

The identification of salient beliefs concerning university students' decision to participate in sport

ST QUINTON, Tom and BRUNTON, Julie http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5808-0168

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at: http://shura.shu.ac.uk/21003/

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

ST QUINTON, Tom and BRUNTON, Julie (2018). The identification of salient beliefs concerning university students' decision to participate in sport. Recreational Sports Journal, 42 (1), 48-63.

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

1	Thomas St Quinton and Julie Brunton
2	
3	Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, UK
4	
5	Author Note
6	
7	Thomas St Quinton, Department of Sport, Health and Nutrition, Leeds Trinity
8	University, Leeds, United Kingdom; Julie Brunton, Department of Sport, Health and
9	Nutrition, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, United Kingdom
10	
11	Julie Brunton is now at the School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Leeds,
12	Leeds, United Kingdom
13	
14	This research was supported by a Leeds Trinity University PhD Studentship Award.
15	The authors would like to thank Andrew Bradshaw for his role in inter-rater reliability.
16	
17	Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Thomas St Quinton,
18	Department of Sport, Health and Nutrition, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, United
19	Kingdom. Email: t.stquinton@leedstrinity.ac.uk
20	

21 Abstract

The aim of this study was to identify salient beliefs towards university provided recreational sport in first year undergraduate students. A purposive sample of 76 students (36 males, 40 females; mean age: 19.2 ± 1.7 years) undertaking various degree subjects at a higher education institution in the North of England, UK, was used in the study. The instrument was a theory-based open-ended questionnaire informed by the Theory of Planned Behavior addressing behavioral, normative and control beliefs. Thematic content analysis and coding was conducted on 30 randomly selected questionnaires followed by a frequency count to identify the modal salient beliefs. The modal set revealed 17 beliefs from a possible 53; six behavioral, five normative, and six control. These beliefs were related to health benefits, enjoyment, friendships, time constraints, study workloads, awareness, and the perception of family, friends, and academics. The results highlight the factors that should be targeted for intervention and provide data to be utilized for a second main quantitative study which will identify more specific belief targets. Due to equivocal intervention success, this formative research can serve to help increase the number of students participating in university recreational sport.

Keywords: Theory of Planned Behavior, recreational sports, physical activity, intervention

With a decline in various health related behaviors often seen in late adolescence, higher education settings provide great opportunities to target improvements (Hensley, 2000; Kwan, Bray, & Martin Ginis, 2009; Leslie, Sparling & Owen, 2001). The provision of sport and recreation activities has demonstrated numerous benefits within academia including an increase in success rates (Huesman, Brown, Lee, Kellogg, & Radcliffe, 2009) and a reduction in stress (Kanters, 2000). Furthermore, the greater sense of campus community promoted through such activites (Elkins, Forrester, & Noël-Elkins, 2011) can contribute to the improvement of retention rates (Kampf & Teske, 2013). Scott and Willits (1998) also found that the performance of various leisure activities, including sport, continued to be performed in adulthood when done so during adolescence.

Despite these benefits, participation in sport usually decreases when students begin university (Gucciardi & Jackson, 2015). Similar results have been found in physical activity (PA) (Bray & Born, 2004; Romaguera et al., 2011). Bray and Born (2004) found a 22% decrease in the numbers who performed PA prior to university compared to the first two months of life in higher education (66% were active prior compared to the 44% during). Although sport and PA may share similarities, there are differences between the two. Sport includes some amount of physical exertion, but it also includes organized conditions and rules (Coakley, 2009). As such, this paper uses sport to refer to those organized activities provided by the university.

Another important distinction concerns the nature of sport offered in higher education. In the UK, higher institutions offer both organized formal competitions and recreational activities. Regarding the former, British Universities and Colleges Sport (BUCS) provide institutions with the opportunity to compete with one another in a variety of sports. However, similar to the interscholastic model used in the United States, this approach limits the number of students that can participate (Kanters, Bocarro, Edwards, Casper, & Floyd, 2013). As less

sporty students may be put off participating or may withdraw due to its competitive nature (Wechsler, Devereaux, Davis, & Collins, 2000; Weiss & Ferrer-Caja, 2002), ensuring that participation is not based around athletic ability is important (Barnett, Morgan, van Beurden, Ball, & Lubans, 2011). As an alternative, UK institutions also offer additional informal and intramural activities promoted using a noncompetitive process, lack of membership subscriptions, and flexible timetabling. Despite catering to include all students (Tsigilis, Masmanidids, & Koustelios, 2009), a limited number of students participating in these recreational sporting activities has been found (Sport England, 2012).

Upon recognizing the important role institutions have in developing and maintaining interest in sporting activities, Sport England committed itself to involving over 75% of university students in sport as part of the 2012-2017 Sport England Youth and Community Strategy (Sport England, 2012). Specifically, the organization has recently made considerable investments into two large projects with the aim of establishing a sporting habit for life by attracting school and college leavers to participate in sport at least once a week for thirty minutes. The Active Universities showed a 2% increase in participation across three years, with the majority of change seen during the first year (2011-2012). As such, during the remaining two years there was no increase in sporting participation (Sport England, 2014). Similar modest gains have been observed in the Sport Activation Fund to date. These limited affects could be explained by the neglect of psychological behavior change theory in the development of the interventions, especially as interventions underpinned by theory have been shown to demonstrate effectiveness above atheoretical approaches (Taylor, Conner, & Lawton, 2012). From the plethora of behavior change theories available, one of the most cited, utilized and critiqued is the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB; Ajzen, 1985).

