

Exploring multi-stakeholder value co-creation as an entrepreneurial approach to survival and sustainability: the case of Pennine Pubs

BROWN, David, APOSTOLIDIS, Chrysostomos, SINGH, Pallavi, DEY, Bidit L and CHELEKIS, Jessica

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/31110/

This document is the Published Version [VoR]

Citation:

BROWN, David, APOSTOLIDIS, Chrysostomos, SINGH, Pallavi, DEY, Bidit L and CHELEKIS, Jessica (2022). Exploring multi-stakeholder value co-creation as an entrepreneurial approach to survival and sustainability: the case of Pennine Pubs. International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html



Teaching Case Study

THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP

AND INNOVATION

The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation

© The Author(s) 2022



Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/14657503221145101 journals.sagepub.com/home/iei



Exploring multi-stakeholder value cocreation as an entrepreneurial approach to survival and sustainability: The case of Pennine Pubs

David M Brown (D)



Northumbria University, UK

Chrysostomos Apostolidis

Durham University, UK

Pallavi Singh

Sheffield Hallam University, UK

Bidit L Dey

Brunel University, UK

Jessica Chelekis

Brunel University, UK

Abstract

This case focuses on the entrepreneurial use of multi-stakeholder value co-creation to emerge stronger from challenging trading conditions. In particular, it examines Pennine Pubs, a small-/medium-sized enterprise (SME) operating several rural public houses, which are licensed to sell food and alcoholic drinks to guests. Based in Northern England, it has adapted commercial strategies to mitigate government-enforced Covid-19 lockdowns. Pennine Pubs' Managing Director is currently considering how to build upon the multi-stakeholder value co-creation strategy which emerged quickly immediately before and during the first lockdown period, when customers were prohibited from visiting pubs. He expects the outcome to be a more refined and sustainable commercial strategy which retains the most significant benefits of his lockdown-period innovations. The case considers how co-creation is applied as a reaction to unforeseen business challenges, and how it can underpin proactive strategies to capitalise upon favourable trading conditions. The concept is explored in the context of rapidly evolving, unpredictable and highly problematic constraints upon retail trade, and the 'new normal' of customers returning to retail premises, albeit with expectations modified by recent experiences. Finally, the case considers the impact of SMEs collaborating with suppliers, customers and other stakeholders to co-create mutual, commercially sustainable value.

value co-creation, SME innovation, rural entrepreneurship, pubs, lockdown trading, Covid-19 pandemic

Learning outcomes

1. Understanding: The case study context helps students to understand how value co-creation can benefit different stakeholders.

Corresponding author:

David M Brown, Newcastle Business School, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NEI 8ST, UK. Email: david.m.brown@northumbria.ac.uk

- Application: Students participate in evidence-based discussions in which they apply the theory of value co-creation into the context of SME entrepreneurship.
- Analysis: Students analyse how entrepreneurial SME strategists pursue innovative co-creation during times of commercial flux.
- Evaluation: Students evaluate holistically what constitutes value co-creation, which stakeholders should participate, and how it may be implemented.
- Creation: Students can create a value co-creation strategy based upon commercial innovation, and upon stakeholders' expectations of innovation.

Introduction

This case enables students to engage critically with the theory of co-creation of value, and to analyse it in a reallife context. The case focuses on the manner in which value co-creation can support an entrepreneurial strategy, reducing the psychic distance between organisations and their stakeholders. In particular, the case explores how value co-creation has been adopted by an organisation which needed to pivot its activities to survive and thrive through extremely challenging market conditions. Additionally, the case challenges more utopian interpretations of value co-creation by demonstrating how value no-creation, and even value co-destruction (Makkonen and Olkkonen, 2017), are also possible. In doing so, the aim is to encourage students to embed aspects of co-creation within their understanding of entrepreneurial approaches, but to do so reflexively and with caution rather than considering all inter-stakeholder collaboration to be constructive. Semi-structured phenomenological interviews and panel discussions with 18 regional pub landlords were conducted as part of a broader qualitative research project exploring how pubs and breweries innovatively pivoted their business models to adapt to lockdown trading conditions. Pennine Pubs and its Managing Director were part of this sample of participants and were particularly noteworthy for their high degree of entrepreneurship and innovation. Therefore, after textual analysis of a transcript of the first interview and identification of emerging themes, we conducted a second interview with him specifically to explore how value co-creation contributed to this innovative entrepreneurship and stakeholder engagement. The transcript of this interview was also subjected to textual analysis and the thematic development which underpins this case study.

