

Beyond Rubik: The Embodiment–Presence–Interactivity Cube Applied to Exercise

BIRD, Jonathan M., KARAGEORGHIS, Costas I., JONES, Leighton
<<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7899-4119>>, HARRIS, David J., ALHARBI,
Mohammed and VINE, Samuel J.

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:
<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/33776/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the
publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

BIRD, Jonathan M., KARAGEORGHIS, Costas I., JONES, Leighton, HARRIS, David
J., ALHARBI, Mohammed and VINE, Samuel J. (2024). Beyond Rubik: The
Embodiment–Presence–Interactivity Cube Applied to Exercise. *Psychology of Sport
and Exercise*, p. 102684.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

Journal Pre-proof

Beyond Rubik: The Embodiment–Presence–Interactivity Cube Applied to Exercise

Jonathan M. Bird, Costas I. Karageorghis, Leighton Jones, David J. Harris,
Mohammed Alharbi, Samuel J. Vine



PII: S1469-0292(24)00095-5

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2024.102684>

Reference: PSYSPO 102684

To appear in: *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*

Received Date: 26 September 2022

Revised Date: 24 May 2024

Accepted Date: 31 May 2024

Please cite this article as: Bird, J.M., Karageorghis, C.I., Jones, L., Harris, D.J., Alharbi, M., Vine, S.J., Beyond Rubik: The Embodiment–Presence–Interactivity Cube Applied to Exercise, *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2024.102684>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2024 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

Beyond Rubik: The Embodiment-Presence-Interactivity Cube Applied to Exercise

Jonathan M. Bird¹, Costas I. Karageorghis², Leighton Jones³,
David J. Harris⁴, Mohammed Alharbi^{4,5}, and Samuel J. Vine⁴

¹Department of Management, University of Exeter, United Kingdom

²Department of Life Sciences, Brunel University London, United Kingdom

³Academy of Sport and Physical Activity, Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom

⁴Department of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Exeter, United Kingdom

⁵Department of Physical Therapy, University of Hail, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Jonathan M. Bird, The
Business School, University of Exeter, Rennes Drive, Exeter, Devon, United Kingdom, EX4
4PU
Email: j.bird@exeter.ac.uk

Tel: +44 (0)1392 725 978

Resubmitted: 24 May 2024

1

2 Beyond Rubik: The Embodiment–Presence–Interactivity Cube Applied to Exercise

3

4 Resubmitted: 24 May 2024

Journal Pre-proof

Abstract

Evidence-based interventions are needed to promote engagement in physical activity. Audio-visual stimuli are frequently employed to enhance the exercise experience. Nonetheless, there is a paucity of research that examines the qualities of technological devices that are employed. Using the Embodiment–Presence–Interactivity Cube (Flavián et al., 2019) as a guiding conceptual framework, the aim of this registered report was to examine how each dimension of the cube (i.e., embodiment, presence and interactivity) influenced a range of exercise-related affective and perceptual variables. A counterbalanced within-subjects design was employed ($N = 24$). Participants completed 20-min exercise bouts on a cycle ergometer under four conditions: Television, augmented reality, 360° video and virtual reality. A repeated-measures ANOVA indicated a significant Condition \times Timepoint interaction for affective valence ($p = .046$), with greater embodiment offered by technological devices leading to more positive responses. Analyses also indicated main effects of condition for exercise enjoyment, remembered pleasure and forecasted pleasure, with greater presence of technological devices leading to more positive responses. Technologies that combine high levels of embodiment, presence and interactivity (e.g., virtual reality) appear to yield several benefits in terms of in-task (e.g., affective valence) and post-task (e.g., remembered pleasure) responses for exercise conducted at ventilatory threshold.

Keywords: affective valence, augmented reality, extended reality, immersion, immersive videos, virtual reality.

1 Introduction

2 Sustained engagement in physical activity can help in the prevention and management
3 of several non-communicable diseases, such as diabetes, heart disease and some cancers
4 (Santos et al., 2023). Despite the evidence supporting the benefits of physical activity, there is
5 a high incidence of physical inactivity and sedentary behaviour globally (Schultchen et al.,
6 2019), and this has been exacerbated by the COVID–19 pandemic (Haseler & Haseler, 2022;
7 Karageorghis, Bird, et al., 2021). Accordingly, there is a need for evidence-based
8 interventions to promote engagement in regular physical activity among the general
9 population (Stevens et al., 2020).

10 Interventions designed to promote physical activity typically target cognitive
11 constructs (e.g., self-efficacy), but it is increasingly recognised that such interventions have
12 only relatively small effects on behaviour (Ekkekakis et al., 2021; Rhodes et al., 2021). A
13 plausible reason for this is that the social cognitive theories that have held sway over the last
14 40 years view individuals as fully rational beings, but generally disregard the role of affective
15 phenomena (Ekkekakis et al., 2021). Consequently, researchers are beginning to
16 acknowledge that affective constructs can help in bridging the gap between intention and
17 behaviour (Stevens et al., 2020). Such constructs also provide a foundation for related
18 physical activity and health-related interventions (Jones & Zenko, 2021).

19 Exercise-Related Core Affect

20 There is considerable ambiguity surrounding affect-related constructs (Ekkekakis,
21 2013). The present investigation is oriented towards *core affect*, which can be defined as a
22 “neurophysiological state, accessible to consciousness as a simple non-reflective feeling”
23 (Russell, 2009, p. 1264). In accord with the Circumplex Model of Affect (Russell, 1980),
24 core affect is conceptualised as a dimensional domain consisting of affective valence (ranging
25 from *displeasure* to *pleasure*) and arousal (ranging from *low* to *high*). Psychological

1 hedonism supports the notion that individuals pursue pleasure and avoid displeasure
2 (Kahneman, 1999; Stevens et al., 2020), and there is evidence to suggest that such pleasure
3 experienced during exercise is predictive of future behaviour (Rhodes & Kates, 2015;
4 Williams et al., 2012). By means of illustration, Liao et al. (2017) found that a one-unit gain
5 in negatively valenced affect reported during physical activity was associated with a decrease
6 of 18.11 min of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity per day after 12 months. *Remembered*
7 *pleasure* (i.e., how pleasant or unpleasant an event is remembered) and *forecasted pleasure*
8 (i.e., how pleasant or unpleasant future events are anticipated to be) are also important in
9 determining future exercise behaviour (Stevens et al., 2020). Researchers have, accordingly,
10 attempted to create pleasurable exercise experiences to enhance the likelihood of sustained
11 participation (Bird et al., 2021; Jones & Zenko, 2023).

12 The Dual-Mode Theory (DMT; Ekkekakis, 2003) addresses the relationship between
13 exercise intensity and affective valence. The theory defines exercise intensity according to
14 key metabolic markers, such as the gas-exchange ventilatory threshold (VT) and respiratory
15 compensation point (RCP). Additionally, the DMT holds that, during exercise, affective
16 valence is influenced by a combination of cognitive factors (e.g., appraisals, goals) and
17 interoceptive cues (e.g., elevated heart rate, raised temperature). According to the DMT,
18 affective valence below VT is primarily driven by cognitive factors and mostly positive
19 among healthy individuals. Exercise intensities between VT and RCP are characterised by
20 inter-individual variability, with some individuals reporting improvements, and others
21 declines in affective valence. There is a universal decline in affective valence at intensities
22 beyond the RCP, as interoceptive cues gain salience and physiological steady state cannot be
23 maintained (Ekkekakis et al., 2020). Moreover, a rapid rebound towards pleasure is expected
24 to occur following the cessation of strenuous exercise that induces a decrease in affective
25 valence (Ekkekakis, 2013).

1 **Audio-Visual Technology**

2 A cost-effective intervention designed to enhance core affect during exercise concerns
3 the use of technology to deliver audio-visual stimuli. This has been described as an *extrinsic*
4 strategy, as it concerns manipulation of the exercise environment, as opposed to an *intrinsic*
5 strategy, which targets components of exercise prescription (i.e., frequency, intensity, time
6 and type; Jones & Zenko, 2021). Audio-visual stimuli are often used to promote *attentional*
7 *dissociation*, which refers to the way in which the stimuli can guide attention outwardly.
8 Dissociation, therefore, is a means by which attention can be directed away from the
9 unpleasant sensations often associated with exercise (e.g., breathlessness, fatigue and
10 muscular pain; Bird et al., 2019).

