

Solving the Difficult Problem of Hard to Decarbonise Homes

RASLAN, Rokia and AMBROSE, Aimee <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5898-6314>>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:
<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/30320/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

RASLAN, Rokia and AMBROSE, Aimee (2022). Solving the Difficult Problem of Hard to Decarbonise Homes. *Nature Energy*, 7, 675-677.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

Solving the Difficult Problem of Hard to Decarbonise Homes

[ADD AUTHOR NAMES/AFFILIATIONS AND CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION]

Introduction

The term 'Hard-to-Decarbonise' has been mainly linked with energy-intensive, high carbon emitting activities such as heavy industry and aviation, where reducing carbon emissions is difficult because the solutions needed do not exist or are more costly than in other sectors. But more recently it has been used to describe something much closer to home: the houses that we live in.

The residential sector is the fourth-largest source of carbon emissions in the world. Globally, it is estimated that on average one in four of our homes are Hard-to-Decarbonise (HtD). Moreover, HtD homes are thought to be responsible for over 25% of all direct residential sector emissions. These homes have one or more physical, locational, and occupant-related characteristics that impact the feasibility of deploying available cost-effective decarbonisation solutions within them [1]. These solutions include measures that improve energy efficiency and motivate better use of energy as well as low-carbon heating (and cooling) technology options.

The impetus for urgent research into HtD homes lies in the startling fact that while it is widely accepted that all homes will need to be fully decarbonised by 2050, we do not know how this will be achieved in a quarter of them – the HtD subset of the residential stock.

But the problem goes beyond the missed decarbonisation potential. It is also one of societal equity; occupants of HtD homes pay a high price to live in them. In the absence of effective and affordable decarbonisation solutions, occupants of HtD homes will be less likely to be able to escape fuel poverty and more likely to be excluded from the opportunity to access the benefits of the Net Zero Transition. Fuel poverty affects single parents, women, people of colour and the elderly the most and can therefore be seen as a consequence of and contributor to injustices linked to gender, ethnicity and age. Living in fuel poverty results in poorer health and mental wellbeing, poorer educational outcomes and social stigma and can ultimately lead to premature death [11], with hundreds of thousands of lives being lost each winter to cold homes across Europe alone [12].

Failing to address HtD homes will therefore lead to a lock-in of inequities and injustices, contribute to the lock-in of building sector energy consumption and emissions for many decades to come [13] and will also induce

climate change related 'asset stranding' of HtD homes, where they are prematurely deemed redundant or obsolete and unappealing to occupants [14].

However, Hard to Decarbonise (HtD) homes and their occupants represent a complex problem and have historically been neglected in favour of the lower hanging fruit of easier to treat properties. To enable an equitable Net Zero Transition, we must understand the complex issues surrounding the decarbonisation of HtD homes and we must do so in a holistic manner that goes beyond the purely technological and takes account of the impacts of different routes to decarbonisation on occupants.

What are Hard-to-Decarbonise homes?

Generally speaking, the physical attributes that render a home HtD relate to its form, height and fabric. Locational attributes are constraints arising from where it is sited. Occupant attributes, as will be discussed below, relate to the socio-economic, behavioural and health related characteristics of those who live in these homes (and in some cases their landlords). The wide array of HtD typologies include, but are not limited to, multi-occupancy/high rise blocks; Hard-to-Treat homes (HTT) with uninsulated solid and non-standard cavity walls; homes with space constraints; homes that are off-grid or in rural, exposed, remote or inaccessible locations; those with heritage status or in conservation areas; and homes with fuel poor or Hard-to-Reach (HtR) occupants and/or owners.

The incidence of one or more of these attributes are likely to make decarbonisation problematic when compared to 'standard' housing where they are not present. For example, standard air source heat pumps cannot be installed in homes with external space constraints. And multi-occupancy/high-rise residential blocks are notoriously difficult to insulate due to high costs, competing priorities of stakeholders, split incentives [2] and, more recently, fire safety concerns [3]. It is therefore not surprising that evidence from various contexts has pointed to the poor energy performance of HtD homes. Where the average Energy Performance Certificate (EPC) Rating of the domestic stock is D, the incidence of the lowest EPC ratings F-G was estimated to be as high as 60%-80% for some HtD typologies [e.g. 4,5].

Who lives in HtD homes?