According to the TPB, an individual's intention is the proximal determinant of their behavior and represents a person's motivation of their conscience plan or decision to exert

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

factors, namely attitude, subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control. The attitude component refers to the individual's perception toward the behavior, whether it be favorable or unfavorable (Fishbein & Ajzen, 2009). Subjective norm concerns perceptions of social pressure from significant others to perform the behavior (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980). Perceived behavioral control relates to the perceptions of the ease and difficulty of actually performing the behavior. Just as intentions are held to have determinants, attitude, subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control are also held to have determinants in the form of beliefs. As individuals hold a large number of beliefs relevant to a specific behavior and can only attend to a relatively small number at any given time (Miller, 1956), the TPB postulates that it is these salient behavioral, normative and control beliefs that govern behavior (Ajzen, 2002). Behavioral beliefs are the perceived consequences of engaging in behavior, and people's evaluation of these consequences (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980). Normative beliefs are the perceived expectations of important referents such as family members, friends, and doctors, and by a person's motivation to comply with the wishes of these important others (Ajzen, 1985). Finally, control beliefs are people's evaluation about the presence of factors that may facilitate or impede performance of the behavior (Ajzen & Madden, 1986). One of the most important recommendations of the TPB is that belief elicitation must

effort to perform the behavior (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1980). Intention is determined by three

be conducted, which highlights beliefs important for change and identifies suitable intervention belief-based targets (Ajzen, 2002). As beliefs vary from population to population (Fishbein & Manfredo, 1992), elicitation should be conducted specific to each behavior. To define behavior precisely, Ajzen (1988) asserts that the *target*, *time*, *action* and *context* must be taken into consideration (TACT). Although this process is arbitrary, the purpose of this strict procedure is a consequence of a change in one of these elements will redefine the behavior. Although it is more effective to elicit individual beliefs and deliver tailored

interventions, it is more practical to gain the beliefs held most commonly amongst the population through the identification of the modal set. The elicitation study is then followed by a main quantitative study which highlights those specific beliefs to target. Elicitation studies are conducted using open-ended questions within a questionnaire, focus groups, or interviews (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980), with questionnaires more commonly used due to the time taken to transcribe and identify key themes. There is no definitive sample size (Epton et al., 2015), with ranges varying considerably (Downs & Hausenblas, 2005a). Despite this, a small convenience sample within the target population is appropriate as long as a comprehensive range of salient beliefs are captured (Francis et al., 2004). Saturation techniques are employed whereby additional data yields little further information (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980).

As the modal set is not based on idiosyncratic beliefs (Ajzen, 1991) and may therefore include beliefs not relevant to each participant (Francis et al., 2010), Sutton (2002) suggests there must be a trade-off between maximizing the number of the person's salient beliefs that fall in the modal set and minimizing the number of beliefs that aren't salient to the individual. Various methods have been used to conduct this. For example, Chatzisarantis and Hagger (2005) selected the three to five most salient beliefs whereas Ungar, Sieverding, Ulrich and Wiskemann (2015) and Rowe et al. (2016) included those beliefs that a minimum of three participants had identified. A widely used procedure has been the use of a percentage criterion whereby beliefs mentioned between 20-30% of the sample are identified as being modal (e.g., Epton et al., 2015; Spinks & Hamilton, 2015; Vayro & Hamilton, 2016).

According to Vayro and Hamilton (2016), this number ensures that a wide range of underlying beliefs are included for the main study.

The theory has received a huge amount of attention with hundreds of cross-sectional studies attesting to the predictive validity of attitude, subjective norm, and perceived

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

Compared to the plethora of prediction studies, there has been a surprisingly small number undertaking the elicitation procedure (Fishbein & Middlestadt, 1995). Although prediction studies are useful, the information gained is insufficient for intervention development. For example, Gucciardi and Jackson (2015) found attitude and perceived behavioral control to explain intention to continue participation in sport. However, it is unclear as to the beliefs influencing these determinants and to therefore target. As a consequence, interventions are often created on intuition (Quine, Rutter, & Arnold, 2001) or by targeting beliefs that have been identified to be similar to their own target behavior (Curtis, Weiler, & Ham, 2010). However, guessing influential beliefs or utilizing beliefs from another study involving a different context may not represent the perceptions of those under investigation (de Leeuw, Valois, Ajzen & Schmidt, 2015). A meta-analysis by Webb, Joseph, Yardley and Michie (2010) examining online interventions to change various health behaviors found that although many were based on the TPB, none of them correctly conducted the elicitation process. The targeting of non-salient beliefs (Hardeman et al., 2002) could, perhaps, explain why interventions using the TPB have demonstrated limited effectiveness to date (Ajzen, 2015). Despite the paucity of elicitation studies, a few studies have informed the development of PA interventions for undergraduate students (Cowie & Hamilton, 2014; Epton et al., 2015; Riecken, Mark, & Rhodes, 2013). For example, Epton et al. (2015) found that a behavioral, normative, and control belief concerned 'health', 'family', and 'time restrictions' respectively. Although studies concerning PA and sport may identify overlapping beliefs, participation in sport could be underpinned by different perceptions and would thus require alternative interventions. In line with Henderson's (2009, p. 64) suggestion that 'the motivators for sports participation are likely quite different than the motivators to exercise for most people', Kilpatrick, Hebert, and Bartholomew (2005) found

behavioral control (Downs & Hausenblas, 2005b; Hagger, Chatzisarantis, & Biddle, 2002).

