

Prevalence of interactions and influence of performance constraints on kick outcomes across Australian Football tiers: Implications for representative practice designs

BROWNE, Peter R., SWEETING, Alice J., DAVIDS, Keith http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1398-6123 and ROBERTSON, Sam

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

http://shura.shu.ac.uk/24859/

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

BROWNE, Peter R., SWEETING, Alice J., DAVIDS, Keith and ROBERTSON, Sam (2019). Prevalence of interactions and influence of performance constraints on kick outcomes across Australian Football tiers: Implications for representative practice designs. Human Movement Science.

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

- Prevalence of interactions and influence of performance
- 2 constraints on kick outcomes across Australian Football tiers:
- Implications for representative practice designs 3 4 Peter R Browne^{a,b}, Alice J Sweeting^{a,b}, Keith Davids^c, Sam Robertson^{a,b} 5 6 7 ^a Institute for Health and Sport (IHES), Victoria University, Footscray, Victoria, Australia 8 Ballarat Road, Footscray, Victoria, Australia, 3011 9 ^b Western Bulldogs, Footscray, Australia 10 PO BOX 4112 DC, Footscray West, Victoria, Australia, 3012 11 ^c Centre for Sports Engineering Research, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK 12 Howard Street, Sheffield, S1 1WB 13 14 15 16 **Corresponding author:** 17 Peter Browne - Institute for Health and Sport (IHES), Victoria University, Footscray, Australia 18 Tel: +61 436 009 480 19 Email: peter.browne2@live.vu.edu.au 20 21

Abstract

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

performance analysis, skill acquisition, kicking

Introduction: Representative learning design is a key feature of the theory of ecological dynamics, conceptualising how task constraints can be manipulated in training designs to help athletes selfregulate during their interactions with information-rich performance environments. Implementation of analytical methodologies can support representative designs of practice environments by practitioners recording how interacting constraints influence events, that emerge under performance conditions. To determine key task constraints on kicking skill performance, the extent to which interactions of constraints differ in prevalence and influence on kicking skills was investigated across competition tiers in Australian Football (AF). **Method:** A data sample of kicks (n = 29,153) was collected during junior, state-level and national league matches. Key task constraints were recorded for each kick, with performance outcome recorded as effective or ineffective. Rules were based on frequency and strength of associations between constraints and kick outcomes, generated using the *Apriori* algorithm. Results: Univariate analysis revealed that low kicking effectiveness was associated with physical pressure (37%), whereas high efficiency emerged when kicking to an open target (70%). Betweencompetition comparisons showed differences in constraint interactions through seven unique rules and differences in confidence levels in shared rules. **Discussion:** Results showed how understanding of key constraints interactions, and prevalence during competitive performance, can be used to inform representative learning designs in athlete training programmes. Findings can be used to specify how the competitive performance environment differs between competition tiers, supporting the specification of information in training designs, representative of different performance levels. Key words: representative learning design, machine learning, Apriori algorithm, practice task design,

Introduction

Representative learning design (RLD) is a key concept in the theoretical framework of ecological dynamics that advocates the manipulation of task constraints in training. This approach to training and practice in sport can shape continuous individual-environmental interactions to facilitate the emergence of functional (relevant) decision-making and actions of athletes under competitive performance conditions in sport [1-3]. Implementing RLD in training seeks to provide faithful practice simulations of competitive environments to enhance performance [2]. When preparing athletes for performance, the implementation of representative training designs requires a detailed, evidence-based understanding of how key task constraints interact to influence behaviours [4]. The level of task design fidelity can be informed through recorded data on the prevalence and interaction of constraints in a competitive performance environment [5, 6]. It has been argued that analysis and comprehension of the nature of constraints in performance settings is a key role for coaches in practice design [7].

