

Sport scientists in-becoming: from fulfilling one's potential to finding our way along

WOODS, Carl T. and DAVIDS, Keith http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1398-6123

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

http://shura.shu.ac.uk/31551/

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

WOODS, Carl T. and DAVIDS, Keith (2023). Sport scientists in-becoming: from fulfilling one's potential to finding our way along. Sport, Education and Society.

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

1	Sport scientists <i>in-becoming</i> : From fulfilling one's potential to finding our way along
---	--

2

3 Carl T. Woods^{1*}, Keith Davids²

4

- 5 ¹Institute for Health and Sport, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia
- 6 ²Sport and Human Performance Research Group, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK

7

8 *Corresponding Author

- 9 Carl Woods, Institute for Health and Sport, Victoria University, Melbourne Australia
- 10 Email: carl.woods@vu.edu.au

12 Abstract

It is common to encourage people to envision life as a process of fulfilling their potential. But what 13 exactly does this mean? Traditionally, this question has been addressed by way of 'complementarity'; 14 15 dividing the human into biological and cultural components. Fulfilment is placed on the side of the 16 cultural; an acquisition of encoded secondary information, transmitted from predecessors, that 17 represents what it means 'to know'. Potential has been defined from the biological, as a suite of innate 18 capacities localised to the mind and body, passed on through a mechanism of genetic inheritance. 19 Founded upon a metaphor of inter-generational transmission, this perspective leads to a 20 conceptualisation of life as a progressive closure, 'filling up' the biologically innate with the culturally 21 acquired. Despite its prominence, this static view leads to a troubling question: with one's potential 22 fulfilled, where is one to go next? In this theoretical commentary, we offer an alternate, dynamical 23 account of potential and fulfilment by leaning on Ingold's notion of wayfaring. From this perspective, 24 life is not a process of filling up with knowledge, but opening up; corresponding with varied 25 experiences cast forward by others, as they to ours, situated within a continually unfolding field of 26 relations. Ontologically, this view is of 'us', not as beings, but as *becomings*, finding their way along 27 generative paths inhabited alongside others. Knowledge is not transmitted inter-generationally, but 28 is developed by primarily experiencing the coming-into-being of things we enter into correspondence 29 with. Initiated through a prologue, these ideas are exemplified in sharing our storied journey as sport 30 scientists in-becoming, following not objects of convention, but corresponding with things of curiosity.

31 Key words: Correspondence; Becoming; Knowing; Skill; Information; Wayfinding; Transdisciplinarity

32 "As in life, what matters is not the final destination, but all the interesting things that occur along
33 the way. For *wherever you are, there is somewhere further you can go*." – Tim Ingold (2007, p.
34 174)

35 Prologue: Carrying on

36 "Why are you reading literature in anthropology?" is a question I (the first author) am routinely asked. 37 After all, I underwent traditional training as a sport scientist. My typical response to this question is "why should I not?". Indeed, I did not plan or set out to be 'here'. Rather, I have been following various 38 39 lines of inquiry in their unfolding; lines that have ebbed and flowed in response to questions that have 40 jagged my attention along the way. These are questions which often have no answer, carrying on 41 through various places in which they lead me. What can be said, then, about the works written with 42 colleagues in response to such fundamental questions? For me, adopting an Ingoldian perspective, 43 they are not so much disciplinary articles with starts and ends, but knots entangled along a path of 44 continual growth. These knots have off-shooting ends that others may or may not want to pick up and 45 run with while moving along their path of growth (Woods & Davids, 2022). Otherwise stated, these 46 knots are *places¹* in which I have pitched my tent, pausing to join in conversation with others, all the 47 while keeping a responsive ear and eye directed toward an undetermined future (Woods et al., 48 2022a). Aligned with Ingoldian insights, knowledge of my surrounds has not as much been acquired 49 over the years, but grows in moving from place to place (Woods et al., 2022b).

As implied by the opening question, I guess I now find myself rather far from 'home', dwelling where many sport scientists typically might not. Recently, I have been considering what this would mean for my 'potential' as a sport scientist if it were to be adjudged based on its 'fulfilment'? If I am not where a sport scientist is 'supposed' to be, then some may think me considerably lost, stumbling through places that are unfulfilling relative to my potential *as* a sport scientist. Needless to say, I firmly disagree with such disciplinary territorialization, and feel it is an artefact of a rather archaic, superficial

¹ See Ingold (2011, ch. 12) for a detailed account of *places as knots*.

perspective of what it means to fulfill one's potential. I have never felt lost in the sense that I should be moving across a pre-determined disciplinary route leading toward a defined point of fulfilment *as* a sport scientist, so much as *wayfaring along an ongoing path of observation* (Ingold, 2007), following the various things that spark my curiosity, weaving them together as best and as carefully as I can (Woods et al., 2021). Maybe it would be better to think of such a voyage, not fulfilling my potential *as* a sport scientist, but of continually finding my way. Best, then, I carry on.

62 Introduction

63 In many parts of society, it is common to encourage people to envision life as a sequential process of 64 fulfilling their potential. But what exactly does this mean? In Western scientific thought, such a 65 question has typically been addressed by way of 'complementarity': dividing the human into separate, 66 but complementary parts related to the biological and the cultural (cf. Ingold, 1998; 2000; 2004; 2011). 67 Potential is placed on the side of the biological: a suite of innate capacities localised to the mind and 68 body, passed on through a mechanism of genetic inheritance (Ingold, 2000, ch. 6). Fulfillment is 69 located on the side of the cultural: pre-packaged, second-hand information transmitted into the minds 70 of others, encoded in rules, symbols, representations and systems of classification that provide 71 operational specifications for what it means 'to know' in order 'to function as' (Geertz, 1973; cf. Ingold, 72 1998, 2004; Sperber, 1996). Thus, fulfilling one's potential, according to the principle of 73 complementarity, is quite literally a process of 'filling up' the biologically innate with the culturally 74 acquired (Sperber, 1996).

Despite its prominence within various academic disciplines, such as anthropology, psychology and
sport science (cf. Davids & Araújo, 2010; Dawkins, 1999; Geertz, 1973; Kashima, 2008; Maslow, 1970;
Narvaez, 2018; Sperber, 1996; Tooby & Cosmides, 1992), this principle has not been without criticism
(Bouzenita & Boulanouar, 2016; Ingold, 1998, 2000, 2004; Isham & Jackson, 2022). Generally, such
critiques have targeted its determinist, individualist, asymmetric and reductionist undertones, leading

some toward a more relationally dynamic account of potential and fulfilment. Anthropologist Tim
Ingold (1998), for example, argued that:

82

83

"[...] the human being is not a composite entity made up of [...] body, mind and culture, but rather a singular locus of creative growth within a continually unfolding field of relationships" (p. 23).