that exercise participation was influenced by perceptions of appearance whereas sport participation was governed by enjoyment factors. In a study more closely related to sport, Sniehotta (2009) conducted an experimental study to change elicited behavioral, normative, and control beliefs concerning the use of university sport and recreation services. Although the belief elicitation was not reported separately, some of the beliefs targeted during the interventions included 'health', 'family', 'time', and 'feelings of discomfort or embarrassment.' However, as this study concerned a wide range of sports available, including both competitive and recreational, as well as use of the gym facilities, different beliefs may be required for intervention design where gym facilities are not included. It could therefore, be more beneficial to conduct an elicitation study regarding recreational sport in isolation.

In summary, there has been a lack of elicitation studies conducted concerning participation in university sport, with the majority of studies focusing on PA. This subtle, yet important distinction could result in the identification of different beliefs, meaning alternative interventions would be necessary. Furthermore, those that have targeted sport have done so without distinguishing between the recreational and competitive sports offered which, again, fail to differentiate between different beliefs. As far as the authors are aware, no study has conducted elicitation with first year university students concerning participation in university provided recreational sports. Given the minimal success of interventions to date (Sport England, 2012) and the encouragement to use theory in the development of interventions (Michie, Johnston, Francis, Hardeman, & Eccles, 2008), it is important that such research is conducted concerning the behavior within this subpopulation.

Due to the lack of research in the UK examining participation in higher education sport, the purpose of the study was to conduct an elicitation study specifically aimed at highlighting the salient behavioral, normative, and control beliefs to participate in recreational sport provided by a university. This formative work is crucial as it identifies

potential targets for intervention and also informs a proceeding quantitative study which will highlight more specific beliefs to be altered during intervention.

197 Method

Sample

A sample of 80 students was selected at a small sized higher education institution in the North of England (36 males, 40 females; mean age = 19.2 ± 1.7 years). The response rate was 76 with 4 non-attendees at class during the time the survey was administered. Participants from different programs of study were selected in order to generalize to the wider first year population. The number of participants recruited and their respective degree courses were as follows: Nutrition, Food and Health (n=20), Secondary Physical Education and Sports Coaching (n=20), Child and Family Welfare Studies (n=18), and English (n=18). First year students were selected due to the decline in activity that this demographic has previously shown (Kwan et al., 2009) and the various benefits that can be seen. The study was undertaken in the second semester to allow ethical clearance to occur.

Procedure

As the study aimed to generalize to the first year population, a purposive sampling technique was used to ensure the inclusion of different subject areas. Prior to data collection, ethical approval was gained from the University board in Semester 1 (September – December), hence the study was undertaken in Semester 2 (January – June). The researcher made prior contact with academic lecturers via email to establish participant availability and lecture times. As recruitment was seen as being potentially problematic, this strategy was seen to ensure a higher response rate. Once teaching times and locations were established, the researcher approached the participants in class, after lectures and tutorials had finished. The researcher gave a brief overview of the study purpose and their potential involvement in it.

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

Students who were happy to participate were asked to read the participant information sheet and sign the consent form. The participant information sheet explained the study in more detail and included a definition of the behavior. This definition was formed using the TACT principle, which was explained more within the detailed description of the instrument. To emphasize the importance of this principle, the definition was also stated verbally by the researcher prior to questionnaire initiation. Furthermore, to ensure that participants understood what was meant by 'participation in sport', similar to Sutton et al. (2003), examples of the behavior were given by the researcher. For example, the researcher provided examples of university recreational sports such as 'tennis' and 'squash' that were explained to be part of the university recreational sports offered outside of the BUCS competitive sport leagues at this university. It was also explained that university sport concerned the sports that the university provided both on and off campus and was not targeting those offered by governing bodies (i.e., BUCS), nor did it relate to elite sports participation. This was due to the difference between competitive and non-competitive sport previously highlighted. Participants were therefore clear regarding the behavioral definition and were instructed to follow this definition throughout the questionnaire. The researcher explained to participants that participation was optional and that they were under no pressure to partake. Participants were assured of confidentiality and anonymity and were given the opportunity to ask any questions. Participants were asked to complete the questionnaire without interacting with other participants. The questionnaire took approximately 15 minutes to complete. Upon completion, participants were thanked for their involvement in the study.

Instrument

The study developed a questionnaire to assess behavioral, normative and control beliefs towards participating in recreational sport at university. This was done using recommended guidelines of Ajzen (2002) and questions utilized in prior elicitation studies

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

(e.g., Rhodes, Blanchard, Courneya, & Plotnikoff, 2009; Vayro & Hamilton, 2015). Using the TACT principle (Ajzen, 1991), the study followed the recommendations of Sport England (2014) to define the behavior as the following: sports (target), participation (action), at university (context), once a week, for 30 minutes (time). The definition was provided within the questionnaire and, as already highlighted, was emphasized verbally by the researcher.

