Appendix One: Organisational Information

The Hallam Centre for Community Justice at Sheffield Hallam University

www.shu.ac.uk/research/hccj/

The Hallam Centre for Community Justice is a dynamic research driven centre that delivers services to a variety of clients throughout the community and criminal justice sector, covering the following areas:

- applied research
- action research
- evaluations
- Information exchange and consultancy
- continuing professional development

We have a broad range of experience and expertise which effectively combines academic, professional, managerial, administrative, knowledge management and research skills. The HCCJ's mission is to stimulate and produce high quality knowledge, understanding and networking in the field of community and criminal justice through the linked provision of information exchange, networking, professional development, consultancy, evaluation and research.

The Mother and Infant Research Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York

www.york.ac.uk/healthsciences/miru

The Mother and Infant Research Unit (MIRU) is a multidisciplinary research unit that aims to improve the health and care of childbearing women, their babies and families through high quality research and informing practice and policy. We have a focus on addressing inequalities in health. We work across the UK as well as having close links with international colleagues and agencies. We conduct empirical research and systematic reviews, and supervise postgraduate students. We lead the Maternal and Infant Health and Care theme of the Yorkshire and Humber Health Innovation and Education Cluster (HIEC), which aims to drive the implementation of evidence-based practice change. *We are committed to the integration of research and education and to the cycle which takes research through into practice; and we work closely with the NHS and related agencies to examine ways of effectively using evidence to inform policy and practice. Our working practices are collaborative, cross-sectoral, and interdisciplinary.*

Appendix Two: Expert Panel Activity 1

Consultation no.1 - Online Expert Panel

Statement One

HMPS should centrally collate and publish the numbers and location of pregnant prisoners as well as details of babies born in prison (including birth weights, fetal abnormalities, stillbirths, miscarriage, infant/maternal mortality)

Most impact ¹⁰¹ if implemented	5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1	Least impact
Most feasible ¹⁰² to implement	5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1	Least feasible

What are the key barriers to implementing this recommendation?

How can these barriers be overcome?

Can you provide any examples of good practice from your experience?

```
------
```

Statement Two

A pregnancy Prison Service Order should be created to ensure a high standard of care across the female prison estate

Most impact if implemented 5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1 Least impact Most feasible to implement 5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1 Least feasible What are the key barriers to implementing this recommendation?

How can these barriers be overcome?

Can you provide any examples of good practice from your experience?

Statement Three

Training for all MBU staff should be ongoing and tailored to meet the needs of individual MBUs

¹⁰¹ Impact – if this action was put into practice how likely would it be that it would have a positive impact?

¹⁰² Feasibility - how practical would it be to implement this action?

	5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1 5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1	•		
What are the key barriers to implementing this recommendation?				
How can these barriers be overcome?				
Can you provide any exampl	les of good practice from you			

Statement Four

HMPS should facilitate improved information sharing and networking for staff working with pregnant women/mothers in prison, across criminal justice and healthcare sectors (e.g. regular events for sharing of best practice)

Obstance where the second seco			
Can you provide any examples of good practice from your experience?			
How can these barriers be overcome?			
Most impact if implemented $5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1$ Least impact Most feasible to implement $5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1$ Least feasible What are the key barriers to implementing this recommendation?			

Statement Five

HMPS should seek to obtain more evidence on the needs of the most vulnerable groups of pregnant women/mothers in prison (e.g. young women, foreign nationals) in order to provide the best possible care

Most impact if implemented $5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1$ Least impact Most feasible to implement $5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1$ Least feasible What are the key barriers to implementing this recommendation?	
How can these barriers be overcome?	
Can you provide any examples of good practice from your experience?	

Appendix Three: Expert Panel Activity 2

Consultation no. 2 - Online Expert Panel

Recommendation One

All MBUs should have a member of prison staff physically present to provide 24 hour cover for mothers and babies residing on the unit

Most impact ¹⁰³ if implemented	5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1	Least impact
Most feasible ¹⁰⁴ to implement	5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1	Least feasible

What are the key barriers to implementing this recommendation?

