

The effectiveness of the Healthworks Staying Steady community-based falls prevention exercise programme to improve physical function in older adults: a 6-year service evaluation

JAMES, Emily, OMAN, Paul, ALI, Michael, COURT, Paul, GOODALL, Stuart, NICHOLS, Simon <<http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0377-6982>> and O'DOHERTY, Alasdair F.

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/30546/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

JAMES, Emily, OMAN, Paul, ALI, Michael, COURT, Paul, GOODALL, Stuart, NICHOLS, Simon and O'DOHERTY, Alasdair F. (2022). The effectiveness of the Healthworks Staying Steady community-based falls prevention exercise programme to improve physical function in older adults: a 6-year service evaluation. *BMC Public Health*, 22 (1): 1457.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

The effectiveness of the Healthworks Staying Steady community-based falls prevention exercise programme to improve physical function in older adults: A 6-year service evaluation.

Emily James¹, Paul Oman², Michael Ali³, Paul Court³, Stuart Goodall¹, Simon J Nichols^{4,5}, Alasdair F O'Doherty¹

1. Department of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation, Northumbria University, Newcastle-Upon-Tyne, UK

2. Department of Mathematics, Physics and Electrical Engineering, Northumbria University, Newcastle-Upon-Tyne, UK

3. Healthworks, Newcastle-Upon-Tyne, UK

4. Sport and Physical Activity Research Group, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK

5. Advanced Wellbeing Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK

Additional File 1

Contents:

Supplementary Material 1: Goal setting questionnaires provided to participants at the start of the Healthworks Staying Steady 27-week falls prevention programme.

eTable 1. Patient reported outcome measures completed by participants of the Healthworks Staying Steady 27-week falls prevention programme.

eTable 2. Follow-up questionnaires given to participants who completed the Healthworks Staying Steady 27-week falls prevention exercise programme. Follow-up questionnaires and answers were updated from 2017 and are therefore, presented separately by date.

eTable 3. Baseline and follow-up physical function data for participants who completed the Staying Steady 27-week falls prevention programme, stratified by presence of chronic disease.

Supplementary Material 1: Goal setting questionnaires provided to participants at the start of the Healthworks Staying Steady 27-week falls prevention programme.

Two versions of the questionnaire are provided, relating to the format used prior to 2017 and from 2017 onwards.

Goal setting questionnaire used by Healthworks for the Staying Steady programme prior to 2017

Participants are asked to choose one goal from the following:

1. I would like to reduce my fear of falling
2. I would like to feel more stable
3. I would like to feel fitter
4. I would like to feel stronger
5. I would like to feel more confident when taking public transport ^a
6. I would like to feel more confident when walking outside ^a
7. I would like to socialise more
8. I would like to feel more able to manage my health

Goal setting questionnaire used by Healthworks for the Staying Steady programme from 2017 onwards

Participants can choose as many as they wish from the following:

1. I would like to reduce my fear of falling
2. I would like to feel more stable
3. I would like to feel stronger
4. I would like to feel more confident out and about
5. I would like to socialise more

Note. Where previously assessed items were later removed from standard practice, these variables were excluded from analysis or grouped with the most similar equivalent in the updated format.

^a Goals denoted here were grouped under “I would like to feel more confident out and about”, to reflect goal number four in the most recent delivery format of Staying Steady.

eTable 1. Patient reported outcome measures (“Current situation”) completed by participants of the Healthworks Staying Steady 27-week falls prevention programme.

Domain	Responses prior to 2017	Responses from 2017 onwards
Managing my health	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I don't feel able to manage 2. It's a struggle but I get by with help 3. I manage with help from others 4. Unless something goes wrong, I manage well 5. I feel in control and I manage well 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I don't feel able to manage 2. It's a struggle and I get a lot of help 3. I get some help from other people 4. I'm okay unless something goes wrong 5. I'm in control and manage well
Activities of daily living	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Very poor 2. Poor 3. Average 4. Good 5. Excellent 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I don't feel able to manage 2. It's a struggle and I get a lot of help 3. I get some help from other people 4. I'm okay unless something goes wrong 5. I'm in control and manage well
Fear of falling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Very frightened and I hardly go outside 2. I worry a lot and always think about it 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I hardly go outside now 2. I have changed a lot of my activities

	3. I've changed some of my activities, but I am ok	3. I have changed some of my activities
	4. I worry about it but it doesn't stop my life	4. I worry but won't let it stop me
	5. I'm not afraid of falling	5. I have no fear of falling
My confidence when walking outside	1. Very poor	1. I hardly go outside now
	2. Poor	2. I have to take someone to help me
	3. Average	3. I only go on familiar routes
	4. Good	4. I get nervous sometimes
	5. Excellent	5. I've got no problems walking outside
My social network	1. I feel alone all the time	1. I'm alone all the time
	2. I feel alone frequently	2. I'm frequently alone
	3. I feel alone sometimes	3. I'm sometimes alone
	4. I feel connected to a few key people	4. I've got a few good friends
	5. I feel connected to lots of people	5. I've got lots of friends and relations

Note. Participants selected one Likert-scale response for each domain from the centre column (prior to 2017) or right column (2017 onwards). Pre-and post- intervention PROMs are presented in Table 4 in the main text. For analysis, responses given prior to 2017 are grouped with their corresponding number in the most recent delivery format.

eTable 2. Follow-up questionnaires given to participants who completed the Healthworks Staying Steady 27-week falls prevention exercise programme.