Behavioral beliefs were assessed using three questions; 'What do you see as the advantages of you participating in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month?', 'What do you see as the disadvantages of you participating in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month?', and 'What else comes to mind when you think about participating in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month?' Normative beliefs were assessed by asking the following; 'Please list the types of individuals or groups who would approve or think you should participate in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month', 'Please list the individuals or groups who would disapprove or think you should not participate in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month' and 'Are there any other individuals or groups who come to mind when you think about participating in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month?' Control beliefs were accessed by asking; 'Please list any factors or circumstances that would make it easy or enable you to participate in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month', 'Please list any factors or circumstances that would make it difficult or prevent you from participating in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month?' and 'Are there any other issues that come to mind when you think about the difficulty of participating in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month?'

The questionnaire also included items concerning the following demographics: age, gender, and course of study.

Data analysis

From the 76 questionnaires obtained, 30 questionnaires were selected at random to be analyzed. This is a number within the range of those typically used in elicitation studies, with that number specifically used by Belanger-Gravel, Godin, Bilodeau, Poirier and Dagenais (2013). To ensure that saturation had been reached, the study followed the analysis of the initial 30 questionnaires with the analysis of another three (i.e. the 31st, 32nd, and 33rd). Thus, thirty questionnaires were analyzed first, followed by a subsequent three. This consecutive rule has been used in a prior study (Robertson, Mullan, & Todd, 2014) and is suggested to be effective (Francis et al., 2010). To select the questionnaires randomly, they were first divided into the four degree programs and each third questionnaire was selected. In total, this procedure led to the analysis of the following numbers from the various degree courses; Nutrition, Food and Health (n=8), Secondary PE and Sports Coaching (n=7), Childhood and Welfare Studies (n=7), and English (n=8). An additional questionnaire from the first three programs were selected as the saturated questionnaires.

Data were analyzed using an iterative deductive-inductive approach. Thematic content analysis initially identified broad categories which were then refined into themes. This was attained by identifying frequently cited words and phrases. For example, the belief "enjoyment" was created from responses such as "have fun" and "it's a laugh." This represented the inductive approach. Following the analysis of thirty questionnaires, no new beliefs were added beyond this number as the following three questionnaires only yielded repetitive information (Glaser & Strauss, 1967). With saturation reached, categories were developed from the responses of 30 participants. These categories were then placed under the TPB belief-based headings (behavioral, normative, and control). The utilization of this

deductive approach allowed for the development of a coding frame which was used to identify the frequency of responses. A frequency count was used to identify the number of responses for each category. To ensure reliability of the frequency count, a second coder assisted with this procedure. Specifically, the second coder analyzed fifteen randomly selected questionnaires from the thirty analyzed by the main researcher. A similar procedure to the above provided the randomization. The results of the coder matched those of the researcher, thus inter-rater reliability was achieved (100% agreement). Finally, the modal set was gained by arranging the number of responses per belief in descending order under their respective category (behavioral, normative and control) and applying the 30% criterion (Spinks & Hamilton, 2015). That is, those beliefs mentioned by at least 30% of the sample were selected as the modal set and those mentioned by less than 30% of participants were not retained.

305 Results

A total of 53 beliefs were elicited; 18 behavioral, 11 normative, and 24 control. When the 30% rule was applied, 17 beliefs remained; six behavioral, five normative, and six control (see Table 1). This is consistent with prior elicitation studies, with a mean of seven behavioral, four normative and six control found in a systematic review (Downs & Hausenblas, 2005a).

[Table 1 near here]

Behavioral beliefs

As can be seen in Table 1, four behavioral beliefs were elicited relating to the

advantages of performing recreational sport at university and two beliefs relating to the disadvantages. Thus, six behavioral beliefs were mentioned in total by a minimum of 10 participants (30%). The advantage mentioned most frequently was 'health and fitness', followed by 'enjoyment', 'opportunities to meet new people' and 'improves mental well-being'. The disadvantages were that sport can be 'time consuming' and the 'attention taken away from University studies'.

Normative beliefs

Table 1 shows the normative beliefs elicited by at least 30% of the sample. Two referents were highlighted as being approving and three seen to be disapproving. Both of those that were seen to approve the behavior were also seen to disapprove of it. Specifically, the influence of friends was seen as being equally the most salient positive (80%) and negative normative referent (53.3%). Family members were also seen to largely approve and disapprove of the behavior. Academic staff was the only referent mentioned in one of the categories, with 40% stating that this particular referent would not be supportive of their decision to participate in recreational university sport.

Control beliefs

As shown in Table 1, six control beliefs were elicited from the sample when the 30% criterion was applied. Having 'less time constraints' was the main belief that would make sports participation easier with 76.7% sharing this view. Following this, 11 participants (36.7%) stated that 'awareness' would ease participation and 33.3% had concerns relating to 'study'. Issues regarding academic study were also mentioned as an inhibitor with 56.7% of the sample claiming that this made sports participation more difficult. 'Time restrictions' was the next salient belief pertaining to difficulty (46.7%), followed by a lack of motivation (43.3%).

340 Discussion

The aim of this study was to identify the modal salient behavioral, normative, and control beliefs to participate in recreational sport at university within a sample of first year undergraduate students. This is the first study, to our knowledge, that has done so using the elicitation procedure outlined within the TPB. As such, similarities and differences will be discussed in relation to elicitation studies concerning sports and recreation facilities, and PA.

