

# Season-long changes in the body composition profiles of competitive female Rugby Union players assessed via Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry

CURTIS, C, ARJOMANDKHAH, N, COOKE, C, RANCHORDAS, Mayur <a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7995-9115">http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7995-9115</a> and RUSSELL, M

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/28158/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

# Citation:

CURTIS, C, ARJOMANDKHAH, N, COOKE, C, RANCHORDAS, Mayur and RUSSELL, M (2021). Season-long changes in the body composition profiles of competitive female Rugby Union players assessed via Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport. [Article]

# Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

# Section: Original Research

**Article Title:** Season-long changes in the body composition profiles of competitive female Rugby Union players assessed via Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry

**Authors:** Curtis, C.<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Arjomandkhah, N.<sup>a</sup>, Cooke, C.<sup>a</sup>, Ranchordas, M.K.<sup>c</sup>, Russell, M.<sup>a</sup>

Affiliations: <sup>a</sup>School of Social and Health Sciences, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, LS18 5HD, United Kingdom. <sup>b</sup>London Sports Institute, Middlesex University, London, NW4 4BT, United Kingdom. <sup>c</sup>Academy of Sport & Physical Activity, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, S1 1WB, United Kingdom

Running Head: Body Composition Changes in Female Rugby Union

Journal: Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport

**Corresponding Author:** Christopher Curtis, London Sport Institute, Middlesex University, Hendon, London, NW4 4BT. Telephone: (+44) 20 8411 4491 Email: <u>c.curtis@mdx.ac.uk</u>

Abstract: 231 Word Count: 2,751 Number of Figures: 0 Number of Tables: 1

### **ABSTRACT:**

Background: Reference data for the body composition values of female athletes are
 limited to very few sports, with female Rugby Union players having mostly been
 omitted from such analyses.

Methods: Using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans, this study assessed
the body composition profiles (body mass, bone mineral content; BMC, fat mass;
FM, lean mass; LM, bone mineral density; BMD) of 15 competitive female Rugby
Union players before and after the 2018/19 competitive season. Total competitive
match-play minutes were also recorded for each player.

**Results:** Body mass (73.7±9.6 kg vs 74.9±10.2 kg, p≤0.05, d=0.13) and BMC 9 (3.2±0.4 kg vs 3.3±0.4 kg, p≤0.05, d=0.15) increased pre- to post-season for all 10 players. Conversely, FM (21.0±8.8 kg), LM (50.7±3.9 kg), and BMD (1.31±0.06 g·cm<sup>-</sup> 11 <sup>2</sup>) were similar between time-points (all p>0.05). Accounting for position, body mass 12  $(r_{\text{partial}(12)} = 0.196)$ , FM  $(r_{\text{partial}(12)} = -0.013)$ , LM  $(r_{\text{partial}(12)} = 0.351)$ , BMD  $(r_{\text{partial}(12)} = 0.351)$ 13 0.168) and BMC ( $r_{partial(12)} = -0.204$ ) showed no correlation (all p>0.05) against 14 15 match-play minutes. **Conclusion:** The demands of the competitive season influenced specific body 16

16 **Conclusion:** The demands of the competitive season influenced specific body 17 composition indices (i.e., body mass, BMC) in female Rugby Union players; a finding 18 which was unrelated to the number of minutes played in matches. While the causes 19 of such differences remain unclear, practitioners should be cognisant of the body 20 composition changes occurring throughout a female Rugby Union competitive 21 season and, where necessary, consider modifying variables associated with 22 adaptation and recovery accordingly.

23

*Key Words:* Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry, female athlete, team sport, bone
 mineral density

### 1. **INTRODUCTION**:

Rugby Union (RU) is a field-based contact team sport characterized by repeated 27 brief bouts of high-intensity exercise (i.e., running, sprinting, tackling, scrummaging, 28 rucking, and mauling) interspersed with periods of low to moderate intensity activities 29 (i.e., standing, walking, and jogging)<sup>1</sup>. In recent years, the popularity of female rugby 30 has increased significantly<sup>2</sup>; now being played in over 100 countries<sup>1</sup>. Both males 31 and females (15-a-side) play for 80 min under the same rules and with the same 32 equipment. However, in contrast to their male counterparts <sup>3,4,5</sup> data pertaining to the 33 match-play demands of 15-a-side female RU is limited <sup>1,6</sup>. 34

