

The assessment literacy of culturally and linguistically diverse students in the post-Covid hybrid environment

O'LEARY, Christine

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at: https://shura.shu.ac.uk/32365/


This document is the Presentation

Citation:

O'LEARY, Christine (2023). The assessment literacy of culturally and linguistically diverse students in the post-Covid hybrid environment. In: Higher Education Research Group webinar series., Online, 26 Jan 2023. London Metropolitan University. (Unpublished) [Conference or Workshop Item]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html




Session 3 | Developing the assessment

literacy of culturally and linguistically


diverse student in the post covid hybrid

environment to support student success



Dr Christine O'Leary

HERN Seminar – 12-1pm London Metropolitan University













Outline □ Introduction ☐ Summary of findings from the literature review and case studies ☐ Key design principles ☐ Implementation in 5 pilot modules (2 exemplars) ■ Next Steps: Evaluation ☐ Comments and questions













Introduction

Project aim


The project aims to promote and embed a change in practice to improve assessment literacy for all students, with particular attention to the needs of the linguistically and culturally diverse international student body

Introduction

Project objectives

- □To provide a set of evidence-based and research-led assessment design principles
- ☐ To offer exemplars where such principles have been successfully applied from within and outside the institution
- ☐ To give support in applying the principles to a small number of interested module teams at Stage 2 of the project.
- To disseminate outcomes through internal and external CPD and publications.









Introduction


Expected impact

- □Narrowing the BAME attainment gap
- Reduction in the number of cases of **academic** misconduct/ concerns involving international students
- □Improving satisfaction measures in MEQs, NSS (UG), PTES (PG)

Focus

- □ Studies, both pre and during Covid, have focused on the international student academic and cultural experience within the UK (Bamford, 2008, 2020; Foster, 2020)
- ☐ The BAME/BME students' attainment gap have also been explored, e.g. Berger and Wild (2017) calling for more inclusive approach to LTA.
- ☐ The **review** focuses on the role that **assessment design** can play in improving **assessment literacy** and students' **ability to engage** successfully in the process.












Some definitions

Assessment literacy can be defined as "the **ability** to **design**, **select**, **interpret**, and **use** assessment **results** appropriately for education decisions" (Quilter and Gallini 2000, 116).

Inclusive assessment refers to the **design** and **use** of **fair** and **effective** assessment **methods** and **practices** that enable **all** students to demonstrate what they know, understand, and can do (Kaur, 2017).

Multimodal assessment refers to a wide range of applications that **enable** students/ tutors to **share**, **comment**, **create**, and **discuss digital contents** via a range of medium such as text, visual, audio, tactile, gestural, and spatial representations. (Curwood, 2012; Silseth and Gilje, 2017).











key definitions: a starting point

An **inclusive** approach to assessment means designing assessment with **all students** in **mind** (Moriña, 2017; Evans, 2020).

In a **learner-centred curriculum**, assessment is deemed to be a **vehicle** for **learning** as well as **a measurement** of that **learning**. (Nicol and MacFarlane-Dick, 2006; Evans, 2013; O'Leary, 2014).











Key findings

The role of assessment **for** and **as** learning which incorporate **choice**, **formative tutor** & **peer feedback** in improving performance and skills(Boud, 1995; Boud & Falchikov, 2006, 2007; Benson & Brack, 2010)

The benefits of **involving** students in **assessment design** (e.g. developing rubrics/co-constructing assessment) (Grainger, 2021; Kaur, 2017; Kilgour et al., 2020; Andrews et al., 2018)

The importance of **scaffolding** the **learning/ assessment** process to improve **assessment literacy**, **reflection** and **student autonomy** independently and in **collaboration with peers** (e.g. online formative assessment/ e.portfolios) (e.g. Ma et al., 2021; Zou et al., 2021)











Key findings

Multi-modal assessment, can cater for a range of needs and learning preferences (e.g. Ross, Curwood & Bell, 2020; Cartner & Hallas, 2020)

The importance of considering the development of meta-affective knowledge and emotional intelligence when designing formative learning activities/assessment (Raccanello et al., 2022; O'Leary, 2014, 2018).

