

Effects of an acute bout of aerobic exercise on immediate and subsequent three-day food intake and energy expenditure in active and inactive pre-menopausal women taking oral contraceptives

ROCHA, Joel, PAXMAN, Jenny http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1404-873X, WINTER, Edward and BROOM, David http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0305-937X

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/9571/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

ROCHA, Joel, PAXMAN, Jenny, DALTON, Caroline, WINTER, Edward and BROOM, David (2015). Effects of an acute bout of aerobic exercise on immediate and subsequent three-day food intake and energy expenditure in active and inactive premenopausal women taking oral contraceptives. Appetite, 89 (1), 183-191. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

1	Effects of an acute bout of aerobic exercise on				
2	immediate and subsequent three-day food intake and				
3	energy expenditure in active and inactive pre-				
4	menopausal women taking oral contraceptives				
5					
6	Joel Rocha ^{1*} , Jenny Paxman ² , Caroline Dalton ³ , Edward Winter ⁴ and David Broom ⁴				
7					
8	¹ Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Trinity				
9	College Dublin, D2				
10	² Food and Nutrition Group, Sheffield Business School, Sheffield Hallam University,				
11	S1 1WB				
12	³ Biomedical Research Centre, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, Sheffield Hallam				
13	University, S1 1WB				
14	⁴ Academy of Sport and Physical Activity, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, Sheffield				
15	Hallam University, S10 2BP				
16					
17	*Corresponding author				
18	Address for correspondence: Joel Rocha, Department of Physiology, School of				
19	Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Trinity College Dublin, D2, Republic of				
20	Ireland				
21					
22	E-mail: Borgespj@tcd.ie				
23	Telephone: +353 (0)1896 1937				

- 1 -

24

25 Email addresses:

26 JP: J.R.Paxman@shu.ac.uk
27 CD: C.F.Dalton@shu.ac.uk
28 EW: E.M.Winter@shu.ac.uk
29 DB: D.R.Broom@shu.ac.uk

30 Abstract (314 words)

31 This study examined the effects of an acute bout of exercise of low-intensity on food 32 intake and energy expenditure over four days in women taking oral contraceptives. 33 Twenty healthy, active (n=10) and inactive (n=10) pre-menopausal women taking oral 34 contraceptives completed two conditions (exercise and control), in a randomised, 35 crossover fashion. The exercise experimental day involved cycling for one hour at an 36 intensity equivalent to 50% of maximum oxygen uptake and two hours of rest. The 37 control condition comprised three hours of rest. Participants arrived at the laboratory 38 fasted overnight; breakfast was standardised and an ad libitum pasta lunch was 39 consumed on each experimental day. Participants kept a food diary to measure food 40 intake and wore an Actiheart to measure energy expenditure for the remainder of the 41 experimental days and over the subsequent 3 days. There was a condition effect for 42 absolute energy intake (exercise vs. control: 3363 ± 668 kJ vs. 3035 ± 752 kJ; p =43 0.033, d = 0.49) and relative energy intake (exercise vs. control: 2019 ± 746 kJ vs. 44 2710 ± 712 kJ; p < 0.001, d = -1.00) at the *ad libitum* lunch. There were no significant 45 differences in energy intake over the four days in active participants and there was a 46 suppression of energy intake on the first day after the exercise experimental day 47 compared with the same day of the control condition in inactive participants (mean

- 2 -

difference = -1974 kJ; 95% CI -1048 to -2900 kJ, p = 0.002, d = -0.89). There was a group effect (p = 0.001, d = 1.63) for free-living energy expenditure, indicating that active participants expended more energy than inactive participants during this period. However, there were no compensatory changes in daily physical activity energy expenditure. These results support the use of low-intensity aerobic exercise as a method to induce a short-term negative energy balance in inactive women taking oral contraceptives.

55

- -

56 Keywords: Exercise, Food intake, Energy expenditure, Oral contraceptives, Appetite, Active, Inactive.

57 Introduction

58 Regular exercise is prophylactic and promotes metabolic adaptations that improve 59 physical and mental health (Bertheussen et al., 2011; Chaput et al., 2010; Tremblay & 60 Therrien, 2006). In addition, the ability of exercise to disrupt energy balance through 61 its effects on food intake and energy expenditure makes it important for the 62 maintenance of adequate body mass and composition.

63

64 Exercise-induced behavioural and physiological compensatory responses in energy 65 intake and/or non-exercise energy expenditure (King et al., 2007) might explain the 66 high inter-variability responses of exercise interventions that are designed to reduce 67 body mass. Additionally, these responses differ according to participants' habitual 68 physical activity (Martins et al., 2008) and sex (Hagobian et al., 2010), therefore, it is 69 important to control for these variables. Indeed, results from a recently published 70 meta-analysis on the effect of acute exercise on subsequent (within 24 hours post-71 exercise) energy intake (Schubert et al., 2013) suggested that individuals who engage 72 in less physical activity are more likely to experience an anorexic effect of exercise.

- 3 -

73	In addition, findings from our previous study (Rocha et al., 2013) suggest that active
74	men compensate for an acute exercise-induced energy deficit quicker than inactive
75	men. However, it is still not known if these findings occur in women.
76	
77	Most studies investigating the effects of an acute bout of exercise on hunger and food
78	intake in active (Finlayson et al., 2009; Hagobian et al., 2012; Lluch et al., 1998;
79	Lluch et al., 2000; Larson-Meyer et al., 2012) and inactive women (George &
80	Morganstein, 2003; Maraki et al., 2005; Reger et al., 1984; Tsofliou et al., 2003;
81	Unick et al., 2010) have reported no changes in hunger and/or energy intake. Despite
82	the majority of studies reporting a consistent lack of an acute effect of exercise on
83	energy intake, most of these studies have assessed energy intake in only one
84	subsequent meal one to two hours post exercise (Finlayson et al., 2009; George &
85	Morganstein, 2003; Hagobian et al., 2012; Larson-Meyer et al., 2012; Tsofliou et al.,
86	2003; Unick et al., 2010), so any compensation that may have occurred later on the
87	day or during subsequent days was not measured.
88	
89	According to the United Nations, oral contraceptives are the most common modern
90	contraceptive method (including both reversible and non-reversible methods) in
91	developed countries and the third most common in developing countries (United
92	Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2009). Oral contraceptives
93	(OCs) have now become a feature of everyday life, with globally, nearly 200 million
94	women taking the "pill" packet on a daily basis (Chadwick et al., 2012). However,
95	there is little evidence of the effects of exercise on appetite and energy intake in
96	women taking OCs. For instance, only one study has provided information on the use
97	of oral contraceptives by all participants (Hagobian et al., 2012), while several of