Body composition is often assessed to provide an indication of an athlete's fitness 35 and health status <sup>7</sup>. Traditionally, body composition is estimated using two- (e.g., 36 37 skinfolds; SF, bioelectrical impedance; BIA, air displacement plethysmography; ADP) and more recently, three- (e.g., BIA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; DXA) 38 compartment models to calculate fat-free mass (FFM), lean mass (LM), fat mass 39 (FM), and in the case of DXA, bone mineral content (BMC) <sup>7,8,9</sup>. Anthropometric 40 measurement techniques provide an accurate measure of body composition, and 41 tracking changes in such indices can be useful for evaluating the effectiveness of 42 dietary and/or conditioning interventions. Furthermore, increases in LM within RU 43 have benefitted performance <sup>10,11</sup>. Notably, increased LM may positively influence 44 the power-to-weight ratios of players during match-play and improve key success 45 predictors such as; momentum, strength, power, and speed <sup>11,12</sup>. It is therefore 46 beneficial to have accurate information concerning measures of body composition in 47 48 athletes for both health and performance purposes.

When compared to other methodologies of measuring body composition, DXA is 49 widely regarded as the gold standard non-invasive method of measuring FM, FFM 50 and separating FFM in to LM and bone <sup>13</sup>. Likewise, the method has also shown 51 greater accuracy when ascertaining body composition measures relative to ADP<sup>14</sup>, 52 BIA <sup>15</sup> and SF <sup>16</sup> analyses, with DXA being highly correlated with both magnetic 53 resonance imaging and computed tomography when measuring muscle mass <sup>17</sup>. By 54 accounting for the variability in bone density that often exists in this population, DXA 55 may also be a superior methodology for use with athletic females <sup>7</sup>. However, current 56 reference values for the body composition of females when assessed by DXA are 57

limited to a small number of sports such as soccer <sup>18</sup>, track and field <sup>19, 20</sup>, basketball
 <sup>21</sup> and softball <sup>22</sup>.

While physical and body composition data exists for elite female Rugby League (RL) 60 players <sup>23</sup>, with significant differences in body mass, LM, FM and body fat percentage 61 existing between forwards and backs, body composition values assessed by DXA for 62 the 15-a-side format of female RU are scarce. Santos et al., <sup>24</sup> collated body 63 composition data from both SF and DXA scans in 21 different sports (including both 64 males and females), but no information was presented for female RU players <sup>24</sup>. 65 Notably, Harty et al. <sup>25</sup> published body composition data of female collegiate RU 66 players via DXA and reported significant differences between forwards and backs in 67 all measured variables; findings which disagree with those of elite Scottish female 68 RU players when measured via ADP<sup>26</sup>. Such contrasts may be associated with ADP 69 being a two-component model of body composition which demonstrates a higher 70 error (up to 13%) compared to DXA <sup>14</sup>. Acknowledging such equivocal findings, the 71 aim of this study was to assess seasonal changes in the body composition of female 72 RU players. Furthermore, to provide novel insight, we sought to also investigate 73 whether changes in such values occurred as a result of involvement in a competitive 74 playing season. 75

### 77 **2. METHODS:**

### 78 **2.1 Experimental Approach to the Problem:**

Using an observational approach, body composition was measured via DXA scan 79 80 within competitive female RU players in both the pre-season (i.e., August 2018) and immediately post-season (i.e., March 2019) periods of the 2018/19 competitive cal-81 endar. Body composition variables of body mass, FM, LM, bone mineral density 82 (BMD) and BMC were collected via DXA scans during pre-season. Measurements 83 were repeated at the end of the same competitive season. Notably, DXA technology 84 offers high precision and reliability when compared to other body composition meth-85 ods such as BIA and anthropometry <sup>17,27</sup>, and all scans were performed by the same 86 qualified technician on each occasion. Throughout the duration of the competitive 87 season, dietary intake was administered as per the club nutritionist's direction. Play-88 ers were recommended to adopt diets in a periodized manner adhering to 1.2 - 2.0 89 g·kg<sup>-1</sup>·BM·d<sup>-1</sup> protein, ~5 g·kg<sup>-1</sup>·BM·d<sup>-1</sup> carbohydrate and 20-35% of daily energy in-90 take from fats. 91

92

### 93 **2.2 Participants:**

All participants (n=15, age: 27±5 years, height: 169±5 cm, weight: 73.7±9.6 kg) were recruited from a team competing in the highest tier of female RU in the United Kingdom (i.e., Women's Premiership League). Six of the participants were professional, international players (International caps: 26±23). The study obtained institutional ethical approval and informed consent was sought from participants prior to study involvement.

