

Photonuclear reactions with charged particles detection for nuclear astrophysics studies.

BRUNE, CR, MATEI, C <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2254-3853>, PAIN, SD and SMITH, Robin <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9671-8599>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/32255/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

BRUNE, CR, MATEI, C, PAIN, SD and SMITH, Robin (2023). Photonuclear reactions with charged particles detection for nuclear astrophysics studies. The European Physical Journal A, 59: 165. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See <u>http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html</u>

| Photonuclear reactions with charged particles | |
|---|--|
| detection for nuclear astrophysics studies | |
| C.R. Brune ¹ , C. Matei ^{2*} , S.D Pain ³ and R. Smith ^{4†} | |
| ¹ Ohio University, Athens, 45701, Ohio, USA. ^{2*} Extreme Light Infrastructure - Nuclear Physics, Horia Hulubei National Institute for R&D in Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, 077125, Romania. ³ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, 37831, Tennessee, USA. | |
| ⁴ Department of Engineering and Mathematics, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, S1 1WB, UK. | |
| *Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): Catalin.Matei@eli-np.ro; [†] These authors contributed equally to this work. | |
| Abstract | |
| Measurements of (γ, p) and (γ, α) photonuclear reaction cross sections are relevant for several nucleosynthesis scenarios, from the primordial Big Bang, to stellar burning, and the p-process. Studies of photonuclear reac- tion cross sections marked a steady development in the last 20 years with the advent of mono-energetic γ -ray beam facilities and improved detec- tion methods. Charged-particle detection from photon-induced reactions in solid targets is mainly achieved with silicon-strip detectors, while time projection chambers were developed for measurements with active gas targets. This review tracks the evolution of charged-particle detec- tion methods and highlights recent ${}^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t)^{4}\text{He}$ and ${}^{16}\text{O}(\gamma, \alpha)^{12}\text{C}$ cross section measurements using mono-energetic γ -ray beams. | |
| Introduction | |
| | |
| adjusting continue processing such as (n, n) (a. n) and (n, n) have implicate | |

Radiative capture processes such as (p,γ) , (α,γ) , and (n,γ) have implications in a wide range of astrophysical scenarios, from Big Bang nucleosynthesis to

047 helium burning and the final burning stages of a massive star's existence, to 048the p-process nucleosynthesis. Studying the inverse, photon-induced reactions, 049 has been recognized since the 1950's as a viable method for investigating some 050 of the puzzles in nuclear physics and astrophysics. The early work on photon-051induced reactions was reviewed by Strauch in 1953 [1], who defined the term 052"photonuclear reactions" as "any photon initiated nuclear transformation in 053which either one or many γ -rays, neutrons, protons, or aggregates of nucleons 054are emitted". More recent and comprehensive reviews of the entire photonu-055clear field are given by Zilges et al. [2] in 2022, Howell et al. [3] in 2021, and 056 Weller et al. [4] in 2009.

1057 The principle of detailed balance based on the notion of invariance under 1058 time reversal allows the calculation of the cross section $\sigma_{capture}$ for the capture 1059 A(x, γ)B processes to the ground state of nucleus B by measuring the cross 1060 section σ_{γ} for the inverse, photo-disintegration B(γ ,x)A reaction [5]:

 $\begin{array}{c} 061 \\ 062 \end{array}$

$$\sigma_{capture} = \frac{2(2j_B + 1)k_{\gamma}^2}{(2j_A + 1)(2j_x + 1)k_x^2}\sigma_{\gamma},\tag{1}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} 063\\ 064 \end{array}$

065 where x is the captured particle, j are the ground state spins, k_x^2 and k_γ^2 are 066 the wave numbers for capture and photo-disintegration, respectively ($k_x^2 =$ 067 $2\mu_{Ax}E_x\hbar^{-2}$, $k_\gamma^2 = E_\gamma^2\hbar^{-2}c^{-2}$).

The experimental photo-disintegration cross section for thin targets is givenby:

070

071

$$\sigma_{(\gamma,r)}(E_{\gamma}) = \frac{N_r(E_{\gamma})}{N_t \epsilon_r \Phi(E_{\gamma})},\tag{2}$$

072 where $N_r(E_{\gamma})$ is the number of detected reaction products, N_t the number of 073 target nuclei per unit area, ϵ_r the detection efficiency including the probability 074 for the reaction products to be absorbed in the target and the solid angle 075 correction, and $\Phi(E_{\gamma})$ is the integrated γ -ray beam intensity.

076 Experimentally, measurements of the photo-disintegration process can offer 077 some advantages over the radiative capture process. Applying the principle of 078detailed balance to two of the reactions discussed in this review, the radiative α -capture on ¹²C and on ³H, results in gains between 50 and 60 for the 079 080 photo-disintegration cross section. This increase in cross section amounts to a 081 considerable advantage in view of the low cross sections responsible for many 082nucleosynthesis processes. Another advantage of measurements with gamma 083beams is that the incident beam does not experience electronic energy loss as 084it passes through the target material.

085Radiative capture reaction rates are defined in many cases by a combi-086 nation of ground state and excited states contributions in the final nucleus. 087 A measurement of a photo-disintegration reaction cross section, where the 088 target nucleus is always in the ground state, only yields directly the cross 089 section for the time-reversed process of radiative capture into the ground 090 state. Photo-disintegration measurements are thus most useful for constrain-091ing the astrophysical rate if the ground state contribution is larger than the 092 contribution from the excited states.

Measurements of photon-induced reaction cross sections with emission and 093 detection of charged particles were reported since the 1970's. While the detec-094 tion of photon scattering, neutron emission, or activation is simplified by the 095 long range of the detected radiations, charged-particles detection requires the 096 use of targets and detectors inside a vacuum chamber or the use of an active 097 target. Detection of the reaction products from the photo-disintegration mainly 098 of lighter nuclei started at bremsstrahlung facilities using solid targets and 099 surface barrier silicon detectors in late 70's [6], with several early experiments 100 presented in Section 2.1. Time projection chambers, conventional instru-101 ments in experimental nuclear physics, have been used for photo-disintegration 102measurements with gaseous targets since early 2000's [7, 8]. These early exper-103iments together with the development of an optical TPC (O-TPC) for the 104study of the ${}^{16}O(\gamma, \alpha){}^{12}C$ reaction are described in Sections 3.1-3.3. 105

A proof-of-principle experiment using a bubble chamber for measuring the 106 ${}^{15}N(\alpha,\gamma){}^{19}F$ through the inverse ${}^{19}F(\gamma,\alpha){}^{15}N$ was reported by Ugalde et al 107 [9] in 2013 in an experiment at the High Intensity γ -ray Source (HI γ S). The 108 technique makes use of a superheated liquid to produce visible bubbles when a 109charged particle deposits energy in the liquid target. The detector was deemed 110 insensitive to the γ -ray beam at a level of one part in 10⁹. No further uses 111 of the method or new devices were reported since 2013. However, a detector 112based on related concepts has been developed for neutron detection [10]. 113

In the past four decades, photon sources have steadily advanced, 114 from neutron and particle induced γ -ray emission from various nuclei, to 115bremsstrahlung and present-day tunable, mono-energetic γ -ray facilities. Since 116the early 2000s, the High Intensity γ -ray Source (HI γ S) operated by the Trian-117 gle Universities National Laboratory (TUNL) has been the world-leading γ -ray 118 beam facility, producing an intense $(10^3 \text{ photons/s/eV})$, quasi mono-energetic 119(bandwidth of 3-5%), maximum energy of 120 MeV, highly polarized γ -ray 120source dedicated to low- and medium-energy nuclear physics research [4]. The 121 γ -ray beams are produced via the laser Compton backscattering process which 122involves colliding photons generated by a free-electron laser with high-energy 123electrons in a storage ring. 124

The Variable Energy Gamma (VEGA) System, which in under implementa-125tion at Extreme Light Infrastructure - Nuclear Physics (ELI-NP) in Romania, 126127uses a storage ring for an Inverse Compton Scattering (ICS) source. The storage ring is filled by a warm linear accelerator with a maximum energy of 800 128MeV. A laser system drives a high-finesse optical cavity to resonantly build-129up pulsed laser power. Mono-energetic photon beams are produced via laser 130Compton backscattering of laser pulses off the relativistic electron beam in the 131132storage ring. The high-brilliance narrow-bandwidth γ -ray beam will be delivered with energies up to 19.5 MeV, a spectral density higher than 5×10^3 133photons/s/eV, bandwidth of 0.5%, and linear polarization higher than 95% 134135[11]. The nuclear astrophysics program with VEGA at ELI-NP includes studies of (γ, p) and (γ, α) photo-disintegration reactions on light nuclei for Big 136

 $137 \\ 138$

139 Bang nucleosynthesis (²H, ⁶⁻⁷Li), heavier nuclei for stellar burning (¹⁶O, ¹⁹F, 140 ²²Ne, ²⁴Mg) and p-process (⁷⁴Se, ⁷⁸Kr, ⁸⁴Sr, ⁹²Mo, ⁹⁶Ru) [12–14].

141 In this paper we review the development and look at the future of pho-142 tonuclear reactions with charged particle detection from solid and gas targets 143 by highlighting the main detection instruments and several reactions relevant 144 to nuclear astrophysics.

145

¹⁴⁶ 2 Measurements of charged particles from solid targets

 $155 \\ 156$

¹⁵⁰ 157 2.1 Previous photon-induced measurements with solid targets

159 Very few photon-induced cross section measurements with detection of charged 160 particles, relevant to nuclear astrophysics, were reported in the last 50 161 years. Experiments were carried out since the late 70's to study the photo-162 disintegration mainly of lighter nuclei by using bremsstrahlung photons or 163 neutron induced γ -ray emission and various types of surface barrier silicon 164 detectors.

165Junghans et al. [6] reported results in 1979 from the photo-disintegration of 166both ⁶Li and ⁷Li using a bremsstrahlung beam from the University of Giessen 16765 MeV LINAC. Isotopically enriched lithium metal targets were rolled into a 168thickness of 1.5 mg/cm^2 . Measurements were performed with endpoint ener-169gies up to 35 MeV for ⁶Li and 50 MeV for ⁷Li. The excitation function was 170determined using two-body kinematics from the measured triton and α -particle 171energy. The uncertainties in the data were large as the thresholds in the ΔE sil-172icon telescopes used for detecting the tritons and α -particles were 1.7 MeV and 1735 MeV respectively. No systematic uncertainties were listed for the ${}^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t){}^{4}\text{He}$ 174reaction cross section from Ref. [6], although a 15% uncertainty was reported 175for the ${}^{6}\text{Li}(\gamma, t){}^{3}\text{He}$ reaction measured during the same experiment.