Currently, events and outcomes are captured in statistical analysis of team sports performance. This typically occurs through player trajectory analysis and frequency count data recording performance variables including kicks, tackles and fouls, without accounting for the context in which they emerge [8]. Determining the influential constraints within competitive performance, with respect to their impact on key performance outcomes, would provide an evidence-based approach to practice designs, harnessing the power of performance analysis and evaluations [5, 9]. When constraints are used for this purpose, they tend to be viewed in a univariate manner, with respect to match context. For example, score margin and kick location [10, 11], playing at home or away [12], or dynamic game conditions [13] are used to discern various aspects of performance. However, it has been proposed that multiple constraints *interact* to influence (a) team sports performer(s) concomitantly during skilled activities [14]. Thus, a constraints-led perspective on performance analysis can facilitate the creation of a more effective and efficient representative design in practice. This is due to highlighting the importance of the greater team sports performance system and how it is a combination of interacting sub-systems [6]. By evaluating a performance outcome with respect to

interacting constraints, the context surrounding competitive performance can be considered, providing an objective, evidence-based assessment of performance.

A recent study illustrated a methodology to identify the most commonly occurring constraint interactions experienced in field kicking in the AFL, through utilising a machine learning algorithm.[5] The higher the number of constraints in a model, the greater the associated level of understanding of performance outcomes [5, 6, 15]. However, the feasibility of including all constraints and contextual variables in a performance analysis model is often low in an applied practice setting, given the exponential number of interactions which may exist between key performance variables [5]. The application of machine learning may identify *meaningful interactions* of constraints in competition which may then be reproduced in representative designs of practice. Critically, this process is not feasible through human observation or the application of traditional linear statistical techniques due to limitations of both [5].

Australian Football (AF) is an invasion-style sport played on an oval with 22 players per side, 18 on the field and 4 on the interchange [16]. Due to the large playing area and number of players involved, an understanding of key constraints which shape scoring opportunities is crucial. Kicking is an important action in AF, as it constitutes the predominant form of strategic ball movement and the sole manner in which a goal can be scored. On average, each player executes a kick every ten minutes within an AFL match [17].

Further, AF is a dynamic sport at all skill levels, with an unpredictable nature due to a large number of varying factors that impinge on performance [18]. The completion of a successful kick is a resultant of multiple attributes of the game and the immediate constraints that emerge on the kick, such as opposition pressure, team mates' availability and the current status of the ball carrier him/herself [18]. Despite this key performance feature, little is known about the interaction of key task constraints placed on these kicks and how these differ across competition tiers. Information on significant performance constraints at different levels of structured competition would facilitate the implementation of task constraints to improve kicking performance in training and games [19].

Key performance differences have been described between elite, sub-elite and underage athletes across a number of sports. Running distances and high intensity movements differ by age and are greater in elite, compared to high-level female soccer players [20, 21]. Within volleyball, performance indicators, physical and physiological outputs differ between elite and sub-elite athletes [22]. Yet, no research has been conducted on how constraint interactions can differ on performances

between competition tiers. It is possible that constraints interactions may change as a function of competition tier. Whilst the data reported by Robertson, Spencer [5] describe constraints interactions within the senior AFL competition, the same manipulations may not provide a RLD for practice in other tiers of AF competition (e.g., junior and club levels). An understanding of the demands of specific competitive performance environments is vital to produce representative designs which align with specific levels of competition.

This study aimed to ascertain where there are differences in the influence and prevalence of constraints which exist between competitive performance at: (i) U18 years of age (U18) competitions, (ii) senior state leagues, and (iii), the professional AF League. Further, it attempts to evaluate how the efficacy of exploring effects of numerous interacting constraints can provide a more inclusive measure of constraint influence on field kicking, compared to uni- and bi-variate approaches.

Methodology

Data were collected across underage, sub-elite and elite Australian Football competitions from the 2016, 2017 and 2018 seasons (Table 1). Approval to conduct the study was obtained by the University Human Research Ethics Committee. A code window was developed in SportsCode (10.3.14, Hudl, Lincoln, Nebraska, United States of America) to record six constraints on field kicking performance, represented as a binary 'effective' or 'ineffective' kick using video footage. A kick was determined to be 'effective' or 'ineffective' based on a range of potentially impinging factors such as kick intent, kick position, number of defenders located near the kicker and kick distance as defined by Champion Data the official statistics provider of the Australian Football League.