How can these barriers be overcome?

Can you provide any examples of good practice from your experience?

Recommendation Two

Peer support schemes should be introduced in all MBUs (for example where residents support each other in labour, with breast feeding and with general childcare skills)

Most impact if implemented Most feasible to implement		•		
Most feasible to implement 5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1 Least feasible What are the key barriers to implementing this recommendation?				
How can these barriers be ove	ercome?			
Can you provide any example	es of good practice from your	experience?		

Recommendation Three

A mandatory educational programme for pregnant women and mothers on MBUs should be available in all MBUs (this could include issues around parent craft, nutrition, family-life skills and health)

¹⁰³ Impact – if this action was put into practice how likely would it be that it would have a positive impact?

¹⁰⁴ Feasibility - how practical would it be to implement this action?

Most impact if implemented Most feasible to implement		3 >> 2 >> 1 3 >> 2 >> 1	
What are the key barriers to imple	ementing this rec	commendation?	
How can these barriers be overco)me?		
Can you provide any examples of good practice from your experience?			

Recommendation Four

More research should be conducted to provide an evidence base on the benefits of mothers and babies residing in MBUs (including robust data on health outcomes for women and babies and re-offending rates)

Most impact if implemented Most feasible to implement What are the key barriers to implem	0	2 >> 1 endation?	Least feasible
How can these barriers be overcom			
Can you provide any examples of g	ood practice from yo	•	

Recommendation Five

The upper age limit for children residing in MBUs should be flexible and based upon the individual needs and development of the child

Most feasible to implement5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1Least feasibleWhat are the key barriers to implementing this recommendation?	
How can these barriers be overcome?	
 Can you provide any examples of good practice from your experience?	

Recommendation Six

Protocols should be developed for ensuring effective communication between health, social care and criminal justice agencies when pregnant women/women with young babies are taken into custody and when they are released from custody

Most impact if implemented	5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1	Least impact	
Most feasible to implement	5 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 >> 1	Least feasible	
What are the key barriers to implem	enting this recommendation	?	
How can these barriers be overcom	e?		
Can you provide any examples of good practice from your experience?			

Appendix Four: Expert Panel Activity 3

Consultation no. 3 - Online Expert Panel

Section One: Introduction and Background

This section introduces the pilot project and provides an overview of the background to the consultation.

Please insert any comments regarding the clarity of the text (using page numbers if appropriate):

Section Two: Consultation Activities

This section outlines the methods adopted during the consultation.

Please insert any comments regarding the clarity of the text (using page numbers if appropriate):

Section Three: Scoping review- Narrative of Findings

The narrative of findings section is designed to provide an overview of the health needs, approaches to current provision and outcomes for mothers and babies in prison. This section draws on available literature regarding these issues and highlights significant gaps in the evidence-base.

Please provide any comments on the clarity of the text and factual accuracy (using page numbers if appropriate):

Please provide details of any reports, evaluation findings or academic papers you feel need to be included in this brief scoping review:

Section Four: Findings from the Consultation

This section presents the findings of the consultation exercises under 5 key themes:

- 4.1. Developing the potential of childbearing women in prison
- 4.2. Mother and baby wellbeing
- 4.3. 'Realising' the benefits of MBUs
- 4.4. Developing the workforce
- 4.5. Multi-agency communication and collaboration

Please provide any comments on the clarity of the text or factual accuracy (using page numbers if appropriate):

This section presents the proposed practical strategies proposed by those participating in the consultation exercises under the same previous 5 topic areas:

5.2. Developing the potential of childbearing women in prison

5.3. Mother and baby wellbeing

- 5.4. 'Realising' the benefits of MBUs
- 5.5. Developing the Workforce

5.6. Multi-agency communication and collaboration

Please provide any comments on the clarity of the text or factual accuracy (use page numbers as appropriate:

Are there any other proposed activities that have not been identified under these five topic areas that you feel should be included?