In the field of value co-creation research, previous literature has generally focused on collaborations between organisations and their customers, rather than cooperation between manufacturers and retailers or between other stakeholders (Singh et al., 2022). In addition, it has only recently

been acknowledged that effective value co-creation requires actors to combine their knowledges, resources and ideas (e.g. - Babu et al., 2020; Loureiro et al., 2020). A tension within the literature is that the divergence of different stakeholders' goals within the value co-creation process is also known to create conflict and stifle the generation of value (Apostolidis et al., 2021; Hollebeek et al., 2020). Consequently, several recent studies have explored the role of innovative stakeholder network engagement to cope with disruptive events such as the loss of resources or markets and collapses in demand or supply (e.g. -Cankurtaran and Beverland, 2020; Crick and Crick, 2020). Value co-creation can be the outcome of interactions between a multiplicity of actors, and therefore benefit a broader network of stakeholders (e.g. - Barile et al., 2020; Lacoste, 2016; Pera et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2022). Moreover, if 'innovation and entrepreneurship meet in a space of curiosity' (Kromidha et al., 2022: 3), and value co-creation partially depends upon the curiosity of each stakeholder into the domain of another stakeholder, there is much untapped potential to analyse how innovation, entrepreneurship and value co-creation co-exist synergistically.

Value co-creation

Value co-creation was conceptualised as an outcome of 'high quality interactions' (Prahalad and Ramaswamy, 2004: 7) undertaken by networked parties (Dey et al., 2019). Most theorists have studied how suppliers and customers collaborate through equitable exchange, each partner contributing towards a mutually beneficial result. Typically, they have observed how larger organisations such as Ikea and BMW have involved customers and fans in product and service ideation and design. However, co-creation is equally applicable to SMEs, within business-to-business markets, and between partner (but also competing) organisations. SMEs employ fewer than 250 people (Gilman and Salder, 2021), and account for approximately 99% of all UK and EU businesses (UK Government website, 2022). Research on 'coopetition', that is, the simultaneous cooperation and competition between rival firms competing organisations to create value, has become a prominent research area and an integral part of many organisational agendas (Gernsheimer et al., 2021). In the context of coopetition, value is created by integrating more effectively complementary resources belonging to competing firms (Bengtsson & Kock, 2014), which can lead to mutual benefits, but also trigger opportunistic behaviours, knowledge leakage and tensions and vulnerable relationships among stakeholders (Gernsheimer et al., 2021; Raza-Ullah and Kostis, 2020). Moreover, the entrepreneurship which often propagates business collaboration and value co-creation, especially within SMEs, is more likely to be stimulated in times of socio-economic crises

(Santos et al., 2021), such as the first Covid-19 lockdown period (Zighan et al., 2021), than in less turbulent times.

Drinkers have for many centuries helped publicans to generate additional custom by making music, telling stories and, in doing so, contributing to the attractiveness of the 'servicescape' (Booms and Bitner, 1982) in which the pubs' products and services are sold and consumed. However, those actions do not constitute value co-creation in its purest form, not being explicitly intended by customers to benefit their supplier. Likewise, many of the longstanding collaborative activities between pubs and their supplying brewers, such as joint promotions or pooled inventory management, are simply routine functions of the manufacturer-retailer business partnership. Instead, value co-creation is an outcome of inventive resource and knowledge integration - synergistic efforts employing novel, perhaps extraordinary, techniques and perspectives - which is typically undertaken to overcome resourceconstrained scenarios. Lockdown conditions presented pubs and brewers first with stock pressure, as perishable goods needed selling quickly, then unprecedented barriers to market access as their customers were unable to visit the established place of sale.

The concept of value co-creation stems from Prahalad and Ramaswamy's (2000: 79) previous concept of organisations 'co-opting customer competence', suggesting that customer contributions are expressly and strategically invited by organisations. Vargo and Lusch's (2008) conceptualisation of service-dominant (S-D) logic brought a paradigmatic shift which solidified co-creation, as it prioritised the exchange of service in interactions between businesses, customers and stakeholders (Vargo and Lusch, 2016). Different entities adopt various roles in co-creation processes (Dey et al., 2019) and have diverse motivations for participating in value creation and consumption (Fujita et al., 2020), but a mutual expectation of equitable exchange and shared benefits is key (Ballantyne and Varey, 2006). Value evades financial measurement, being subjective and derived from consumers' individual experiences (Nobre and Ferreira, 2017). Within the context of the hospitality industry, value co-creation between organisations and stakeholders (including customers and consumers) can improve economic performance (Lambert and Enz, 2012), reduce waste (Apostolidis et al., 2021), help to attract and retain customers (Junaid et al., 2020; Yen et al., 2020), and confer wellbeing and empowerment upon consumers (Sigala, 2019).

Value co-creation is increasingly recognised by theorists and practitioners (Sklyar et al., 2019), and most scholars agree on the importance of multi-stakeholder engagement (Babu et al., 2020). However, despite its increasing importance and relevance to organisations, there is scant research into how value co-creation can help organisations to overcome existential threats, and very little discussion of types of engagement, actors and beneficiaries (Singh

et al., 2022). Indeed, Hollebeek et al. (2020) asserted that stakeholders' divergent value-creating aims and goals can generate conflict, undermining co-creation and potentially resulting in value non-creation or value co-destruction (Rahman et al., 2019). Recognising pubs' unique role in combining retail with the development of social capital and community cohesion (Cabras and Mount, 2017; Hubbard, 2019), Singh et al. (2022) studied how UK pubs and breweries instigated multi-stakeholder value co-creation for survival and sustainability during the Covid-19 period. In doing so, they interviewed owners and managers of SMEs, which often have limited resources and thrive by leveraging community and business networks (Bryson et al., 2017). The authors explored how SMEs could heed previous calls (e.g. - Cankurtaran and Beverland, 2020; Crick and Crick, 2020) to survive critical commercial challenges by harnessing stakeholder network engagement to generate innovative solutions.