Question	Answers
Prior to 2017	
Overall, do you feel you have benefited from the Staying Steady programme? ^a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
Did you achieve the goals that you set at the start of the programme? ^b	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
Do you have plans to continue exercising?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
Would you recommend the Staying Steady exercise classes to your friends? ^c	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
Do you feel the exercises got progressively harder throughout the programme? ^d	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
From 2017 onwards	
Have you achieved what you wanted to at the start of the programme? ^b	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • Partially
Do you feel Staying Steady has made a difference to you? ^a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
How do you feel it has made a difference	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Free text box
Did you find the education sessions useful?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
Were the exercises:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Too hard • Too easy

- Just right

Did they get progressively harder? ^d

- Yes
- No

Would you recommend Staying Steady to someone else? ^c

- Yes
 - No
-

Note. Follow-up questionnaires and answers were updated from 2017 and are therefore, presented separately by date. Where previously assessed items were later removed from standard practice, these variables were excluded from analysis or grouped with the most similar equivalent in the updated format. ^{a, b, c, d} For analysis, variables used prior to 2017 and denoted with a letter were grouped with the corresponding letter variable from 2017 onwards.

eTable 3 Baseline and follow-up physical function data for participants who completed the Staying Steady 27-week falls prevention programme, stratified by presence of chronic disease.

Outcome	Chronic disease								
	All	Cardiac disease	Coronary heart disease	Heart failure	Risk for coronary heart disease	Stroke / transient ischaemic attack	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Osteoporosis / osteopenia	Chronic kidney disease
Chair stand									
(reps) Unaided									
n	264	69	39	6	105	43	7	41	71
Baseline	8.0 (6.0, 10.0)	8.0 (6.0, 10.0)	8.0 (6.0, 10.0)	8.5 (4.8, 10.3)	8.0 (6.0, 10.0)	8.0 (6.0, 10.0)	9.0 (7.0, 11.0)	7.0 (5.0, 9.0)	8.0 (6.0, 10.0)
Follow-up	11.0 (9.0, 13.0)	11.0 (9.0, 13.0)	11.0 (8.0, 12.0)	10.5 (9.5, 12.3)	11.0 (9.0, 12.5)	10.0 (9.0, 12.0)	10.0 (9.0, 11.0)	11.0 (9.0, 12.0)	11.0 (10.0, 12.0)
P	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	0.063	<0.001**	<0.001**	0.125	<0.001**	<0.001**
Chair stand									
(reps)									
Aided									

Outcome	Chronic disease								
	All	Cardiac disease	Coronary heart disease	Heart failure	Risk for coronary heart disease	Stroke / transient ischaemic attack	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Osteoporosis / osteopenia	Chronic kidney disease
n	54	19	15	3	18	11	3	10	15
Baseline	7.0 (5.0, 9.0)	7.0 (6.0, 9.0)	7.0 (6.0, 10.0)	5.0 (4.0, 7.0)	6.0 (5.0, 8.3)	7.0 (6.0, 10.0)	12.0 (5.0, 12.0)	7.0 (5.8, 9.3)	7.0 (5.0, 9.0)
Follow-up	9.0 (7.0, 11.0)	10.0 (7.0, 12.0)	10.0 (9.0, 13.0)	5.0 (4.0, 8.0)	9.0 (7.0, 11.3)	9.0 (8.0, 12.0)	12.0 (4.0, 16.0)	10.0 (8.0, 10.3)	10.0 (8.0, 13.0)
P	<0.001**	0.004**	0.022*	1.00	<0.001**	0.016*	1.00	0.070	<0.001**
Chair stand									
(reps)									
<i>Aided at baseline only</i>									
n	94	25	17	2	41	10	6	16	25
Baseline	8.5 (7.0, 10.0)	8.0 (6.5, 11.0)	8.0 (6.0, 11.0)	5.5 (3.8, 6.3)	8.0 (6.0, 10.0)	7.5 (5.8, 9.3)	10.0 (6.5, 12.3)	8.5 (6.3, 10.8)	8.0 (7.0, 9.5)

