

# **Muscle architecture and morphology as determinants of explosive strength**

MADEN-WILKINSON, Tom <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6191-045X>, BALSHAW, Thomas, MASSEY, Garry and FOLLAND, Jonathan

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/27965/

This document is the Published Version [AM]

## **Citation:**

MADEN-WILKINSON, Tom, BALSHAW, Thomas, MASSEY, Garry and FOLLAND, Jonathan (2021). Muscle architecture and morphology as determinants of explosive strength. European Journal of Applied Physiology, 121, 1099-1110. [Article]

## **Copyright and re-use policy**

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Muscle architecture and morphology as determinants of explosive strength**

**T. M. Maden‑Wilkinson1 · T. G. Balshaw2,3 · G. J. Massey2,3 · J. P. Folland2,[3](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3678-6044)**

Received: 21 February 2020 / Accepted: 12 December 2020 © The Author(s) 2021

### **Abstract**

**Purpose** Neural drive and contractile properties are well-defned physiological determinants of explosive strength, the infuence of muscle architecture and related morphology on explosive strength is poorly understood. The aim of this study was to examine the relationships between *Quadriceps* muscle architecture (pennation angle  $[\Theta_{p}]$  and fascicle length  $[F_{L}]$ ) and size (e.g., volume;  $Q_{\text{VOI}}$ ), as well as patellar tendon moment arm ( $PT_{\text{MA}}$ ) with voluntary and evoked explosive knee extension torque in 53 recreationally active young men.

**Method** Following familiarisation, explosive voluntary torque at 50 ms intervals from torque onset ( $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{100}$ ,  $T_{150}$ ), evoked octet at 50 ms (8 pulses at 300-Hz; evoked  $T_{50}$ ), as well as maximum voluntary torque, were assessed on two occasions with isometric dynamometry. B-mode ultrasound was used to assess  $\Theta_p$  and  $F_L$  at ten sites throughout the quadriceps (2–3 sites) per constituent muscle. Muscle size  $(Q_{VOL})$  and  $PT_{MA}$  were quantified using 1.5 T MRI.

**Result** There were no relationships with absolute early phase explosive voluntary torque ( $\leq$  50 ms), but  $\theta_{\rm p}$  (weak), Q<sub>VOL</sub> (moderate to strong) and PT<sub>MA</sub> (weak) were related to late phase explosive voluntary torque ( $\geq 100$  ms). Regression analysis revealed only Q<sub>VOL</sub> was an independent variable contributing to the variance in T<sub>100</sub> (34%) and T<sub>150</sub> (54%). Evoked T<sub>50</sub> was also related to  $Q_{VOL}$  and  $\theta_P$ . When explosive strength was expressed relative to MVT there were no relationships observed. **Conclusion** It is likely that the weak associations of  $\theta_p$  and  $PT_{MA}$  with late phase explosive voluntary torque was via their association with  $MVT/Q_{VOL}$  rather than as a direct determinant.

**Keywords** Explosive strength · Magnetic resonance imaging · Quadriceps · Muscle architecture

#### **Abbreviations**



Communicated by Olivier Seynnes.

 $\boxtimes$  J. P. Folland j.p.folland@lboro.ac.uk

- <sup>1</sup> Physical Activity, Wellness and Public Health Research Group, Department of Sport and Physical Activity, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK
- <sup>2</sup> Versus Arthritis Centre for Sport, Exercise and Osteoarthritis Research, Loughborough University, Leicestershire, UK
- <sup>3</sup> School of Sport, Exercise, and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Leicestershire, UK

# **Introduction**

Explosive strength can be defned as the ability to increase force or torque as quickly as possible during a rapid voluntary contraction from a low or resting level (Maffiuletti et al. [2016](#page-12-0)). There has been a growing appreciation of the functional signifcance of explosive strength, particularly in situations where the time to generate torque is limited; for instance in sprinting and jumping (Weyand et al. [2010](#page-12-1); Tillin et al. [2013b\)](#page-12-2) and during injury-related situations (e.g., anterior cruciate ligament tears within  $\leq 50$  ms after landing; (Krosshaug et al. [2007](#page-12-3); Koga et al. [2010](#page-11-0))). In addition

Mean Quadriceps Pennation Angle Rate of Torque Development

Torque at 50 ms Torque at 100 ms Torque at 150 ms explosive strength appears to be important for balance, including responding to perturbations and the avoidance of falls in older adults (Izquierdo et al. [1999](#page-11-1); Pijnappels et al. [2008;](#page-12-4) Bento et al. [2010](#page-11-2); Behan et al. [2018\)](#page-11-3), and has been related to subjective and objective measures of function in musculoskeletal patients (e.g., osteoarthritis; Maffiuletti et al. [2010](#page-12-5); Winters and Rudolph [2014;](#page-12-6) Hsieh et al. [2015\)](#page-11-4)). Explosive strength, particularly during the early phase of contraction (0–50 ms) is highly variable between individuals (Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5)) which may have implications for all of these functional situations. Moreover, the functional importance of explosive strength highlights the need to understand its physiological determinants.

Research has shown the importance of rapid neuromuscular activation for explosive strength, particularly during the early phase of contraction (i.e., strong positive correlations in the frst 50 ms, (de Ruiter et al. [2006,](#page-11-6) [2007;](#page-11-7) Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5); DelVecchio et al. [2019\)](#page-11-8)), but the intrinsic contractile properties have also been found to increasingly contribute to the explained variance throughout the rising force–time curve. Specifcally: evoked twitch force explained up to 40% of the variance in early phase explosive strength (0–50 ms; (Andersen and Aagaard [2006](#page-11-9); Folland et al. [2014\)](#page-11-5); evoked octet force (a train of eight supramaximal pulses at 300 Hz, which drives the muscle at its maximum possible rate of force development [RFD]) accounted for 68% of the variance during the fastest phase of voluntary force develop-ment (RFD 50–100 ms; (Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5))); and maximum strength explained 52–90% of the variance in late phase explosive strength ( $\geq 100$  ms (Andersen and Aagaard [2006](#page-11-9); Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5))). However, these measures of contractile function (evoked twitch and octet, and maximum strength) represent the summation of various musculoskeletal factors, including muscle size, architecture (fascicle length  $[F_L]$ , pennation angle  $[\theta_{\rm p}]$ ) and moment arm, which therefore precludes conclusions about the importance of these specifc individual factors for explosive strength.