Behavioral beliefs

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

A salient behavioral advantage concerned health and fitness which is unsurprising, particularly as students are educated individuals and both the short and long-term benefits are well known (Lumpkin, 2011). This belief has also been elicited within PA studies (Cowie & Hamilton, 2014; Epton et al., 2015). What is surprising, however, is that this belief was mentioned more frequently than the enjoyable nature of sport. Such a finding is not in line with those of Kilpatrick et al. (2005) who found such affective beliefs to be related to sport. Although the belief wasn't the most modally salient, it is interesting to note that enjoyment was included within the modal set whereas perceptions of the tangible, competitive nature of sport were not. This supports the notion that perceptions vary between the nature of sport offered (Kanters, Bocarro, Greenwood, Casper, Suau, & McKenzie, 2012; Weiss & Fener-Caja, 2002). Specifically, the results suggest that recreational sport is attributed to factors of enjoyment as opposed to competition. The improvement of mental well-being has been supported by Sniehotta (2009) and it is well-documented that sport participation can reduce stress (Kanters, 2000). The opportunity of friendship gains is also common amongst the university sample (e.g., Cowie & Hamilton, 2014; Epton et al., 2015; Riecken et al., 2013). The time that sport takes alongside potential impacts on academic study were seen as disadvantages of participation. Such findings may be attributed to the life transitions and increased responsibilities that first year students contend with (Bray & Bom, 2004). Such

concerns are also common within PA elicitation studies (Cowie & Hamilton, 2014; Epton et al., 2015). Together this suggests that engaging in behaviors concerning recreational sport and PA are perceived to be a hindrance in that they may interfere with study.

The elicited behavioral beliefs suggest that the physical and mental health related benefits of recreational sport should be emphasized alongside the opportunities to make new friendships and have fun. Furthermore, the time that participation takes up and the negative influence that it can have on academic studies should also be downplayed. If successfully performed, a resulting positive attitude, intention and behavior should ensue (Fishbein & Ajzen, 2009).

Normative beliefs

Due to the opportunities recreational sport provides for social groups, particularly amongst those students adjusting to life in their first academic year, it is not surprising that friends were mentioned as the most influential referent. The encouragement of friends has been found within sports recreational facilities (Sniehotta, 2009). With time spent away from family, it may be surprising that family members have an influence on students' perceptions. Nevertheless, due to the adaption process of first year study and as has been highlighted within a number of PA studies (Cowie & Hamilton, 2014; Epton et al., 2015), contact with family members is often maintained. Finally, academic staff were seen to be discouraging of the behavior. Within extra-curricular classes, it is common for such referents to be perceived as being negative (Anderson, Layland, & Ling, 2013). Although these referents were identified within the modal set, the prediction study of Gucciardi and Jackson (2015) failed to find support for the subjective norms construct, thus suggesting its role is limited in sports participation. However, as the study focused on competitive sports, it could be that normative referents do not neccesarilyy approve of such competitive environments and play a more significant role in recreational sports, as demonstrated in the present study. A sense of

campus community developed from such recreational sports (Elkins et al., 2011) rather than pressures from referents such as teammates or gym users (Sniehotta, 2009) suggests that different normative beliefs underpin recreational university sport.

These results suggest that interventions should focus particularly on the perceptions that friends, family members, and academic staff have towards students participating in recreational sport.

Control beliefs

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

Two facilitators were also identified as inhibitors with beliefs concerning time and study mentioned in both categories. Time constraints were found as a control belief within university sports facilities (Sniehotta, 2009) as well as undergraduates' decision to perform PA (Epton et al., 2015; Riecken et al., 2013). The similarities between those and the present study suggest that first year students perceive they lack the time to perform these types of behaviors. Similar to this belief, over half of the sample put forth a barrier relating to that of academic studies. Cowie and Hamilton (2014) found study commitments were the most salient control belief in new students' decision to participate in PA. The final belief elicited by at least 30% of the sample concerning the ease of participation was 'awareness', which was not found in other elicitation studies. A lack of knowledge has been highlighted in literature away from TPB research however, with the suggestion that organizers should 'effectively advertise and promote their programs/activities' (Masmanidis Gargalianos & Kosta, 2009, p. 164). Finally, a lack of motivation was also mentioned as a barrier. Similar to Cowie and Hamilton (2014), it could be that the transition to university leaves students feeling demotivated to participate in recreational sport. It is interesting to note that feelings of embarrassment identified in Sniehotta's (2009) study were not found here. This may be due to the nature of recreational sport participation, with students not too concerned about how they are perceived.

In summary, the results concerning control beliefs suggest that time constraints, academic study, awareness, and motivation should all be targets for intervention. In doing so, there is a potential to increase sporting participation.

Limitations of the Present Study

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

Although the study highlights salient beliefs in a university sample, it is not without limitations. First, the beliefs elicited may not be representative of the whole university population and may also not be generalizable to other institutions. Second, the study was cross-sectional meaning that it is possible that beliefs were a result of behavior rather than a causal role of behavior. Next, the study utilized a 30% cut off criteria to highlight modal salient beliefs, therefore a number of beliefs were not included within the final set. However, as there is no specific way to select modal beliefs, it is difficult to include the beliefs of all participants. Further, the omitted beliefs could still prove useful by being introduced in intervention. Although intervention targets were highlighted utilizing the TPB framework, the theory is silent in how to actually achieve change. As such, it can be difficult to know which methods and techniques should be used. The recently developed taxonomy of change (Michie et al., 2013) aims to classify behavior change techniques and can be used to facilitate practitioners in altering identified cognitive processes. For example, planning strategies can be used to negate issues of time (Gollwitzer, 1996). Finally, the study did not identify whether there were any meaningful differences between the courses studied. As the study aimed to provide a generalized number of beliefs representative of the student population, analysis of individual degree courses was not deemed important. If, however, the researcher is interested in identifying beliefs relating to a specific course of study, it would be best to elicit from those within that population.