11 The Embodiment–Presence–Interactivity (EPI) Cube (Flavián et al., 2019) is a
12 contemporary framework that categorises technological devices according to three
13 dimensions (see Figure 1). *Embodiment* concerns the degree of integration between a
14 technological device and the human body. External devices (e.g., portable Bluetooth
15 speakers) are associated with low embodiment, as they are not integrated with the human
16 body. Conversely, internal devices (e.g., headphones) are associated with high embodiment,
17 as they are fitted to an individual user. Internal devices were posited to confer greater
18 technological immersion (i.e., supporting natural sensorimotor contingencies for perception;
19 Slater, 2018) when compared to external devices (Flavián et al., 2019).

20 *Presence* refers to the sense of being transported to another environment (Slater,
21 2018). It is noteworthy that technological immersion is considered an antecedent of presence
22 (Harris et al., 2020). Hence, internal devices that comprise high technological immersion
23 (e.g., virtual reality [VR] headsets) have been theorised to elicit greater perceptions of
24 presence when compared to external devices (Flavián et al., 2019). Finally, *interactivity* is a
25 behavioural factor pertaining to the extent to which users can control and manipulate the

1 virtual environment that is presented to them. The EPI Cube might serve to further
2 understanding of how technology facilitates positive exercise-related core affect and is thus
3 used to guide the present investigation.

4 *** Insert Figure 1 here ***

5 **Music Applications in Exercise**

6 Researchers have demonstrated that music can enhance exercise-related affect across
7 several modalities, including walking (Pottratz et al., 2021), running (Hutchinson et al., 2018)
8 and cycle ergometry (Bird et al., 2016). Furthermore, a meta-analysis indicated that music's
9 influence on affective responses is the largest ($g = 0.48$) among several exercise-related
10 outcome variables (e.g., perceived exertion, oxygen consumption; Terry et al., 2020). Close
11 inspection of the technologies employed to deliver music-related interventions reveals that
12 they fall broadly along the z -axis of the EPI Cube (see Figure 1; Flavián et al., 2019). For
13 example, external speakers (e.g., Karageorghis, Jones, et al., 2021) are considered to
14 comprise low embodiment whereas bone-conduction headphones (e.g., Aburto-Corona et al.,
15 2023) are more integrated with the individual exerciser. Nonetheless, such devices are, by
16 design, limited in terms of how they elicit presence and behavioural interactivity.

17 **Audio-Visual Applications in Exercise**

18 Researchers have also integrated a range of audio-visual stimuli within the exercise
19 environment, such as music-videos (Pottratz et al., 2021), sporting highlights (Barwood et al.,
20 2009) and film scenes (Bigliassi et al., 2019). This represents a shift in focus towards
21 technologies that fall along the y -axis of the EPI Cube (see Figure 1; Flavián et al., 2019),
22 albeit exercisers' perceptions of presence are rarely measured by investigators (Chow &
23 Etnier, 2017). An oft-cited rationale for employing auditory and visual stimuli concurrently is
24 that plural stimuli (e.g., music-video) *should* be superior to a singular stimulus (e.g., music)

1 in terms of promoting attentional dissociation and engendering more positive exercise-related
2 affect (Hutchinson et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2014).

3 The evidence surrounding this intuitive prediction appears inconclusive. For example,
4 Bird et al. (2019) reported that 360° videos without sound (i.e., a singular stimulus)
5 facilitated greater attentional dissociation and higher affective valence scores during cycle
6 ergometry at the VT when compared to a music-video condition (i.e., plural stimuli). The
7 360° videos were delivered by use of a VR headset, while the music-videos were shown on a
8 laptop. Hence, there is a need to not only consider the nature of audio-visual stimuli that
9 exercisers are subjected to, but also the qualities of the technological devices used to deliver
10 them. The EPI Cube (Flavián et al., 2019) holds that 360° videos prompt greater perceptions
11 of presence and embodiment when compared to traditional displays and this framework
12 might help in accounting for the findings reported by Bird et al. (2019).

13 **Interactive Audio-Visual Applications in Exercise**

14 Interactive technologies are progressively being implemented within exercise contexts
15 and this represents a shift towards technologies that fall along the x -axis of the EPI Cube
16 (Flavián et al., 2019). For example, researchers have used VR to accompany isometric
17 strength tasks and reported lower pain ratings and longer times-to-exhaustion when compared
18 to a control condition (Matsangidou et al., 2019). Likewise, Kocur et al. (2020) examined the
19 effects of VR avatars and found that muscular avatars prompted lower ratings of perceived
20 exertion (RPE) when compared to medium and non-muscular avatars.

21 VR has also been used during aerobic exercise protocols, such as cycle ergometry.
22 Zeng et al. (2017) reported that a VR condition was associated with lower RPE, higher self-
23 efficacy and greater enjoyment when compared to a non-VR condition. Similarly, Bird et al.
24 (2021) found that VR elicited more positive affective valence, higher arousal, greater
25 attentional dissociation and more enjoyment during cycle ergometry at 10% below VT when

1 compared to a music-only condition. The researchers argued that greater perceptions of
2 presence in the VR condition were responsible for these findings. However, this was not
3 measured in relation to each experimental condition. Moreover, the degree to which
4 embodiment (i.e., internal devices used in the VR condition vs. external devices used in the
5 music condition) mediated the findings remains unknown and is thus worthy of research
6 attention.

7 **Aims and Hypotheses**

8 There is a pressing need to develop evidence-based interventions that target affective
9 components of the exercise experience (Stevens et al., 2020). Audio-visual stimuli are almost
10 ubiquitous in exercise settings and researchers have demonstrated their effectiveness in
11 prompting dissociative thoughts and enhancing exercise-related affect (Bird & Karageorghis,
12 2020; Jones et al., 2014). It has been suggested that plural stimuli should prompt greater
13 attentional dissociation when compared to a singular stimulus (Hutchinson et al., 2017).
14 However, a limitation of this prediction is that it does not acknowledge the qualities of the
15 technological devices used for the delivery of such stimuli. Accordingly, the use of
16 theoretical frameworks that can differentiate among a range of available technologies is
17 warranted. This is likely to further understanding of the effects of audio-visual stimuli in
18 exercise and health contexts.

19 Employing the EPI Cube (Flavián et al., 2019) as a guiding conceptual framework,
20 the aim of the investigation was to examine the extent to which three technological qualities
21 (i.e., embodiment, presence and interactivity) influence affective and perceptual variables
22 during exercise. To address this aim, four technological devices were used to display
23 exercise-congruent visual stimuli accompanied by music: Television (TV; low embodiment,
24 presence and interactivity), augmented reality (AR; high embodiment, low presence and
25 interactivity), 360° video (high embodiment and presence, low interactivity) and VR (high

1 embodiment, presence and interactivity; see Figure 1). These technologies were selected as
2 they are gaining increased popularity within exercise facilities (Bird & Karageorghis, 2020;
3 Jones & Ekkekakis, 2019). Exercise was performed at the VT, as this intensity falls within a
4 zone that is associated with the greatest inter-individual variability in affect (Ekkekakis,
5 2003; Ekkekakis et al., 2020).

6 It is hypothesised that devices with higher values of embodiment, presence and
7 interactivity will prompt more positive affective valence (H_1), greater attentional dissociation
8 and reduced RPE (H_2), as well as higher exercise enjoyment, remembered pleasure and
9 forecasted pleasure (H_3). Moreover, it is hypothesised that the TV condition (low
10 embodiment, presence and interactivity) will elicit the most pronounced affective rebound
11 (i.e., rise in affective valence upon cessation of exercise), owing to expected higher affective
12 valence scores during exercise in each of the three remaining conditions (H_4). Finally, with
13 reference to an outcome-neutral test, it is hypothesised that the arousal dimension of core
14 affect will be statistically equivalent across conditions (H_5).

15 Method

16 Participants

17 A simulation-based power analysis was conducted in RStudio (v. 2022.07.1) with the
18 Superpower package in accord with recommendations for factorial designs (Lakens &
19 Caldwell, 2021). The extant literature (Bird et al., 2019, 2021) was drawn upon to inform the
20 predicted pattern of means for affective valence across conditions. A power curve indicated
21 that 20 participants would be required to obtain 90% power in relation to a 4 (Condition) \times 4
22 (Time) interaction effect and the associated Quarto markdown file can be located online
23 (<https://osf.io/47aue/>).