Section Six: Next Steps

This section presents the proposed recommendations under the same previous 5 thematic areas:

- 6.1 Mother and baby wellbeing: Developing Pathways
- 6.2. 'Realising' the benefits of MBUs: A national structure
- 6.3. Enhancing partnership working
- 6.4 Developing the workforce and awareness raising
- 6.5 Future research agenda

6.6 Further consultation and dissemination activity

Please provide any comments on the clarity of the text or factual accuracy:

Further research

We are keen to continue the research into this area and would welcome your suggestions as to potential funding sources that you may be aware of. Please detail these below and indicate if you would be willing for us to contact you regarding further information that you may be able to provide about these funding sources.

Further dissemination

Are there other stakeholders in addition to those listed in 6.6 that we should disseminate this report to? Please detail these below

And finally please use this section to include any other, possibly more general thoughts about the report that you wish to express:

Appendix Five: MBU Manager Interview Schedule

Provide aims and objectives of consultation:

- Examine how prisons interact with health care agencies to meet the needs of childbearing women both inside and outside prison
- Obtain the views of key stakeholders around improving practice and tackling barriers to equity of health care for childbearing women in prison
- Identify existing good practice in this area
- Produce an evidence base to inform future policy development and practice in this area
- Use this local pilot work to inform the development of future research in this field (both locally and nationally and on an operational and strategic level)
- Provide details on what we've done so far (focus groups x 2), online expert panel and final consultation event

Outline focus of consultation:

To identify examples of best practice and/or 'ideal scenarios' in MBU delivery To identify reports and policies we have not picked up through literature review

Topic areas to cover:

'Setting the Scene'

Can you outline the MBU provision you have at your establishment please? What policies and procedures do you work to?

Communication (focus- partnerships with Health)

How do communication links between the MBU manager, any nursery managers and wider health staff work on a day-to-day basis?

Can you describe to me which health professionals (e.g. midwife, health visitor) you have most contact with?

Application Process

Can you talk me through your involvement in the MBU application process? (collaboration between social services, prison, probation, health staff and multi-agency board)

Mothering in the prison context

Can you outline the support and information for mothers provided at your MBU?

Post release from MBU:

Can you talk me through how this process is managed? CLOSE: Are there any projects/reports/ policies we should be aware of?

Appendix 6: Bibliography

Baradon, T, Fonagy, P, Bland, K, Lenard, K and Sleed, M (2008) New Beginnings- an experience-based programme addressing the attachment relationship between mothers and their babies in prisons, Journal of Child Psychotherapy, Vol. 34 (2), 240-258.

Baradon, T, Fonagy, P and Sleed, M (2009) Executive Report July 2009, New Beginnings: A course for mothers and babies in prison, The Anna Freud Centre.

Bastick, M and Townhead, L (2008) Women in prison: A commentary on the UN Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners, Quaker United Nations Office, http://www.quno.org/geneva/pdf/humanrights/women-in-prison/WiP-CommentarySMRs200806-English.pdf (accessed 04/07/11).

Bell JF, Zimmerman FJ, Huebner CE, Cawthon ML, Ward DH, Schroeder CA (2004) Perinatal Health Service Use by Women Released from Jail, *Journal of Health Care* for the Poor and Underserved, 15(3): 426 – 442.

Birmingham, L., Coulson, D., Mullee, M., Kamal, M. and Gregoire, A. (2006) The mental health of women in prison mother and baby units, The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology, 17 (3): 393- 404.

Bruns, D. (2006) 'Promoting Mother-Child Relationships for Incarcerated Women and Their Children' *Infants and Young Children* Vol. 19 No. 4 308-322.