- 4 -

98	these studies (George & Morganstein, 2003; Kissileff et al., 1990; Maraki et al., 2005;					
99	Reger et al., 1984) examined premenopausal women without controlling variables					
100	such as the regularity of the menstrual cycles, premenstrual or unusual menstrual					
101	symptoms, menstrual phase when testing and the use of hormonal contraceptive					
102	preparations. This is despite research suggesting higher energy intakes at the luteal					
103	phase than follicular phase and that women prone to premenstrual or unusual					
104	menstrual symptoms have greater fluctuations of energy intake and appetite (Dye &					
105	Blundell, 1997). Moreover, some studies examining the effects of OCs on energy					
106	intake reported an increase (Eck et al., 1997; Naessen et al., 2007) and others no					
107	difference (Bancroft & Rennie, 1993; McVay et al., 2011; Tucci et al., 2010).					
108						
109	Other limitations include the use of <i>ad libitum</i> buffet-style meals (George &					
110	Morganstein, 2003; Reger et al., 1984, Tsofliou et al., 2003; Unick et al., 2010), the					
111	lack of definition of participants' inactivity (Reger et al., 1984; Tsofliou et al., 2003),					
112	the estimation of energy expenditure using heart rate equations (George &					
113	Morganstein, 2003; Maraki et al., 2005) and the lack of measurement of energy					
114	expenditure (Tsofliou et al., 2003). Therefore, the present study sought to overcome					
115	some of these limitations by controlling for participants' premenstrual or unusual					
116	menstrual symptoms, menstrual phase when testing and the use of hormonal					
117	contraceptive preparations. In addition, this study increased the observation period to					
118	four days and used well-controlled and validated methods to measure ad libitum					
119	energy intake in the laboratory and free-living energy expenditure.					
120						
121	No study has examined acute effects of an acute bout of exercise on food intake and					

122 physical activity energy expenditure while directly comparing active and inactive

- 5 -

women taking oral contraceptives. Findings from this study will inform whether an
exercise challenge will alter these groups' physical activity and energy intake over a
number of days.

126

127 Methods

128 Participants

129 With institutional ethics approval, twenty-nine healthy women were recruited. Nine 130 participants withdrew from the study stating personal reasons (n=4), not able to find 131 suitable dates for the experimental days (n=3), not liking the breakfast provided (n=1)132 and feeling uncomfortable wearing the Actiheart (n=1). Therefore, 20 healthy, active 133 (n=10; age 22.6 \pm 3.6 years; body mass 61.4 \pm 4.4 kg; body mass index 21.9 \pm 1.3 kg.m⁻²) and inactive (n=10; age 22.3 \pm 3.2 years; body mass 60.1 \pm 4.3 kg; body mass 134 135 index 21.6 ± 2.0 kg.m⁻²) women completed the study. Participants were non-smokers, 136 had regular menstrual cycles (21-35 days), were not pregnant or lactating, had no 137 known history of cardiovascular or metabolic diseases, were not dieting, had a stable 138 body mass $(\pm 2 \text{ kg})$ for 6 months before the study and were not taking any medication 139 except oral contraceptives (16 participants were taking combined oral contraceptives 140 and 4 progesterone-only pills). Severity of premenstrual symptoms was assessed 141 through the shortened premenstrual assessment form (SPAF; Allen et al., 1991) that 142 consists of 10 items rated on a scale from 1 (not present or no change from usual) to 6 143 (extreme change, perhaps noticeable even to casual acquaintances). The mean score 144 for the SPAF for the active and inactive groups were 16.8 ± 6.8 and 17.6 ± 5.8 , 145 respectively with no participant scoring greater than 28 (scores greater than 30 are indicative of moderate premenstrual symptoms) (Allen et al., 1991). Participants 146

- 6 -

147 mean score for cognitive restraint based on the revised version of the Three-Factor 148 Eating Questionnaire (Karlsson et al., 2000) was 11.6 ± 3.1 for the active and $10.5 \pm$ 149 3.3 for the inactive group with all participants having a cognitive restraint score lower 150 than 18. Self-reported weekly physical activity assessed by a modified version of 151 Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (GLTEQ) (Godin & Shepard, 1985) was 152 used to allocate participants to the active (engaged in regular exercise and undertaken 153 at least 150 minutes per week of moderate-intensity physical activity i.e., physical 154 activity that noticeably increases breathing, sweating and heart rate and is between 155 12-14 in the 6-20 rating of perceived exertion scale), and inactive groups (did not 156 engage in regular exercise and did not meet the minimum physical activity 157 recommendation guidelines of 150 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity per 158 week) (Department of Health, 2004). Veracity of self-reported measures of physical 159 activity was confirmed with a posteriori analysis of the Actiheart data. These data 160 calculated individual Physical Activity Level (PAL) by dividing participants' total 161 energy expenditure in a 24-hour period by their basal metabolic rate. The active group 162 had a mean PAL of 1.79 ± 0.13 and the inactive 1.56 ± 0.15 , which according to the 163 classification of lifestyles in relation to PAL in adults (WHO, 2004) identified them as 164 having an active to moderately active lifestyle (1.70-1.99) and a sedentary to light 165 activity lifestyle (1.40-1.69), respectively.

166 **Design and procedure**

167 To minimise participant-expectancy effects, participants were blinded about the true

168 purpose of the study (effects of an acute bout of exercise on immediate and

169 subsequent three days energy intake and expenditure) and were informed that the

170 investigation was assessing how food and physical activity affected mood.

- 7 -

171 Before the experimental days, participants attended the laboratory for one preliminary 172 session consisting of two exercise tests (submaximal and maximal cycling tests), 173 screening and habituation with all procedures. After the preliminary session, 174 participants were allocated either to the active or inactive group and completed the 175 study in a randomised, crossover fashion with approximately 4 weeks (time varied 176 according to participants' menstrual cycle) between both conditions (exercise and 177 control). Experimental days were booked during the first week they restarted taking 178 the oral contraceptives or, if continuous, when a new pack was started. This control 179 means that findings are limited to the mechanisms operating at the examined stages, 180 however, this was undertaken to minimise possible effects of sex hormones in energy 181 intake (Dye & Blundell, 1997) and expenditure (Bowen et al., 2011). The 182 experimental days were completed on the same day of the week to control for dietary 183 and physical activity habits. Additionally, participants were asked to refrain from 184 consuming alcohol or caffeine and taking part in vigorous physical activity in the 24 185 hours prior to each experimental day and to record their food intake for two days 186 before the first experimental day. This allowed participants to keep their activity 187 patterns consistent between conditions and replicate their food intake during the two 188 days before the second experimental day.