24 The investigation was approved by the University of Exeter Research Ethics
25 Committee (200325/A/05). Recruitment was conducted through word-of-mouth and

1 promotional posters/flyers at the University and posts on social media platforms. Inclusion
2 criteria stipulated that participants were: (a) between 18–30 years of age, (b) recreationally
3 active according to the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (Warburton et al., 2011),
4 (c) without any visual impairment and/or hearing deficiency and (d) familiar with cycle
5 ergometry. Individuals were pre-screened for susceptibility to motion sickness by means of
6 the Visually Induced Motion Sickness Susceptibility Questionnaire (VIMSSQ; Golding et al.,
7 2021). Twenty-four adult volunteers were recruited to facilitate a fully counterbalanced
8 design ($N_{female} = 13$, $M_{age} = 26.21$, $SD_{age} = 3.05$ years, $M_{BMI} = 22.50$, $SD_{BMI} = 3.14$ kg/m²).

9 **Experimental Procedures**

10 The procedures detailed herein build upon a corpus of experimental studies published
11 by the research team (Bird et al., 2019, 2021; Jones & Wheat, 2023). Participants were
12 invited to visit the laboratory on three occasions (i.e., one habituation/incremental exercise
13 test and two experimental sessions; see Supplementary Material 1). During habituation, each
14 participant completed the PAR-Q (Warburton et al., 2011), VIMSSQ (Golding et al., 2021)
15 and a short demographic questionnaire. The experimenter recorded each participant's height
16 and weight before explaining each of the measures that would be used in the experimental
17 trials. Each participant was shown how to correctly fit and adjust the headsets used to
18 administer the audio-visual stimuli in the AR, 360° video and VR conditions. Thereafter, they
19 were required to complete an incremental exercise test on an electronically braked cycle
20 ergometer (Lode Corival cpet, Groningen, NL) so that their VT could be ascertained (Bird et
21 al., 2021; see Supplementary Material 2).

22 A fully counterbalanced within-subjects design was employed and the first tranche of
23 experimental testing took place at least 48 hr after the initial habituation session. Each
24 participant was instructed to have adequate sleep the night before experimental sessions, to
25 avoid alcohol consumption and to refrain from ingesting caffeine on the day of the testing.

1 The investigation entailed administration of an exercise bout under four conditions, each of
2 which was associated with a distinct vertex of the EPI Cube (Flavián et al., 2019): TV
3 (Vertex 1), AR (Vertex 5), 360° video (Vertex 7) and VR (Vertex 8). These specific
4 technologies enabled the construction of repeated planned contrasts (see Figure 1), in accord
5 with H_{1-3} . All conditions were accompanied by experimenter-selected music at a standardised
6 intensity of ~70 dBA. The music was administered via an external speaker in the TV
7 condition and by use of wearable, bone conduction headphones in the AR, 360° and VR
8 conditions. This ensured compatibility across the devices used to administer the auditory and
9 visual stimuli in terms of the embodiment dimension of the EPI Cube (Flavián et al., 2019).

10 Each trial lasted for 20 min with the participant required to complete a 2.5 min warm-
11 up at 20% below VT, 15 min exercise at VT and 2.5 warm-down at 20% below VT on a
12 cycle ergometer (see Supplementary Material 1). Each participant was instructed to maintain
13 a cadence of 75 ± 3 rpm throughout each trial and the experimental manipulation coincided
14 with the 15-min exercise phase (Bird et al., 2021; see Figure 2). The participant completed
15 two trials during each laboratory visit and recovery periods of 15 min were administered in
16 between trials to allow heart rate to descend to resting values. Full details of the audio-visual
17 selection procedure can be located in Supplementary Material 3.

18 *** Insert Figure 2 here ***

19 Measures

20 In-task measures of core affect were administered throughout each trial at baseline
21 and after 1, 4, 8, 12, 16 and 19 min to capture the dynamic nature of exercise-related affect
22 (Ekkekakis et al., 2020). However, data obtained at baseline and during the warm-up (i.e.,
23 after 1 min) and warm-down (i.e., after 19 min) were not subject to statistical analysis in
24 relation to H_{1-2} , as these timepoints did not coincide with the experimental manipulation.

1 Baseline data were only intended for analytical purposes (i.e., as covariates) in the event that
2 significant ($p < .05$) pre-task differences emerged in core affect across conditions.

3 Given that core affect was conceptualised as a dimensional domain, affective valence
4 was assessed using the Feeling Scale (FS; Hardy & Rejeski, 1989) and arousal using the Felt
5 Arousal Scale (FAS; Svebak & Murgatroyd, 1985). The FS is a single-item, 11-point scale
6 anchored by -5 (*[I feel] very bad*) and 5 (*very good*). The FAS is a single-item, six-point scale
7 anchored by 1 (*low arousal*) and 6 (*high arousal*). In-task perceptual measures were
8 administered during the main exercise phase, after 4, 8, 12 and 16 min. RPE was assessed
9 using the Borg CR10 Scale (Borg, 1998), which is anchored by 0 (*nothing at all*) and 10
10 (*extremely strong*). State attentional focus was measured using the Attentional Scale (AS;
11 Tammen, 1996), which is anchored by 0 (*internal focus* [bodily sensations, heart rate,
12 breathing, etc.]) and 100 (*external focus* [daydreaming, external environment, etc.]).

13 Following the cessation of each trial, exercise enjoyment was assessed using the
14 Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES; Kendzierski & DeCarlo, 1991), which is
15 comprised of 18 items attached to 7-point scales (e.g., 1 = *I enjoy it*, 7 = *I hate it* [item 1]).
16 Remembered pleasure was measured using a visual analogue scale attached to the question
17 “How did the exercise session make you feel?” The scale ranges from -100 (*very unpleasant*)
18 to 100 (*very pleasant*) in intervals of 1. A slider was positioned at the origin (0) but the
19 numbers were not visible to participants (Zenko et al., 2016). Forecasted pleasure was
20 assessed by means of the Empirical Valence Scale (Lishner et al., 2008). Each participant
21 was required to respond to the question “If you repeated the exercise session again, how do
22 you think it would make you feel?” (Zenko et al., 2016). Fifteen empirically spaced verbal
23 descriptors are depicted underneath the scale, ranging from -100 (*most unpleasant*
24 *imaginable*) to 100 (*most pleasant imaginable*). The values were hidden from participants,
25 who were instructed to select one descriptor only. As a manipulation check, perceptions of

1 presence were measured using the Spatial Presence Experience Scale (SPES; Hartmann et al.,
2 2016), which comprises eight items attached to a 5-point scale anchored by 1 (*I do not agree*
3 *at all*) and 5 (*I fully agree*).

4 **Data Analysis**

5 The analysis plan was pre-registered via the Open Science Framework
6 (<https://osf.io/47aue/>) and all analyses were conducted in RStudio (v. 2023.09.1). Initially,
7 data were screened for univariate outliers using z -scores ($z \pm 3.29$). Outliers were treated by
8 adjusting the raw score by one unit smaller or larger than the next most extreme score in the
9 distribution until $z < \pm 3.29$ (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2019). Checks were made for the
10 parametric assumptions underlying repeated-measures (RM) ANOVA. For example, the
11 distributional properties of the data were examined visually by means of Q–Q plots and
12 histograms (Coolican, 2018).

13 The valence dimension of core affect and perceptual variables (RPE and attentional
14 focus) were assessed using factorial 4 (Condition) \times 4 (Time) RM ANOVAs. Exercise
15 enjoyment, remembered pleasure, forecasted pleasure and presence were analysed by means
16 of one-way RM ANOVAs. The magnitude of affective (valence) rebounds was assessed by
17 means of a factorial 4 (Condition) \times 2 (Time) RM ANOVA. The arousal dimension of core
18 affect was subjected to two one-sided tests (TOSTs), as a means by which to determine
19 statistical equivalence across conditions. Greenhouse–Geisser adjustments were made to F
20 tests in which the sphericity assumption was violated. Three repeated contrasts were used to
21 examine the influence of embodiment (TV vs. AR), presence (AR vs. 360° video) and
22 interactivity (360° video vs. VR) of technological devices on the dependent variables in
23 relation to H_{1-3} . In the case of possible Condition \times Timepoint interaction effects pertaining
24 to affective valence and perceptual variables, such contrasts only applied to the final
25 timepoint within the main exercise task (i.e., after 16 min). Planned contrasts were subject to

1 Holm–Bonferroni adjustment, given that they were non-orthogonal (Field et al., 2012). Data
2 and analysis scripts can be located online (<https://osf.io/47aue/>).

