

Title: Are images of seized knives an effective crime deterrent? A comparative thematic analysis of young people's views within the Scottish context

Auhors: Cogan, N.^{a*}, Chin-Van Chau, Y.^a, Russell, K.^{a,d}, Linden, W.^d, Swinson, N.^a, Eckler, P.^a, Knifton, L.^a, Jordan, V.^a, Williams, D.^a, Coleman, C.^a, & Hunter, S. C.^{b,c}.

*Dr Nicola Cogan, Senior Lecturer, University of Strathclyde, Nicola.cogan@strath.ac.uk

^aSchool of Psychological Health and Wellbeing, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland

^bGlasgow Caledonian University, Scotland

^cUniversity of Western Australia, Australia

^dScottish Violence Reduction Unit, Scotland

Keywords: Young people, knife carrying, knife crime, Scotland, media, qualitative, photo elicitation

Biographies:

Nicola Cogan is a Senior Lecturer and Clinical Psychologist at the University of Strathclyde. Her research is focused on applied mental health, young people and mixed methods.

Yvonne Chin-Van Chau is a Research Assistant within the School of Psychological Science and Health at the University of Strathclyde.

Simon C. Hunter is a Professor of Applied Psychology at Glasgow Caledonian University. His research focuses on how young people respond to peer violence, their screen media use, and on experiences of stigma surrounding mental illness.

Kirsten Russell is a Research Analyst within the Scottish Violence Reduction Unit and a Visiting Researcher at the University of Strathclyde. Her research is focused on violence reduction, suicide and self-harm, and evaluation.

Will Linden is the Deputy Director and leads the innovation, policy and strategy development within the Scottish Violence Reduction Unit and is a Visiting Professor at the University of Strathclyde. His research includes violence prevention, the social determinants violence, and gangs.

Nicola Swinson is a Consultant Forensic Psychiatrist in NHS Forth Valley, Scotland. She is a Visiting Professor in the School of Psychological Sciences and Health at the University of Strathclyde and her research interests include homicide, violence reduction and personality disorder.

Petya Eckler is a Senior Lecturer in Journalism, Media and Communication at University of Strathclyde with a focus on health communication. Her work explores how various health issues are presented in the media and how audiences respond to such representations.

Lee Knifton is a Reader at Strathclyde University and Director of The Mental Health Foundation Scotland. His research focuses upon public mental health.

Vicki Jordan is a postgraduate student in MSc in Clinical Health Psychology at the University of Strathclyde with an interest in applied mental health and youth studies.

Damien J. Williams is a Teaching Associate at the University of Strathclyde. His research interests include violence prevention and critical approaches to health promotion.

Acknowledgements:

This research was funded by the Scottish Violence Reduction Unit.

Title: Are images of seized knives an effective crime deterrent? A comparative thematic analysis of young people's views within the Scottish context

Abstract

The urgency to reduce knife carrying has been recognised by police services within Scotland and has been addressed by initiatives such as the sharing of knife seizure images on media outlets. This study sought to explore young peoples' views on the use of knife seizure images as a deterrent to carrying knives by using comparative individual interviews (N = 20) with photo elicitation. Three themes were discovered: (1) negative reactions towards images of seized knives, (2) images of knives may encourage rather than deter knife carrying, and (3) reinforcement of existing beliefs, stereotypes and stigma. These findings highlight the limitations of using knife seizure images as a deterrent and the importance of involving young people in developing preventative and non-discriminatory approaches to tackling knife crime.

Introduction

Tackling knife crime has remained a key issue for UK policy makers, with growing research building a comprehensive understanding on how best to achieve this (Harding, 2020; Silvestri et al., 2009; Skarlatidou et al., 2021). The term ‘knife crime’ encompasses a range of offenses, including possession of a knife, knife-related violence, and the presence of a knife in robberies, sexual violence, and criminal damage (Grimshaw & Ford, 2018). The pioneering work of the Scottish Violence Reduction Unit (SVRU), which was set up in 2005 at a time when Glasgow was known as Europe’s murder capital, has been credited for much of the progress in preventing knife crime in Scotland. Despite there having been a 29% decrease in police-recorded knife crimes in Scotland reported between 2010-11 to 2019-2020 (Office for National Statistics (ONS), 2020), recent statistics indicate a 6% rise in crimes of handling an offensive weapon from 2018-2019 to 2019-2020 (ONS, 2020).

The ongoing pursuit to reduce knife crime has encouraged policy makers and researchers to scrutinise the existing deterrents implemented across the UK. Among them, sharing knife seizure images, where pictures of knives recovered by the police are posted across various media outlets and used in campaigns, is one method that has received attention. Knife seizure images are also used to show the success of police efforts to seize weapons off the streets and warn the public about the dangers of such weapons (Russell, 2021). These images have largely been targeted towards young people (England & Jackson, 2013; Wells, 2008). While concerns have been raised that using knife images may potentially increase fear and perpetuate stereotypes (NoKnivesBetterLives, 2019), to date, very little is known about the impact of these widely accessible images. In consideration of these

speculations about the intent and outcomes of using knife seizure images, there is a need for more substantive evidence about how people respond to the use of these images (Silvestri et al., 2009). Moreover, increased public concern in relation to knife crime, potentially linked to high profile cases within the media (Harding, 2020), has called for a renewed focus to address the lack of empirical evidence on the effectiveness of deterrents (Grimshaw & Ford, 2018; Vulliamy et al., 2018) and to ascertain young people's views on the use of knife seizure images (NoKnivesBetterLives, 2019). There is also a need to understand if the perceived effects and consequences of using knife-seizure images differ among young people who live in areas of high knife crime compared to those living in areas of low knife crime rates. This is pertinent given that feeling vulnerable to crime, as might be expected among young people living in areas of high knife crime, has been found to increase fear of crime (Erčulj, 2021).