189

On the experimental days, participants arrived at the laboratory between 8.00 and 9.30am after a 10-hour overnight fast with only water consumption permitted (Figure 1). On arrival participants consumed a standard breakfast within 15 minutes. On the exercise experimental day, participants rested for one hour, cycled for one hour at 50% of maximum oxygen uptake and then rested for another hour. On the control experimental day this was equivalent to three hours of rest (participants had to remain

- 8 -

196 seated while working, reading or listening to music and were monitored to ensure that 197 they abstained from any food related cues) from the end of breakfast until the 198 beginning of lunch. After eating the *ad libitum* lunch participants were fitted with an 199 Actiheart and given a food diary that was used to estimate three-day food intake and 200 energy expenditure. At the end of the study participants were debriefed about the true 201 purpose of the study.

202 Measures

203 Anthropometry

204 Procedures adhered to recommendations of the International Society for the

205 Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK). Stature, body mass, waist and hip

206 circumference were measured as previously described (Rocha et al., 2013). Body

207 Mass Index (BMI) was calculated as body mass in kilograms divided by the square of

208 stature in meters. Waist circumference was divided by hip circumference to determine

209 waist to hip ratio. Percentage of body fat was obtained via a bioelectrical impedance

210 body composition analyser InBody720 (Derwent Healthcare Ltd, Newcastle upon

211 Tyne, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Measurements were

212 performed without shoes and socks with participants being instructed to slightly

abduct their arms and remain still in the upright position. All bioelectrical impedance

214 measurements were performed with the participants having fasted for at least two

215 hours and without having engaged in any kind of exercise during that day.

216 Submaximal and maximal cycling tests

217 Before the tests, participants were allowed some time (no longer than 15 minutes) to

218 warm-up and accustom themselves to cycle-ergometer exercise (model 874E,

219 Monark, Sweden). The submaximal-incremental cycling test was completed to

- 9 -

220 determine the relationship between exercise intensity and oxygen consumption. The 221 test consisted of a maximum of 16 min of continuous cycling divided into four, 4-min 222 stages. The pedalling rate was initially set at 60 rpm but participants were allowed to 223 choose a different rpm if they felt uncomfortable or could not maintain this cadence. 224 Initial exercise intensity was adjusted to individual activity status with inactive 225 participants starting at 60 W and active at 60 W or 90 W. At the end of each 4-min 226 stage, exercise intensity was increased by 30 W. Participants were required to 227 undertake the entire test whilst seated. A calibrated MedGraphics CPX Ultima 228 (Medical Graphics Ltd, Gloucester, UK) gas analysis system determined oxygen 229 consumption and carbon dioxide production. A heart rate monitor (Polar F4, Polar 230 Electro, Kempele, Finland) was used to assess heart rate continuously which was 231 recorded every 15 s during the last minute of each stage. In addition, ratings of 232 perceived exertion (Borg, 1973) were assessed during the same time periods. 233 After allowing for sufficient recovery from the sub-maximal test participants began 234 the maximal oxygen uptake cycling test. The test involved cycling continuously 235 through 3-min stages until volitional exhaustion. The initial pedalling rate was the 236 same as the one chosen for the submaximal test and initial intensity of exercise was 237 set equal to the last stage of the submaximal cycling test. At the end of each 3-min 238 stage exercise intensity was increased by 30 W. Strong verbal encouragement was 239 given to all participants throughout the test which was terminated when the participant 240 failed to maintain cycling cadence for 20 consecutive seconds or signalled that they 241 could not continue. To confirm that a true cycling-specific maximal oxygen 242 consumption had been attained, two or more of the criteria were met: participant heart 243 rate within 15 beats/min of age-predicted maximum heart rate (205.8–0.685(age)) (InBar et al., 1994), an increase in oxygen consumption (\dot{VO}_2) of less than 100 ml 244

- 10 -

245 min_1 despite an increase in exercise intensity, and a RER greater than 1.15. The

246 participants maximal oxygen consumption and oxygen cost of cycling was used to

ascertain the exercise intensity necessary to elicit 50% of maximal oxygen

consumption.

249 Breakfast and *ad libitum* lunch meal

250 Breakfast was standardised across conditions and quantities were determined based on

251 individual body mass (23.6 kJ/kg of body mass). This meal consisted of a bowl of

252 cereal (CornFlakes, Kellogg's, UK) with fresh semi-skimmed milk (Sainsbury, UK)

and a glass of UHT orange juice (Drink Fresh, DCB Foodservice, UK). The ad

254 *libitum* lunch meal consisted of durum wheat semolina conchiglie pasta

255 (Granaria, Favellatos.r.l, Italy) served with tomato and mascarpone cheese sauce

256 (FratelliSacla, S.p.A., Asti, Italy). This meal comprised 10.1% energy from protein,

257 67.2% carbohydrate and 22.7% fat, with an energy density of 7.4 kJ/g. Cooking and

cooling times were standardised across conditions and the pasta and sauce meal was

served on both experimental days at a temperature of 60-65°C.

260 Hunger ratings

261 Hunger ratings were assessed during the experimental trials with 100-mm paper

version visual analogue scales (VAS) before and after breakfast, and at 30 min

263 intervals thereafter until the end of lunch. The VAS was preceded by the question

²⁶⁴ "how hungry do you feel?" anchored on the left by "not at all hungry" and on the right

- by "very hungry" (Flint et al., 2000). Participants placed a vertical mark through the
- line at the point which best matched their present feeling of hunger. The distance from
- the left anchor to the vertical mark was then measured with a ruler and used as the
- hunger score.

269 Laboratory energy expenditure

270 Expired air samples were collected in 150 L Douglas Bags (Harvard Apparatus,

271 Edenbridge, Kent, UK) at 15 min intervals during the 60-minute exercise and rest

- 272 period of the experimental days. Samples were analysed using an oxygen/carbon
- dioxide gas analyser (Dual Gas Analyser GIR250, Hitech Instruments, Luton, UK)
- which was calibrated before each analysis. A dry gas meter (Harvard Apparatus,
- 275 Edenbridge, Kent, UK) determined expired air volumes that were corrected to STPD
- 276 (standard temperature, pressure and dry gas). This method was used to ensure that
- 277 participants cycled at 50% of their \dot{VO}_{2max} and to estimate energy expenditure by
- 278 indirect calorimetry (Frayn, 1983).