Surprisingly, the potential relationships between explosive strength and muscle architecture variables ( $F<sub>L</sub>$  and  $\theta<sub>P</sub>$ ) are relatively unexplored. There are some theoretical possibilities for how muscle architecture could infuence explosive strength. First, a greater  $\theta_P$  has been associated with more fascicle rotation (increase  $\theta_{\rm P}$ ) during contraction, and thus greater 'gearing' of muscle-shortening velocity to fascicle velocity that constrains fascicle shortening velocity thereby permitting greater force production (4, 16). As fbre rotations occur predominantly at low force (4)  $\theta_{\rm p}$  might be expected to infuence early phase rapid force development. Second,  $F<sub>L</sub>$  is considered reflective of muscle fibre length (Lieber and Friden [2000\)](#page-12-7) and thus serial sarcomere number; that largely dictates the muscle's maximal shortening velocity (Bodine et al. [1982;](#page-11-10) Wickiewicz [1984](#page-12-8); Lieber and Friden [2000](#page-12-7)). Under isometric conditions a muscle with longer fascicles and thus a higher shortening velocity may be expected to develop torque more quickly by taking up the inherent compliance present within the in-series force transmitting structures (Edman and Josephson [2007](#page-11-11)). Despite these theoretical possibilities and other speculations in the literature (Abe et al. [2000;](#page-11-12) Kumagai et al. [2000;](#page-12-9) Blazevich et al. [2009a;](#page-11-13) Bazyler et al. [2017](#page-11-14); Wagle et al. [2017\)](#page-12-10) direct experimental evidence examining the association of muscle architecture and explosive strength is lacking. Somewhat related evidence concerns the positive relationship of  $\theta_{\rm P}$ and maximum isometric strength  $(r=0.47-0.68)$  (Wakahara et al. [2013;](#page-12-11) Strasser et al. [2013](#page-12-12); Ando et al. [2015](#page-11-15)), Given the positive association between maximum strength and late phase explosive strength (Andersen and Aagaard [2006;](#page-11-9) Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5)) this might infer a relationship between  $\theta_{\rm p}$  and late phase explosive strength. However, the relationship of muscle architecture and explosive strength remains unknown.

The strong relationship between maximum strength and late phase explosive strength (Andersen and Aagaard [2006](#page-11-9); Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5)) suggests the determinants of maximum strength may also be related to late phase explosive strength. Muscle size (measured either via volume, anatomical cross-sectional area [ACSA] or physiological crosssectional area [PCSA]) strongly predicts maximum strength (50–90% explained variance: (Bamman et al. [2000](#page-11-16); Fukunaga et al. [2001](#page-11-17); Blazevich et al. [2009b](#page-11-18)). Accordingly, we have previously found muscle volume to be related to late phase explosive strength (elbow fexor volume & force at 150 ms *r*=0.69, (Erskine et al. [2014\)](#page-11-19); knee fexor volume and time to 90 Nm of torque (*r*=− 0.53, (Evangelidis et al. [2017](#page-11-20))). However, the comparative importance of the diferent measures of muscle size for explosive strength has not been explored.

A larger joint moment arm about which muscle forces are applied would be expected to provide a mechanical advantage, transferring given muscle forces into a greater joint torque. To this effect, the Achilles tendon moment arm (*r*=0.56; (Baxter and Piazza [2014](#page-11-21))) and patellar tendon moment arm (*r*=0.40; Blazevich et al. [2009b](#page-11-18); Tresize et al. [2016\)](#page-12-13)) have been related to the isometric strength of the respective muscle groups. However, the relationship of moment arm with explosive strength has yet to be investigated.

Overall, while research has delineated neural and integrated contractile determinants of voluntary explosive strength (Andersen and Aagaard [2006](#page-11-9); Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5)), the infuence of specifc musculoskeletal factors, especially muscle architecture remains to be elucidated. The upper bound for any infuence of muscle architecture on voluntary explosive strength might be revealed by the relationship of architecture with the purely contractile capacity for rapid force development i.e. evoked explosive strength, that bypasses the voluntary nervous system and drives the muscle at its maximal possible rate of force development (24, 40).

The aim of this study was to assess the relationships between musculoskeletal factors (Quadriceps architecture  $[F_L$  and  $\theta_P$ ], size [volume<sub>,</sub> ACSA, PCSA] and patellar tendon moment arm) and voluntary and evoked explosive strength of the knee extensors. We hypothesised that  $\theta_{\rm p}$  would be positively associated with voluntary explosive strength throughout the rising torque-time curve, and that  $F<sub>L</sub>$  would be related to early phase explosive strength.

## **Materials and methods**

### **Participants and ethical approval**

Fifty-three young men (Age:  $25 \pm 2$  years, Height:  $1.75 \pm 0.08$  m, Body Mass:  $71 \pm 10$  kg) who were healthy, free from musculoskeletal injury, and recreationally active  $(2160 \pm 1309)$  metabolic equivalent (MET) minutes per week, International Physical Activity Questionnaire short format (Craig et al. [2003\)](#page-11-22), but not involved in any form of systematic physical training in the prior 18 months, were included in this study. The experimental testing procedures were explained to each participant and all participants provided written informed consent before their involvement in this study, which was approved by the Loughborough University Ethical advisory committee and conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

## **Experimental design**

Participants completed a familiarisation session, involving practice of all the voluntary contractions performed during subsequent measurement sessions and habituation with evoked (electrically stimulated) contractions, followed by two duplicate neuromuscular measurement sessions separated by 7–10 days. This was done to improve measurement accuracy and minimise the efects of measurement error/ noise. Measurement sessions involved a series of unilateral isometric contractions of the knee extensors of the dominant (preferred kicking) leg in the following order: maximum voluntary contractions (MVCs); explosive voluntary contractions; evoked twitch contractions; and evoked octet contractions (second measurement session only). Voluntary and evoked explosive torque measurements were determined from explosive voluntary and evoked octet contractions, respectively. Maximum voluntary torque (MVT) was determined from MVCs. Neuromuscular measurement sessions were performed at a consistent time of the day for each individual participant, and all sessions started between 12:00–19:00 h. Participants were instructed not to participate in strenuous physical activity or consume alcohol for 36 h, and refrain from cafeine consumption for 6 h, before measurement sessions. On a separate occasion, musculoskeletal imaging measurements (2D ultrasonography and MRI) of the quadriceps femoris muscle group were collected. Ultrasonography images were captured at multiple locations along the length of each of the four constituent muscles of the quadriceps femoris (2–3 locations per muscle for a total of ten architecture measurement sites) to provide a comprehensive assessment of fascicle length and pennation angle. Magnetic resonance T1-weighted axial plane images of the thigh and sagittal plane images of the knee joint were acquired to measure muscle size  $(Q<sub>VOL</sub>$ , ACSA and PCSA) and patellar tendon moment arm  $(PT_{MA})$ , respectively. The analysis focused on whole quadriceps measures of size and architecture as the functional outcomes (i.e., maximum and explosive strength), depend on the synergistic and combined actions of the four constituent muscles, and therefore it would seem logical to relate function, which is by nature a combined measure, with overall/combined (averaged) measures of the muscle characteristics.