Pennine pubs

Public houses (usually called pubs within the UK), sell alcoholic and non-alcoholic beverages and food to customers for consumption on the premises. Pubs may be 'tied houses', which are contractually obliged to source at least some of their beer from a specified supplier, or 'free houses', which can buy beer from any supplier or combination of suppliers. 'Tenanted pubs' or 'managed pubs' are owned by pub chain operators (which are often brewing companies), so managers often have little or no choice of which beers to stock. This case study focuses on a small, family-run chain of ten pubs, whose owner/managing director selects products for each pub, including a selection of 'real ales' – beers produced by small, independent breweries, and stored and served using traditional methods (Thurnell-Read, 2018). This independence enables the owner to innovate tactically and strategically. Pubs are usually the focal point of British communities and are particular valued as community assets within rural settlements, where they are usually the most popular places for local residents to relax, socialise, drink and eat (Cabras and Reggiano, 2010; Mount and Cabras, 2015; Wells et al., 2019).

Bob Woodsmith is the Managing Director and founder of Pennine Pubs, a family-owned SME business operating ten public houses in rural areas of Northern England. (NB: the individual's name and company name have been changed at his request.) Mr Woodsmith's pubs are in picturesque village locations, and attract local residents, holiday-makers and day-trippers. Each establishment serves real ale from permanent suppliers and guest breweries, and sells other alcoholic and soft beverages, snacks and meals. Around 70% of their income is from 'wet sales' (drinks and bar snacks), and 30% from 'dry sales' (produce from the kitchen, predominantly full meals).

Like other pubs in the UK, Pennine Pubs' inns sell alcoholic drinks for consumption on the premises, and this is their core business, although drinking to excess is discouraged. Each pub is a hub of local community life, along with the church and the village hall, providing a common social space in which residents meet, socialise and relax. Most of the pubs are over 200 years old and host darts teams, quiz nights, fund-raising events and private functions such as wedding receptions. The pubs are also popular with hikers, cyclists and sightseers, and all but one site has a 'beer garden' enabling customers to eat and drink al fresco during agreeable weather conditions.

Company background

Pennine Pubs is a private limited company founded by Bob Woodsmith in 1983, when he purchased his first public house. It focuses on rural pubs in the Pennines - a scenic and moderately affluent region of agricultural communities, which attracts visitors from surrounding industrial areas, such as Leeds and Sheffield. Its core strategy has been to reduce reliance upon 'wet sales' (i.e. - drinks and bar purchases) by diversifying and developing additional revenue streams. Most notably, the company has extended most properties in its portfolio to increase kitchen and dining capacities and has landscaped external spaces into informal dining areas and beer gardens, thereby generating very profitable 'dry sales' of prepared meals. Additionally, it has repurposed previously underutilised building spaces into 'boutique' guest accommodation, currently averaging around £125 per night for a double room with breakfast, or £150 with dinner also included. By hosting regular real ale festivals, folk music gigs and vintage car rallies, its pubs have been successful in broadening their appeal to a customer base which is increasingly diverse, both socially and geographically, whilst retaining their loyal local custom. As Bob explains,

Locals seem to like the vibrancy and bustle of our pubs, whereas visitors tend to find them relaxing and quiet so, by being somewhere in the middle, we provide something of value to both customer types.

Pennine Pubs has evolved constantly to address changing consumer expectations and shifting socioeconomic factors. Whilst its first acquisitions had predominantly 'ageing, male clientele who just wanted to drink beer, smoke and talk about cows', Bob jokes that his pubs have developed 'like The Woolpack on Emmerdale' (i.e. – the fictional pub in a long-running UK television soap opera set in a Pennine farming community). He explains,

We still have those customers, and we value them very highly, but nowadays we get far more young adults, women, couples, hikers, bikers and cyclists, tourists wanting meals or to have a relaxing rural experience to unwind from work. Families too, especially in the summer. And dogs – lots of dogs.

Pennine Pubs' premises occupy prominent positions in well-known villages. Their exteriors are typified by local architectural materials, clipped hedges and hanging baskets, and their interiors by solid stone or wooden floors, plush upholstery, ambient lighting, open fires and gentle background music. Their drinks offering has steadily grown to comprise mass-produced beers and lagers, independent brewers' real ales, ciders, spirits, wines, soft drinks, cocktails, non-alcoholic 'mocktails', teas and coffees.