3 **Results**

4 Data screening revealed nine univariate outliers ($z > \pm 3.29$) pertaining to affective
5 valence ($n = 1$), exercise enjoyment ($n = 2$) and presence ($n = 6$) scores. In all instances,
6 outliers were treated by adjusting the raw score by one unit smaller or larger than the next
7 most extreme score in the distribution until $z < \pm 3.29$ (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2019). Tests of
8 the distributional properties of the data indicated violations of normality in 15 cells of the
9 analysis (nine at $p < .05$, four at $p < .01$ and two at $p < .001$). We did not transform these data
10 given concerns regarding the transformation of data derived from Likert scales (see Nevill &
11 Lane, 2007).

12 **Core Affect**

13 A one-way RM ANOVA on affective valence indicated a non-significant main effect
14 of condition at baseline ($p = .751$, $\eta_p^2 = .02$) and hence these data were not subsequently used
15 as a covariate. A factorial RM ANOVA indicated a significant 4 (Condition) \times 4 (Timepoint)
16 interaction for affective valence during the main exercise phase ($p = .046$, $\eta_p^2 = .08$; see Table
17 1). Greater embodiment of technological devices was associated with enhanced affective
18 valence during the final timepoint of the exercise bout (i.e., 16 min), reflected in the
19 statistically significant difference between the TV and AR conditions ($p = .019$, 95% CI
20 [0.09, 1.24]; see Figure 3).

21 ***** Insert Figure 3 here *****

22 A factorial RM ANOVA indicated a significant 4 (Condition) \times 2 (Timepoint)
23 interaction effect pertaining to affective valence scores at the final timepoint during the main
24 exercise period (i.e., 16 min) and during cool-down (i.e., 19 min; $p = .017$, $\eta_p^2 = .14$; see
25 Table 1). Pairwise comparisons indicated a significant improvement in affective valence

1 scores in the TV condition only ($p = .029$, 95% CI [0.04, 0.63]). It is noteworthy that all
2 remaining conditions were associated with declines in affective valence scores at 19 min
3 during the cool-down, despite a reduction in exercise intensity (see Figure 4).

4 ***** Insert Figure 4 here *****

5 The TOST procedure indicated statistical equivalence between the 360° video and VR
6 conditions for affective arousal during the final timepoint of the main exercise bout, $t(23) = -$
7 3.50, $p < .001$. However, statistical equivalence was not demonstrated between AR and 360°
8 video $t(23) = .96$, $p = .174$, nor between TV and AR conditions, $t(23) = 1.45$, $p = .080$.

9 **Perceptual Variables**

10 The RPE analysis indicated that the 4 (Condition) \times 4 (Timepoint) interaction was
11 non-significant ($p = .588$, $\eta_p^2 = .03$; see Supplementary Material 4). There was, however, a
12 main effect of time ($p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .62$). Pairwise comparisons indicated lower RPE scores
13 after 4 min when compared to 8 min ($p < .001$, 95% CI [-0.84, -0.28]), 12 min ($p < .001$, 95%
14 CI [-1.35, -0.43]) and 16 min ($p < .001$, 95% CI [-1.75, -0.76]). The state attention analysis
15 indicated that the 4 (Condition) \times 4 (Timepoint) interaction was non-significant ($p = .069$, η_p^2
16 = .09; see Supplementary Material 4). There was, however, a significant main effect of
17 condition ($p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .29$), albeit that the Holm–Bonferroni adjustment caused the
18 embodiment contrast to be non-significant ($p > .05$).

19 **Post-Task Psychological Measures**

20 A one-way RM ANOVA for exercise enjoyment (derived from PACES) indicated a
21 significant main effect of condition ($p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .53$). Greater embodiment (TV vs. AR),
22 presence (AR vs. 360° video) and interactivity (360° video vs. VR) of technological devices
23 were all associated with higher enjoyment scores ($p = .024$, 95% CI [0.32, 18.30]; $p = .024$,
24 95% CI [1.40, 23.50]; $p = .024$, 95% CI [0.63, 14.50], respectively; see Figure 5a).

25 ***** Insert Figure 5 here *****

1 A one-way RM ANOVA for remembered pleasure indicated a significant main effect
2 of condition ($p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .44$). Greater presence of technological devices was associated
3 with higher scores for remembered pleasure ($p = .038$, 95% CI [1.04, 44.50]). Similarly,
4 greater interactivity of technological devices was associated with higher scores for
5 remembered pleasure ($p = .043$, 95% CI [-0.71, 31.50]; see Figure 5b). A one-way RM
6 ANOVA for forecasted pleasure indicated a significant main effect of condition ($p < .001$, η_p^2
7 = .47). Planned contrasts indicated that only the presence dimension of the EPI Cube was
8 associated with greater scores for forecasted pleasure (i.e., AR vs. 360° video; $p = .005$, 95%
9 CI [5.86, 37.10]; see Figure 5c).

10 A one-way RM ANOVA for presence scores indicated a significant main effect of
11 condition ($p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .76$). All three planned contrasts were significant, but a clear step
12 change was evident between the AR and 360° video conditions ($p < .001$, 95% CI [5.70,
13 15.72]; see Supplementary Material 4).

14 Discussion

15 The purpose of the present study was to examine the degree to which the three
16 technological qualities of embodiment, presence and interactivity influence affective and
17 perceptual variables during exercise at VT. Flavián et al.'s (2019) EPI Cube provided a
18 guiding conceptual framework, from which five research hypotheses were derived. The
19 results from the investigation led to acceptance, non-acceptance and partial acceptance of the
20 hypotheses, each of which will be considered henceforth.

21 H_1 held that devices characterised by higher values of embodiment, presence and
22 interactivity would prompt higher scores for affective valence. This hypothesis is only
23 partially accepted, given that greater embodiment elicited more positive affective valence, but
24 not so in the case of presence and interactivity (see Figure 3). Along similar lines, H_2 held
25 that high embodiment, presence and interactivity would engender greater attentional

1 dissociation, coupled with lower RPE scores. This hypothesis was not accepted, given that
2 the 4 (Condition) \times 4 (Timepoint) interactions for both state attention and RPE were non-
3 significant ($ps > .05$).

4 H_3 predicted that high embodiment, presence and interactivity would lead to higher
5 exercise enjoyment, remembered pleasure and forecasted pleasure. Given the significant main
6 effects (all at $p < .001$) that emerged for these three variables (see Figure 5), this hypothesis is
7 accepted. H_4 predicted that the TV condition would exhibit the most pronounced affective
8 rebound. Given the significant ($p = .017$) 4 (Condition) \times 2 (Timepoint) interaction for
9 affective valence and the clear pattern of change evident (see Figure 4), this hypothesis is also
10 accepted. Finally, H_5 – associated with the outcome-neutral test – was predicated on the
11 notion that affective arousal would be statistically equivalent across conditions. This
12 hypothesis was not accepted given that the TOST procedure indicated a lack of equivalence
13 between AR and 360° video, as well as between TV and AR conditions.

14 **Core Affect**

15 Although greater embodiment elicited more positive affective valence, it is evident
16 that presence and interactivity did not. The partial acceptance of H_1 highlights a need to
17 examine why presence and interactivity did not enhance the pleasure that participants
18 experienced during the exercise (see Figure 3). It is conceivable that significant differences
19 for the presence and interactivity contrasts would have emerged with a slightly longer
20 exercise protocol. However, it is necessary for researchers to be mindful of simulator
21 sickness when considering lengthy protocols that involve use of immersive technologies
22 (Bird, 2020). It is noteworthy that VR appears to engender *much* greater pleasure than TV
23 and AR. Hence, it is plausible that the combination of high presence and high interactivity are
24 germane to the modulation of exercise-related affect (cf. Bird et al., 2021; Jones & Wheat,
25 2023).

1 In relation to H_4 , the affective rebound evident in the TV condition, but not in any
2 other condition (see Figure 4), can be understood with reference to the pattern of affective
3 valence responses during exercise at VT (see Figure 3). Specifically, there was affective
4 decline evident throughout the exercise bout in the TV condition and, by comparison, fairly
5 even trendlines in the other three conditions over time. The affective decline in the TV
6 condition was followed by a rebound during the period of active recovery at 20% below VT.
7 From a theoretical standpoint, the technological qualities that characterise AR, 360° video
8 and VR (see Flavián et al., 2019) contributed towards the enhancement of affective valence to
9 such a degree, that there was little scope for a rebound during active recovery (cf. Bird et al.,
10 2021). When the exercise experience is unpleasant, a rapid increase in pleasure is observed
11 following its cessation (Ekkekakis, 2013; Ekkekakis et al., 2011).