Young people and knife crime

Young people are disproportionately at risk of being exposed to higher levels of crime (Densley & Stevens, 2014; Harding, 2020; Wood, 2010); this includes witnessing violence, being a victim of violence, and being threatened with a weapon (Surko, et al., 2005). In Scotland, young adults and people living in the most deprived areas are most at-risk of assault-related sharp-force injuries (Goodall et al., 2019; Leyland & Dundas, 2010; Scottish Truama Audit Group Annual Report, 2016). Fear of victimisation has been identified as the primary reason for knife carrying (Foster, 2013), which stems from the belief that knives offer a means of protection (Bannister et al., 2010; Goodall et al., 2019). A recent systematic review examining the risk factors for knife crime among young people aged 10-24 years provided further insight into this, although only 1 of the 16 studies reviewed focused specifically on the Scottish context (Haylock et al., 2020). Among the risk factors were social problems commonly encountered by young people living in deprived areas such as educational and occupational disadvantages, the stigma of poverty, adverse childhood

experiences, and economic deprivation (Coid et al., 2021; Densley & Stevens, 2014; Grimshaw & Ford, 2018; Smith, 2007; Wood et al., 2017). This research draws attention to how violence involving knife crime is a complex product of people's responses to their social situations and environment. The challenges and specific risk factors linked with knife carrying establish a need to understand the potential role of the environment in fostering different perceptions about knife carrying and crime, and whether this impacts on the effectiveness of knife-detering initiatives (Harding, 2020), including the use of knife seizure images.

Crime in the media

The media has always had a profound effect on how the public perceives and understands societal issues, which may create a distorted image of the reality of knife carrying and crime (Humphreys et al., 2019). An explanation for this can be drawn by Cultivation Theory (Gerbner et al., 1994), which proposes that an individual's perception of the world's social realities is a reflection of the frequency and type of media they consume. Cultivation research has shown an association between media consumption and various attitudes such as perceived levels of social violence, perceived crime risk and support for gun control (Chermak, 1994; Rhineberger-Dunn et al., 2015). Additionally, over-representation of violent crimes in news media may lead to an overestimation of crime, thus misleading the public about safety concerns and intensifying fear of violence and/or victimisation (Holligan et al., 2017; Humphreys et al., 2019; Intravia et al., 2017; Smolej & Kivivuori, 2006; Thomson et al., 2019). This relates to wider, societal consequences of how media narratives of crime may exacerbate criminal stereotypes of people or places. For example, a survey from the USA has shown that attention to crime news was positively associated with culpability judgements about people of Black or unidentified but not White racial background, and that exposure to overrepresentation of Blacks as criminals was positively related to the perception

that they are violent (Dixon, 2008). Speaking of images, Gutsche and Salkin (2011) found that news photographs provided valuable information on how an area's characteristics were defined, but these were often portrayed through inaccurate and negative narratives and stereotypes. These examples of the influence of news media and images draw attention to how images of seized knives may be interpreted, and their potential to stigmatise young people through reinforcing negative perceptions about areas, race and communities where knives are reportedly seized (Rogan, 2021). This calls for an urgent need to investigate the impact of showing images of seized knives alongside how media narratives influence their interpretation (Chadee & Surette, 2019).

Knife-carrying deterrents

Young people often feature as the main focus of knife-related research and deterrents (Batchelor, 2010; Fraser, 2013). The empirical research on the reasons and subjective meanings of knife carrying among young people tends to be unsystematic and lacks contextualisation within Scotland (Palasinski & Riggs, 2012), which has hindered both local and government efforts to introduce more effective counter measures for knife carrying (Ang et al., 2012). The SVRU has been recognised as a global innovator in violence reduction by adopting an upstream public health approach and focusing on preventative action at the root cause (Rainey et al., 2015). By utilising a multi-levelled social ecological framework (Bronfenbrenner, 1977), the objective is to examine the interplay of individual, interpersonal, community, and societal factors on violence reduction (Dahlberg & Mercy, 2009). Research evaluating programmes guided by this framework, including peer-led parenting, community initiatives, and school-based education initiatives, has reported positive results in relation to deterring weapon-carrying (Day et al., 2012a,b; Gavine et al., 2014; Local Government Association, 2018; Measor & Squires, 2000; Russell, 2021; Ward, 2019; Williams et al., 2014) yet there is a need for further longitudinal work. While these findings provide growing

support for the importance of intervention programmes to help mitigate the environmental and societal factors that may contribute towards knife crime among youth, there is little empirical research evaluating police-led crime deterrents implemented across the UK (Rosbrook-Thompson, 2019). Further, existing research on fear-based deterrent methods, such as arrest and punishment responses, scare tactics, and confrontational techniques, have not only been found to be ineffective, but may even have adverse effects such as fear of victimisation (Youth Justice Board, 2010). For example, the programme Scared Straight involves organised visits to prisons by delinquents or at-risk youths with the aim of deterring through direct observations of prison life and interactions with inmates. Such programmes encompass a range of traditional deterrent techniques, including fear and intimidation, but appear to be potentially harmful as the exposure to crime may result in reoffending or increased probability of committing criminal offences in the future (Petrosino et al., 2013; Russell, 2021). Lipsey's (2009) meta-analysis of the characteristics associated with effective interventions for young offenders provides additional evidence against deterrence or discipline techniques, reporting that they are associated with a 2-8% increase in rates of recidivism.

Although further evaluation of the context and delivery of such programmes is needed, as well as consideration of which groups of young people may benefit from such interventions (Wortley & Hagell, 2021), these findings highlight the potential advantages of engaging with young people about the consequences of knife crime. Yet, no known research has explored the impact of using knife seizure images as a deterrent method, where images of knives recovered by the police are shared across various media outlets including social media, television and within school campaigns. Further research involving young people is required to ascertain whether sharing such images contributes effectively to addressing the knife-crime crisis.