This was subject to human interpretation. These constraints are shown in Figure 1. For example, pressure was coded as a four-level constraint, based on the action and direction of the opposing defender. These were: closing, chasing, physical or no pressure. The constraints categories and levels implemented in this study were based on Champion Data's codes where possible, otherwise they were based upon previous research by Back [23], Robertson, Spencer [5], Ireland, Dawson [24], as well as consultations with two experienced coaches from a professional AFL team.

A total of 29,153 kicks were coded.

134

**** INSERT TABLE 1 HERE ****

137 ****INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE ****

Descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals, CIs) relating to kick effectiveness were calculated and reported for each individual constraint type. Descriptive statistics relating to kick effectiveness, shaped by pairs of interacting constraint types, time in possession-distance and time in possession-pressure, were obtained.

To determine both the prevalence and influence of constraint interactions on kick outcomes, a rule induction approach was utilised. Rule induction is a branch of machine learning, which is capable of identifying underlying and frequent patterns between variables in a large transactional database [5, 25]. Specifically, the 'Arules' package [26] was used to run the Apriori algorithm. The model was set to only produce rules which incorporated five categories of constraint and contained the performance outcome (effective or ineffective) as the resultant. As identified, a benefit of association rules is the ability to find patterns which are typically less identifiable through observation by the human eye [27]. A minimum support value of 0.0005 was selected for both models in order to generate a minimum of five rules which met the set criteria.

Data were grouped based on level of competition by U18 (kicks n = 16,963), State level leagues (kicks n = 3,185) and the AFL (kicks n = 9,005), as outlined in Table 1. Models were then built for each competition tier using the same criteria outlined above. To compare the rules generated between tiers, the number of unique and duplicated rules were compared alongside their variation in confidence levels [28].

Results

The average match kicking effectiveness value, regardless of which constraints were present, was 54%. The overall mean effectiveness values for each level of the six constraints are shown in Figure 2. Kicking to an open target resulted in an effective kick 70% of the time, while kicking under

physical pressure resulted in the lowest (37%) of kicking effectiveness. Time in possession of 0 to 2 seconds demonstrated a level of 50% effectiveness, whilst time in possession for between 4 to 6 seconds was effective 64% of the time. Possession source, or how the ball was gained, had a clear influence on kick effectiveness with three levels of constraint, ground ball, handball received and stoppage, all representing unstructured and general play, falling below average effectiveness and two types of possession source above average. In contrast, the two constraint levels above average kick effectiveness, sourcing the ball from either a mark or free kick, both represent set plays.

**** INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE ****

As an example of bivariate constraint interaction, how time in possession can interact with pressure is displayed in Figure 3. Kick effectiveness is altered by the relationship between pressure and time in possession. A kick under physical pressure from an immediate opponent ranges in effectiveness from 37% to 71%, depending on the level of time afforded to the performer. Under frontal pressure, this varies from 43% to 56%, based on the time in possession. The relationship between kick distance and time also shows a range, with differences between kicks <40 metres long displaying increased effectiveness with longer time in possession: for 4 to 6 secs or > 6 secs. Kicks over 40 metres have increased effectiveness with shorter time in possession: 0 to 2 secs and 2 to 4 secs (Figure 3).

**** INSERT FIGURE 3 HERE ****

The rule induction approach resulted in 22 rules, which influenced kick effectiveness, with confidence results ranging from 43% to 87%. Fifteen rules had an influence on kick ineffectiveness, with confidence results ranging from 13% to 85%. Only the top five rules for an effective and ineffective kick were analysed (see Figure 4).

190 **** INSERT FIGURE 4 HERE ****

A comparison between U18, state leagues and the national competition athletes was conducted, with the 10 strongest rules based on confidence for each tier outlined in Figure 5.

**** INSERT FIGURE 5 HERE ****

**** INSERT FIGURE 6 HERE ****

Discussion

This study demonstrated how constraint interactions influenced kicking performance, across three performance tiers of AF competitions. Further, the importance of accounting for constraint interaction, as constraints interacted with one another which altered performance outcomes. In research, the interaction of constraints on field kicking has only been examined at the professional tier [5]. However, results from the AFL competition only are not representative of other performance tiers. Results demonstrated differences between performance tiers which may enable more specific representative designs in athlete preparation and development, to inform training practices and player evaluation at different performance levels.

