 and behind attackers). Nevertheless, it is important to mention that this network method comprises a 133 first attempt to measure such relations, and thus future research needs to be done to evaluate individual 134 and colletive defensive organization underlying the achievement of effective defensive cover and 135 balance during competitive performance.

In the proposed network approach, the organization of a defending team can be considered to emerge from the interactions between its cooperating players, creating defensive networks. These collective sub-systems are weighted, based on the number of successful interactions with other defending teammates. These defensive interactions are *spatially embedded*, considering the Euclidean position of the players, and *time evolving*, by considering the number and shape of the effective triangular shapes formed through interactions of three defenders over time. It is important to emphasise that this novel 142 network approach is able to represent and measure the spatial interaction between defenders and the 143 spatial areas of triangulations between defenders according to the interactions performed. Regardless, 144 there have been no attempts to develop a methodological approach for assessing a network of team 145 defensive interactions in team sports, like football. The rationale basis that supports this 146 methodological approach in this study regards groups of three players (defensive triangulations) as the 147 vertices of the defensive network, weighted according to the passes performed by the players of the 148 attacking team. On the other hand, it is important to note that, in this study, we applied our proposed 149 approach in a Gk+7v7+Gk SSCG that is representative, although less complex (due to decreased 150 number of players and space), of the 11-a-side formal match. We provide detailed information 151 regarding the methodological protocols that were used, reporting practical applications and possible 152 avenues for future research.

153 2 Methods

154 **2.1 Sample**

155 The proposed method was assessed in a single 8-a-side (GK+7x7+GK) small-sided and conditioned 156 game (SSCG) format, consisting of two 10-minute halves interspersed by an active recovery interval 157 of five minutes. The game was implemented at the beginning of football class to avoid possible fatigue 158 effects on performance and was preceded by a warm-up of approximately 10 minutes, comprising drills 159 with a ball followed by sprinting activities and stretching. The convenience sample was composed of 160 16 players (20.7±1.3 years) recruited from the Sports Faculty of the University of Porto, enrolled in 161 football classes as part of their Sports Science degree curricula. Only the outfield players were 162 considered for the analysis. Goalkeepers participated in the study but were excluded from the analysis 163 because of their restricted positioning on field, compared to other players. The coach assigned 164 participants to one of two, technically-equivalent teams composed of eight players.

165 The objective of teams in the SSCG was to score as many goals as possible while preventing the 166 opposing team from scoring. The SSCG was played outdoors on an artificial turf field. The length and 167 width dimensions of the playing area were reduced, relative to official football field dimensions to 63.6 168 x 41.3 m, due to the number of players involved in the SSCG [29]. Moreover, the teacher did not 169 provide any type of encouragement or feedback to the players, before and during periods of data 170 collection, because it could have affected levels of practice intensity in individual participants. During 171 the recovery period, participants were allowed to recover actively at will. Players were informed about 172 the procedures of the study and signed an informed consent form. The local Ethics Committee approved 173 the study.

174 **2.2 Procedures**

To capture team interactions on field, a GoPro (San Mateo, California, USA) Rollei Ac415 FHD WiFi (a fixed digital camera) was used, encompassing the following characteristics: (i) resolution: FullHD; (ii) processing capacity of 50 Hz (50 frames per second); (iii) maximum lens aperture: F=2.4; (iv) sensor type: CMOS; (v) capture angle: 140°. The GoPro was placed on a higher level above the pitch (approximately 6 m high) to ensure an optimal viewing angle (allowing views of the entire field) during the game.

In addition, global positioning tracking devices (GPS) were utilised for capturing on-field players' displacements. All outfield players (a total of 14 players) carried an unobtrusive global positioning tracking device (Qstarz, model: BT-Q1000Ex, Team PSA Sport, Taipei, Taiwan) that recorded their longitudinal and latitudinal positional coordinates, for each individual positional data (2D) sample, at a sampling frequency rate of 10 Hz (10 frames per second). The reliability of such GPS devices has been confirmed in previous studies [30-31]. The performance area was calibrated in the anterior axis using four GPS devices stationed in each corner of the pitch for approximately four minutes. The

absolute coordinates of each corner were calculated as the median of the recorded time series, yielding measurements that were robust to the typical fluctuations of GPS signals. These defined locations were utilised to establish the Cartesian coordinate systems for each pitch, with the origin placed at the pitch center. Furthermore, longitudinal and latitudinal (spherical) coordinates were converted to Euclidean (planar) coordinates by applying the Haversine formula [32].