Current study

Our research involved interviews with young people using photos of seized knives to encourage conversations about their thoughts and feelings in relation to such images. To our knowledge, this is the first study to ascertain young people's views on the use of knife seizure images as a crime deterrent. Our primary objectives were to: (1) explore if knife seizure images were viewed by young people to be an effective deterrent against knife crime and (2) compare the views of young people living in areas of high knife crime with young people from areas of low knife crime in Glasgow, Scotland.

Method

Participants

A purposive sample (N = 20) was obtained, whereby participants were considered eligible for the study if they were: (1) aged 18-25, ; (2) currently living in an area identified as having high reported rates of knife crime within Glasgow (Canal Council Ward area; community sample) or in areas that were not identified as having high knife crime (comparative sample); (3) had lived in the area for at least 6 months; (4) could speak English well and were able to provide informed consent for participation. Young people with direct experience of being a victim of knife crime or involved in knife carrying or crime were excluded from the study. This was to help safeguard young people who had such direct and potentially traumatic experiences with the view to this being a focus for future longitudinal work with appropriate support and guidance. Information about the participants' characteristics is detailed in Table 1.

TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

Recruitment and procedure

Ethical approval for the research was obtained from the lead author's University Ethics Committee. The study was promoted through an online recruitment poster shared via social media (e.g., Twitter, Facebook, Instagram) and third sector agencies (e.g., SVRU, One Communities, North United Communities and Love Milton). Those who expressed an interest were sent a copy of the participant information sheet. They were offered an opportunity to ask questions about the study. All participants provided electronic written consent. Participants engaged in a semi-structured interview via Zoom, which is a viable tool for qualitative investigations due to its relative ease of use, cost-effectiveness, data management options and security (Archibald et al., 2019). The interviews were conducted during January – April 2021.

Conducting the interviews required attention to ethical considerations, the specific challenges facing young people during the COVID-19 pandemic and appropriate forms of communication. It was anticipated that young people might have fears about discussing knife carrying and crime. They were informed that they were free to withdraw from the study at any time and issues regarding confidentiality and the protection of their anonymity were discussed. Information on suitable support, online resources and wellbeing advice was provided. With the participants' consent, interviews were audio-recorded. A notebook was also used for keeping reflective field-notes. The data were transcribed verbatim and in full to ensure no data were lost that may have become significant in the wider analysis of the research findings.

Photo-elicitation during interviews

Photo-elicitation methodology involves conducting interviews with questions which are stimulated and guided by images (Collier & Collier, 1986; Harper, 1998, 2002). Guided by the principle that this methodology offers unique perspectives and responses in

comparison to standard text-based research (Prosser, 1998; Rose, 2007), it has been successfully employed in research specifically involving young people (Walton & Niblett, 2013) and was adopted in the current work. The series of knife images utilised for the interviews were obtained from published articles by UK news media (with appropriate permissions) and from stock images produced by the No Knives Better Lives (<https://noknivesbetterlives.com/>) programme. The images were used to encourage discussion on the following topics: (1) feelings about knife seizure images, (2) thoughts about areas of high knife crime, (3) aims of using knife seizure images, (4) police and media engagement with these images, and (5) the effects of images in influencing likelihood of knife carrying. The questions were developed from a review of relevant research and designed for the specific purposes of this study.

Analysis

The data were analysed in accordance with an inductive thematic approach (Braun & Clarke, 2006, 2014; Braun et al., 2019) adopting a comparative analysis (Fram, 2013). The data set was read in its entirety by the first and second authors, looking for key themes that were evident across both community and comparative groups of young people, yet which potentially took differing forms in each of the cohorts. The intent behind this analytic approach was to identify group-based differences in a comparative sense (Riggs et al., 2016).

First, this involved becoming closely familiar with the data by reading and re-reading the transcripts. Initial codes were then generated through focusing on what the participants were saying in relation to their thoughts and feelings about knife seizure images. This consisted of identifying meaningful extracts and coding them into themes. All the data relevant to each theme were extracted, the themes were defined and named, and later refined depending on the overall meanings captured for each theme. Themes are key characters in the

story being told about the data (Clarke et al., 2019). Each theme is an active creation of the lead researcher that unites data that, at first sight, might appear disparate, capturing implicit meaning beneath the data surface (Braun & Clarke, 2014). Preliminary themes created by the lead researcher were cross-checked by the co-researchers. This procedure resulted in three main themes largely present within all 20 interviews. Importantly, whilst these themes were captured across the community and comparative groups, we were interested in identifying any differences between the groups. Whilst each group gave relatively internally homogenous responses, between the groups the responses were diverse. In this sense, group-level differences are sub-themes under the main themes. In illustrating the themes from the data, any names used in the interviews have been changed to pseudonyms. Words or phrases inserted to make meanings clearer are enclosed in brackets.

Findings

Three main themes (with associated sub-themes in brackets) were created: (1) Negative reactions towards images of seized knives (the role of lived experiences versus media portrayals), (2) Images of knives may encourage use rather than act as deterrent (culture of fear) and (3) Reinforcement of pre-existing negative beliefs, stigma and stereotypes (context, narrative and consequences). Our principal interest was to create an open dialogue to discuss the use of knife seizure images and to highlight any notable similarities and differences between the opinions of the young people within community and comparative groups, differentiated by their experiences of living in areas of high or low crime rates. A schematic diagram of the themes is presented in Figure 1. Differences in perspective among the groups are highlighted within the sub-themes.

FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

Young people's negative reactions towards images of seized knives (The role of lived experiences versus media portrayals)

During the initial presentation of the knife seizure images, similarities were noted in the negative emotions captured by the young people in both community and comparative groups. These ranged from high to moderate levels of intensity although the most common expressions used were: “scary” (Amy), “uneasy” (James), “anxious” (Ryan), “nervous” (Hannah), “angry” (Abbie) and “intimidating” (Tina).