176Bremsstrahlung beams with an end-point energy of 14 MeV, generated 177by the MAinz-MIcrotron (MAMI), was used by Zieger et al. to study the 178differential cross section of the ${}^{2}H(\gamma,p)n$ reaction [15]. The target consisted of 179a stack of two pieces of deuterated polyethylene $(23.7\% \text{ concentration of }^2\text{H})$ 180foils with a total thickness of 9.76 mg/cm^2 . The protons were magnetically 181deflected from the photon beam and focused on a surface barrier detector. 182The surface barrier detector was a circular, partially depleted detector with 183a depletion depth of about 300 μ m allowing the detection of protons with 184

energies up to 6 MeV. The ${}^{2}H(\gamma,p)n$ differential cross section was determined 185 at 10.74 MeV photon energy averaged over a 4.6 MeV range. The results from 186 these measurements were published in 1986. 187

Another photo-disintegration measurement on the ⁷Li isotope was reported 188 by Likhachev et al. [16] in 2005 with monochromatic photons from neutron 189 capture reactions at the IPEN/CNEN-SP IEA-R1 research reactor in Brazil. 190The incident photon spectrum available with the selected targets was between 191 6.4 to 6.7 MeV and 8.5 to 9 MeV. Metallic natural lithium foils of 50 and 100 192 μ m were placed inside a vacuum chamber. Only tritons were detected in seven 193silicon strip detectors covering angles between 30 and 150°. The α -particles lost 194significant energy in the target and could not be separated from background. 195The uncertainties in the data were probably larger than those reported in the 196measurement of Ref. [6] as no α -particles were detected and the tritons were 197 also produced by the ${}^{6}Li(n,t){}^{4}He$ reaction. No systematic uncertainties were 198listed for the ${}^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t){}^{4}\text{He}$ reaction cross section from Likhachev *et al.* [16] 199but a good agreement was reported with results of Junghans et al. [6] in the 200overlapping energy range. 201

2.2 Evolution and status of silicon detector arrays

204Since the 1980s, the availability of large-area ion-implanted silicon detectors 205[17], which can be fabricated with near-arbitrary segmentation, have enabled 206the construction of large-solid-angle arrays with high segmentation. Such large-207area detectors are critical to achieve the high detection efficiency needed for 208experiments with relatively weak beam intensities ($< 10^{6-7}$), common to both 209radioactive ion beams and gamma beams. The segmentation is necessary for 210experiments with strong kinematic shifts, the correlation and kinematic recon-211struction of reactions with multiple particles in the exit channel, and to reduce 212the amplitude of electron-induced signals on a per channel basis (a common 213issue due to the beta decay of radioactive beams, and Compton-scattered 214electrons from gamma beams). 215

The Louvain-Edinburgh Detector Array (LEDA) [18] was one of the first 216arrays of highly-segmented large-area silicon detectors, built around custom-217designed model YY1 detectors from Micron Semiconductor Ltd (MSL), and 218custom front-end electronics. These 8-fold annular detectors, which are seg-219mented to provide polar angle in 16 strips with 5-mm pitch, taking advantage 220of the azimuthal symmetry of two-body reactions, have subsequently been 221adopted in other arrays deployed in both planar and tilted configurations, 222 such as SIDAR [19], YLSA [20], TUDA [21] and TECSA [22]. More recently, 223tilted arrays have been developed of MSL MMM detectors, such as CAKE 224[23] and SABRE [24]. These MMM detectors have a larger active area and 225slightly larger strip pitch (6.4 mm) than YY1 detectors, but also have 8-fold 226segmentation on the n-type face of the detectors, providing almost and order-227of-magnitude improvement in azimuthal angular resolution. These detectors 228are available with thin entrance windows, making them well suited to the 229

230

 $\begin{array}{c} 202 \\ 203 \end{array}$

measurement of low-energy particles, such as particle decay channels followingtransfer reactions.

233Such annular arrays are inherently well-suited to measure at the most 234forward and backward angles with respect to the beam axis. To achieve 4π 235coverage, a different geometry of detector is needed to cover the angles closer 236to 90 degrees. A neutral geometry for this angular range is a barrel type con-237figuration, with detectors arranged in one or more rings around the beam axis. 238A special case of this is a four-sided box configuration, which can provide high 239solid-angle coverage at the expense of limited target-detector distance. This 240has the advantage of being compact enough for operation within the tight 241confines of other detectors (such as γ -ray detectors arrays), but comes at the 242expense of increased contributions of the finite beam-spot size to the angular 243uncertainty in kinematic reconstructions.

244 The cost of such 4π large-solid angle arrays is electronics channel count 245 which, depending on the degree of segmentation required, can range between 246 hundreds or thousands of channels. Over the last two decades or so, two 247 approaches have been taken to address this problem.

248The first approach is via large-area resistive strip detectors, which have 249opened the possibility of near- 4π silicon detector arrays with manageable chan-250nel counts (hundreds) while maintaining the good ($\sim 1 \text{ mm}$) position resolution 251to be matched to the beam optics of tandem and LINAC facilities. In this 252manner, mm-position resolution can be obtained over the length of a strip of 253many centimeters in total length using just two electronics channels, leading 254to a channel saving of 1-2 orders of magnitude compared to mm-pitch segmentation across this length. The position resolution aids with the reconstruction 255256of reactions with large kinematic shifts, and the kinematic correlation between 257multiple particles, though does not help with the limitation of electron-induced 258signals.

259The Oak Ridge Rutgers University Barrel Array (ORRUBA) [25] is a quasi-260 4π array of silicon detectors with about 1 degree resolution in polar angle. It 261was initially designed around a barrel configuration of resistive-strip detectors, 262oriented symmetrically around the target co-axially with the beam direction, 263optimized for measurements with tandem-quality beams. Two rings of detectors, each of 12 X3 model detectors from Micron Semiconductor Ltd (MSL). 264265These detectors have an active area of 75 x 40 mm, and are divided into four 10-266mm wide resistive strips running along the 75-mm length. They are available 267in thicknesses up to 1000 um, sufficient to stop protons of almost 12 MeV. For 268particle identification, transmission detectors can be added to form chargedparticle telescopes, using BB10 detectors from MSL. These are the same active 269270area as X3 detectors, but divided into 8 non-resistive strips and are typically 271 $65 \,\mu\mathrm{m}$ thick. The double-ringed barrel covers approximately 45 to 135 degrees, 272with 70-80% azimuthal coverage. More recently, the X3 detectors have been 273replaced with sX3 detectors, which are identical except for 4-way segmentation 274on the n-type contact of the detector. This level of segmentation reduces the 275

capacitance per n-type contact by a factor of four, leading to improved resolution by a comparable factor, with high-resolution signals not subject to the277lution by a comparable factor, with high-resolution signals not subject to the278ballistic deficit issues typically associated with readout of the resistive strips.279The barrel amounts to 144 channels per ring of position sensitive detectors, or280480 channels for two rings of telescopes.281

The forward and backward regions not subtended by the barrel were ini-tially covered by arrays of YY1 detectors, such as in Fig. 1. More recently, to facilitate more compact setups that fit within large germanium γ -ray detec-tor arrays (see Section 2.4), Gammasphere and GRETINA, custom endcaps of quadrant-style annular detectors, model QQQ5 from MSL, have been adopted. Each quadrant is segmented into 32 annular strips on the junction face of the detector, with a thin ($\sim 100 \text{ nm}$) entrance window for minimal dead-layer effects on low-energy particles. The n-type face is divided into four radial contacts, providing ~ 12 deg resolution in azimuthal angle. These detectors are designed with minimal frames and inbuilt flat-flex cables to maximize solid-angle coverage, and can be stacked in telescopes of arbitrary numbers of layers.



Fig. 1CAD model of ORRUBA, with two rings of telescopes, comprised of BB10 and sX3317detectors, and a single endcap comprised of a lampshade arrangement of YY1 detectors (far318left of image). All signals are brought out to the preamplifier mounting ring at the far right,319from which the array is mechanically supported.320

323 ELISSA (ELI Silicon Strip Array) is a silicon detector array developed at 324 ELI-NP for nuclear astrophysics studies using γ -ray beams. The array consists 325 of 35 X3 position-sensitive silicon-strip detectors (1000 μ m) arranged into a 326 three-ring barrel configuration [12, 26]. The angular coverage is extended by 327 using two assemblies of four QQQ3 segmented end-cap detectors (300 and 500 328 μ m).

329 The second approach to achieve a high-solid angle quasi- 4π array with 330 sufficient angular resolution is to highly segment the barrel detectors. Instru-331menting arrays with such large channel counts with conventional electronics 332 is cost-prohibitive. Instead, Application-Specific Integrated Circuits (ASICs) 333 have been developed to achieve cost effective high-density electronics for 334 large-channel-count arrays. The HINP-16 ASICs [27] have been developed at 335 Washington University initially for use instrumenting the High Resolution 336Array (HIRA) [28], a \sim 2000 channel array of twenty charged-particle telescopes 337 backed by CsI detectors aimed at reactions using in-flight RIBs at intermediate 338 energies. The HINP-16 ASICs are based around a 16-channel integrated circuit 339 that incorporates charge-sensitive preamplifiers, shaping and peak sampling, 340 timing and discriminator circuits, ultimately providing multiplexed signals to 341 an off-chip pipelined ADC.

342 The superORRUBA array [29] utilizes custom-designed BB15 detectors 343 from MSL, instrumented using the HINP-16 ASICs. These detectors have the 344 same active area and mounting footprint as the X3/sX3 detectors, but instead 345of employing position-sensitive strips using resistive charge division, the 75-346mm length of the detector is divided into 64 \sim 1.3 mm strips. The n-type 347 face of the detector is divided into four 10-mm wide contacts to maintain 348 the same azimuthal resolution of an X3/sX3 detector. This approach provides sufficient position resolution that does not rely on resistive strips, with their 349 350associated position-dependent energy thresholds and ballistic deficit issues 351(non-linear energy response due to position-dependent signal risetime varia-352tions), but at the expense of 68 vs 12 channels per detector. A complete ring of 353 BB15 detectors requires 816 electronics channels, as opposed to 144 channels 354for the equivalent ring of X3 detectors. A full two-ring array of BB15 tele-355scopes totals 1820 channels. Though originally developed for experiments at 356the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility, superORRUBA has more recently 357 been employed as the detector for the JENSA supersonic gas-jet target [30, 31] 358 at the ReA3 Facility at the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, 359now the Facility for Rare Isotope Beams (FRIB), for measurements of (α, p) 360 reactions for nuclear astrophysics.

361

$^{362}_{363}$ 2.3 Recent $^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t)^{4}\text{He}$ measurement at HI γ S

The ⁷Li(γ, t)⁴He ground-state cross section was recently measured at High Intensity γ -ray Source (HI γ S) between $E_{\gamma} = 4.4$ and 10 MeV [32]. This experiment marks the first time a large-area silicon-strip detector array was used for detecting charged particles from a photo-disintegration reaction induced by a mono-energetic γ -ray beam.

The ⁷Li(γ, t)⁴He measurement is relevant for solving the disagreement between the experimental and theoretical capture cross section in the mirror α -capture reactions ${}^{3}\mathrm{H}(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}\mathrm{Li}$ and ${}^{3}\mathrm{He}(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}\mathrm{Be}$. While for ${}^{3}\mathrm{He}(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}\mathrm{Be}$ the theoretical calculations are in agreement with recent measurements [33, 34], there is a 15-20% difference [35, 36] between the calculated capture cross section for the mirror ${}^{3}H(\alpha, \gamma){}^{7}Li$ reaction and the experimental data of Brune *et al.* [37].



Fig. 2 Photo of the setup for the ${}^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t)^{4}$ He measurement at HI γ S. The vacuum chamber contains two lampshade configurations of YY1 detectors of the SIDAR array, symmetrically mounted upstream and downstream of the target. Beam enters from the right, via an extended pipe upstream with an entrance window to the vacuum system, shielded from the setup by a lead castle.

The photo-disintegration of ⁷Li generated back-to-back tritons and α par-ticles which were detected in the SIDAR array of segmented silicon-strip detectors. Thin targets of natural LiF (300 and 600 $\mu g/cm^2$), evaporated onto 1.3 μ m-thick mylar backing, were used to enable both the α and triton to escape the target and be detected. SIDAR was assembled in a lampshade configuration with 12 YY1 detectors of 300, 500, and 1000 μ m thickness dis-tributed between two hemispheres, mounted in the ORRUBA vacuum chamber as shown in Fig. 2. A thin (1.25-inch diameter) entrance window was used, mounted onto the end of a pipe ~ 1.5 m upstream of the target, and shielded by a lead castle. The choice of detector thicknesses was constrained by SIDAR detector-pool availability, but also enabled a determination of the magnitude of beam-induced backgrounds in different thicknesses of silicon detector. This symmetrical detector arrangement enabled back-to-back detection of the α and

triton ejectiles, enabling energy, angle and timing cuts to be placed on the two
correlated particles. Such coincidence requirements aid substantially in separating signals of interest from beam-induced electron backgrounds, with a 1-2
orders of magnitude reduction in the electron-induced backgrounds, as in Fig.
3.



