

# Biomineralisation performance of bacteria isolated from a landfill in China

RAJASEKAR, Adharsh, WILKINSON, Stephen, SEKAR, Raju, BRIDGE, Jonathan <a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3717-519X">http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3717-519X</a>, MEDINA-ROLDAN, Eduardo and MOY, Charles K.S

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/22438/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

# Citation:

RAJASEKAR, Adharsh, WILKINSON, Stephen, SEKAR, Raju, BRIDGE, Jonathan, MEDINA-ROLDAN, Eduardo and MOY, Charles K.S (2018). Biomineralisation performance of bacteria isolated from a landfill in China. Canadian Journal of Microbiology (CJM), 64 (12), 945-953. [Article]

# Copyright and re-use policy

See <a href="http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html">http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html</a>

Page	1	of 32	
ruge		01.52	

# Biomineralisation performance of bacteria isolated from a landfill in China

3	Adharsh Rajasekar <sup>a,*</sup> , Stephen Wilkinson <sup>b</sup> , Raju Sekar <sup>c</sup> , Jonathan Bridge <sup>d</sup> , Eduardo-
4	Medina Roldan <sup>e</sup> , Charles K.S.Moy <sup>a</sup>
5	<sup>a</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou 215123, Jiangsu,
6	China
7	<sup>b</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, University of Wolverhampton, Wolverhampton WV1 1LY,
8	United Kingdom
9	<sup>c</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou 215123, Jiangsu,
10	China
11	<sup>d</sup> Department of the Natural and Built Environment, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield S1
12	1WB, United Kingdom
13	<sup>e</sup> Department of Environmental Science, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou 215123,
14	Jiangsu, China
15	
16	*Corresponding author:

- 17 Adharsh Rajasekar
- 18 evolution.adharsh@gmail.com
- 19 +8618261444592

# 20

Page 2 of 32

2

# 22 Abstract

We report an investigation of microbially-induced carbonate precipitation by seven 23 indigenous bacteria isolated from a landfill in China. Bacterial strains were cultured in a 24 medium supplemented with 25 mM calcium chloride and 333 mM urea. The experiments 25 were carried out at 30 °C for 7 days with agitation by a shaking table at 130 rpm. Scanning 26 27 Electron Microscopic (SEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses showed variations in calcium carbonate polymorphs and mineral composition induced by all bacterial strains. 28 The amount of carbonate precipitation was quantified by titration. The amount of carbonate 29 precipitated in the medium varied among isolates with the lowest being Bacillus aerius 30 rawirorabr15 (LC092833) precipitating around 1.5 times more carbonate per unit volume 31 than the abiotic (blank) solution. *Pseudomonas nitroreducens* szh asesj15 (LC090854) 32 was found to be the most efficient, precipitating 3.2 times more carbonate than the abiotic 33 solution. Our results indicate that bacterial carbonate precipitation occurred through 34 ureolysis and suggest that variations in carbonate crystal polymorphs and rates of 35 precipitation were driven by strain-specific differences in urease expression and response 36 to the alkaline environment. These results and the method applied provide 37 benchmarking/screening data for assessing the bioremediation potential of indigenous 38 bacteria for containment of contaminants in landfills. 39

40

41 Keywords: Biomineralisation, Indigenous bacteria, Landfill, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*,
42 SEM

43

44

#### 45 Introduction

The potential of microbial species to stimulate precipitation of carbonates is well known in 46 various natural environments, including soils, geological formations, oceans, and saline 47 lakes (Boquet et al. 1973). This bio-mediated process is known as microbially induced 48 carbonate precipitation (MICP). The ability of these bacteria to precipitate carbonates has 49 been widely studied (Rivadeneyra et al. 2006, Sanchez-Roman et al. 2007, Rivadeneyra et 50 al. 2000, Rivadeneyra et al. 2004, Han et al. 2013, Kang et al. 2014a). Both active and 51 passive mechanisms have been proposed to explain how bacteria mediate the precipitation 52 53 process (Hammes and Verstraete 2002, Silva-Castro et al. 2013). The most widely-studied of these, particularly in respect of potential engineering applications, is urease hydrolysis 54 by organisms involved in the nitrogen cycle (Rivadeneyra et al. 2006, Gorospe et al. 2013, 55 56 Achal and Pan 2014, Dhami et al. 2014). While urease activity is common in bacteria, the amount and rate of carbonate precipitation varies among species and genera and is 57 dependent on local environmental conditions (Zamarreňo et al. 2009). A range of factors 58 may account for this variation: (i) rate of urea hydrolysis related to use of urea as an energy 59 source; (ii) the alkalinity of the local environment, which affects carbonate speciation and 60 CaCO<sub>3</sub> solubility; (iii) the affinity of the bacterial cell surfaces for Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions, which can 61 create micro-scale supersaturation of  $Ca^{2+}$  in the vicinity of cells; potentially leading to (iv) 62 nucleation and crystal growth where carbonate is also sufficiently saturated. 63

In previous studies, carbonate-precipitating bacteria have been isolated from contaminated
and disturbed environments such as mine tailing soils (Achal and Pan 2014), caves
(Rusznyak et al. 2012), and highways (Kang et al. 2014a). Landfills are complex microbial

systems inhabited by bacteria that remediate or degrade toxic compounds (Staley et al. 67 2011). We have recently shown, for an urban landfill in China, a diverse population of 68 organisms including genera known to have biomineralisation potential (Rajasekar et al. 69 2018). Stimulating carbonate precipitation in indigenous bacteria already adapted to the 70 biochemically-harsh environmental conditions of a landfill is a potentially cost-, materials-71 72 and energy-efficient alternative to geotechnical or geoenvironmental engineering approaches for control of landfill leachate. Indigenous microbes could be used for 73 modification of groundwater flow, or contaminant/heavy metal immobilization by co-74 75 precipitation as substitute ions for calcium or simple trapping in cemented pore spaces (Ivanov and Chu 2008, Miot et al. 2009, Kang et al. 2014b, Amidi and Wang 2015). For 76 example, (Kang et al. 2014a) and (Ma et al. 2009) have used biomineralisation to trap 77 heavy metals such as cadmium. Achal et al. (2012a) utilised this technique to immobilise 78 arsenic and (Kang et al. 2015) assessed the containment of lead. 79

Access to many landfill and other controlled sites for extended investigation of 80 contamination and remediation techniques *in situ* is often logistically difficult but sampling 81 for water quality and microbiological analysis is more feasible. Thus, many more 82 biomineralisation studies have been implemented in the lab than in the field. In situ 83 biomineralisation to achieve geotechnical and remediation engineering objectives is still in 84 85 its early stages and the priority remains identification of MICP-capable organisms capable 86 of existing under specific site conditions (like landfills) and characterising their biomineralisation potential (Kang et al. 2015, Kang et al. 2014a, Fujita et al. 2004, Achal 87 88 et al. 2012b, Kang et al. 2014b).