193 We adapted the method proposed by Clemente et al., [27] to calculate the adjacency matrices for both 194 teams' A and B defensive networks. We used positioning tracking devices (GPS) to collect the 2D 195 positional coordinates of players on the field. Furthermore, video analysis was used to annotate, for 196 each second of the first and second halves of play if the team with possession of the ball (attacking 197 team) performed a pass or not. Data processing and analysis involved dedicated Matlab R2016b 198 (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) routines for transforming positional coordinates of players 199 and pitch, to synchronise data from video and GPS, as well as to calculate adjacency matrices and 200 graphically illustrate the attacking and defending effective areas of play for both teams.

201 2.2.1 Effective area of play

Clemente et al., [27] proposed a different calculation of the surface area of play, denoted as effective area of play, which considers the effective triangular shapes formed in each team. To calculate the effective area of play, there is a need to create a polygon on the planar dimension in which at least three points are necessary (i.e., triangle). Accordingly, three players need to be considered to build triangular shapes as the combinations of N players, in which N represents the total number of players within a team.

208 For that purpose, an algorithm was developed comprising the subsequent following steps:

1. Calculation of the surface area for each team after the work of Frencken and colleagues [22,23].

- Computation of the surface area of both teams with all the non-overlapping triangular shapes
 formed by groups of three players in each team. Here, the major condition was to generate the
 triangular shapes with smaller perimeters.
- 213 3. Calculation of the effective area of play formed by the triangular shapes that did not overlap214 the surface area of the opposing team.
- 4. Calculation of the effective area, derived from the formation of defensive triangular shapesestablished by a team, that intercepted the surface area of the opposing team.
- 5. Calculation of the triangular shapes formed by attacking players in a team that were notintercepted by the effective defensive triangular shapes of the opposing team.

These measures were used to quantify the interactions between defending players, as opposed to the traditional surface area measure, because it encompasses both offensive and defensive tactical principles. These measures give relevance to the latter by focusing on the concentration (position of defenders away from the ball who occupy vital spaces to protect the scoring area) and the defensive unit (positioning of defenders to reduce the effective play-space of opponents) [27].

224 **2.2.2**

2.2.2 Network of team defensive interactions

225 The criterion we adopted to develop a network of team defensive interactions was based on the 226 formation of effective defensive triangulations by team players. As mentioned before, the interactions 227 between a set of three players (in a triangle) constitutes a necessary requisite for creating a polygon 228 that represents the entire surface area occupied by the defending team, with special reference to the 229 effective area of play. Importantly, it also serves as the basis for the calculation of the connections 230 established among defending players. Such connections permit analysing different levels of team 231 cooperation, moving from single (individual) and subsequently more complex dyadic, and triadic 232 levels of analysis, towards the whole team structure.

233 Each player represents a node of the network, weighted according to the interactions accomplished 234 with other defending teammates, captured through the defensive triangle, only when the attacking team 235 passed the ball. In other words, the weighted triangle (interactions of three defensive players) varied 236 according to local and global dynamics of the team in possession of the ball. Basically, the network of 237 team defensive interactions is expressed by the number of players' effective defensive connections 238 established with other teammates that enabled the formation of effective triangulations (triangle 239 perimeter \leq 36 m), through analysis of the effective area of play. Such effective defensive triangular 240 shapes were captured in response to a ball-passing action developed by the attacking team in possession 241 of the ball.

242 The ball-passing action of the attacking team was measured using a combination of video and GPS 243 analyses. For the purpose of synchronising the sampling time rate between video analysis (50 Hz) and 244 GPS (10 Hz) positional data analysis, the following steps were completed: 1) downsampling (process 245 of reducing the sampling rate of a signal) of 10 Hz GPS original data to 1 Hz in Matlab (sampling rate 246 of one second); and 2), analysis and registration of passing sequences through video analysis, for both 247 Team A and Team B for every second of the game. This procedure was needed to ensure the same 248 sampling rate between both video and GPS data and to identify the starting point of both datasets by 249 matching/synchronising the beginning of the game. Moreover, additional information regarding the 250 passes completed by both teams for each second of the game and for both halves was also included in 251 the CSV file containing the GPS coordinates. This information was coded using a simple binary 252 identifier (0 - no pass; 1 - pass) for pass identification. Basically, the positional coordinates (x, y) of 253 all the outfield players and the information for pass identification compose the dataset (CSV file).