279 Free-living energy expenditure

Free-living energy expenditure was estimated using an Actiheart (Cambridge
Neurotechnology, Cambridge, UK) that was attached to each participant's chest

282 (lower position described in Brage et al., 2006) using electrocardiogram (ECG)

283 electrodes (E4 T815 Telectrode, Surrey, UK). The Actihearts were set up to collect

data in "HR variability" and record activity every 15 seconds. Participants were told

- to wear the monitor at all times, when awake or asleep including when washing or
- swimming. At the end of the three-day period, participants returned the Actihearts and
- the data were downloaded using a docking station and analysed using its commercial
- software. Heart rate and accelerometer data were converted to energy expenditure

using the revised branched group calibration equation (Brage et al., 2007).

290 Laboratory energy intake

On each experimental day, participants ate their breakfast and *ad libitum* lunch alone
in individual air-conditioned testing cubicles equipped with Sussex Ingestion Pattern

- 12 -

293 Monitors (SIPM). During lunch, participants were not given a specific time to finish 294 eating but were instructed to "eat as much or as little as they wanted". Food intake (in 295 grams) was covertly monitored using the SIPM, which consists of a concealed digital 296 balance (KMB-TM, Kern, Germany) connected to a PC computer. To ensure 297 participants did not use the empty plate as an external cue to end their meal, the SIPM 298 was programmed to prompt the participant to call the experimenter, using a call 299 button, once at least 300 g were consumed to receive a refill. This process was 300 repeated until the participants indicated that they had finished eating. A separate side 301 plate was provided for participants to place cutlery when not eating with them (e.g. 302 still chewing food) to ensure the weight of cutlery did not interfere with the food 303 weighing process.

304 Free-living energy intake

305 Participants were instructed to weigh and record all items of food and drink consumed 306 both at home and outside the home in food diaries for the remainder of the 307 experimental days and subsequent three days. All participants received guidance on 308 how to complete the dietary record and measure food portions. When weighing was 309 not possible, participants were asked to estimate portion sizes using standard 310 household measures. Immediately upon receipt, food diaries were reviewed in the 311 presence of the participant to ensure completeness and legibility, with any missing or 312 unclear items being corrected. Food diaries were analysed to estimate energy and 313 macronutrient intake using the dietary analysis software NetWisp (version 3.0;

314 Tinuviel Software, Warrington, UK).

- 13 -

Percentage of energy compensation

316	Percentage of energy compensation was calculated for the <i>ad libitum</i> lunch meal, and
317	for each one of the daily energy intakes (i.e. experimental day and subsequent 3 days).
318	
319	To calculate the percentages compensation for the <i>ad libitum</i> lunch meals and for
320	each day the following formulas were applied:
321	
322	[(lunch energy intake in exercise condition - lunch energy intake in control condition)
323	/ (net exercise-induced energy expenditure)] x 100
324	
325	[(energy intake of day A in exercise condition - energy intake of day A in control
326	condition) / (net exercise-induced energy expenditure)] x 100
327	
328	In the latter, A denotes the day for which the percentage compensation is being
329	calculated.
330	
331	When positive, the percentage compensation values indicated that over the analysed
332	period of time, energy intake was greater in the exercise than in the control condition
333	while negative values indicated a greater intake in the control than in the exercise
334	condition. A value of 100% indicated complete compensation of the net exercise-
335	induced energy expenditure (i.e. the excess energy intake at the exercise compared
336	with the control condition matched for the net exercise-induced energy expenditure).
337	A value of 0% indicates no compensation (i.e. energy intake was the same in both
338	conditions).

- 14 -

339 Statistical analyses

340 Statistical Package for the Social Sciences program for windows (SPSS 19.0,

341 Chicago, IL) was used for all analyses. Data were checked for normal distribution 342 using histograms and Shapiro-Wilk tests. Homogeneity of variance and sphericity 343 were checked using Levene's and Mauchley's test, respectively. Area under the curve 344 (AUC) values for hunger were calculated using the trapezoidal rule. Net exercise-345 induced energy expenditure was calculated as (energy expenditure during the 60 min 346 cycling period - energy expended during equivalent control period). Relative energy 347 intake was calculated as lunch energy intake minus the net exercise-induced energy 348 expenditure or the resting energy expenditure for the exercise and control condition, 349 respectively.

350

351 Differences between groups for baseline characteristics, work rate, relative intensity of exercise (% of VO_{2max}), ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) during exercise and net 352 353 exercise-induced energy expenditure were assessed by independent Student's t-tests. 354 Percentages of energy compensation were compared between groups using a one-way 355 ANOVA with the Welch test (when homogeneity of variance was violated). Two-way 356 mixed-model ANOVAs (Group × Condition) compared the experimental day's lunch 357 energy intake, energy expenditure, heart rate and respiratory exchange ratio (RER). 358 Three-way mixed-model ANOVAs (Group \times Condition \times Time) compared subjective 359 hunger ratings, body mass on the experimental days, daily energy intake and 360 expenditure and macronutrient intakes. In these analyses energy intake on the 361 experimental day was calculated by summing participants' energy intake throughout 362 the day (breakfast + ad libitum lunch + remainder of experimental day). However, the 363 same formula could not be applied to macronutrient intake because the macronutrient

- 15 -

364 values for breakfast and lunch of the experimental day were fixed. Therefore,

365 macronutrient intake for the experimental day is limited to the free-living period of

366 that day (i.e. remainder of the experimental day). Post hoc tests were performed using

367 Bonferroni adjustments when statistical significance or large effect sizes were present.

- 368 Cohen's d (standardised mean difference) effect sizes were calculated by dividing the
- 369 difference between means by the pooled standard deviation thus reflecting differences
- 370 expressed in standard deviation units. According to Cohen's (1988) guidelines, effect
- 371 sizes were conservatively interpreted as small (0.2), medium (0.5), and large (0.8)
- 372 effects. In addition, 95% confidence intervals were determined for energy intake,
- 373 macronutrient intake, energy expenditure and percentage of energy compensation.
- 374 Means and standard deviations (mean \pm SD) are presented for all outcomes unless

375 otherwise stated. Statistical significance was accepted at the 5% level.

376

377 **Results**

378 Baseline characteristics

379 Participant baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. Active participants had

380 greater VO_{2max} and lower percentage of body fat than inactive participants (p < 0.05).