84 The forthcoming conceptualisation of human behaviour, focused on potential and fulfilment, is 85 critically aligned in a similar way. In this paper we situate 'being' within Gibsonian ecological 86 psychology (Gibson, 1979; Reed, 1996; Heft, 2013), allowing us to frame it in *relation* to ongoing 87 changes in environing conditions (Heft, 2013). Gibsonian ecological realism is fundamentally anti-88 representational in its account of behaviour, specified not indirectly by second-hand content (termed 89 knowledge about the environment) localised to the mind, but by an embodied perceptual system 90 attuned to the 'pick up' of information that directly specifies information for affordances 91 (opportunities for action) with-in a richly structured environment (Gibson, 1979; Reed, 1996; Heft, 92 2013). Ecological realism signifies that 'knowing' is not a matter of a mind imposing structure on a 93 static world, but of actively finding structure (knowledge of the environment) in a dynamic, unfolding 94 field of relations (Heft, 2013; for more details see Woods et al., 2020).

95 To elaborate on these ideas, we introduce Ingold's (2007) notion of wayfaring. Life, it will be 96 suggested, is not a sequential point-to-point progression of mechanistically 'filling up' innate 97 capacities with representational content transmitted by predecessors. But is an active process of 'opening up', finding our way along a generative path of growth by corresponding with the varied 98 99 experiences of others – as they to ours – encountered along the way (Ingold, 2000; 2011). 100 Correspondence, in the sense presented here, differs to that of interaction. According to Ingold (2016), 101 where the latter goes back and forth transversally, the former goes along with longitudinally. 102 Interaction, then, could be understood as the oscillation between two pre-exiting and bounded ends, 103 whilst correspondence is a multilinear binding of lines joined in the middle (Ingold, 2016). This means 104 that in correspondence, ends are not provided in advance, but emerge "only in the acknowledgement

of *new beginnings*" (Ingold, 2016, p. 18, emphasis added). Otherwise stated, ends are not terminals
or nodes in a networked connection that closes in on itself, but are moments in a meshwork that are
continually formed in moving from place to place (Ingold, 2007).

108 There are epistemological corollaries to the argument presented here, with clear implications for 109 understanding human potential and fullfilment. In these processes, knowledge is not conceived as a 110 corpus of secondary information transmitted inter-generationally, waiting to be applied in practice. But is grown by way of practice, by primarily experiencing the coming-into-being of things we enter 111 112 into correspondence with (Ingold, 2011, ch. 12-14). Knowledge, in other words, is not tantamount to 113 concept categorisation and classification, denoted through the ascription of labels to objects, but is storied in the tangled coming-into-being of things we go along with (Ingold, 2011, ch. 14). This 114 115 ecologically dynamic perspective implies that we (i.e., sport scientists) are not moving toward a pre-116 determined point of fulfilment (i.e., 'an ends') through the consumption and application of transmitted, secondary information specifying for us about what it means 'to be'. But are rather 117 wayfaring along an ongoing path of participant observation² suspended in correspondence with 118 119 others. These theoretical ideas are exemplified in the shared experiences initiated within our prologue 120 and sections thereafter. Moreover, they foreground an ontological departure from the common pronominal accounts of what it means to fulfil one's potential (see Narvaez, 2018), pushing against 121 the labelling of humans 'as', in favour of one that situates 'us' in a perpetual state of *becoming-with*. 122

123 Potential fulfilment and the genealogical model

124 In the second chapter of his seminal text *The Interpretation of Cultures*, anthropologist Clifford Geertz

125 proclaimed:

² Our phrasing here can be traced to Gibson's (1979/2015) ecological approach to way-finding. "An observer who is getting around in the course of daily life", Gibson (1979/2015, p. 188, emphasis in original) argued, "sees from what I will call a *path* of observation". This 'can be thought of as a unitary movement, an excursion, a trip, a voyage that can last over short (minutes, hours) or long (days, weeks, years) periods' (Ibid., paraphrased).

"For man [*sic*], what are innately given are extremely general response capacities, which, although
they make possible far greater plasticity, complexity, and, on the scattered occasions when
everything works as it should, effectiveness of behaviour, leave it much less precisely regulated.
[...] Undirected by culture patterns – organized systems of significant symbols – [...] behaviour
would be virtually ungovernable, a mere chaos of pointless acts and exploding emotions" (1973,
p. 45-46)

132 This statement reflects a long-held tradition within Western scientific thought echoing that of 133 potential fulfilment. It views the human as a composite entity existing in two separate, parallel worlds: 134 the biological (emphasising "general response capacities") and the cultural (emphasising "organized 135 systems of significant symbols"). In the former, an individual is 'given' innate capacities by way of 136 genetic inheritance. In the latter, these capacities are 'filled' with acquired content passed on by 137 predecessors (Ingold, 2000). Within the corpus of acquired content are specifications for knowing how 138 to regulate behaviour effectively. Grounded in a metaphor of inter-generational transmission (Ingold, 139 2011; Lave, 1990), this is to posit that pre-existing biological capacities underwrite and define all 140 potential for subsequent learning and knowledge acquisition (for an overview of this in the sport 141 sciences, see Davids & Araújo, 2010).

142 Differing to the biological, the transmission of cultural content is non-genetic (Ingold, 2011; Lave, 143 1990). It is purportedly established through some type of direct instruction founded upon the 144 acquisition of rules, symbolic representations and classification systems, which are stored in the mind and believed to dictate the functioning of already knowledgeable others (also see Maslow, 1962, p. 145 146 4). This ideology reflects what Jean Lave (1990, p. 310) refers to as "the culture of acquisition", in 147 which learning is understood sequentially. First, one acquires a body of transmitted knowledge in the 148 form of rules and representations (i.e., 'culture'), and then one uses such knowledge to construct an 149 action to be 'properly' applied in practice. It is to imply that learning is context-free, internalised and 150 generalizable – or in a word, is tantamount to a process of *enculturation* (Ingold, 2000). Thus, while

humans supposedly exist in two parallel worlds, they enter life into a "gap", a vacuum "[b]etween what our body tells us and what we have *to know* in order *to function*" (Geertz, 1973, p. 50, emphasis added). What fills this gap, is the transmission of "information (or misinformation) provided by culture" (Geertz, 1973, p. 50).

155 This view follows the logic of what Ingold (2000, p. 134-139) refers to as the "genealogical model"; an 156 assumption that individuals are independently pre-specified by way of their genetic and non-genetic 157 constitution. Fulfilling one's potential, in following such a model, could be surmised as a point-to-point 158 sequence of instants, starting as an innate capacious organismic container, whose 'being' is pre-159 written within a genomic code; ending as a person filled with acquired representational content 160 specifying what it means 'to be' (Sperber, 1996). This perspective leads to an appreciation that by addressing components of the biological (i.e., organismic) and cultural (i.e., person) separately, we are 161 162 only able to ascertain a partial view of the human individual (Ingold, 1998). To glean an account of the 163 whole, we must add these component parts together. It is to "assert that the human being is not 164 merely a biological organism nor merely a social person, but the compound of one thing plus the 165 other" (Ingold (1998, p. 24, emphasis added).