100

### 101 **2.3 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA):**

For each measurement, participants were asked to attend the laboratory in a rested state and having fasted overnight. This was to eliminate changes in lean and total mass that corresponded to a volume of food/drink consumed prior to scanning <sup>28</sup>. Before measurements were taken, participants were screened for any existing injuries and/or pregnancy that may have precluded them from the scan. Stature was

measured via portable stadiometer (Seca, Hamburg, Germany) to the nearest 1 mm 107 and body mass was measured via calibrated weighing scales (Seca, Hamburg, 108 Germany) to the nearest 0.1 kg. These data were inputted into the DXA computer for 109 initial participant characteristics. DXA scans (DPX-L Lunar Prodigy, GE Medical 110 Systems, Lunar Madison, Wisconsin, USA) assessed FM, LM, BMD and BMC 111 through tissue X-ray absorption from two X-ray energy peaks <sup>17</sup> (enCORE 2008, 112 version 12.30.008 software). Within the DXA procedure, participants were exposed 113 to low levels of ionizing radiation (0.4 µGy per 1 full-body scan); thus posing minimal 114 115 risk to health with exposures being comparable to that of everyday activity over a 24 h period at sea level <sup>19,28</sup>. During the scans, participants were required to lay supine 116 on the DXA bed, with their hands in a pronated position by their sides (as per 117 manufacturer instructions) and to wear minimal clothing to improve the accuracy of 118 scan results as per the methods of Nana et al. <sup>27</sup>. These processes were repeated 119 for the follow-up measures during the post-season period taken within four days of 120 final playing encounter. 121

122

### 123 **2.4 Match-Play Analysis:**

Total match-play minutes were recorded over the 2018/19 competitive season from
official match reports. A total of twenty competitive regular season women's RU
matches were recorded; an average of one match played per week between
September 2018 – March 2019, plus two additional play-off fixtures played between
March 2019 – April 2019 (a maximum total of 160 min match-play minutes).

129

#### 130 **2.5 Statistical Analysis:**

All data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. In the case of whole group changes between pre and post-season values, data were analyzed via SPSS (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Normality was assessed via Shapiro-Wilks test. A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was conducted to identify interaction and main effects. Where significant main effects were identified, Tukey's post hoc analysis and within-player paired t-tests were performed. Delta values of differences between pre- and post-

season measures of body mass, FM, LM, BMD and BMC were calculated, and 138 controlling for position, a Pearson's partial correlation was used to determine total 139 playing minutes against these variables. Effect sizes (ES) were calculated in 140 accordance with Cohen's d ES principles (0 < ES < 0.2 = trivial, 0.2 < ES < 0.5 =141 small, 0.5 < ES < 0.8 = medium, > 0.8 = large) <sup>29</sup>. An alpha level of p≤0.05 denoted 142 significance. For the purposes of benchmarking positional data, and in the absence 143 of inferential statistics due to insufficient statistical power, descriptive statistics are 144 presented for each variable according to playing position (i.e., forwards vs backs). 145

#### 147 **3. RESULTS:**

Body composition data can be seen in Table 1. Interaction effects were non-148 significant for all variables (all p>0.05). Significant time effects were observed across 149 variables ( $F_{(4,10)}$ = 4.734, p≤0.05, partial  $\eta^2$  = 0.654). Specifically, body mass (Body 150 mass<sub>Pre</sub>: 73.7±9.6 kg, Body mass<sub>Post</sub>: 74.9±10.2 kg, p≤0.05, d=0.13) and BMC 151 (BMC<sub>Pre</sub>: 3.23±0.35 kg, BMC<sub>Post</sub>: 3.28±0.36 kg, p≤0.05, *d*=0.15) increased from pre-152 to post-season. Mean FM (20.1±8.3 vs 21.0±8.8 kg, d=0.11), LM (50.2±3.6 vs 153 50.7±3.9 kg, d=0.14) and BMD (1.30±0.07 g cm<sup>-2</sup> vs 1.31±0.06 g cm<sup>-2</sup>, d=0.16) 154 showed no differences between pre- and post-season measures (all p>0.05). 155