Pennine pubs' entrepreneurial use of value co-creation during Covid-19 lockdowns

Pennine Pubs tried to find additional ways to co-create value with its suppliers in anticipation of the first lockdown. As the UK government communicated the first lockdown deadline several days in advance, pubs and brewers tried to sell as much perishable stock as possible before that date. This was especially true of real ale – traditionally produced ales which have a short shelf-life as they are unpasteurised and must mature in the cask barrels used to transport them. Particularly popular with older, rural, financially comfortable, male drinkers, well-served real ale attracts considerable custom to country pubs. Bob explains that,

although we knew that, by overstocking pubs, we risked having leftover product which we couldn't sell when lockdown started, we worked with our main brewers to do that anyway, because it stopped us running out before the deadline and disappointing loyal customers. On average, our pubs had to give away about £500 worth of beer in containers to customers a few minutes before lockdown, and the brewers and Pennine Pubs absorbed that cost between us rather than try to charge customers. It was easier, it generated goodwill, it helped us say 'thank you and we're here for you', and it meant we could continue trading right up to midnight. Win-win really.

However, as lockdown commenced and communities dealt with the restrictions, Pennine Pubs and its suppliers instigated more unusual, entrepreneurial collaborations with external stakeholders for mutual benefit, including those shown in Table 1.

The dilemma between expanding co-creation strategies for potential mutual benefits or limiting them to mitigate the risk of value co-destruction

A major issue which has presented itself to Pennine Pubs and its brewers is the need to adapt to 'the new normal' –

Table 1. Pennine pubs' collaborations, their characteristics, co-creator contributions and the extent of their retention post-lockdown.

Collaboration	How it took place	What each partner contributed	Short-term tactic or long-term strategy
Mobile beer delivery service	Customers ordered beer online/by phone for home consumption	Brewery: online shop; customer database management; inventory management; delivery van Pubs: forwarding orders; raising awareness Customers: forward planning & payment	Some online deliveries retained, but only for remote customers, those with poor mobility, and certain 'priority' customers such as carers
Point-of-Sale bottling of draught ale	Pubs pumped draught ale at the bar into bottles, enhancing the customer experience, leveraging on branding and decommoditising the product by adding customer names and expiry dates	Brewery & Pubs: bottles; branded bottle labels; bottling machines. (Costs shared)	Short-term tactic, not retained upon pub reopenings
Mobile beer kiosk	An ice cream van repurposed to sell beer and bar snacks outside customers' homes	Brewery: converted van; drinks stock. Pubs: driver/sellers; snacks stock; route planning; inventory management	Short-term tactic, not retained upon pub reopenings, when the local government authority became less tolerant of off-site alcohol sales
Centralised beer sales for off-site consumption	Distribution of drinks through external wall serving hatches at pub and brewery premises, using recyclable containers	Brewery: provision of containers Pubs & brewery: provision of beer; stock management; staff; local advertising	Short-term tactic made redundant by pub reopenings
Mail order beer subscriptions and group tasting sessions	Facilitated by Zoom and other digital platforms to tackle social isolation and provide opportunities for incremental sales	Brewery: advertising; order-processing; stock management; delivery fulfilment; event design and presentation. Pubs: raising customer awareness; contribution to advertising spend	Retained as a long-term strategy, but only for special events (e.g. – new product launches, or sales promotions to sell excess capacity)
Online pub quizzes and social events	Customers joined an online event via Zoom/Teams at a pre-arranged time. Facilitated by customers and staff	Pubs & customers: raising awareness; event design (e.g. – writing quizzes)	Online quizzes were retained for several months after pub reopening for vulnerable customers who did not wish to enter pubs. One new online event — a version of a television game show — was retained as a monthly event but in pubs only
'Meals on wheels' service	Pubs provided food to vulnerable community members and their carers whilst maintaining a reduced 'dry sales' revenue stream – volunteers collected meals from the premises and distributed them	Pubs: provision of cooked meals. Customers: volunteering of their time and transport	Retained as a long-term strategy but in a much-reduced format (due to pubs' lack of continued staff capacity, and the reopening of other community services)
Redesigned food menus	Staff and customers collaborated online to design meals which would be nourishing, enjoyable, use available produce, and aid transportation of meals	Pubs & customers: ideation; trial of new products Pubs only: production and distribution of prototype and final products	Redesigned meals were retained as a long-term strategy, but staff-customer co-creation was not. Rationalising menus continued to enable pubs to prepare ingredients in bulk, and to set kitchen rotas in intensive shifts, thereby reducing costs and addressing labour shortages

post-lockdown socioeconomic conditions (Singh et al., 2022). Although early indications suggest that nearly all pre-lockdown consumer practices will resume or have resumed, customers' experiences of lockdown conditions and of innovative co-creation initiatives have shaped their preferences and expectations. Bob regretted that his entrepreneurial approach to food production and distribution during lockdown might result in his customers demanding its continuation, undermining his more profitable pre-lockdown methods:

I'd built up my knowledge of their little foibles over many years, so I knew what to provide for them before Covid came around. I quickly learned what to provide for them and how to provide it during lockdown. But even as we emerge, it's very difficult to know exactly what customers will want in the future. Some customers already want hot food in takeaway boxes like during lockdown, but I want them to dine in like before. On-site diners buy other stuff and pay more because they're getting the experience I've invested in over the years. I don't want to undercut my own restaurant trade. I'm not a takeaway operator, and it would leave the communities much poorer places too. Diners create an atmosphere which brings others to the pub.

