# Sheffield Hallam University

# What am I for the other? Digital media and its discontents [abstract only]

BLACK, Jack <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1595-5083>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/30715/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

### Citation:

BLACK, Jack (2022). What am I for the other? Digital media and its discontents [abstract only]. In: Digital Mediation and Working Through in Times of Denial, Disavowal and Splitting: On the Un/Representable, Twickenham, UK, 14-15 Sep 2022. (Unpublished) [Conference or Workshop Item]

## Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

#### 'What am I for the Other? Digital Media and its Discontents'

Jack Black, PhD

#### Presented at:

'Digital Mediation and Working Through in Times of Denial, Disavowal and Splitting: On the Un/Representable', St. Mary's University, UK, September 2022

#### Citation:

Black, Jack. "What am I for the Other? Digital Media and its Discontents." Paper presented at the *Digital Mediation and Working Through in Times of Denial, Disavowal and Splitting: On the Un/Representable International Symposium*, St. Mary's University, Twickenham, UK, September 14—15, 2022.

#### Abstract

It seems as if our very addiction to 'social media' has, today, become encapsulated in the tensions between its facilitation as a mode of interpersonal communication and as an insidious conduit for machine learning, surveillance capitalism and manipulation. What is more, we remain fully aware of the problems and unethical practices perpetuated by digital media companies that we frequently use and require. While we realize and accept that not everything that we see online can be taken at 'face value', our relations to/with digital media continue to be characterized by a 'fetishistic disavowal' (Žižek, 2008). Though 'we know very well that the media and our current cultural climate are influencing our behaviour and our choices as consumers to an extremely problematic extent, we still like to pretend that we are free to make our own choices' (Mangold, 2014, 4). Such a *pretense* is grounded in a level of interactivity that, while affording the opportunity to engage with a 'world wide web', remains enveloped in a passive engagement with the content onscreen. It is this passive engagement which is paradoxically founded upon our own active involvement in digital environments.

In this paper, attention will be given to exploring how our digital media relations can be read as a form of interpassive exchange, whereby the hysterical question, 'what am I for the Other?', can work to re-align our approach to digital media platforms. Specifically, I will draw from the position of the hysteric (Clemens and Grigg, 2006) in accordance with Robert Pfaller's (2017) notion of interpassivity. Together, these concepts will be used to provide a psychoanalytic account of how our subjectivization through digital media renders an unconscious endorsement that both frames our awareness of the dilemmas encompassing social media, while also positing an inherent limitation that may offer a possible path out of its impeding affects. This subjective ambivalence – delegated yet reluctantly disavowed – offers an opportunity to realign discussions on the lost object of desire (*objet a*) and its reproduction in the algorithm.

#### References

- Clemens, Justin and Russell Grigg, eds. Jacques Lacan and the Other Side of Psychoanalysis: Reflections on Seminar XVII. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2006.
- Mangold, Alex. "Introduction: Performing Žižek: Hegel, Lacan, Marx, and the Parallax View." In *Žižek and Performance*, edited by Broderick Chow and Alex Mangold, 1—12. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.
- Pfaller, Robert. *Interpassivity: The Aesthetics of Delegated Enjoyment*. Edinburgh, UK: Edinburgh University Press, 2017.

Žižek, Slavoj. The Sublime Object of Ideology. London, UK: Verso, 2008.