To facilitate this synchronization procedure, a Matlab graphical interface was developed, enabling us to view the video frame-by-frame (*i.e.*, at every second), alongside the GPS coordinate data imported directly from CSV, thus allowing us to recognise the location and movements of each player (represented by a point) on-field, as exemplified in Figure 1. This provided the visual information necessary to identify the starting point (beginning of the game) and match it with the GPS data. Only the rows of the CSV file that contained the positional coordinates (x, y) of players, coded as "1" (successful pass), were considered by the Matlab script to assess the network of interactions established by the defending team.

262

INSERT FIGURE 1

263 2.2.3 Replicability of data analysis

Regarding the replicability of this method, such an approach requires a fixed camera, GPS devices for all the players, a synchronisation process (e.g., the use of scripts elaborated in Matlab or OCTAVE software) and a manual or automatised annotation process (for passes identification).

267 3 Results and Discussion

The results of the adjacency matrices for Team A and Team B defensive networks are shown in both Table 1 and Table 2. To best summarize the information in both Tables, as an example, we have only focused our attention on the interactions involving Player 1 (for Team A and Team B), because the subsequent interpretations for the remaining players (Player 2-7) are identical, only the number of interactions achieved by each pair vary.

273

INSERT TABLE 1

Thus, effective defensive connections (EDC) and total effective defensive connections (TEDC) achieved by players of Team A are represented in Table 1. For instance, Player 1 established 1,322, 748, 1,384, 1,006, 477 and 663 EDC with Player 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 respectively, comprising a total of 5,600 TEDC performed throughout the whole period of the game. The player with most TEDC accomplished was Player 4 (TEDC = 5,636). The player with whom Player 4 established more EDC
was Player 1 (1,384).

Like Table 1, Table 2 represents the EDC and TEDC displayed by players of Team B.

281

INSERT TABLE 2

Player 1 established 1,357, 855, 1,098, 828, 293 and 275 EDC with Player 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7
respectively, encompassing a total of 4706 TEDC. The player with most TEDC accomplished was
Player 2 (TEDC = 5,006). The player with whom Player 2 established more EDC was Player 3 (1,442).

285 Beyond the adjacency matrices for both teams' defensive networks, we also obtained graphic imagery 286 (a total of 1,200 frames depicting the 20 minutes of play, 20 min of play = 1,200 seconds) illustrating 287 the offensive and defensive spatial areas of triangulations performed by players. Figure 2 depicts the 288 first second of the game and demonstrates the disposition on field of both attacking and defending 289 players (assessed by positional coordinates) when a pass was performed by a player from Team 1. Each 290 image shows the surface area of both attacking (Team 1) and defending team (Team 2) with non-291 overlapping (Figure 2) and overlapping (Figure 3) triangular shapes. The surface area of both teams' 292 is calculated by adding the existing triangulations of the effective area of play, allowing us to obtain a 293 value that informs the total coverage area of the polygon formed by the attacking and defending 294 teammates [25].

295

INSERT FIGURE 2

The high dimensionality presented in the adjacency matrices of both Team A and Team B defensive networks is related to the number of possible defensive connections that a given player can establish with his teammates, during each second of the game. For instance, the player assigned with a black circle (Figure 3) establishes five defensive connections (order of numbers was set arbitrarily) with his teammates, resulting in the formation of four effective defensive triangulations in the ninth second ofthe game.

302

INSERT FIGURE 3

303 As mentioned before, this methodological approach conducts network analyses on formation of 304 defensive triangulations to assess player interactions on the field. The formation of triangulations 305 between defenders may best provide defensive cover and balance to facilitate the tactical principles of 306 play. Indeed, when the defending players attain effective triangular shapes the probability of the 307 attacking players to perform a pass towards that particular zone of the field or even to penetrate that 308 space through dribbling actions can be very low given the accurate position of the defending players 309 (perimeter of the triangle \leq 36m) the three-player interpersonal interaction allows them to comply with 310 two major specific principles of the game, namely defensive cover, and balance. Regardless, there is a 311 need for future research to ascertain the relation between the perimeter of triangular shapes and 312 effectiveness of ball-recoveries.

