Sheffield Hallam University

Validation of Body Volume Acquisition by Using Elliptical Zone Method

CHIU, Chuang-Yuan, PEASE, D., FAWKNER, S. and SANDERS, R.

Published version

Copyright and re-use policy

1 # Validation of Body Volume Acquisition by Using Elliptical

2 # Zone Method

3 ## Abstract

4 The Elliptical Zone method (E-Zone) can be used to obtain reliable body volume data

5 including total body volume and segmental volumes with inexpensive and portable

6 equipment. The purpose of this research was to assess the accuracy of body volume data

7 obtained from E-Zone by comparing with those acquired from the 3D photonic scanning

8 method (3DPS). Seventeen male participants with diverse somatotypes were recruited. Each

9 participant was scanned twice on the same day by a 3D whole-body scanner and

10 photographed twice for the E-Zone analysis. The body volume data acquired from 3DPS was

11 regarded as the reference against which the accuracy of the E-Zone was assessed. The

12 relative technical error of measurement (TEM) of total body volume estimations was around

13 3% for E-Zone. E-Zone can estimate the segmental volumes of upper torso, lower torso,

14 thigh, shank, upper arm and lower arm accurately (relative TEM<10%) but the accuracy for

15 small segments including neck, hand and foot were poor. In summary, E-Zone provides a

16 reliable, inexpensive, portable, and simple method to obtain reasonable estimates of total

17 body volume and to indicate segmental volume distribution.

18

19 Key words: Body Volume Data, Elliptical Zone Method, 3D Photonic Scanning,

20 Anthropometry

21

1 Introduction

Total body volume can be used in the estimation of body composition for assessing obesity levels and associated health risks [24,36] and is also associated with sport performance. From a biomechanical aspect, a higher body fat mass leads to increased demand for energy consumption and has a negative influence on performance [2,11]. Furthermore, body composition can be used to distinguish between athletes at different performance levels and has been suggested to be useful for monitoring training effects [21].

Segmental volumes provide additional useful information to understand people's physiques and some advanced estimations of fat distribution [7,33]. For example, abdominal volume index [12] and body volume index [23] were used to identify or estimate the amount of central fat and the distribution of adipose tissues. Lee, Freeland-Graves, Pepper, et al. [18] also showed that the segmental volume ratio between thigh and torso and thigh to abdomen-hip were highly related to the visceral adipose tissue deposition. With respect to performance sport, Schranz, Tomkinson, Olds, et al. [28] used 3D photonic scanning technology (3DPS) to extract segmental volume data and used these as the parameters to distinguish performance rowers from the normal population. Moreover, Schranz, Tomkinson, Olds, et al. [29] showed that an anthropometric profile that includes segmental volumes provides more information pertinent to sport performance than a profile that excludes segmental volumes. Estimated segmental volumes can also be used in biomechanics analysis [8,35]. Thus, there is an emerging appreciation by professionals that monitoring and providing feedback on changes in body volume (total body volume and segmental volumes) is beneficial.

2

45

46 Approaches developed for acquiring both total body volume (body composition) and

47 segmental volumes include manual anthropometry, water and air displacement, medical

48 imaging and bioelectrical impedance (BIA). However, all of those methods have some

49 limitations regarding the frequency and convenience of monitoring body volume changes.

50 Anthropometry, air and water displacement, and medical imaging need specific equipment

51 and expertise to acquire complete body volume data [9,16] and are also time-consuming.

52 Frequent use of medical imaging methods such as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)

53 has associated health risks [26]. Moreover, some groups of participants including the elderly

54 and the very obese may experience difficulties with water displacement, magnetic

55 resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT) and DXA scanning [26]. The more

56 accessible technique of BIA is highly influenced by factors such as exercise and liquid and

57 food consumption which alter body fluid [22].