12 The outcome-neutral test (H_5) yielded an unexpected result. Notably, 360° video and
13 VR conditions exhibited comparable levels of affective arousal, but there was a lack of
14 equivalence given the lower levels associated with AR and TV, respectively. It is noteworthy
15 that this pattern of scores tessellates with that for presence, with a step change from TV and
16 AR, to 360° video and VR. Hence, the increased perception of presence afforded by 360°
17 videos and VR, both of which fully occlude the user's physical reality, could have
18 upregulated affective arousal in these conditions. The higher levels of affective arousal in AR
19 relative to TV might be accounted for by the level of embodiment afforded by the head-
20 mounted AR device, which requires more active attention, with an attendant small increase in
21 arousal to enable this. Another possibility is a novelty effect, given that head-mounted AR is
22 the most recent technology employed in the present investigation (see Bird et al., 2023).
23 Collectively, the results of the outcome-neutral test suggest that the variable of affective
24 arousal was not a good choice in this regard, albeit previous literature suggested it might be
25 (Bigliassi et al., 2019; Bird et al., 2016).

1 **Perceptual Variables**

2 Findings for the perceptual variables did not provide support for the associated
3 hypothesis (H_2), given the absence of significant 4 (Condition) \times 4 (Timepoint) interactions
4 for both RPE and state attention. There was a main effect of time for RPE with a pronounced
5 increase in scores over time. This finding, however, is not of interest from a theoretical
6 standpoint, given that RPE is fully expected to rise in a stepwise motion during a 15-min
7 exercise bout at VT (see e.g., Alvarez-Alvarado et al., 2019). The lack of a main effect of
8 condition hints at the possibility that the inclusion of asynchronous music as an adjunct to the
9 visual stimuli may have overridden the dissociative effects of the embodiment–presence–
10 interactivity manipulation (Hutchinson et al., 2017; Hutchinson & Karageorghis, 2013).
11 Notably, in contrast to most related studies (Bird et al., 2019; Jones et al., 2014), *only* plural
12 stimuli were administered in the present study. This was done with a view to (a) keeping the
13 focus on the three technological qualities germane to the EPI Cube (including use of a
14 different mode of music delivery in the TV condition [external speaker] to ensure low
15 embodiment) and (b) maintaining a degree of external validity given the ubiquity of music in
16 exercise settings (Terry et al., 2020).

17 The significant main effect of condition indicates increasing levels of dissociation
18 (i.e., higher state attention scores) sequentially from the TV through to the VR condition.
19 Although RPE was not influenced by condition, the fact that state attention was, suggests that
20 the increase in dissociation afforded by high embodiment and presence (360° video and VR)
21 did not manifest in lower perceived exertion. RPE and state attention are not
22 phenomenologically isomorphic (Razon et al., 2009), therefore we might speculate that
23 different neural circuits are implicated in the self-assessment of these constructs; a topic that
24 warrants investigation from a neurophysiological perspective (e.g., by means of coherence
25 analyses using electroencephalography; see Bigliassi et al., 2017).

1 Using extant theory and empirical work to explore *why* higher levels of embodiment
2 and presence led to an increase in dissociation but not a reduction in RPE, we might draw
3 upon the work of Alvarez-Alvarado et al. (2019). In developing their inclusive model on the
4 interplay between workload and functional perceptual–cognitive–affective responses, these
5 authors assessed attentional focus and RPE during an incremental cycle ergometer test to
6 volitional exhaustion. The linear trendlines for attentional focus and RPE were identical, and
7 notably, their test was conducted in a sterile laboratory environment. The inclusion of
8 technological aids, such as VR, appears to engender a differential trend in these closely
9 related perceptual variables. It is plausible that at VT, the combination of high levels of
10 embodiment and presence change how attention is directed (primarily a cognitive process),
11 but have little bearing on subjective perception of effort (primarily a sensory process; see
12 Razon et al., 2009).

13 **Post-Task Psychological Measures**

14 The post-task measures exhibited trends that were in accord with the associated
15 research hypothesis (H_3 ; see Figure 5). From a theoretical perspective, the greater attentional
16 demands placed by combinations of the three technological qualities, have an additive effect
17 in terms of enhancing scores on the post-task measures (cf. Tenenbaum, 2001). Specifically,
18 the way in which sensory modalities are tapped serves to direct attention away from internal,
19 fatigue-related cues, and can lead to the experience of greater pleasure and enjoyment. From
20 a mechanistic perspective, it has recently been demonstrated that immersive technologies
21 (e.g., VR) reduce activation in the prefrontal cortex (Jones & Wheat, 2023). This is notable
22 given that activation in this brain region is inversely related to affective responses during
23 exercise (see also Jones & Ekkekakis, 2019). From a practical perspective, the stark
24 differences that emerged in the post-task measures between TV – a commonly used form of
25 entertainment in fitness facilities – when compared to 360° video and VR are noteworthy.

1 These findings add to a growing corpus of findings demonstrating the benefits of immersive
2 technology in an exercise context (Bird et al., 2019; Jones & Ekkekakis, 2019; Jones &
3 Wheat, 2023).

4 **Strengths and Limitations**

5 The present investigation was conducted in accord with the principles of Open
6 Science (e.g., pre-registration, data availability), which is known to increase the integrity of
7 the results (Hagger, 2022). The work was grounded in a conceptual framework (Flavián et al.,
8 2019) that incorporated the qualities of each form of technology administered during the
9 exercise task. This enabled the formulation of theoretically derived planned contrasts,
10 advancing previous work, which typically used post-hoc tests that did not have a theoretical
11 basis. Moreover, Ekkekakis's (2003) DMT served as a lodestar for the selection of an
12 exercise intensity (VT) at which the experimental manipulations would be likely to engender
13 a meaningful effect, due to expected inter-individual variability in exercise-related affect.
14 Related to this, a further strength is that unlike many comparable studies (e.g., Pottratz et al.,
15 2021; Zeng et al., 2017), the exercise intensity was calculated with reference to heart rate
16 variability indices to ensure accuracy and minimise between-subject variability (see
17 Supplementary Material 2).

18 The study included a manipulation check – an assessment of presence – that exhibited
19 a trend broadly in line with expectations. The plurality of technologies through which visual
20 stimuli were delivered represents a considerable advancement in terms of the evolution of
21 this subset of the exercise psychology literature (see e.g., Bird et al., 2019, 2021; Pottratz et
22 al., 2021). Exercisers and exercise practitioners can use the present data to gauge the
23 psychological benefits that might be derived from a range of audio-visual technologies.

24 A limitation was that it was not feasible for the research team to include an
25 intervention that represented each of the eight vertices of the EPI Cube (see Figure 1).

1 Nonetheless, some of the technologies associated with vertices not included in the present
2 design (e.g., mixed reality headsets) have yet to reach a level of technological maturity to
3 prompt wide-scale adoption. This means that their inclusion had the potential to undermine
4 ecological validity, but also to engender a fatigue effect (Heath, 2018). A further limitation is
5 that only one exercise intensity was tested (VT). It could be that higher intensities would have
6 brought certain weaknesses to light in technologies that require coverage of the head (e.g.,
7 360° video and VR) due to greater thermoregulation (Rupp, 2024). Conversely, use of a
8 slightly lower intensity (e.g., 5% below VT) might have precipitated the expected differences
9 in affective valence (H_1). Although the EPI Cube provides a useful lens through which to
10 examine technological aids in the exercise context, there is presently a lack of theory that
11 specifically addresses the differential effects of technological qualities on key affective and
12 perceptual outcomes during exercise (cf. Jones & Wheat, 2023).

13 **Implications for Practice and Future Research**

14 A clear implication that is predicated on the present findings is that technologies that
15 combine high levels of embodiment, presence and interactivity (e.g., VR) hold manifold
16 benefits in terms of in-task (e.g., affective valence) and post-task (e.g., remembered pleasure)
17 responses for exercise conducted at VT. Such exercise has the propensity to deliver a range of
18 cardio-metabolic benefits (Santos et al., 2023), but is characterised by considerable variability
19 in participants' affective responses (Ekkekakis, 2003; Ekkekakis et al., 2020). Technologies
20 that offer high qualities in *any* of the EPI Cube dimensions or *any* of the two dimensions in
21 combination, appear to assuage the in-task decline that is typically observed during exercise
22 at VT (Jones & Ekkekakis, 2019; see Figure 3). Drawing also on previous findings (Bird et
23 al., 2019), it is apparent that psychological benefits can be derived from plural (360° video
24 with music) *and* singular stimuli (360° video).