Conclusions and Future Prospects

Using the TPB, the present study highlighted seventeen modal salient beliefs relating

to participation in recreational university sport. This research provides two avenues for future research. First, beliefs identified within the study could be target for intervention. Second, the results can inform the development of a quantitative study highlighting more specific key beliefs to target (Ajzen, 2006). Undergoing such rigorous formative work may lead to significant improvements in the number of students participating in university recreational sport.

446	References
447	
448	Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. In J. Kuhl & J.
449	Beckman (Eds.), Action control: From cognitions to behaviours (pp. 11-39).
450	Heidelberg: Springer.
451	Ajzen, I. (1988). Attitudes, personality and behavior. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.
452	Ajzen, I. (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human
453	Decision Processes, 50, 179-211.
454	Ajzen, I. (2002). Perceived Behavioral Control, Self-Efficacy, Locus of Control, and the
455	Theory of Planned Behavior. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 32, 665-683.
456	Ajzen, I. (2006). Behavioral interventions based on the Theory of Planned Behavior.
457	Retrieved from http://people.umass.edu/aizen/pdf/tpb.intervention.pdf.
458	Ajzen, I. (2015). The Theory of Planned Behaviour is alive and well, and not ready to retire:
459	a commentary on Sniehotta, Presseau, and Araújo-Soares. Health Psychology Review,
460	9, 131-7.
461	Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior.
462	Englewood-Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
463	Ajzen, I., & Madden, T. J. (1986). Prediction of goal-directed behaviour: Attitudes,
464	intentions, and perceived behavioral control. Journal of Experimental Social
465	Psychology, 22, 453-474.
466	Anderson, S. D., Leyland, S. D., & Ling, J. (2013). UK adolescent school pupils' beliefs
467	about participation in extra-curricular dance. Sport and Art, 1, 75-81.
468	Barnett, L. M., Morgan, P. J., Van Beurden, E., Ball, K., & Lubans, D. R. (2011). A reverse
469	pathway: Actual and perceived skill proficiency and physical activity. Medicine &
470	Science in Sports & Exercise, 43, 898-904.

471	Belanger-Gravel, A., Godin, G., Bilodeau, A., Poirier, P., & Dagenais, G. R. (2013). Physical
472	activity beliefs among overweight/obese older adults: Results from a theory of
473	planned behavior elicitation study. International Journal of Sport Psychology, 44,
474	145-159.
475	Bray, S. R., & Born, H. A. (2004). Transition to university and vigorous activity:
473	Bray, S. R., & Born, H. M. (2001). Transition to university and vigorous activity.
476	Implications for health and psychological well-being. Journal of American College
477	Health, 52, 181-188.
478	Chatzisarantis, N. L. D., & Hagger, M. S. (2005). Effects of a brief intervention based on the
479	theory of planned behavior on leisure time physical activity participation. Journal of
480	Sport and Exercise Psychology, 27, 470-487.
481	Coakley, J. J. (2009). Sport in society: Issues and controversies. New York: McGraw-Hill.
482	Cowie, E., & Hamilton, K. (2014). Key beliefs related to decisions for physical activity
483	engagement among first-in-family students transitioning to university. Journal of
484	Community Health, 39, 719–726.
485	Curtis, J., Weiler, B., & Ham, S. (2010). Identifying beliefs underlying visitor behaviour: A
486	comparative elicitation study based on the Theory of Planned Behaviour. Annals of
487	Leisure Research, 13, 564-589.
488	de Leeuw, A., Valois, P., Ajzen, I., & Schmidt, P. (2015). Using the Theory of Planned
489	Behavior to identify key beliefs underlying pro-environmental behavior in high-
490	school students: Implications for educational interventions. Journal of Environmental
491	Psychology, 42, 128-138.

492	Downs, D.S., & Hausenblas, H. A. (2005). Elicitation studies and the theory of planned			
493	behaviour: a systematic review of exercise beliefs. Psychology of Sport and Exercise,			
494	<i>6</i> , 1–31.			
495	Downs, D. S., & Hausenblas, H. A. (2005). The theories of reasoned action and planned			
496	behaviour applied to exercise: A meta-analytic update. Journal of Physical Activity			
497	and Health, 2, 76-97.			
498	Elkins, D. J., Forrester, S. A., & Noël-Elkins, A. V. (2011). The Contribution of Campus			
499	Recreational Sports Participation to Perceived Sense of Campus Community.			
500	Recreational Sports Journal, 35, 24-34.			
501	Epton, T., Norman, P., Harris, P., Webb, T., Snowsill, F. A., & Sheeran,			
502	P. (2015). Development of theory-based health messages: Three-phase programme of			
503	formative research. Health Promotion International, 30, 756-768.			
504	Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1980). Understanding attitudes and predicting social behaviour.			
505	Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.			
506	Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (2009). Predicting and changing behaviour: The reasoned action			
507	approach. New York: Psychology Press.			
508	Fishbein, M., & Manfredo, M. J. (1992). A theory of behavior change. In M. J. Manfredo			
509	(Ed.), Influencing human behavior: Theory and applications in recreation, tourism,			
510	and natural resources management (pp. 29-50). Champaign: Sagamore Publishing.			
511	Fishbein, M., & Middlestadt, S. E. (1995). Noncognitive effects on attitude formation and			
512	change: Fact or artifact? Journal of Consumer Psychology, 4, 181–202.			
513	Francis, J., Eccles, M. P., Johnston, M., Walker, A. E., Grimshaw, J. M., Foy, R., Bonetti,			
514	D. (2004). Constructing questionnaires based on the theory of planned behaviour: A			
515	manual for health services researchers. Newcastle upon Tyne, UK: Centre for			
516	Health Services Research, University of Newcastle upon Tyne.			