166 To exemplify, one could argue from the biological premise that all humans possess an innate organismic potential 'to be' a sport scientist. But the expressive and interpretative value associated 167 168 with being such would reside within cultural specifications coded in the rules, representations and 169 classification systems transmitted into one's receptacle mind by governing bodies, institutions, 170 organisations, or groups of senior sport scientists themselves. These specifications, according to such 171 a transmissive model, would supposedly provide a person with the knowledge needed in order to 172 fulfill their potential as a sport scientist, perhaps reflected in the texts one must read, the stylistic ways 173 in which one must communicate research, the methods one must apply, the topics one is allowed to 174 explore (and by default, those which are out of bounds), and the reasoning of others which one must 175 follow. Accordingly, while the potential to be a sport scientist may be biologically universal, its

fulfilment is represented in the cultural specifications acquired. That is, the accumulation of secondary
information specifying *for* one *about* what it means 'to know' in order 'to function' *as* a sport scientist.
This, we suggest, is to *script one's life*; mapping where one is against prior-established conventions
that dictate where they (putatively) should be.

180 Though, in following this model of potential fulfilment, we quickly encounter some problematic 181 implications. The first is the presumption that human existence is founded on two parallel worlds: the biological (potential / organism) and the cultural (fulfillment / person). In reality, there is only one 182 183 world (Ingold, 2018), and all organisms inhabit it (Gibson, 1979, p. 130 ff.). This is a world co-184 determined by actions of inhabitants, which from an ecologically dynamic rationale, are reciprocally 185 shaped by those of others (Heft, 2007; Lave, 1990; van Dijk, 2021). Indeed, we are not denying that 186 humans inhabit a world with signs, pictures, words and systems of classification. Nor that genomes 187 circulate multicellular matrices within all organic matter, including humans. Our argument is that such things do not pre-determine, nor specify, what it means for one 'to be', as if such things are encoded 188 189 with information waiting to somehow be decoded by a passive recipient. Such pre-determinism 190 disregards the key idea that context is everything (Juarrero, 2023). Instead, human behaviour 191 continuously emerges as a relational property within the dynamic constraints of the environment and 192 tasks people undergo through the lifecourse (Juarrero, 2023). The complementary, transmissive model, thus fails to account for the ontogeny of human development (Oyama, 1985): how humans 193 194 grow in richly structured environments that continually come-into-being-with-others (Ingold, 1998; 195 2004; 2015, ch. 23; Thelen, 1995). Stated differently, if life was to be lived as a progressive filling up of an 'information gap' (see Geertz, 1973, p. 50), how responsive could one be to the varying 196 197 experiences of others encountered along the way? Would they not be destined 'to be' what was pre-198 specified for them, first by way of genetic inheritance, and then by way of cultural transmission? If this 199 were so, how would one find their way through events 'off-script'? After all, as Ingold and Hallam 200 (2007, p. 12) emphasise, a system strictly bound to the execution of a pre-specified plan would be 201 unable to *respond*, being thrown off course by the slightest perturbation.

This points us toward the second problem of such a model: its presumption that knowledge *pre-exists* its practical engagement with the world. If true, knowing would have to be tantamount to *classification*; a view aligned to the *complex-structure* metaphor introduced by David Rubin (1988). According to such, knowledge is viewed as the instillation of a concept or representation determined prior to its application in various contexts (see Woods & Davids, 2022, p. 6). Meaning that for one 'to know', they must be able to establish some type of match between the representation transmitted and the object that is of concern in their surrounds. It is to imply that knowledge:

209 [...] takes the form of a comprehensive configuration of mental representations that has been 210 copied [transmitted] into the mind of the individual, through some mechanism of replication, even 211 before he or she steps forth into the environment. The application of this knowledge in practice 212 is, then, a simple and straightforward process of sorting and matching, so as to establish a 213 homology between structures in the mind and structures in the world" (Ingold, 2011, p. 159, text 214 in brackets added)

215 This leads to a pre-determined view of the world, static and complete, filled with objects waiting to 216 be known about by way of classification into prior formed disciplinary frameworks or familiar classes. 217 Though, as eloquently shown by sociologist of science David Turnbull (2008), it is not enough to know 218 by applying systems of classification onto similar tasks, given changes in environing circumstances, 219 even in strictly controlled laboratory settings. Specifically, Turnbull (2008) noted the difficulty 220 scientists had in attempting to recreate the TEA laser developed by Bob Harrison in the late 1960s using only published methodologies and other sources of secondary information purportedly 221 222 describing its procedures. This is because what had not been considered in such secondary 223 information were the effects of locally nuanced differences between distinct laboratory settings in 224 which the procedures were being applied. For this very reason, it was not enough for the scientists to 225 proclaim 'to know' simply by possessing the methodology. Doing so, according to Blumberg and 226 Wasserman (1995), would be to commit the 'nomological fallacy': believing that naming and

227 classifying something is tantamount to knowing and explaining it. Acquiring a recipe, in other words, 228 does not mean one can actually *cook* a meal, in much the same way acquiring a map does not mean 229 one actually knows the terrain. Such a sentiment is heeded by the words of philosopher of science 230 Joseph Rouse (1987, p. 72), who proclaimed that "[s]cience is first and foremost knowing one's way 231 about in the laboratory (or clinic, field site)". Stated differently, one has to learn to actively participate 232 within their surrounds before procedures, rules or systems of classification can be applied. Discussed 233 in the following sections, this viewpoint encourages a shift from the transmissive model of potential 234 fulfilment, toward a more generatively relational account of what it means to find our way along. To 235 start this shift, we pick up from Turnbull's observations, contending that knowing is not established 236 before we go, waiting to be applied in practice, but is grown *as* we go, in moving from place to place.

237 To know

238 In advocating against complementarity, Ingold (1998) introduced the principle of obviation. This 239 principle takes as its starting point that humans are *both* biological and cultural, constituting a locus 240 of ongoing growth within structured environments inhabited alongside others. Humans are not, in 241 other words, 'organism' (biological) *plus* 'person' (cultural), but are "organism-person within a nexus 242 of environmental relations" (Ingold, 1998, p. 26, emphasis added). By doing away with the biological 243 and cultural dichotomy associated with complementarity, the principle of obviation eschews the inter-244 generational transmissive metaphor, as it is not the 'passing on' of innate and acquired content that 245 specifies what it means 'to be'. Rather, 'being' is ongoing and ever-emergent, resultant from complex, 246 dynamic and self-organising relations established and sustained with others (Oyama, 1985, also see Ingold, 1998, p. 25). "Real people", Ingold and Hallam (2007, p. 6) contend, "continually create 247 248 themselves and one another, forging their histories and traditions as they go along". If anything is 249 'transmitted', it is the alignment of one's perception to the movements of a more experienced 250 companion adaptively finding their way through the ebbs and flows of various tasks. Thus, what 251 fosters one's coming-into-being is not the backward reading of a transmitted code or representation, 252 but the *forward reading* of activity *joined with*.

253 To exemplify, humans are indeed not born readily to be sport scientists, nor any other role. These skills are continually learnt, oft under the guidance of experienced companions while situated within 254 255 a structured environment affording opportunities to partake in such practice. It is biological, as one's actions when partaking in such practice are part of their form of life as a human. And it is cultural, not 256 257 because its expressive value is adjudged based on a corpus of secondary information passed on from 258 predecessors, specifying what it means 'to know as'. But because such practice unfolds in response to 259 the presence and experiences of others within dynamic environments (Woods & Davids, 2022). 260 Simply, it is not the transmission of genetic and non-genetic information that creates the essence of 261 what it means 'to be' a sport scientist, but an ongoing co-respondence with others encountered along 262 the way (Woods et al., 2022a). Following this line of thinking would mean that 'being' a sport scientist has no pre-determined end, no point of fulfilled potential. It would rather carry on. Knowing, then, 263 264 would be to primarily experience things in-becoming, watching, listening, and feeling while moving 265 along, together.