58

59 Three-dimensional photonic scanning (3DPS) is an emerging technology that negates several

60 of these limitations. It can acquire multiple measurements in one rapid scan without any

61 health risks [31,32]. Associated software can build an individual 3D human model

62 comprising a mesh of triangles. The 3D human model can then be edited by computer-aided

63 design (CAD) software to obtain the segmental 3D human model. The total body and

64 segmental volumes can be calculated from the triangular meshes.

65

66 Unlike DXA scanning, 3DPS can obtain body volume data directly rather than through

67 indirect calculations which rely on assumptions of body tissue densities of different tissues.

68 3DPS has been compared with water displacement methods and demonstrates comparable

69 accuracy and reliability [6,32]. Moreover, the fast scanning process is efficient and, unlike

70 anthropometric measurement and water displacement, avoids using a range of equipment

71 to measure segmental volumes. 3DPS is also a radiation-free method and can be applied to

72 participants of diverse somatotype [30]. Given these advantages in combination with its

73 accuracy and reliability, 3DPS is suitable as a benchmark with which to compare other

74 methods of obtaining total body and segmental volumes.

75

76 Nevertheless, 3DPS requires non-portable expensive hardware, specific software, and

77 interpretation by specialists in laboratory settings. The post-processing for segmental mesh

78 editing is also time-consuming. Consequently, 3D photonic scanners are not 'user-friendly'

79 for non-expert practitioners, or the general public to monitor body and segmental volume

80 changes.

81

82 A portable, inexpensive and user-friendly method of acquiring total body and segmental

83 volumes is needed for use by researchers, nutritionists, fitness coaches, and health

84 conscious individuals. The Elliptical Zone software (E-Zone) [8] potentially offers a solution.

85 It applies a geometric modelling method of estimating body segment volumes developed by

86 Jensen [15] in which diameters of elliptical 'slices' of the body segments are obtained by

87 digitising two photographs taken from front and side views of the participant (Figure 1). The

88 equipment is inexpensive and portable and 'user friendly' Matlab software enabling

89 digitising, calculation of volumes, and graphical output on a PC has been developed [8]

90 (Figure 2).

91

92   The accuracy of using elliptical models to approximate body volume has been assessed in

93   some studies. Durkin and Dowling [10] used DXA to examine the accuracy of elliptical

94   models built from anthropometric measurements and found that the lower leg volume can

95   be estimated accurately by elliptical models. Abe, Yokozawa, Takamatsu, et al. [1] compared

96   the body volume acquired by 3DPS and the results estimated from elliptical models and

97   expressed the view that the elliptical assumption may be too simple to approximate the

98   body volume data. Nevertheless, this study [1] did not indicate which segment volumes

99   could not be estimated accurately. These studies conducted by Durkin and Dowling [10] and

100 Abe, Yokozawa, Takamatsu, et al. [1] were both based on the elliptical models built from

101 data obtained by manual anthropometry or 3D scanning, rather than the methods

102 developed by Deffeyes and Sanders [8] and so did not measure the accuracy of E-Zone

103 directly.

104

105 The E-Zone software developed by Deffeyes and Sanders [8] provides an advanced method

106 that can avoid time-consuming manual anthropometry and expensive 3D scanning. Intra-

107 assessor and inter-assessor reliability for the acquisition of body volume data has been

108 demonstrated to be within 5% error for the main body segments [27]. However, to date, no

109 research has been conducted to examine the accuracy of E-Zone for obtaining complete

110 body volume data including total body volume and all segmental volumes.

111

112 Therefore, the purpose of this research was to assess the accuracy of obtaining total body

113 and segmental volumes using E-Zone by comparing it with the reference 3DPS.