Mean average match-play durations for all participants (n=15) across the competitive 156 season was 902±330 min. No differences were observed between positions for total 157 match-play durations (Forwards: 790±298 min, Backs: 1030±338 min, p>0.05, 158 d=0.81) or total full-game equivalents (Forwards: 10±4, Backs: 13±4, p>0.05, 159 d=0.81). The relationships between match-play minutes and all DXA variables were 160 not significant (Body mass:  $r_{\text{partial}(12)} = 0.196$ , p $\ge 0.05$ , FM:  $r_{\text{partial}(12)} = -0.013$ , p $\ge 0.05$ , 161 LM:  $r_{\text{partial}(12)} = 0.351$ , p $\ge 0.05$ , BMD:  $r_{\text{partial}(12)} = 0.168$ , p $\ge 0.05$  and BMC:  $r_{\text{partial}(12)} = -$ 162 0.204, p≥0.05). 163

164

### 165 \*\*\*\*\*INSERT TABLE 1 NEAR HERE\*\*\*\*\*

166

#### 168 **4. DISCUSSION:**

The primary objective of this study was to profile the body composition profiles of 169 female RU players before and after a competitive season. Secondly, via correlational 170 analyses, we sought to also investigate whether changes in body composition values 171 were related to the number of match-play minutes played. Although significant 172 changes in body mass and BMC occurred over the course of a competitive season, 173 ES analyses deemed these trivial. To the author's knowledge, this is the first study to 174 investigate DXA-derived body composition changes of competitive female RU 175 players throughout the course of a domestic season. Therefore, insight is offered into 176 the benchmarks of body composition data of female RU players; findings which may 177 have application to practitioners working with this specific sporting population. 178

179 Body mass increased by ~1.2 kg post-season relative to pre-season values; findings which despite being statistically significant were trivial as per ES analyses. Notably, 180 the significant changes in body mass seen here support those observed previously 181 in female Rugby Sevens <sup>30</sup> and female 15-a-side RU players <sup>25,31</sup>. The congruent 182 changes in BMC also reported at post-season are unlikely to explain such responses 183 given the differences in magnitude (i.e.,  $\Delta$ body mass: ~1.2 kg, ES: 0.13;  $\Delta$ BMC: 184 ~0.05 kg, ES: 0.15). Although non-significant, contributions from LM and FM are 185 therefore more likely. In support of this, despite a lack of statistical significance, 186 increased FM and LM occurred between pre- and post-season (equivalent to trivial 187 ES of 0.11 and 0.14, respectively). While it is unclear as to the practical significance 188 of, and the exact reasoning underpinning such observations, reductions in training 189 loads have previously been attributed to increases in FM towards the end of a 190 season in male, elite RU players <sup>32</sup> and may offer insight to explain such changes in 191 female RU players. Likewise, increased LM occurred in both male elite RU and 192 Australian football which was attributed to exposure to resistance training throughout 193 the pre- and competitive season respectively <sup>11,32</sup>, and may help explain the LM 194 findings in the present study. Speculatively, and acknowledging that correlations to 195 match-play minutes were non-significant, differences in body mass over the season 196 may be attributed to specific match-play characteristics that RU players undertake <sup>30</sup>. 197 For example, as body mass is correlated with increased scrum force <sup>33</sup> and larger 198 force magnitudes <sup>34</sup>, it may be suggested that larger athletes are either talent-199 identified or self-select to play in forward positions during their development, or that 200

specific interventions have led to larger body masses versus backs <sup>30</sup>. Nevertheless,
irrespective of the origins of such differences, the findings in this present study
highlight that practitioners may also need to consider the effects of a competitive
season on specific indices of body composition.