When asked about their initial thoughts and impressions of the images, the young people in both groups were quick to point out how “easily obtainable” (Lina) select knives were, mainly referring to the smaller knives and those that were considered kitchen knives. They believed that, since “everyone has knives at home” (Ivy), they were readily accessible for those considering using them as weapons.

A notable difference between the participants in the community and comparative groups concerned the means by which they had acquired awareness of knife carrying and their thoughts about knife crime. In the comparative group, 9 out of 10 of the young people explained that different sources of media (e.g., movies and news, social media) served as the foundation of their knowledge about knives:

“My kind of understanding or like, you know, visual of them [knives] has always been like more in a media sense” (Susan).

Participants in the comparative group did not draw upon their own life experiences when sharing their thoughts about knife crime, instead they tended to draw upon criminal stereotypes typically portrayed in the media, associating knife crime with specific groups and/or areas such as “neds and junkies” (Abbie), “gangs” (Shaun), “thugs” (Lina) and those living in “a scheme or something... council housing” (Megan). They considered the reasons

for knife carrying as largely being with the intent to “injure” (Eden) or “scare” (Shaun) people. Amy expanded on how she thought of knife crime as being associated with young men in gangs:

“As soon as I think of knife crime, violence and gangs, I think of men and young men, and I think that’s just from what I’ve seen on TV and the news and what I read in the paper” (Amy).

Some of the young people in the comparative group emphasised how the media tend to “glamourise” (Susan) or “scare monger” (Ryan) through showing images of seized knives, particularly when images of larger knives are used. This was evident throughout the participant interviews:

“The ones like swords and the ones that look bigger and stuff, they’re a bit more threatening cause you don’t see them very often” (Susan).

Nonetheless, they tended to view knife carrying as being associated with negative emotions and media portrayals which were somewhat removed from their own lived experiences.

In contrast, all of the community group drew upon their personal experiences of living in an area prominent for knife crime and being “very aware that there’s a lot of crime” (Tina). They appeared to have developed an intimate understanding of the realities of knife carrying and crime, sharing details about how knife carrying was believed to be a “part of the area” (Max) where they lived. As a result, the community participants described how exposure to knife crimes and violence led them to being “accustomed” (Skye) to knives.

“Just, you’re brought up being told there’s knife crime.... And that’s just how it is. It’s not right but... I’m not surprised by it. It’s not a hidden crime. People know about it” (Emily).

They shared similar views in the sense that they considered people's motivations for carrying knives as not often being for ill-intent (e.g., to harm others) but for self-defence, often referring to the need for "protection" (Skye) and "intimidation" (May) for the "sake of scaring their enemies" (Ivy). Emily elaborated on the cycle of knife carrying driven by fear of victimisation:

"To feel safe, some people carry knives. Maybe not intending on using it but purely cause that area's known for knife crime. Causes people to... join onto the sort of pattern that follows" (Emily).

This sentiment was shared by the community group which indicates a sense of familiarity and normalisation to the idea of knives as a result of their circumstances: "in a weird way, it's like I'm used to it. I'm used to seeing it" (May). It was also insinuated that most of the young people were possibly "desensitised" (Isaac) to knives as they viewed them as everyday objects and were not as reactive to them as weapons:

"I think most people have seen these things in their life. It's not a shocking thing. There's not different weapons to kill and different weapons to cut your chicken. They're the same." (Cassie).

Images as an encouragement rather than deterrent (culture of fear)

There was a marked difference between how the young people described their own impression of the knife seizure images and how they perceived the images may affect others. Both groups said that they were personally opposed to carrying knives, providing different reasons such as "I don't think in my whole life I would think to do it" (Lina), "fear of the consequences" (Amy), "risks of being arrested" (Cassie) and concerns about harming others:

*"I just know that I could get caught and that could f**k up my whole life. I can't. I can't. I can't let that happen... The stakes are too high for me"* (Skye).

Both groups also shared a common concern that the knife images might influence others to adopt knife carrying, pointing out that showing more distinctive “flashy” (Lina) looking knives appeared to “sensationalise” (Max) and make it “seem a bit cooler” (Tina). They specifically stated that the images could possibly motivate people who might already be considering committing knife crimes, as to “unintentionally give people ideas about what a knife can do” (Tina). The notion that the use of knife seizure images may encourage rather than deter was a common concern raised by both groups of young people:

“I think partially they kind of want the publicity to be like ‘this is a bad thing’, but in doing so, they kind of make it seem, you know, a little bit more thrilling... ‘Look at this cool, pretty image of this knife that was like used’” (Susan).

Participants also generally agreed that the images could potentially be “really scary” (Max) for members of the public, as captured by Ivy who believed that they might make people “visualise” the potential dangers of knives. Emily elaborated on the emotional distress which the images could have on people with traumatic experiences with knives:

“If people have been involved in knife crime, as the victim, they don’t want to see knives. It might be a trigger in some way” (Emily).

Overall, young people from both groups believed that the images could cultivate fear among the general public, making people feel “constantly paranoid” (Rachael) on the streets and thus, adversely impacting their mental well-being.

“It would make most people be just like, scared and ‘em, feel uncomfortable and less safe and they’re like going about their lives when there probably isn’t much need for that, I don’t know” (Megan).

Consequently, they expressed that the fear evoked from being “bombarded” (Abbie) with images of knives in the media, could make people more wary of the dangers around

them and thus become “overwhelmed” (Lina) and therefore “too careful” (James) on the streets. As a result, this was thought to inspire an increase in people carrying knives for defensive purposes, contradicting the intended purpose of deterring knife crime:

“It’s going to lead to more people carrying knives cause then they have a sort of feeling that they need to protect themselves” (Isaac).

