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Abstract: In this paper, we report the microstructural evolution and carbon partitioning behaviour of an alloyed medium carbon steel in continuous quenching and the subsequent tempering process. The obtained samples were characterized by XRD with Gaussian peak-fitting analysis, field-emission-gun SEM, and TEM. It has been found that, the oil quenched sample was hardened to HV 742, exhibiting a mixed microstructure of plate- and lath-type martensites with a 8.0% volume fraction of retained austenite, as well as a small number of un-dissolved carbide particles. Carbon partitioning was evidenced in the as-quenched structure by supersaturation of carbon to 0.93 wt% in the retained austenite. Moreover, careful peak-fitting analysis of the martensite diffraction peak (200)_M suggested the existence of two martensitic structures having different carbon contents, namely 0.41% and 0.19 wt% respectively, which corresponded to the lath- and plate-type martensites as verified by TEM observation. Slower cooling rates in thick samples have been found to facilitate more pronounced carbon partitioning, which included the diffusion of carbon from the newly formed martensite to the un-transformed austenite and the precipitation of carbide particles in the martensite/ferrite matrix. Subsequent tempering following the quenching treatment resulted in a decrease in hardness from 677HV to 542HV due to the recovery of lattice strains in the martensite and, when tempered at 300°C or higher temperatures, significant decrease in carbon contents with martensite.
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scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), comprehensive analyses were carried out using quantitative XRD measurements assisted with multiple Gaussian peak-fitting.

1. Experimental

1.1 Material, heat treatments and sample preparation

Experimental samples were taken from hot rolled alloy steel having chemical compositions modified from AISI L6 (in wt%: C 0.5-0.6, Si+Mn 0.8-1.3, Cr 0.6-1.2, Ni 1.2-2.0, Mo+V 0.6-0.8, Fe in balance). Two types of samples were prepared, namely blocks of 8×10×20 mm in size for standard hardening and tempering treatments and cylindrical bars of 50 mm in diameter and 60 mm in length for hardening at slower cooling conditions. The heat treatments were carried out using a digitally programmed electrical resistance, muffle furnace. Table 1 lists the conducted heat treatments.

Before hardness testing and subsequent analyses, the as-treated surfaces were ground using 120# or 240# abrasive papers to remove the softened layer by at least 0.4 mm. For the cylindrical samples, an 8 mm thick slice was taken from the middle length by cutting the cylindrical section using wire spark erosion technique under cooling, to minimize sample heating. Vickers' hardness tests were carried out on ground sample surfaces being ground using 320# SiC abrasive papers. The applied indentation load was 30kg. An average value was made from five indents.

The samples for XRD and SEM observations were ground and polished following the standard metallographic preparation procedure and finished with careful polishing using a 1µm diamond slurry. SEM samples were etched using a 2% nital solution. The samples for TEM observations were first ground to a thin foil approximately 120-150 microns thick, and then finished by electro-chemical polishing using a TenuPol-5 twinjet electropolishing device using a electrolyte composed of 7% perchloric acid and 93% glacial acetic acid at room temperature and a voltage of 32 V.

1.2 Characterization

A high resolution instrument, FEI NOVA200 FEG-SEM, was employed for the microstructural observation. A Philips CM20 TEM was used to characterize the martensite sub-structures on selected samples. The instrument has a W-filament and was operated at 200 kV.

Quantitative XRD analysis was used to determine the fraction and lattice parameter of retained austenite and the tetragonal ratio of martensite. X-ray diffraction measurements were performed by employing a Philips X’Pert X-ray diffractometer using a Cu X-ray emitter at 40kV and 40 mA. XRD data of five diffractions, namely the austenite peaks \(\{200\}_a\), \(\{220\}_a\), \(\{311\}_a\), and the martensite peaks \(\{200\}_M\) and \(\{211\}_M\), were collected at the Bragg-Brentano mode using a step size 0.03° and a long acquisition time of 1,000 seconds per step. An example of the acquired diffractions is shown in Figure 1.