This study aims to establish a rapid laboratory protocol designed to identify, using cultures isolated from landfill water samples (i) the presence of carbonate-precipitating bacteria within the indigenous community; (ii) the degree of variability in bioremediation potential among species; and (iii) the characteristics of MICP mechanisms demonstrated by the isolates. The results offer well-constrained, benchmarking data for further studies of the potential of indigenous microbes for techniques such as bioremediation or contaminants containment in extreme contaminated environments such as landfills.

96 Materials and Methods

#### 97 Sampling and Storage

98 The landfill (31°14'18.31"N 120°33'3.09"E) is located in Suzhou, Jiangsu, China. The regional limestone geology is described in full in (Rajasekar et al., 2018) and the landfill 99 100 receives a mix of incinerator ash and raw municipal waste. Water samples were collected in triplicate using a handheld peristaltic pump through sterile PVC tubing into sterile high-101 density polyethylene (HDPE) sealable plastic bottles and stored at 4°C prior to bacterial 102 isolation. Groundwater samples were collected from boreholes on the perimeter of the 103 landfill at 4 m below surface, approximately 1.9 m below the local water table. 'Fresh' 104 leachate was collected directly from a pipe that drains the body of the landfill. 'Raw' 105 106 leachate was collected from an engineered leachate pond.

# 107 Isolation and identification of bacterial isolates

A detailed investigation of the bacterial consortia at the case study landfill site was carried
out using Illumina MiseqPE250 next-generation sequencing as reported previously by
(Rajasekar et al. 2018).

For this study, bacterial isolates were obtained using the following procedure. Raw and 111 fresh leachate samples with serial dilutions were spread onto nutrient agar (hopebio, 112 Qingdao, China) and incubated at 30°C for 24 hours until visible colonies were obtained. 113 The bacterial isolates were purified by repeated streaking and then transferred into nutrient 114 broth (BD, Difco<sup>TM</sup>, USA). The spread plate method was also used for bacterial isolation 115 116 from an undiluted 100µl groundwater aliquot and the isolates were purified by repeated streaking. The cells were harvested and pellets directly transferred to the bead columns for 117 DNA extraction. The genomic DNA was extracted using PowerSoil<sup>®</sup> DNA isolation kit 118 (MO BIO, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The 16S rRNA genes were 119 amplified using PCR with 10 mM concentration of 27F and 1492R primers (Muyzer et al. 120 121 1993). A final volume of 50 µL was used in the PCR assay, which contains 10X PCR buffer 122 (5 µL), 10 mmol/L dNTPs (1 µL), 25 mmol/L MgCl<sub>2</sub> (4 µL), forward and reverse primers 10mM each (2µL), Taq polymerase (2 U), DNA template (1 µL), and 37 µL of double-123 124 distilled water. The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 4 minutes followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 minute, annealing at 55 125 °C for 35 seconds, extension for 1 minute at 72 °C; after 30 cycles final extension at 72 °C 126 for 10 minutes. The PCR products were verified by agarose gel (1.5% wt/v) electrophoresis 127 and purified using a PCR purification kit (Axygen<sup>®</sup>, CA, USA). The purified PCR products 128 129 were sequenced at a sequencing facility (Sangon Biotech Co Ltd) in Shanghai, China using 27F primer. The partial sequences were compared using BLAST queuing system (Altschul 130 et al. 1990) to identify their closest relatives and tentative phylogenetic positions. The 131 132 sequences were later submitted to DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) for acquisition of unique accession numbers for the sequences (LC090023, LC092830-33, and LC090854-55).

#### 135 Urease activity assay

The isolates were tested for urease activity on urea agar media using the method described
by (Hammes et al. 2003). All the isolates tested positive for urease enzyme. This was
confirmed after 5 days of incubation at 28°C.

#### 139 *Biomineralisation assay*

Biomineralisation media consisted of 25 mM calcium chloride solution (purity  $\geq$ 98%), 333 140 mM of urea solution (purity  $\geq$  97 %) and 0.8 g of nutrient broth (BD, Difco<sup>TM</sup>, USA) per 141 142 150 ml consistent with published methods used in previous MICP studies (Kang et al. 2014a, Muynck et al. 2010b, Helmi et al. 2016, Muynck et al. 2010a, Achal and Pan 2014). 143 The initial pH was 9.1 and adjust to pH 7.5 with HCl. Calcium chloride solution was 144 autoclaved and filter-sterilized to avoid any contamination before mixing. Urea solution 145 was only filter-sterilized to avoid denaturing of the urea at high temperatures. Two mL of 146 the bacterial culture (grown overnight in nutrient broth at 30 °C for 24 hours) were added 147 to 150 mL of the biomineralisation media and incubated in a rotary shaker at 120 rpm for 148 7 days at 30 °C. Sterile biomineralisation media without bacterial isolates was used as a 149 150 blank control. The pH of the bacterial and abiotic control solutions were recorded using a Suntex TS1 pH meter once every 24 hours. The pH was checked under a laminar hood to 151 avoid any potential contamination. After 7 days of incubation, the solution was vacuum 152 filtered through a sterile 0.6 µm Whatman<sup>®</sup> membrane filter (Whatman<sup>®</sup>, USA). Each filter 153 paper was placed in a separate sterile Petri dish and air dried at 37°C for 24 hours for 154 subsequent analyses. All incubations were carried out in triplicate. 155

#### 156 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Fragments of residue from each filter paper were transferred onto double-sided carbon tape 157 affixed to standard 5 mm electron microscope stubs for imaging using an Hitachi TM3000 158 scanning electron microscope. Five mm stubs were used to allow easy transportation and 159 storage of samples for future observation and an adaptor was used to allow the stubs to be 160 161 inserted on top of the Hitachi TM3000 stage. The samples were imaged uncoated, under relatively low vacuum conditions. Images were taken at magnifications between 400× and 162 1500× to allow the identification of crystals formed due to biomineralisation. Due to the 163 164 low magnification used, no charging errors were recorded during imaging.