1 As previously detailed, the selection of affective arousal for the outcome-neutral test,
2 or “sanity check”, did not prove to be an entirely apposite choice. Future researchers who
3 design experiments of a similar nature might consider use of a photoplethysmogram (attached
4 to the ear lobe) to monitor non-cortical haemodynamic responses (i.e., extra-cerebral noise
5 should be similar across conditions; see Guérin et al., 2023) or heart rate variability indices
6 (e.g., root mean square of successive RR interval differences [RMSSD] and standard
7 deviation of normal-to-normal RR intervals [SDNN]; Karageorghis et al., 2022). It seems
8 from the present findings that affective arousal is sensitive to manipulations predicated on the
9 three dimensions of the EPI Cube; particularly when high embodiment is combined with high
10 presence.

11 There is scope for future researchers to test each of the eight vertices of the EPI Cube.
12 Although from a logistical perspective this would be a challenging study given the level of
13 commitment required from participants, with appropriate inducements to encourage repeat
14 laboratory visits, this approach would extend the approach adopted in the present study (four
15 vertices). Moreover, now that there are fully integrated systems for the delivery of VR
16 alongside measurements of electrical activity in the brain (electroencephalography [EEG]) and
17 cerebral haemodynamics (functional near-infrared spectroscopy [fNIRS]), there are
18 opportunities to study the neurophysiological mechanisms associated with exercise at varying
19 levels of embodiment, presence and interactivity (e.g., the integrated VR–EEG–fNIRS system
20 of MedelOpt, Seenel Imaging, Tourcoing, FR). Investigation of underlying mechanisms
21 would further understanding of the exercise intensity-related “efficacy zones” associated with
22 a range of audio-visual technologies (Karageorghis et al., 2017). Such work would also light
23 a path towards theoretical advances that address how technological manipulations, and
24 specifically the qualities of embodiment, presence, and interactivity, differentially influence
25 affective and perceptual responses to exercise.

Conclusions

The hypothesised two-way interaction effect of condition for affective valence (H_1) did not emerge precisely as expected, albeit the combination of high embodiment, presence and interactivity offered by VR elicited the most pleasurable exercise experience (see Figure 3). Also, cross-condition equivalence was expected for affective arousal (H_5), but the combination of high embodiment and presence (360° video and VR conditions) led to higher scores than the other combinations of EPI Cube dimensions that we tested (TV and AR). The findings for the affective rebound (see Figure 4) were in accord with our expectations (H_4), suggesting that *any* configuration of high values on the EPI dimensions (i.e., with one, two, or three dimensions) assuaged the affective decline that is typically observed during exercise at VT.

It is also the case that the perceptual measures (RPE and state attention) did not yield the pattern of results that we expected, leading to non-acceptance of H_2 . The associated 4 (Condition) \times 4 (Timepoint) interactions were non-significant, meaning that RPE and state attention did not change as expected in the epoch 4–16 min. It seems, accordingly, that although the combination of high levels of embodiment, presence and interactivity did not have a bearing on *what* participants felt, it did influence *how* they felt it (cf. Hardy & Rejeski, 1989). The practical implication is that technologies such as VR and 360° video can serve to “colour” the interpretation of fatigue during exercise at VT. Moreover, the present findings support previous empirical work indicating that measures of RPE and state attention are not phenomenologically isomorphic (see Razon et al., 2009).

Post-task measures of exercise enjoyment and remembered/forecasted pleasure provided compelling evidence that technologies associated with the greatest presence (i.e., VR and 360° video) elicited the most positive scores. Each of the associated effect sizes was large (η_p^2 range: .44–.53). There is an emerging body of neurophysiological work that

1 provides a mechanistic basis for the findings we observed in terms of post-task measures,
2 given the inverse relationship between prefrontal cortex activation and exercise-related affect
3 (see e.g., Jones & Ekkekakis, 2019; Jones & Wheat, 2023). Collectively, the findings of this
4 registered report offer strong support for the use of immersive technologies during bouts of
5 repetitive, submaximal exercise as a means by which to enhance participants' psychological
6 responses.

Journal Pre-proof

References

- 1
- 2 Aburto-Corona, J. A., Romero-Torres, J. A., Montero-Herrera, B., & Hutchinson, J. C.
- 3 (2023). Listening to fast-tempo music improves physical performance in recreational
- 4 swimmers. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, *94*, 578–585.
- 5 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2021.2024124>
- 6 Alvarez-Alvarado, S., Chow, G. M., Gabana, N. T., Hickner, R. C., & Tenenbaum, G. (2019).
- 7 Interplay between workload and functional perceptual–cognitive–affective responses:
- 8 An inclusive model. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, *41*(2), 107–118.
- 9 <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.2018-0336>
- 10 Barwood, M. J., Weston, N. J. V., Thelwell, R., & Page, J. (2009). A motivational music and
- 11 video intervention improves high-intensity exercise performance. *Journal of Sports*
- 12 *Science & Medicine*, *8*(3), 435–442.
- 13 Bigliassi, M., Greca, J. P. A., Barreto-Silva, V., Chierotti, P., Oliveira, A. R., & Altimari, L.
- 14 R. (2019). Effects of audiovisual stimuli on psychological and psychophysiological
- 15 responses during exercise in adults with obesity. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *37*(5),
- 16 525–536. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1514139>
- 17 Bigliassi, M., Karageorghis, C. I., Wright, M. J., Orgs, G., & Nowicky, A. V. (2017). Effects
- 18 of auditory stimuli on electrical activity in the brain during cycle ergometry.
- 19 *Physiology & Behavior*, *177*, 135–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2017.04.023>
- 20 Bird, J. M. (2020). The use of virtual reality head-mounted displays within applied sport
- 21 psychology. *Journal of Sport Psychology in Action*, *11*(2), 115–128.
- 22 <https://doi.org/10.1080/21520704.2018.1563573>
- 23 Bird, J. M., Hall, J., Arnold, R., Karageorghis, C. I., & Hussein, A. (2016). Effects of music
- 24 and music-video on core affect during exercise at the lactate threshold. *Psychology of*
- 25 *Music*, *44*(6), 1471–1487. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305735616637909>

- 1 Bird, J. M., & Karageorghis, C. I. (2020). A grounded theory of music-video use in an
2 exercise facility. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, *91*(3), 445–459.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2019.1680788>
- 4 Bird, J. M., Karageorghis, C. I., Baker, S. J., & Brookes, D. A. (2019). Effects of music,
5 video, and 360-degree video on cycle ergometer exercise at the ventilatory threshold.
6 *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, *29*, 1161–1173.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13453>
- 8 Bird, J. M., Karageorghis, C. I., Baker, S. J., Brookes, D. A., & Nowicky, A. V. (2021).
9 *Ready Exerciser One*: Effects of music and virtual reality on cycle ergometer
10 exercise. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, *26*, 15–32.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12445>
- 12 Bird, J. M., Smart, P. A., Harris, D. J., Phillips, L. A., Giannachi, G., & Vine, S. J. (2023). A
13 Magic Leap in tourism: Intended and realized experience of head-mounted augmented
14 reality in a museum context. *Journal of Travel Research*, *62*(7), 1427–1447.
15 <https://doi.org/10.1177/00472875221134031>
- 16 Borg, G. (1998). *Borg's perceived exertion and pain scales*. Human Kinetics.
- 17 Chow, E. C., & Etnier, J. L. (2017). Effects of music and video on perceived exertion during
18 high-intensity exercise. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, *6*(1), 81–88.
19 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSHS.2015.12.007>
- 20 Coolican, H. (2018). *Research methods and statistics in psychology*. Routledge.
- 21 Ekkekakis, P. (2003). Pleasure and displeasure from the body: Perspectives from exercise.
22 *Cognition and Emotion*, *17*(2), 213–239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930302292>
- 23 Ekkekakis, P. (2013). Pleasure from the exercising body: Two centuries of changing outlooks
24 in psychological thought. In P. Ekkekakis (Ed.), *Routledge handbook of physical*
25 *activity and mental health* (pp. 35–56). Routledge.