517	Francis, J., Johnston, M., Robertson, C., Glidewell, L., Entwistle, V., Eccles, M. P., &
518	Grimshaw, J. M. (2010). What is an adequate sample size? Operationalising data
519	saturation for theory-based interview studies. Psychology & Health, 25, 1229-1245.
520	Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). Discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for
521	qualitative research. Piscataway, NJ: Transaction Publishers.
522	Gollwitzer, P. M. (1996). The volitional benefits of planning. In P. M. Gollwitzer & J. A.
523	Bargh (Eds.), The psychology of action: Linking cognition and motivation to
524	behaviour (pp. 287-312). New York: Guilford.
525	Gucciardi, D.F., & Jackson, B. (2015). Understanding sport continuation: An integration of
526	the Theories of Planned Behaviour and Basic Psychological Needs. Journal of
527	Science and Medicine in Sport, 18, 31-36.
528	Hagger, M. S., Chatzisarantis, N., & Biddle, S. J. H. (2002). A meta-analysis review of the
529	Theories of Reasoned Action and Planned Behaviour in physical activity: Predictive
530	validity and the contribution of additional variables. Journal of Sport and Exercise
531	Psychology, 24, 3-23.
532	Hardeman, W., Johnston, M., Johnston, D. W., Bonetti, D., Wareham, N. J., & Kinmonth,
533	A. L. (2002). Application of the theory of planned behaviour in behaviour change
534	interventions: a systematic review. Psychology and Health, 17, 123-158.
535	Henderson, K. A. (2009). A paradox of sport management and physical activity interventions
536	Sport Management Review, 12, 57-65.
537	Hensley, L. D. (2000). Current status of basic instruction programs in physical education at
538	American colleges and universities. Journal of Physical Education, Recreation &
539	Dance, 71, 30-36.

540	Huesman, R., Brown, A. K., Lee, G., Kellogg, J. P., & Radcliffe, P. M. (2009). Gym bags
541	and mortarboards: Is use of campus recreation facilities related to student success?
542	Journal of Student Affairs Research and Practice, 46, 50-71.
543	Kampf, S., & Teske, E. (2013). Collegiate recreation participation and retention. <i>Recreational</i>
544	Sports Journal, 37, 85-96.
545	Kanters, M. A. (2000). Recreational sport participation as a moderator of college stress.
546	Recreational Sports Journal, 24, 10-23.
547	Kanters, M. A., Bocarro, J. A., Edwards, M. B., Casper, J. M., & Floyd, M. F. (2013). School
548	sport participation under two school sport policies: comparisons by race/ethnicity,
549	gender, and socioeconomic status. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 45, 113-121.
550	Kanters, M. A., Bocarro, J. N., Greenwood, P. B., Casper, J. M., Suau, L. J., & McKenzie, T.
551	L. (2012). Determinants of middle school sport participation: A comparison of
552	different models for school sport delivery. International Journal of Sport
553	Management and Marketing, 12, 159-179.
554	Kilpatrick, M., Hebert, E., & Bartholomew, J. (2005). College students' motivation for
555	physical activity: Differentiating men's and women's motives for sport participation
556	and exercise. Journal of American College Health, 54, 87-94.
557	Kwan, M. Y. W., Bray, S. R., Martin Ginis, K. A. (2009). Predicting physical activity of
558	first-year university students: an application of the theory of planned behavior.
559	Journal of American College Health, 58, 45-52.
560	Leslie, E., Sparling, P. B., & Owen, N. (2001). University campus setting and the promotion
561	of physical activity in young adults. Health Education, 101, 116–125.

562	Lumpkin, A. (2011). Introduction to Physical Education, Exercise Science, and Sport			
563	Studies. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.			
564	Masmanidis, T., Gargalianos, D., & Kosta, G. (2009). Perceived constraints of Greek			
565	university students' participation in campus recreational sport programs. Recreational			
566	Sports Journal, 33, 150–166.			
567	Michie, S., Johnston, M., Francis, J., Hardeman, W., & Eccles, M. (2008). Form theory to			
568	intervention: Mapping theoretically derived behavioural determinants to behaviour			
569	change techniques. Applied Psychology: An International Review, 57, 660-680.			
570	Michie, S., Richardson, M., Johnston, M., Abraham, C., Francis, J., Hardeman, W., Eccles,			
571	M. P., Cane, J., & Wood, C. E. (2013). The behavior change technique taxonomy (v1)			
572	of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques: building an international consensus for the			
573	reporting of behavior change interventions. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 46, 81-			
574	95.			
575	Miller, O. A. (1956). The magical number seven plus or minus two: Some limits on our			
576	capacity for processing information. Psychological Review, 63, 1-97.			
577	Quine, L., Rutter, D. R., & Arnold, L. (2001). Persuading school-age cyclists to use safety			
578	helmets: Effectiveness of an intervention based on the Theory of Planned Behaviour.			
579	British Journal of Health Psychology, 6, 327–45.			
580	Rhodes, R. E., Blanchard, C. M., Courneya, K. S., & Plotnikoff, R. C. (2009). Identifying			
581	belief-based targets for the promotion of leisure-time walking. Health Education &			
582	Behavior, 36, 381-393.			
583	Riecken, K. H. B., Mark, R., & Rhodes, R. E. (2013). Qualitative elicitation of affective			
584	beliefs related to physical activity. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 14, 786-792.			