313 However, the distance of players providing defensive cover for their nearest teammates is extremely 314 difficult to ascertain because it is dependent on a variety of conditions, for example: (i) the area of the 315 field where the game situation occurs; (ii) technical-tactical capacity of the attacking player(s); (iii) 316 velocity of the moving defender(s); (iv) state of the playing area surface and weather conditions, 317 amongst other reasons [33,34]. Regardless, insights from previous research by Dooley and Titz [35] 318 allowed us to establish a maximum perimeter of 36 m (approximately 12 m between each defensive 319 player) for defining an effective defensive triangle. Consequently, those triangular shapes formed by 320 the defending teammates that exceeded the distance of 36 m would be nullified by the triangular shapes 321 formed by the attacking team, based on the assumption that it would be more difficult for the defensive 322 players to intercept the ball [27] or to provide defensive cover for their nearest teammates with that 323 spatial dimension. However, according to the same investigators, the effective area of play *per se* does 324 not warrant a need for defensive cover, rather it allows us to analyse the potential for the defending 325 players to support their nearest teammates based on their proximity on field.

326 We only counted the EDC value established between players in triangular shapes that were considered 327 effective (perimeter \leq 36 m for the defensive triangular shapes that intercepted the offensive triangular 328 shapes). It is important to mention that, when there is no overlap between the playing areas of teams, 329 all defensive triangulations are considered effective. Only when there is an overlap of playing areas of 330 competing teams, can those triangular shapes that exhibit perimeters ≤ 36 m be considered effective. 331 Essentially, the results provide the frequency counts of successful interactions accomplished by each 332 player with other defending teammates. In other words, both defensive network matrices of Team A 333 and Team B reflect the accumulated sum of all the passes made by the opposing team while the players 334 kept the defensive network connected.

335 It is important to mention that this study protocol did not account for any contextual factors such as, 336 for example, quality of the opponent, score-line. Arguably, such factors will influence the emergence 337 of effective defensive triangular shapes between team players. Regardless, the main aim of this study 338 was to ascertain whether the combination of the network approach, along with tracking data, allowed 339 the capture of effective team defensive triangulations during competitive performance. On the other 340 hand, we are aware that the triangular shapes' perimeter reference used in this study is set for 11-a-side 341 matches. However, this specificity does not compromise the proposed approach because the triangle's 342 perimeters may vary according to a multitude of interacting performance constraints, which can be 343 studied in future studies.

344 Summarising, the analysis of the network of team defensive interactions was based on a ball-passing
 345 network performed by the attacking team in each second of the game. Graphical representations for

each frame of the game (frames containing a pass) allowed us to evaluate the effective defensive triangular shapes (shaped by three player interactions – nodes of the defensive network) whose perimeter value was \leq 36 m. The effective defensive triangular shapes were weighted according to the number of passes performed by the attacking team.

350 4 Conclusions and Practical Applications

This study sought to develop an innovative methodological approach to evaluate a network of interactions between defending players in football. Notwithstanding, care must be taken when interpreting the results found in this study. In fact, the proposed methodological approach was implemented in a Gk+7v7+Gk condition, therefore, despite representing the 11-a-side formal match at a reduced complexity level, further research is needed to generalize the results to the full game format.

356 Nonetheless, this methodological advance may constitute a first step to overcome one of the main 357 limitations encountered when social network studies are applied to the study of sports performance, 358 providing relevant information regarding the adaptability and functionality exhibited by teams in 359 competitive and practice environments. In addition, the applicability of this methodological approach 360 may benefit future social network studies by expanding knowledge beyond that of collective networks 361 during offensive interactional patterns, enhancing understanding of the "rapport of forces" [36] 362 manifested by competing teams. It may also constitute the basis for future extrapolations to 363 performance analysis in other team sports.

Finally, network analysis, enhanced by understanding of a network of team defensive interactions, may provide coaches, practitioners and performance analysts with deeper insights concerning functional patterns of tactical behaviours in individuals and teams for different phases of competition. The efficacy of football tactics can be best understood in terms of creating (attacking phase) and/or closing down (defending phase) space as well as controlling space. Coaches and performance analysts can 369 evaluate the geometry of teams in a defensive phase, with players achieving geometrically accurate 370 positioning, based on establishment of effective triangular shapes that allow them to adequately provide 371 defensive cover and defensive equilibrium and, ultimately, to protect their goal area and recover the 372 ball.