Reinforcing pre-conceived beliefs, stigma and stereotypes

How the knife images were perceived by young people was influenced by two important factors, the context surrounding the images and the source of the images (e.g., police, school, media). Ultimately, the young people in both groups agreed that knife seizure images were used with the intention to “raise awareness of the different types of weapons that are being used” (Sandra) and to remind the public that knife crime is still a “serious issue” (Shaun). However, it was evident that most believed there to be distinctive differences between how the media and the police portrayed the knife images to the public, which was often contradictory to the main objective.

Regarding the latter, both groups generally agreed that the police would likely use the knife seizure images responsibly for the purpose of deterring knife crime; albeit 3 out of 10 in the community group expressed concerns about “trusting the police” (Max). In contrast, the media was perceived to have an ulterior motive for using the knife seizure images. The term “click-bait” (Sandra) was frequently used, suggesting that the media used such images to lure the public to “view their stories” (Ivy). Both groups shared similar concerns that the media were deliberate about selecting specific knife images that were most “provoking” (Emily) in order to create their desired responses, such as “to get a reaction out from people” (Tina). Young people believed that this was purposefully done to “exaggerate the truth” (James) in relation to knife crime and to pursue their own agenda:

“I think that media portrayals can affect a narrative in such a big way. They can completely change public perceptions of someone, and they would maybe use these for their political agenda” (Cassie).

Another point stressed by the majority in both groups was that the information provided about the context of the images, or lack thereof, was considered a powerful indicator of how the public would perceive the images. In most situations, it was believed that accurate details surrounding the circumstances of the knives seized in the images were often omitted: “I think that the context is important. It shows the severity... you picture it one way but it can be in so many different ways” (Shaun). In particular, 6 out of 10 young people in the community group stressed the importance of explaining the social problems driving knife carrying (e.g., poverty, peer-pressure, unemployment, gang status and protection) to prevent the general public from making “false impressions” (Emily) about the severity and reality of knife crime in contemporary society.

“The sort of contradictions in today’s society that leads to this situation where knife crime tends to happen... You should try to explain the socio-economic context... Cause otherwise, you’re just titillating your audience with graphic images and telling everyone ‘Oh look how dangerous society is’ you know” (Max).

In doing so, it was noted that their communities (which they described as having a negative reputation) were increasingly likely to suffer from further stigmatisation (e.g., dangerous, bad, risky, hopeless). They reflected on how the knife seizure images could possibly sensationalise the risks to safety posed in certain areas, “making areas more dangerous than they are” (Cassie) which could further “tarnish” (Shaun) their reputation.

“I think that by using more of it (knife images), it will highlight areas to be worse than they are, almost putting people in the community under a stereotype.” (Emily).

In relation to this, 9 out of 10 young people from the comparative group admitted that the knife images would likely influence their beliefs about how safe or unsafe different areas of Glasgow are, especially if the knives were seized from an area with higher crime rates. The images were thought to “add an extra layer of fear” (Ryan) to the pre-existing negative perceptions that the general public already has of the areas where the community group of young people lived, rendering them the need to be “more cautious” (Eden) or “stay away” (Abbie) from such areas.

“Associating these images with a certain place doesn’t exactly give off a great reputation so I wouldn’t go out my way to visit that place knowing that it isn’t very safe and that I didn’t have any business being in that area” (Hannah).

Discussion

Through using in-depth photo-elicitation interviews, our research is the first to gain insight into young people’s thoughts and feelings about the use of knife seizure images as a deterrent against knife carrying and crime within Glasgow, Scotland. We found that young people living in areas of high (community sample) and low (comparative sample) levels of knife crime reacted negatively towards the images and considered that they were more likely to encourage knife carrying than act as a deterrent. While young people living in areas of high knife crime referenced their own lived experiences in describing how they learned of knife carrying and crime within their communities, those living in areas of low knife crime tended to draw upon the media, which were felt to sensationalise and contribute towards a culture of fear. Given that cultivation research has drawn attention to the important role that the media play in shaping audiences’ perceptions of their social realities and crime risk (Chermak, 1994; Pollock et al., 2021 Rhineberger-Dunn et al., 2015), this finding highlights the importance of considering how the media’s institutional practices shape meanings in the

mass production of messages and images involving knife crime and thereby shape public attitudes over the long term (Potter, 1993).

Overexposure to crime news and images has been related to the formation of racial stereotypes about criminality (e.g. Dixon, 2008). Indeed, the vast majority of our participants from the comparative group admitted that knife images seized from an area with higher crime rates would likely influence their attitudes about the area and the people living in that area. This finding suggests that such images may contribute towards the stigmatisation of such areas. One possible mitigation, which was proposed by participants, is the provision of context to the seized images and also to (knife) crime overall. Context of the knife seizures may work to reduce their sensationalisation and help raise awareness of the upstream factors which often influence crime (Lodge et al., 2021). This may also help shift attitudes from blaming the individual to raising questions about the societal and institutional hurdles that often influence personal actions, all of which relate to the social determinants of health model (Lodge et al., 2021). Supporting previous work (DuRant et al., 2000; Holligan et al., 2017; Mrug et al., 2016; Palasinski, 2013), young people living in areas of high knife crime were found to normalise knife carrying, particularly as a form of protection. In contrast to fear of crime theory (Erčulj, 2021), despite being potentially more vulnerable to knife crime, they did not describe being more fearful; they reported being desensitised towards it. Thus, the interplay of different experiential, environmental, personal and socio-cultural factors may further contribute towards the perceived negative impact of using knife seizure images as a deterrent (Frisby-Osman & Wood, 2020; Lober & Farrington, 2011). The mechanisms by which young people perceive their living environments include primary sources, such as personal experiences with crime, and secondary sources, such as local gossip about anti-social behaviour and crime within the community (Burcar, 2013; Holligan et al., 2017). In our study, the young people in the community group noted that news about crime was readily

shared within the community, echoing previous research (Bannister et al., 2010; Goodall et al., 2019), and this may have reinforced beliefs about fear of victimisation and encouraged knife-carrying beliefs (e.g. as self-protection, gang status). Such factors may also reinforce pre-existing negative beliefs, stigma, and stereotypes about who is likely to carry a knife as well as the areas in which knife crime may be more prevalent (Coid et al., 2021; Grimshaw & Ford; 2018; Williams et al., 2014). While knife seizure images may be used with the aim of deterring knife crime, young people expressed concerns about who (e.g., mistrust of police, media) and why (e.g., to increase views of media stories, provoke a reaction, political agenda) such images are used.