450 **Fig. 3** Summed energy spectrum from SIDAR detectors from the ${}^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t){}^{4}\text{He}$ experiment, 451 with no geometric conditions (black), with a back-to-back detector coincidence (red) and 452 a back-to-back strip coincidence (green). These spatial cuts suppress the uncorrelated elec-453 tron backgrounds, with negligible loss of the genuinely coincident ejectiles from ${}^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t){}^{4}\text{He}$ 454

455

456 The ${}^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t)^{4}\text{He}$ ground state cross section was calculated using the num-457 ber of ${}^{7}\text{Li}$ nuclei per unit area in the LiF layer, the number of detected α -triton 458 coincidences corrected for the detection efficiency, and the integrated γ -ray 459 beam intensity as described by Eq. 2. While α -triton coincidences were clearly 460 identified in all detectors for γ -ray beam energies above 6 MeV, at lower ener-461 gies they can only be separated from the beam-induced background in the 462 $300 \ \mu m$ detectors (selection procedures described in detail in [32]). The beam-463 induced background recorded by the silicon detectors originates from Compton 464 scattering in materials in, around, and upstream from the vacuum chamber. 465The γ -ray beam intensity was primarily determined by activation of ¹⁹⁷Au 466 foils [38, 39] at 9 and 10 MeV and scaled at lower energies from relative mea-467 surements using the $d(\gamma,n)p$ reaction and a thin plastic scintillator (details on 468 activation and $d(\gamma,n)$ measurements in [32]). There was very good agreement 469between the γ -ray beam intensity determined by these methods and the values 470reported from the $HI\gamma S$ accelerator parameters. The main sources of system-471 atic uncertainties for the calculated ground state cross section were the target 472thickness and homogeneity estimated at 10%, the selection procedure for the 473 coincidences between 5% (above 6 MeV) and 10% (below 6 MeV), the solid 474angle correction for the SIDAR configuration at 5%, and the integrated γ -ray 475beam intensity with uncertainties between 4.5% (at 9 and 10 MeV) and 10%476(at 4.4 and 4.51 MeV). The cross-section measurements reported in [32] are in 477478disagreement with both earlier data sets [6, 16] if only the reported statistical uncertainties are considered. 479

The experimental astrophysical S factor for ${}^{3}\text{H}(\alpha, \gamma){}^{7}\text{Li}_{g.s.}$ plotted in Fig. 480 4, calculated from the experimental data using the principle of detailed balance 481 from Eq. 1, was analyzed within the R-matrix formalism with the AZURE2 482 code [40, 41]. 483

The experimental data in Fig. 4 are fairly well reproduced by the R-matrix 484 result over the entire energy range. The extrapolated astrophysical S factor 485also agrees well with the lower energy experimental data of Brune *et al.* [37]. 486 This agreement supports the reasonability of the *R*-matrix extrapolation below 487 the resonant state at $E_x = 4.652$ MeV in ⁷Li, based on the fitting at the higher 488 energy range. However, the agreement of the present extrapolated result with 489 490the data of Brune *et al.* should be treated within the uncertainties of the lowest 491 experimental data points and doesn't solve the disagreement between Brune et al. and the theoretical models [35, 36]. 492

Performance of silicon-strip detectors in γ -ray beam was demonstrated 493 in this experiment. The risks from background-induced electron response in 494 silicon-strip detectors is substantial and must be minimized through careful 495 design of the experiment. Available options include the provision for thinner detectors and minimizing the electron-induced background from Compton 497 scattering in materials in and around the chamber as discussed in Section 2.4.

A new measurement of the ${}^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t)^{4}\text{He}$ ground-state cross section between 499 $E_{\gamma} = 3.7$ and 6 MeV was approved by the HI γ S Program Advisory Committee 500 and is scheduled to be carried out in Spring 2023. 501

502 503

504

505



530 Fig. 4 *R*-matrix fit to the ground state *S* factor data from experimental data of Munch et 531 al. [32] (Present data). Direct contribution and individual resonance contributions (dotted 532 lines), total contribution (solid line).

⁵³³ ₅₃₄ **2.4** Challenges and future with silicon detector arrays ₅₃₅ and γ -ray beams

536A fundamental limitation of using charged-particle detectors located outside of 537 the target is that the reaction products must be able to get out of the target. 538Ideally, the energy distribution of these product will form a peak (or peaks) to 539help kinematically distinguish them from backgrounds (see below). However, 540due to the electronic energy losses experienced by charged particles traversing 541the target, these distributions are necessarily spread out due to interactions at 542different depths in the target. The acceptable energy spread then places a limit 543on the target thickness $[N_t \text{ in Eq. } (2)]$. A further challenge is that the most 544astrophysically-interesting energies are usually the lowest ones measurable, 545where the energy losses are the largest. 546

The potential impact of electron-induced backgrounds in silicon detector arrays operated at γ -ray beam infrastructures can be as high as compromising all data below 1 MeV. As electron signals are proportional to detector thickness, long range energetic electrons will create larger signals in thicker detectors. Therefore, the solution is using detectors as thin as needed to barely 552 stop the particles of interest for a given experiment. However, most exper-553iments involve the measurement of an excitation function using a range of 554gamma beam energies, so detector choice is limited by the energies associated 555with the highest energy point on the excitation function. For experiments on 556light targets, such as the ${}^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t)^{4}\text{He}$ experiment, both reaction products from 557photo-disintegration can escape the target and be detected. This can provide 558 substantial (1-2 orders of magnitude) suppression of backgrounds, which are 559not inherently spatially correlated. However, for most target nuclides, only the 560ejected light-ions (protons, alphas) can be detected, as the low-energy heavy 561recoil stops in the target volume. For (γ, p) and (γ, α) reactions at sufficient 562energies, particle identification using charged particle telescopes can be used 563to separate protons and alphas from the background electron signals. However, 564at very low gamma energies, the ejectiles will have insufficient energy to fully 565penetrate a transmission detector. However, in this limit, a thicker detector 566can still be employed behind the thinner detector to act as a veto for electrons 567 which pass through both detectors (which includes those from the target, and 568from scattering on elements close to the beam axis). 569

Based on the data already obtained at $HI\gamma S$ there is clear need for sepa-570 ration between the light-ions and electrons, in particular in the region of 300 571keV to 1 MeV deposited energy. Pulse shape discrimination (PSD) algorithms 572could extend conventional, previously demonstrated Pulse Shape Analysis for 573light-ion particle identification [42]. Future PSD developments should improve 574PSD algorithms for both rise-time and current techniques for electron light-575ion discrimination in silicon. It may also be possible to use the time of flight 576of the charged particles to assist with particle identification and background 577 reduction, depending on the time structure of the photon facility. 578

A number of other steps can be taken to minimize the electron-induced 579 backgrounds from Compton scattering in detectors and other materials in and 580 around the chamber, including careful design of upstream vacuum flanges and 581 vacuum chamber walls, and the location and material of any entrance windows 582 used for the gamma beam into the vacuum system. This is critical for the detection of the low-energy reaction products observed in the photo-disintegration 584 of ⁷Li and other photon-induced breakup reactions. 585

Beyond charged-particle-singles experiments, coupled silicon and germa-586nium arrays could be used for particle-gamma coincidence experiments. There 587 has been substantial recent progress in this field, with the development of 588TIARA [43], TREX [44] and HI-TREX [45], and SHARC [46] - all arrays 589utilizing Clover germanium detectors, necessitating relatively compact silicon 590setups. GODDESS [47] is a coupling of the ORRUBA to the large germanium 591detector arrays Gammasphere and GRETINA. These arrays have larger inter-592nal volumes (around 30-cm spheres), which allow for larger silicon arrays to be 593implemented inside. Though there is insufficient space to mount and instru-594595ment large, very highly segmented detectors such as superORRUBA, there is sufficient room for a large resistive-strip array such as ORRUBA. GODDESS 596

599 provides ~ 1 degree polar angle resolution from ~ 15 to ~ 165 degrees, with bet-600 ter than 80% azimuthal efficiency. GODDESS is depicted in Fig. 5 and has been 601 operational with Gammasphere and GRETINA for experiments at ATLAS at 602 Argonne National Laboratory. A particle-gamma setup along these lines could 603 be implemented for gamma-induced measurements. Substantial shielding of 604 the array would be needed to protect from Compton scattering sources. 605



628 Fig. 5 CAD model of GODDESS implemented with GRETINA.629

⁶³⁴ ⁶³⁵ ⁶³⁶ ⁶³⁷ ⁶³⁶ active targets

An overview of photonuclear experiments with detection of charged particlesfrom active-gas targets, specifically using various types of Time ProjectionChambers (TPCs), is given is this section.

641 One of the most promising experimental techniques that can be used with 642 γ -ray beams is to utilize an *active-target* time projection chamber (TPC) 643 detector. The use of TPCs for the measurement of photon-induced reactions is 644 very similar to the use of TPCs for neutron-induced reactions, since the beam

is neutral in both cases. Noteworthy examples TPCs that have been utilized 645for the measurement of neutron-induced reactions are the Neutron Induced 646 Fission Fragment Tracking Experiment (NIFTE) [50] and the Texas Active 647 Target (TexAT) [51, 52]. These detectors, typically have a solid angle coverage 648 approaching 4π , and permit the use of thick, gaseous targets without wors-649 ening the energy resolution. The operation principle is common among these 650 detectors, so a general introduction will be given here, and details of specific 651detectors will be provided in the following sections where necessary. 652

Upon a photon-induced interaction inside the gaseous medium, the reaction 653 products propagate through the gas. In doing so, they ionise molecules along 654their tracks, and lose energy according to their characteristic Bragg curves. The 655whole gas system is typically kept inside a highly uniform electric field, under 656the influence of which, the ionisation electrons drift towards an anode plane. 657 This is followed by an electron multiplication stage to produce a measurable 658signal. The spatial distribution of electrons is measured, along with their times 659 of arrival at the readout plane, and are used to reconstruct the track in three 660 dimensions. 661

Measurements of the photo-disintegration of ³He and ⁴He made at the 662 National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) are 663 first discussed in section 3.1. The quest to measure the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma){}^{16}O$ reaction 664 cross section is briefly reviewed in Section 3.2, followed by a review of a recent 665 experiment to measure the ${}^{16}O(\gamma, \alpha){}^{12}C$ cross section in Section 3.3. Recent 666 instrument developments and prospects for lowering the uncertainty on the 667 ${}^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma){}^{16}O$ reaction cross section are discussed in section 3.4. 668

3.1 Previous photon-induced measurements with active-gas targets

Photon-induced studies with detection of charged particles from active-gas 673 targets are quite recent, starting only a few years after the beginning of the 674 third millennium. Yet they have proven to be a valuable tool for various studies 675 in nuclear astrophysics. 676

The Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuz'min (GZK) horizon of helium [53, 54] is a key 677 parameter in determining the contribution of Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays 678 (UHECRs) with directions pointing to nearby sources. Analytical and numeri-679 cal estimates of this parameter, along with Monte Carlo simulations of UHECR 680 propagation are typically based on fits to helium photo-disintegration cross-681 section measurements and rely on a precise description of the giant dipole 682 resonance (GDR) near threshold. 683

The first simultaneous measurements of the two-and three-body photo-684 disintegration cross-sections of ⁴He in this GDR energy region were performed 685 at the National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology 686 (AIST) in 2005 using a pulsed-laser Compton backscattering (LCS) photon 687 beam [7]. At AIST, the photon source was developed by using the 800 MeV 688 electron storage ring TERAS and an external Nd:YAG laser in 1985 [55] and 689 covers a 2–40 MeV γ -ray energy range. 690

669

670

671

The charged fragments from the photo-disintegration of ⁴He were detected 691 692 using a Time Projection Chamber(TPC) with a 4π acceptance and 100% effi-693 ciency [56] meaning that particle tracks could be observed on an event-by-event 694 basis. The TPC was contained in a vessel of 244 mm inner diameter and 400 695 mm length and a gas mixture of natural helium (80%) and CH_4 (20%) with 696 a total pressure of 1000 Torr was utilized as the active target. The TPC consisted of a $60 \times 60 \text{ mm}^2$ drift region with a length of 250 mm, and a multiwire 697 698 proportional counter (MWPC) read-out plane. Electrons resulting from ioni-699 sation of the charged particle tracks drift in the uniform electric field towards 700 the read-out plane. The MWPC consisted of one anode plane, sandwiched between two cathode planes. Each plane had 30 wires with a spacing of 2 701 702 mm. To obtain two dimensional track information of a charged fragment at 703 the read-out plane, cathode wires in front of and behind the anode plane were 704 aligned in the x and y directions, respectively. The z orientation of a track was 705 determined by measuring the drift time of the ionisation electrons with a time 706 to digital converter.

107 Using this set-up, data from the three-body ${}^{4}\text{He}(\gamma, pn)$ process yielded a 108 cross section of 0.04 ± 0.01 mb at $E_{\gamma} = 29.8$ MeV, in good agreement with pre-109 vious experiments. However, the larger ${}^{4}\text{He}(\gamma, p)$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}(\gamma, n)$ cross sections 110 were found to increase with energy up to 29.8 MeV, giving a GDR shape and 111 position in strong disagreement with numerous previous measurements. The 112 same ${}^{4}\text{He}$ photo-disintegration cross sections were later measured again in 2010 113 by the same group at AIST [57], which confirmed their earlier findings.