- 1 Ekkekakis, P., Hartman, M. E., & Ladwig, M. A. (2020). Affective responses to exercise. In
2 G. Tenenbaum & R. C. Eklund (Eds.), *Handbook of sport psychology* (4th ed., pp.
3 231–253). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119568124.CH12>
- 4 Ekkekakis, P., Parfitt, G., & Petruzzello, S. J. (2011). The pleasure and displeasure people
5 feel when they exercise at different intensities: Decennial update and progress
6 towards a tripartite rationale for exercise intensity prescription. *Sports Medicine*,
7 *41*(8), 641–671. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11590680-000000000-00000>
- 8 Ekkekakis, P., Zenko, Z., & Vazou, S. (2021). Do you find exercise pleasant or unpleasant?
9 The Affective Exercise Experiences (AFFEXX) questionnaire. *Psychology of Sport*
10 *and Exercise*, *55*, 101930. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PSYCHSPORT.2021.101930>
- 11 Field, A., Miles, J., & Field, Z. (2012). *Discovering Statistics Using R*. SAGE Publications.
- 12 Flavián, C., Ibáñez-Sánchez, S., & Orús, C. (2019). The impact of virtual, augmented and
13 mixed reality technologies on the customer experience. *Journal of Business Research*,
14 *100*, 547–560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2018.10.050>
- 15 Golding, J. F., Rafiq, A., & Keshavarz, B. (2021). Predicting individual susceptibility to
16 visually induced motion sickness by questionnaire. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, *2*,
17 Article e576871. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FRVIR.2021.576871>
- 18 Guérin, S. M. R., Karageorghis, C. I., Coeugnet, M. R., Bigliassi, M., & Delevoye-Turrell, Y.
19 N. (2023). Effects of auditory stimuli during submaximal exercise on cerebral
20 oxygenation. *Peer Community In Registered Report*.
21 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8324914>
- 22 Hagger, M. S. (2022). Developing an open science ‘mindset’. *Health Psychology and*
23 *Behavioral Medicine*, *10*(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21642850.2021.2012474>

- 1 Hardy, C. J., & Rejeski, W. J. (1989). Not what, but how one feels: The measurement of
2 affect during exercise. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, *11*(3), 304–317.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.11.3.304>
- 4 Harris, D. J., Bird, J. M., Smart, P. A., Wilson, M. R., & Vine, S. J. (2020). A framework for
5 the testing and validation of simulated environments in experimentation and training.
6 *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, Article e605. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00605>
- 7 Hartmann, T., Wirth, W., Schramm, H., Klimmt, C., Vorderer, P., Gysbers, A., Böcking, S.,
8 Ravaja, N., Laarni, J., Saari, T., Gouveia, F., & Sacau, A. M. (2016). The Spatial
9 Presence Experience Scale (SPES). *Journal of Media Psychology*, *28*(1), 1–15.
10 <https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-1105/A000137>
- 11 Haseler, T., & Haseler, C. (2022). Lack of physical activity is a global problem. *BMJ*, *376*,
12 o348. <https://doi.org/10.1136/BMJ.O348>
- 13 Heath, W. (2018). *Psychology research methods: Connecting research to students' lives*.
14 Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316105566>
- 15 Hutchinson, J. C., Jones, L., Vitti, S. N., Moore, A., Dalton, P. C., & O'Neil, B. J. (2018).
16 The influence of self-selected music on affect-regulated exercise intensity and
17 remembered pleasure during treadmill running. *Sport, Exercise, and Performance*
18 *Psychology*, *7*(1), 80–92. <https://doi.org/10.1037/spy0000115>
- 19 Hutchinson, J. C., & Karageorghis, C. I. (2013). Moderating influence of dominant
20 attentional style and exercise intensity on responses to asynchronous music. *Journal*
21 *of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, *35*(6), 625–643.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.35.6.625>
- 23
24
25

- 1 Hutchinson, J. C., Karageorghis, C. I., & Black, J. D. (2017). The Diabeates Project:
2 Perceptual, affective and psychophysiological effects of music and music-video in a
3 clinical exercise setting. *Canadian Journal of Diabetes*, *41*(1), 90–96.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjd.2016.07.009>
- 5 Jones, L., & Ekkekakis, P. (2019). Affect and prefrontal hemodynamics during exercise
6 under immersive audiovisual stimulation: Improving the experience of exercise for
7 overweight adults. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, *8*, 325–338.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSHS.2019.03.003>
- 9 Jones, L., Karageorghis, C. I., & Ekkekakis, P. (2014). Can high-intensity exercise be more
10 pleasant? Attentional dissociation using music and video. *Journal of Sport & Exercise*
11 *Psychology*, *36*(5), 528–541. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.2013-0251>
- 12 Jones, L., & Wheat, J. (2023). Green and pleasant lands: The affective and cerebral
13 hemodynamic effects of presence in virtual environments during exercise. *Perceptual*
14 *and Motor Skills*, *130*(2), 826–843. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00315125221146614>
- 15 Jones, L., & Zenko, Z. (2021). Strategies to facilitate more pleasant exercise experiences. In
16 Z. Zenko & L. Jones (Eds.), *Essentials of exercise and sport psychology: An open*
17 *access textbook* (pp. 242–270). Society for Transparency, Openness, and Replication
18 in Kinesiology.
- 19 Jones, L., & Zenko, Z. (2023). A systematic narrative review of extrinsic strategies to
20 improve affective responses to exercise. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, *5*,
21 Article e1186986. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1186986>
- 22 Kahneman, D. (1999). Objective Happiness. In D. Kahneman, E. Diener, & N. Schwarz
23 (Eds.), *Well-being: The foundations of hedonic psychology* (pp. 3–25). Russell-Sage.
24

- 1 Karageorghis, C. I., Bird, J. M., Hutchinson, J. C., Hamer, M., Delevoeye-Turrell, Y. N.,
2 Guérin, S. M. R., Mullin, E. M., Mellano, K. T., Parsons-Smith, R. L., Terry, V. R., &
3 Terry, P. C. (2021). Physical activity and mental well-being under COVID-19
4 lockdown: A cross-sectional multinational study. *BMC Public Health*, *21*(1), 988.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10931-5>
- 6 Karageorghis, C. I., Ekkekakis, P., Bird, J. M., & Bigliassi, M. (2017). Music in the exercise
7 and sport domain. In M. Lesaffre, P.-J. Maes, & M. Leman (Eds.), *The Routledge*
8 *Companion to Embodied Music Interaction* (1st ed., pp. 284–293). Routledge.
9 <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315621364-32>
- 10 Karageorghis, C. I., Jones, L., Howard, L. W., Thomas, R. M., Moulashis, P., & Santich, S. J.
11 (2021). When it HIITs, you feel no pain: Psychological and psychophysiological
12 effects of respite-active music in high-intensity interval training. *Journal of Sport &*
13 *Exercise Psychology*, *43*(1), 41–52. <https://doi.org/10.1123/JSEP.2019-0335>
- 14 Karageorghis, C. I., Kuan, G., Mouchlianitis, E., Payre, W., Howard, L. W., Reed, N., &
15 Parkes, A. M. (2022). Interactive effects of task load and music tempo on
16 psychological, psychophysiological, and behavioural outcomes during simulated
17 driving. *Ergonomics*, *65*(7), 915–932.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2021.2003872>
- 19 Kendzierski, D., & DeCarlo, K. J. (1991). Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale: Two validation
20 studies. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, *13*(1), 50–64.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.13.1.50>
- 22 Kocur, M., Kloss, M., Schwind, V., Wolff, C., & Henze, N. (2020). Flexing muscles in
23 virtual reality: Effects of avatars' muscular appearance on physical performance.
24 *Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play*, 193–
25 205. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3410404.3414261>

- 1 Lakens, D., & Caldwell, A. R. (2021). Simulation-based power analysis for factorial analysis
2 of variance designs. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*,
3 4(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245920951503>
- 4 Liao, Y., Chou, C.-P., Huh, J., Leventhal, A., & Dunton, G. (2017). Associations of affective
5 responses during free-living physical activity and future physical activity levels: An
6 ecological momentary assessment study. *International Journal of Behavioral*
7 *Medicine*, 24(4), 513–519. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12529-016-9626-z>
- 8 Lishner, D. A., Cooter, A. B., & Zald, D. H. (2008). Addressing measurement limitations in
9 affective rating scales: Development of an empirical valence scale. *Cognition and*
10 *Emotion*, 22(1), 180–192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930701319139>
- 11 Matsangidou, M., Ang, C. S., Mauger, A. R., Intarasirisawat, J., Otkhmezuri, B., &
12 Avraamides, M. N. (2019). Is your virtual self as sensational as your real? Virtual
13 Reality: The effect of body consciousness on the experience of exercise sensations.
14 *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 41, 218–224.
15 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PSYCHSPORT.2018.07.004>
- 16 Nevill, A., & Lane, A. M. (2007). Why self-report “Likert” scale data should not be log-
17 transformed. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 25(1), 1–2.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410601111183>
- 19 Pottratz, S. T., Hutchinson, J. C., Karageorghis, C. I., Mullin, E. M., & Zenko, Z. (2021).
20 Prime Movers: Effects of subliminal primes, music, and music video on psychological
21 responses to exercise. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 55(2), 112–122.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1093/ABM/KAAA036>
- 23
24