Increased BMC was also observed throughout the domestic season, but it must be 205 noted that ES analyses deemed these trivial changes. These observations, both in 206 terms of magnitude and direction, are supported by findings from female basketball 207 (Baseline: 3.25±0.04 kg, Follow-Up: 3.30±0.04 kg; <sup>19</sup>). Training that consists of 208 weight-bearing exercise, high-impact exercises <sup>34</sup> and associated RU-specific 209 training and match-play, have previously been found to stimulate bone accretion 210 <sup>12,35</sup>. Notably, bone mineral accrual mechanisms have been attributable to excess 211 loading above accustomed levels during weight-bearing exercises <sup>36,37</sup>. Similarly, 212 several modes of resistance exercise have been investigated in relation to BMD, with 213 training involving high-intensity actions increasing BMD <sup>35</sup>. Such training modalities 214 are commonplace in RU<sup>38</sup>, and reflect the activities undertaken by the players in the 215 present study. 216

Our BMD findings were comparable with that of female basketball players <sup>19</sup> and 217 higher than observed in female soccer <sup>19</sup>. However, while specific body composition 218 indices may need consideration when seeking to optimize performance in female 219 RU, differences between pre- and post-season measures did not correlate against 220 total match-play minutes. Collectively, these findings indicate that total match-play 221 minutes alone have limited relationship to body composition when assessed in 222 223 competitive female RU players. That said, ascertaining the potential role of other factors (e.g., training volume, match-play characteristics, dietary intake etc.) on 224 markers of body composition remains to be investigated and presents itself as a 225 future research opportunity. 226

Whilst a lack of statistical power precluded an inferential statistical approach to position-specific data analyses, the dearth of literature presently available presents an opportunity to provide practitioners with descriptive statistics regarding positionspecific body composition findings (Table 1). Using the method of ADP, Nyberg & Penpraze <sup>26</sup> observed no between-position differences in anthropometric data in elite Scottish female RU players. More recently, reporting of position-specific DXA-

derived anthropometric data in female collegiate RU players observed greater body 233 mass, FM, FFM, BMD and BMC in forwards compared to backs <sup>25</sup>. In the case of the 234 latter, and despite comparable assessment methodologies to those reported in the 235 present study (i.e., DXA), the length of the assessment period (i.e., one season in 236 the present study versus a more prolonged 3-year period) and its influence on the 237 realization of adaptive responses resulting from accumulated training volumes 238 should be considered. That said, despite a lack of statistical analysis for between-239 position differences, meaningful benchmark data is presented from a high-level 240 241 competitive cohort of female RU players. Future research opportunities therefore exist to substantiate such findings in comparable populations. 242

Despite DXA being widely deemed a gold standard method for body composition 243 analyses due to its accuracy and repeatability <sup>39,40</sup>, the method is not without its 244 limitations. Firstly, our findings suggest the possible role that increased FM may 245 have had over the course of the competitive season. Research indicates that with 246 increasing fat mass comes increased risk of error via DXA <sup>39,41</sup>. Also, the potential 247 effects of the menstrual cycle on indices of body composition were not considered 248 within this study. Although the effects of such changes on the accuracy of body 249 composition measures via DXA scan are not fully understood <sup>28</sup>, the influence of 250 menses on the reliability of body composition estimates appears minimal in a cohort 251 of pre-menopausal females <sup>42</sup>; whether this is true for female RU players remains to 252 be investigated. Within these limitations, is the fact that DXA manufacturers' body 253 composition estimation algorithms are not developed from athletic populations -254 meaning that reference values are compared against 'general' cohorts <sup>27</sup>. Therefore, 255 refining algorithms to better reflect the characteristics of athletic populations (both 256 257 male and female) may increase the resolution and accuracy of future research.

In summary, although trivial from an ES perspective, changes in specific indices of 258 259 body composition were observed in competitive female RU players and such responses occurred throughout a domestic season (i.e., increased body mass and 260 BMC). No significant changes were observed across the season in FM, LM and 261 BMD, findings which were supported with trivial ES analyses. Therefore, given the 262 263 limited literature available, further research into the body composition changes (both regional and total), involving longitudinal studies with a larger sample size of 264 intermittent female team sports players within applied settings, are warranted. 265

Additionally, these findings provide insight into position-specific benchmark data and thus also highlight future opportunities for research in this respect.