114

2 Method

2.1 Participants

In this study, 17 male participants (age: 35.59±10.16 years old, body mass: 81.4±9.3 kg, stature: 181.5±7.6 cm, Endomorphy: 3 males; Mesomorphy: 12 males; Ectomorphy: 2 males) were recruited through email or bulletin advertising. All participants signed the informed consent form. Ethics approval was given by Moray House School of Education Ethics Committee at the University of Edinburgh. This study meets the ethical standard of International Journal of Sports Medicine [13]. Somatotype was determined according to the Heath-Carter anthropometric method [4]. The intra technical error of measurements (intra TEMs) used for somatotype determination were less than 5% and 1% for skinfolds and other manual anthropometry respectively. All measures were undertaken by the International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry accredited anthropometrist (first author) in order to reduce the location error [5] and resultant error in anthropometric measurement [14]. All participants were requested to wear close fitting suits such as triathlon pants and a polyester swimming cap during the tests (Figure 1).

2.2 Experiment Design

Each participant was scanned twice on the same day by a 3D whole-body laser scanner and photographed twice for the E-Zone analysis. The mean of body volume data acquired from repeated 3D laser scanning results was regarded as the reference to validate the mean of body volume data acquired by E-Zone from the two sets of photos.

6

2.3 Acquiring Body Volume from 3D Photonic Scanning

137

138 Before scanning, specific markers were placed on each participant's body to indicate the

139 segmental boundaries defined in the E-Zone [8] as shown in Table 1. To ensure that all the

140 markers could be seen from the body scanning results, triangular marks were placed on the

141 acromiales. This avoided the possibility that the flat marks on the horizontal shoulder could

142 not be detected. All markers were placed by an accredited anthropometrist (first author).

143

144 A calibrated Vitus[smart] XXL 3D body scanner (Human Solutions GmbH) was used to obtain

145 individual 3D human models. Participants were requested to stand with the assigned pose

146 referred to in previous studies [6,32]. To avoid the effect of breathing on volume of the

147 trunk, participants were asked to expel the air in their lungs to end tidal level before the

148 commencement of scanning and to hold their breath until the test process finished

149 (approximately 10 seconds).

150

151 The 3D human models obtained from the 3D scanner were processed by the 3D mesh edit

152 software Cyslice (Headus 3D) to delete noise, 'fill the holes', and smooth the mesh in the

153 manner established by Collins [6]. To lessen the effect of subjective interpretation, the

154 operator (OP1, second author) who is familiar with the use of the specific software

155 completed all manual processing for 3D scanning data in this study. After the processing by

156 the second author, the 3D mesh files were exported to Polygon File Format (Stanford

157 Triangle Format, PLY) files.

158

159 Another trained operator (OP2, first author) obtained the body volume data from the mesh

160 processed by OP1 (the PLY files). To achieve this, computer software, Blender, was used to

161   obtain a mesh of each segment. The setting of cutting planes was informed by previous

162   literature [8] as shown in Figure 3. The cutting planes between head and neck, neck and

163   upper torso, upper torso and lower torso, upper leg and lower leg, lower leg and foot were

164   set as horizontal planes. The cutting planes between upper torso and upper arm, upper arm

165   and lower arm, lower arm and hand, lower torso and upper leg were set manually by

166   referring to the locations of markers and body landmarks (e.g. pubic bone; the critical points

167   were identified manually from the images). For instance, the operator identified the

168   location of pubic bone by visually following the diagonal creases between the thighs and

169   lower torso to their intersection and allowing for the soft tissue below the pubic symphysis.

170   Then, the oblique cutting plane was set such that it passed through the points of

171   trochanterion (on right thigh) and pubic bone as shown in Figure 3. Similarly, the oblique

172   cutting planes between upper torso and upper arms were set such that they passed through

173   the points of the markers of ac joint/humerus heads and the critical points of the armpits.

174   The cutting planes between upper arm and lower arm, lower arm and hand were also set

175   manually so they were perpendicular to the long axis of the limbs. The body volume data

176   were calculated from total body mesh and segmental meshes by applying the tetrahedron

177   volume techniques [37].