At first glance, this initiative seems positive – especially as people who receive social support from their network (e.g. - carers) may provide material assistance to entrepreneurs in their networks (Nielsen, 2020). However, Bob worries that, by co-creating value with volunteers to provide meals for delivery to vulnerable customers, he may have inadvertently created a long-term demand which cannibalises his more profitable pre-existing revenue stream. More ironically, this temporary co-creation initiative to help isolated, needy customers and foster community spirit risks driving a permanent change which isolates those customers even more and undermines the economic and social sustainability of a cherished community asset. This misalignment of goals may produce destruction, not creation, of value. This phenomenon is known as 'value co-destruction', and is attributed to factors such as conflicting goals, system failures, opportunism, lack of competency and (intentional or unintentional) misuse of resources (Apostolidis and Brown, 2021; Echeverri and Skålén, 2011; Findsrud et al., 2018; Plé and Cáceres 2010).

Value co-creation asserts that 'value-in-use' should be prioritised above 'value-in-exchange' – co-creation partners should prioritise what value a product or service delivers whenever it is used or experienced, rather than the economic value realised by selling that product or service. It is therefore particularly unfortunate that a community-minded initiative could unintentionally encourage beneficiaries to adopt a more transactional stance towards

product provision which endangers the value of service, experience and community. Pennine Pubs' main brewing partner also helped customers by selling barrels of beer to consumers who hosted al fresco parties in gardens and gazebos during lockdown, but is reluctant to continue this model post-lockdown for similar reasons to Bob's.

In dealing with this issue, Pennine Pubs and its brewing partners have undertaken both formal and informal local market research to ascertain emerging customer expectations, co-creating a number of free gigs and events in pubs to reconnect the three major stakeholder groups (manufacturer, retailer and consumers), thereby reminding customers of the socio-cultural value which cannot be replicated off-site. However, they realise that concessions to the 'new normal' are necessary, and probably fruitful for all parties, so are exploring the viability of partnering with local council authorities, carers and community groups to provide meal deliveries to vulnerable villagers and, in partnership with a local minibus taxi firm, a 'dial-a-bus' service to transport immobile customers to and from participating pubs at selected times. As Bob quipped,

If I'm not careful, we'll end up doing customers' washing and ironing! Seriously though, it has been an incredible process this last few years, finding out how a business like ours can do more than pull pints, and perform functions within the local community which you'd traditionally associate with the council or the church. And to use that to make the business stronger and the customers happier. I'm all for it.

Summary

Bob and Pennine Pubs now face an important decision as they navigate into the 'new normal' by co-creating value with their brewer and members of their local communities: Should Bob seek to expand his pubs' value co-creation activities yet further or should he pursue a return to his 'traditional' pre-lockdown trading practices? Expanding its innovative collaborations would help Pennine Pubs to adapt to the evolving microenvironmental landscape, increase customers' allegiance to the business, and produce a sustainable, 'future-proofed' trading model. However, it may result in the business under-resourcing development of its largest, most established income streams, and could raise customers' value expectations to unachievable levels. This case is useful in demonstrating the nuanced, constantly evolving business landscape in which value co-creation occurs, the interconnectedness of multiple stakeholders' value requirements, and the thin dividing lines between value co-creation, value no-creation and value co-destruction. It advances our understanding of the roles which innovative value co-creation strategies can play in rural and SME entrepreneurship.

Ouestions

- 1. Understanding: To what extent did Pennine Pubs, the brewery and local community members benefit from value co-creation initiatives undertaken during and immediately before government lockdowns?
- 2. Application: Is it commercially sustainable for Pennine Pubs to retain and expand its collaboration with carers to supply cooked meals to vulnerable community members off premises?
- 3. Analysis: What would be the potential advantages and disadvantages to Pennine Pubs and its main brewer in providing a 'dial-a-bus' service to transport immobile customers to, from and between participating pubs?
- 4. Evaluation: How might value co-creation contribute towards Pennine Pubs' objective of achieving gradual revenue growth through selective diversification of its business activities?
- Creation: Compile a list of strategic actions which Pennine Pubs and its community members could undertake to co-create value in its food offerings and its 'wet sales' of drinks and bar items.

Authors' note

This case was made possible through the generous cooperation of the participant (whose name and identifying details have been changed at his request). The case is intended as a basis for class discussion rather than to illustrate either effective or ineffective handling of management situations. The authors also wish to thank the anonymous peer reviewers for their constructive guidance.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

David M Brown https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0275-8723

Notes

- More information on the UK beer and pub industry can be found on the British Beer and Pub Association (BBPA) website at https://beerandpub.com
- 2. Information on the UK pub and bar sector, and the British economy and industry, can be found on the Office for National Statistics (ONS) website at https://ons.gov.uk/