381 There were no differences in age, stature, body mass, BMI and waist-to-hip ratio.

Body mass during the experimental days

- 383 There were no main or interaction effects (p > 0.05) for body mass on the exercise
- 384 (active start vs. end: 61.1 ± 5.6 kg vs. 61.0 ± 5.6 kg; inactive start vs. end: 61.1 ± 4.3
- 385 kg vs. 61.0 ± 4.4 kg) and control experimental days (active start vs. end: 61.1 ± 5.5 kg
- 386 vs. 61.0 ± 5.5 kg; inactive start vs. end: 60.6 ± 4.2 kg vs. 60.6 ± 4.2 kg).

387 Hunger ratings

388 There was a main effect of time (p < 0.001) for hunger ratings but there were no

interactions or other main effects (p > 0.05) (Fig. 2). Differences in hunger ratings

- 390 were also evaluated using AUC values for the time before and after breakfast (08:45-
- 391 09:00), the following hours until lunch (09:00-12:00), and the time before and after
- 392 lunch (12:00-12:20). There was a main effect of time (p < 0.001) for hunger AUC
- 393 values but no interactions or other main effects (p > 0.05).

394 Exercise responses and energy expenditure on the experimental days

395 The active participants exercised at a higher work rate than the inactive (70.3 ± 11.4)

396 W vs. 57.4 \pm 14.2 W; p = 0.039), however, the relative intensity of exercise and

397 ratings of perceived exertion were not different between the active and inactive

398 groups (51.2 ± 2.2% vs. 54.0 ± 7.5% of VO_{2max} ; p = 0.27; RPE: 11.9 ± 1.6 vs. 11.7 ±

399 1.2; p = 0.79). There were no main or interaction effects for RER (p > 0.05) and only a

400 condition (F(1,18) = 709.5; p < 0.001) effect for heart rate that, as anticipated, was

401 different between the control and the exercise experimental day (72 ± 11 bpm vs. 131

402 \pm 14 bpm, p < 0.001). Similarly, there was only a condition (p < 0.001) effect for the

403 energy expenditure during the 60 minutes of exercise ($1345 \pm 195 \text{ kJ}$) and equivalent

404 resting period ($325 \pm 41 \text{ kJ}$) and no differences between the net exercise-induced

405 energy expenditure of active and inactive participants (1078 ± 132 kJ vs. 964 ± 239

406 kJ; p = 0.227, d = 0.60). There was a group effect for total energy expenditure (active

407 vs. inactive: 6389 ± 1036 kJ vs. 4949 ± 841 kJ, p = 0.001, d = 1.61) and physical

408 activity energy expenditure (active vs. inactive: 2780 ± 857 kJ vs. 1571 ± 727 kJ, p < 100

409 0.001, d = 1.60) during the remainder of the experimental days. There were no other

410 main or interaction effects (p > 0.05).

411 Ad libitum lunch energy intake on experimental days

- 412 The energy intake at the *ad libitum* lunch meal for active and inactive participants on
- 413 both experimental days is presented in Table 2. There was only a condition (p =
- 414 0.033, d = 0.49) effect for absolute energy intake at the *ad libitum* lunch with a higher
- 415 absolute energy intake in the exercise than the control condition (exercise vs. control:
- 416 3363 ± 668 kJ vs. 3035 ± 752 kJ). After adjustment of absolute energy intake for the
- 417 energy expended during the 60 min of exercise/rest (relative energy intake, REI),
- 418 there was a condition effect (F(1,18) = 19.723; p < 0.001, d = -1.00) with a lower REI
- 419 in the exercise than the control condition ($2019 \pm 746 \text{ kJ vs. } 2710 \pm 712 \text{ kJ}$).

420 Daily energy expenditure

- 421 Total free-living energy expenditure indicated that active participants expended more
- 422 energy than inactive participants over the course of the three days (F(1,18) = 15.817;

423 p = 0.001, d = 1.63, mean difference = 1573 kJ; 95% CI 597 to 2548 kJ). This

- 424 difference can be explained by the differences in physical activity energy expenditure
- 425 during this period, which was higher in the active than the inactive group (3639 ± 787)

426 kJ vs. 2363 \pm 767 kJ, p < 0.001). There were no other main effects or interactions (p >

427 0.05) for daily energy expenditure.

428 Daily energy intake

- 429 Daily energy intake for both groups is shown in Figure 3. One participant in the
- 430 inactive group did not complete the full four-day food diary, therefore analyses were
- 431 made with 10 active and 9 inactive participants. There was a time (p = 0.003) and
- 432 group (p = 0.036) effect and a trend with a large effect size for a condition x group x
- 433 time interaction (p = 0.056; d = 0.80) for daily energy intake. Pairwise comparisons
- 434 showed that energy intake was greater on the experimental days ($10180 \pm 1670 \text{ kJ}$)

435 than the subsequent first ($8535 \pm 2511 \text{ kJ}$, p = 0.027, d = 0.81), second (8531 ± 2330 kJ, p = 0.022, d = 0.84) and third (8364 ± 2459 kJ, p = 0.024, d = 0.91) days and that 436 437 inactive participants had a higher mean energy intake over the four days than the 438 active group (9431 \pm 1168 kJ vs. 8385 \pm 1364 kJ, p = 0.036, d = -0.86). Post hoc 439 analysis did not show any differences in the active group and inactive participants had 440 only a decrease in energy intake on the first day after the exercise experimental day 441 compared with the same day of the control condition (mean difference = -1974 kJ; 442 95% CI -1048 to -2900 kJ, p = 0.002, d = -0.89).

443 **Daily macronutrient intake**

444 There were no main or interaction effects for the percentage of energy consumed from

445 protein, fat and carbohydrate (p > 0.05) but there was a trend with a large effect size

446 for condition x group interaction for energy consumed from fat (p = 0.055, d = -1.35).

447 Post hoc analysis demonstrated that only the active group consumed less fat in the

448 exercise than the control condition (mean difference = -6%; 95% CI -11 to -2%, p =

449 0.012, d = -1.10). No other differences were observed in the inactive and active group.