![Fig. 1 XRD peaks of the oil-quenched sample: namely \(\{200\}_a\), \(\{220\}_a\) and \(\{311\}_a\) of austenite and \(\{200\}_M\) and \(\{211\}_M\) of martensite.](image)

The volume fraction of retained austenite (A%) was calculated using equation \(A\% = \frac{I_A}{(I_A + G \cdot I_M)}\), where \(I_A\) and \(I_M\) stand for the integrated intensities of the austenite and martensite diffraction peaks respectively and \(G\) is a constant depending on the combination of the austenite and martensite planes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Austenisation</th>
<th>Cooling</th>
<th>Tempering</th>
<th>HV&lt;sub&gt;30&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 mm block</td>
<td>850°C / 25 min</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>742±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 mm block</td>
<td>850°C / 25 min</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>200°C / 120 min</td>
<td>677±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 mm block</td>
<td>850°C / 25 min</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>300°C / 120 min</td>
<td>588±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 mm block</td>
<td>850°C / 25 min</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>400°C / 120 min</td>
<td>542±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 mm depth of cylinder</td>
<td>850°C / 30 min</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>717±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Core of cylinder</td>
<td>850°C / 30 min</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>704±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5 mm depth of cylinder</td>
<td>850°C / 30 min</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>464±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Core of cylinder</td>
<td>850°C / 30 min</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>422±16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The values of the $G$ constants were adopted from selected literature $^{[20, 21, 25]}$.

To determine the carbon content of retained austenite, a self-developed Gaussian peak-fitting technique was employed to determine the 2θ values of the small and broad {200}, {220} and {311} diffractions $^{[20, 25]}$. Then the austenite lattice parameter was calculated by averaging the three values obtained from the d-spacings. Consequently the carbon content of the retained austenite, C% in weight percent, was estimated using the formula suggested by Abbaschian et al $^{[20]}$: $a_0 = 0.3555 + 0.0044\times C\%$.

Fig. 2 Multiple Gaussian peak-fitting of the {200} peak of the oil-quenched sample martensite: (0) original diffraction; (1&2) diffractions of plate-type martensite; (3&4) diffractions of lath-type martensite; and (5) sum of peaks 1-4.

The carbon content of martensite was determined from the XRD data according to the linear relationship of carbon content to the tetragonal ratio $(c/a)$: $C\% = \frac{0.28661 \times (c/a - 1)}{0.00124 + 0.0115 \times (c/a)}$ $^{[20, 21]}$. Gaussian peak-fitting was applied to deconvolute the {200} diffraction peak to two sub-peaks of $(002)_{M}$ and $(002)_{M}$ in order to determine the tetragonal ratio. However, it was found that the {200} peaks collected on the investigated samples did not fit well to a two-peak model. This phenomenon was believed to be attributed to the mixed martensite substructures. Therefore, the lath-like martensite should have a different carbon content and thereby a different c/a ratio from the plate-like martensite as suggested in the literature $^{[11, 28]}$. Consequently, a multiple four-peak Gaussian fitting programme was developed to determine the c/a ratios and volume fractions of the two types of martensites. An example of the four-peak fitting is shown in Figure 2.

To the knowledge of the authors, such quantitative separation of martensite sub-structures is reported for the first time.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Microstructure and carbon partitioning in as-quenched steel

Figure 3 is a back-scattered SEM image showing the microstructure of the as-quenched steel. The microstructure is a mixture of martensitic laths and plates as well as a small amount of retained austenite.

Fig. 3 Microstructure of oil-quenched block sample.

Table 2 Quantitative results of XRD measurements of all the tested samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>HV</th>
<th>Retained austenite</th>
<th>Peak broadening</th>
<th>$M_1$</th>
<th>$M_2$</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vol.%</td>
<td>C%</td>
<td>[200], [211]</td>
<td>Vol.%</td>
<td>C%</td>
<td>Vol.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>742±9</td>
<td>8.0±0.6</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>677±17</td>
<td>8.4±1.8</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>588±14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>542±4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>55.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>717±3</td>
<td>3.4±0.1</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>704±11</td>
<td>1.9±0.4</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>464±13</td>
<td>3.6±0.6</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>422±16</td>
<td>3.9±1.7</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the martensite diffractions \{200\}_M and \{211\}_M, and the overall carbon content of martensite. The as-quenched steel contains approximately 8.0% retained austenite and the carbon content of the austenite is approximately 0.90%. The tetragonal ratios of lath- and plate-type martensites were determined to be 1.0146 and 1.0066 respectively, which correspond to the carbon content of 0.19 for the martensite laths and 0.41 for the martensite plates. The volume fraction of the lath- and plate-like martensites was estimated, according to the intensities of the separated sub-peaks, to be 34.7% and 57.3% respectively. The co-existence of lath- and plate-like martensites has been verified in TEM observation, as shown in Figure 4. In Figure 4a, the martensite exhibits parallel laths in each block grain, in which the sub-structure is dislocations. In Figure 4b, a martensite place shows high density of twins.