This novel study provides data from a sample of competitive female RU players 268 competing in the highest playing standard in the United Kingdom. Both body mass 269 and BMC differed across the course of a competitive season. Because of the 270 position-specific demands of RU, body composition changes need to be considered 271 by sports science practitioners and where appropriate, an appropriate intervention 272 implemented. Such considerations can help practitioners maximize performance 273 over the course of a competitive season. Practitioners should therefore consider 274 strength and conditioning and nutritional strategies to optimize changes in body 275 composition for the sake of enhanced performance. 276

# 278 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**:

- The authors would like to thank the participants for their involvement in the study and to the club support staff for their cooperation throughout.
- 281

# 282 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS:**

- The study was designed by CCu and MR; data was collected and analyzed by CCu;
- data interpretation and manuscript preparation were undertaken by CCu, MR, MKR,
- NA and CCo. All authors approved the final version of the paper.
- 286

# 287 **FUNDING DETAILS**:

288 The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

289

# 290 **COMPETING INTERESTS:**

291 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### 293 **REFERENCES**:

- Suarez-Arrones L, Portillo J, Pareja-Blanco F, de Villereal ES, Sanchez Medina L, Munguia-Izquierdo D. Match-play activity profile in elite women's
   rugby union players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2014:28(2): 452–458
- 297
   2. Gabbett TJ. Physiological and anthropometric characteristics of elite women
   rugby league players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2007:21: 875–881
- 299 3. Campbell PG, Peake JM, Minett GM. (2018) The specificity of rugby union
  300 training sessions in preparation for match demands. *Int J Sport* Physiol.
  301 2018:13(4): 496–503
- Pollard BT, Turner AN, Eager R, Cunningham DJ, Cook CJ, Hogben P,
   Kilduff, LP. The ball in play demands of international rugby union. *J Sci Sport Med.* 2018:21(10): 1090–1094
- 305 5. Quarrie KL, Hopkins WG, Anthony MJ, Gill ND. Positional demands of inter 306 national rugby union: evaluation of player actions and movements. *J Sci Sport* 307 *Med.* 2013:16(4): 353–359
- Virr JL, Game A, Bell GJ, Syrotuik D. (2014). Physiological demands of wom en's rugby union: time-motion analysis and heart rate response. *J Sport Sci.* 2014:32(3): 239–247
- 7. Warner ER, Fornetti WC, Jallo JJ, Pivarnik, JM (2004) A skinfold model to
  predict fat-free mass in female athletes. *J Athl Train.* 2004:39(3): 259–262
- 8. Marks P, Van Meel M., Robinson J, Robinson C. Body composition differ ences by assessment methods such as DEXA, hydrostatic, bio-impedance
   and skin fold. *Int J Exerc Sci Conf Proc.* 2015:8(3): 39.
- von Hurst PR, Walsh DCI, Conlon CA, Ingram M, Kruger R, Stonehouse W.
   Validity and reliability of bioelectrical impedance analysis to estimate body fat
   percentage against air displacement plethysmography and dual-energy X-ray
   absorptiometry. *Nutr Diet.* 2016:73(2): 197–204
- 10. Bilsborough JC, Greenway K, Livingstone S, Cordy J, Coutts, A. Changes in
   anthropometry, upper-body strength, and nutrient intake in professional Aus-