178

179   ## *2.4 Acquiring Body Volume from E-Zone*

180   Colour markers were placed to indicate each participant's body landmarks or segmental

181   boundaries as shown in Table 1. All markers were placed by an accredited anthropometrist

182   (first author).

183

184   Participants' images were captured from the front view and side view by calibrated cameras

185   (Casio EX-FH100, resolution 2736*3648) as shown in Figure 1. The two cameras were set on

186   the tripod at a height of about 1 m and at a distance of approximately 12 m for both front

187   and side view. The axes of the cameras were parallel to the floor and perpendicular to the

188   participants. Then, OP2 used the E-Zone software [8] to build the 3D elliptical zone models

189   (Figure 2). The cutting planes were set in a manner similar to that used in the processing of

190   the 3D scanning data (Figure 3). Since the arms were partially flexed during the scanning,

191   the oblique cutting plane between upper arm and lower arm was set such that it passed

192   through the point of the radiale and the point on the fold of inner elbow.

2.5 Statistics Analysis

194   Four sets of body volume data (BV_i^{scan1}, BV_i^{scan2}, BV_i^{eZone1} and BV_i^{eZone2}) were acquired

195   for each (ith) participant with the two different methods in separate trials (3DPS 1, 3DPS 2,

196   E-Zone 1 and E-Zone 2). The means of two repeated measurements (total body volume,

197   head volume, neck volume, upper torso volume, lower torso volume, thigh volume, shank

198   volume, foot volume, upper arm volume, lower arm volume, hand volume) for each

199   participant by different methods (M_i^{scan} and M_i^{eZone}) were compared as follows:

$$M_i^{scan} = \frac{BV_i^{scan1} + BV_i^{scan2}}{2}$$

$$M_i^{eZone} = \frac{BV_i^{eZone1} + BV_i^{eZone2}}{2}$$

200   Inter technical error of measurement (inter-TEM or relative TEM), the statistic used by the

201   World Health Organization [34] and the International Society for the Advancement of

202   Kinanthropometry [25], was used to determine the accuracy of E-Zone in this study. The

203   following equations illustrate the calculation of the relative TEM.

$$Absolute\ TEM = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(M_i^{scan} - M_i^{eZone})^2}{2*N}}$$

$$Relative\ TEM = \frac{Absolute\ TEM}{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(M_i^{scan} + M_i^{eZone})}{2*N}} * 100\%$$

204   where N is representative of the number of participants and M_i^{scan} and M_i^{eZone} denote the

205   mean of repeated measurements obtained for the ith participant with the techniques of 3D

206   laser scanning and E-Zone accordingly. The relative TEM was calculated by the Microsoft®

207   Excel function.

208

209   The accuracy scores (%TEM) for whole-body and segmental volumes (excluding neck, hand

210   and foot) were entered into a repeated measures ANOVA and post-hoc analyses (Fisher's

211   Least Significant Difference) to examine whether the accuracy of the method was affected

212   by different somatotypes. The reason for excluding neck, hand and foot was that these

213   inaccurate and unreliable segmental volumes [27] could influence the results

214   disproportionately relative to their size. The repeated measures ANOVA tests and post-hoc

215   analyses were conducted by commercial statistical software (IBM SPSS Statistics version 21).

216

3   Results

217

218   The accuracy of the E-Zone is represented with relative technical error of measurement

219   (%TEM) as shown in Table 2. The relative TEM of total body volume estimations for all

220   participants was around 3% for E-Zone. E-Zone can estimate the segmental volumes of head,

221   upper torso, lower torso, thigh, shank, upper arm and lower arm accurately (relative

222   TEM<10%) for all participants but the accuracies of small segments including neck, hand and

223 foot were poor. E-Zone can estimate the leg volume including thigh and shank with under

224 5% relative TEM for all participants whereas the trunk (upper torso and lower torso) and

225 arm (upper arm and lower arm) volume with a slightly higher error (under 10%).