References

- Apostolidis C and Brown J (2021) Sharing is caring? Conflict and value codestruction in the case of sharing economy accommodation. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research* 46: 1–29..
- Apostolidis C, Brown D, Wijetunga D, et al. (2021) Sustainable value co-creation at the bottom of the pyramid: using mobile applications to reduce food waste and improve food security. *Journal of Marketing Management* 37(9-10): 856–886.
- Babu MM, Dey BL, Rahman M, et al. (2020) Value co-creation through social innovation: a study of sustainable strategic alliance in telecommunication and financial services sectors in Bangladesh. *Industrial Marketing Management* 89: 13–27.
- Ballantyne D and Varey RJ (2006) Creating value-in-use through marketing interaction: the exchange logic of relating, communicating and knowing. *Marketing Theory* 6(3): 335–348.
- Barile S, Grimaldi M, Loia F, et al. (2020) Technology, value co-creation and innovation in service ecosystems: toward sustainable co-innovation. *Sustainability* 12(7): 2759.
- Bengtsson M and Kock S (2014) Coopetition quo vadis? Past accomplishments and future challenges. *Industrial Marketing Management* 43(2): 180–188.
- Booms BH and Bitner MJ (1982) Marketing services by managing the environment. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly* 23(1): 35–40.
- Bryson J, Sancino A, Benington J, et al. (2017) Towards a multiactor theory of public value co-creation. *Public Management Review* 19(5): 640–654.
- Cabras I and Mount MP (2017) Assessing the impact of pubs on community cohesion and wellbeing in the English countryside: a longitudinal study. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 29(1): 489–506.
- Cabras I and Reggiani C (2010) Village pubs as a social propellant in rural areas: an econometric study. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 53(7): 947–962.
- Cankurtaran P and Beverland MB (2020) Using design thinking to respond to crises: B2B lessons from the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic. *Industrial Marketing Management* 88: 255–260.
- Crick JM and Crick D (2020) Coopetition and COVID-19: collaborative business-to-business marketing strategies in a pandemic crisis. *Industrial Marketing Management* 88: 206–213.
- Dey BL, Babu MM, Rahman M, et al. (2019) Technology upgrading through co-creation of value in developing societies: analysis of the mobile telephone industry in Bangladesh. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 145: 413–425.
- Echeverri P and Skålén P (2011) Co-creation and co-destruction: a practice-theory based study of interactive value formation. *Marketing Theory* 11(3): 351–373.
- Findsrud R, Tronvoll B and Edvardsson B (2018) Motivation: the missing driver for theorizing about resource integration. *Marketing Theory* 18(4): 493–519.
- Fujita M, Harrigan P, Soutar GN, et al. (2020) Enhancing memberinstitution relationships through social media: the role of other-user engagement behavior and similarity perceptions. *Journal of Business Research* 121: 642–654.
- Gernsheimer O, Kanbach D and Gast J (2021) Coopetition research: a systematic literature review on recent accomplishments and trajectories. *Industrial Marketing Management* 96: 113–134.

- Gilman M and Salder J (2021) The role of diagnostics as a means of engaged scholarship and enhancing SME research. *The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation* 22(2): 100–111.
- Grönroos C and Voima P (2013) Critical service logic: making sense of value creation and co-creation. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 41(2): 133–150.
- Hollebeek LD, Kumar V and Srivastava RK (2020) From customer-, to actor-, to stakeholder engagement: taking stock, conceptualization, and future directions. *Journal of Service Research Dec* 2020: 1–16.
- Hubbard P (2019) Enthusiasm, craft and authenticity on the high street: micropubs as 'community fixers'. Social & Cultural Geography 20(6): 763–784.
- Junaid M, Hussain K, Asghar MM, et al. (2020) An investigation of the diners' brand love in the value co-creation process. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management* 45: 172–181.
- Kromidha E, Refai D, Pattinson S, et al. (2022) International journal of entrepreneurship and innovation editors' series: innovation opportunities and future direction in entrepreneurship research. *The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation* 23(1): 3–4.
- Lacoste S (2016) Sustainable value co-creation in business networks. *Industrial Marketing Management* 52: 151–162.
- Lambert DM and Enz MG (2012) Managing and measuring value co-creation in business-to-business relationships. *Journal of Marketing Management* 28(13-14): 1588–1625.
- Loureiro SMC, Romero J and Bilro RG (2020) Stakeholder engagement in co-creation processes for innovation: a systematic literature review and case study. *Journal of Business Research* 119: 388–409.
- Makkonen H and Olkkonen R (2017) Interactive value formation in interorganizational relationships: dynamic interchange between value co-creation, no-creation, and co-destruction. *Marketing Theory* 17(4): 517–535.
- Mount MP and Cabras I (2015) Community cohesion and village pubs in northern England: an econometric study. *Regional Studies* 50(7): 1203–1216.
- Nielsen MS (2020) Passing on the good vibes: entrepreneurs' social support. The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation 21(1): 60–71.
- Nobre H and Ferreira A (2017) Gamification as a platform for brand co-creation experiences. *Journal of Brand Management* 24(4): 349–361.
- Pera R, Occhiocupo N and Clarke J (2016) Motives and resources for value co-creation in a multi-stakeholder ecosystem: a managerial perspective. *Journal of Business Research* 69(10): 4033–4041.
- Plé L and Cáceres RC (2010) Not always co-creation: introducing interactional co-destruction of value in service-dominant logic. *Journal of Services Marketing* 24(6): 430–437.
- Prahalad CK and Ramaswamy V (2000) Co-opting customer competence. *Harvard Business Review* 78(1): 79–90.
- Prahalad CK and Ramaswamy V (2004) Co-creation experiences: the next practice in value creation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing* 18(3): 5–14.
- Rahman M, Bose S, Babu MM, et al. (2019) Value co-creation as a dialectical process: study in Bangladesh and Indian Province of West Bengal. *Information Systems Frontiers* 21(3): 527–545.