#### **Torque measurement**

Participants were positioned in an isometric knee extension dynamometer with knee and hip angles of 115° and 126°  $(180^\circ = \text{full extension})$ , respectively. Previous work from our lab has demonstrated that this knee joint angle is optimal for maximal and voluntary explosive torque production (Lanza et al. [2019](#page-12-14)). Adjustable straps were tightly fastened across the pelvis and shoulders to prevent extraneous movement. An ankle strap (35 mm width reinforced canvas webbing) was placed ~ 15% of tibial length (distance from lateral malleolus to knee joint space) above the medial malleolus and positioned perpendicular and posterior to the tibia and in series with a calibrated S-Beam strain gauge (Force Logic, Berkshire, UK).The analogue force signal was amplifed (× 370; A50 amplifer, Force Logic UK) and sampled at 2000 Hz using an A/D converter (Micro 1401; CED, Cambridge, UK) and recorded with Spike 2 computer software (CED). In ofine analysis, force signals were low-pass fltered at 500 Hz using a fourth order zero-lag Butterworth filter (i.e., minimal filtering to facilitate manual determina-tion of explosive contraction onset (Maffiuletti et al. [2016](#page-12-0))), gravity corrected by subtracting baseline force, and multiplied by lever length, the distance from the knee joint space to the centre of the ankle strap, to calculate torque.

#### **Knee extension maximum voluntary contractions**

Following a brief warm-up (3 s contractions of  $3 \times 50$ ,  $3\times75$  and  $1\times90\%$  of perceived maximum, with ~20 s rest between each), participants performed 3–4 MVCs and were instructed to 'push as hard as possible' (knee extension) and rest≥30 s. A horizontal cursor indicating the greatest torque obtained within the session was displayed for biofeedback and verbal encouragement was provided during all MVCs. The highest instantaneous torque recorded during any MVC was defned as MVT.

#### **Explosive voluntary contractions**

Participants performed a series of ten explosive voluntary contractions each separated by 15 s. Participants were instructed to extend their knee 'as fast and as hard as possible'; with the emphasis on 'fast', for 1 s from a relaxed state upon hearing an auditory signal. Contractions involving a visible countermovement or pre-tension were discarded and another attempt made. To indicate if a countermovement or pre-tension had occurred, resting torque was displayed on a sensitive scale. During each explosive contraction participants were required to exceed 80% MVT, which was depicted by an on-screen marker. To provide performance feedback, the time taken to reach 80% MVT was shown after each contraction and the slope of the rising torque-time curve (10 ms time constant) was displayed throughout these contractions with the peak slope of their best attempt indicated with an on-screen cursor. The three best explosive contractions (highest torque at 100 ms and no discernible countermovement or pre-tension, change in baseline force  $< 0.34$  Nm (Equivalent to 1 N accounting for mean lever length) in the preceding 300 ms) were analysed in detail. Contraction onset, during both voluntary explosive and octet contractions, was defned as the last trough before the torque signal permanently defected away from the envelope of the baseline noise; identifed via manual inspection using a systematic standard method by the same trained investigator, in accordance with previously published methods (Tillin et al. [2010\)](#page-12-15). Manual onset detection is considered to provide greater accuracy and reliability than an automatic approach (Tillin et al. [2013a\)](#page-12-16). Briefy, the torque signal was initially viewed with y and x-axis scales of 0.68 Nm and 300 ms, respectively and a vertical cursor placed on torque onset. Accurate placement of the cursor was verifed by viewing the signal with a higher resolution. Absolute voluntary explosive torque (averaged across the three best contractions) was quantifed at 50 ms intervals from onset to 150 ms (voluntary  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{100}$  and  $T_{150}$ ), and also then expressed relative to MVT (%MVT; relative  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{100}$  and  $T_{150}$ ). Finally, absolute and relative rate of torque development) between sequential time points  $(0-50 \text{ ms } [RTD_{0-50}],$ 50–100 ms [RTD<sub>50–100</sub>], and 100–150 ms [RTD<sub>100–150</sub>] were calculated as the  $\Delta$ Torque/ $\Delta$ Time (absolute) or  $\Delta$ %MVT/ ΔTime (relative).

#### **Evoked octet contractions**

The femoral nerve was electrically stimulated (constant current, variable voltage stimulator; DS7AH, Digitimer Ltd., UK) with square-wave pulses (0.2 ms duration) to elicit involuntary contractions of the knee extensors whilst the participant was voluntarily passive. Electrical stimuli were applied via a cathode probe (1 cm diameter; Electro Medical Supplies, Wantage, UK) protruding 2 cm perpendicular from the center of a plastic base  $(4 \times 5 \text{ cm})$ . The cathode and an anode (carbon rubber electrode,  $7 \times 10$  cm; Electro Medical Supplies, Wantage, UK) were coated with electrode gel and securely taped to the skin over the femoral nerve in the femoral triangle and the greater trochanter, respectively. Twitch contractions (delivery of a single electrical impulse) were conducted frst to determine the stimulation intensity for octet contractions. The precise location of the cathode was determined as the position that evoked the greatest twitch response to a submaximal electrical current. Twitch contractions were then elicited at incremental currents (~15 s apart) until a simultaneous plateau in peak twitch torque was observed. Thereafter, the electrical current was lowered, and octet stimulation (8 pulses at 300 Hz) was delivered in stepwise increments until the stimulation intensity that elicited twitch force plateau (defned as the maximal stimulation intensity/ current) was reached. Realtime inspection of octet peak torque and peak rate of torque development (10 ms epoch) confrmed a plateau in both variables with incremental stimulation. Subsequently, three supramaximal (120% maximal current) octet contractions were elicited. Absolute octet torque was quantifed 50 ms after onset (evoked  $T_{50}$ ) and then expressed relative to MVT (%MVT; relative evoked  $T_{50}$ ). Values recorded from each of the three supra-maximal octet contractions were averaged.