Using the same TPC, a later measurement in 2006 at AIST explored the 714cross sections of the ${}^{3}\text{He}(\gamma, p)d$ and ${}^{3}\text{He}(\gamma, pp)n$ reactions [8]. The photo-715716 disintegration cross sections were actually first directly measured in 1965 [58] 717with a cloud chamber to track the proton and deuteron reaction products. 718 The 2006 measurements were performed using mono-energetic pulsed γ -rays 719 at $E_{\gamma} = 10.2$ and 16.0 MeV produced by the LCS photon beam at AIST. 720 These high-precision experimental results for photo-disintegration were com-721 pared with theoretical predictions for the three-body reaction processes. While 722 at 16.0 MeV the experimental data and theory agree to 12%, a larger discrep-723 ancy was observed at 10.2 MeV. The authors point that more high-precision 724 (γ, p) and (γ, pp) cross section data for a larger number of incident photon 725energies are needed for the comparison with theoretical predications.

726

727 3.2 The quest for the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma){}^{16}O$ cross section

728 There is no reaction in nuclear astrophysics as important as ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma){}^{16}O$, for 729determining the C/O ratio in the Universe and with so much experimental and 730 theoretical work carried out in the last 60 years. Measuring this cross section 731 has presented significant experimental challenges, and have pushed the limits of 732 novel state-of-the-art techniques. Some data sets have been beset with unchar-733 acterized uncertainties, and often results have been conflicting and difficult 734 to reconcile. This issue is highlighted by the apparent disagreement between 735 736

746

some direct measurements of ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma){}^{16}O$, using γ -ray detectors, and a fundamental prediction of quantum mechanics. As we will describe below, precision 738 measurements of charged-particle angular distributions using state-of-the-art 739 TPCs can contribute significantly to our understanding of the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma){}^{16}O$ 740 cross section at astrophysical energies. 741

A astrophysical energies, the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma){}^{16}O$ reaction rate is dominated by 742 the transition to the ground state of ${}^{16}O$, i.e. the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0){}^{16}O$ channel. Differential cross sections for this transition from past experiments [59–66] have been fitted with [59, 67] 743

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\sigma_{E1}(1-P_2) + \sigma_{E2}(1+\frac{5}{7}P_2 - \frac{12}{7}P_4) \right]$$
(2)
(2)
(3)

$$+ 6\cos(\phi_{12})\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{E1}\sigma_{E2}}{5}}(P_1 - P_3) \bigg],$$

$$(3) \quad 749 \\ 750 \\ 751$$

752where σ_{E1} and σ_{E2} are the E1 and E2 multipole contributions to the ground 753state cross section, ϕ_{12} is the relative phase between the E1 and E2 capture 754amplitudes, and P_{ℓ} are the Legendre polynomials of order ℓ evaluated at the 755cosine of the c.m. emission angle of the photon. Values of ϕ_{12} extracted from 756these measurements of ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0){}^{16}O$ should agree with the theoretical predic-757 tion [59, 67] of $\phi_{12} = \delta_2 - \delta_1 + \tan^{-1} \eta/2$. Here, δ_1 and δ_2 are $\alpha + {}^{12}C$ elastic 758scattering phase shifts, and η is the Sommerfeld parameter. This theoretical 759prediction is known accurately because the elastic scattering phase shifts have 760been measured to high precision [68]. The theoretical prediction for ϕ_{12} is a 761 consequence of the Watson theorem, which is derived assuming unitarity of the 762 scattering matrix [69-71]. It is valid when the capture cross section is small 763and is the only open reaction channel.

764The consistency of the extracted ϕ_{12} values with the theoretical prediction 765provides a stringent cross check on the measured differential cross sections. It 766 is also important that various experimental effects, such as the finite geometry 767 of the detection system and c.m. motion, are taken into account [70, 72]. The 768extracted ϕ_{12} values from previous measurements are shown in Fig. 6. At 769 energies of $E_{\rm cm} < 1.6 \,\,{\rm MeV}$ [65, 66], ϕ_{12} was measured to be in agreement with 770the theoretical prediction [59, 67]. In this energy region, the E1/E2 ratio and 771 ϕ_{12} are almost constant, but the uncertainties in ϕ_{12} are relatively large due 772 to the extreme difficulty of the measurements.

773In contrast, in the energy region of $1.8 < E_{\rm cm} < 2.8$ MeV, both the E1/E2774ratio and ϕ_{12} vary rapidly due to the broad 9.58 MeV 1⁻ resonance in ¹⁶O. This 775resonance enables the measurements in this region to have much smaller uncer-776tainties but, as one can see from Eq. (3), the dominance of the E1 component 777 also reduces the sensitivity to ϕ_{12} . As shown in Ref. [72], the variation of ϕ_{12} 778leads to subtle changes in the measured angular distributions. Here, Assunção 779 et al. [63] observed substantial disagreement with the theoretical prediction of 780 ϕ_{12} . Ouellet et al. [61] noted that they were unable to extract ϕ_{12} from their 781angular distributions measured between 1.9–2.4 MeV. The data of Dyer and 782



Fig. 6 Plot of ϕ_{12} values extracted for the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0){}^{16}O$ reaction. Data from Refs. [59] and [60] are excluded due to 100% error bars. The solid green curve is the theoretical prediction of Eq. (3) based on the phase shifts from Refs. [68, 73, 74], and the solid black curve is the theoretical prediction convolved with the 300-keV energy resolution of the experiment of Smith et al. [75].

814

815 Barnes [59] are measured mostly with 100% error bars in this region, as are 816 the data of Redder et al. [60]. Thus, so far, no measurements of ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0)$ 817 exhibit the predicted strong variation of ϕ_{12} over the 1⁻ resonance region. 818 The observed discrepancy between some previous data and theory across the 819 1⁻ resonance, is a disagreement with a fundamental prediction of quantum 820 theory. It should not be overlooked, and clearly points to underestimated or 821 unaccounted systemic uncertainties in some previous experiments.

Furthermore, the direct measurements of the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0)$ reaction using gamma detectors [63] were retrospectively re-analyzed [71, 72], and significant uncertainties were noted. Large backgrounds in the measured γ -ray spectra lead to uncertainties in the measured angular distributions, and the extracted cross sections [71]. The large uncertainties deduced for Ref. [63], (induced, for example, by in-beam neutrons), and similar data [76], lead to uncertainties in the *R*-Matrix extrapolation to astrophysical energies [77]. For reliable calcu-829 lations of reaction rates at stellar conditions, new measurements with lower 830 backgrounds are required. In the latest extrapolation to astrophysical energies, 831 deBoer et al. [77] analyzed the global data - not just direct measurements of 832 $^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma)$ – and concluded that at the Gamow window, a "level of uncertainty" 833 $\sim 10\%$ may be in sight". The review of deBoer et al. has also clearly made the 834 case that improved experimental data are needed. 835

3.3 Recent ¹⁶O(γ, α)¹²C measurement with OTPC at $HI\gamma S$

Recently, the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0){}^{16}O$ cross section was inferred using an entirely new 840 method, where the inverse ${}^{16}O(\gamma, \alpha){}^{12}C$ photo-disintegration reaction was 841 measured using γ -ray beams and an Optical Time Projection Chamber 842 (OTPC) [75]. Measurements were focused on $E_{\rm cm} = 2.0-2.6$ MeV, because, 843 as previously noted, a broad 1^- resonance (corresponding to the 9.59-MeV 844 state in ¹⁶O) enhances the cross section in this region, making higher statis-845tics measurements more viable. Secondly, because the shape of the angular 846 distributions in this region are less sensitive to the value of ϕ_{12} , it is an ideal 847 testing ground for determining the accuracy of measured angular distributions. 848 These successful proof-of-principle measurements have provided motivation for 849 extending similar measurements down toward lower energies and with a newer 850 detector. 851

Quasi mono-energetic γ -ray beams of circular polarization were produced 852 at HI γ S. The γ -ray beam energy is controlled by varying the wavelength of 853 the free-electron-laser (FEL) and the electron energy [4]. A circularly polarized 854 beam was chosen in order to limit the wear of optical components, given the 855length of the experiment and high beam intensity of $\sim 10^8 \gamma/s$. The beam was 856 varied from $E_{\gamma} = 9.01 - 10.43$ MeV and had a spread of $\sim 3\%$ at FWHM. 857

In this experiment, instead of measuring the fusion of α and ¹²C to 858 form ¹⁶O, γ -ray beams were used to measure the time reversed process 859 of ${}^{16}O(\gamma, \alpha){}^{12}C$ photo-disintegration. As noted in section 1, the photo-860 disintegration cross section is directly related to the capture cross section via 861 the principle of detailed balance and is larger by a factor of ~ 50 in this energy 862 region. The tracks of the α and ¹²C reaction products were measured inside in 863 the Time Projection Chamber detector operating with a mixture of CO_2 (80%) 864 and N_2 (20%) gas at 100 Torr pressure. The details of the detector operation 865 are discussed in Ref. [78]. The OTPC permitted the ¹⁶O photo-disintegration 866 events to be unambiguously identified with very low background and measured 867 with high efficiency, over a range of polar angles. 868

As the reaction products propagate through the gas mixture, they ionize 869 atoms along their tracks, losing energy according to their characteristic Bragg 870 curves. The ionization electrons drift in the OTPC under the influence of a 871 uniform electric field. The drift electrons are then multiplied by a stronger 872 electric field ($\sim 10 \times$ larger than the drift field), giving rise to an avalanche and 873 producing scintillation light. The light was detected by four photomultiplier 874

836 837

838

tubes (PMTs) that surrounded the top of the TPC, and the signal was digitized
using a 100 MHz ADC. This constitutes the vertical *time projection* of the
track. At the same time, optical photons propagate through the opto-electronic
chain and are focussed onto a CCD camera, which photographs the track *in plane*.

880 The combination of the time projection and CCD image allowed detailed angular distributions for the ${}^{16}O(\gamma, \alpha){}^{12}C$ reaction to be measured with 881 882 an unprecedented θ angular resolution of ~ 2°, and over a large range of polar angles. Background events recorded by the OTPC include cosmic rays, 883 Compton electrons, ${}^{14}N(\gamma, p)$, ${}^{17,18}O(\gamma, \alpha)$, and ${}^{12}C(\gamma, \alpha)^8Be$ reactions. The 884 885 majority of background events could be removed by examination of the energy 886 deposited, the position of the tracks within the detector, and by measuring 887 the stopping power of the ionizing particles.

888 The ¹²C(α, γ_0)¹⁶O cross sections measured using the OTPC, along with 889 the global data set of previous direct measurements, are shown in Fig. 7. Broad 890 agreement with the global data set, comprising of data gathered from direct 891 ¹²C(α, γ_0) measurements, is seen across the whole energy range.

892 Note that the measurements of Ref. [75] are labelled at "effective" centre-893 of-mass energies. Since the γ -ray beam is broad in energy (approximately 300 keV FWHM in this case), the rapidly-varying cross section can change sig-894 nificantly across the width of the beam. Therefore, the "effective energies" of 895 896 these measurements are defined as the beam energy averaged over the width of the broad γ -ray beam, weighted by the global cross section data [72]. Simi-897 898 larly, the cross sections themselves are "effective cross sections" for this same 899 reason. Cross sections were corrected by a factor based on the gamma beam 900 width and global cross section data, using the method described in Ref. [72].

901 An example angular distribution obtained during this experiment is shown 902 in Fig. 8. Due to the impressive 2° angular resolution, an unbinned maxi-903 mum log-likelihood fit to the data was performed in order to extract σ_{E1} , 904 σ_{E2} , and ϕ_{12} . Data are binned in Fig. 8 for visualization purposes only. The 905 extracted ϕ_{12} values are shown in Fig. 6 and follow the expected trend within 906 the (sometimes large) statistical uncertainties.