- Raza-Ullah T and Kostis A (2020) Do trust and distrust in coopetition matter to performance? *European Management Journal* 38(3): 367–376.
- Santos E, Fernandes CI and Ferreira JJ (2021) The driving motives behind informal entrepreneurship: the effects of economic-financial crisis, recession and inequality. *The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation* 22(1): 5–17.
- Sigala M (2019) A market approach to social value co-creation: findings and implications from "Mageires" the social restaurant. *Marketing Theory* 19(1): 27–45.
- Singh P, Brown DM, Chelekis J, et al. (2022) Sustainability in the beer and pub industry during the COVID-19 period: an emerging new normal. *Journal of Business Research* 141: 656–672.
- Sklyar A, Kowalkowski C, Sörhammar D, et al. (2019) Resource integration through digitalisation: a service ecosystem perspective. *Journal of Marketing Management* 35(11-12): 974–991.
- Thurnell-Read T (2018) The embourgeoisement of beer: changing practices of 'real ale' consumption. *Journal of Consumer Culture* 18(4): 539–557.
- UK Government website (2022) "Small to medium sized (SME) action plan". Accessed 24.10.22 at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/fcdo-small-to-medium-sized-enterprise-sme-action-plan/small-to-medium-sized-enterprise-sme-action-plan.
- Vargo SL and Lusch RF (2008) Service-dominant logic: continuing the evolution. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 36(1): 1–10.
- Vargo SL and Lusch RF (2016) Institutions and axioms: an extension and update of service-dominant logic. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 44(1): 5–23.
- Wells VK, Ellis NT, Slack R, et al. (2019) "It's us, you know, there's a feeling of community": exploring notions of social sustainability in a consumer co-operative. *Journal of Business Ethics* 158(3): 617–635.
- Yen CH, Teng HY and Tzeng JC (2020) Innovativeness and customer value co-creation behaviors: mediating role of customer engagement. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 88: 102514.
- Zighan S, Abualqumboz M, Dwaikat N, et al. (2021) The role of entrepreneurial orientation in developing SMEs resilience capabilities throughout COVID-19. The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation 2021: 1–13.

Teaching notes

Summary of the case

Pennine Pubs operates several rural public houses in the North of England. The case study focuses on its use of innovative value co-creation strategies with its main supplier (a local brewery), customers and other local stakeholders. In dealing with a major commercial challenge – the temporary inability of customers to visit its retail locations – the company has utilised novel entrepreneurial approaches which have expanded its traditional functions and those of its stakeholders. Although introduced as reactive survival measures, several initiatives appear to be commercially viable as post-lockdown opportunities for diversification, stakeholder engagement and business

growth. Pennine Pubs is now considering the potential positive and negative impacts of those measures and deciding which to retain and expand or to discontinue.

Teaching objectives and target audience

The key issue in this case study is whether the company should adopt a business stance which relies more heavily upon co-creating value innovatively with stakeholders, or if it should retrench to a simpler, more traditional business model relying solely upon sales of drinks and food on the premises. It is suitable for use by both undergraduate and postgraduate students of entrepreneurship, innovation, marketing and stakeholder management. There are five learning objectives:

- Understand how value co-creation can benefit different stakeholders.
- 2. Apply the theory of value co-creation into the context of SME entrepreneurship.
- Analyse how entrepreneurial SME strategists pursue innovative co-creation initiatives during times of commercial flux.
- Evaluate what constitutes value co-creation, which stakeholders should participate, and how it may be implemented.
- Create a value co-creation strategy based upon commercial innovation, and upon stakeholders' expectations of innovation.

Teaching approach and strategy

This case study can be adopted as a starting point for students to discuss different approaches available to SMEs in the implementation of co-creation strategies. The major theoretical points to signpost centre around the concept of two or more stakeholder groups collaborating towards the fulfilment of a mutually beneficial outcome by considering 'value-in-use' rather than simply 'value-in-exchange' (Grönroos and Voima, 2013) – the value released by the consumption or experience of a product or service, rather than its bare economic value within a financial transaction.

To facilitate this case, begin by asking students to read the case thoroughly, make rough notes and contemplate the major themes. This may be done either at the start of the class, or as preparatory work, according to the length of the seminar or tutorial. Prior to any discussion, it may be helpful for the tutor to present a 5–10-min exposition of the topic and an introduction to the case. After completing the introduction, the tutor may divide the class into teams of three to five students. Each team should debate their answers to the five questions provided at the end of the case study, selecting one team representative to summarise its answers to the class. The student questions relate closely to the stated learning outcomes and teaching objectives (Naumes, 2013), and are 'stepped' in difficulty

as per Bloom's Taxonomy (Anderson et al., 2001). The tutor should encourage students to develop the discussion beyond a simple list of business challenges, and to identify potential outcomes from the strategic choices suggested. To conclude the session, the tutor may wish to invite students to summarise what they believe they have learned in relation to the learning outcomes stated at the beginning of the case. This whole-class plenary feedback may be provided by individual students or groups, helping the tutor to evaluate the effectiveness of the case and make any necessary amendments for future sessions (Pattinson, 2016, 2019).