226

227 An examination of the accuracy between the three different somatotypes revealed that

228 there was a significant effect of somatotype (p=0.025) on whole-body and segmental

229 volumes estimation error. Post-hoc analyses revealed that the differences were significant

230 between ectomorphiy-mesomorphy (p=0.008) but not between ectomorphy-endomorphy

231 (p=0.122) or endomorphy-mesomorphy (p=0.27). The errors were significantly smaller in

232 ectomorph subjects than mesomorph subjects. The accuracy of whole-body volume and the

233 segmental volumes of upper torso, lower torso, thigh, shank, upper arm and lower arm for

234 the subjects in different somatotypes were all less than 5% and 10% respectively.

235

236   4   Discussion

237 The purpose of this study was to determine the accuracy of E-Zone for obtaining individual

238 data of total body volume and segmental volumes. The accuracy of total body volume for E-

239 Zone (<5% error) in this study was similar to previous research [8,15] in which the total body

240 mass calculated from the segmental volume and density assumption were compared against

241 the value of total body mass from weight scales.

242

243 Previous studies [1,10] determined the accuracy of elliptical zone models indirectly by

244 building the models from 3D scanning results and manual anthropometric data accordingly

245 instead of the approaches that used the 2D image approach [8]. The current study

11

246   established the elliptical models from 2D images and quantified the accuracy directly by

247   comparing the results to that of 3DPS. The accuracy range (error<30%) shown in this study

248   was similar to that shown in the validation test conducted on performance athletes [1] and

249   the accuracy of leg volume (error<5%) was similar to the error shown previously with

250   participants in a different age group (19-30 years and 55+ years)[10]. Thus, this research

251   provided the data for direct validation of E-Zone and showed that the accuracy of the

252   elliptical zone model built from 2D images, manual anthropometry and 3D scanning are

253   similar.

254

255   The accuracy (<5% error in total body volume and <10% error in segmental volumes) for E-

256   Zone might be adequate for general users rather than for clinical examination. However,

257   this research shows the potential of E-Zone. Automatic digitizing might be the principal

258   strategy to improve accuracy. Lin and Wang [19] used the techniques of digital image

259   processing to detect the body boundaries and the anatomical landmarks. Although these

260   techniques can standardize the digitizing process and avoid human error, they require well-

261   controlled light sources and simple contrasting backgrounds [3]. In other words, these

262   techniques can be conducted successfully only in laboratories rather than in the field.

263

264   Different somatotypes affected the accuracy significantly which means E-Zone might need

265   further improvement to estimate the body volume data of the general population for health

266   assessment. For instance, it is possible to use different geometric assumptions to

267   approximate the body shape of subjects in various somatotypes and obtain better results.

268   Nevertheless, the accuracy for whole-body and main segmental volumes estimated by E-

269   Zone was high across the three somatotypes. Therefore, the E-Zone method might be

270 beneficial to provide a general concept of body volume data for people with extreme large

271 size who cannot enter a medical or 3D photonic scanner easily.

272

273 In this study, the results obtained from 3DPS were extrapolated to be equal to the actual

274 value and were regarded as the reference with which to validate E-Zone. The accuracy of

275 the 3DPS used in this study was not calibrated with water displacement or examined using

276 the protocol illustrated in previous literature [17]. Compared with the volume data obtained

277 from water displacement techniques, the results acquired from 3DPS has been shown to

278 contained some errors [6,32]. Hence, the difference between water displacement and E-

279 Zone is still unknown. Further research should be conducted with a manikin with detachable

280 limbs in E-Zone assigned pose in order to complete the validation with water displacement.

