

**When does lexical availability influence phonology?
Evidence from Jargon reading and repetition.**

PILKINGTON, Emma, SAGE, Karen <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7365-5177>>, SADDY, Douglas and ROBSON, Holly

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/25266/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

PILKINGTON, Emma, SAGE, Karen, SADDY, Douglas and ROBSON, Holly (2019). When does lexical availability influence phonology? Evidence from Jargon reading and repetition. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

Appendix 3

Number of correct, nonword and other responses types observed on tasks of single word repetition and reading for each participant.

		Repetition										Reading											
		Participant code																					
Response type	Lexical availability	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J		
Correct	Low	43	-	2	3	0	17	3	0	0	0	57	27	-	6	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	High	51	-	9	26	3	22	11	0	0	0	58	39	-	20	2	2	0	1	1	0		
Nonword	Low	8	-	35	51	53	37	45	56	60	58	3	27	-	44	44	51	56	59	60	59		
	High	4	-	25	27	27	23	43	59	52	54	1	17	-	29	37	54	60	49	53	59		
Formal	Low	6	-	5	4	2	4	8	1	0	0	0	6	-	4	11	2	1	1	0	0		
	High	5	-	5	4	8	11	5	1	2	1	1	4	-	7	14	1	0	5	3	0		
Unrelated	Low	2	-	10	1	5	1	3	3	0	1	0	0	-	4	5	7	3	0	0	1		
	High	0	-	6	3	21	1	0	0	6	4	0	0	-	3	7	3	0	4	3	1		
Semantic	Low	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	High	0	-	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mixed	Low	1	-	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Non- response	High	0	-	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Low	0	-	8	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	High	0	-	15	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: hyphen indicates missing data.