#### **Muscle architecture**

Muscle architecture of all four quadriceps femoris constituent muscles (VM, VL, VI, and RF) was examined in detail using B-mode ultrasonography (EUB-8500, Hitachi Medical Systems UK Ltd, Northamptonshire, UK) and a 9.2 cm, 5–10 MHz linear-array transducer (EUP-L53L). The participant sat at rest in the same isometric knee extension dynamometer and at the same knee and hip angles as used for strength assessments. Images were captured at rest at 2–3 sites per constituent muscle for a total of ten architecture measurements from each quadriceps. Specifc sites were over the mid muscle belly (median longitudinal line, i.e., 50% of superfcial medio-lateral width) at the following percentages of thigh length proximal to the knee joint space: VM 20% (VM<sub>DIS</sub>) and 40%(VM<sub>PRX</sub>), VI and VL 25% (VI<sub>DIS</sub>,  $VL<sub>DIS</sub>$ ), 50% (VI<sub>MID</sub>, VL<sub>MID</sub>) and 75% (VI<sub>PRX</sub>, VL<sub>PRX</sub>), RF 55% ( $RF_{\text{MID}}$ ) and 75%( $RF_{\text{PRX}}$ ) (*Fig. [1](#page-5-0)*), The transducer



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Representative ultrasound images and representation of the architectural assessment sites for **a** Vastus Lateralis (VL) and Intermedius (VI) (50% thigh length; VL<sub>MID</sub>, VI<sub>MID</sub>); **b** Rectus Femoris (RF<sub>MID</sub>) (55% thigh length) and **c** Vastus Medialis (VM) (40% thigh length: VM<sub>PRX</sub>). The dotted red lines show linear extrapolation for the measurement of fascicle length

(coated with water soluble transmission gel) was positioned parallel to the long axis of the thigh (femur), and perpendicular to the skin such that an image with the aponeuroses and the perimysium trajectory of several fascicles was clearly identifable with no visible fascicle distortion at the edge of the image, and with minimal pressure applied on the dermal surface. Video output from the ultrasound machine was transferred to a computer (via an S-video to USB converter) and images recorded using ez-cap video capture software. Images were later imported into public domain software (Image J, v1.48, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA) for analysis.

Muscle architecture measures were quantifed as previ-ously described (Narici [1999\)](#page-12-17). Briefly, pennation angle  $(\Theta_{p})$ was measured as the angle of insertion of the muscle fbre fascicles into the deep aponeurosis. Muscle Fascicle length was measured as the length of the fascicular path between the insertions into the superficial and deep aponeurosis, where the fascicular path extended beyond the acquired image the missing portion of the fascicle was estimated by extrapolating linearly the fascicular path and the aponeurosis (Narici et al. [2003](#page-12-18)). Quadriceps Architecture measurements  $(\Theta_{\rm p}$  and F<sub>L</sub>) taken at multiple sites within each individual muscle were averaged to give a representative value for each muscle, prior to calculating weighted mean quadriceps values ( $Q\Theta_p$  and  $QF_L$ ) according to proportion of  $Q_{VOL}$  of each individual muscle volume.

## **MRI measurement of quadriceps muscle size and patella tendon moment arm**

Participants reported to the MRI scanner (1.5 T Signa HDxt, GE) having not engaged in strenuous activity in the prior 36 h and were instructed to arrive in a relaxed state having eaten and drunk normally and sat quietly for 15 min prior to their MRI scans. T1-weighted MR images of the dominant leg (thigh and knee) were acquired in the supine position at a knee angle of 163° (due to constraints in knee coil size) and analysed using OsiriX software (Version 6.0, Pixmeo, Geneva, Switzerland). Using a receiver 8-channel whole body coil, axial images (image matrix  $512\times512$ , field of view  $260 \times 260$  mm, pixel size  $0.508 \times 0.508$  mm, slice thickness 5 mm, inter-slice gap 0 mm) were acquired from the anterior superior iliac spine to the knee joint space in two overlapping blocks. Oil flled capsules placed on the lateral side of the thigh allowed alignment of the blocks during analysis. The quadriceps femoris muscles (vastus lateralis (VL), vastus Intermedius (VI) vastus Medialis (VM), and rectus femoris (RF)) were manually outlined in every third image (i.e., every 15 mm) starting from the most proximal image in which the muscle appeared (Fig. [2a](#page-6-0)) to assess ACSA along the length of the femur by two investigators. The volume of each muscle was calculated using cubic spline interpolation of the ACSA—femur length plot (GraphPad Prism 6; GraphPad Software) and the sum of these termed total quadriceps volume  $(Q<sub>VOL</sub>)$ . Effective PCSA was the criterion measure of PCSA and calculated for each muscle as muscle volume divided by mean  $F<sub>L</sub>$  to give PCSA, and then multiplied by the cosine of the mean  $\Theta_{\rm p}$  (see architecture measurements above for  $F_L$  and  $\Theta_P$ ) for Effective PCSA.  $Q_{VOL}$  and Effective PCSA ( $Q_{EFF}$ PCSA) were

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Representative **a** Axial MR image of the thigh and **b** Sagittal MR image of the knee joint. Patellar tendon moment arm was defned as the perpendicular distance between the tendon line of action and the tibio-femoral contact point (TFCP)



calculated as the summation of the four individual muscle volumes/Effective PCSAs. QACSA<sub>MAX</sub> was calculated by the summation of the maximal ACSA from each individual muscle. Inter- and intra-rater reliability for  $Q_{VOT}$  calculated from the repeated analysis of fve MRI scans was 1.2 and 0.4%, respectively.

Sagittal plane T1-weighted magnetic resonance (1.5 T Signa HDxt; GE) images (2 mm thickness, 0 mm gap) images were used to determine patellar tendon moment arm  $(PT_{MA})$  length. PT<sub>MA</sub> was measured from sagittal plane as the perpendicular distance from the PT line of action to the tibiofemoral contact point, which was the midpoint of the contact distance between the tibia and femur (Fig. [2b](#page-6-0)).

#### **Data analysis and statistics**

MVT and explosive strength (voluntary and evoked) measurements were averaged over the two testing sessions and these "criterion" values were used in all further analyses. Differences between the individual constituent muscles were assessed with ANOVA and post hoc Bonferroni corrected t-test to determine where any diferences lay. The relationships between musculoskeletal variables  $(Q_{VOL}$ ,  $QACSA_{MAX}$ ,  $Q_{EFF}PCSA$ , Moment arm,  $F_L$  and  $\Theta_p$ ) and explosive strength measurements (voluntary and evoked torque, expressed in both absolute terms and relative to MVT) were frst assessed with independent Pearson's product moment correlations. Pearson's product moment correlation *P* values were corrected for multiple tests using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg [1995\)](#page-11-23) with a false detection rate of 5%, and signifcance was defined as adjusted  $p < 0.05$ . Correlation coefficients were considered 'very weak' (*r*≤0.30), 'weak' (*r*=0.30–0.50), 'moderate' (*r*=0.50–0.70) and 'strong' (*r*=0.7–0.9) (Moore et al. [2013](#page-12-19)). In cases where more than one predictor variable showed a corrected signifcant correlation with the outcome a multiple regression analysis was performed, with only the signifcant predictors entered into the model. Descriptive statistics are mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD). Variability between subjects for all measures is expressed as coefficient of variation  $(CV_b;$  [Cohort SD/Cohort mean] $\times 100$ ).