Byrne, M, W, Goshin, L, S and Joestl (2010) Intergenerational transmission of attachment for infants raised in a prison nursery, *Attachment and Human Development*, 12 (4): 375-393.

Byrne, M, W, Goshin, L and Blanchard-Lewis, B (2012) Maternal separations during the reentry years for 100 infants raised in a prison nursery, *Family Court Review*, Vol. 50 (1): 77-90.

Candelori, C and Dosso, M, D (2006) An experience of infant observation in prison, *Infant Observation*, Volume 10, Number 1: 159-169.

Carlson, J, R (1998) Evaluating the effectiveness of a live-in nursery within women's prison, *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, Vol. 27 (1/2): 73-85.

Carlson, J, R (2008) Prison Nursery 2000, *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, Vol. 33 (3): 75-97.

Catan, L. (1992) 'Infants with Mothers in Prison', in Shaw, R. (ed.) *Prisoners' Children: What are the Issues?* London: Routledge: 13-28.

Children's Commissioner (2008) The 11 Million Report: Prison Mother and Baby Units- do they meet the best interest of the child?

http://www.prisonersfamilies.org.uk/uploadedFiles/2010 Policy/Prison Mother and Baby U nits.pdf

Cordero, L, Hines, S, Shibley, K, Landon M (1992) Perinatal outcome for women in prison', *Journal of Perinatol XII* (3): 205-9.

Corston Report (2007) Women with Particular Vulnerabilities in the Criminal Justice System, London: Home Office.

Department of Health (2010a) Healthy Lives, Healthy People: our strategy for Public Health in England White Paper and impact assessments.

Department of Health (2010b) Achieving excellence and equity for children: How liberating the NHS will help the needs of children and young people.

Department of Health (2011) 'Government changes in response to the NHS future forum' <u>http://www.dh.gov.uk/prod_consum_dh/groups/dh_digitalassets/documents/digitalasset/dh_1</u> 27578.pdf

Edge, D (2006) Perinatal Healthcare in Prison: A Scoping Review of Policy and Provision, The Prison Health Research Network, Department of Health (<u>http://www.ohrn.nhs.uk/resource/Research/PCSysRevPerinatal.pdf</u>)

Egley, C, Miller D, Grnados J, Ingram- Fogel C (1992) Outcomes of pregnancy during imprisonment, *Journal of Reproductive Medicine*, 37 (2): 131-4.

Elton, P (1985) Outcome of pregnancy among prisoners, *Journal of Obstetric Gynaecology*, 5: 241- 4.

Field F (2010) The Foundation Years: preventing poor children becoming poor adults. The report of the Independent Review of Poverty and Life Chances.

Fogel, C and Belyea M (2001) Psychological risk factors in pregnant inmates: A challenge for Nursing, *American Journal of Maternal Childhood Nursing*, 26 (1): 10-6.

Goshin, L. S., & Byrne, M. W. (2009). Converging streams of opportunity for prison nursery programs in the United States, *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, 48, 271–295.

Gregoire, A, Dolan, R, Birmingham, L, Mullee, M and Coulson, D (2010) The mental health and treatment needs of imprisoned mothers of young children, Journal of Psychiatry and Psychology, Vol. 21 (3): 378-392.

HM Prison Service (2008) Prison Service Order 4801: The Management of Mother and Baby Units Fourth Edition (Date of initial issue February 2000).

HM Prison Service Instruction 54 (2011) Mother and Baby Units: www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/offenders/psipso/.../psi-54-2011.doc

Hobcraft, J. N. and Kiernan, K. E. (2010) Predictive factors from age 3 and infancy for poor child outcomes at age 5 relating to Children's Development, Behaviour and Health: Evidence from the Millennium Cohort Study, University of York:

http://www.york.ac.uk/iee/assets/HobcraftKiernan2010PredictiveFactorsChildrensDevelopme ntMillenniumCohort.pdf Kiernan, K. E. and Mensah, F. K. (2010) 'Unmarried Parenthood, Family Trajectories, Parent and Child Well-Being,' in: Hansen, K., Joshi, H., and Dex, S., (eds) Children of the 21st Century: From birth to age 5, Policy Press,

http://www.york.ac.uk/media/spsw/documents/research-andpublications/KiernanMensahUnmarriedParenthoodFamilyTrajectories.pdf

Levy M (1997) Prison health services should be as good as those for the general community (Editorial). *British Medical Journal*, 315: 1394 – 1395.