### 165 X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis

A powder sample was created by scraping reside from the filter papers using a sterile razor blade directly onto the sample holder of the X-ray diffractometer (Advanced D8, Bruker, Germany). The upper surface was then carefully flattened using a glass slide. The sample holder was rotated during measurement to ensure good sampling of the crystal lattices within the powder sample.

#### 171 *Carbonate titration analysis*

The total carbonate present in the residue on each filter was quantified using titration following the method of (Maulood et al. 2012). The amount of residue (grams) that's deposited on the filter paper after filtration influences the value of carbonate precipitation since all the residue that's deposited on the paper is used for titration. The residue is weighed before the titration to calculate the amount of carbonate precipitated during the process.

#### 178 **Results**

#### 179 Identification of bacterial isolates by 16S rRNA gene sequencing

Five strains isolated from landfill leachate belonged to members of genus Bacillus. Among 180 these, two were isolated from raw leachate and three from fresh leachate samples (Table 181 1). The bacteria isolated from landfill groundwater belonged to the genera *Pseudomonas* 182 and Sphingopyxis. Two indigenous bacterial strains isolated from the landfill groundwater 183 184 were identified as *Pseudomonas nitroreducens* szh asesj15 (LC090854) and *Sphingopyxis* sp. szh adharsh (LC090855) by 16S rRNA gene sequencing (Table 1). Pseudomonas 185 186 belongs to y-Proteobacteria and has commonly been found in landfills (Kalwasinska and 187 Burkowska 2013). Sphingopyxis belongs to  $\alpha$ -Proteobacteria, and members of this genus are extremely resistant towards soil contamination such as that from high heavy metal 188 concentrations (Choi et al. 2010). 189

#### 190 *pH variation with time during biomineralisation assay*

Figure 1 A shows the change in pH as a function of time during the biomineralisation 191 192 assays. Landfill leachate isolates (Fig. 1A) experienced a lag phase during the first 24 hours in which pH remained steady, while in experiments with isolates from groundwater the pH 193 started to increase immediately (Fig. 1B). Steady rise in pH was observed in all assays from 194 195 24 h through 144 h, with the highest value obtained by *Sphingopyxis* isolated from landfill groundwater (mean pH 10±0.1. The pH of medium with Bacillus licheniformis 196 197 SZH2015 A was found to be decreasing after 120 hours, which was not observed in any 198 of the other bacterial isolates (Fig. 1 A). In the abiotic control, pH increased steadily from 199 pH 7.5 to pH 8.5 ( $\pm 0.033$ ) from 0-144 h (Figure 1 B).

200 SEM analysis

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate the range of calcium carbonate crystal morphologies observed in

SEM. Spherical crystals were ubiquitous in all bacterial experiments but rare or absent in abiotic controls, where rhombohedral crystals dominated. Morphological distinction was observed in certain crystals from bacterial isolates (Fig. 3 A & B). In some cases, evidence was observed of direct bacteria-crystal contacts. Fig. 3B shows elongate pits on the surface of a crystal. Fig. 2E shows the growth of micro crystals on the surface of a calcite crystal. Two different types of crystal fusion were observed in Fig. 2A and Fig. 3A which has the potential of resulting in the formation of one larger crystal.

#### 209 XRD analysis

XRD spectra indicated the primary component of all the precipitates was Calcite, although
Vaterite was detected in some cases as well.

# 212 Carbonate quantification

Comparison between the urease activities of the isolates was determined using carbonate titration. The isolate with the highest pH value was not found to have the highest carbonate precipitation (Fig. 6). *Pseudomonas nitroreducens* szh\_asesj15 was observed to have the highest carbonate precipitation ( $0.88\pm0.2$ ), while *Bacillus pumilus* szhxjlu2015 was observed to have the lowest carbonate precipitation ( $0.41\pm0.3$ ). The blank was observed to have the lowest carbonate precipitation when compared with bacterial isolates which is expected since it has no urease activity.

220 Discussion

# 221 Analysis of pH in bacterial and blank solutions

The maximum pH measurements for all of the bacterial isolates exceeded that of the blank (Fig. 1 A&B). This was expected since the blank did not have the urease enzyme. The pH surge within 24 hours of the experiment observed in the leachate isolates was quite

different when compared with the groundwater isolates. Even among the leachate bacteria, 225 pH variations could be observed. This indicated that each bacterium undergoes different 226 rates of ureolysis for carbonate precipitation. During the first 24 hours of incubation, the 227 pH of the Bacillus pumilus szhxilu2015 and Bacillus aerius rawirorabr15 decreased from 228 their initial pH values (Fig. 1 A). This was probably due to the different adaptation time 229 230 of the bacteria to the environment for urea hydrolysis (Lian et al. 2006). Bacteria such as Bacillus subtilis have been shown to pump out protons through their cell walls during 231 respiration (Mera et al. 1992). These protons will presumably occupy the negatively 232 charged cell surface sites and lower the pH of the local environment. This early reduction 233 in pH has also been observed by (Rivadeneyra et al. 2006, Sanchez-Roman et al. 2007). In 234 comparison to Figs. 1 A and B shows an increase in pH from 7.5 to ~8.4 for the 235 groundwater bacteria during the first 24 hours following inoculation into biomineralisation 236 medium. It has been reported that certain ureolytic bacteria begin the process of urea 237 238 hydrolysis within 24 hours for carbonate precipitation (Achal and Pan 2014). For the groundwater bacteria, the pH increased almost linearly over 144 hours presumably because 239 of the consistent enzymatic hydrolysis of urea and higher  $CO_3^{2-}$  precipitation and upon 240 depletion of the dissolved urea results in an reduction in pH (Stocks-Fischer et al. 1999). 241 hastoria

242 
$$CO(NH_2)_2 + H_2O$$
  $NH_2COOH + NH_3$   
243  $NH_2COOH + H_2O$   $NH_3 + H_2CO_3$ 

243

These products equilibrate in water to form bicarbonate, 1 mole of ammonium and 244 hydroxide ions which give rise to pH increase 245





A similar trend was also observed with the bacteria isolated from leachate after 48 hours (Fig. 1 A). All the leachate bacteria are in their linear progressive state (consistent increase in pH) indicated by the bacterial enzymatic hydrolysis of urea leading to an increased production in [OH<sup>-</sup>] ions which contributes to the pH increase.