5 Future Research

374 A key next step is to develop this methodological approach by including in the analysis, for example, 375 the dribbling actions performed by attacking players. This analysis only provided information on the 376 network of team defensive interactions in response to a passing move from the opposition. Another 377 important step to contemplate in future studies is to elaborate network metrics that allow investigations 378 of the topological properties displayed in coordinated defensive interactions developed by players 379 during performance. Indeed, this is an important step to further understand the dynamics of the network 380 of collective defensive interactions. Beyond that, the inclusion of the positional coordinates of the ball, 381 may be extremely useful to simultaneously analyse the interactive behaviours of both offensive and 382 defensive networks displayed by competing teams. Furthermore, one can also develop a unique 383 network, which contains information regarding the complexity manifested by two opposing networks 384 in competing teams. Finally, it would be of great interest to ascertain the most dominant spaces used 385 for each triangular shapes and to verify if there is any correlational relationship between each triangular 386 shapes over time.

387

388 6 Funding

389 No financial support was received for the planning or conduct of the research presented in this article.

390 7 Conflict of Interest

391 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial 392 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

393 8 References

- 394 1. Grund TU. Network structure and team performance: the case of English Premier League soccer
 395 teams. Soc Networks 2012; 34: 682-690.
- 396 2. Travassos B, Bourbousson J, Esteves PT, et al. Adaptive behaviours of attacking futsal teams to
 397 opposition defensive formations. Hum Mov Sci 2016; 47: 98-105. DOI:
 398 10.1016/j.humov.2016.02.004
- 399 3. Lusher D, Robins G, Kremer P. The application of social network analysis to team sports. Meas
 400 Phys Educ Exerc Sci 2010; 14: 211-224.
- 401 4. Wellman B, Wasserman S. Social networks. In: A Kazdin (ed) Encyclopedia of Psychology. New
 402 York: American Psychological Association and Oxford University Press, 2000, pp.351-353.
- 403 5. Wasserman S, Galaskiewicz J. Advances in social network analysis: research from the social and
 404 behavioural sciences. 1st ed. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications, 1994.
- 6. Ribeiro J, Silva P, Duarte R, et al. Team sports performance analysed through the lens of social
 network theory: Implications for research and practice. Sports Med 2017; 47(9): 1689-1696.
- 407 7. Duch J, Waitzman JS, Amaral LAN. Quantifying the performance of individual players in a team
 408 activity. Plos One 2010; 5: e10937. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0010937
- 8. Passos P, Davids K, Araújo D, et al. Networks as a novel tool for studying team ball sports as
 complex social systems. J Sci Med Sport 2011; 14: 170-176.

- 411 9. Yamamoto Y, Yokoyama K. Common and unique network dynamics in football games. Plos One
 412 2011; 6: 1-6.
- 413 10. Gama J, Passos P, Davids K, et al. Network analysis and intra-team activity in attacking phases of
 414 professional football. Int J Perform Anal Sport 2014; 14: 692-708.
- 415 11. Malta T and Travassos B. Caracterização da transição defesa-ataque de uma equipa de futebol.
 416 Motricidade 2014; 10(1): 27-37.
- 417 12. Clemente FMC, Couceiro MS, Martins FML, et al. Using network metrics to investigate football
 418 team players' connections: a pilot study. Motriz 2014; 20: 262-271.
- 419 13. Clemente FMC, Martins F, Mendes R, et al. Social network measures to match analysis in soccer:
 420 a survey. J Phys Educ Sport 2016;16: 823-830.
- 421 14. Pereira T, Ribeiro J, Grilo F, et al. The Golden Index: A classification system for player
 422 performance in football attacking plays. Proc Inst Mech Eng P: J Sports Eng Technol 2019;
 423 233(4):467-477. DOI:10.1177/1754337119851682
- 424 15. Herrera-Diestra JL, Echegoyen I, Martínez JH, et al. Pitch networks reveal organizational and
 425 spatial patterns of Guardiola's FC Barcelona. Chaos Solit Fractals 2020; 138: 109934.
- 426 16. Martínez JH, Garrido D, Herrera-Diestra JL, et al. Spatial and temporal entropies in the Spanish
 427 football league: a network science perspective. Entropy 2020; 22(2): 172.
- 428 17. Buldú JM, Busquets J, Martínez JH, et al. Using network science to analyse football-passing
 429 networks: Dynamics, space, time, and the multilayer nature of the game. Front Psychol 2018; 9:
 430 1900.