266 In contrast to the complex-structure metaphor, this aligns to what Rubin (1988) referred to as a 267 complex-process metaphor. People, according to such, do not apply their knowledge in practice by 268 way of classification, so much as know by way of their practice, joining with the activities of more experienced companions to see, feel, hear, taste and smell things for themselves³. In his seminal text 269 270 Art as Experience, John Dewey (1934/2005) drew similar lines. For one to really know that which is of 271 concern, Dewey contended, they need to "begin with it in the raw; in the events and scenes that hold 272 the attentive eye and ear [...] arousing interest and enjoyment as one looks and listens" (p. 3). Dewey's example was that of a plant, arguing that if one wanted to know its flowering tendencies it would not 273 274 be enough to simply recognise its features: matching what was looked at against a prior formed 275 scheme representing it. For in doing so, one would risk moving toward a narrowing point in which

³ In the chapter *The Culture of Acquisition and the Practice of Understanding*, Jean Lave (1990) refers to this as "understanding in practice". Knowing, according to this perspective, occurs "in situations whose specific characteristics are part of the practice as it unfolds" (p. 19).

there is nowhere further to go; occupying a world of *objects* that have all been categorised away into
their pre-specified familial classes. The caveat to such a view is that we do not occupy a created world
of objects, but *inhabit a crescent world of things* (Heidegger, 1971; Ingold, 2011; 2015, ch. 3; Woods
& Davids, 2022). The difference between these statements is critical to our theorising, and requires
elaboration before carrying on.

281 First, the contrast between 'occupancy' and 'inhabitation' relates to the extent of correspondence 282 between the observer and the observed. For example, the former implies a distance, a removal in 283 which one documents and records features from afar, being careful not to engage with what the 284 observed has to say. In the sport sciences, such occupancy is common in research practices that follow 285 the hypothetico-deductive theory of scientific method, manifest in researchers focusing on data 286 collection or recorded observations used to 'prove or disprove' hypotheses determined a priori 287 (Woods & Davids, 2022). There is little room in such an approach to *listen* to the storied coming-intobeing of what is observed, viewed instead as an 'object of analysis' waiting to be classified away⁴. 288 289 Conversely, inhabitation is deeply immersive, in which an observer actively participates within the 290 broader ecology of the observed, knowing by way of *participant observation*⁵:

"[...] to the *occupant* the contents of the world appear already locked into their final forms, as
though they had turned their backs on us. To *inhabit* the world, by contrast, is to *join in* the process
of formation." (Ingold, 2013, p. 89, emphasis in original and added)

Second, the referral to a 'created world' imbues a view of a static surround, in which everything encountered is already locked into its final form, independent of its engagement with everything else. This is a world of 'objects', fixed and bounded; facts waiting to explicated by way of classification. A

⁴ This is especially noted in research that ascribes the label of 'talent' to youth sports participants based on deterministic models of 'identification' and 'development'. See Ribeiro et al. (2021) for a detailed overview of such criticisms.

⁵ For a detailed overview of participant observation as a way of knowing in sport science, see Woods and Davids (2022).

297 'crescent world', however, is dynamic, suspended on the cusp of becoming. It is a world replete with
298 'things' that are "always in the making" (Jackson, 1996, p. 4):

"The thing about *things*, is that far from standing before us as fait accompli, complete in itself,
each is a 'going on' – or better, a place where several goings on become entwined [...] It is a
gathering together of *materials in movement*." (Ingold (2011, p. 315, emphasis added)

302 How one comes to know 'things' in a 'crescent world' is not by way of classification based on a corpus 303 of transmitted secondary information, but by *directly perceiving* and *primarily experiencing* the very 304 conditions that sustain its ongoing growth; seeing, smelling, tasting, feeling and hearing what it has to 305 share (Dewey, 1934/2005; Gibson 1979/2015). It is, in other words, to join with the generative 306 conditions of life (Ingold, 2016), appreciating that every-thing we encounter and directly perceive, is 307 some-thing on its way to becoming some-thing else, 'us' included. A wonderful example of this in 308 science is noted in the work of biologist, Barbara McClintock⁶. By moving from an occupant with an 309 organism-centric focus, toward an inhabitant focusing at the ecological scale of the organism-310 environment relation, McClintock made seminal, paradigm shifting discoveries in the field of biology. 311 This manifest in McClintock 'not pressing nature with leading questions, but dwelling patiently with-312 in the complexity and variety of organisms [...]. What for others was interpretation, or speculation, 313 [for McClintock], was a matter of trained *direct perception*' (Henry, 1997, p. 158, paraphrased). 314 Appreciating this, it would not be enough for a sport scientist to proclaim 'to know' by way of enacting 315 secondary information specifying for them about what it is they are looking at. Rather, they would need to join with the broader ecology of what sparks their curiosity, following along in correspondence 316 317 (Camiré, 2022; Despret, 2013; Woods et al., 2022a). This process would be to grow with and into one's 318 knowledge by finding their way along a path of participant observation, a path that opens up and

⁶ For a detailed recount of McClintock's seminal work and approach to inquiry, see Henry (1997).

carries on in response to others (Morris et al., 2022). Given this open-endedness, how would such an
 epistemological shift implicate our understanding of potential and fulfilment?

321 Finding our way along

322 Recall that within our prologue, I (the first author) spoke of how some within my homely discipline of 323 sport science may consider me lost, perhaps even dwelling in places that sport scientists are typically 324 not seen. To this, I responded in the negative, emphasising that I – along with colleagues – have simply 325 been following the scent of things that have sparked our curiosity, moving through the various places 326 in which they have led. Such a journey is less about fulfilling our potential as sport scientists, and more 327 of continually finding our way, creatively improvising a path ahead by corresponding with the coming-328 into-being of things encountered. This is a journey captured by what Tim Ingold (2007, p. 78) refers to 329 as wayfaring.

330 To start, it is worth briefly contrasting wayfaring to transport, as the two are ontologically and 331 epistemologically distinct. Transport is destination-oriented; it starts with an end in mind (Ingold, 332 2007). The journey in-between is simply a means to an end, a mode that carries one *across* in order 333 to arrive *at*. For example, when one sits on a train to reach a destination oft established before they 334 leave the station, the journey is typically of little concern, with the train transporting the passenger 335 across the landscape on the shortest possible route to reach their destination in the fastest possible 336 time. Location, during such moments of transport, is commonly specified for the passenger by some 337 type of indirect, augmented information – perhaps gleaned from timetables, announcements, a map, or a global positioning device – that updates them about their current position relative to the 338 339 coordinates of a pre-determined route or destination (see Gell, 1985, p. 280). Given this point-340 indexicality (Woods, 2021), knowledge could be understood in a similar way to that denoted by the 341 complex-structure metaphor: knowing where one is by matching their position relative to a pre-342 established point in space. Transport can, therefore, be epistemologically aligned to the genealogical 343 model of potential fulfilment: potential being the place one starts their journey, fulfilment being the

pre-determined end they navigate toward by following the rules, representations and systems of
classification that specify *for* them *about* where they *should* be. It is a view surmised by Edwin Hutchins
(1995, p. 286):

347 "When the navigator is satisfied that he [*sic*] has arrived...he [*sic*] might look to the chart and say
348 'Ah, yes; I am here, off this point of land.' And it is in this sense that most of us feel we know where
349 we are. We feel that we have achieved reconciliation between the features we see in our world
350 and a representation of that world."