What we know about the characteristics of HtD homes provides indications about who is likely to live in them. The higher likelihood of poor EPC ratings and preponderance of older housing within the HtD stock, combined with low household income, exposes HtD occupants to greater risk of fuel poverty, which is an inability to afford to heat the home to a safe and comfortable level [6]. Whilst not all occupants of HtD homes will be on a low income, we expect to see well above average levels of fuel poverty amongst occupants, especially those in privately rented HtD properties and low-income owner occupiers.

Indeed, there are several ways in which HtD homes and fuel poverty are intrinsically linked. For example, we know that living in a property with an EPC of E-G places occupants at significant risk of fuel poverty and the risks to health and wellbeing associated with cold homes [7]. An E-G rated property is highly likely to be hard to decarbonise due to its physical characteristics and/or because the owner is not acting to improve it [8]. Either way, the property is HtD because the behaviour of owners and occupants can be just as significant as physical characteristics of the property.

Privately rented HtD properties are a particular concern, as, in many countries, the sector exhibits the highest levels of fuel poverty of any tenure and houses a large proportion of the most vulnerable households.

Moreover, in overheated housing markets, tenants have minimal negotiating power to encourage landlords to improve energy performance. [8]. For example, in the UK, 25% of fuel poor households live in the private rented sector where the worst energy efficiency is found and where there are more barriers to improvement because occupants have little control over the quality of their accommodation [9].

Our research into Hard-to-Reach (HtR) energy users [10] also suggests that HtD homes and HtR energy users are intrinsically linked. This research puts forward a definition of HtR that encompasses the idea of being underserved, which means that a vital service or initiative either does not reach or encompass a particular group or groups or doesn't respond fully or at all to their needs. In this sense, occupying a HtD home is being underserved because effective and affordable decarbonisation solutions are unlikely to be suitable for their properties or their budgets.

What challenges exist in researching them?

Even though energy efficiency has been a mainstay of built environment research since the 1970s, researchers within this domain have, until now, paid insufficient attention to HtD homes. A key reason for this is the path dependence within research agendas in this area. Path dependency occurs when the status quo persists because it is often easier to continue along an already set path than to create an entirely new one [15]. As research funding has, until now, favoured programmes that aim to solve the common problems of standard housing and ‘pick the low hanging fruit’ [16], the opportunity for researchers to deviate from this path and endeavour to tackle more complex and challenging segments of the building stock such as HtD homes has remained limited.

So, while a few existing studies have helped us identify some broad patterns, such as general HtD typologies, indications of the prevalence of HtD homes around the world and their unrealised decarbonisation potential, important details are still missing. The knowledge challenges here cluster around four areas.

The first is the general absence of reporting and data on HtD homes combined with the fact that what is known about them largely stems from single case study research. This means that we still do not fully understand many of the physical and locational attributes that render a home HtD, the interplay between these characteristics or how they may vary across different contexts [17].

Second, understanding household characteristics as well as the preferences, habits, and routines of occupants has been widely recognised as a research challenge across the residential sector. While we are starting to build an understanding of this, we still have very poor knowledge about how occupants live in HtD homes and interact with them.

Third, existing building stock models we use to understand the built environment, explore trajectories and the multiple impacts of decarbonisation largely rely on sampling methods that generate archetypes representative of ‘standard’ home characteristics. HtD homes are therefore inadequately represented within them [18].

Finally, the research agenda and corresponding methodological expertise in the built environment domain has become gradually skewed towards more data-rich areas of the stock. But HtD homes are at other end of that scale: they present the problem of ‘scarce data’, so in many ways we are still limited in our ability to effectively study them [19].

How can we to overcome these research challenges?

Achieving a zero carbon future for HtD homes is a necessity, which will require a profound change of mindset starting from those who fund research to those who undertake it. This will entail supporting novel, field-building research that looks beyond disciplinary boundaries for approaches that address the above-mentioned scarce data challenges by fully deploying the capabilities of open science and enabling the gathering of datasets and creation of models that are richer and more inclusive. It also requires democratising research in this area and moving it beyond the purely technological.

A key priority for this research agenda will be to establish a precise profile of HtD occupants and develop occupant-centred approaches that engage them as partners in the co-creation of decarbonisation solutions for their homes. This promises to develop a much greater understanding of the costs to humans of living in HtD homes, while increasing the potential for fostering greater acceptance and impact of decarbonisation solutions and minimising the scope for adverse impacts on households.

The wider research landscape provides a number of examples, such as rare disease research, where such thinking has proven successful. In recent years initiatives Rare Disease research [20] has benefited from a change of perspective that encouraged the use of novel patient-centred approaches, leading to dramatic therapeutic advances that have not only impacted the lives of those living with these illnesses, but have also lead to discoveries that benefitted those with more common diseases.