At this pH, a substantial amount of carbonate is present in the solution (the pKa of  $HCO_3^{2-}$ 253  $-CO_3^{2-}$  is approximately one order of magnitude higher), which in turn, in the presence of 254 calcium ions, can lead to a supersaturation of carbonate in the solution, thereby promoting 255 the precipitation of calcium carbonate. The forward reaction is catalysed by microbes, thus 256 257 allowing the generation of a higher peak pH in the bacterial solutions in comparison to the control (Fujita et al. 2008). The reduction in pH can be explained using two chemical 258 reactions, the precipitation of calcium carbonate and the conversion of ammonium to 259 260 ammonia:

261 
$$Ca^{2+} + HCO_3^{-} + OH^{-} \rightarrow CaCO_3 + H_2O$$

262 
$$\operatorname{NH}_{4}^{+} + \operatorname{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \operatorname{NH}_{3(\text{gas})} + \operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O}$$

263

The pH values from this study can be explained using the theory proposed by (Sanchez-Roman et al. 2007) for ureolysis. They reported that the activity of urease is optimum at a pH of 8.5, leading to superior carbonate precipitation (Gorospe et al. 2013, Stabnikov et al. 2013, Chu et al. 2014). They indicated that the metabolic activity of the bacteria is extremely important and it varies from one bacteria to another. Each bacteria supplies the ions necessary for the formation of the minerals, namely  $NH_4^+$  and  $CO_3^{2-}$  for carbonates. Moreover, the appropriate microenvironment is created for precipitation, i.e. increased pH and/or ionic concentration. This increased pH environment was also observed in our study for all the bacteria. This demonstrates that bacteria are not simply heterogeneous nuclei for precipitation but are also active mediators in the process.

Furthermore, the bacterial degradation of peptones and yeast extract takes place, supplying NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> leading to an increase of pH, as observed in our experiments. The metabolic activity occurring in the media, together with the concentration of ions in the cellular envelopes, will drive local oversaturation of such ions, leading to carbonate precipitation. The pH change in the abiotic solution was also observed by (Ferris et al. 2003, Gorospe et al. 2013, Achal and Pan 2014) and it is attributed to the very slow hydrolysis of urea which is speculated to be  $10^{14}$  slower than a biotic hydrolysis of urea.

The presence of bacteria can induce the precipitation of minerals in microenvironments by the combination of two mechanisms; (1) modifying the conditions of their surrounding environments through ureolysis and/or the concentration of ions in the bacterial cell envelope (Li et al. 2013); and (2) cell walls acting as nucleation sites for the growth of the carbonate crystals (Li et al. 2011).

# 286 Morphology of crystals in bacterial and control solutions

Previous SEM studies of carbonates formed due to MICP have identified that spherical
crystal forms are commonly observed in samples containing bacteria in comparison to the
normal rhombohedral crystal form (trigonal system) in non-bacterial samples (StocksFischer et al. 1999, Rivadeneyra et al. 2004, Lian et al. 2006, Jimenez-Lopez et al. 2007,
Sánchez-Román et al. 2011). It has been suggested that spherical crystals are a result of the

292 higher rate of crystal formation which is occurring due to the action of the ureolytic bacteria (Stocks-Fischer et al. 1999). The SEM images obtained for the seven bacterial isolates also 293 showed this spherical crystal morphology (Fig. 2A, B, C, D, E; Fig 3 A and B). Very similar 294 observations have been made for the well-studied ureolytic bacteria, Bacillus megaterium 295 (Lian et al. 2006). Further to this, the full range of observations displayed in Fig. 2 and 3 296 297 indicate that the bacterial strains influence both the crystal morphology and growth patterns. Similar observations have been individually reported across a range of studies for other 298 biomineralising organisms (Rivadeneyra et al. 2000, Rivadeneyra et al. 2004, Lian et al. 299 300 2006, Jimenez-Lopez et al. 2007). The main reason for the changes in morphology is probably due to the differences in ureolysis rates influenced by the bacterial density 301 (Rodriguez-Navarro et al. 2012) and the saturation index of the solution (Bosak and 302 Newman 2005, Sanchez-Roman et al. 2007, Mitchell and Ferris 2006). 303

Fused spherical crystals were observed in *Bacillus licheniformis* SZH2015 A (Fig. 2A) & 304 Bacillus aerius rawirorabr15 (Fig. 2E) samples, where the spherical crystals have grown 305 together and become interlocked. Xu et al. (2015) suggested that calcium sources are highly 306 influential in the clumping or fusing of crystals. This type of crystal formation is highly 307 308 desirable for soil applications, as it can generate very low permeability zones within a soil allowing pore necks to become sealed. At a larger scale, clumping of large numbers of 309 calcite crystals is produced by Bacillus licheniformis adseedstjo15 (Fig. 2E). Clumping of 310 311 crystals occurs when the expansion of crystals displaces and entrains smaller growing crystals. This leads to the formation of an interlocking framework that enables bacteria to 312 313 slowly establish contact with nearby crystals surfaces and develop colonies on them (Wang 314 et al. 2013). The structure which forms is not a completely fused crystal, although it is 315 likely to contain fused crystals. Such structures will have the effect of reducing316 permeability, but not to the extent of a fully interlocking crystalline structure.

Bacterial imprints were also identified on the surface of calcite crystals for *Sphingopyxis* 317 sp. szh adharsh (Fig. 3B). These results suggested that the bacteria might serve as 318 nucleation sites for calcite precipitation, which is in agreement with observations with other 319 320 carbonate precipitating bacteria (Lian et al. 2006, Li et al. 2011). The bacterial cell surface could induce mineral deposition by providing nucleation sites due to ion composition on 321 its surface (Lian et al. 2006). Ion composition is referred to as the negatively charged 322 functional groups that are present on the bacterial cell walls which attract Ca<sup>2+</sup> to induce a 323 local supersaturation so that calcite nucleation takes place on the cell surfaces. No spherical 324 calcite forms were observed in the blank sample (Fig. 3F). 325

### 326 X-Ray diffraction (XRD) analysis

XRD analysis was used to measure the composition, structure and microstructure of the 327 crystal compounds. Calcium carbonate crystals were precipitated by all the bacterial 328 isolates in this study (Fig. 4 & 5). Calcite and vaterite were produced in all samples. The 329 results, especially from the use of calcium chloride, concur with the previous reports in 330 which calcite and vaterite were produced (Gorospe et al. 2013). Zamarreňo et al. (2009) 331 reported that precipitation of calcite and vaterite were also influenced by the bacteria and 332 the carbonate precipitation media. To our knowledge, our study indicates that bacteria 333 rather than calcium chloride caused differences in the morphology of calcium carbonate 334 polymorphs (Fig. 4 & 5). This is a very important finding because it suggests that each 335 bacteria precipitate calcium carbonate polymorphs in a slightly different way in the same 336 media. 337