351 Wayfaring, comparatively, is journey-oriented; there is no end in mind, no terminus to be destinated, no potential to be fulfilled. It is open-ended, with the wayfarer continually being on the move, or as 352 353 Ingold (2007, p. 78) eloquently surmises, they *are* their movement. Far from being a static space to be 354 transported across, the environment, to the wayfarer, is a dynamic place to move along with, 355 sustained by corresponding with that which sparks their curiosity (Ingold, 2007, p. 78). Accordingly, in 356 contrast to transportation, where location and movement are mediated indirectly, it is the 357 attunement of the wayfarer's movements in *response* to the informational ebbs and flows of events 358 in an environment that affords the opportunities for them to carry their voyage on (Ingold, 2000). In 359 other words, there is no mentalistic separation between the deeply integrated wayfarer and the 360 environment they inhabit, which means that the more dynamic and richly variegated the 361 environment, the easier it is for the wayfarer to directly perceive the changing layout and find their way through. After all, in an environment "where nothing moves there is nothing to which one can 362 respond" (Ingold, 2000, p. 242). 363

In contrast to the transmissive genealogical model, we propose wayfaring as an alternate description of one's journey in-becoming, citing four key principles. First, it is *generative*: giving rise to form as people creatively improvise a path ahead. Second, it is *relational*, sustained co-responsively with and alongside others. Third, it is *temporal*: carrying on in rhythmic procession guided by the tight coupling of perception and action. Fourth, it is *animated in what we 'do'*: manifest in the dexterous practice of

everyday tasks⁷. Each principle, discussed next, is exemplified through our journey as sport scientists
in-becoming.

371 Wayfaring is generative

372 Since it is journey-oriented, wayfaring always overshoots destinations (Ingold, 2011). In fact, as the 373 world is crescent, suspended in-becoming, destinations are somewhat indeterminable, always open 374 to possibility. Finding one's way is not a matter of passively following a script or route laid down by 375 another, but is "to advance along a line of growth, in a world which is never quite the same from one 376 moment to the next, and whose future configuration can never fully be known" (Ingold, 2000, p. 242). 377 Given this dynamism and indeterminacy, wayfaring requires "a good measure of creative improvisation", forging a path ahead by attending directly to things as they occur (Ingold, 2011, p. 162, 378 379 emphasis added). Do not misread us here: people do follow the actions of more experience 380 companions, and they may even follow methodologies, recipes or sets of instructions when 381 attempting to learn particularly unfamiliar tasks. Our contention, though, is that it is not the passive 382 following of a script laid down by another that regulates a wayfarer's behaviour, but their ever-383 emergent attentive responsiveness to the movements of others within dynamic environments (Woods 384 et al., 2020). Following another's movement, otherwise stated, is a way to help orient oneself toward the pick-up of key specifying information, which means that the skill of the wayfarer resides not within 385 386 mindless autonomation, but in the actively tight coupling of perception and action (Gibson, 387 1979/2015).

To exemplify, I (the first author) am a less experienced academic sport scientist to that of my coauthor. This means I often find myself observing the ways in which they skilfully undertake various tasks, like responding to reviewer comments when publishing academic works. This observation is *not* an emulation or replication of 'the' way one 'must' respond, as if residing beneath what is being

⁷ We have drawn inspiration for this section from the opening chapter of *Creativity and Cultural Improvisation*, written by Tim Ingold and Elizabeth Hallam (2007). While these authors situate 'improvisation' beneath similar principles, to us, they equally relate to wayfaring given their ecological grounding.

392 observed is a representation waiting to be decoded, specifying for me about how to undertake such a 393 task. Rather, by observing them closely in practice – seeing and hearing them work – I am educating 394 my attention⁸ toward the specifying information they are attuned to, allowing me to align my 395 perception to the dynamics of the task, as they do. Though, just as the world is never settled, no two 396 reviewer comments are ever the same, which means that even as the task becomes more familiar to 397 me, I still have to adaptatively find a way forward, creatively improvising a path by adjusting how I 398 respond to insights cast forward by the reviewer. This is not autonomous, nor mindless, but requires 399 a carefully attuned perceptual system trained toward the 'pick up' of information that guides the way 400 forward. Accordingly, as no two tasks, no matter how similar or familiar, are ever the same, the form 401 of things – like a reviewer response letter – emerge as people creatively improvise a path ahead, 402 guided not by a transmitted representation, but by a trained perceptual system (Gibson, 1979/2015).

403 Wayfaring is relational

404 Following along from the above, wayfaring is thus not indirectly regulated by a series of transmitted 405 representations. It is achieved *directly* through the attunement of one's entire perceptual system to 406 ongoing changes in environing and task dynamics (Ingold, 2011; Gibson, 1979/2015). More 407 specifically, through responding to such changes, wayfarers open up the possibility of carrying on. 408 Elsewhere, we have explained this responsiveness through the intransitive verb 'commoning' (Woods 409 et al., 2022a, also see Ingold, 2018, and Menzies, 2014). People, in following this relational concept, 410 do not start life innately 'having in common'. Instead, it is an aspiration, something people continually 411 strive toward through a responsiveness directed toward various experiences cast forward by others. 412 It is a process, according to Ingold (2018, p. 38, emphasis added), that entails:

⁸ See Gibson (1979/2015) and Ingold (2000).

"[...] an attentive stretch whereby every participant casts their experience forward in ways
they can answer to the experiences of others, and they likewise, so as to achieve a *correspondence* that goes *beyond* what any of them could have imagined at the outset".

416 As implied in the above excerpt, corresponding dissolves ends into new beginnings, opening further 417 opportunities for people to carry their lives on with one another. Differing to the verticality of an interaction detailed earlier, correspondence follows what Ingold (2016, p. 18) refers to as a 418 419 longitudinal directionality. Think, for example, of people walking side-by-side while immersed in 420 conversation. The flow of this correspondence literally moves along longitudinally, carrying on for as 421 long as the conversation is sustained. Indeed, such conversations come to an end, though these ends 422 are not points of closure, but openness. This is because the doing of a conversation always overflows, 423 in that we are a somewhat different person upon entering into the next (Dewey, 1966). Contrast this 424 to a face-to-face interaction in which people talk at and to one another, as if in debate. Ends, in such 425 moments of interaction, are oft pre-established based on a pre-determined agenda (see Ingold, 2016). 426 It is best, then, to think of correspondence more harmonically, with participants attempting to 427 resonate with one another by casting experiences out. This means that as one makes their way 428 through the world, corresponding with the experiences of others, they actively contribute to the very 429 conditions that sustain growth by casting forward their experiences in ways that can be harmonically 430 responded to by another (Woods et al., 2022a). This means that for the wayfarer, there would be 431 nowhere further to go if there was no-thing for them actively correspond with. Stated differently, in 432 a static, homogenised environment in which varied experiences remain uncast, there would be nothing to which one could resonate (Ingold, 2000). 433