450 **Percentages of energy compensation**

451 Percentages of energy compensation are presented in Figure 4. There were no

452 significant between group differences for the percentages of energy compensation for

453 the *ad libitum* lunch (active: $43 \pm 67\%$ vs. inactive: $16 \pm 67\%$; p = 0.63, d = 0.42),

454 experimental day (active: $109 \pm 208\%$ vs. inactive: $-49 \pm 216\%$; p = 0.08, d = 0.78),

455 day one (active: $53 \pm 346\%$ vs. inactive: $-176 \pm 150\%$; p = 0.053, d = 0.90), day two

456 (active: $-91 \pm 293\%$ vs. inactive: $161 \pm 371\%$; p = 0.21, d = -0.80) or day three

457 (active: $34 \pm 267\%$ vs. inactive: $1 \pm 253\%$; p = 0.40, d = 0.13) after the experimental

458 day. Nevertheless, the moderate to large effect sizes for the experimental day, day one

- and day two after the experimental day suggest possible between group compensatory
- 460 differences on these days. The cumulative percentage of energy compensation over
- 461 the four days was not significantly different between groups (active: $104 \pm 718\%$ vs.
- 462 inactive: $-62 \pm 631\%$; p = 0.32, d = 0.26).

463 **Discussion**

464 The present study is the first to examine the effects of an acute bout of low-intensity 465 aerobic exercise on immediate and subsequent three-day energy intake and 466 expenditure in active and inactive women taking oral contraceptives. The main 467 findings arising from this study are that an acute bout of low-intensity aerobic 468 exercise elicited an increase in ad libitum energy intake, did not induce significant 469 changes in energy intake over the free-living period in active participants and induced 470 a suppression of energy intake on the first day after the experimental day in inactive 471 participants. Additionally, groups did not differ in physical activity energy 472 expenditure between conditions suggesting that there were no acute compensatory 473 changes to physical activity.

474

475 In contrast to our previous study (Rocha et al., 2013), there were no differences 476 between the net exercise-induced energy expenditure in active and inactive women 477 participants in the present study. This occurred despite both groups exercising at the 478 same relative intensity and is possibly explained by the differences between groups' 479 aerobic capacity being less in the present study. There were no changes in body mass 480 between conditions suggesting that participants remained in energy balance during the 481 period of time between the first and the second experimental day. Moreover, 482 participants remained in fluid balance during the laboratory period of the

- 20 -

483 experimental days as no changes were observed in body mass from the start to the end484 of the exercise/rest periods.

485

486 There were no differences in subjective hunger ratings either between groups or 487 conditions in this study. This finding is in agreement with recent studies in active 488 (Finlayson et al., 2009) and inactive women (Unick et al., 2010) and men (Rocha et 489 al., 2013). However, the relationship between exercise intensity and hunger has not 490 been consistently reported in women making it difficult to ascertain if this finding is attributable to the low exercise intensity ($\approx 50\%$ of $\dot{V}O_{2max}$) used in this study. For 491 492 instance, previous studies have reported no effects on hunger using cycling (King et 493 al., 1996), decreases after running (Reger et al., 1984) and an increase after a 494 combination of aerobic and resistance exercise (Maraki et al., 2005), suggesting that, 495 in women, the acute effect of exercise on hunger is also determined by the type of 496 exercise undertaken.

497

498 There was an overall condition effect on absolute energy intake at the *ad libitum* 499 lunch meal that was greater during the exercise than the control experimental day. 500 This finding is not supported by previous studies in active (Hagobian et al., 2012; 501 Lluch et al., 2000; Larson-Meyer et al., 2012) and inactive women (George & 502 Morganstein, 2003; Maraki et al., 2005; Unick et al., 2010) which have reported a 503 lack of an exercise-induced effect on absolute energy intake at the meal immediately 504 after exercise. However, as previously discussed, different research designs and 505 methodological limitations make comparisons difficult. Nevertheless, findings from 506 the present study could be explained by a psychological drive to use food as a reward 507 for exercising (King et al., 2007) or by exercise-induced changes in the hedonic

- 21 -

response to food (Finlayson et al., 2009). In contrast, adjustment of energy intake for the energy expended during the exercise/rest period showed that both groups had a lower REI after exercise than control, suggesting that, similar to previous research in active (Hagobian et al., 2012, Pomerleau et al., 2004) and inactive women (Unick et al., 2010), participants maintained a short-term negative energy balance.

513

514 In this study, there were no significant differences in energy intake during the 515 remainder of the experimental day or subsequent three days in the active group, a 516 finding consistent with the only study examining the effects of exercise on daily 517 energy intake in women (Pomerleau et al., 2004). Conversely, the inactive group had 518 a lower energy intake on the first day after the exercise experimental day compared 519 with control and no other differences in the remaining days. This is a novel finding 520 and suggests that, as with our previous study in men (Rocha et al., 2013), an acute 521 bout of exercise elicits a delayed response in inactive individuals. Despite the lack of 522 significant differences in free-living energy intake in the active group, the mean 523 percentages of energy compensation in the current study elicited a similar pattern to 524 those previously observed in men (Rocha et al., 2013) suggesting that active 525 participants may compensate quicker than inactive participants. In the present study, 526 the energy compensation of active women was close to 100% within the experimental 527 day (109%) whilst the same was not observed in inactive women (-49%). In addition, 528 inactive women reduced their energy intake (-176%) on day one after experimental day before increasing it (161%) on day two after the experimental day providing 529 530 further support to a more sensitive short-term appetite control in active than inactive 531 individuals. When examining the cumulative percentage of energy compensation over 532 the four days there were no statistical significant differences between groups.

- 22 -

533 Nevertheless, these values still provides important information regarding each group's 534 overall energy compensation over the 4 days with the active group compensating for 535 approximately all their net exercise-induced energy expenditure (104%) whereas the 536 inactive group increased their exercise-induced energy deficit (-62%). For weight 537 management, the latter values would, if sustained over greater durations, translate to 538 weight loss. However, it is important to acknowledge that it is still not known what 539 threshold, if one exists, separates active from inactive individuals. Hence, these 540 results might not be applicable in the long-term as inactive participants will eventually 541 become active and be able to immediately compensate for the exercise-induced 542 energy deficits.

543

544 There were no differences between daily macronutrient intake in the exercise and 545 control condition in the inactive group. However, the active group consumed less 546 energy from fat over the four days of the exercise than the control condition, which is 547 possibly explained by being more motivated to eat foods associated with restoring the 548 expended energy (Blundell et al., 2003). Total energy expenditure and physical 549 activity energy expenditure during the free-living period of the study were not 550 different between conditions suggesting that both groups maintained their physical 551 activity. These results agree with our previous findings in men (Rocha et al., 2013) 552 suggesting that an acute bout of low-intensity aerobic exercise does not elicit 553 compensatory changes in daily physical activity energy expenditure in premenopausal 554 women taking oral contraceptives.