Effective learning from (and about) **assessment** is not just about tutor to student feedback but also the **ability** and **opportunities** to **self** and **peer** assess.(Sadler, 2010).











Key design principles

S

Scaffold the assessment task (and learning) through interrelated activities to promote assessment literacy e.g. online portfolios

ľ

u

Consider the cognitive, affective and behavioural domains when designing activities e.g conflict resolution in groupwork

C

Build in opportunities for **social**/ **collaborative** learning/ assessment **activities** through **multimodal** assessment (see definition)

ľ


u

Include a diagnostic self/ peer assessment as a starting point where possible.









g

C

e

m

e

n

Key design principles


Develop students evaluative judgment through self and peer assessment

Develop clear **criteria/rubric** and create **opportunities** for students **to apply these** e.g. self/peer assessment of work and/or co-creation of the rubric text

Create space for self and collaborative reflection

Create opportunities for engaging with interim feedback (self/peer or tutor)









Implementation examples

Module Academic and Professional Excellence (AF, BF, CF occurrences)

Reasons for changes	to
assessment	

To prepare and support a diverse international cohort better for the two assessment tasks. Currently, Task 1 requires students to undertake independent research and to select a company and a competitor and then analyse the CSR practices of those companies using academic models and theories as tools. Task 2 (a) requires students to prepare and deliver a group presentation. Task 2 (b) requires students to write an individual reflection.

Proposed changes to assessment

For Task 1, the following changes have been proposed:

In groups, the students will research and choose **individually an industry sector** – and **identify companies within the sector** – with the **guidance of seminar tutors**. The classes will be delivered in IT rooms which will facilitate this.

For Task 2, the following changes have been proposed:

Introduction of **peer assessment/feedback** during **the formative, practice**, Dragon's Den group presentations.

This would also help the students with their individual reflections.











Implementation examples

Module Academic and Professional Excellence (AF, BF, CF occurrences)

Assessment design protocols covered

(Delete as appropriate)

Scaffold the assessment task (and learning) through interrelated activities to promote assessment literacy e.g. online portfolios

Build in opportunities for social/ collaborative learning/ assessment activities through multimodal assessment (see definition)

Develop students' evaluative judgment through self and peer assessment.

Create space for self and collaborative reflection.

Create opportunities for engaging with interim feedback (self/ peer or tutor).












Implementation examples

Module	Strategic Marketing Management and Decision Making
Reasons for changes to assessment	Students struggle to understand and apply some of the key marketing concepts that are needed for this portfolio assessment.
Proposed changes to	Break-down assessment brief in-class to explain how to structure report.
	Provide exemplar template for assessment brief with bulleted list of what we would expect in each section (use this to demonstrate to students how metrics can inform the plan at different stages).
	Consider whether to refer students to examples online of marketing plans.
	Put students in learning sets at the start of the block delivery and allocate a fictional company to each set. Then arrange formative activities that support the set to populate the exemplar template step-by-step as they work through the teaching block.
	Learning sets share their group work at the end of the block for the purpose of peer group formative assessment.
	Revisit delivery of marketing metrics part of module.
	Students work on a company of their choice, as before, for the summative individual portfolio assessment and end that with a piece of self-reflection.



Next steps

Evaluation

The evaluation will use a mixed method approach:

- an evaluation **pre** and **post intervention** using an **assessment literacy measurement instrument** such as Smith et al's (2011).
- □ a qualitative questionnaire to gauge student's experience of the assessment post intervention in 22/23.
- The success of the interventions will also be evaluated through standard quantitative measures such as MEQs and student performance as reflected by their grade.











- Increased choice e.g. a selection of live project
- Peer support: Learning sets (group practice) & peer feedback/ assessment
- Tutor support: formative feedback/ exemplars for guidance
- Use of online portfolio-based assessment
- Increased opportunity for self-reflection
- Scaffolding through interrelated activities




Thank you for Listening






Questions and Comments?







References/bibliography

Click on the link to access the file: <u>here</u>