Take this very paper. It was not activated mechanistically, as an isolated, disciplinary idea housed to my (the first author's) mind, waiting simply to be written up by a passive body. It progressively emerged as *we* (both I and the co-author) conjoined our varied experiences, cast forward in responsive ways over prolonged periods of correspondence. Where we find ourselves now is a place somewhat

unscripted and uncharted, as rather than starting with an 'end in mind' to which we navigated toward through interaction, we have been following the inquiry together in its unfolding, creatively improvising a path by selectively responding to the various experiences shared along the way. By default, then, this paper has no start (i.e., potential), nor end (i.e., fulfillment). It is an ongoing inquiry issuing forth along a line of harmonic growth that is sustained by the conjoining of experiences forged in correspondence. Wayfaring, then, is relational, *precisely because it can only carry on in correspondence with and alongside others.*

445 Wayfaring is temporal

446 In the genealogical model of potential fulfilment, life is surmised as a point-to-point sequence of 447 instants, rooted in the metaphor of inter-generational transmission. This perspective is a process of replication, a backward reading of movement regulated indirectly by secondary information. Indeed, 448 449 there is a temporality associated with such a model, a metronomic kind where people are destined to 450 replicate that which has been transmitted to them by those gone before. The problem of 451 understanding temporality in such a way, is that in a crescent world of things that are never settled 452 and always open to possibility, replication would have to be *imperfect*. "No repeating system in the 453 living world can be perfect", Ingold and Hallam (2007, p. 10, emphasis added) state, "and it is precisely 454 because imperfections in the system call for continual correction that all repetition involves 455 improvisation". For this reason, the temporality of the wayfarer is not metronomic, connecting up 456 points in a sequence of interactive transmission, but *rhythmic*, issuing forth along a line of growth in 457 which activity is read forward manifest through the tight coupling of perception and action (Ingold & 458 Hallam, 2007). Following philosopher Henri Bergson (1911, p. 4-5, emphasis added), this rhythmic 459 temporality can be understood as *duration*:

460 "Our duration is not merely one instant replacing another; if it were, there would never be
461 anything but present – no prolonging of the past in the actual [...] Duration is the *continuous*462 *progress* of the past which gnaws into the future and which swells as it advances".

463 Life, for the wayfarer, is an ongoing rhythmic movement, a duration in which experiences undergone 464 are bound together while simultaneously cast out along a line of growth, directed toward an 465 undetermined future. As an aside, given its grounding in ecological psychology, time for the wayfarer 466 is not directly perceived, but events and locomotions are (Gibson, 1979/2015). This perspective 467 contrasts with conventional understanding of time in the physical sciences as flowing equably, 468 independent to anything else. To the wayfarer, though, events do not occur in space, "but in the medium of an environment that is rigid and permanent" (Gibson, 1975, p. 295). Events should be 469 470 thought of here as 'primary realities and time as an abstraction for them, not, as convention would 471 have it, the other way around' (Gibson, 1979/2015, p. 93 paraphrased). This nuance helps us to 472 appreciate that the undergoing of events we experience overflows, in the sense that whatever the 473 wayfarer does next will be shaped by that which they have already undergone (Dewey, 1966). Indeed, 474 there is a repetition associated with this duration, but echoing the words of Nikolai Bernstein (1967, 475 p. 234), it is a 'repetition without repetition': meaning, the wayfarer's search for behavioural solutions 476 is guided by experiences undergone, not (pre-)determined by them. For this reason, it is appropriate 477 to say that wayfarers do not know more as they go, implying the storage of information to be rolled 478 off in future states, but that they know better, manifest through an ever-attuned coupling of 479 perception and action to the informational ebbs and flows of a dynamic environment that is never the 480 same from moment to moment.

481 To exemplify, the flow of the various works cited within our prologue that 'we' (the broader authorship 482 team) have written in recent years has a temporality similar to the durational rhythmicity described 483 here. These works are not perfectly synchronised, each precisely picking up where the other left off 484 like a sequence of instants, forming a pre-programmed network of literature. Rather, each are 485 imperfect knots, that when threaded together, form a broader meshwork of inquiries (Ingold, 2011; 486 Woods, 2021). What makes these knots imperfect is that they consist of *loose ends*, each slightly 487 different to those gone before, given the varied experiences we have undergone in their threading 488 (Woods & Davids, 2022). This imperfection, though, is not something to be artificially smoothed over,

nor should it be looked upon negatively, as it is precisely in these loose ends where possibilities of carrying the meshwork on *open up*. After all, if these works did in fact precisely fit in place, connecting up to generate a 'watertight network', then there would be nowhere further for us to go⁹. Accordingly, what sustains our growth as sport scientists in-becoming, is not a metronomic sequencing of instants, but a rhythmic weaving of threads; an ongoing duration that has led us to where we are now.

494 Wayfaring is animated in what we 'do'

495 To the wayfarer, life is unscriptable. It cannot be codified into systems of classification waiting to be 496 passed on into the receptable mind of other. This is because a hardened system of classification cannot 497 pin down a fluid reality. For the wayfarer to carry on, they have to join with these formative processes, 498 attentively responding to ongoing changes in both environing and task dynamics. Though, while life 499 may indeed be unscripted and unscriptable, it does not seem to preclude organisations, institutions 500 or governing bodies from attempting to script it through the establishment of rules, plans and 501 conventions that seek to control the functioning of others, oft in the name of efficiency (Reed, 1996). 502 Think, for example, of the common myth in sports coaching that people must learn the 'fundamentals' 503 of a game – fitting in by replicating prior-established 'ways of moving' – before they can 'efficiently' 504 and 'correctly' play it (see Rudd et al., 2021). Skilled response, though, is not attributed to the passive 505 following of rules or rigid following of a plan. It requires a tight coupling of perception and action to 506 the most subtle of changes in task and environing conditions, a coupling that can only be learnt by 507 doing for oneself (Ingold, 2013, ch. 1). What distinguishes a skilful practitioner from their novice 508 counterpart, then, is not a mind filled with codified knowledge about their respective task specifying 509 for them about 'how to do'. But an attentiveness that sees them respond to, and join with, the 510 unfolding task dynamics with care, sensitivity, precision and dexterity.

511 This is a distinction denoted through what design theorist David Pye (1968) referred to as the 512 'workman of certainty' and the 'workman of risk'. The former is indicative of transport, in which one

⁹ See Ingold (2014, p. 390) for a critique detailing the pitfalls of an "interconnected world".