- tralian football players during a season. *Int J Sport* Physiol. 2016:11(3): 290–
   300. doi: 10.1123/ijspp. 2014-0447
- 11. Zemski AJ, Keating SE, Broad EM, Marsh DJ, Hind K, Slater GJ. Preseason
   body composition adaptations in elite white and Polynesian rugby union
   athletes. *Int J Sport Nutr Exe. 2019:4: 1-9. doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0059*
- 327 12. Bell W, Evans WD, Cobner DM, Eston RG. Regional placement of bone min aral mass, fat mass, and lean soft tissue mass in young adult rugby union
   players. *Ergonomics*. 2005:48: 1462–1472. doi: 10.1080/00140130500101007
- 13. Tewari N, Awad S, Macdonald IA, Lobo DN. A comparison of three methods
  to assess body composition. *Nutrition*. 2018:47: 1-5. doi:
  10.1016/j.nut.2017.09.005
- 14. Lowry DA, Tomiyama JA. Air displacement plethysmography versus dual energy x-ray absorptiometry in underweight, normal-weight, and over weight/obese individuals. *PLoS ONE*. 2015:10(1):e011508
- 15. Rockamann RA, Dalton EK, Arabas JL, Jorn L, Mayhew JL. Validity of arm-to arm BIA devices compared to DXA for estimating % fat in college men and
   women. *Int J Exerc Sci.* 2017:10(7): 977-988
- 16. Zemski AJ, Broad EM, Slater GJ. Skinfold prediction equations fail to provide
  an accurate estimate of body composition in elite rugby union athletes of
  Caucasian and Polynesian ethnicity. *Int J Sport Nutr Exe*. 2018:28(1): 90-99.
  doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2017-0251
- 17. Erlandson MC, Lorbergs AL, Mathur S, Cheung AM. Muscle analysis using
   pQCT, DXA and MRI. *Eur J Radiol*. 2016:85(8): 1505-1511. doi:
   10.1016/j.ejrad.2016.03.001
- 18. Minett MM, Binkley TB, Weidauer LA, Specker BL. Changes in body composition and bone of female collegiate soccer players through the competitive
  season and off-season. *J Musculoskel Neuron.* 2017: 17(1): 386-398
- 19. Stanforth D, Lu T, Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Crim BN, Stanforth PR. Bone min eral content and density among female NCAA Division I athletes across the

- competitive season and over a multi-year time frame. J Strength Cond Res.
   2016:30(10): 2828 2838. doi: 10.1519/JSC.00000000000785
- 353 20. Stanforth PR, Crim BN, Stanforth D, Stults-Kolehmainen MA. Body composi 354 tion changes among female NCAA division 1 athletes across the competitive
   355 season and over a multiyear time frame. J Strength Cond Res. 2014; 28(2):
   356 300-307.
- 21. Raymond-Pope CJ, Solfest AL, Carbuhn A, Stanforth PR, Oliver JM, Ransone
   JW, Bosch TA, Dengel DR. Total and regional body composition of NCAA Di vision I collegiate basketball athletes. Int J Sports Med. 2020; 41(4): 242-247.
- 22. Czeck MA, Raymond-Pope CJ, Stanforth PR, Carbuhn A, Bosch TA, Bach
   CW, Oliver JM, Dengel DR. Total and regional body composition of NCAA Di vision I collegiate female softball athletes. Int J Sports Med. 2019; 40(7): 645 649.
- 364 23. Jones B, Emmonds S, Hind K., Nicholson G, Rutherford Z., Till K. Physical
   365 qualities of international female rugby league players by playing position. J
   366 Strength Cond Res. 2016:30 (5): 1333-1340
- 367 24. Santos DA, Dawson JA, Matias CN, et al. (2014) Reference values for body
   368 composition and anthropometric measurements in athletes. *PLoS ONE*.
   369 2014:9(5): e97846
- 25. Harty PS, Zabriskie HA, Stecker RA. Position-specific body composition values in female collegiate rugby union athletes. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2019:[Epub ahead of print]
- 26. Nyberg CC, Penpraze V. Determination of anthropometric and physiological
   performance measures in elite Scottish female rugby union players. *Int J Res Ex Phys.* 2016:12(1): 10–16
- 27. Nana A., Slater GJ, Stewart AD, Burke LM. Methodology Review: Using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) for the assessment of body composition in
   athletes and active people. *Int J Sport Nutr Exe*. 2015:25(2): 198–215