## **Results**

#### **Inter‑individual variability**

The between participant variability in voluntary explosive torque was greatest in the early phase of contraction for both absolute (CVb 45.6%, range 11–94 Nm) and relative (CVb 42.2%, range  $4-31.3\%$ MVT) T<sub>50</sub> but decreased as the contractions progressed (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)). During the octet contractions, CVb of evoked  $T_{50}$  was substantially smaller 13% (absolute) and 12% (relative to MVT). There was more modest variability in muscle size indices  $(Q_{VOL}, QACSA_{MAX}$  and  $Q_{\text{EFF}}$ PCSA CVb 11.2–14.4%) and architecture variables (CVb:  $Q\Theta_{\rm p}$  10.7%;  $QF_{\rm L}$  9.6%) and low variability for  $PT_{\rm MA}$ (CVb  $6.7\%$ ; Table [1\)](#page-7-1). Maximum voluntary torque was  $247 \pm 43$  Nm (range 173–396 Nm; CVb 17%). There were differences between the size (volume,  $ACSA_{MAX}$ ,  $_{EFF}PCSA$ ), and architecture ( $\Theta_{\rm p}$  and  $\rm F_{\rm L}$ ) measurements between constituent muscles (Table [2\)](#page-7-2).

#### **Determinants of voluntary explosive torque**

Early phase voluntary explosive torque (i.e.,  $T_{50}$ ) was unrelated to any measure of quadriceps muscle architecture, size or moment arm. For  $QF<sub>L</sub>$  there were no relationships with any explosive strength measures expressed in either absolute or relative terms (*r*≤0.282; *p*≥0.056; Table [3](#page-8-0)).

However,  $Q\Theta_{\rm p}$  was weakly related to late phase explosive torque (T<sub>100</sub> and T<sub>150</sub>;  $r = 0.336 - 0.356$ ,  $P < 0.005$ ; Fig. [4](#page-8-1)a) and RTD<sub>50–100</sub> ( $r = 0.294$ ,  $p = 0.04$ ), but not RTD<sub>100–150</sub>  $(r=0.185, p=0.208)$ . When expressed relative to MVT, there were no relationships between any phase of explosive

<span id="page-7-0"></span>



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Table 1** Descriptive statistics for muscle (size and architecture) and joint (moment arm) morphology (*n*=53)



\*indicates corrected  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*indicates corrected  $p < 0.01$ 

*QVOL* quadriceps muscle volume, *QACSAmax* quadriceps maximum anatomical cross-sectional area,  $Q_{EFF}$ PCSA quadriceps effective physiological cross-sectional area, *QFL* quadriceps weighted fascicle length (mm) and  $Q\Theta_p$  Pennation Angle (°), *PTMA* patella tendon moment arm

torque / sequential RTD and mean  $Q\Theta_{\rm p}$  ( $r \le 0.155$ ;  $p \ge 0.39$ ) (Fig. [4b](#page-8-1)).

There were moderate relationships between muscle size measures ( $Q_{VOL}$ ,  $QACSA_{MAX}$  and  $Q_{EFF}PCSA$ ) and absolute explosive torque, during the later phase of explosive contractions  $(r=0.432-0.690,$  All  $p < 0.007$ ; Fig. [4](#page-8-1)c), in all cases being stronger for  $T_{150}$  than  $T_{100}$ . Middle and late phase sequential RTD (i.e.,  $RTD_{50-100}$  and  $RTD_{100-150}$ ) expressed in absolute terms were also moderately related to muscle size variables ( $r = 0.539 - 0.644$ , All  $p < 0.001$ ). However, when torque was calculated relative to MVT there were no relationships observed between muscle size and any measure of explosive torque/sequential RTD (*r*≤0.136; *p*≥0.30; Table [3](#page-8-0)*;* Fig. [4d](#page-8-1)).

PT<sub>MA</sub> was weakly related to absolute  $T_{150}$  only ( $r=0.31$ ,  $p=0.026$ ), but once explosive strength was expressed relative to MVT no relationships were observed (*r*≤0.104; *p*≥0.402; Table [3\)](#page-8-0).

#### **Determinants of evoked explosive (octet) torque**

Evoked T<sub>50</sub> was very weakly related to  $Q\Theta_{\rm P}$  ( $r = 0.295$ ,  $p=0.04$ ), but not QF<sub>L</sub>. All three indices of muscle size were moderate-strongly related to absolute evoked  $T_{50}$  (Q<sub>VOL</sub>, QACSA<sub>MAX</sub> and Q<sub>EFF</sub>PCSA;  $r = 0.641 - 0.781$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; Table [4\)](#page-9-0) and these relationships were stronger than the relationships with any voluntary measures of explosive strength irrespective of time point/phase. Evoked explosive torque was also unrelated to moment arm. When expressed relative to MVT, there were no relationships between evoked explosive torque and any musculoskeletal variables.

<span id="page-7-2"></span>



Significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) are denoted by <sup>a</sup>different from VM, <sup>b</sup>different from VI, <sup>c</sup>different from VL and <sup>d</sup>different from RF. Data are mean  $\pm$  std

*ACSA<sub>MAX</sub>* maximum anatomical cross-sectional area, *EFFPCSA* effective physiological cross-sectional area,  $F_L$  fascicle length (mm) and  $\Theta_P$  Pennation Angle (°)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 3** Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient (*r*-values) between musculoskeletal variables (muscle size and architecture, and moment arm) and explosive strength measures, specifcally absolute and relative (to MVT) torque and sequential rate of torque development (RTD,  $Nm.s^{-1}$  and %MVT.s<sup>-1</sup>) of specific time periods, during explosive voluntary isometric contractions of the knee extensors (*n*=53)



\*indicates corrected  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*indicates corrected  $p < 0.01$ 

 $Q_{VOL}$  quadriceps muscle volume, *QACSA<sub>MAX</sub>* quadriceps maximum anatomical cross-sectional area,  $Q_{EFF}$ *PCSA* quadriceps effective physiological cross-sectional area, *QFL* quadriceps weighted fascicle length, *QΘP* quadriceps weighted pennation angle, *PTMA* patella tendon moment arm, *RTD* rate of torque development

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Relationship between  $Q\Theta_{\rm p}$  (°) (**a** & **b**) and QACSAMAX (**c** & **d**) and Absolute and Relative (%MVT) torque developed at 150 ms from torque onset during explosive voluntary contractions of the knee extensors  $(n=53)$ 



## **Musculoskeletal contribution to voluntary and evoked (octet) torque**

musculoskeletal variables, revealed that there only one variable,  $Q_{VOL}$ , contributed to the explained variance in voluntary T<sub>100</sub> (34%) and T<sub>150</sub> (54%), as well as evoked T<sub>50</sub> (63%).