513 proceeds across a pre-determined route toward a destination identified prior to departure. For the 514 workman of certainty, movements are mechanically constrained by autonomously following what has 515 been prescribed for them. This means that they "cannot alter course in mid-flow, but must stop, alter 516 the settings of the apparatus, and start again" (Ingold & Hallam, 2007, p. 13). Changes in one's 517 direction of travel can thus be surmised as a series of stop/starts: stopping to match what one is doing 518 against how it 'should' be done, adjusting what is needed, and then starting their sequence of 519 movement again. The latter, however – the workman of risk – is the way of the wayfarer. They 520 carefully respond to the unfolding ebbs and flows of a crescent world in order to keep going. 521 Importantly, this response is not just embodied, but animated in-motion (Sheets-Johnstone, 2010). 522 This means that the workman of risk appreciates that at any moment, their task could alter course, 523 requiring them to dexterously and adaptatively respond as they go (Bernstein, 1967). There is a 524 submission in this dexterous response, an appreciation that in a crescent world, perceived control is 525 a falsity, even in seemingly repetitious tasks. This is crucial for the wayfarer, as it is what keeps them 526 openly responsive to the unfolding fluctuations of the task and environment.

527 As noted within our prologue, I (the first author) did not plan or set out to be 'here'. In fact, when 528 questioned about my 'five-year research plan', I have shared feelings of unease and confinement (cf. 529 Woods et al., 2022b). This, though, should not be misconceived as aimless drifting. I do have an 530 intended direction of travel, just not a pre-determined route or an end in mind. Leaning again on the 531 process of this paper's coming-into-being, we did set out to challenge conventions related to 'potential 532 fulfillment'. We did not, however, script the paper in advance, nor collage sections together, as though 533 they were written independently waiting to be inserted in their correct place. We have been figuring 534 out conceptualisation, meaning and communication as we have gone, manifest in weaving words into 535 words, sentences into sentences, paragraphs into paragraphs. In realising these smaller-scaled 536 affordances while working, we concurrently held open the larger-scaled opportunity to keep the paper 537 going in a direction determined as we went. Perhaps it is appropriate to view the 'page' you are 538 reading, then, in its Latin origin – pagus; an inhabited countryside (Illich, 1991) – with our writing being

the tracks formed as we have found our way through. Doing so would mean that our paper has literally animated its very message – navigating not toward a point of fulfilment, as though we started with it already completed, but wayfaring along an undulating path formed as we have gone, established in and by our *doing together*. It is only now, in looking back, that we are able to see the path left behind, a path that others may or may not want to follow. Wayfaring, thus, is animated in what people 'do', *precisely because what people 'do' is always open to the possibility of a crescent world*.

545 Concluding remarks

546 Here, we set out to challenge conventional interpretations of what it means to fulfill one's potential. 547 Specifically, it was proposed that life is not a process of 'filling up' the innate with the acquired, but 548 one of 'opening up', corresponding with the coming-into-being of affordances encountered along the 549 way. Leaning on Ingold's notion of wayfaring, this idea led us to situate life as an ongoing rhythmic 550 procession, of skilfully moving through a crescent world open to possibility. It would be remiss, and 551 perhaps even contradictory, to now suggest that our paper is coming to an end. For in doing so, we would risk tying off any loose ends exposed, proclaiming that this paper is 'done', 'complete', there is 552 553 nowhere further for it to go. The reality is that while its writing is coming to a pause, the paper is 554 positioned to now be responsively cast out into the world, waiting to be joined with by attentive others 555 who happen to stumble into it while moving along their path of growth. As Withagen and colleagues 556 (2012, p. 254) note, inhabiting a diverse affordance landscape provides multiple opportunities for 557 system trajectories with 'inviting potential', contrasted with a (de)'limited' affordance landscape, 558 replete with prescribed pathways to pre-determined outcomes. Maybe it is best to think of our paper 559 in similar terms? Not as coming to an end – a 'prescribed outcome' – but as an affordance with 'inviting 560 potential' that is on its way in becoming something else?

As a departing note, we wish to return to our journey as sport scientists in-becoming, following not the objects of convention, but corresponding with things of curiosity. Where is this correspondence to lead us next? While we have a direction of travel, this is a question we cannot answer, as where we

564 are going is somewhat uncertain, open to the possibility of what could come-into-being. There is a 565 trepidation associated with this openness and uncertainty, a risk that at any moment, our journey 566 could alter. Though, this trepidation is not because we are trying to 'stay the course', impatiently 567 moving toward a point of fulfilment as sport scientists. To us, such a point does not even exist. Rather, 568 our trepidation can be traced to our itchy feet, our eagerness to carry on, to pack up our tents and 569 responsively follow the scent of what is on the horizon, guided by experiences undergone. After all, in 570 a crescent, unscripted world replete with things on the move, there are no dead ends, just renewed 571 beginnings, further opportunities to get to know that which is of interest to us better than before. 572 Echoing the eloquently inspiring words of Tim Ingold with which we opened: what matters in life is 573 not the final destination, but all the interesting things that occur along the way. For wherever you are, 574 there is somewhere further you can go. Best then, we carry on.

- 575 Acknowledgements
- 576 NA

577 Disclosure statement

578 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

579 References

- 580 Bergson, H. (1911). *Creative evolution* (trans A. Mitchell). Macmillian.
- 581 Bernstein, N. A. (1967). *The co-ordination and regulation of movements*. Pergamon Press.
- 582 Blumberg, M. S., & Wasserman, E. A. (1995). Animal mind and the argument from design. American
- 583 *Psychologist, 50*(3): 133-144. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.50.3.133
- 584 Bouzenita, A. I., & Boulanouar, A. W. (2016). Maslow's hierarchy of needs: An Islamic critique.
- 585 Intellectual Discourse, 24(1): 59-81

- 586 Camiré, M. (2022). Assemblage thinking as attunement to race, gender, and sexuality. Journal of Sport
- 587 and Social Issues. doi: 10.1177/01937235221134611
- Davids, K., & Araújo, D. (2010). The concept of 'organismic asymmetry' in sport science. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 13*(6): 633-640. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2010.05.002
- Dawkins, R. (1999). Forward. In S. Blackmore (Ed.), *The meme machine* (pp. vii-xx). Oxford University
 Press.
- 592 Despret, V. (2013). Responding bodies and partial affinities in human-animal worlds. *Theory, Culture*593 & Society, 30(7/8): 51-76. Doi: 10.1177/0263276413496852
- 594 Dewey, J. (1934/2005). *Art as experience*. Perigee: New York.
- Gibson, J. J. (1975). Events are perceivable but times is not. In J.T. Fraser, N. Lawrence (Eds.), *The Study*
- 596 *of Time II* (pp. 295-301). Proceedings of the second conference of the international society for the 597 study of time.
- 598 Gibson, J. J. (1979/2015). *The ecological approach to visual perception*. Houghton Mifflin: Boston
- 599 Geertz, C. (1973). *The interpretation of cultures: Selected Essays*. Basic Books, Inc.: New York
- 600 Heft, H. (2007). The social constitution of perceiver-environment reciprocity. *Ecological Psychology*,
- 601 *19*(2): 85-105. doi: 10.1080/1040710701331934
- Heft, H. (2013). An ecological approach to psychology. *Review of General Psychology*, *17*(2): 162-167.
 doi: 10.1037/a0032928
- Heidegger, M. (1971). Poetry, language, thought (A. Hofstadter, Trans.). Harper and Row
- Henry, E. (1997). Toward a "feeling for the organism". NWSA Journal, 9(3): 156-162. doi:
 10.2979/NWS.1997.9.3.156
- 607 Hutchins, E. (1995). *Cognition in the wild*. MIT Press.