555

556 Limitations in this study should be acknowledged. Participants were young healthy557 women taking oral contraceptives, therefore the findings might not apply to women

- 23 -

558 not taking oral contraceptives, and older or obese adults. Controlling for participants 559 menstrual cycle means that findings are limited to the mechanisms operating at the 560 examined stages, however, this was undertaken to minimise possible effects of sex 561 hormones in energy intake (Dye & Blundell, 1997) and expenditure (Bowen et al., 562 2011). Energy intake is affected by other factors that could not be controlled in the 563 free-living so it may be that observed differences in energy intake did not arise from 564 physiological regulatory mechanisms but from behavioural/psychological (e.g. 565 emotional states) and/or environmental factors (e.g. presence of other people at meal 566 times). Despite not being statistically significant the percentage of energy 567 compensation group differences on the experimental day and subsequent day one and 568 day two elicited moderate to large effect sizes and therefore it is possible that the low 569 sample size in our study could have limited the statistical power to detect differences 570 in free-living energy intake. Finally, caution should be taken when interpreting energy 571 intake and expenditure data collected in the free-living because this is highly 572 dependent on participants' compliance with methods and instructions making it more 573 susceptible to errors in data collection. 574

575 **Conclusions**

576 This study demonstrated that an acute bout of low-intensity aerobic exercise did not 577 elicit changes in hunger but increased energy intake at the meal immediately after 578 exercise. Moreover, it induced a decrease in relative energy intake after exercise in 579 both active and inactive pre-menopausal women taking oral contraceptives. There 580 were no significant differences in active participants' daily energy intake over the four 581 days whereas the inactive group decreased their daily energy intake on the first day

- 24 -

582 after the exercise experimental day compared to control suggesting a delayed 583 exercise-induced suppression of energy intake. The percentages of energy 584 compensation have also provided further support to a more sensitive short-term 585 appetite control in active than inactive individuals. Moreover, there were no 586 concomitant compensatory changes in daily physical activity energy expenditure. 587 These findings support the use of low-intensity aerobic exercise to induce a short-term 588 negative energy balance in inactive women, which if sustained, would translate to 589 weight loss.

590

591 **Conflict of interest**

592 None of the authors had any conflict of interest regarding any aspect of this study.

593 Authors' contributions

- 594 J.R. conceived the study, recruited the participants, collected the data, performed the
- 595 data analysis and wrote the manuscript. D.B., J.P, E.W. and C.D. obtained the
- 596 funding, contributed to the design of the study and critically revised the manuscript.
- 597 All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

598 Acknowledgements

- 599 The authors would like to thank Engineering for Life (EFL) and the Engineering and
- 600 Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) for their help financing this research
- and all the volunteers for their participation in this study.

602 **References**

- Allen, S. S., McBride, C. M., &Pirie, P. L. (1991). The shortened premenstrual
- assessment form. *The Journal of Reproductive Medicine*, 36, 769-772.
- Bancroft, J., & Rennie, D. (1993). The impact of oral contraceptives on the
- 606 experience of perimenstrual mood, clumsiness, food craving and other symptoms.
- 607 *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 37, 195-202.
- 608 Bertheussen, G. F., Romundstad, P. R., Landmark, T., Kaasa, S., Dale, O., &
- Helbostad, J. L. (2011). Associations between physical activity and physical and
- 610 mental health–a HUNT 3 study.*Medicine & Science* in *Sports & Exercise*, 43,
- 611 1220-1228.
- 612 Blundell, J. E., Stubbs, R. J., Hughes, D. A., Whybrow, S., & King, N. A.
- 613 (2003).Cross talk between physical activity and appetite control: Does physical
- 614 activity stimulate appetite? *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 62, 651-661.
- Borg, G. A. V. (1973). Perceived exertion: A note on "history" and methods.
- 616 *Medicine and Science in Sports*, 5, 90-93.
- Bowen, R. S., Turner, M. J., & Lightfoot, J. T. (2011). Sex hormone effects on
- 618 physical activity levels. *Sports Medicine*, 41, 73-86.
- 619 Brage, S., Brage, N., Ekelund, U., Luan, J., Franks, P. W., Froberg, K., et al.
- 620 (2006). Effect of combined movement and heart rate monitor placement on
- 621 physical activity estimates during treadmill locomotion and free-living. *European*
- 622 *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 96, 517-524.
- 623 Brage, S., Ekelund, U., Brage, N., Henninngs, M. A., Froberg, K., Franks, P. W.,
- 624 & Wareham, N. J. (2007). Hierarchy of individual calibration levels for heart rate
- and accelerometry to measure physical activity. *Journal of Applied Physiology*,
- 626 103, 682–92.

- 627 Chadwick, K. D., Burkman, R. T., Tornesi, B. M., & Mahadevan, B. (2012). Fifty
- 628 years of "the pill": risk reduction and discovery of benefits beyond contraception,
- 629 reflections, and forecast. *Toxicological Sciences*, 125, 2-9.
- 630 Chaput, J. P., Klingenberg, L., Rosenkilde, M., Gilbert, J. A., Tremblay, A., &
- 631 Sjödin, A. (2010). Physical activity plays an important role in body weight
- 632 regulation. *Journal of Obesity*, 2011.
- 633 Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences (2nd ed.).
- Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.
- 635 Department of Health. (2004). At least five a week: evidence on the impact of
- 636 physical activity and its relationship to health, *Chief Medical Officer Report*.
- 637 London.
- 638 Dye, L., & Blundell, J. (1997). Menstrual cycle and appetite control: Implications
 639 for weight regulation. *Human Reproduction*, 12, 1142.
- 640 Eck, L., Bennett, A., Egan, B., Ray, J., Mitchell, C., Smith, M., et al. (1997).
- 641 Differences in macronutrient selections in users and nonusers of an oral
- 642 contraceptive. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 65, 419.
- 643 Finlayson, G., Bryant, E., Blundell, J. E., & King, N. A. (2009). Acute
- 644 compensatory eating following exercise is associated with implicit hedonic
- 645 wanting for food. *Physiology & Behavior*, 97, 62-67.
- 646 Flint, A., Raben, A., Blundell, J. E., & Astrup, A. (2000). Reproducibility, power
- and validity of visual analogue scales in assessment of appetite sensations in
- 648 single test meal studies. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic*
- 649 *Disorders*, 24, 38-48.
- 650 Frayn, K. N. (1983).Calculation of substrate oxidation rates in vivo from gaseous
- exchange. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 55, 628-634.