These findings highlight the importance of considering the narrative, source, and context in which such images are used. Local, community-based organisations including former knife carriers, victims of knife offences, health and social care professionals, and experienced youth workers have an important role to play in developing and facilitating knife deterrent programmes and initiatives (Brennan, 2019; England & Jackson, 2013; Tribe et al., 2018). Their ability to relate to the unique experiences of young people suggests they might have a more positive impact since one of the factors associated with a heightened risk and frequency of offending among youth is mistrust of the police (Wilson et al., 2006).

Challenging negative stereotypes, which can influence judgments about knife crime and young people and indirectly affect policy-making about youth crime (Greene et al., 2017), is essential in raising awareness about knife crime. There is a real need to understand not just the causes, but also to understand the mechanisms (in particular the communication mechanisms) that can inflame and/or reduce the impact of these causes. The need for a public health approach, adopting a multi-agency response that seeks to understand and address the complex root causes, as well as responding to incidents of knife crime and youth violence is evident (Astrup, 2019). The SVRU has adopted such an approach throughout Scotland and,

despite ongoing challenges in tackling knife crime, has witnessed a large fall in offending rates involving weapons for teenagers and people in their 20s (Scottish Government, 2019). Investing in youth services in health, education, social services, and early intervention is needed in order to tackle the complex and widespread problem of knife crime (Astrup, 2019).

In terms of the limitations of the current research, it is acknowledged that while rich and in-depth information was gathered within the context of this qualitative study, it is not possible to make generalisations based on our findings. We also had an under-representation of young people who were male and from diverse ethnicities across both groups and it is possible that they may have different perspectives towards knife seizure images. To address this limitation, we are currently building an online quasi-experimental survey using images of seized knives incorporating the views of a wider and more diverse demographic (e.g., older adults, ethnic minorities, gender) of participants throughout Scotland. We envisage that this will help us understand any intergenerational and socio-cultural differences in how the use of knife seizure images are perceived.

Research with school-aged children is needed given that knife images have been used in school campaigns aiming to tackle knife crime (England & Jackson, 2013). Future work with those that have been directly involved with knife crime (as perpetrator and/or victim) is also needed to help illuminate the complexities associated with the cycle of knife crime (Ward, 2019). We recommend utilising participatory approaches involving young people in all stages of the research process, which would help increase community engagement in research aiming to inform intervention programme development, particularly in areas of high knife crime. There is a need for high-quality, multi-method, longitudinal research to evaluate knife deterrent programmes incorporating images of knives in order to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the key components of such programmes that are most effective in deterring knife carrying and crime. Exploring the important contextual links

between mental health, poverty and knife crime should contribute to efforts aimed at reducing the knife carrying and crime and intervention development (Haylock et al., 2020).

Conclusion

Our study provides important insight into young people's thoughts and feelings in relation to the use of knife seizure images as a crime deterrent. We highlight areas of convergence and divergence between young people's perspectives in relation to those living in areas of high knife crime in Glasgow and those in areas with lower rates. Our findings suggest that the use of knife seizure images may provoke negative reactions and create a culture of fear (as exacerbated by the media), potentially encourage knife carrying (e.g., for protection) and perpetuate negative stereotypes and pre-conceived beliefs about who is likely to carry a knife. We need to understand young people's perspectives and experiences of knife crime, raise awareness and engagement with relevant partner agencies and community-based activities, and encourage efforts to build trust and cooperation between young people affected by knife crime. The importance of engaging with young people and other key stakeholder groups to gain an understanding of their perceived risks, worries, needs, and expectations, especially about the reasons for knife carrying and crime, will provide the basis for establishing a trusting relationship, paving the way for long-term, effective solutions to knife crime.

References

- Ang, R. P., Huan, v. s., Chua, S. H., & Lim, S. H. (2012). Gang affiliation, aggression, and violent offending in a sample of youth offenders. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 18(8), 703-711. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2010.534480>
- Archibald, M. M., Ambagtsheer, R. C., Casey, M. G., & Lawless, M. (2019). Using Zoom Videoconferencing for qualitative data collection: Perceptions and experiences of researchers and participants. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406919874596>
- Astrup, J. (2019). Knife crime: where's the public health approach?. *Community Practitioner*, 92(6), 14-17.
- Bannister, J., Pickering, J., Batchelor, S., Burman, M., Kintrea, K., & McVie, S. (2010). *Troublesome youth groups, gangs and knife carrying in Scotland*. Edinburgh: Scottish Government.
- Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2014) What can “thematic analysis” offer health and wellbeing researchers?, *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*, 9:1. <https://doi.org/10.3402/qhw.v9.26152>
- Braun, V., Clarke, V., & Hayfield, N. (2019). ‘A starting point for your journey, not a map’: Nikki Hayfield in conversation with Virginia Braun and Victoria Clarke about thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2019.1670765>
- Brennan, I. R. (2019). Weapon-carrying and the reduction of violent harm. *British Journal of Criminology*, 59(1), 571-593. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azy032>
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1977). Toward an experimental ecology of human development. *American Psychologist*, 32(7), 513–531. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.32.7.513>
- Burcar, V. (2013). Doing masculinity in narratives about reporting violent crime: Young male victims talk about contacting and encountering the Police. *Journal of Youth Studies*, 16(2), 172-190. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2012.704992>
- Chadee, D., & Surette, R. (2019). Exploring the relationship between weapons desirability and media. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, 8(4), 464-472. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000190>
- Chermak, S. M. (1994). Body count news: How crime is presented in the news media. *Justice Quarterly*, 11(4), 561-582. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07418829400092431>
- Coid, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Hu, J., Thomson, L., Bebbington, P., & Bhui, K. (2021). Epidemiology of knife carrying among young British men. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-021-02031-x>
- Collier, J., & Collier, M. (1986). *Visual anthropology: Photography as a research method*. UNM Press.
- Connell, R. W., & Messerschmidt, J. W. (2005). Hegemonic masculinity: Rethinking the concept. *Gender and Society*, 19(6), 829-859.