- 28. Nana A, Slater GJ, Hopkins WG, Burke LM. Effects of daily activities on DXA
  measurements of body composition in active people. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
  2012:44(1): 180–189. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e318228b60e
- 29. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J. Progressive statistics for
  studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009:
  41(1): 3–13. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818cb278
- 30. Agar-Newman DJ, Goodale TL, Klimstra MD. Anthropometric and physical
   qualities of international level female rugby sevens athletes based on playing
   position. J Strength Cond Res. 2017:31(5): 1346–1352. doi:
   10.1519/JSC.00000000001167
- 389 31. Hene NM, Bassett SH, Andrews BS. Physical fitness profiles of elite women's
   rugby union players. *Afr. J. Phys. Health Edu. Recreat. Dance.* 2011:(Suppl):
   1–8
- 392 32. Johnston RD, Black GM, Harrison PW, Murray NB, Austin DJ. (2018) Applied
  393 sport science of Australian football: A systematic review. *Sports Med*.
  394 2018:48(7): 1673–1694. doi: 10.1007/s40279-018-0919-z
- 33. Quarrie K, Wilson B. Force production in the rugby union scrum. *J Sport Sci.*2000:18(4): 237–246
- 397 34. Green A, Dafkin C, Kerr S, McKinon W. Combined individual scrummaging ki 398 netics and muscular power predict competitive team scrum success. *Eur J* 399 *Sport Sci.* 2017:17(8): 994–1003. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2017.1343387
- 35. Guadalupe-Grau, A., Fuentes, T., Guerra, B., Calbet, J.A. Exercise and bone
  mass in adults. *Sports Med.* 2009:39(6): 439–468
- 36. Frost HM. Skeletal structural adaptations to mechanical usage (SATMU): 1.
  Redefining Wolff's law: the bone modelling problem. *Anat Rec.* 1990:226(4):
  403–413
- 37. Ha AS, Ng JYY. Rope skipping increases bone mineral density at calcanei of
  pubertal girls in Hong Kong: A quasi-experimental investigation. *PLoS ONE*.
  2017:2(12): e0189085. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0189085.

- 38. Jones TW, Smith A, Macnaughton LS, French DN. Strength and Conditioning
  and concurrent training practices in elite rugby union. *J Strength Cond Res.*2016:30(12): 3354 3366
- 39. Demmer DL, Beilin LJ, Hands B, et al. Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry
  compared with anthropometry in relation to cardio-metabolic risk factors in a
  young adult population: Is the "gold standard" tarnished? *PLoS ONE*.
  2016:11(9): e0162164.
- 40. Toombs RJ, Ducher G, Shepherd JA, De Souza MJ. The impact of recent
  technological advances on the trueness and precision of DXA to assess body
  composition. *Obesity*. 201:20(1): 30–39
- 41. Buckinx F, Landi F, Cesari M. et al. Pitfalls in the measurement of muscle
  mass: a need for a reference standard. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*.
  2018:9(2): 269–278
- 42. Hicks CS, McLester CN, Esmat TA, McLester JR. A comparison of body composition across two phases of the menstrual cycle utilizing dual-energy x-ray
  absorptiometry, air displacement plethysmography, and bioelectrical impedance analysis. *Int J Exerc Sci.* 2017:10(8): 1235–1249

### 426 **LEGENDS**:

**Table 1:** Comparisons between pre- and post-season Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry scan measures of body mass (BM), fat mass (FM), lean mass (LM) bone mineral content (BMC) (all kg) and bone mineral density (BMD) (g·cm<sup>-2</sup>) of competitive female rugby union players. \* within-variable difference relative to the pre-season value at p≤0.05 level. Position-specific data is not subject to inferential statistical analyses but is presented for descriptive purposes.

**Table 1:** Comparisons between pre- and post-season Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry scan measures of body mass (BM), fat mass (FM), lean mass (LM) bone mineral content (BMC) (all kg) and bone mineral density (BMD) ( $g \cdot cm^{-2}$ ) of competitive female rugby union players. \* within-variable difference relative to the pre-season value at p≤0.05 level. Position-specific data is not subject to inferential statistical analyses but is presented for descriptive purposes.

Time	Group	DXA Variable				
		BM (kg)	FM (kg)	LM (kg)	BMD (g⋅cm⁻²)	BMC (kg)
Pre-season	All (n=15)	73.7±9.6	20.1±8.3	50.2±3.6	1.30±0.07	3.24±0.40
	Forwards (n=8)	77.2±12.1	23.1±10.1	50.5±4.7	1.32±0.04	3.40±0.30
	Backs (n=7)	69.9±3.0	16.6±3.9	49.7±2.0	1.28±0.08	3.04±0.30
Post-Season	All (n=15)	74.9±10.2*	21.0±8.8	50.7±3.9	1.31±0.06	3.28±0.36*
	Forwards (n=8)	78.9±12.8	24.0±10.8	51.5±4.9	1.33±0.04	3.50±0.40
	Backs (n=7)	70.4±2.9	17.6±4.32	49.7±2.34	1.28±0.08	3.10±0.30