#### 338

# 339 **Quantification of Carbonate**

Titration was performed to calculate and compare the efficiency of carbonate precipitation 340 by each bacterium. The final quantities of precipitated calcium carbonate were confirmed 341 through titration with 0.5 M HCl. Previous studies have shown that urease production 342 increases the pH resulting in a superior carbonate precipitation (Achal and Pan 2014). 343 344 Observations in our study differ from this conclusion, as the pH of *Bacillus* sp. xilu herc15 reached a higher pH than *Pseudomonas nitroreducens* szh asesj15. However, *Bacillus* sp. 345 xilu herc15 precipitated 0.8 grams of carbonate compared to *Pseudomonas nitroreducens* 346 szh asesj15 which precipitated 0.9 grams (Fig. 6). Although *Bacillus* sp. xjlu herc15 took 347 time to adapt to the environment in comparison to the other bacteria, it still managed to 348 precipitate a superior quantity of carbonate compared to the other five bacteria. Given that 349 pH rise is correlated with urease activity, *Bacillus* sp. xilu herc15 has shown to have 350 superior enzyme activity compared to other bacteria from the landfill between 48 to 144 351 hours. For all of the bacterial samples, the amount of precipitation was higher than that of 352 353 the abiotic (blank) solution. The variation in effectiveness ranged from 1.53 to 3.2 times more CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation per 150 ml retained on the filter paper compared to the abiotic 354 355 (blank) sample (Fig. 6). No carbonate precipitation was found in the abiotic samples 356 reported by Sanchez-Roman et al. 2007, Achal and Pan 2014 but recent studies conducted by Zamarreňo et al. 2009a, Okyay and Rodrigues 2015 reported carbonate precipitation 357 358 under abiotic conditions. Okyay and Rodrigues (2015) suggested that the interaction of 359  $CO_2$  with the abiotic media results in the precipitation of carbonate.

#### 17

#### 360 Conclusions

Studies based on MICP have shown that the composition of the culture medium and pH 361 can change the type and amount of calcium carbonate precipitated. This study focuses 362 mainly on the biomineralisation potential of indigenous bacteria from a landfill and its 363 surroundings. Hence, we provide strong evidence of such possibility and present data 364 365 showing the precipitation performance of a range of newly identified bacterial strains. Analysis of the microbially induced calcium carbonate produced was achieved using a 366 combination of carbonate titration, SEM and XRD methods. Each bacteria, irrelevant of 367 their environment, influenced the morphology and amount of calcium carbonate 368 precipitation. Bacterial strain was identified as more important than pH in terms of the 369 amount of carbonate being precipitated by the bacteria. Even though, urease activity does 370 promote carbonate precipitation, it does not appear to be the sole determining factor of the 371 amount of carbonate that will be precipitated. This approach makes it ideal for 372 373 biostimulation of these bacteria in the landfill for environmental remediation purposes. Therefore, the authors hope that the findings from this study will potentially lead to an 374 optimistic implication for the design of future engineering applications involving 375 376 microbially induced calcite precipitation, such as sand consolidation, soil improvement, and bioremediation. 377

# 378 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grant no. PGRS-12-02-06 and RDF-13-01-06 awarded by XJTLU.

# 381 Conflict of interest

382 No conflict of interest declared.

#### 384 **References**

- ACHAL, V. & PAN, X. 2014. Influence of calcium sources on microbially induced
   calcium carbonate precipitation by *Bacillus* sp. CR2. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*, 173,
   307-317.
- ACHAL, V., PAN, X., FU, Q. & ZHANG, D. 2012a. Biomineralization based remediation
   of As(III) contaminated soil by *Sporosarcina ginsengisoli*. *J Haz Mat*, 201-202,
   178-184.
- ACHAL, V., PAN, X. & ZHANG, D. 2012b. Bioremediation of strontium (Sr)
   contaminated aquifer quartz sand based on carbonate precipitation induced by Sr
   resistant *Halomonas* sp. *Chemosphere*, 89, 764-768.
- AMIDI, S. & WANG, J. 2015. Surface treatment of concrete bricks using calcium
   carbonate precipitation. *Constr Buil Mat*, 80, 273-278.
- BOQUET, A.BORONAT & A.RAMOS-CORMENZANA 1973. Production of Calcite
  (Calcium Carbonate) crystals by soil bacteria is a general phenomenon. *Nature*, 246,
  527-529.
- BOSAK, T. & NEWMAN, D. K. 2005. Microbial kinetic controls on calcite morphology
  in supersaturated solutions. *J Sedimen res*, 75, 190-199.
- 401 CHOI, J. H., KIM, M. S., JUNG, M. J., ROH, S. W., SHIN, K. S. & BAE, J. W. 2010.
  402 Sphingopyxis soli sp. nov., isolated from landfill soil. *Int J Sys Evol Microbiol*, 60,
  403 1682-1686.
- 404 CHU, J., IVANOV, V., NAEIMI, M., STABNIKOV, V. & LIU, H.-L. 2014. Optimization
  405 of calcium-based bioclogging and biocementation of sand. *Acta Geotechnica*, 9,
  406 277-285.
- 407 DHAMI, N. K., REDDY, M. S. & MUKHERJEE, A. 2014. Synergistic Role of Bacterial
  408 Urease and Carbonic Anhydrase in Carbonate Mineralization. *Appl Biochem*409 *Biotechnol*, 172, 2552-2561.
- FERRIS, F. G., V.PHOENIX, FUJITA, Y. & SMITH, R. W. 2003. Kinetics of calcite
  precipitation induced by ureolytic bacteria at 10 to 20°C in artificial groundwater. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 67, 1701-1722.