Analysis of task constraints in a univariate manner can be misleading, as constraints exist concomitantly and are continually impacting on each other [29]. This study demonstrated the large influence that an individual constraint can have on kick effectiveness. This is illustrated by the considerable difference between the highest and lowest kicking effectiveness between kicking to an open player, who is under no immediate pressure from the opposition (70%), or kicking under physical pressure (37%). The bivariate analysis (see Figure 3) demonstrated how the addition of even a single constraint can influence performance outcome to a great extent. Further, Figure 3b demonstrates that a constraint such as *time* has a 'sweet-spot', meaning that having ball possession for a short or long period of time may not necessarily be advantageous for a performer. Maintaining possession for between 2 to 4 or 4 to 6 secs for kicks under or over 40 metres respectively, may result

in the emergence of a higher percentage of effective kicks. However, the addition of further task constraints, which further simulate performance conditions, may offer greater insights into how constraints interactions influence performance.

As identified, the inclusion of additional constraints offers a unique story to the isolated univariate and bivariate approaches. Incorporating conditional constraints interactions in test design could improve the level of task representativeness [30]. To illustrate the need to account for constraint interaction the ranking of 0 to 2 secs for time in possession will be used. The univariate analysis showed 0 to 2 secs results in an average effectiveness of 50% on kicking performance, only 4% below average. Without the rule induction approach, in which time in possession of 0 to 2 secs is present in the five ineffective kick rules, the potential importance of this constraint may have been overlooked. Figure 6 demonstrates how the tallying of additional constraints exhibits that, as more constraint variables are added in performance modelling, a more comprehensive insight into the influence of constraint interactions can be gained. This finding illustrates how comparing an athlete's performance to average kick effectiveness does not provide a fair comparison on which to judge an individual's performance.

Understanding the nature of competitive performance constraints could also support an objective consideration of player evaluation and assessment. Representative performance tests would enable coaches to objectively view kick difficulty and support a fairer assessment of player performance output through the incorporation of context in task design [31]. For instance, if a player had three kicks during a game and only one was effective, their kicking efficiency would be rated at 33% and well below average, without any context provided. However, coaches need to consider the constraints placed on the individual kicks to ascertain whether all three kicks resulted from winning the ball from a stoppage, with the performer being under pressure and in possession of the ball for less than two secs, whilst making a short kick. Under these performance constraints an average value of expected kicking effectiveness would be 14.6% (Figure 4), offering a very different perspective on player performance.

Constraints interaction was measured with the rule induction approach which included five constraints, advancing the specification of rules in the study by Robertson, Spencer [5], who included

only three constraints. Despite these small methodological differences, the findings align with data observed within the elite AF competition level [5]. Confidence levels in effective kicks in both studies are within the 80-90% range and suggest that players perform better when kicking over shorter distances to an open target (e.g., an unmarked teammate or space on-field). Similar to findings reported by Robertson, Spencer [5], the top five rules for effective kicks, are conducted under no pressure, from a kick < 40 metres and a majority from either a mark or a free kick. Within AF competitions, a mark and free kick are the only circumstances where possession can be taken without physical pressure being applied by the opposition. Conversely, for ineffective kicks, similar rules had a greater range in their confidence levels, ranging from 15% to 39% compared to the range of 38 to 45% in Robertson, Spencer [5]. This study revealed that the most common circumstances whereby an ineffective kick emerged was from possession sources related to open play situations. This observation combined with the short time in possession for ineffective kicks, could lead to speculation that players potentially do not have the skillset to gather or receive the ball under severe time constraints to kick effectively to a covered target (e.g., marked teammate or space). The differences between the findings of this study and other investigations of performance in AF may be due to a range of factors such as skill level, decision-making abilities, age and experience of the participant sample studied [32-34].