What can be achieved?

Despite the complex challenges that HtD homes and their occupants present, we cannot afford to ignore them. The urgent research needed to support the discovery of decarbonisation solutions that specifically respond to HtD homes is vital not only for carbon reduction but also in terms of improving the health, wellbeing and social inclusion of some of the most vulnerable in society. A change of mindset that places occupants at the heart of the research process promises to deliver benefits that will not only move research in this area forward but will lead to insights that can offer a significant source of hope in our quest to decarbonise all homes.

References

- [1] Foster S, Tahir F, Orchard K, Walker I, Schwartz Y, Raslan R. Analysis on abating direct emissions from 'hard-to-decarbonise' homes. Committee on Climate Change; 2019.

- [2] Baldwin AN, Loveday DL, Li B, Murray M, Yu W. A research agenda for the retrofitting of residential buildings in China – A case study. *Energy Policy* 2018;113:41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.10.056>.
- [3] Hu L, Milke JA, Merci B. Special Issue on Fire Safety of High-Rise Buildings. *Fire Technol* 2017;53:1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10694-016-0638-7>.
- [4] BRE. A study of Hard to Treat Homes using the English House Condition Survey. Building Research Establishment; 2008.
- [5] Fabbri K, Zuppiroli M, Ambrogio K. Heritage buildings and energy performance: Mapping with GIS tools. *Energy and Buildings* 2012;48:137–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.01.018>.
- [6] Taylor T. Cold hands, warm hearth?: climate, net takeback, and household comfort. *The Energy Journal* 1995.
- [7] CAG, ACE. The Warm Arm of the Law: Tackling fuel poverty in the private rented sector. EBICO Trust; 2018.
- [8] Ambrose A, McCarthy L. Taming the “masculine pioneers”? Changing attitudes towards energy efficiency amongst private landlords and tenants in New Zealand: A case study of Dunedin. *Energy Policy* 2019;126:165–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.11.018>.
- [9] BEIS. Fuel Poverty Factsheet England, 2018. Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy; 2020.
- [10] Ashby K, Rotmann S, Smith J, Mundaca L, Ambrose A, Borelli S, et al. Who are Hard-to-Reach energy users? Segments, barriers and approaches to engage them. Proceedings ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings, ACEEE; 2020. <https://doi.org/10.47568/3CP103>.
- [11] Pan L, Biru A, Lettu S. Energy poverty and public health: Global evidence. *Energy Economics* 2021;101:105423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2021.105423>.
- [12] Liddell C, Morris C, Thomson H, Guiney C. Excess winter deaths in 30 European countries 1980–2013: a critical review of methods. *Journal of Public Health* 2016;38:806–14. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdv184>.
- [13] Sandberg NH, Sartori I, Heidrich O, Dawson R, Dascalaki E, Dimitriou S, et al. Dynamic building stock modelling: Application to 11 European countries to support the energy efficiency and retrofit ambitions of the EU. *Energy and Buildings* 2016;132:26–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2016.05.100>.

- [14] Muldoon-Smith K, Greenhalgh P. Suspect foundations: Developing an understanding of climate-related stranded assets in the global real estate sector. *Energy Research & Social Science* 2019;54:60–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.03.013>.
- [15] Aghion P, Hepburn C, Teytelboym A, Zenghelis D. Path dependence, innovation and the economics of climate change. *Handbook on Green Growth* 2019.
- [16] Rinkinen J, Shove E, Torriti J. *Energy Fables: Challenging Ideas in the Energy Sector*. Routledge; 2019.
- [17] Grey C, Jiang S, Poortinga W. Arbed recipient’s views and experiences of living in hard to heat, hard to treat houses in Wales: results from three focus groups conducted in South Wales. *Welsh School of Architecture, Cardiff University*; 2015.
- [18] Brøgger M, Wittchen K. Quantifying Uncertainties in an Archetype-Based Building Stock Energy Model by Use of Individual Building Models. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering* 2018.
- [19] Lovell H, Watson P. Scarce data: off-grid households in Australia. *Energy Policy* 2019;129:502–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.02.014>.
- [20] Rubinstein YR, Robinson PN, Gahl WA, Avillach P, Baynam G, Cederroth H, et al. The case for open science: rare diseases. *JAMIA Open* 2020;3:472–86. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamiaopen/ooaa030>.