907

$\begin{array}{c} 908\\909\\910 \end{array} \textbf{ 3.4 Challenges and future with active-gas targets and} \\ \gamma\text{-ray beams} \end{array}$

911 One limitation of γ -ray beam experiments for nuclear astrophysics using cur-912 rent facilities such as HI γ S, arises from the spread in the incident photon beam 913 energies. The extraction of the cross section thus requires that the energy 914 distribution of the photon beam be well characterized and that the energy 915 dependence of the cross section is known. As noted in section 3.3, the cross 916 sections of [75] required significant correction due to the beam energy spread 917 (3% at FWHM).

918 However, this issue is not unique to γ -ray beam experiments. In charged 919 particle experiments, particularly at low energies where cross sections are 920 smaller, relatively thick targets are required and the energy loss in the target

944

945

946



Fig. 7 The cross section for the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0){}^{16}O$ reaction showing data from Refs. [59–66, 75]. A linear scale for the cross section is used here to optimize the comparison of the recent Smith et al. (2021) [75] data to other experiments.

947 material is significant. For example, the lowest-energy measurement of Ref. [63] 948 was performed with an incident beam energy of $E_{\alpha} = 1.85$ MeV, where the 949 energy loss in their implanted carbon targets was about 180 keV in the c.m. 950 system. Note also that the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0){}^{16}O$ cross section varies by about factor of 951 2.5 over this energy spread. Such variations require corrections to the extracted 952cross sections and careful evaluation of the effective centre-of-mass energies 953 [72]. As we look towards new facilities, such as ELI-NP, which should offer 954a 0.5% beam energy resolution, higher precision measurements over narrower 955 energy ranges will be possible.

956 There are other approaches to dealing with the γ -ray beam energy spread 957 in that may be useful in the future. One idea is to utilize the fact that the 958 beam energy spread at Compton backscattering facilities primarily arises from 959the angle-dependence of the Compton scattering kinematics. One can thus use 960 the location of the vertex of the events in the TPC to infer what the photon 961 energy was on an event-by-event basis. This method would also allow more 962open collimation on the gamma beam to used, which increases the photon 963 flux. Another approach is to use the energy detected in the TPC to infer the 964photon energy, again on an event-by-event basis. Both of these methods are 965 contingent upon the availability of a high-performance TPC that can measure 966



Fig. 8 A measured angular distribution using the OTPC at an effective centre-of-mass energy of 2.47 MeV [75].

997

particle tracks and energies with resolutions on the order of 1 mm and 0.5%,
 respectively.

999In terms of ${}^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma)$, further improvements are underway. The work of 1000 Ref. [75] utilized a CO₂ + N₂ gas target. The Q-values for the ${}^{12}C(\gamma,\alpha)2\alpha$ 1001and ${}^{16}O(\gamma,\alpha){}^{12}C$ reactions are separated by just 114 keV, which means that 1002the two reactions were indistinguishable based on the energies they deposited 1003in the TPC (due to the ~ 300 keV beam width and the ~ 100 keV TPC 1004energy resolution [78]). Instead, the two event types were separated using a 1005complicated lineshape analysis of the measured time projections - see the 1006 methods section of Ref. [75]. For each event, the theoretical lineshapes for 1007 energy losses of ${}^{12}C + \alpha$ and also three alpha particles, corresponding to $1008 {}^{16}$ O and 12 C photo-disintegration events, were fitted to the time projection. 1009Comparison of the χ^2 of each fit was used to classify each event. 1010

- 1010
- 1012

However, events with small out-of-plane angles were indistinguishable using1013this method, due to the time projection being too short. This meant that fidu-1014cial volume cuts were employed, which had to be corrected for when evaluating1015total cross sections. This lowered the efficiency of the set-up, reducing the total1016number of counts in each angular distribution, and increasing the statistical1017uncertainties on the important extracted parameters.1018

More recently, the experiment was repeated with γ -beam energies from 1019 9.38 to 9.8 MeV using an alternative $N_2O + N_2$ gas target in the optical TPC. 1020 Removal of the carbon from the target theoretically improves this experiment; 1021 1022 fiducial volume cuts are not required and higher statistics may be obtained. 1023 However, this new approach is not without its challenges. The characteristics of the TPC while operating with a nitrous oxide gas are highly sensitive to 1024 the drift voltage-pressure ratio. Furthermore, nitrous oxide is an attaching 1025gas, where electrons in the TPC are captured, producing negative ions during 1026 their drift. These ions may then later decay, releasing the electrons. As such, 1027 distortions in the time projections are obtained and careful modelling of the 1028 electron- N_2O interactions are required in order to accurately extract polar 1029angles. Analysis is underway and a publication is expected in 2023. 1030

In a further advance forward for measuring the ${}^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma)$ cross section, 1031 recent measurements were made at the HI γ S facility in 2022 using a new 1032 electronic Time Projection Chamber, built by the University of Warsaw [79– 81]. The new measurements were conducted with γ -beams with energies from 1034 8.51–13.9 MeV. The active target consisted of a pure CO₂ gas contained inside 1035 the TPC. 1036

The Warsaw TPC has active dimensions of $33 \times 20 \text{ cm}^2$ (readout plane) 1037 \times 20cm (drift) and is contained inside a vacuum vessel. The amplification 1038 structure consists of three 50 µm-thick Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM) foils, 1039which sit above a planar anode, segmented into 1.5-mm-thick strips along 3 1040 axes (U, V, W), each oriented 60° with respect to each other. These three inde-1041 pendent linear sets of strips allow for redundant readout and requires around 1042 1000 electronics channels. These are read by General Electronics for TPCs 1043 (GET) technology front-end cards [82] with custom FPGA readout developed 1044at the University of Warsaw. The arrays of strips enable the electron hit posi-1045tions in two dimensions to be found by generating virtual pixels. The time 1046distribution of the charge collected at the anode, combined with the drift 1047 velocity of the electrons in the CO_2 , allows the determination of the vertical 1048 coordinate. An example oxygen-16 photo-disintegration event as measured in 1049the Warsaw TPC may be seen in Fig. 9. 1050

Importantly, the electronic TPC permitted an event readout of up to 80 1051 Hz without zero suppression; almost two orders of magnitude higher than 1052 the Optical TPC discussed in Section 3.3. Therefore, higher statistics were 1053 measured, which will, in principle, reduce statistical uncertainties on important 1054 parameters extracted from fits to angular distributions. Furthermore, due to 1055 the UVW readout, and improved spatial resolution, events can be recorded in 1056 4π efficiency, without the need for fiducial volume cuts. 1057



1085 Fig. 9 A typical event identified as oxygen-16 photo-disintegration, shown in UVW space, 1086 measured at $E\gamma = 12.3$ MeV [83]. The lower right panel shows the charge deposited by 1087 the particles along the track, and a fit by the theoretical dE/dx curve. The offset in the 1088 horizontal scale is arbitrary.

¹⁰⁸⁹₁₀₉₀ 4 Conclusions and Outlook

1091 Studies of photonuclear reaction cross sections became part of the mainstream 1092 experimental nuclear physics in the last 20 years with the help of mono-1093 energetic γ -ray beam facilities and improved detection methods. However, 1094 charged-particle detection from photon-induced reactions had a slow evolution 1095 and the field is only now emerging on the experimental stage. Measurements 1096 of (γ, p) and (γ, α) photonuclear reaction cross sections have the potential to 1097 offer the solution to several key reactions in nuclear astrophysics. The paper 1098 highlights the recent ${}^{7}\text{Li}(\gamma, t)^{4}\text{He}$ measurement at HI γ S with implications in 1099 Big Bang nucleosynthesis and for paving the way to solve in the near future the 1100 disagreement between the experimental and theoretical capture cross section 1101 in the mirror α -capture reactions ${}^{3}\mathrm{H}(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}\mathrm{Li}$ and ${}^{3}\mathrm{He}(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}\mathrm{Be}$. Another high-1102 light is the recent result from a measurement of the ${}^{16}O(\gamma, \alpha){}^{12}C$ cross section 1103 1104

using an optical time projection chamber at HI γ S, part of the quest to measure 1105the very important ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma){}^{16}O$ reaction cross section. 1106

Two main experimental directions were identified for detecting charged par-1107 ticles from photon-induced reactions: measurements from solid targets using 1108 silicon-strip detectors, and measurements with active gas targets within time 1109projection chambers. The evolution of silicon-strip detector arrays over the 1110 last 20 years allowed the implementation of a large area silicon-detector array 1111 at HI γ S for the recent ⁷Li $(\gamma, t)^4$ He measurement and the development of the 1112 ELISSA setup at ELI-NP. Future developments will integrate a compact silicon 1113 detector array with γ -ray and neutron detector arrays currently available at 1114 $HI\gamma S$ and ELI-NP. The use of an optical time projection chamber with a mix-1115ture of CO_2 (80%) and N_2 (20%) gas permitted the ¹⁶O photo-disintegration 1116 events to be unambiguously identified with very low background and measured 1117 with high efficiency. Recent operation of the Warsaw active-target electronic 1118 TPC at HI γ S sets the stage for future studies of the ${}^{16}O(\gamma, \alpha){}^{12}C$ reaction 1119 cross section and other reactions of interest for nuclear astrophysics. 1120

 $HI\gamma S$ continues to be the main facility for performing experiments while 1121VEGA at ELI-NP is still in implementation phase. There are several experi-1122ments measuring charged particles approved to run at $HI\gamma S$ in the next years, 1123 pushing the limits on photo-disintegration cross sections for light nuclei (⁷Li). 1124p-process reactions (¹⁰²Pd and ¹¹²Sn), and the ¹⁶O(γ, α)¹²C cross section. 1125

1126Acknowledgments. This work is supported by the Romanian Ministry 1127of Research, Innovation and Digitalization, project no. PN-III-P4-PCE-2021-1128 1024 and PN 23 21 01 06; the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of 1129Science, Nuclear Physics program, grants no. DE-FG02-94ER40870, DE-1130FG02-88ER40387, and DE-AC05-00OR22725; the U.S. Department of Energy, 1131National Nuclear Security Agency, grant no. DE-NA0004065; and the UK 1132STFC, grant no. ST/V001086/1. 1133

References

- 1136[1] Strauch, K.: Recent studies of photonuclear reactions. Annual Review of 1137 Nuclear Science 2(1), 105–128 (1953). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. 1138ns.02.120153.000541 1139
- 1140[2] Zilges, A., Balabanski, D.L., Isaak, J., Pietralla, N.: Photonuclear reac-1141tions — From basic research to applications. Progress in Particle and 1142Nuclear Physics **122**, 103903 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp. 11432021.103903 1144
- 1145[3] Howell, C.R., Ahmed, M.W., Afanasev, A., Alesini, D., Annand, J.R.M., 1146Aprahamian, A., Balabanski, D.L., Benson, S.V., Bernstein, A., Brune, 1147C.R., Byrd, J., Carlsten, B.E., Champagne, A.E., Chattopadhyay, S., 1148Davis, D., Downie, E.J., Durham, J.M., Feldman, G., Gao, H., Geddes, 1149C.G.R., Grießhammer, H.W., Hajima, R., Hao, H., Hornidge, D., Isaak, J., 1150

1134

Janssens, R.V.F., Kendellen, D.P., Kovash, M.A., Martel, P.P., Meißner, 11511152U.-G., Miskimen, R., Pasquini, B., Phillips, D.R., Pietralla, N., Savran, D., Schindler, M.R., Sikora, M.H., Snow, W.M., Springer, R.P., Sun, C., 11531154Tang, C., Tiburzi, B., Tonchev, A.P., Tornow, W., Ur, C.A., Wang, D., Weller, H.R., Werner, V., Wu, Y.K., Yan, J., Zhao, Z., Zilges, A., Zomer, 1155F.: International workshop on next generation gamma-ray source. Jour-11561157nal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics **49**(1), 010502 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6471/ac2827 1158

- 1159
- 1160[4] Weller, H.R., Ahmed, M.W., Gao, H., Tornow, W., Wu, Y.K., Gai, M.,1161Miskimen, R.: Research opportunities at the upgraded HI γ S facility. Prog.1162Part. Nucl. Phys. **62**, 257–303 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.11632008.07.001
- 1164

[5] Baur, G., Bertulani, C.A., Rebel, H.: Coulomb dissociation as a source of information on radiative capture processes of astrophysical interest. Nuclear Physics A 458(1), 188–204 (1986). https://doi.org/10.1016/
0375-9474(86)90290-3