Understanding differences between tiers is crucial for creating a training design which is representative of the tier. Analysis of performance between tiers resulted in seven unique rules, four rules shared between two tiers and five rules found across all tiers (Figure 5). Of all ten AFL rules identified by our methods, seven were found to be operative in either the state leagues or the U18 tier. Two of the three unique rules found in the AFL, included a kick target of a covered or leading player, which was found in only four rules produced by all three tiers. Kicking to a covered or leading target could be a more difficult kick to execute and, thus, it is somewhat unsurprising that they are found in two rules unique to the elite AFL competition. Between the U18 and state leagues tiers, greater variation exists in the nature of the seven shared rules. The state leagues were ranked more highly in four rules based on levels of confidence (Figure 5). Three rules contained constraints which come from an open play style of possession source (i.e., handball receive or groundball). Although

conjecture, often in match conditions, these possession types have more pressure as they take place in dynamic, open play situations. The present findings are similar to those reported in other sports, where athletes from higher performance levels display improved skill performance outcomes compared to lower tiers [22]. The ability to cope in these situations may be due to individual factors, including the age, learning, development and greater practice and performance experiences of these more skilled individuals [35]. Incorporating individual constraints may also aid in understanding differences and development between sub-elite and elite players.

Understanding how athletes maintain their skill level under competitive performance conditions, and how this differs across performance tiers is essential knowledge for sports practitioners seeking to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of training designs and transfer between practice and competition [2, 5, 11]. Accounting for different performance tiers facilitates the adoption of targeted and representative training designs for athlete preparation, aligned with their developmental status, as opposed to attempting to use generic training designs which may be more suitable for athletes in other competitive performance tiers. As demonstrated in Figure 5 and as observed in differences with data reported by Robertson, Spencer [5], the importance for accounting for influence of performance tier is vital to designing representative training environments. Differences in skilled performance exist at different tiers, potentially due to the changing prevalence and interaction of constraints. Thus, data obtained on performance from one tier cannot be transferred to the design of practice tasks for athletes in another competitive level due to specificity and representativeness of training designs. This observation emphasises the importance of understanding the specific athlete-environment interactions that occur in competitive performance conditions to develop a representative training designs [2].

A rule based approach may provide an objective tool to help quantify the level of representativeness within a practice task design which can complement existing subjective approaches, which rely on experiential knowledge of elite sport practitioners [5, 11, 36]. This could improve the effectiveness and efficiency of designing training tasks which replicate competition environments, allowing them to target specific strengths and weaknesses within training, based on competition tier [2, 5]. This information could be used by coaches in multiple ways. First, they could seek to incorporate a constraints-led approach into their training design to create more challenging

and realistic practice task designs where athletes are faced with these competition-environment constraints [2]. Alternatively, this type of design may afford opportunities for performers to experience a strategic effect on decision-making processes.

Given the increasing availability of larger datasets there is scope for future research to develop both team and individual-specific performance models to facilitate specificity of training designs. The power of these models could be enhanced by adding further constraints and contextual variables, such as such as physical output, field location and score margin of kicks to improve the predicted outcomes of skilled actions, and the representativeness of training designs [32, 37]. Feasibility of incorporating a large number of contextual variables and constraints into performance analysis can be limited due to challenges of interpreting large volumes of data in a time effective manner [38]. Large datasets can impose some feasibility issues around data management. In the current study 5,060 (17%) kicks were missing a measurement for at least one of the seven constraints. Further, differences in sample sizes of kicks collected at each performance tier meant that some rules found in the smaller dataset had the potential to be more prevalent due to a bias from the competitive games analysed. Additionally, due to the manual treatment of discrete constraints, some constraints contained just two levels (i.e., kick distance) and others five (i.e., possession source), a potential for bias in rule frequencies exists due to the number of options within a specific constraint. Future research could use a continuous scale or fuzzy approaches to help account for this potential bias [39]. Automated capture of data through deep learning and computer vision may aid in reducing time required and alleviate issues around manual data collection and interpretation [5, 38].

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

Conclusion

This study compared the variations in constraint interactions upon kicking action outcomes in AF across three different performance tiers. When effects of constraints are viewed in isolation, or pairs, they can offer some insight into what a player is experiencing in specific performance contexts. However, when all (or many) constraints are considered, a more complete picture can be provided. Rule induction provides a method capable of determining high frequency events and their outcomes. Findings from this analytics approach in research can be used to assess kicking performance of

players, providing greater performance context to aid interpretation by practitioners. This information may then be used for player selection and recruitment purposes. The methodologies presented are not limited to kicking constraints, as sport specific constraints can be used to gain further understanding of performance conditions across a range of team sports. This analytics methodology may better inform and objectively define key events competitive performance which can be simulated in training, and make using a RLD framework more effective and efficient. Whilst there are specificities in differences between rules of AF and other team sports, the current findings cannot be transferred to other sports. However, the analytic methods presented here can be. Understanding how the interaction of constraints differs across performance tiers is vital to creating a representative design specific for player assessment and practice task composition for specific competitive performance tiers.