- Dahlberg, L. L., & Mercy, J. A. (2009). History of violence as a public health issue. *AMA Virtual Mentor, 11*, 167-172.
- Day, C., Michelson, D., Thomson, S., Caroline, P., & Draper, L. (2012a). Evaluation of a peer led parenting intervention for disruptive behaviour problems in children: community based randomised controlled trial. *British Medical Journal (BMJ), e1107*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e1107>
- Day, C., Michelson, D., Thomson, S., Penney, C., & Draper, L. (2012b). Innovations in practice: Empowering Parents, Empowering Communities: A pilot evaluation of a peer-led parenting programme. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health, 17*(1), 52-57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-3588.2011.00619.x>
- Densley, J. A., & Stevens, A. (2014). "We'll show you gang": The subterranean structuration of gang life in London. *Criminology and Criminal Justice, 15*(1), 102-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1748895814522079>
- Dixon, T. (2008). Crime news and racialized beliefs: Understanding the relationship between local news viewing and perceptions of African Americans and crime. *Journal of Communication, 58*, 106-125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2007.00376.x>
- DuRant, R. H., Altman, D., Wolfson, M., Barkin, S., Kreiter, S., & Krowchuk, D. (2000). Exposure to violence and victimization, depression, substance use, and the use of violence by young adolescents. *The Journal of Pediatrics, 137*(5), 707-713. <https://doi.org/10.1067/mpd.2000.109146>
- Erčulj, V. (2021). The 'young and the fearless': revisiting the conceptualisation of fear of crime. *Quality and Quantity*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-021-01171-1>
- England, R., & Jackson, R. (2013). A nurse clinician's approach to knife crime prevention. *British Journal of Nursing, 22*(13), 774-778. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2013.22.13.774>
- Fram, S. M. (2013). The constant comparative analysis method outside of grounded theory. *Qualitative Report, 18*, 1.
- Fraser, A. (2013). Street habitus: gangs, territorialism and social change in Glasgow. *Journal of Youth Studies, 16*(8), 970-985. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2013.793791>
- Frisby-Osman, S., & Wood, J. L. (2020). Rethinking how we view gang members: An examination into affective, behavioural, and mental health predictors of UK gang-involved youth. *Youth Justice, 20*(1-2), 93-112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473225419893779>
- Gerbner, G., Gross, L., Morgan, M., & Signorielli, N. (1994). *Growing up with television: The cultivation perspective*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Goodall, C. A., MacFie, F., Conway, D. I., & McMahon, A. D. (2019). Assault-related sharp force injury among adults in Scotland 2001–2013: Incidence, socio-demographic determinants and relationship to violence reduction measures. *Aggression and Violent Behaviour, 46*, 190-196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2018.10.002>
- Greene, E., Duke, L., & Woody, W. D. (2017). Stereotypes influence beliefs about transfer and sentencing of juvenile offenders. *Psychology, Crime and Law, 23*(9), 841-858. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2017.1332194>

- Grimshaw, R., & Ford, M. (2018, November). *Young people, violence and knives - revisiting the evidence and policy discussions*. Retrieved from UK Justice Policy Review Focus <https://www.crimeandjustice.org.uk/sites/crimeandjustice.org.uk/files/Knife%20crime.%20November.pdf>
- Gutsche Jr, R. E., & Salkin, E. R. (2011). News stories: An exploration of independence within post-secondary journalism. *Journalism Practice*, 5(2), 193-209. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2010.512494>
- Harding, S. (2020). Getting to the point? Reframing narratives on knife crime. *Youth Justice*, 20(1-2), 31-49. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473225419893781>
- Harper, D. (1998). An argument for visual sociology. In J. Prosser, *Image-based research: a sourcebook for qualitative researchers* (pp. 24-41). London: Falmer Press.
- Harper, D. (2002). Talking about pictures: A case for photo elicitation. *Visual Studies*, 17(1), 13-26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14725860220137345>
- Haylock, S., Boshari, T., Alexander, E. C., Kumar, A., Manikam, L., & Pinder, R. (2020). Risk factors associated with knife-crime in United Kingdom among young people aged 10-24 years: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 20, 1451. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09498-4>
- Holligan, C., McLean, R., & Deuchar, R. (2017). Weapon-carrying among young men in Glasgow: Street scripts and signals in uncertain social spaces. *Critical Criminology*, 25(1), 137-151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10612-016-9336-5>
- Humphreys, D. K., Esposti, M. D., Gardner, F., & Shepherd, J. (2019). Violence in England and Wales: does media reporting match the data? *British Medical Journal*, 367, 16040. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.16040>
- Intravia, J., Wolff, K. T., Paez, R., & Gibbs, B. R. (2017). Investigating the relationship between social media consumption and fear of crime: A partial analysis of mostly young adults. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 77(1), 158-168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.08.047>
- Leober, R., & Farrington, D. P. (2011). *Young homicide offenders and victims: risk factors, prediction and prevention from childhood* (1st ed.). New York: Springer.
- Leyland, A. H., & Dundas, R. (2010). The social patterning of deaths due to assault in Scotland, 1980-2005: population-based study. *Journal of Epidemiol Community Health*, 64, 432-439. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech.2009.095018>
- Local Government Association. (2018, June). *Public health approaches to reducing violence*. Retrieved from Local Government Association: https://www.local.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/15.32%20-%20Reducing%20family%20violence_03.pdf#:~:text=What%20is%20a%20public%20health%20approach%20to%20reducing,of%20all%20individuals%20by%20addressing%20underlying%20risk%20factors
- Lodge, E. K., Hoyo, C., Gutierrez, C. M., Rappazzo, K. M., Emch, M. E., & Martin, C. L. (2021). Estimating exposure to neighborhood crime by race and ethnicity for public health research. *BMC Public Health*, 21, 1078. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11057-4>