383

413	FUJITA, Y., REDDEN, G. D., INGRAM, J. C., CORTEZ, M. M., FERRIS, F. G. &
414	SMITH, R. W. 2004. Strontium incorporation into calcite generated by bacterial
415	ureolysis. Geochim Cosmochim Acta, 68, 3261-3270.
416	FUJITA, Y., TAYLOR, J. L., GRESHAM, T. L. T., DELWICHE, M. E., COLWELL, F.
417	S., MCLING, T. L., PETZKE, L. M. & SMITH, R. W. 2008. Stimulation Of
418	Microbial Urea Hydrolysis In Groundwater To Enhance Calcite Precipitation.
419	Environ sci technol, 42, 3025-3032.
420	GOROSPE, C. M., HAN, SH., KIM, SG., PARK, JY., KANG, CH., JEONG, JH.
421	& SO, JS. 2013. Effects of Different Calcium Salts on Calcium Carbonate Crystal
422	Formation by Sporosarcina pasteurii KCTC 3558. Biotechnol Biopro Eng, 18, 903-
423	908.
424	HAMMES, F., BOON, N., VILLIERS, J. D., VERSTRAETE, W. & SICILIANO, S. D.
425	2003. Strain-Specific Ureolytic Microbial Calcium Carbonate Precipitation. Appl
426	Environ Microbiol, 69, 4901-4909.
427	HAMMES, F. & VERSTRAETE, W. 2002. Key roles of pH and calcium metabolism in
428	microbial carbonate precipitation. Re/Views in Environ Sci BioTechnol, 1, 3-7.
429	HAN, Z., YAN, H., ZHOU, S., ZHAO, H., ZHANG, Y., ZHANG, N., YAO, C., ZHAO,
430	L. & HAN, C. 2013. Precipitation of calcite induced by Synechocystis sp. PCC6803.
431	W J Microbiol Biotechnol, 29, 1801-1811.
432	HELMI, F. M., ELMITWALLI, H. R., ELNAGDY, S. M. & EL-HAGRASSY, A. F. 2016.
433	Calcium carbonate precipitation induced by ureolytic bacteria Bacillus
434	licheniformis. Ecol Eng, 90, 367-371.
435	IVANOV, V. & CHU, J. 2008. Applications of microorganisms to geotechnical
436	engineering for bioclogging and biocementation of soil in situ. Reviews in Environ
437	Sci Bio/Technol, 7, 139-153.
438	JIMENEZ-LOPEZ, C., JROUNDI, F., RODRÍGUEZ-GALLEGO, M., ARIAS, J. M. &
439	GONZALEZ-MUÑOZ, M. T. 2007. Biomineralization induced by Myxobacteria.
440	Communicating Curr Res Educational Topics and Trends in Appl Microbiol, 143-
441	154.

- 442 KALWASINSKA, A. & BURKOWSKA, A. 2013. Municipal landfill sites as sources of
  443 microorganisms potentially pathogenic to humans. *Environ Sci Processes &*444 *Impacts*, 15, 1078-1086.
- KANG, C.-H., HAN, S.-H., SHIN, Y., OH, S. J. & SO, J.-S. 2014a. Bioremediation of Cd
  by Microbially Induced Calcite Precipitation. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*, 172, 29072915.
- KANG, C.-H., OH, S. J., SHIN, Y., HAN, S.-H., NAM, I.-H. & SO, J.-S. 2015.
  Bioremediation of lead by ureolytic bacteria isolated from soil at abandoned metal
  mines in South Korea. *Ecol Eng*, 74, 402-407.
- KANG, C. H., CHOI, J. H., NOH, J., KWAK, D. Y., HAN, S. H. & SO, J. S. 2014b.
  Microbially induced calcite precipitation-based sequestration of strontium by *Sporosarcina pasteurii* WJ-2. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*, 174, 2482-2491.
- LI, W., CHEN, W.-S., ZHOU, P.-P., ZHU, S.-L. & YU, L.-J. 2013. Influence of initial
  calcium ion concentration on the precipitation and crystal morphology of calcium
  carbonate induced by bacterial carbonic anhydrase. *Chem Eng J*, 218, 65-72.
- LI, W., LIU, L.-P., ZHOU, P.-P., CAO, L., YU, L.-J. & JIANG, S.-Y. 2011. Calcite
  precipitation induced by bacteria and bacterially produced carbonic anhydrase. *Curr sci*, 100, 502-508.
- LIAN, B., HU, Q., CHEN, J., JI, J. & TENG, H. H. 2006. Carbonate biomineralization
  induced by soil bacterium *Bacillus megaterium*. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta*, 70,
  5522-5535.
- MA, Y., LIN, C., JIANG, Y., LU, W., SI, C. & LIU, Y. 2009. Competitive removal of
  water-borne copper, zinc and cadmium by a CaCO<sub>3</sub>-dominated red mud. *J Haz Mat*,
  172, 1288-1296.
- MAULOOD, P. M., ESMAIL, A. O., DOHUKI, M. S. S. & DARWESH, D. A. 2012.
  Comparison between Calcimetric and Titrimetric Methods for Calcium Carbonate
  Determination. *Open J Soil Sci*, 2, 263-268.
- MERA, M. U., KEMPER, M., DOYLE, R. & BEVERIDGE, T. J. 1992. The membraneinduced proton motive force influences the metal-binding ability of Bacillus subtilis
  cell walls. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 58, 3837-3844.

472	MIOT, J., BENZERARA, K., OBST, M., KAPPLER, A., HEGLER, F., SCHADLER, S.,			
473	BOUCHEZ, C., GUYOT, F. & MORIN, G. 2009. Extracellular iron			
474	biomineralization by photoautotrophic iron-oxidizing bacteria. Appl Environ			
475	Microbiol, 75, 5586-5591.			
476	MITCHELL, A. C. & FERRIS, F. G. 2006. The Influence of Bacillus pasteurii on the			
477	Nucleation and Growth of Calcium Carbonate. Geomicrobiol J, 23, 213-226.			
478	MUYNCK, W. D., BELIE, N. D. & VERSTRAETE, W. 2010a. Microbial carbonate			
479	precipitation in construction materials: A review. Ecol Eng, 36, 118-136.			
480	MUYNCK, W. D., VERBEKEN, K., BELIE, N. D. & VERSTRAETE, W. 2010b.			
481	Influence of urea and calcium dosage on the effectiveness of bacterially induced			
482	carbonate precipitation on limestone. Ecol Eng, 36, 99-111.			
483	MUYZER, G., WAAL, E. C. D. & UITIERLINDEN, A. G. 1993. Profiling of Complex			
484	Microbial Populations by Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis Analysis of			
485	Polymerase Chain Reaction-Amplified Genes Coding for 16S rRNA. Appl Environ			
486	Microbiol, 59, 695-700.			
487	OKYAY, T. O. & RODRIGUES, D. F. 2015. Biotic and abiotic effects on CO2			
488	sequestration during microbially-induced calcium carbonate precipitation. FEMS			
489	Microbiol Ecol, 91, 1-13.			
490	RAJASEKAR, A., RAJU, S., MEDINA-ROLDAN, E., BRIDGE, J., K.S.MOY, C. &			
491	WILKINSON, S. 2018. Next-generation sequencing showing potential leachate			
492	influence on bacterial communities around a landfill in China. Can J Microbiol.			
493	RIVADENEYRA, M. A., DELGADO, G., SORIANO, M., RAMOS-CORMENZANA, A.			
494	& DELGADO, R. 2000. Precipitation of carbonates by Nesterenkonia halobia in			
495	liquid media. Chemosphere, 41, 617-624.			
496	RIVADENEYRA, M. A., MARTÍN-ALGARRA, A., SÁNCHEZ-NAVAS, A. &			
497	MARTÍN-RAMOS, D. 2006. Carbonate and Phosphate Precipitation by			
498	Chromohalobacter marismortui. Geomicrobiol J, 23, 1-13.			
499	RIVADENEYRA, M. A., PARRAGA, J., DELGADO, R., RAMOS-CORMENZANA, A.			
500	& DELGADO, G. 2004. Biomineralization of carbonates by Halobacillus trueperi			
501	in solid and liquid media with different salinities. FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 48, 39-			
502	46.			