Declarations of interest: none

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

344 References

- Davids, K., C. Button, and S. Bennet, *Dynamics of skill acquisition: a constraints-led approach*. 2008: Human Kinetics.
- Pinder, R., et al., *Representative learning design and functionality of research and practice in sport.* Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 2011. **33**(1): p. 146-155.
- 3. Mccosker, C., et al., How performance analysis of elite long jumping can inform representative training design through identification of key constraints on competitive behaviours. European journal of sport science, 2019: p. 1-9.
- 352 4. Renshaw, I., et al., *The Constraints-Led Approach: Principles for Sports Coaching and*353 *Practice Design*. 1st ed. Routledge Studies in COnstraints-Based Methodologies in
 354 Sport. 2019, London: Routledge.
- 355 5. Robertson, S., et al., A rule induction framework for the determination of representative learning design in skilled performance. Journal of Sport Science, 2019.
- Davids, K., et al., Movement models from sports provide representative task constraints for studying adaptive behavior in human movement systems. Adaptive behavior, 2006. **14**(1): p. 73-95.
- Araújo, D., et al., Emergence of sport skills under constraints, in Skill acquisition in sport: Research, theory and practice, A.M. Williams and N.J. Hodges, Editors. 2004, Routledge. p. 409.
- 363 8. Gudmundsson, J. and M. Horton, *Spatio-Temporal Analysis of Team Sports A Survey.* arXiv 1602.06994, 2016.
- Farrow, D. and S. Robertson, *Development of a skill acquisition periodisation* framework for high-performance sport. Sports Medicine, 2017. **47**(6): p. 1043-1054.
- 367 10. Reich, B.J., et al., *A spatial analysis of basketball shot chart data*. The American Statistician, 2006. **60**(1): p. 3-12.
- 369 11. Pocock, C., et al., *Hot hands, cold feet? Investigating effects of interacting constraints*370 on place kicking performance at the 2015 Rugby Union World Cup. European journal
 371 of sport science, 2018: p. 1-8.
- 372 12. Goldman, M. and J.M. Rao. Effort vs. concentration: the asymmetric impact of pressure on NBA performance. in Proceedings of the mit sloan sports analytics conference. 2012.
- Farrow, D. and M. Reid, *The effect of equipment scaling on the skill acquisition of beginning tennis players.* Journal of Sports Sciences, 2010. **28**(7): p. 723-732.
- 377 14. Araújo, D. and K. Davids, *The (Sport) Performer-Environment System as the Base Unit in Explanations of Expert Performance.* Journal of Expertise/December, 2018. **1**(3).
- 379 15. Araújo, D. and K. Davids, *Team synergies in sport: theory and measures.* Frontiers in psychology, 2016. **7**: p. 1449.
- 381 16. Gray, A.J. and D.G. Jenkins, *Match analysis and the physiological demands of Australian football.* Sports Medicine, 2010. **40**(4): p. 347-360.
- Johnston, R., et al., *Movement demands and match performance in professional Australian football.* International journal of sports medicine, 2012. **33**(02): p. 89-93.
- 385 18. Pill, S., *Informing game sense pedagogy with constraints led theory for coaching in Australian football.* Sports Coaching Review, 2014. **3**(1): p. 46-62.
- 387 19. Farrow, D., Challenging traditional practice approaches to AFL kicking skill development. 2010, Victoria University School of Sport and Exercise and the Australian Institute of Sport.