Outcome	Chronic disease								
	All	Cardiac disease	Coronary heart disease	Heart failure	Risk for coronary heart disease	Stroke / transient ischaemic attack	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Osteoporosis / osteopenia	Chronic kidney disease
Follow-up	10.0 (9.0, 13.0)	10.0 (9.0, 13.0)	10.0 (9.0, 12.5)	10.0 (7.5, 9.5)	10.0 (8.0, 12.5)	8.0 (7.0, 9.3)	12.5 (10.0, 18.3)	11.0 (8.3, 13.0)	10.0 (8.5, 13.0)
P	<0.001**	0.001**	0.002**	0.500	<0.001**	0.344	0.031*	0.001**	<0.001**
Chair stand									
(reps) Aided at									
<i>follow-up only</i>									
n	22	12	5	3	6	3	2	3	6
Baseline	7.5 (5.0, 9.0)	8.0 (5.5, 9.0)	7.0 (4.0, 8.0)	8.0 (4.0, 8.0)	5.5 (4.8, 6.8)	9.0 (5.0, 10.0)	6.5 (3.8, 8.3)	8.0 (4.0, 9.0)	6.5 (3.8, 8.3)
Follow-up	10.0 (7.8, 12.0)	11.0 (7.5, 12.8)	11.0 (9.0, 12.5)	5.0 (5.0, 11.0)	9.5 (7.3, 10.3)	10.0 (8.0, 12.0)	9.0 (5.3, 11.3)	7.0 (5.0, 14.0)	9.0 (5.0, 11.0)
P	<0.001**	0.012*	0.063	1.00	0.031*	0.250	0.500	1.00	0.219

Outcome	Chronic disease								
	All	Cardiac disease	Coronary heart disease	Heart failure	Risk for coronary heart disease	Stroke / transient ischaemic attack	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Osteoporosis / osteopenia	Chronic kidney disease
TUG (s)									
<i>Unaided</i>									
n	387	113	69	12	149	52	16	61	104
Baseline	13.0 (10.5, 16.4)	13.2 (11.0, 16.7)	13.3 (11.7, 18.0)	15.1 (11.0, 17.2)	12.9 (10.2, 16.6)	15.0 (11.9, 18.5)	11.6 (9.6, 16.5)	14.0 (11.0, 18.0)	13.4 (10.6, 17.0)
Follow-up	9.7 (8.0, 11.9)	10.0 (8.1, 11.9)	10.7 (8.1, 12.3)	10.8 (9.5, 12.0)	9.5 (8.0, 11.6)	10.9 (8.6, 14.0)	8.6 (6.5, 9.5)	9.9 (8.2, 12.1)	10.2 (8.2, 11.9)
P	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	0.006**	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**
TUG (s)									
<i>Aided</i>									
n	13	3	1	1	7	2	0	2	5
Baseline	22.7 (15.3, 26.7)	22.7 (17.5, 49.2)	/	/	25.0 (13.8, 28.0)	42.9 (10.3, 56.0)	/	38.6 (21.0, 38.8)	25.3 (18.2, 60.6)

Outcome	Chronic disease								
	All	Cardiac disease	Coronary heart disease	Heart failure	Risk for coronary heart disease	Stroke / transient ischaemic attack	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Osteoporosis / osteopenia	Chronic kidney disease
Follow-up	16.0 (13.0, 23.0)	27.0 (12.0, 55.8)	/	/	16.0 (16.0, 18.9)	17.4 (12.0, 15.7)	/	37.3 (14.2, 43.4)	27.0 (17.4, 43.1)
P	0.221	1.00	/	/	0.453	1.00	/	1.00	1.00
TUG (s)									
<i>Aided at baseline only</i>									
n	32	7	4	0	14	10	2	7	5
Baseline	18.0 (15.0, 23.6)	15.7 (14.6, 17.1)	16.8 (9.9, 17.4)	/	19.9 (15.5, 30.8)	15.6 (14.0, 19.3)	18.4 (11.0, 19.0)	18.0 (16.3, 18.7)	17.5 (12.5, 19.5)
Follow-up	12.3 (10.0, 14.6)	12.4 (10.8, 14.0)	11.9 (7.2, 13.6)	/	12.1 (9.8, 15.5)	11.1 (9.7, 13.5)	14.4 (7.5, 15.6)	12.4 (11.5, 13.6)	13.6 (9.1, 14.2)
P	<0.001**	0.016*	0.125	/	<0.001**	0.002**	0.500	0.016*	0.063

Outcome	Chronic disease								
	All	Cardiac disease	Coronary heart disease	Heart failure	Risk for coronary heart disease	Stroke / transient ischaemic attack	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Osteoporosis / osteopenia	Chronic kidney disease
4SBT (level)									
n	295	71	37	9	116	46	16	46	75
Baseline	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)	2.0 (1.5, 3.0)	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)	3.0 (2.0, 3.0)	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)
Follow-up	3.0 (3.0, 4.0)	3.0 (3.0, 4.0)	3.0 (3.0, 3.0)	3.0 (2.0, 3.0)	3.0 (3.0, 4.0)	3.0 (3.0, 3.0)	3.0 (3.0, 4.0)	3.0 (3.0, 4.0)	3.0 (3.0, 4.0)
P	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	0.727	<0.001**	<0.001**	0.006**	<0.001**	<0.001**

Note. Participants were evaluated separately based on whether they used an aid to perform the test (including a walking aid or used their hands to push themselves up). Values are median (interquartile range). 4SBT= Four-stage balance test; TUG = Timed Up and Go. Participants were grouped based on whether they used arms to chair-stand or used a walking-aid at both timepoints ('aided'), neither timepoint ('unaided'), at baseline but not follow-up ('aided at baseline only'), or at follow-up but not baseline ('aided at follow-up only'). / Denotes insufficient cases for analyses. *P <0.05. between baseline and follow-up. **P <0.01 between baseline and follow-up.