281

282 In this study, a cutting plane was set by using less than three markers. Manually identifying

283 critical points or assumptions that the most segments can have horizontal cutting planes

284 might lead to some inconsistencies in segmental volume estimation. However, previous

285 research [20,27] has indicated that experienced operators are likely to achieve high

286 reliability (error less than 5% for main segments) while applying manual digitizing and

287 assuming horizontal cutting planes to calculate segmental masses that are calculated from

288 segmental volumes with presumed densities. Thus, the validation results shown in this study

289 reflect the accuracy of E-Zone. The automatic segmentation approach could reduce human

290 error in validation. Nevertheless, most automatic segmentation approaches for 3DPS are

291 limited to the calculation of regional volumes (combined segmental volumes). Further

292 research should be conducted to develop an automatic approach to obtain segmental

13

293   meshes accurately and reliably. After that, researchers can use this approach to validate

294   other simplified methods (e.g. E-Zone) precisely.

295

296   Compared with current methods including water displacement, anthropometry, medical

297   image methods, DXA and 3D photonic scanning, using E-Zone to obtain personalised body

298   volume data would appear to be a good choice at the current stage of technological

299   development of body volume measuring systems. E-Zone uses standard digital cameras

300   which provide a more portable and inexpensive method than water displacement,

301   anthropometry, medical image methods and DXA. Moreover, the 2D image capturing

302   process of E-Zone can be conducted by untrained practitioners without any technical

303   expertise. Although some technical expertise for placing markers and manual digitizing is

304   still needed in the E-Zone process, it is possible to complete these tasks automatically by

305   further development of advanced computer vision techniques [3,19]. By contrast,

306   anthropometry, medical imaging methods, DXA and 3D photonic scanning require trained

307   people to operate equipment or machinery. E-Zone is a non-invasive method so it can avoid

308   the health risks associated with medical imaging methods and DXA. Although BIA is also

309   portable and free of health risks, the accuracy of BIA for obtaining segmental volumes has

310   yet to be determined.

311

312   The results from this study show that the accuracy of E-Zone is generally good. Furthermore,

313   the reasonable reliability of E-Zone can help individuals to measure changes in body shape

314   longitudinally [27]. However, further development for automatic detection anatomical

315   landmarks and the body boundaries in 2D images is needed for improving accuracy and the

316   automation of E-Zone. The further development for improving the automation of E-Zone

317   should aim for better accuracy than the results shown in this study.

318

5   Conclusions

320   In this study the total body volumes and segmental body volumes obtained from 3D

321   photonic scanning and E-Zone were compared. According to the results of the statistical

322   analysis, E-Zone can provide reasonable estimates of body volume distribution and total

323   body volume. However, improvements in accuracy through future development would be

324   desirable for applications in which accurate volumes are required. Although E-Zone is not as

325   accurate as other commercial solutions such as medical scanners and DXA, it provides an

326   inexpensive and portable method which enables people to understand their obesity level

327   easily without any health risks.

328

Conflict of Interest

330   The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest with regard to this paper for any

331   author.

References

333 1.   Abe T, Yokozawa T, Takamatsu J, Enomoto Y, Okada H. Determination of Body
334   Segment Inertia Parameters Using 3D Human Body Scanner and 3D CAD Software. In,
335   International Symposium on Biomechanics in Sports: Conference Proceedings
336   Archive; 2010: 1-2
337 2.   Ackland T, Lohman T, Sundgot-Borgen J, Maughan R, Meyer N, Stewart A, Müller W.
338   Current Status of Body Composition Assessment in Sport. Sports Med 2012; 42: 227-
339   249

340 3. Buys K, Van Deun D, De Laet T, Bruyninckx H. On-line generation of customized
341   human models based on camera measurements. In, International Symposium on
342   Digital Human Modeling; 2011

343 4. Carter JL, Heath BH. Somatotyping: development and applications: Cambridge
344   University Press; 1990

345 5. Chiou W-K, Chen B-H, Chou W-Y. The Effects of Landmarks and Training on 3D
346   Surface Anthropometric Reliability and Hip Joint Center Prediction. In: Duffy VG ed,
347   Digital Human Modeling: Third International Conference, ICDHM 2011, Held as Part
348   of HCI International 2011, Orlando, FL, USA July 9-14, 2011 Proceedings. Berlin,
349   Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2011: 3-11