- 431 18. Buldú JM, Busquets J and Echegoyen, I. Defining a historic football team: Using Network Science
 432 to analyze Guardiola's FC Barcelona. Sci Rep 2019; 9(1): 1-14.
- 433 19. Rico-González M, Pino-Ortega J, Nakamura FY, et al. Past, present, and future of the technological
 434 tracking methods to assess tactical variables in team sports: A systematic review. Proc Inst Mech
- 435 Eng P: J Sports Eng Technol 2020; 234(4):281-290.
- 436 20. Marsh KL, Richardson MJ, Baron RM, et al. Contrasting approaches to perceiving and acting with
 437 others. Ecol Psychol 2006; 18(1): 1–38.
- 438 21. Araújo D, Silva P and Davids, K. Capturing group tactical behaviors in expert team players. In: J
 439 Baker and D Farrow (eds) Routledge Handbook of Sport Expertise. New York, NY: Routledge,
 440 2015, pp. 209-220.
- 441 22. Frencken W and Lemmink K. Team kinematics of small-sided soccer games: a systematic
 442 approach. In: T Reilly and F Korkusuz (eds) Science and Soccer. London: Routledge Taylor &
 443 Francis Group, 2008, pp. 161-166.
- 444 23. Frencken W, Lemmink K, Delleman N, et al. Oscillations of centroid position and surface area of
 445 soccer teams in small-sided games. Eur J Sport Sci 2011; 11(4): 215-223.
- 446 24. Duarte R, Araújo D, Freire L, et al. Intra- and inter-group coordination patterns reveal collective
 447 behaviors of soccer players near the scoring zone. Hum Mov Sci 2012; 31(6): 1639-1651.
- 448 25. Moura FA, Martins LE, Anido RO, et al. Quantitative analysis of Brazilian soccer players'
 449 organization on the pitch. Sports Biomech 2012; 11(1): 85-96.
- 26. Clemente FM, Martins FM, Couceiro MS, et al. Developing a tactical metric to estimate the
 defensive area of soccer teams: The defensive play area. Proc Inst Mech Eng P: J Sports Eng
- 452 Technol. 2016;230(2):124-132. DOI:10.1177/1754337115583198

| 453 | 27. Clemente F, Couceiro M, Martins F. Towards a new method to analyze the soccer teams tactical | |
|-----|---|--|
| 454 | behaviour: measuring the effective area of play. Indian J Sci Technol 2012; 5: 3792-38 | |
| 455 | 28. Garganta J, Guilherme J, Barreira D, et al. Fundamentos e práticas para o ensino e treino do futebol. | |
| 456 | In: F Tavares (ed) Jogos desportivos coletivos: ensinar a jogar. Porto: Faculdade de Desporto do | |
| 457 | Porto, 2013, pp. 199-263. | |
| 458 | 29. Hughes, C. The football association-coaching book of soccer tactics and skills. Harpenden: Queen | |
| 459 | Anne Press, 1994. | |
| 460 | 30. Silva P, Travassos B, Vilar L, et al. Numerical relations and skill level constrain co-adaptive | |
| 461 | behaviors of agents in sports teams. Plos One 2014. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0107112 | |
| 462 | 31. Coutts AJ and Duffield R. Validity and reliability of GPS devices for measuring movement | |
| 463 | demands of team sports. J Sci Med Sport 2010; 13: 133-135. | |
| 464 | 32. Sinnott, RW. Virtues of the haversine. Sky and Telescope 1984; 68: 159. | |
| 465 | 33. Castelo J. Futebol modelo técnico-táctico do jogo: identificação e caracterização das grandes | |
| 466 | tendências evolutivas das equipas de rendimento superior. Lisboa: Faculdade de Motricidade | |
| 467 | Humana, 1994. | |
| 468 | 34. Castelo J, Barreto H, Alves F, et al. Metodologia do treino desportivo. Lisboa: Faculdade de | |
| 469 | Motricidade Humana, 1996. | |
| 470 | 35. Dooley T and Titz C. Soccer – The 4-4-2 system. Germany: Meyer & Meyer Sport, 2011. | |
| 471 | 36. Gréhaigne JH, Godbout P and Zerai, Z. How the "rapport of forces" evolves in a soccer match: the | |
| 472 | dynamics of collective decisions in a complex system. Rev Psicol Deporte 2011; 20(2): 747-765. | |
| | | |

| 473 | 9 |
|-----|----|
| 474 | 10 |
| | |
| 475 | 11 |
| 476 | 12 |
| 177 | 12 |
| 477 | 13 |
| 478 | 14 |
| 479 | 15 |
| | |
| 480 | 16 |
| 481 | 17 |
| 100 | 10 |
| 482 | 18 |
| 483 | 19 |