- 1173
 1174
 1175
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1176
 1177
 1176
 1178
 1178
 1179
 1179
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170
 1170</l
- 1177
- 1178 [8] Naito, S., Nagai, Y., Shima, T., Makii, H., Mishima, K., Tamura, 1179 K., Toyokawa, H., Ohgaki, H., Golak, J., Skibi ński, R., Witała, H., 1180 Glöckle, W., Nogga, A., Kamada, H.: New data for total ³He(γ , p)d and 1181 ³He(γ , pp)n cross sections compared to current theory. Phys. Rev. C **73**, 1182 034003 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.73.034003
- 1183
- 1184 [9] Ugalde, C., DiGiovine, B., Henderson, D., Holt, R.J., Rehm, K.E., Son-1185 nenschein, A., Robinson, A., Raut, R., Rusev, G., Tonchev, A.P.: First 1186 determination of an astrophysical cross section with a bubble cham-1187 ber: The ¹⁵N(α,γ)¹⁹F reaction. Physics Letters B **719**(1), 74–77 (2013). 1188 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.12.068
- 1189
- 1190 [10] Taleyarkhan, R.P., Archambault, B., Sansone, A., Grimes, T.F., Hagen,
 A.: Neutron spectroscopy & H*10 dosimetry with tensioned metastable
 fluid detectors. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
 Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 959, 163278 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2019.163278
- 1195
- 1196 [11] https://www.eli-np.ro/rd2_second.php

| [12] | Tesileanu, O., Gai, M., Anzalone, A., Balan, C., Bihalowicz, J.S., Cwiok, M., Dominik, W., Gales, S., Ghita, D.G., Janas, Z., Kendellen, D.P., Cognata, M.L., Matei, C., Mikszuta, K., Petcu, C., Pfutzner, M., Matulewicz, T., Mazzocchi, C., Spitaleri, C.: Charged particle detection at ELI-NP. Rom. Rep. in Phys. 68 , 699 (2016) | 1197 1198 1199 1200 1201 |
|------|--|--|
| [13] | Lan, H.Y., Xu, Y., Luo, W., Balabanski, D.L., Goriely, S., La Cognata, M., Matei, C., Anzalone, A., Chesnevskaya, S., Guardo, G.L., <i>et al.</i> : Determination of the photodisintegration reaction rates involving charged particles: Systematic calculations and proposed measurements based on the facility for Extreme Light Infrastructure-Nuclear Physics. Phys. Rev. C 98 (5), 054601 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.98.054601 | 1202 1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 |
| [14] | Lan, H.Y., Luo, W., Xu, Y., Balabanski, D.L., Guardo, G.L., La Cognata, M., Lattuada, D., Matei, C., Pizzone, R.G., Rauscher, T., Zhou, J.L.: Feasibility of studying astrophysically important charged-particle emission with the variable energy γ -ray system at the Extreme Light Infrastructure–Nuclear Physics facility. Phys. Rev. C 105 , 044618 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.105.044618 | 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 |
| [15] | Weller, H.R., Ahmed, M.W., Gao, H., Tornow, W., Wu, Y.K., Gai, M., Miskimen, R.: Forward photodisintegration of the deuteron at 10.74 MeV photon energy. Few-Body Systems 1, 135–141 (1986). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01077004 | 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 |
| [16] | Likhachev, V.P., Martins, M.N., da Cruz, M.T.F., Arruda-Neto, J.D.T., Geraldo, L.P., Semmler, R., Dias, J.F.: Triton angular distributions from the ⁷ Li(γ, t) α reaction near threshold. Phys. Rev. C 59 , 525–527 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.59.525 | 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 |
| [17] | Kemmer, J.: Fabrication of low noise silicon radiation detectors by the planar process. Nuclear Instruments and Methods $169(3)$, $499-502$ (1980). https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(80)90948-9 | 1226 1227 1228 1229 |
| [18] | Davinson, T., Bradfield-Smith, W., Cherubini, S., DiPietro, A., Galster, W., Laird, A.M., Leleux, P., Ninane, A., Ostrowski, A.N., Shotter, A.C., Vervier, J., Woods, P.J.: Louvain–Edinburgh Detector Array (LEDA): a silicon detector array for use with radioactive nuclear beams. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 454 (2), 350–358 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(00)00479-4 | 122 <i>9</i> 1230 1231 1232 1233 1234 1235 1236 |
| [19] | Bardayan, D.W., Blackmon, J.C., Brune, C.R., Champagne, A.E., Chen, A.A., Cox, J.M., Davinson, T., Hansper, V.Y., Hofstee, M.A., Johnson, B.A., Kozub, R.L., Ma, Z., Parker, P.D., Pierce, D.E., Rabban, M.T., Shotter, A.C., Smith, M.S., Swartz, K.B., Visser, D.W., Woods, P.J.: The astrophysically important 3^+ state in ¹⁸ Ne and the ${}^{17}\mathrm{F}(p,\gamma){}^{18}\mathrm{Ne}$ | 1237 1238 1239 1240 1241 1242 |
| | | |

1243 stellar rate. Phys. Rev. C **62**, 055804 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1103/ 1244 PhysRevC.62.055804

- 1245
- 1246 [20] Visser, D.W., Caggiano, J.A., Lewis, R., Handler, W.B., Parikh, A.,
 1247 Parker, P.D.: Particle decay branching ratios for states of astrophysical
 1248 importance in ¹⁹Ne. Phys. Rev. C 69, 048801 (2004). https://doi.org/10.
 1249 1103/PhysRevC.69.048801
- 1250
- Murphy, A.S.J., Aliotta, M., Davinson, T., Ruiz, C., Woods, P.J., D'Auria,
 J.M., Buchmann, L., Chen, A.A., Laird, A.M., Sarazin, F., Walden, P.,
 Fulton, B.R., Pearson, J.E., Brown, B.A.: Level structure of ²¹Mg: Nuclear
 and astrophysical implications. Phys. Rev. C 73, 034320 (2006). https:
 //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.73.034320
- 12501256

Roeder, B.T., McCleskey, M., Trache, L., Alharbi, A.A., Banu, A., [22]1257Cherubini, S., Davinson, T., Goldberg, V.Z., Gulino, M., Pizzone, R.G., 1258Simmons, E., Spartà, R., Spiridon, A., Spitaleri, C., Wallace, J.P., Trib-1259 ble, R.E., Woods, P.J.: The Texas–Edinburgh–Catania Silicon Array 1260(TECSA): A detector for nuclear astrophysics and nuclear structure stud-1261 ies with rare isotope beams. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics 1262 Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associ-1263 ated Equipment **634**(1), 71–76 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima. 12642011.01.035 1265

- 1272[24]Good, E.C., Sudarsan, B., Macon, K.T., Deibel, C.M., Baby, L.T., 1273Blackmon, J.C., Benetti, C., Esparza, J.C., Gerken, N., Hanselman, K., 1274McCann, G.W., Morelock, A.B., Perello, J.F., Pham, K.H., Rubino, E., 1275Temanson, E., Wiedenhöver, I.: SABRE: The Silicon Array for Branch-1276ing Ratio Experiments. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics 1277Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Asso-1278ciated Equipment 1003, 165299 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima. 12792021.165299
- 1280
- 1281 [25] Pain, S.D., Cizewski, J.A., Hatarik, R., Jones, K.L., Thomas, J.S., Bar1282 dayan, D.W., Blackmon, J.C., Nesaraja, C.D., Smith, M.S., Kozub, R.L.,
 1283 Johnson, M.S.: Development of a high solid-angle silicon detector array for
 1284 measurement of transfer reactions in inverse kinematics. Nuclear Instru1285 ments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions
 1286 with Materials and Atoms 261(1), 1122–1125 (2007). https://doi.org/10.
 1287 1016/j.nimb.2007.04.289. The Application of Accelerators in Research and
- 1288

Industry

- [26] Chesnevskaya, S., Balabanski, D.L., Choudhury, D., Constantin, P., Filipescu, D.M., Ghita, D.G., Guardo, G.L., Lattuada, D., Matei, C., Rotaru, A., State, A.: Performance studies of X3 silicon detectors for the future ELISSA array at ELI-NP. J. Inst. 13(5), 05006 (2018). https://doi.org/ 10.1088/1748-0221/13/05/T05006
- [27] Engel, G.L., Sadasivam, M., Nethi, M., Elson, J.M., Sobotka, L.G., Charity, R.J.: A multi-channel integrated circuit for use in low- and intermediate-energy nuclear physics—HINP16C. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 573(3), 418–426 (2007). https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2006.12.052
- 1303 [28] Wallace, M.S., Famiano, M.A., van Goethem, M.-J., Rogers, A.M., Lynch, 1304W.G., Clifford, J., Delaunay, F., Lee, J., Labostov, S., Mocko, M., Morris, 1305L., Moroni, A., Nett, B.E., Oostdyk, D.J., Krishnasamy, R., Tsang, M.B., 1306de Souza, R.T., Hudan, S., Sobotka, L.G., Charity, R.J., Elson, J., Engel, 1307 G.L.: The high resolution array (HiRA) for rare isotope beam experi-1308 ments. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section 1309A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 1310 583(2), 302–312 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2007.08.248 1311
- [29] Bardayan, D.W., Ahn, S., Blackmon, J.C., Burkhart, A.J., Chae, K.Y., Cizewski, J.A., Elson, J., Hardy, S., Kozub, R.L., Linhardt, L., Manning, B., Matoš, M., Pain, S.D., Sobotka, L.G., Smith, M.S.: Construction and commissioning of the SuperORRUBA detector. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment **711**, 160–165 (2013). https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2013.01.035
 1312 1313 1314 1315 1316 1315 1316 1317 1318 1319
- [30] Chipps, K.A., Greife, U., Bardayan, D.W., Blackmon, J.C., Kontos, A., Linhardt, L.E., Matos, M., Pain, S.D., Pittman, S.T., Sachs, A., Schatz, H., Schmitt, K.T., Smith, M.S., Thompson, P.: The Jet Experiments in Nuclear Structure and Astrophysics (JENSA) gas jet target. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment **763**, 553–564 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2014.06.042 1327
- [31] Schmidt, K., Chipps, K.A., Ahn, S., Bardayan, D.W., Browne, J., Greife, 1328
 U., Meisel, Z., Montes, F., O'Malley, P.D., Ong, W.-J., Pain, S.D., Schatz, 1329
 H., Smith, K., Smith, M.S., Thompson, P.J.: Status of the JENSA gasjet target for experiments with rare isotope beams. Nuclear Instruments 1331
 and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, 1332
 Detectors and Associated Equipment 911, 1–9 (2018). https://doi.org/ 1333