585	Robertson, A., Mullan, B., & Todd, J. (2014). A qualitative exploration of experiences of				
586	overweight young and older adults. An application of the integrated behaviour model.				
587	Appetite, 75, 157-164.				
588	Romaguera, D., Tauler, P., Bennasar, M., Pericas, J., Moreno, C., & Martinez, S. (2011).				
589	Determinants and patterns of physical activity practice among Spanish university				
590	students. Journal of Sports Sciences, 29, 989-997.				
591	Rowe, R., Andrews, E. C., Harris, P. Armitage, C., McKenna, F., & Norman, P., (2016).				
592	Identifying beliefs underlying pre-drivers' intentions to take risks: An application of				
593	the Theory of Planned Behaviour. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 89, 49-56.				
594	Scott, D., & Willits, F. K. (1998). Adolescent and adult leisure patterns: A Reassessment.				
595	Journal of Leisure Research, 30, 319-330.				
596	Sniehotta, F. (2009). An experimental test of the Theory of Planned Behavior. Applied				
597	Psychology: Health and Well-Being, 1, 257–270.				
598	Spinks, T., & Hamilton, K. (2015). Investigating key beliefs guiding mothers' dietary				
599	decisions for their 2-3 year old. Appetite, 89, 167-74.				
600	Sport England. (2012). Creating a Sporting Habit for Life. Sport England: England.				
601	Sport England. (2014). Higher Education Sport Participation and Satisfaction Survey.				
602	National Report. Year Three. TNS BMRB: England.				
603	Sutton, S. (2002). Using social cognition models to develop health behaviour interventions:				
604	Problems and assumptions. In D. Rutter, & L. Quine (Eds.), Changing health				
605	behaviour (pp. 193-208). Buckingham Philadelphia: Open University Press.				
606	Sutton, S., French, D. P., Hennings, S. J., Mitchell, J., Wareham, N. J., Griffin, S., Hardeman				
607	W., & Kinmonth, A. L. (2003). Eliciting salient beliefs in research on the theory of				

608	planned behaviour: The effect of question wording. Current Psychology:
609	Developmental, Learning, Personality, Social, 22, 234-251.
610	Taylor, N., Conner, M., & Lawton, R. (2012). The impact of theory on the effectiveness of
611	worksite physical activity interventions: A meta-analysis and meta-regression. Health
612	Psychology Review, 6, 33–73.
613	Tsigilis, N., Masmanidis, T., & Koustelios, A. (2009). University students' satisfaction and
614	effectiveness of campus recreation programs. Recreational Sports Journal, 33, 65-77.
615	Ungar, N., Sieverding, M., Ulrich, C. M., & Wiskemann, J. (2015). What explains the
616	intention to be physically active in cancer patients? Different determinants for active
617	and insufficiently active patients. Journal of Psychosocial Oncology, 33, 15-33
618	Vayro, C., & Hamilton, K. (2016). Using three-phase theory-based formative research to
619	explore healthy eating in Australian truck drivers. Appetite, 98, 41-48.
620	Webb, T. L., Joseph, J., Yardley, L., & Michie, S. (2010). Using the internet to promote
621	health behavior change: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of
622	theoretical basis, use of behavior change techniques, and mode of delivery on
623	efficacy. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 12 e4.
624	Wechsler, H., Devereaux, R., Davis, M., & Collins, J. (2000). Using the school
625	environment to promote physical activity and healthy eating. Preventive Medicine, 31,
626	121–137.
627	Weiss, M. R., & Ferrer-Caja, E. (2002). Motivational orientations in sport. In T. Horn
628	(Ed), Advances in Sport and Exercise Psychology (pp. 101-183). Champaign, IL;
629	Human Kinetics

Table 1Modal salient behavioral, normative and control beliefs

	Category	Belief	Total Number of Participants	Percentage of Participants (%)
Behavioral	Advantages	Health and fitness	24	80
		Enjoyment	18	60
		Opportunities to make new friends	9	30
		Improves mental well- being	9	30
	Disadvantages	Time consuming	22	73.3
		Attention taken away from University Studies	10	33.3
Normative	Approve	Friends	24	80
		Family	19	63.3
	Disapprove	Friends	16	53.3
		Academic Staff	12	40
		Family	11	36.7
Control	Easier	Less time Constraints	23	76.7
		More awareness	11	36.7
		Study Related	10	33.3
	Difficult	Study related	17	56.7
		Time restrictions	14	46.7
		Lack of motivation/energy	13	43.3