Multiple regression analysis for the combined infuence of

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Table 4 Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient (*r*-values) between musculoskeletal variables (muscle size and architecture, and moment arm) and involuntary explosive strength measures, specifically absolute and relative (to MVT) torque at 50 ms  $(T_{50})$  during evoked octet isometric contractions of the knee extensors (*n*=53)



\*indicates corrected  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*indicates corrected  $p < 0.01$ 

*QVOL* quadriceps muscle volume, *QACSAmax* quadriceps maximum anatomical cross-sectional area,  $Q_{EFF} PCSA$  quadriceps effective physiological cross-sectional area,  $QF<sub>L</sub>$  quadriceps weighted fascicle length,  $Qθ$ <sub>*p*</sub> quadriceps weighted pennation angle, *PTMA* patella tendon moment arm

## **Discussion**

This study assessed the relationships between in vivo muscle and joint morphology and explosive knee extension strength (voluntary and evoked torque) in young men, observing positive relationships between  $\theta_{\rm p}$  (weak), muscle size (moderate to strong) and moment arm (weak) with absolute voluntary late phase explosive strength, but no associations of  $F<sub>L</sub>$  and any measures of explosive strength. Furthermore, as there were no relationships between  $\theta_{\rm p}$ , or any musculoskeletal factors, and relative expressions of explosive strength (i.e., once MVT was accounted for), these fndings suggest that any infuence of these musculoskeletal factors on explosive strength is via maximum strength rather than a direct and independent effect on explosive strength per se. As expected, muscle size measures had a more pronounced relationship with evoked than voluntary explosive strength, but surprisingly  $F_L$  and moment arm were unrelated to evoked explosive strength, and although  $\theta_{\rm P}$  was weakly associated with evoked explosive strength, this association was no greater than with voluntary explosive strength.

In a similar manner to our previous investigation (Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5)), the current study found explosive strength measurements during the early phase of contraction were highly variable between individuals in this cohort of healthy young men (absolute  $T_{50}$ , CVb 46%; relative  $T_{50}$ , CVb 42%), but this variability progressively decreased as the contraction progressed. The important consequences of explosive strength for the performance of tasks where the time available to generate contractile torque is limited (e.g., sprinting (Tillin et al. [2013b\)](#page-12-2), responding to perturbations of balance (Behan et al. [2018\)](#page-11-3)) would appear to highlight the need to understand this variability in explosive strength. The current study involved comprehensive assessment of muscle architecture at ten sites throughout the quadriceps muscle, and deliberately used a long probe to minimize the amount of extrapolation required for  $F<sub>L</sub>$  measurements. Our data for in vivo quadriceps muscle architecture are in general agreement with the range of values typically observed in similar cohorts (Blazevich et al. [2007;](#page-11-24) Ema et al. [2013](#page-11-25); Strasser et al. [2013](#page-12-12)). However, our  $F_L$  measurements were longer than in some previous studies (Blazevich et al. [2006](#page-11-26); Franchi et al. [2014](#page-11-27)), likely due to their muscle architecture measurements being done at a more extended knee joint angles and could also be due to the current study making more comprehensive measurements (ten sites) with minimal extrapolation. This comprehensive assessment in a large population of heterogeneous healthy young men revealed a surprisingly modest between individual variability in muscle architecture measurements ( $\Theta_{\rm P}$ , CVb 11%; F<sub>L</sub>, CVb 10%), which may have limited the scope for identifying a relationship of these variables with explosive strength. For example, the standard deviation for  $\Theta_{\rm P}$  and  $F_{\rm L}$  averaged throughout the quadriceps were  $<$  2 $^{\circ}$  and  $<$  10 mm, respectively. Whilst other cohorts are known to have more distinct muscle architecture values (e.g., older adults or trained individuals) these cohorts exhibit multifactorial physiological diferences (e.g., neural activation, muscle size, architecture and function) that preclude combining cohorts to isolate the relationship between muscle architecture and explosive strength.

In the current investigation there were no relationships between any of the musculoskeletal variables and early phase voluntary explosive strength measures (i.e., absolute or relative  $T_{50}$ ). This was contrary to our hypotheses that early phase explosive strength would be positively related to: (i)  $\Theta_{\rm P}$ , due to the larger fascicle rotation of pennate fascicles, particularly at low forces (Brainerd and Azizi [2005](#page-11-28)); and (ii)  $F_L$ , due to more sarcomeres in series and thus increased fascicle shortening velocity. These fndings indicate that any effects of muscle architecture are insufficient to significantly effect in-vivo early phase explosive strength in a large cohort of young men. This appears a novel fnding as to the authors' knowledge no previous studies have examined the direct relationship of  $\Theta_{\rm P}$  and  $F_{\rm L}$  with explosive strength. Contrary to our  $F<sub>L</sub>$  findings, one study postulated a negative relationship between the changes in a surrogate measure of  $F<sub>L</sub>$  (moment–angle relationship) and explosive strength after resistance training (Blazevich et al.  $2009a$ ), but as  $F<sub>L</sub>$  was not directly measured in that study the value of this fnding is unclear. Previous research has highlighted the importance of neural drive for early phase (i.e., 0–50 ms after contraction onset) isometric explosive strength expression (Folland et al. [2014;](#page-11-5) DelVecchio et al. [2019\)](#page-11-8) and the predominant infuence of neural drive may dwarf the role of any musculoskeletal variables. Early phase explosive strength has also been associated with the contractile response to an evoked twitch (Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5)), but this may refect calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum in response to a single action potential rather than muscle morphology per se.

In the later phases of explosive torque production (i.e., absolute  $T_{100}$  and  $T_{150}$ ), the present study observed relationships with  $\Theta_{\rm p}$  ( $r$  = 0.336–0.356), all indices of muscle size  $(r = 0.432 - 0.690)$  and joint moment arm  $(r = 0.306)$ , however no relationships were observed with  $F<sub>L</sub>$ . The correlations between muscle size indices and mid/late phase explosive strength was similar to our previous fndings in other muscle groups (elbow fexor volume & force at 150 ms  $r=0.69$ , (Erskine et al. [2014](#page-11-19)); knee flexor volume and time to 90 Nm of torque *r*=− 0.53, (Evangelidis et al. [2017\)](#page-11-20)). Furthermore, the relationship of muscle size indices with mid/late phase explosive strength is consistent with the known increasing infuence of MVT on explosive torque production as the contraction progresses (Andersen and Aagaard [2006;](#page-11-9) Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5)), that was corroborated by the current experiment (T<sub>50</sub>,  $r = 0.327$ ; T<sub>100</sub>,  $r = 0.502$ ;  $T_{150}$   $r = 0.86$ ).

Multiple regression analysis of the combined musculoskeletal variables revealed that  $Q_{VOL}$  was the only independent determinant of late phase explosive strength. Furthermore, when voluntary explosive torque was expressed relative to MVT, it was unrelated to any of the musculoskeletal variables ( $r \leq$ <0.155). Taken together the regression analysis and relative explosive strength data indicate that  $\Theta_{\rm p}$  and moment arm may simply co-vary with  $Q_{\rm VOI}$ , and thus also MVT, rather than being independent predictors of explosive strength.