1334

 $1289 \\ 1290$

 $\begin{array}{c} 1335\\ 1336 \end{array}$

10.1016/j.nima.2018.09.052

- 1337 [32] Munch, M., Matei, C., Pain, S.D., Febbraro, M.T., Chipps, K.A., Karwowski, H.J., Diget, C.A., Pappalardo, A., Chesnevskaya, S., Guardo, 1338 G.L., Walter, D., Balabanski, D.L., Becchetti, F.D., Brune, C.R., Chae, 1339 K.Y., Frost-Schenk, J., Kim, M.J., Kwag, M.S., La Cognata, M., Lat-1340 tuada, D., Pizzone, R.G., Rapisarda, G.G., Turturica, G.V., Ur, C.A., Xu, 1341 Y.: Measurement of the ⁷Li(γ, t)⁴He ground-state cross section between 1342 $E_{\gamma} = 4.4$ and 10 MeV. Phys. Rev. C **101**, 055801 (2020). https://doi.org/ 1343 10.1103/PhysRevC.101.055801 1344
- 1345
- [33]Bemmerer, D., Confortola, F., Costantini, H., Formicola, A., Gyürky, G., 1346 Bonetti, R., Broggini, C., Corvisiero, P., Elekes, Z., Fülöp, Z., Gervino, 1347 G., Guglielmetti, A., Gustavino, C., Imbriani, G., Junker, M., Lauben-1348 stein, M., Lemut, A., Limata, B., Lozza, V., Marta, M., Menegazzo, R., 1349Prati, P., Roca, V., Rolfs, C., Alvarez, C.R., Somorjai, E., Straniero, O., 1350Strieder, F., Terrasi, F., Trautvetter, H.P.: Activation measurement of 1351the ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha, \gamma){}^{7}\text{Be}$ cross section at low energy. Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 122502 1352(2006). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.122502 1353
- 1333
- 1354
1355[34] Di Leva, A., Gialanella, L., Kunz, R., Rogalla, D., Schürmann, D.,
Strieder, F., De Cesare, M., De Cesare, N., D'Onofrio, A., Fülöp, Z.,
Gyürky, G., Imbriani, G., Mangano, G., Ordine, A., Roca, V., Rolfs, C.,
Romano, M., Somorjai, E., Terrasi, F.: Stellar and primordial nucleosyn-
thesis of ⁷Be: Measurement of ³He(α, γ)⁷Be. Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 232502
(2009). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.232502
- 1360
- 1365[36]Dohet-Eraly, J., Navretil, P., Quaglioni, S., Horiuchi, W., Hupin, G., Rai-
mondi, F.: ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}\text{Be}$ and ${}^{3}\text{H}(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}\text{Li}$ astrophysical S factors from the
no-core shell model with continuum". Phys. Lett. B **757**, 430–436 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.04.0211369
- 1370 [37] Brune, C.R., Kavanagh, R.W., Rolfs, C.: ${}^{3}H(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}Li$ reaction at low 1371 energies. Phys. Rev. C **50**, 2205–2218 (1994). https://doi.org/10.1103/ 1372 PhysRevC.50.2205
- 1373
- 1374[38]Vogt, K., Mohr, P., Babilon, M., Bayer, W., Galaviz, D., Hartmann,1375T., Hutter, C., Rauscher, T., Sonnabend, K., Volz, S., Zilges, A.: Mea-1376surement of the (γ,n) cross section of the nucleus 197Au close above1377the reaction threshold. Nucl. Phys. A **707**(1), 241–252 (2002). https:1378//doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(02)00922-3
- 1379
- 1380

| [39 |] Itoh, O., Utsunomiya, H., Akimune, H., Kondo, T., Kamata, M., Yama- gata, T., Toyokawa, H., Harada, H., Kitatani, F., Goko, S., Nair, C., Lui, YW.: Photoneutron Cross Sections for Au Revisited: Measurements with Laser Compton Scattering γ -Rays and Data Reduction by a Least-Squares Method. J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 48 (5), 834–840 (2011) | 1381 1382 1383 1384 1385 |
|-----|---|--|
| [40 | [] Azuma, R.E., Uberseder, E., Simpson, E.C., Brune, C.R., Costantini, H., de Boer, R.J., Görres, J., Heil, M., LeBlanc, P.J., Ugalde, C., Wiescher, M.: AZURE: An <i>R</i> -matrix code for nuclear astrophysics. Phys. Rev. C 81(4), 045805 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.045805 | 1386 1387 1388 1389 1390 |
| [41 |] Uberseder, E., deBoer, R.J.: AZURE2 Users Manual (2015). azure.nd.edu | $\begin{array}{c} 1391 \\ 1392 \end{array}$ |
| [42 | [2] Dormard, JJ., Assié, M., Grassi, L., Rauly, E., Beaumel, D., Brulin, G., Chabot, M., Coacolo, JL., Flavigny, F., Genolini, B., Hammache, F., Barkach, T.I., Rindel, E., Rosier, P., de Séréville, N., Wanlin, E.: Pulse shape discrimination for GRIT: Beam test of a new integrated charge and current preamplifier coupled with high granularity silicon detectors. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Acceler- ators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 1013 , 165641 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2021.165641 | $ 1393 \\ 1394 \\ 1395 \\ 1396 \\ 1397 \\ 1398 \\ 1399 \\ 1400 \\ 1401 $ |
| [43 | [4] Labiche, M., Catford, W.N., Lemmon, R.C., Timis, C.N., Chapman, R., Orr, N.A., Fernández-Domínguez, B., Moores, G., Achouri, N.L., Amzal, N., Appleton, S., Ashwood, N.I., Baldwin, T.D., Burns, M., Caballero, L., Cacitti, J., Casadjian, J.M., Chartier, M., Curtis, N., Faiz, K., de France, G., Freer, M., Gautier, J.M., Gelletly, W., Iltis, G., Lecornu, B., Liang, X., Marry, C., Merrer, Y., Olivier, L., Pain, S.D., Pucknell, V.F.E., Raine, B., Rejmund, M., Rubio, B., Saillant, F., Savajols, H., Sorlin, O., Spohr, K., Theisen, C., Voltolini, G., Warner, D.D.: TIARA: A large solid angle silicon array for direct reaction studies with radioactive beams. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 614 (3), 439–448 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.01.009 | 1402 1403 1404 1405 1406 1407 1408 1409 1410 1411 1412 1413 1414 |
| [44 | Bildstein, V., Gernhäuser, R., Kröll, T., Krücken, R., Raabe, R., Duppen, P.V.: A new setup for transfer reactions at REX-ISOLDE. Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 59(1), 386–388 (2007). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ppnp.2007.01.010 | $1415 \\ 1416 \\ 1417 \\ 1418 \\ 1419 \\$ |
| [45 | Berner, C., Werner, L., Gernhäuser, R., Kröll, T.: HI-TREX — A highly integrated transfer setup at REX-(HIE)ISOLDE. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrome- ters, Detectors and Associated Equipment 987 , 164827 (2021). https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2020.164827 | 1419 1420 1421 1422 1423 1424 1425 |
| [46 |] Diget, C.A., Fox, S.P., Smith, A., Williams, S., Porter-Peden, M., Achouri, | $1425 \\ 1426$ |
| | | |

L., Adsley, P., Al-Falou, H., Austin, R.A.E., Ball, G.C., Blackmon, J.C., 1427 1428Brown, S., Catford, W.N., Chen, A.A., Chen, J., Churchman, R.M., Dech, J., Valentino, D.D., Djongolov, M., Fulton, B.R., Garnsworthy, 14291430 A., Hackman, G., Hager, U., Kshetri, R., Kurchaninov, L., Laird, A.M., Martin, J.-P., Matos, M., Orce, J.N., Orr, N.A., Pearson, C.J., Ruiz, C., 1431 Sarazin, F., Sjue, S., Smalley, D., Svensson, C.E., Taggart, M., Tardiff, 14321433E., Wilson, G.L.: SHARC: Silicon Highly-segmented Array for Reactions and Coulex used in conjunction with the TIGRESS γ -ray spectrometer. 1434 Journal of Instrumentation 6(02), 02005 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1088/ 143514361748-0221/6/02/P02005

- 1437
- Pain, S.D., Ratkiewicz, A., Baugher, T., Febbraro, M., Lepailleur, A., [47]1438Ayangeakaa, A.D., Allen, J., Anderson, J.T., Bardayan, D.W., Black-1439mon, J.C., Blanchard, R., Burcher, S., Carpenter, M.P., Cha, S.M., Chae, 1440 K.Y., Chipps, K.A., Cizewski, J.A., Engelhardt, A., Garland, H., Jones, 1441 K.L., Kozub, R.L., Lee, E.J., Hall, M.R., Hall, O., Hu, J., O'Malley, P.D., 1442Marsh, I., Rasco, B.C., Santiago-Gonzales, D., Seweryniak, D., Shadrick, 1443S., Sims, H., Smith, K., Smith, M.S., Tai, P.-L., Thompson, P., Thorns-1444berry, C., Varner, R.L., Walter, D., Wilson, G.L., Zhu, S.: Direct reaction 1445measurements using GODDESS. Physics Procedia 90, 455–462 (2017). 1446https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2017.09.051 1447
- 1448
- [48] Ur, C.A., Zilges, A., Pietralla, N., Beller, J., Boisdeffre, B., Cernaianu,
 M.O., Derya, V., Loher, B., Matei, C., Pascovici, G., Petcu, C., Romig,
 C., Savran, D., Suliman, G., Udup, E., Werner, V.: Nuclear Resonance
 Fluorescence Experiments at ELI-NP. Rom. Rep. Phys. 68, 483–538
 (2016)
- 1454Söderström, P.-A., Acıksöz, E., Balabanski, D.L., Camera, F., Capponi, [49]1455L., Ciocan, G., Cuciuc, M., Filipescu, D.M., Gheorghe, I., Glodariu, 1456T., Kaur, J., Krzysiek, M., Matei, C., Roman, T., Rotaru, A., Serban, 1457A.B., State, A., Utsunomiya, H., Vasilca, V.: ELIGANT-GN — ELI 1458Gamma Above Neutron Threshold: The Gamma-Neutron setup. Nuclear 1459Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelera-1460tors, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment **1027**, 166171 1461(2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2021.166171
- 1462
- 1463[50]Heffner, M., Asner, D.M., Baker, R.G., Baker, J., Barrett, S., Brune, 1464C., Bundgaard, J., Burgett, E., Carter, D., Cunningham, M., Deaven, 1465J., Duke, D.L., Greife, U., Grimes, S., Hager, U., Hertel, N., Hill, T., 1466Isenhower, D., Jewell, K., King, J., Klay, J.L., Kleinrath, V., Kornilov, 1467N., Kudo, R., Laptev, A.B., Leonard, M., Loveland, W., Massey, T.N., 1468McGrath, C., Meharchand, R., Montoya, L., Pickle, N., Qu, H., Riot, 1469V., Ruz, J., Sangiorgio, S., Seilhan, B., Sharma, S., Snyder, L., Stave, 1470S., Tatishvili, G., Thornton, R.T., Tovesson, F., Towell, D., Towell, R.S., 1471Watson, S., Wendt, B., Wood, L., Yao, L.: A time projection chamber for
- 1472

high accuracy and precision fission cross-section measurements. Nuclear1473Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators,1474Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 759, 50–64 (2014).1475https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2014.05.0571476

- [51] Koshchiy, E., Rogachev, G.V., Pollacco, E., Ahn, S., Uberseder, E., 1478 Hooker, J., Bishop, J., Aboud, E., Barbui, M., Goldberg, V.Z., Hunt, C., 1479Jayatissa, H., Magana, C., O'Dwyer, R., Roeder, B.T., Saastamoinen, A., 1480 Upadhyayula, S.: Texas Active Target (TexAT) detector for experiments 1481 with rare isotope beams. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics 1482Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Asso-1483ciated Equipment **957**, 163398 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima. 14842020.1633981485
- 1486[52] Bishop, J., Parker, C.E., Rogachev, G.V., Ahn, S., Koshchiy, E., Bran-1487 denburg, K., Brune, C.R., Charity, R.J., Derkin, J., Dronchi, N., Hamad, 1488 G., Jones-Alberty, Y., Kokalova, T., Massey, T.N., Meisel, Z., Ohstrom, 1489E.V., Paneru, S.N., Pollacco, E.C., Saxena, M., Singh, N., Smith, R., 1490Sobotka, L.G., Soltesz, D., Subedi, S.K., Voinov, A.V., Warren, J., 1491 Wheldon, C.: Neutron-upscattering enhancement of the triple-alpha pro-1492cess. Nature Communications 13, 2151 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/ 1493s41467-022-29848-7 1494
- [53] Greisen, K.: End to the Cosmic-Ray Spectrum? Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 748–750 (1966). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.16.748
- [54] Zatsepin, G.T., Kuz'min, V.A.: Upper limit of the spectrum of cosmic rays. Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters 4, 78 (1966)
 1498
 1499
 1500
- [55] Ohgaki, H., Sugiyama, S., Yamazaki, T., Mikado, T., Chiwaki, M., Yamada, K., Suzuki, R., Noguchi, T., Tomimasu, T.: Measurement of laser-induced Compton backscattered photons with anti-Compton spectrometer. IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 38(2), 386–392 (1991).
 https://doi.org/10.1109/23.289330
- [56] Kii, T., Shima, T., Baba, T., Nagai, Y.: A time projection chamber for the study of nuclear photodisintegration. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 552(3), 329–343 (2005). https://doi.org/10. 1511 1016/j.nima.2005.07.003 1512
- [57] Shima, T., Nagai, Y., Miyamoto, S., Amano, S., Horikawa, K., Mochizuki,
 T., Utsunomiya, H., Akimune, H.: Experimental study of nuclear astrophysics with photon beams. AIP Conference Proceedings 1235(1), 315–321 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3442615
 1513
 1513
 1514
 1514
 1515
 1515
 1516
 1517
 - 1518