652	George, V. A., & Morganstein, A. (2003). Effect of moderate intensity exercise on
653	acute energy intake in normal and overweight females. Appetite, 40, 43-46.
654	Godin, G., & Shepard, S. (1985). The Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire.
655	A simple method to assess exercise behavior in the community. Canadian Journal
656	of Applied Sport Sciences, 10, 141-146.
657	Hagobian, T. A., & Braun, B. (2010). Physical activity and hormonal regulation of
658	appetite: Sex differences and weight control. Exercise and Sport Sciences
659	Reviews, 38, 25.
660	Hagobian, T. A., Yamashiro, M., Hinkel-Lipsker, J., Streder, K., Evero, N., &
661	Hackney, T. (2012). Effects of acute exercise on appetite hormones and ad libitum
662	energy intake in men and women. Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism,
663	38, 66-72.
664	Inbar, O., Oten, A., Scheinowitz, M., Rotstein, A., Dlin, R., & Casaburi, R.
665	(1994). Normal cardiopulmonary responses during incremental exercise in 20-70-
666	yr-old men. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 26, 538-546.
667	Karlsson, J., Persson, L-O., Sjöström, L., & Sullivan, M. (2000). Psychometric
668	properties and factor structure of the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ)
669	in obese men and women. Results from the Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) study.
670	International Journal of Obesity, 24, 1715-25.
671	King, N. A., Caudwell, P., Hopkins, M., Byrne, N. M., Colley, R., Hills, A. P.,
672	Stubbs, J. R., & Blundell, J. E. (2007). Metabolic and behavioral compensatory
673	responses to exercise interventions: Barriers to weight loss. Obesity, 15, 1373-

674 1383.

- 675 King, N. A., Snell, L., Smith, R. D., & Blundell, J. E. (1996). Effects of short-term
- exercise on appetite responses in unrestrained females. *European Journal of*
- 677 *Clinical Nutrition*, 50, 663-667.
- 678 Kissileff, H., Pi-Sunyer, F., Segal, K., Meltzer, S., & Foelsch, P. (1990). Acute
- 679 effects of exercise on food intake in obese and nonobese women. American
- 680 *Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 52, 240.
- 681 Larson-Meyer, D. E., Palm, S., Bansal, A., Austin, K. J., Hart, A. M., &
- 682 Alexander, B. M. (2012). Influence of running and walking on hormonal
- regulators of appetite in women. *Journal of Obesity*,2012.
- 684 Lluch, A., King, N. A., & Blundell, J. E. (2000). No energy compensation at the
- 685 meal following exercise in dietary restrained and unrestrained women. *British*
- *Journal of Nutrition*, 84, 219-225.
- 687 Lluch, A., King, N., & Blundell, J. (1998).Exercise in dietary restrained women:
- 688 No effect on energy intake but change in hedonic ratings. *European Journal of*
- 689 *Clinical Nutrition*, 52, 300-307.
- 690 Maraki, M., Tsofliou, F., Pitsiladis, Y., Malkova, D., Mutrie, N., & Higgins, S.
- 691 (2005). Acute effects of a single exercise class on appetite, energy intake and
- mood. is there a time of day effect? *Appetite*, 45, 272-278.
- 693 Martins, C., Morgan, L., & Truby, H. (2008). A review of the effects of exercise
- 694 on appetite regulation: An obesity perspective. *International Journal of Obesity*,
- 69532, 1337-1347.
- 696 McVay, M., Copeland, A., & Geiselman, P. (2011). Eating disorder pathology and
- 697 menstrual cycle fluctuations in eating variables in oral contraceptive users and
- 698 non-users. *Eating Behaviors*, 12, 49-55.

699	Naessen, S., Carlström, K., Byström, B., Pierre, Y., & Lindén Hirschberg, A.
700	(2007). Effects of an antiandrogenic oral contraceptive on appetite and eating
701	behavior in bulimic women. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 32, 548-554.
702	Pomerleau, M., Imbeault, P., Parker, T., Doucet, E. (2004). Effects of exercise
703	intensity and appetite in women. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 80,
704	1230–1236
705	Reger, W. E., Allison, T. G., &Kurucz, R. L. (1984). Exercise, post-exercise
706	metabolic rate and appetite. In F. L. Katch (Ed.), The 1984 olympic scientific
707	congress proceedings, vol. 2: Sport, health, and nutrition. (pp. 115-23).
708	Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics Publishers, Inc.
709	Rocha, J., Paxman, J., Dalton, C., Winter, E., & Broom, D. (2013). Effects of an
710	acute bout of aerobic exercise on immediate and subsequent three-day food intake
711	and energy expenditure in active and inactive men. Appetite, 71, 369-378.
712	Schubert, M. M., Desbrow, B., Sabapathy, S., & Leveritt, M. (2013). Acute
713	exercise and subsequent energy intake. A meta-analysis. Appetite, 63, 92-104.
714	Tremblay, A. T. A., & Therrien, F. T. F. (2006). Physical activity and body
715	functionality: Implications for obesity prevention and treatment. Canadian
716	Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology, 84, 149-156.
717	Tsofliou, F., Pitsiladis, Y., Malkova, D., Wallace, A., & Lean, M. (2003).
718	Moderate physical activity permits acute coupling between serum leptin and
719	appetite-satiety measures in obese women. International Journal of Obesity, 27,
720	1332-1339.
721	Tucci, S., Murphy, L., Boyland, E., Dye, L., & Halford, J. (2010). Oral
722	contraceptive effects on food choice during the follicular and luteal phase of the
723	menstrual cycle: A laboratory based study. Appetite, 55, 388-392.

724	Unick, J. L., Otto, A. D., Goodpaster, B. H., Helsel, D. L., Pellegrini, C. A., &
725	Jakicic, J. M. (2010). Acute effect of walking on energy intake in
726	overweight/obese women. Appetite, 55, 413-419.
727	United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (2009) World
728	contraceptive use at http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/WCU
729	2009/Main.html
730	WHO. (2004). Human energy requirements: Report of a joint FAO/WHO/UNU
731	expert consultation. Food and Nutrition technical report series No. 1, Rome.
732	

733 Figures captions

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the laboratory period of the experimental days.

Figure 2.Subjective feelings of hunger (n=10 per group; means ± SEM). Hatched

rectangles are consumption of meals; dark rectangle is equivalent to the 60 minutescycling period.

739

Figure 3.Daily energy intake (n=10 for active and n=9 for inactive; means \pm SEM).

*Means significantly different between conditions (p = 0.002, d = -0.89).

742

743	Figure 4.Pe	rcentages of	energy com	pensation (N:	=10 for activ	e and N=9	for inactive;

744 means ± SEM); Exp. = Experimental. Dashed line indicates complete compensation

745 (100%) of the exercise-induced energy expenditure.