Martin S L, Kim H, Kupper LL, Meyer EM, Hayes M (1997a). Is incarceration during pregnancy associated with infant birthweight? *American Journal of Public Health*, 87(9): 1526-31.

Martin, S, Reiger B, Kupper L, Myer R, Qaqish B (1997b) The effect of incarceration on birth outcomes, *Public Health Rep* 112 (4): 340-7.

Marmot M (2010) Fairer Society, Healthy Lives: Strategic Review of Health Inequalities in England post 2010.

Ministry of Justice (2010) 'Breaking the Cycle: effective punishment, rehabilitation and sentencing of offenders. http://sentencing.justice.gov.uk/?id=5&id2=14 (26/03/10).

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (2010) Pregnancy and complex social factors: A model for service provision for pregnant women with complex social factors Quick reference guide. http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG110 (accessed 26/09/11).

North, J (2005) Getting it Right? Services for pregnant women, new mothers, and babies in prison http://www.maternityaction.org.uk/sitebuildercontent/sitebuilderfiles/prisonsreport.pdf (accessed 03/05/11).

Poso, T, Enroos, R and Vierula, T (2010) Children residing with their parents: An example of institutional invisibility, *The Prison Journal*, 90 (4): 516-533.

Price, S (2005) Maternity Services for women in prison: a descriptive study, *British Journal of Midwifery*, Vol 13 (6) 362-368.

Prison Reform Trust (2011) Reforming Women's Justice: Final report of the Women's Justice Taskforce London: Prison Reform Trust.

http://www.prisonreformtrust.org.uk/Portals/0/Documents/Women's%20Justice%20Taskforc e%20Report.pdf

Prison Reform Trust (March 2012) Women in prison: http://www.prisonreformtrust.org.uk/Portals/0/Documents/Women's%20briefing%20March12. pdf (14/05/12)

Prison Service Instruction 54 (2011) Mother and Baby Units, superseding Prison Service Order 480192, Management of Mother and Baby Units.

Ritchie, J. & Spencer, L. (1994) Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research, in Bryman, A and Burgess, R.G (eds.) Analysing Qualitative Data, Routledge: London.

Siefert, K. and Pimott, S. (2001) Improving pregnancy outcomes during imprisonment: A model residential care program, Social Work, Vol. 46 (2): 125-134.

Smith, Goshin, L and Byrne, M, W (2009) Converging streams of opportunity for prison nursery programs in the United States, *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, 48: 271-295.

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime and World Health Organisation (2009) Women's Health in prison: Correcting gender inequity in prison health.

Terk, J, Martens M, Williamson M, (1993) Pregnancy outcomes of incarcerated women, *Journal of Maternal Fetal Medicine*, 2: 246-50.

Wismont, J. M. (2000), The Lived Pregnancy experience of women in prison, *Journal of Midwifery and* Women's *Health*, 45: 292–300.

Sheffield Hallam University

Tackling health inequalities through developing evidence-based policy and practice with childbearing women in prison: a consultation

ALBERTSON, Katherine http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7708-1775, O'KEEFFE, Caroline, LESSING-TURNER, Georgina, BURKE, Catherine and RENFREW, Mary J.

Available from the Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

http://shura.shu.ac.uk/7048/

Copyright and re-use policy

Please visit http://shura.shu.ac.uk/7048/ and http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html for further details about copyright and re-use permissions.