- RUSZNYAK, A., AKOB, D. M., NIETZSCHE, S., EUSTERHUES, K., TOTSCHE, K.
  U., NEU, T. R., FROSCH, T., POPP, J., KEINER, R., GELETNEKY, J.,
  KATZSCHMANN, L., SCHULZE, E. D. & KUSEL, K. 2012. Calcite
  biomineralization by bacterial isolates from the recently discovered pristine karstic
  herrenberg cave. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 78, 1157-1167.
- SANCHEZ-ROMAN, M., RIVADENEYRA, M. A., VASCONCELOS, C. &
   MCKENZIE, J. A. 2007. Biomineralization of carbonate and phosphate by
   moderately halophilic bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*, 61, 273-284.
- 511 SÁNCHEZ-ROMÁN, M., ROMANEK, C. S., FERNÁNDEZ-REMOLAR, D. C.,
  512 SÁNCHEZ-NAVAS, A., MCKENZIE, J. A., PIBERNAT, R. A. &
  513 VASCONCELOS, C. 2011. Aerobic biomineralization of Mg-rich carbonates:
  514 Implications for natural environments. *Chem Geol*, 281, 143-150.
- SILVA-CASTRO, G. A., UAD, I., RIVADENEYRA, A., VILCHEZ, J. I., MARTINRAMOS, D., GONZÁLEZ-LÓPEZ, J. & RIVADENEYRA, M. A. 2013.
  Carbonate Precipitation of Bacterial Strains Isolated from Sediments and Seawater:
  Formation Mechanisms. *Geomicrobiol J*, 30, 840-850.
- STABNIKOV, V., JIAN, C., IVANOV, V. & LI, Y. 2013. Halotolerant, alkaliphilic
  urease-producing bacteria from different climate zones and their application for
  biocementation of sand. *WJ Microbiol Biotechnol*, 29, 1453-1460.
- STALEY, B. F., SAIKALY, P. E., DE LOS REYES, F. L., 3RD & BARLAZ, M. A. 2011.
  Critical evaluation of solid waste sample processing for DNA-based microbial
  community analysis. *Biodegradation*, 22, 189-204.
- STOCKS-FISCHER, S., GALINAT, J. K. & BANG, S. S. 1999. Microbiological
   precipitation of CaCO3. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 31, 1563-1571.
- WANG, Y.-Y., YAO, Q.-Z., ZHOU, G.-T. & FU, S.-Q. 2013. Formation of elongated
  calcite mesocrystals and implication for biomineralization. *Chem Geol*, 360-361,
  126-133.
- XU, J., DU, Y., JIANG, Z. & SHE, A. 2015. Effects of Calcium Source on Biochemical
  Properties of Microbial CaCO<sub>3</sub> Precipitation. *Front Microbiol*, 6, 1-7.

532	ZAMARREŇO, D. V., INKPEN, R. & MAY, E. 2009. Carbonate crystals precipitated by
533	freshwater bacteria and their use as a limestone consolidant. Appl Environ
534	Microbiol, 75, 5981-5990.
535	
536	
537	
538	
539	
540	
541	
542	
543	
544	
545	
546	
547	
548	
549	
550	
551	
552	
553	
554	
555	
556	Figure Legends

**Fig 1. (A)** Changes in the pH of the biomineralisation medium (along with a blank) during the growth of the bacteria isolated from groundwater. **(B)** Changes in the pH of the biomineralisation medium (along with a blank) during the growth of the bacteria isolated from leachate. Data points are means of experiments performed in triplicate and error bars represent the variations obtained during the pH readings.

Fig 2. Spherical calcite crystals found in solutions containing (A) Bacillus licheniformis 562 SZH2015 A, (1) fusing of two calcite crystals. (B) Bacillus pumilus szhxjlu2015, (2) 563 fibrous patterns on the surface of a spherical calcite crystal. (C) Bacillus sp. xilu herc15, 564 (3) very small calcite crystals ( $<30\mu m$ ) on the surface of a single calcite crystal. (D) 565 566 Bacillus licheniformis adseedstio15, (4) single spherical calcite crystal connected with non-spherical calcite crystals. (E) Bacillus aerius rawirorabr15, (5) small calcite crystals 567  $(50-75\mu m)$  fused together on the top of a calcite crystals, (6) minor cracks observed on the 568 surface of a calcite crystals and non-spherical calcite crystal with platy overlapping layers 569 on the surface of the calcite crystal observed in. (F) abiotic solution showing rhombohedral 570 crystal forms. 571

Fig 3. Scanning electron micrographs showing mineral precipitates formed in the presence
of *Pseudomonas nitroreducens* szh\_asesj15 (A) Radiating growth structures in the crystal
(1) and internal fusing lines on a spherical calcite crystal (2). (B) Arrows indicate bacterial
imprints on the surface of calcite crystals formed in the presence of *Sphingopyxis* sp.
szh adharsh.