- 608 Illich, I. (1991). In the mirror of the past: Lectures and addresses 1978-1990. M. Boyars: London
- 609 Ingold, T. (1998). From complementarity to obviation: on dissolving the boundaries between social
- and biological anthropology, archaeology and psychology. Zeitschrift für Ethnologie. 123(1): 21-52
- 611 Ingold, I. (2000). *The perception of the environment: Essays on livelihood, dwelling and skill*. Routledge:
- 612 London and New York.
- Ingold, T. (2004). Two reflections on ecological knowledge. In G. Ortalli, & G. Snga (Eds.), Nature
- 614 knowledge: ethnoscience, cognition, identity (pp. 301-311). Berghahn, New York
- 615 Ingold, T. (2007). *Lines: A brief history*. Routledge: New York and London.
- 616 Ingold, T. (2016). On human correspondence. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute, (N.S.)23:
- 617 9-27. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9655.12541
- Ingold, T. (2011). *Being alive: Essays on movement, knowledge and description*. Routledge: London
 and New York
- Ingold, T. (2013). *Making: Anthropology, archaeology, art and architecture*. Routledge: London and
 New York
- 622 Ingold, T. (2015). *The life of lines*. Routledge: London and New York
- 623 Ingold, T. (2016). That's enough about ethnography! Hau: Journal of Ethnographic Theory, 4(1): 383-
- 624 395. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.14318/hau4.1.021
- 625 Ingold, T. (2018). *Anthropology and/as education*. Routledge: London and New York.
- 626 Ingold, T. & Hallam, E. (2007). Creativity and cultural improvisation: an introduction. In E. Hallam, & T.
- 627 Ingold (Eds.), *Creativity and cultural improvisation* (pp. 1-24). Berg.
- 628 Jackson, M. (1996). Things as they are: new directions in phenomenological anthropology. Indiana
- 629 University Press.

- 630 Juarrero, A. (2023). *Context changes everything: How constraints create coherence*. MIT Press.
- 631 Kashima, Y. (2008). A social psychology of cultural dynamics: examining how cultures are formed,
- maintained, and transformed. Social and Personality Psychology Compass 2(1): 107-120. doi:
- 633 10.1111/j.1751-9004.2007.00063.x
- 634 Lave, J. (1990). The culture of acquisition and the practice of understanding. In J. W. Stigler, R. A.
- 635 Shweder, & G. Herdt (Eds.), Cultural psychology: essays on comparative human development (pp. 309-
- 636 327). Cambridge University Press.
- 637 Maslow, A. H. (1962). Toward a psychology of being. D Van Nostrand
- 638 Maslow, A. H. (1970). *Motivation and personality* (2nd ed.). Harper & Row.
- 639 McGregor, S. L. T. (2015). The Nicolescuian and Zurich approaches to transdisciplinarity. *Integral*640 *Leadership Review, 6*(16): 1-11
- 641 Menzies, H. (2014). *Reclaiming the commons for the common good*. New Society Publishers.
- 642 Morris, C., Davids, K., & Woods, C. T. (2022). On the wisdom of *not*-knowing: Reflections of an Olympic
- canoe slalom coach. *Sport, Education and Society*. doi: 10.1080/13573322.2022.2140135
- Narvaez, D. (2018). *Basic needs, wellbeing and morality: fulfilling human potential*. Palgrave Pivot
 Cham.
- Oyama, S. (1985). *The ontogeny of information: developmental systems and evolution*. Cambridge
 University Press.
- 648 Reed, E. (1996). *The necessity of experience*. Yale University Press: New Haven
- Rouse, J. (1987). *Knowledge and power: towards a political philosophy of science*. Cornell University
 Press: New York.

- Rubin, D. (1988). Go for the skill. In U. Neisser & E. Winograd (Eds.), *Remembering reconsidered: Ecological and traditional approaches to the study of memory* (pp. 374-382). Cambridge University
 Press.
- Rudd, J., Foulkes, J., O'Sullivan, M., & Woods, C. T. (2021). A 'fundamental' myth of movement with a
- 655 'functional' solution. In A. Whitehead & J. Coe (Eds.), *Myths of Sport Coaching* (pp.). Sequoia Books.
- 656 Sperber, D. (1996). Explaining culture: A naturalistic approach. Blackwell Publishing
- Thelen, E, (1995). Motor development: A new synthesis. *American Psychologist*, *50*(2): 79-95. doi:
 10.1037/0003-066X.50.2.79
- Tooby, J., & Cosmides, L. (1992). The psychological foundations of culture. In J. H. Barkow, L. Cosmides,
- 660 & J. Tooby (Eds.), *The adapted mind: Evolutionary psychology and the generation of culture* (pp. 19-
- 661 136). Oxford University Press.
- Turnbull, T. (2008). Knowledge systems: local knowledge. In H. Selin, (Ed.), *Encyclopaedia of the history*
- of science, technology, and medicine in non-western cultures (p. 1198-203). Dordrecht: Springer.
- van Dijk, L. (2021). Psychology in an indeterminate world. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 16(3):
- 665 577-589. doi: 10.1177/1745691620958005
- 666 Withagen, R., de Poel, H. J., Araújo, D., & Pepping, G.-J. (2012). Affordances can invite behavior:
- 667 Reconsidering the relationship between affordances and agency. New Ideas in Psychology, 30(2), 250–
- 668 258. doi: 10.1016/j.NEWIDEAPSYCH.2011.12.003
- 669 Woods, C. T. (2021). *Toward Ithaka*: hiking along paths of knowing *of/in* an ecologically dynamic world.
- 670 Sport, Education and Society. doi: 10.1080/13573322.2021.1994939
- 671 Woods, C. T., & Davids, K. (2022). Thinking through making and doing: sport science as an art of
- 672 inquiry. Sport, Education & Society. doi: 10.1080/13573322.2022.2054792

- 673 Woods, C. T., Araújo, D., & Davids K. (2022a). Joining with the conversation: Research as a sustainable
- 674 practice in the sport sciences. *Sports Medicine Open, 8*(102). doi: 10.1186/s40798-022-00493-0

Woods, C. T., McKeown, I., Araújo, D., & Davids, K. (2022b). Wayfinding through boundaries of

676 knowing: professional development of academic sport scientists and what we could learn from an

- ethos of amateurism. *Sport, Education & Society*. doi: 10.1080/13573322.2022.2071861
- 678 Woods, C. T., Rudd, J., Araújo, D., Vaughan, J., & Davids, K. (2021). *Weaving lines of inquiry*: Promoting
- 679 transdisciplinarity as a distinctive way of undertaking sport science research. Sports Medicine Open,
- 680 7(55). doi: 10.1186/s40798-021-00347-1
- 681 Woods, C. T., Rudd, J., Robertson, S., & Davids, K. (2020). Wayfinding: How ecological perspectives of
- navigating dynamic environments can enrich our understanding of the learner and the learning
- 683 process in sport. Sports Medicine Open, 6(51). doi: 10.1186/s40798-020-00280-9