Psychotropic medication prescribing for people with a learning disability

PAINTER, Jon <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1589-4054>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/30380/

This document is the Presentation

Citation:

PAINTER, Jon (2022). Psychotropic medication prescribing for people with a learning disability. In: Creating Knowledge Conference, Sheffield, 13-15 Jun 2022. Sheffield Hallam university. (Unpublished) [Conference or Workshop Item]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html







Psychotropic medication prescribing for people with a learning disability Dr Jon Painter

STOMP Stopping Over Medication of	Psychotropics	 Medication that affects the mind e.g. medicines used in depression, anxiety, psychotic experiences and epilepsy 		Psycho		
People with Learning Disabilities,	Antidepressants	• Type of psychotropic medicine licensed to manage depression	Weight Gain	Associ Cardiom Adve Effe	etabolic erse	Hypertension
Autism or both	Anxiolytics/ Hypnotics	· Quetiapine			Psychostimulants Amphetamines Methylphenidate Other stimulants Antidepressants SNRIs	
Core Messages	Antiepileptics	• Type of psychotropic medicine licensed for the prevention of seizures	Lithium <u>Antidepressants</u> Mirtazapine Tricyclic Antidepressants Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors Other Antidepressants	Dyslipidemia Hypertriglyceridemia Antipsychotics Especially: • Clozapine • Olarazpine	Diabetes Mellitus / Insulin Resistance <u>Antipsychotics</u> • Clozapine • Olanzapine • Other SGAs	Tricyclic Antidepressants <u>Antipsychotics</u> Aripiprazole Clozapine Olanzapine Ziprasidone Mood Stabilizers
February 2017	Antipsychotics	• Type of psychotropic medicine licensed to manage mental health conditions with psychotic experiences such as hallucinations	(except bupropion)	Mood Stabilizers Valproic Acid Derivatives Carbamazepine Hypercholesterolemia Antidepressants Mirtazapine SSRis	1	Valproic Acid Derivatives

Sheffield Hallam University Background & Aim



- People with learning disabilities have more MH problems, more physical co-morbidities, and exhibit more concerning behaviours than the general population.
- They are also prescribed more psychotropic medications (i.e. drugs that affect psychological functioning), at higher doses and for longer than the norm.
- We are therefore giving medications that can cause cardiac and metabolic disease to people already at higher risk of having / developing these conditions.
- **STOMP** is a national initiative to Stop Over Medication of People with a learning disability, autism or both
- This study sought to understand whether **local prescribing practices** adhere to national STOMP principles.

Sheffield
Hallam
UniversityRecruitment &data collection:



Data extracted about 41 completed IP spells between 2015–2020 of 36 people. This represents the first 5 years of the STOMP initiative



Participants



- 50% were 18-30yrs
- 58% were male
- 83% were White British
- 42% had Autism
- 36% had mild learning disability
- 50% had a funded care package. Median £24k (Range £11k £177)
- Most commonly admitted from family home (36%) or supported accommodation (39%)

Significant Life events experienced pre-admission

Death of a parent or sibling					
Death of another relative or close friend					
Serious illness or injury to self					
Serious illness of someone close	2				
Conflict with someone close	1				
Sexual abuse	5				
Move of house or residence					
Unemployed/seeking work for one month or more					
Problems with police or other authority					
Alcohol use	1				
Changes to staff support and home environment	1				
Other forced Prostitution	1				
historical abuse at day service	1				
Notice served on tennancy	1				
Poor mental health	1				

Psychiatric diagnoses at admission

		Yes	No	Total
	At least one psychiatric			
,	diagnoses	18	18	36
	Psychotic disorder?	11	25	36
	Mood disorder?	5	31	36
	Anxiety disorder?	9	27	36
j	Psychiatric diagnoses other?	4	32	36
	_			

Median length of stay: 147 days

Analyses



Patterns of psychotropic prescribing over the 4 timepoints examined:

- Medications divided into 6 different classes:
 - Regularly prescribed anti-psychotics
 - Regularly prescribed mood-stabilisers
 - Regularly prescribed anti-depressants
 - Regularly prescribed anxiolytics & hypnotics
 - Regularly prescribed 'other'
 - All regularly prescribed psychotropics
 - Pro Re Nata (PRN) medications
- Total no of medications in each group recorded
- Total percentage of max BNF doses in each group calculated

Changes over time:

 Repeated measures ANOVA with Greenhouse-Geisser correction. Post hoc analysis with a Bonferroni adjustment.

Differences between patient groups:

One-way ANOVAs with Tukey post hoc tests

Results #1



Statistically significant changes in psychotropic prescribing over time

							Repeat	Statistically significant pairwise comparisons		
							measures	identified through		
BNF Category	Timepoint	Ν	Min	Max	Mean	S.D.	ANOVA	post hoc analysis with a Bonferroni adjustment		
	T1 (Admission)	35	0	2	0.3	0.6	F(1.928, 57.841)			
Number of regularly	T2 (Discharge)	36	0	2	0.1	0.4	=4.163, p=0.022	None detected		
prescribed mood stabilisers	T3 (6-months)	35	0	2	0.1	0.4		None detected		
Stabilisers	T4 (12-months)	32	0	1	0.1	0.2				
	T1 (Admission)	35	0	2	0.9	0.8	F(2.304, 69.107)			
Number of regularly	T2 (Discharge)	36	0	3	0.8	0.9	=3.697, p=0.025			
prescribed anxiolytics	T3 (6-months)	35	0	2	0.6	0.7		T1-T4 0.452 (95% CI, 0.001 to 0.902), p=0.049		
and hypnotics	T4 (12-months)	32	0	2	0.4	0.7				
Cumulative total BNF	T1 (Admission)	35	0	50	5.2	12.6	F(1.037, 29.044)	T1-T4 85.817 (95% Cl, 30.80 to 140.834), p=0.001		
max dose of 'Other' regularly prescribed psychotropics	T2 (Discharge)	36	0	33.3	2.8	8.5	=19.822, p<0.001			
	T3 (6-months)	35	0	50	2.9	10.3		T2-T4 86.966 (95% CI, 32.550 to 141.382), p=0.001		
	T4 (12-months)	31	0	50	3.2	10.9		T3-T4 86.966 (95% CI, 31.907 to 142.025), p=0.001		
Number of Pro Re Nate (PRN) psychotropics	T1 (Admission)	35	0	2	0.6	0.7	F(2.565, 74.392)			
	T2 (Discharge)	35	0	3	0.8	0.8	=3.604, p=0.022			
	T3 (6-months)	35	0	2	0.4	0.7		T2-T3 0.367 (95% CI, 0.049 to 0.685), p =0.017		
	T4 (12-months)	32	0	2	0.4	0.7				

Results #2



Headline	Detail
Inpatients with severe ID were prescribed more anxiolytics & hypnotics than both other groups and at higher cumulative doses than those with a mild ID	 No of anxiolytics & hypnotics differed by level of ID: ANOVA (<i>F</i>(3,30) = 4.984, <i>p</i> = .006). Tukey post hoc test revealed mean no at admission was higher for severe ID (1.67 ± 0.516) than a mild ID (0.69 ± 0.855, <i>p</i> = .049) or moderate ID (0.40 ± 0.516, <i>p</i> = .010). At admission, % of max BNF doses of anxiolytics & hypnotics differed by level of ID: ANOVA (<i>F</i>(3,30) = 4.756, <i>p</i> = .008). Tukey post hoc test revealed the mean % was higher for people with a severe ID (87.97% ± 36.64%) than moderate ID (7.79% ± 12.23, <i>p</i> = .016)).
Inpatients with ASD were prescribed more psychotropics and at higher cumulative doses	 At admission, % of max BNF doses of all regular psychotropics were higher in ASD group: mean 159% Vs 92%. ANOVA (<i>F</i>(1,32) = 5.432, <i>p</i> = .026). Post hoc tests were not possible. At discharge mean no of all regular psychotropics was higher in ASD group: 3.07 Vs 1.71. ANOVA (<i>F</i>(1,33) = 9.247, <i>p</i> = .005) Again, post hoc tests were not possible.
 People with ASD in the community were prescribed: A higher cumulative dose of regular psychotropics at discharge More PRN psychotropics 6 months post-discharge A higher cumulative dose of regular psychotropics 12 months post- discharge 	 At discharge, % of max BNF doses of all regular psychotropics was higher in the ASD group: mean 159%Vs 92%. ANOVA (<i>F</i>(1,33) = 4.395, <i>p</i> = .044). Tukey post hoc tests were not possible. At 6 months the no of PRN psychotropics was higher in the ASD group: mean 0.64 Vs 0.20. ANOVA (<i>F</i>(1,32) = 4.163, <i>p</i> = .050). Again, Tukey post hoc tests were not possible. At 12 months % of max BNF doses of all regular psychotropics was higher in the ASD group: mean 121% Vs 53%. ANOVA (<i>F</i>(1,27) = 4.360, <i>p</i> = .046). Tukey post hoc tests were not possible.

Results #3



Diagnostic	Antipsychotic	Time Point							
group	medication status	Admission	Discharge	6 months post-discharge	12 months post-discharge				
At least one psychotic diagnosis recorded	Prescribed	7	13	10	9				
	Not prescribed	3	1	3	3				
	Missing data	0	0	1	2				
No psychotic diagnoses recorded	Prescribed	<mark>12</mark> (5)	<u>13(7)</u>	<u>14<mark>(12)</mark></u>	<u>12(6)</u>				
	Not prescribed	13	9	8	8				
	Missing data	1	0	0	2				
At least one psychiatric diagnosis recorded	Prescribed	8	20	17	15				
	Not prescribed	10	8	10	10				
	Missing data	0	0	1	3				
No psychiatric diagnoses recorded	Prescribed	<mark>11</mark> (4)	<u>6(3)</u>	<u>7(5)</u>	<u>6(4)</u>				
	Not prescribed	6	2	1	1				
	Missing data	1	0	0	1				

NB Bracket figures are the no of those individuals with a co-morbid diagnosis of ASD

Summary



- STOMP is **not** anti-medication, it seeks to **improve quality** of life by ensuring psychotropic medications are prescribed and monitored appropriately
- National variation in prescribing psychotropics to PWID hence a need for local monitoring of progress with STOMP
- Locally there was a general downward trend in the number, and doses of psychotropic medications prescribed to PWID
- Inpatients with severe ID and those with ASD received higher doses
- Those with **ASD** continue to receive higher doses when **in community**
- **Anti-psychotics** (which have the most problematic side-effect profile) are being prescribed to people without a corresponding diagnosis
- Again, people with ASD are disproportionately affected
- Local findings echo the national hypothesis that these medications are still being used off-licence to manage concerning behaviours in lieu of less risky nonpharmacological interventions (e.g. PBS)
- NB Statistical significance differs from clinical significance. We must not forget these people have complex needs that require an individualised response