Fig 4. XRD spectra indicating multiple calcite and vaterite peaks in all five bacterial
isolates and the blank. (A) *Bacillus licheniformis* SZH2015\_A; (B) *Bacillus pumilus*

579	szhxjlu2015; (C) Bacillus sp. xjlu_herc15; (D) Bacillus licheniformis adseedstjo15; (E)
580	<i>Bacillus aerius</i> rawirorabr15 and <b>(F)</b> abiotic solution. (Ca= Calcite; V= Vaterite).
581	Fig 5. XRD spectra showing multiple calcites and a single vaterite peak for the bacterial
582	samples. A = <i>Pseudomonas nitroreducens</i> szh_asesj15; B = <i>Sphingopyxis</i> sp. szh_adharsh.
583	Ca=Calcite and V=Vaterite respectively.
584	Fig 6. Calcium carbonate precipitation with error bars for individual bacterial solutions (A)
585	Bacillus sp. xjlu_herc15 (B) Bacillus licheniformis adseedstjo15 (C) Bacillus licheniformis
586	SZH2015_A (D) Bacillus aerius rawirorabr15 (E) Bacillus pumilus szhxjlu2015 (F)
587	Pseudomonas nitroreducens szh_asesj15 (G) Sphingopyxis sp. szh_adharsh and (H)

589

Source	Accession number	Name of bacteria	Percentage identity	Closest relative in Genbank with accession number
Landfill leachate (raw)	LC090023	Bacillus licheniformis SZH2015_A	98%	Bacillus licheniformis LRF2X (KX364925)
Landfill leachate (raw)	LC092830	Bacillus pumilus szhxjlu2015	98%	Bacillus pumilus Bp02 (KJ438145)
Landfill leachate(fresh)	LC092831	Bacillus sp. xjlu_herc15	97%	Uncultured Bacillus sp. clone CBHOS- 08(EU371582)
Landfill leachate (fresh)	LC092832	Bacillus licheniformis adseedstjo15	98%	Bacillus licheniformis LRF2X (KX364925)
Landfill leachate (fresh)	LC092833	Bacillus aerius rawirorabr15	99%	Bacillus aerius CCMMB945(KF879282)
Landfill groundwater	LC090854	Pseudomonas nitroreducens szh_asesj15	98%	Pseudomonas nitroreducens TA-E11 (KX682023)
Landfill	LC090855	<i>Sphingopyxis</i> sp.	99%	Sphingopyxis sp. AX-A (Jq418293)

szh\_adharsh

groundwater

**Table 1.** Details of the 16S rRNA gene sequences retrieved from bacteria isolated from Landfill raw and fresh leachates and groundwater, respectively.





Fig. 1. (a) Charges in the pH of the toronnerainsation mecium (acong with a boand) during the growth of the bacteria isolated from groundwater. (B) Charges in the pH of the biomineralisation medium (along with a blank) during the growth of the bacteria isolated from leachate. Data points are means of experiments performed in triplicate and error bars represent the variations oblained during the pH readings.

Fig 1. (A) Changes in the pH of the biomineralisation medium (along with a blank) during the growth of the bacteria isolated from groundwater. (B) Changes in the pH of the biomineralisation medium (along with a blank) during the growth of the bacteria isolated from leachate. Data points are means of experiments performed in triplicate and error bars represent the variations obtained during the pH readings.

140x396mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Bacillus aerius rawirorabri 5. (5) small calcite crystalis (30-75µm) fused together on the top of a calcite crystalis, (6) minor cracks observed on the surface of a calcite crystalis and non-spherical calcite crystal with platy overlapping layers on the surface of the calcite crystal observed in. (F) blank showing thembohedral crystal forms.

Fig 2. Spherical calcite crystals found in solutions containing (A) Bacillus licheniformis SZH2015\_A, (1) fusing of two calcite crystals. (B) Bacillus pumilus szhxjlu2015, (2) fibrous patterns on the surface of a spherical calcite crystal. (C) Bacillus sp. xjlu\_herc15, (3) very small calcite crystals (<30µm) on the surface of a single calcite crystal. (D) Bacillus licheniformis adseedstjo15, (4) single spherical calcite crystal connected with non-spherical calcite crystals. (E) Bacillus aerius rawirorabr15, (5) small calcite crystals (50-75µm) fused together on the top of a calcite crystals, (6) minor cracks observed on the surface of a calcite crystal sand non-spherical calcite crystal with platy overlapping layers on the surface of the calcite crystal observed in. (F) abiotic solution showing rhombohedral crystal forms.</li>

143x372mm (300 x 300 DPI)



W1 pH 7.5 0003 2015/06/17 N ×1.2k 50 um W2 pH 7.50002 2015/07/23 N



Fig 3. Scanning electron micrographs showing mineral precipitates formed in the presence of Pseudomonas nitroreducens szh\_asesj15 (A) Radiating growth structures in the crystal (1) and internal fusing lines on a spherical calcite crystal (2). (B) Arrows indicate bacterial imprints on the surface of calcite crystals formed in the presence of Sphingopyxis sp. szh\_adharsh.

140x198mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig 4. XRD spectra indicating multiple calcite and vaterite peaks in all five bacterial isolates and the blank. (A) Bacillus licheniformis SZH2015\_A; (B) Bacillus pumilus szhxjlu2015; (C) Bacillus sp. xjlu\_herc15; (D) Bacillus licheniformis adseedstjo15; (E) Bacillus aerius rawirorabr15 and (F) blank. (Ca= Calcite; V= Vaterite).

Fig 4. XRD spectra indicating multiple calcite and vaterite peaks in all five bacterial isolates and the blank. (A) Bacillus licheniformis SZH2015\_A; (B) Bacillus pumilus szhxjlu2015; (C) Bacillus sp. xjlu\_herc15; (D) Bacillus licheniformis adseedstjo15; (E) Bacillus aerius rawirorabr15 and (F) abiotic solution. (Ca= Calcite; V= Vaterite).

143x186mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Fig 5. XRD spectra showing multiple calcites and a single vaterite peak for the bacterial samples. A = Pseudomonas nitroreducens szh\_asesj15; B = Sphingopyxis sp. szh\_adharsh. Ca=Calcite and V=Vaterite respectively.

143x186mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig 6. Calcium carbonate precipitation with error bars for individual bacterial solutions (A) Bacillus sp. xjlu\_herc15 (B) Bacillus licheniformis adseedstjo15 (C) Bacillus licheniformis SZH2015\_A (D) Bacillus aerius rawirorabr15 (E) Bacillus pumilus szhxjlu2015 (F) Pseudomonas nitroreducens szh\_asesj15 (G) Sphingopyxis sp. szh\_adharsh and (H) blank.

Fig 6. Calcium carbonate precipitation with error bars for individual bacterial solutions (A) Bacillus sp. xjlu\_herc15 (B) Bacillus licheniformis adseedstjo15 (C) Bacillus licheniformis SZH2015\_A (D) Bacillus aerius rawirorabr15 (E) Bacillus pumilus szhxjlu2015 (F) Pseudomonas nitroreducens szh\_asesj15 (G) Sphingopyxis sp. szh\_adharsh and (H) abiotic solution.

143x186mm (300 x 300 DPI)