Analysis

Students should be reminded that there are no 'correct' or 'incorrect' answers, and that the case study is intended as a springboard into a deeper debate about the major theoretical and practical themes raised. They should focus on the following considerations when constructing answers to the five questions posed:

1: To what extent did Pennine Pubs, the brewery and local community members benefit from value co-creation initiatives undertaken during and immediately before government lockdowns?

Students should identify Pennine Pubs' online beertasting sessions and 'meals on wheels' for vulnerable customers as value co-creation. Pennine Pubs and its brewery partner undertook value co-creation by collaborating with each other and other stakeholders to deliver specific outcomes which are beneficial to all parties. Students may state these benefits and acknowledge that each stakeholder was seeking to replace a transactional relationship (buying, selling or consuming a commodity routinely at one location) with one relying more upon off-site experiences of value which are more longitudinal in their nature. Students should ascertain to what extent the benefits sought by all parties were achieved.

2: Is it commercially sustainable for Pennine Pubs to retain and expand its collaboration with carers to supply cooked meals to vulnerable community members off premises?

Pennine Pubs is considering the retention and expansion of value co-creation initiatives into the post-lockdown period. This demonstrates that innovative, entrepreneurial value co-creation is not just suitable as a defensive approach during challenging conditions, but also as an offensive approach which can capitalise upon more favourable conditions whilst addressing societal interests. Students may draw upon prior learning in relevant business areas (e.g. – sustainability, relationship marketing) to consider how Pennine Pubs might incorporate value co-creation activities into a 'triple bottom line' (Elkington, 1994) of social justice, economic prosperity and environmental quality.

3: What would be the potential advantages and disadvantages to Pennine Pubs and its main brewer in providing a 'dial-a-bus' service to transport immobile customers to, from and between participating pubs?

Advantages and disadvantages include, but are not limited to, the following:

- incremental sales revenue and profit from otherwise disengaged customers
- influence over those customers' duration of stay
- the ability to drive (literally!) those customers to other Pennine Pubs rather than letting them drift to competitors
- increased customer loyalty
- additional revenue from customers' carers
- reputational gains amongst the local community
- increased footfall during less busy periods, guaranteeing income and generating 'atmosphere'

However, these may divert focus and resources from core activities and customers, be costly to implement, and raise litigation risk should an accident occur.

4: How might value co-creation contribute towards Pennine Pubs' objective of achieving gradual revenue growth through selective diversification of its business activities?

Students should distinguish between value co-creation initiatives which benefit all stakeholders, and well-meaning but unstrategic initiatives which are unlikely to benefit Pennine Pubs, and which therefore cannot be considered as value co-creation as they are inconsistent with the organisation's business aims and commercial objectives. Moreover, students may consider that different value co-creation initiatives (e.g. – hosting of festivals or charity events) might create better opportunities for Pennine Pubs to achieve their objective of gradual revenue growth through diversification.

5: Compile a list of strategic actions which Pennine Pubs and its community members could undertake to co-create value in its food offerings and its 'wet sales' of drinks and bar items.

Strategic actions could include the following examples:

 sourcing of local ingredients from customers, community allotments and local farmers, to reduce

- carbon footprint, increase freshness and build a shared identity between the firm, its customers and the products and services;
- (ii) linking its 'wet sales' of drinks to community tasting sessions, similar to those which have previously been facilitated online, which would help to raise awareness of new products whilst providing novelty for customers;
- (iii) synchronising certain menu items with special occasions within the community so that (for example) the menu might include a cheese platter to coincide with the village wine festival.

Feedback

Please reflect upon the usefulness and contributions of this case study in different situations (e.g. – across different modules, and in different sized classes). The case has been piloted and found useful and effective in facilitating learner-focused, theoretically underpinned and critical classes for undergraduates and postgraduates. It was designed to help facilitation of small seminar and tutorial classes on business, enterprise and entrepreneurship modules, and may be utilised on Master's degrees in marketing or entrepreneurship, and MBA courses.

Teaching Note References

Anderson LW, Krathwohl DR, Airasian PW, et al. (2001) A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing. A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives (Complete ed.). New York: Longman.

Elkington J (1994) Towards the sustainable corporation: win-win-win business strategies for sustainable development. *California Management Review* 36(2): 90–100.

Naumes MJ (2013) Writing effective learning objectives. *Case Research Journal* 33(1): 165–171.

Pattinson S (2016) Strategic thinking: intelligent opportunism and emergent strategy — the case of strategic engineering services. *The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation* 17(1): 65–70.

Pattinson S (2019) Understanding effectual decision-making in a science-based business: the case of Hart biologicals. *The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation* 20(1): 65–71.