# Sheffield Hallam University

# Benefits realisation management: panacea or false dawn?

BREESE, Richard < http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1283-0354>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/4223/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]


## Citation:

BREESE, Richard (2012). Benefits realisation management: panacea or false dawn? International Journal of Project Management, 30 (3), 341-351. [Article]


## Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

### Fig. 1 Benefits hierarchy in regeneration



#### Fig. 2 Assumptions and risks in a simple benefits chain



	Vision	Objectives	Outcomes	Outputs	Approach
1980's		*		*	Loose targeting on disadvantaged areas
Early 1990's	*	*		*	Tighter targeting on disadvantaged areas
Mid and late 1990's/early 2000's	*	*	*	*	Community Regeneration/ Neighbourhood Renewal
Mid 2000's	*	*	*	*	Pool budgets, integrate public sector performance regimes

# Table 1 Evolution of Benefits Management in Regeneration

Code	Output		
1A	Jobs created/safeguarded		
1B	No. of pupils benefiting from projects designed to enhance/improve		
	attainment		
1C	No. of people trained obtaining qualifications		
1D	No. of target residents accessing employment through training, careers or		
	other specifically targeted assistance		
1 <b>G</b>	No. of people entering self-employment		
1 <b>J</b>	No. of young people benefiting from projects to promote personal and		
	social development		
2A	No. of new business start-ups		
2B	Area of new/improved business/commercial floorspace		
2D	No. of businesses advised		
3A	No. of dwellings completed/improved		
5A	No. of people who benefit from community safety initiatives		
5B	No. of dwellings and commercial buildings where security is upgraded		
5C	No. of community safety initiatives		
6A	Area of land reclaimed/improved for open space		
6B	Area of land reclaimed/improved/serviced for development		
6C	No. of buildings improved or brought back into use		
6D	Length of roads built/improved		
7A	No. of new community facilities		
7B	No. of community facilities improved		
8A	No. of voluntary groups supported		
8C	No. of individuals involved in voluntary work		
8E	No. of community enterprise start-ups		
8F	No. of capacity building initiatives carried out		
9A	Value of other public and private funding attracted		
10A	No. of new childcare places provided		

 Table 2
 Single Regeneration Budget Outputs (selected)

Source: SRB Challenge Fund Guidance Manual (DETR, 1998c)

Note: Many of these outputs were further sub-divided, eg 1A was divided into jobs created and jobs safeguarded.