- 390 20. Mohr, M., et al., *Match activities of elite women soccer players at different* 391 *performance levels.* The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research, 2008. **22**(2): p. 392 341-349.
- 393 21. Buchheit, M., et al., *Match running performance and fitness in youth soccer.*394 International journal of sports medicine, 2010. **31**(11): p. 818-825.
- Smith, D., D. Roberts, and B. Watson, *Physical, physiological and performance differences between Canadian national team and universiade volleyball players.* Journal of Sports Sciences, 1992. 10(2): p. 131-138.
- 398 23. Back, N., The influence of constraints on athlete kicking performance in training and matches at an elite Australian Rules football club, in Institute of Sport, Exercise and Active Living. 2015, Victoria University.
- 401 24. Ireland, D., et al., *Do we train how we play? Investigating skill patterns in Australian* 402 football. Science and Medicine in Football, 2019: p. 1-10.
- 403 25. Agarwal, R. and R. Srikant. *Fast algorithms for mining association rules*. in *Proc. of the 20th VLDB Conference*. 1994.
- 405 26. Hahsler, M., et al., Package 'arules'. 2018.
- 406 27. Morgan, S. Detecting Spatial Trends in Hockey Using Frequent Item Sets. in 407 Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Computer Science in Sport. 2011.
- 408 28. Dudek, D. Measures for Comparing Association Rule Sets. in International Conference
 409 on Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing. 2010. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer
 410 Berlin Heidelberg.
- 411 29. Newell, K.M., *Constraints on the development of coordination.* Motor development in children: Aspects of coordination and control, 1986. **34**: p. 341-360.
- 413 30. Vilar, L., et al., *The need for 'representative task design'in evaluating efficacy of skills*414 tests in sport: A comment on Russell, Benton and Kingsley (2010). Journal of sports
 415 sciences, 2012. **30**(16): p. 1727-1730.
- 416 31. Quarrie, K.L. and W.G. Hopkins, *Evaluation of goal kicking performance in international rugby union matches*. Journal of science and medicine in sport, 2015. **18**(2): p. 195-198.
- 419 32. Royal, K.A., et al., *The effects of fatigue on decision making and shooting skill*420 *performance in water polo players.* Journal of Sports Sciences, 2006. **24**(8): p. 807421 815.
- 422 33. Abernethy, B., *The effects of age and expertise upon perceptual skill development in* 423 *a racquet sport*. Research quarterly for exercise and sport, 1988. **59**(3): p. 210-221.
- 424 34. Williams, A.M., *Perceptual skill in soccer: Implications for talent identification and development.* Journal of sports sciences, 2000. **18**(9): p. 737-750.
- 426 35. Renshaw, I., et al., A constraints-led perspective to understanding skill acquisition 427 and game play: A basis for integration of motor learning theory and physical 428 education praxis? Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy, 2010. **15**(2): p. 117-137.
- 429 36. Krause, L., et al., Helping coaches apply the principles of representative learning 430 design: validation of a tennis specific practice assessment tool. Journal of sports 431 sciences, 2018. **36**(11): p. 1277-1286.
- 432 37. Ávila-Moreno, F.M., et al., *Evaluation of tactical performance in invasion team*433 *sports: a systematic review.* International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport,
 434 2018: p. 1-22.

- 435 38. Couceiro, M.S., et al., *The ARCANE project: how an ecological dynamics framework*436 *can enhance performance assessment and prediction in football.* Sports Medicine,
 437 2016. **46**(12): p. 1781-1786.
- 438 39. Cariñena, P., Fuzzy temporal association rules: combining temporal and quantitative data to increase rule expressiveness. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 2014. **4**(1): p. 64-70.

Table 1. Breakdown of total kicks per league and tier.

Competition	Tier	Number of kicks
Academy Series	U18 Competition	701
Australian Football League Academy	U18 Competition	170
Australian Football League	AFL	9,005
Australian Underage Championships	U18 Competition	1,890
North East Australian Football League	State league	809
South Australian National Football League	State league	491
South Australian National Football League (Reserves)	State league	657
South Australian National Football League (Under 18)	U18 Competition	998
School Football	U18 Competition	37
TAC Cup	U18 Competition	11,625
Victorian Football League	State league	934
Western Australian Football League	State league	266
Western Australian Football League (Reserves)	State league	28
Western Australian Football League (Under 18)	U18 Competition	1,542
TOTAL		29,153