- 1 Razon, S., Basevitch, I., Land, W., Thompson, B., & Tenenbaum, G. (2009). Perception of
2 exertion and attention allocation as a function of visual and auditory conditions.
3 *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *10*(6), 636–643.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2009.03.007>
- 5 Rhodes, R. E., Boudreau, P., Josefsson, K. W., & Ivarsson, A. (2021). Mediators of physical
6 activity behaviour change interventions among adults: A systematic review and meta-
7 analysis. *Health Psychology Review*, *15*(2), 272–286.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2019.1706614>
- 9 Rhodes, R. E., & Kates, A. (2015). Can the affective response to exercise predict future
10 motives and physical activity behavior? A systematic review of published evidence.
11 *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, *49*(5), 715–731.
12 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-015-9704-5>
- 13 Rupp, M. A. (2024). Is it getting hot in here? The effects of VR headset microclimate
14 temperature on perceived thermal discomfort, VR sickness, and skin temperature.
15 *Applied Ergonomics*, *114*, 104128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2023.104128>
- 16 Russell, J. A. (1980). A circumplex model of affect. *Journal of Personality and Social*
17 *Psychology*, *39*(6), 1161–1178. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0077714>
- 18 Russell, J. A. (2009). Emotion, core affect, and psychological construction. *Cognition &*
19 *Emotion*, *23*(7), 1259–1283. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930902809375>
- 20 Santos, A. C., Willumsen, J., Meheus, F., Ilbawi, A., & Bull, F. C. (2023). The cost of
21 inaction on physical inactivity to public health-care systems: A population-
22 attributable fraction analysis. *The Lancet Global Health*, *11*(1), e32–e39.
23 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(22\)00464-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00464-8)
- 24
25

- 1 Schultchen, D., Reichenberger, J., Mittl, T., Weh, T. R. M., Smyth, J. M., Blechert, J., &
2 Pollatos, O. (2019). Bidirectional relationship of stress and affect with physical
3 activity and healthy eating. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, *24*(2), 315–333.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12355>
- 5 Slater, M. (2018). Immersion and the illusion of presence in virtual reality. *British Journal of*
6 *Psychology*, *109*(3), 431–433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjop.12305>
- 7 Stevens, C. J., Baldwin, A. S., Bryan, A. D., Conner, M., Rhodes, R. E., & Williams, D. M.
8 (2020). Affective determinants of physical activity: A conceptual framework and
9 narrative review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, Article e3366.
10 <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2020.568331>
- 11 Svebak, S., & Murgatroyd, S. (1985). Metamotivational dominance: A multimethod
12 validation of reversal theory constructs. *Journal of Personality and Social*
13 *Psychology*, *48*(1), 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.48.1.107>
- 14 Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2019). *Using multivariate statistics*. Pearson Education.
- 15 Tammen, V. V. (1996). Elite middle and long distance runners associative/dissociative
16 coping. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, *8*(1), 1–8.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10413209608406304>
- 18 Tenenbaum, G. (2001). A social-cognitive perspective of perceived exertion and exertion
19 tolerance. In R. N. Singer, H. A. Hausenblas, & C. Janelle (Eds.), *Handbook of sport*
20 *psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 810–822). Wiley.
- 21 Terry, P. C., Karageorghis, C. I., Curran, M. L., Martin, O. V., & Parsons-Smith, R. L.
22 (2020). Effects of music in exercise and sport: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological*
23 *Bulletin*, *146*(2), 91–117. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000216>


- 1 Warburton, D. E. R., Jamnik, V. K., Bredin, S. S. D., McKenzie, D. C., Stone, J., Shephard,
2 R. J., & Gledhill, N. (2011). Evidence-based risk assessment and recommendations
3 for physical activity clearance: An introduction. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and*
4 *Metabolism*, 36(S1), S1–S2. <https://doi.org/10.1139/h11-060>
- 5 Williams, D. M., Dunsiger, S., Jennings, E. G., & Marcus, B. H. (2012). Does affective
6 valence during and immediately following a 10-min walk predict concurrent and
7 future physical activity? *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 44(1), 43–51.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-012-9362-9>
- 9 Zeng, N., Pope, Z., & Gao, Z. (2017). Acute effect of virtual reality exercise bike games on
10 college students' physiological and psychological outcomes. *Cyberpsychology,*
11 *Behavior, and Social Networking*, 20(7), 453–457.
12 <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2017.0042>
- 13 Zenko, Z., Ekkekakis, P., & Ariely, D. (2016). Can you have your vigorous exercise and
14 enjoy it too? Ramping intensity down increases postexercise, remembered, and
15 forecasted pleasure. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 38(2), 149–159.
16 <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.2015-0286>

1 **Table 1**2 *Inferential Statistics for All Dependent Variables*

	<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	η_p^2
Affective Variables				
Affective Valence – Baseline				
Condition	0.40	3, 69	.751	.02
Affective Valence – Exercise				
Condition × Timepoint	1.96	9, 207	.046	.08
Condition	11.70	3, 69	< .001	.34
Timepoint	3.72	2, 37	.043	.14
Affective Valence – Rebound				
Condition × Timepoint	3.62	3, 69	.017	.14
Condition	8.66	3, 69	< .001	.27
Timepoint	0.54	1, 23	.470	.02
Perceptual Variables				
Perceived Exertion				
Condition × Timepoint	0.74	5, 108	.588	.03
Condition	0.18	3, 69	.908	.01
Timepoint	37.03	2, 38	< .001	.62
State Attention				
Condition × Timepoint	2.15	5, 109	.069	.09
Condition	9.29	2, 46	< .001	.29
Timepoint	7.63	2, 35	.004	.25
Exercise Enjoyment				
Condition	25.57	3, 69	< .001	.53
Remembered Pleasure				
Condition	18.38	3, 69	< .001	.44
Forecasted Pleasure				
Condition	19.98	3, 69	< .001	.47
Presence				
Condition	72.01	3, 69	< .001	.76

1 **Figure 1**2 *The Embodiment–Presence–Interactivity (EPI) Cube*

3



4

5


6 *Note.* The EPI Cube depicts a range of technologies according to three dimensions:7 Embodiment (z -axis), Presence (y -axis) and Interactivity (x -axis). Coloured circles represent

8 the technologies chosen for the present investigation. This enabled the construction of three

9 repeated planned contrasts: Embodiment (Vertex 1 [low] vs. Vertex 5 [high]), Presence

10 (Vertex 5 [low] vs. Vertex 7 [high]) and Interactivity (Vertex 7 [low] vs. Vertex 8 [high]).

11 Adapted from Flavián et al. (2019).

1 **Figure 2**2 *Visual Stimuli Used in Experimental Trials*


3

4 *Note.* In experimental trials, participants exercised at ventilatory threshold under conditions

5 that entailed use of television (a), augmented reality (b), 360° video (c), and virtual reality

6 (d). See Supplementary Material 3 for additional information pertaining to the affordances of


7 each technology.

1 **Figure 3**2 *Feeling Scale Responses Across Conditions*

3

4 *Note.* Error bars denote 95% CIs. Data points are dodged on the *x*-axis to prevent over-

5 plotting. TV = television, AR = augmented reality, 360° = 360° video, VR = virtual reality.

1 **Figure 4**2 *Feeling Scale Responses Across Conditions*

3


4 *Note.* Error bars denote 95% CIs. Data points are dodged on the *x*-axis to prevent over-

5 plotting. The dashed vertical line at 17.5 min represents the point at which the intensity of

6 exercise decreased from ventilatory threshold to 20% below ventilatory threshold. TV =

7 television, AR = augmented reality, 360° = 360° video, VR = virtual reality.

- 1 **Figure 5**
 2 *Exercise Enjoyment (a), Remembered Pleasure (b) and Forecasted Pleasure (c) Responses*
 3 *Across Conditions*



- 4
 5 *Note.* Each density plot is accompanied by the mean and 95% CI. TV = television, AR =
 6 augmented reality, 360° = 360° video, VR = virtual reality. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$.

Beyond Rubik: The Embodiment–Presence–Interactivity Cube Applied to Exercise

Highlights

- There is a need for evidence-based interventions to promote physical activity
- Audio-visual stimuli are commonly employed to enhance the exercise experience
- EPI Cube was used to examine the technological qualities of audio-visual devices
- Devices included television, augmented reality, 360° videos, and virtual reality
- We found strong support for the use of immersive technologies during exercise

Resubmitted: 24 May 2024

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Journal Pre-proof