- 1519 [58] Fetisov, V.N., Gorbunov, A.N., Varfolomeev, A.T.: Nuclear photoeffect 1520 on three-particle nuclei. Nuclear Physics 71(2), 305–342 (1965). https: 1521 //doi.org/10.1016/0029-5582(65)90720-0
- 1522
- 1523 [59] Dyer, P., Barnes, C.A.: The ${}^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma){}^{16}O$ reaction and stellar helium burn-1524 ing. Nuclear Physics A **233**(2), 495–520 (1974). https://doi.org/10.1016/ 1525 0375-9474(74)90470-9
- 1526
- $1530 \\ 1531$
- [61] Ouellet, J.M.L., Butler, M.N., Evans, H.C., Lee, H.W., Leslie, J.R., MacArthur, J.D., McLatchie, W., Mak, H.-B., Skensved, P., Whitton, J.L., Zhao, X., Alexander, T.K.: ${}^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma){}^{16}O$ cross sections at stellar energies. Phys. Rev. C 54, 1982–1998 (1996). https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevC.54.1982
- 1541
- 1542[63]Assunção, M., Fey, M., Lefebvre-Schuhl, A., Kiener, J., Tatischeff, V., 1543Hammer, J.W., Beck, C., Boukari-Pelissie, C., Coc, A., Correia, J.J., 1544Courtin, S., Fleurot, F., Galanopoulos, E., Grama, C., Haas, F., Ham-1545mache, F., Hannachi, F., Harissopulos, S., Korichi, A., Kunz, R., LeDu, 1546D., Lopez-Martens, A., Malcherek, D., Meunier, R., Paradellis, T., 1547Rousseau, M., Rowley, N., Staudt, G., Szilner, S., Thibaud, J.P., Weil, J.L.: E1 and E2 S factors of ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0){}^{16}O$ from γ -ray angular distri-15481549butions with a 4 π -detector array. Phys. Rev. C 73, 055801 (2006). 1550https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.73.055801
- 1551
- 1552 [64] Schürmann, D., Di Leva, A., Gialanella, L., Kunz, R., Strieder, F., De 1553 Cesare, N., De Cesare, M., D'Onofrio, A., Fortak, K., Imbriani, G., 1554 Rogalla, D., Romano, M., Terrasi, F.: Study of the 6.05 MeV cascade 1555 transition in ${}^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma){}^{16}O$. Physics Letters B **703**(5), 557–561 (2011). 1556 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.08.061
- 1557
- 1558 [65] Makii, H., Nagai, Y., Shima, T., Segawa, M., Mishima, K., Ueda, H., 1559 Igashira, M., Ohsaki, T.: *E*1 and *E*2 cross sections of the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0){}^{16}O$ 1560 reaction using pulsed α beams. Phys. Rev. C **80**, 065802 (2009). https: 1561 //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.80.065802
- 1562
- [66] Plag, R., Reifarth, R., Heil, M., Käppeler, F., Rupp, G., Voss, F., Wisshak, K.: $^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma)^{16}O$ studied with the Karlsruhe 4π BaF₂ detector. Phys. Rev.

| | Article Title 35 | |
|------|--|--|
| | C 86, 015805 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.015805 | 1565 |
| [67] | Barker, F.C., Kajino, T.: The $^{12}{\rm C}(\alpha,\gamma)^{16}{\rm O}$ cross section at low energies. Aust. J. Phys. 44, 369–396 (1991). https://doi.org/10.1071/PH910369 | 1566 1567 1568 |
| [68] | Tischhauser, P., Couture, A., Detwiler, R., Görres, J., Ugalde, C., Stech, E., Wiescher, M., Heil, M., Käppeler, F., Azuma, R.E., Buchmann, L.: Measurement of elastic $^{12}\mathrm{C}+\alpha$ scattering: Details of the experiment, analysis, and discussion of phase shifts. Phys. Rev. C 79 , 055803 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.055803 | 1569 1570 1571 1572 1573 1574 |
| [69] | Knutson, L.D.: Watson's theorem for low-energy $p-d$ radiative capture. Phys. Rev. C 59, 2152–2161 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC. 59.2152 | 1575 1576 1577 1578 |
| [70] | Brune, C.R.: Electric-multipole interference effects in the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma_0){}^{16}O$ reaction. Phys. Rev. C 64 , 055803 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.64.055803 | 1579 1580 1581 1582 |
| [71] | Gai, M.: Ambiguities in the rate of oxygen formation during stellar helium burning in the $^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma)$ reaction. Phys. Rev. C 88, 062801 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.062801 | $1583 \\ 1584 \\ 1585 \\ 1586$ |
| [72] | Brune, C.R., Sayre, D.B.: Energy deconvolution of cross-section measurements with an application to the $^{12}{\rm C}(\alpha,\gamma)^{16}{\rm O}$ reaction. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 698 , 49–59 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2012.09.023 | 1587 1588 1589 1590 1591 |
| [73] | Plaga, R., Becker, H., Redder, A., Rolfs, C., Trautvetter, H., Langanke, K.: The scattering of alpha particles from ^{12}C and the $^{12}\text{C}(\alpha,\gamma)^{16}\text{O}$ stellar reaction rate. Nuclear Physics A 465 (2), 291–316 (1987). https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(87)90436-2 | $ 1592 \\ 1593 \\ 1594 \\ 1595 \\ 1596 \\ $ |
| [74] | Bruno, M., Massa, I., Uguzzoni, A., Vannini, G., Verondini, E., Vitale, A.: Experimental study of the α - ¹² C elastic scattering. R-matrix analysis of the phase shifts and ¹⁶ O levels. Il Nuovo Cimento A (1965-1970) 27 (1), 1–26 (1975). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02785263 | $ 1597 \\ 1598 \\ 1599 \\ 1600 \\ 1601 $ |
| [75] | Smith, R., Gai, M., Stern, S., Schweitzer, D., Ahmed, M.: Precision measurements on oxygen formation in stellar helium burning with gamma-ray beams and a Time Projection Chamber. Nature Communications 12(1), 1–8 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26179-x | $ \begin{array}{r} 1602 \\ 1603 \\ 1604 \\ 1605 \\ 1606 \\ \end{array} $ |
| [76] | Fey, M.: Im Brennpunkt der Nuklearen Astrophysik: Die Reaktion $^{12}{\rm C}(\alpha,\gamma)^{16}{\rm O}.$ PhD thesis, Universität Stuttgart (2004). https://doi.org/ 10.18419/opus-4716 | 1607 1608 1609 1610 |

- 1611 [77] deBoer, R.J., Görres, J., Wiescher, M., Azuma, R.E., Best, A., Brune, 1612 C.R., Fields, C.E., Jones, S., Pignatari, M., Sayre, D., Smith, K., Timmes, 1613 F.X., Uberseder, E.: The ${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma){}^{16}O$ reaction and its implications for 1614 stellar helium burning. Rev. Mod. Phys. **89**, 035007 (2017). https://doi. 1615 org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.035007
- 1616
- 1617[78]Gai, M., Ahmed, M.W., Stave, S.C., Zimmerman, W.R., Breskin, A.,1618Bromberger, B., Chechik, R., Dangendorf, V., Delbar, T., III, R.H.F.,1619Henshaw, S.S., Kading, T.J., Martel, P.P., McDonald, J.E.R., Seo, P.-N.,1620Tittelmeier, K., Weller, H.R., Young, A.H.: An optical readout TPC (O-1621TPC) for studies in nuclear astrophysics with gamma-ray beams at HI γ S.1622Journal of Instrumentation $\mathbf{5}(12)$, 12004 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1088/16231748-0221/5/12/P12004
- 1624
- [79] Cwiok, M., Bieda, M., Bihalowicz, J., Dominik, W., Janas, W., Janiak, L.,
 Mańczak, J., Matulewicz, T., Mazzocchi, C., Pfützner, M., et al.: A TPC
 detector for studying photo-nuclear reactions at astrophysical energies
 with gamma-ray beams at ELI–NP. Acta Phys. Pol. B 49, 509–514 (2018).
 https://doi.org/10.5506/APhysPolB.49.509
- 1630Gai, M., Schweitzer, D., Stern, S.R., Young, A.H., Smith, R., Cwiok, [80] 1631 M., Bihalowicz, J.S., Czyrkowski, H., Dabrowski, R., Dominik, W., 1632Fijalkowska, A., Janas, Z., Janiak, L., Korgul, A., Matulewicz, T., Maz-1633 zocchi, C., Pfützner, M., Zaremba, M., Balabanski, D., Gheorghe, I., 1634 Matei, C., Tesileanu, O., Zamfir, N.V., Ahmed, M.W., Henshaw, S.S., 1635Howell, C.R., Mueller, J.M., Myers, L.S., Stave, S., Sun, C., Weller, 1636H.R., Wu, Y.K., Breskin, A., Dangendorf, V., Tittelmeier, K., Freer, M.: 1637Time Projection Chamber (TPC) detectors for nuclear astrophysics stud-1638ies with gamma beams. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics 1639Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Asso-1640ciated Equipment **954**, 161779 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima. 16412019.01.006
- 1642
- 1643Cwiok, M., Dominik, W., Fijalkowska, A., Fila, M., Janas, Z., Kalinowski, [81]1644A., Kierzkowski, K., Kuich, M., Mazzocchi, C., Okli´nski, W., Zaremba, 1645M., Gai, M., Schweitzer, D. K., Stern, S. R., Finch, S., Friman-Gayer, 1646U., Johnson, S. R., Kowalewski, T. M., Balabanski, D. L., Matei, C., 1647Rotaru, A., Haverson, K. C.Z., Smith, R., Allen, R. A.M., Griffiths, M. 1648R., Pirrie, S., Santa Rita Alcibia, P.: Studies of photo-nuclear reactions 1649at astrophysical energies with an active-target tpc. EPJ Web Conf. 279, 165004002 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/202327904002
- 1651

1652 [82] Pollacco, E.C., Grinyer, G.F., Abu-Nimeh, F., Ahn, T., Anvar, S.,
1653 Arokiaraj, A., Ayyad, Y., Baba, H., Babo, M., Baron, P., Bazin, D.,
1654 Beceiro-Novo, S., Belkhiria, C., Blaizot, M., Blank, B., Bradt, J., Cardella,
1655 G., Carpenter, L., Ceruti, S., De Filippo, E., Delagnes, E., De Luca, S.,
1656

| | De Witte, H., Druillole, F., Duclos, B., Favela, F., Fritsch, A., Giov- | 1657 |
|------|---|------|
| | inazzo, J., Gueve, C., Isobe, T., Hellmuth, P., Huss, C., Lachacinski, B., | 1658 |
| | Laffoley, A.T., Lebertre, G., Legeard, L., Lynch, W.G., Marchi, T., Mar- | 1659 |
| | tina, L., Maugeais, C., Mittig, W., Nalpas, L., Pagano, E.V., Pancin, | 1660 |
| | J., Poleshchuk, O., Pedroza, J.L., Pibernat, J., Primault, S., Raabe, R., | 1661 |
| | Raine, B., Rebii, A., Renaud, M., Roger, T., Roussel-Chomaz, P., Rus- | 1662 |
| | sotto, P., Saccà, G., Saillant, F., Sizun, P., Suzuki, D., Swartz, J.A., Tizon, | 1663 |
| | A., Trifiró, A., Usher, N., Wittwer, G., Yang, J.C.: Get: A generic elec- | 1664 |
| | tronics system for TPCs and nuclear physics instrumentation. Nuclear | 1665 |
| | Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, | 1666 |
| | Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 887, 81–93 (2018). | 1667 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.01.020 | 1668 |
| | | 1669 |
| [83] | Preliminary report for experiment HIGS P-14-21/P-18-19. Technical | 1670 |
| | report, Warsaw TPC collaboration (2021) | 1671 |
| | | 1672 |
| | | 1673 |
| | | 1674 |
| | | 1675 |
| | | 1676 |
| | | 1077 |
| | | 1670 |
| | | 1680 |
| | | 1681 |
| | | 1682 |
| | | 1683 |
| | | 1684 |
| | | 1685 |
| | | 1686 |
| | | 1687 |
| | | 1688 |
| | | 1689 |
| | | 1690 |
| | | 1691 |
| | | 1692 |
| | | 1693 |
| | | 1694 |
| | | 1695 |
| | | 1696 |
| | | 1697 |
| | | 1698 |
| | | 1099 |
| | | 1701 |
| | | 1701 |
| | | 1102 |
| | | |