Evoked octet contractions bypass the voluntary nervous system to reveal the muscle–tendon unit's capacity for explosive torque production and highlight the infuence of musculoskeletal characteristics (de Ruiter et al. [2004](#page-11-29); Folland et al. [2014](#page-11-5)). Muscle size indices ( $r = 0.641 - 0.781$ ) and  $\Theta_{\rm p}$  $(r=0.295)$  were found to be related to evoked  $T_{50}$ . However, when evoked torque was expressed relative to MVT there were no longer any relationships. Therefore, these musculoskeletal variables did not have an independent infuence on evoked explosive strength when MVT was accounted for. We have previously observed similar fndings when examining the relationship of explosive strength and muscle tendon unit stifness (Massey et al. [2017](#page-12-20)). It therefore appears that the muscle and tendon characteristics are unlikely to play an important role in explosive torque independent of maximal torque production.

There are a number of limitations to the present study, muscle architecture measures were conducted at rest, and therefore any changes in  $\Theta_{\rm P}$  or  $F_{\rm L}$  with contraction

were unaccounted for. However, our muscle architecture measures were taken at the same knee joint angle used for the functional (strength) measurements to remove this common discrepancy between resting and functional joint angles. It was thought that a greater resting fascicle length in a standardised joint position (the optimum knee joint angle for torque production) would refect more sarcomere in series. However, this resting fascicle length may not precisely refect a standardised optimum sarcomere length compared to contraction (due to elongation of the series elastic component and concomitant shortening of the muscle fascicles during contraction), as well as variability in optimum sarcomere lengths between quadriceps muscles and participants, making it difficult to infer the number of sarcomeres in series from measurements of resting length as done in the present study. The resting architecture measurements in the current study would seem to be most closely related to the early phase (0–50 ms) of contraction when torque production was relatively low. Despite this, we found  $\Theta_{\rm p}$  to be related to late phase explosive strength (100 and 150 ms), which might suggest the possibility of a stronger correlation if architectural measurements were made at moderate to high torques.

The long (92 mm) probe used in this study minimised the requirement for extrapolation, and thus errors, within the  $F<sub>L</sub>$  measurements (Franchi et al. [2018](#page-11-30)). There are inherent assumptions when using 2D B-Mode ultrasonography that the 2D image represents the architecture of a complex 3D structure. Future use of more advanced imaging techniques such as difusion tensor imaging (DTI) to quantify 3D architecture may facilitate a more comprehensive evaluation of the importance of muscle architecture for explosive strength and overall muscle function.

In conclusion, musculoskeletal variables showed no relationship with absolute early phase explosive voluntary torque ( $\leq$  50 ms), but  $\theta_{\rm p}$  (weak), muscle size (moderate to strong) and moment arm (weak) were related to late phase explosive voluntary torque ( $\geq 100$  ms). The surprisingly consistent muscle architecture values in this cohort, whilst refective of a heterogenous population of healthy young men, may have limited the scope for architecture to be related to explosive strength. Explosive strength expressed relative to MVT (i.e., once MVT was accounted for) was unrelated to any musculoskeletal variables. Therefore, it seems likely that these morphological factors are related to late phase absolute explosive voluntary torque via MVT, rather than as independent determinants.

**Acknowledgements** Part of this study was supported by a grant (reference 20194) awarded to Prof. Folland from the Arthritis Research UK Centre for Sport, Exercise and Osteoarthritis.

**Author contributions** TMW, TGB, GJM and JPF conceived and designed research. TMW, TGB, GJM and JPF conducted experiments. TMW, TGB, GJM and JPF analysed data. TMW and TGB wrote the manuscript. All authors read, edited and approved the manuscript.

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no confict of interest to declare.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## **References**

- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Abe T, Kumagai K, Brechue W (2000) Fascicle length of leg muscles is greater in sprinters than distance runners. Med Sci Sport Exerc 32:1125–1129
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Andersen L, Aagaard P (2006) Infuence of maximal muscle strength and intrinsic muscle contractile properties on contractile rate of force development. Eur J Appl Physiol 96:46–52
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Ando R, Saito A, Umemura Y, Akima H (2015) Local architecture of the vastus intermedius is a better predictor of knee extension force than that of the other quadriceps femoris muscle heads. Clin Physiol Funct Imag 35:376–382
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Bamman MM, Newcomer BR, Larson-Meyer DE et al (2000) Evaluation of the strength-size relationship in vivo using various muscle size indices. Med Sci Sport Exerc 32:1307–1313. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200007000-00019) [org/10.1097/00005768-200007000-00019](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200007000-00019)
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Baxter JR, Piazza SJ (2014) Plantarfexor moment arm and muscle volume predict torque-generating capacity in young men. J Appl Physiol 116:538–544
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Bazyler C, Mizuguchi S, Harrison AP et al (2017) Changes in muscle architecture, explosive ability, and track and feld throwing performance throughout a competitive season and after a taper. J Strength Cond Res 31:2785–2793
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Behan F, Pain M, Folland J (2018) Explosive voluntary torque is related to whole-body response to unexpected perturbations. J Biomech 81:86–92
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc B 57:289–300
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Bento P, Pereira G, Ugrinowitsch C, Rodacki A (2010) Peak torque and rate of torque development in elderly with and without fall history. Clin Biomech 25:450–454
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>Blazevich AJ, Gill ND, Zhou S (2006) Intra- and intermuscular variation in human quadriceps femoris architecture assessed in vivo. J Anat 209:289–310. [https://doi.org/10.111](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7580.2006.00619.x) [1/j.1469-7580.2006.00619.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7580.2006.00619.x)
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>Blazevich A, Cannavan D, Coleman D, Horne S (2007) Infuence of concentric and eccentric resistance training on architectural adaptation in human quadriceps muscles. J Appl Physiol 103:1565–1575
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Blazevich AJ, Cannavan D, Horne S et al (2009a) Changes in muscle force-length properties afect the early rise of force in vivo. Muscle Nerve 39:512–520.<https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.21259>
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Blazevich AJ, Coleman DR, Horne S, Cannavan D (2009b) Anatomical predictors of maximum isometric and concentric knee extensor moment. Eur J Appl Physiol 105:869–878. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-008-0972-7) [org/10.1007/s00421-008-0972-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-008-0972-7)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Bodine S, Roy R, Meadows D et al (1982) Architectural, histochemical, and contractile characteristics of a unique biarticular muscle: the cat semitendinosus. J Neurophysiol 48:192–201
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>Brainerd E, Azizi E (2005) Muscle fber angle, segment bulging and architectural gear ratio in segmented musculature. J Exp Biol 208:3249–3261
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>Craig C, Marshall A, Sjöström M et al (2003) International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sport Exerc 35:1381–1395
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>de Ruiter C, Kooistra RD, Paalman M, de Haan A (2004) Initial phase of maximal voluntary and electrically stimulated knee extension torque development at diferent knee angles. J Appl Physiol 97:1693–1701
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>de Ruiter C, Van Leeuwen D, Heijblom A et al (2006) Fast unilateral isometric knee extension torque development and bilateral jump height. Med Sci Sport Exerc 38:1843–1852
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>de Ruiter C, Vermeulen G, Toussaint H, de Haan A (2007) Isometric knee-extensor torque development and jump height in volleyball players. Med Sci Sport Exerc 39:1336–1346
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>DelVecchio A, Negro F, Holobar A et al (2019) You are as fast as your motor neurons: speed of recruitment and maximal discharge of motor neurons determine the maximal rate of force development in humans. J Physiol 597:2445–2456
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Edman K, Josephson R (2007) Determinants of force rise time during isometric contraction of frog muscle fbres. J Physiol 580:1007–1019
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>Ema R, Wakahara T, Miyamoto N et al (2013) Inhomogeneous architectural changes of the quadriceps femoris induced by resistance training. Eur J Appl Physiol 113:2691–2703
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Erskine R, Fletcher G, Folland J (2014) The contribution of muscle hypertrophy to strength changes following resistance training. Eur J Appl Physiol 114:1239–1249
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Evangelidis P, Massey G, Ferguson R et al (2017) The functional signifcance of hamstrings composition: is it really a "fast" muscle group? Scand J Med Sci Sport 27:1181–1189
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Folland JP, Buckthorpe MW, Hannah R (2014) Human capacity for explosive force production: neural and contractile determinants. Scand J Med Sci Sport 24:894–906. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12131) [sms.12131](https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12131)
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>Franchi M, Atherton PJ, Reeves ND et al (2014) Architectural, functional and molecular responses to concentric and eccentric loading in human skeletal muscle. Acta Physiol 210:642–654
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>Franchi MV, Raiteri BJ, Longo S et al (2018) Muscle architecture assessment: strengths, shortcomings and new frontiers of in vivo imaging techniques. Ultrasound Med Biol 44:2492–2504. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.07.010) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.07.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.07.010)
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Fukunaga T, Miyatani M, Tachi M et al (2001) Muscle volume is a major determinant of joint torque in humans. Acta Physiol Scand 172:249–255
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Hsieh C, Indelicato P, Moser M et al (2015) Speed, not magnitude, of knee extensor torque production is associated with self-reported knee function early after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee Surg Sport Traumatol Arthrosc 23:3214–3220
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Izquierdo M, Aquado X, Gonzalez R et al (1999) Maximal and explosive force production capacity and balance performance in men of diferent ages. Eur J Appl Physiol Occu Physiol 79:260–267
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Koga H, Nakamae A, Shima Y et al (2010) Mechanisms for noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries: knee joint kinematics in