350 6. Collins J. Volumetric analysis of human bodies. Adelaide University of South Australia
351   2006: 1-126

352 7. Daniell N, Olds T, Tomkinson G. Volumetric differences in body shape among adults
353   with differing body mass index values: An analysis using three-dimensional body
354   scans. Am J Hum Biol 2014; 26: 156-163

355 8. Deffeyes J, Sanders RH. Elliptical zone body segment modelling software: digitising,
356   modelling and body segment parameter calculation. In: Wang Q ed, ISBS Conference
357   Proceedings. Beijing, China: The China Institute of Sports Science, Beijing; 2005: 749-
358   752

359 9. Drillis R, Contini R, Bluestein M. Body segment parameters. Artif Limbs 1964; 8: 44-
360   66

361 10. Durkin J, Dowling J. Body Segment Parameter Estimation of the Human Lower Leg
362   Using an Elliptical Model with Validation from DEXA. Ann Biomed Eng 2006; 34:
363   1483-1493

364 11. Duthie G, Pyne D, Hooper S. Applied physiology and game analysis of rugby union.
365   Sports Med 2003; 33: 973-991

366 12. Guerrero-Romero F, Rodríguez-Morán M. Abdominal volume index. an
367   anthropometry-based index for estimation of obesity is strongly related to impaired
368   glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Arch Med Res 2003; 34: 428-432

369 13. Harriss DJ, Atkinson G. Ethical standards in sport and exercise science research: 2016
370   update. Int J Sports Med 2015; 36: 1121-1124

371 14. Hume P, Marfell-Jones M. The importance of accurate site location for skinfold
372   measurement. J Sports Sci 2008; 26: 1333-1340

373 15. Jensen RK. Estimation of the biomechanical properties of three body types using a
374   photogrammetric method. J Biomech 1978; 11: 349-358

375 16. Katch V, Weltman A, Gold E. Validity of anthropometric measurements and the
376   segment-zone method for estimating segmental and total body volume. Med Sci
377   Sports 1974; 6: 271-276

378 17. Kouchi M, Mochimaru M, Bradtmiller B, Daanen H, Li P, Nacher B, Nam Y. A protocol
379   for evaluating the accuracy of 3D body scanners. Work 2012; 41: 4010-4017

380 18. Lee JJ, Freeland-Graves JH, Pepper MR, Yu W, Xu B. Efficacy of thigh volume ratios
381   assessed via stereovision body imaging as a predictor of visceral adipose tissue
382   measured by magnetic resonance imaging. Am J Hum Biol 2015, DOI:
383   10.1002/ajhb.22663: 445-457

384 19. Lin Y-L, Wang M-JJ. Automated body feature extraction from 2D images. Expert
385   Systems with Applications 2011; 38: 2585-2591

386 20. Ma Y, Kwon J, Mao Z, Lee K, Li L, Chung H. Segment inertial parameters of Korean
387 adults estimated from three-dimensional body laser scan data. Int J Ind Ergon 2011;
388 41: 19-29

389 21. Milsom J, Naughton R, O'Boyle A, Iqbal Z, Morgans R, Drust B, Morton JP. Body
390 composition assessment of English Premier League soccer players: a comparative
391 DXA analysis of first team, U21 and U18 squads. J Sports Sci 2015; 33: 1799-1806

392 22. Mulholland Y, Rolland C. Portable methods of body composition analysis. In: D.
393 Stewart A, Sutton L eds, Body Composition in Sport, Exercise, and Health: Abingdon,
394 UK: Routledge; 2012: 42-63

395 23. Muralidhara D. Come 2020!; Welcome body volume index!!; Bye bye body mass
396 index!!! Integrative Obesity and Diabetes 2015; 1: 26-27