So far, two types of martensitic structures of different carbon contents and sub-structures have been transformed from under-cooled austenite in the continuous oil-quench. The results reveal that carbon partitioning can take place not only in isothermal transformations as reported in the literature \cite{3, 7, 14-18}, but also in continuous cooling. Unlike the qualitative prediction or description in earlier studies\cite{10-13}, precise quantitative analysis of the carbon partitioning has become realistic in the presented work.

For the kinetics of carbon partitioning, because the Ms temperature of the investigated medium carbon alloyed steel is higher than 200°C, effective diffusion of carbon atoms from the supersaturated martensitic lattice to the adjacent austenite was feasible especially in the early stage of the transformation, leading to the formation of lath-type martensites.

Consequently the remaining austenite became enriched in carbon, which transformed to plate-type martensites when the temperature was progressively lower. When the transformation was terminated at room temperature, carbon enrichment resulted in the retained austenite.

### 2.2 Effect of cooling rate on carbon partitioning

Samples 5-8 in Tables 1 and 2 illustrate the effect of cooling conditions on the microstructure and carbon partitioning behaviour. In these samples, air-cooling provided a slower cooling rate than oil-quench, whereas in both cases the core regions should have cooled more slowly than the surface edges.

Typical microstructures of the two samples are shown in Figure 5. The oil-quenched sample shows predominantly laths and plates of martensite, whereas the air-cooled sample was only partially transformed to martensite for the presence of carbide precipitates in some region. Such regions were easily recognized in the metallographic sample preparation because the ferrite-carbide mixture showed faster etching than the martensite and retained austenite regions.

The hardness measurements reveal a decrease of hardness with decreasing cooling rate, e.g. from HV717 at the oil-quenched sample edge to HV422 at the air-cooled central region. The decrease of hardness is directly related to the reduced straining of the martensite or ferrite matrix, seeing the measured peak broadening of the martensite or ferrite diffractions \{200\}_M and \{211\}_M in Table 2.

The XRD analyses performed on the four regions (Samples 5-8 in Table 2) reveal the behaviour of carbon partitioning dependent on the cooling rate. In the first, although all the samples show small volume...
fractions of retained austenite, the austenite carbon contents are remarkably higher than the nominal carbon content of the sample and more importantly, increase with decreasing cooling rate. In other words, slower cooling led to enhanced carbon enrichment in the retained austenite. Secondly, the XRD results show a decrease of carbon contents in the martensites, i.e. from 0.55% at the edge of the oil-quenched sample to 0.18% at the core of the air-cooled sample for $M_I$. In particular, the $M_{II}$ sub-phase in the air-cooled sample shows extremely low carbon contents, which is consistent to the carbide precipitation. The overall carbon content of the martensite has decreased from 0.33% of the oil-quenched sample edge to 0.09% of the air-cooled sample core region.

2.3 Microstructure and carbon partitioning in tempering samples

Figure 6 shows high magnification SEM images of the three tempered samples (Samples 2-4 in Table 1). Whereas the three samples still retained the as-quenched martensitic morphology, carbide precipitates observed in both the 300°C and 400°C tempered samples evidenced the structural evolution. The structural evolution was accompanied by the softening of the steel from HV742 to HV542, Table 1. The 200°C tempered sample showed little change in the retained austenite, but remarkable recovery of lattice strains in the tempered martensite. The overall carbon content of martensite has been decreased from 0.32% to 0.29%. Then with increasing tempering temperature from 200°C to 400°C, further pronounced recovery of the martensite strains took place along with the decrease of carbon contents in both the lath-type martensite ($M_{II}$) and plate-type martensite ($M_I$). The variation of the martensite carbon contents can be explained by the homogeneous precipitation of carbides in the martensite matrix, Figure 6 b-c.

3. Conclusions

(1) Using a sample material of alloyed medium carbon steel, a series of continuous hardening treatments have been carried out followed by a number of tempering treatments at selected temperatures from 200°C to 400°C. A self-developed multiple Gaussian peak-fitting technique has been introduced to perform quantitative analysis of the carbon contents of the resulting martensite and austenite constituents.

(2) Significant carbon partitioning has been found to take place during the phase transformation from under-cooled austenite to martensite, resulting in different carbon contents between the retained austenite and the martensite. In particular, two types of martensite constituents having different carbon
contents have been determined by using the combined FEG-SEM, XRD and TEM analyses.

(3) Slower cooling rates in thick samples have been found to facilitate more pronounced carbon partitioning, which included the diffusion of carbon from the newly formed martensite to the untransformed austenite and the precipitation of carbide particles in the martensite/ferrite matrix.

(4) Subsequent tempering, following the quenching treatment, resulted in the recovery of lattice strains in the martensite and, when tempered at 300°C or higher temperatures, significant decrease of the carbon contents within the martensite.
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