10 injury situations from female team handball and basketball. Am J Sport Med 38:2218–2225

- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Krosshaug T, Nakamae A, Boden B et al (2007) Mechanisms of anterior cruciate ligament injury in basketball: video analysis of 39 cases. Am J Sport Med 35:359–367
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Kumagai K, Abe T, Brechue W et al (2000) Sprint performance is related to muscle fascicle length in male 100-m sprinters. J Appl Physiol 88:811–816
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Lanza M, Balshaw T, Folland J (2019) Explosive strength: efect of knee-joint angle on functional, neural, and intrinsic contractile properties. Eur J Appl Physiol 119:1735–1746
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Lieber R, Friden J (2000) Functional and clinical signifcance of skeletal muscle architecture. Muscle Nerve 23:1647–1666
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Maffiuletti N, Bizzini M, Widler K, Munzinger U (2010) Asymmetry in quadriceps rate of force development as a functional outcome measure in TKA. Clin Orthop Relat Res 468:191–198
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Mafuletti NA, Aagaard P, Blazevich AJ et al (2016) Rate of force development: physiological and methodological considerations. Eur J Appl Physiol 116:1091–1116. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s0042](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-016-3346-6) [1-016-3346-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-016-3346-6)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Massey GJ, Balshaw TG, Maden-Wilkinson TM et al (2017) The infuence of patellar tendon and muscle–tendon unit stifness on quadriceps explosive strength in man. Exp Physiol. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1113/EP086190) [org/10.1113/EP086190](https://doi.org/10.1113/EP086190)
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Moore D, Notz W, Flinger M (2013) The basic practice of statistics, 6th edn. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Narici M (1999) Human skeletal muscle architecture studied in vivo by non-invasive imaging techniques: functional signifcance and applications. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 9:97–103
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Narici M, Maganaris CN, Reeves N, Capodaglio P (2003) Effect of aging on human muscle architecture. J Appl Physiol 95:2229–2234
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Pijnappels M, van der Burg PJCE, Reeves ND, van Dieën JH (2008) Identifcation of elderly fallers by muscle strength measures. Eur J Appl Physiol 102:585–592. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s0042](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-007-0613-6) [1-007-0613-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-007-0613-6)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Strasser EM, Draskovits T, Praschak M et al (2013) Association between ultrasound measurements of muscle thickness, pennation

angle, echogenicity and skeletal muscle strength in the elderly. Age 35:2377–2388

- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Tillin N, Jimenez-Reyes P, Pain M, Folland J (2010) Neuromuscular performance of explosive power athletes versus untrained individuals. Med Sci Sport Exerc 42:781–790
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Tillin N, Pain M, Folland J (2013a) Identifcation of contraction onset during explosive contractions. Response to Thompson et al. Consistency of rapid muscle force characteristics: infuence of muscle contraction onset detection methodology. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 23:991–994
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Tillin N, Pain MTG, Folland J (2013b) Explosive force production during isometric squats correlated with athletic performance in rugby union players. J Sport Sci 31:66–76
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Tresize J, Collier N, Blazevich A (2016) Anatomical and neuromuscular variables strongly predict maximum knee extension torque in healthy men. Eur J Appl Physiol 116:1159–1177
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Wagle J, Carroll K, Cunanan A et al (2017) Comparison of the relationship between lying and standing ultrasonography measures of muscle morphology with isometric and dynamic force production capabilities. Sports 5:88.<https://doi.org/10.3390/sports5040088>
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Wakahara T, Kanehisa H, Kawakami Y et al (2013) Relationship between muscle architecture and joint performance during concentric contractions in humans. J Appl Biomech 29:405–412
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Weyand P, Sandell R, Prime D, Bundle M (2010) The biological limits to running speed are imposed from the ground up. J Appl Physiol 108:950–961
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Wickiewicz T (1984) Muscle architecture and force-velocity relationships in humans. J Appl Physiol Respir Env Exerc Physiol 57:435–443
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Winters J, Rudolph K (2014) Quadriceps rate of force development afects gait and function in people with knee osteoarthritis. Eur J Appl Physiol 114:273–284

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.