397 24. Okorodudu D, Jumean M, Montori V, Romero-Corral A, Somers V, Erwin P, Lopez-
398 Jimenez F. Diagnostic performance of body mass index to identify obesity as defined
399 by body adiposity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Obes 2010; 34: 791-
400 799

401 25. Perini TA, Oliveira GLd, Ornellas JdS, Oliveira FPd. Technical error of measurement in
402 anthropometry. Rev Bras Med Esporte 2005; 11: 81-85

403 26. Rolland C. Laboratory methods of body composition analysis. In: D. Stewart A, Sutton
404 L eds, Body Composition in Sport, Exercise, and Health: Abingdon, UK: Routledge;
405 2012: 20-41

406 27. Sanders RH, Chiu C-Y, Gonjo T, Thow J, Oliveira N, Psycharakis SG, Payton CJ, McCabe
407 CB. Reliability of the elliptical zone method of estimating body segment parameters
408 of swimmers. Journal of Sports Science and Medicine 2015; 14: 215-224

409 28. Schranz N, Tomkinson G, Olds T, Daniell N. Three-dimensional anthropometric
410 analysis: Differences between elite Australian rowers and the general population. J
411 Sports Sci 2010; 28: 459-469

412 29. Schranz N, Tomkinson G, Olds T, Petkov J, Hahn AG. Is three-dimensional
413 anthropometric analysis as good as traditional anthropometric analysis in predicting
414 junior rowing performance? J Sports Sci 2012; 30: 1241-1248

415 30. Stewart AD, Klein S, Young J, Simpson S, Lee AJ, Harrild K, Crockett P, Benson PJ.
416 Body image, shape, and volumetric assessments using 3D whole body laser scanning
417 and 2D digital photography in females with a diagnosed eating disorder: Preliminary
418 novel findings. Br J Psychol 2012; 103: 183-202

419 31. Treleaven P, Wells J. 3D body scanning and healthcare applications. Computer 2007;
420 40: 28-34

421 32. Wang J, Gallagher D, Thornton JC, Yu W, Horlick M, Pi-Sunyer FX. Validation of a 3-
422 dimensional photonic scanner for the measurement of body volumes, dimensions,
423 and percentage body fat. Am J Clin Nutr 2006; 83: 809-816

424 33. Wells JK. Three-dimensional (3-D) photonic scanning: a new approach to
425 anthropometry. In: Preedy VR ed, Handbook of Anthropometry: New York: Springer;
426 2012: 205-217

427 34. Who Multicentre Growth Reference Study G, de Onis M. Reliability of
428 anthropometric measurements in the WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study.
429 Acta Pædiatrica 2006; 95: 38-46

430 35. Wicke J, Dumas GA, Costigan PA. A comparison between a new model and current
431 models for estimating trunk segment inertial parameters. J Biomech 2009; 42: 55-60

432   36.   World Health Organization. Physical status: the use and interpretation of
433   anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. World Health Organisation
434   Techical Report Series 1995; 854: 1-452
435   37.   Zhang C, Chen T. Efficient feature extraction for 2D/3D objects in mesh
436   representation. In, 2001 International Conference on Image Processing. Thessaloniki,
437   Greece; 2001: 935-938
438

439

440

441

Table Captions

Table 1 Segmental endpoints for 3D photonic scanning (adapted from the literature of Deffeyes and Sanders [8]) and E-Zone (adapted from Deffeyes and Sanders [8]). The markers for head, hand and foot were not placed in this study since they can be easily identified from both the 3D scanning and images captures for E-Zone.

Table 2 The body volume data (Mean ± Standard Deviation in litre) measured by the traditional 3DPS and E-Zone, the accuracy of E-Zone (relative TEMs, %)

Figure Legends

Figure 1 Front view and side view images captured for E-Zone.

Figure 2 Each body segment can be approximated by a series of elliptical cylinders.

Figure 3 The setting of the cutting planes applied on obtaining segmental volumes for 3DPS and E-Zone.