- Lipsey, M. W. (2009). The primary factors that characterize effective interventions with juvenile offenders: A meta-analytic overview. *Victims and Offenders, 4*(2), 124-147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15564880802612573>
- Measor, L., & Squires, P. (2000). *Young people and community safety: Inclusion, risk, tolerance and disorder*. Furnham: Ashgate Publishing Limited .
- Mrug, S., Madan, A., & Windle, M. (2016). Emotional desensitization to violence contributes to adolescents' violent behaviour. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 44*, 75-86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-015-9986-x>
- No Knives Better Lives. (2019, March 12). New stock images on knife crime aim to change media narrative around young people. Retrieved from noknivesbetterlives.com: <https://noknivesbetterlives.com/practitioners/discussion/new-stock-images-on-knife-crime/>
- O'Connor, R. M., & Waddell, S. (2015). *What works to prevent gang involvement, youth violence and crime: A rapid review of interviews delivered in the UK and abroad*. London: Early Intervention Foundation. Retrieved from Home Office: Early interventions foundations.
- Office for National Statistics (ONS). (2019, April 26). *Office for National Statistics (ONS)*. Retrieved from www.ons.gov.uk: <file:///C:/Users/alb19208/Downloads/Crime%20in%20England%20and%20Wales%200year%20ending%20March%202018.pdf>
- Office for National Statistics (ONS). (2020, September 29). *Office for National Statistics*. Retrieved from www.gov.scot: [file:///C:/Users/alb19208/Downloads/recorded-crime-scotland-2019-20%20\(1\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/alb19208/Downloads/recorded-crime-scotland-2019-20%20(1).pdf)
- Petrosino, A., Turpin-Petrosino, C., Hollis-Peel, M. E., & Lavenberg, J. G. (2013). 'Scared-straight' and other juvenile awareness programs for preventing juvenile delinquency. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 4*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002796.pub2>
- Pollock, W., Tapia, N. D., & Sibila, D. (2021). Cultivation theory: The impact of crime media's portrayal of race on the desire to become a US police officer. *International Journal of Police Science and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14613557211036555>
- Potter, W. J. (1993). Cultivation theory and research: A conceptual critique. *Human Communication Research, 19*(4), 564-601. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.1993.tb00313.x>
- Prosser, J. (1998). "The status of image-based research". In J. Prosser, *Image-based research: a sourcebook for qualitative researchers* (pp. 97-112). London: Falmer Press.
- Rainey, S. R., Simpson, J., Page, S., Crowley, M., Evans, J., Sheridan, M., & Ireland, A. J. (2015). The impact of violence reduction initiatives on emergency department attendance. *Scottish Medical Journal, 60*(2), 90-94. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0036933015576297>
- Rhineberger-Dunn, G., Briggs, S., & Rader, N. (2015). Clearing crime in prime-time: The disjuncture between fiction and reality. *American Journal of Criminal Justice, 41*, 255-278. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12103-015-9300-z>

- Riggs, D. W., Bartholomaeus, C., & Due, C. (2016). Public and private families: A comparative thematic analysis of the intersections of social norms and scrutiny. *Health Sociology Review, 25*(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14461242.2015.1135071>
- Rose, G. (2007). *Visual methodologies: an introduction to the interpretation of visual materials* (2nd ed.). London: SAGE.
- Rosbrook-Thompson, J. (2019). Legitimacy, urban violence and the public health approach. *Urbanities-Journal of Urban Ethnography, 9*(S2), 37-43.
- Russell, K. (2021, February). *What works to prevent youth violence: a summary of the evidence*. Retrieved from Scottish Violence Reduction Unit: http://www.svru.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/570415_SCT0121834710-001_p2_YVMainReport.pdf
- Silvestri, A., Oldfield, M., Squires, P., & Grimshaw, R. (2009). *Young people, knives and guns: a comprehensive review, analysis and critique of gun and knife crime strategies*. London: Centre for Crime and Justice Studies.
- Skarlatidou, A., Ludwig, L., Solymosi, R., & Bradford, B. (2021). Understanding knife crime and trust in Police with young people in East London. *Crime and Delinquency*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00111287211029873>
- Smith, D. J., & Ecob, R. (2007). An investigation into casual links between victimization and offending in adolescents. *The British Journal of Sociology, 58*(4), 633-659. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4446.2007.00169.x>
- Smolej, M., & Kivivuori, J. (2006). The relation between crime news and fear of violence. *Journal of Scandinavian Studies in Criminology and Crime Prevention, 7*(2), 211-227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14043850601002429>
- Surko, M., Ciro, D., Carlson, E., Labor, N., Giannone, V., Diaz-Cruz, E., . . . Epstein, I. (2005). Which adolescents need to talk about safety and violence. *Social Work in Mental Health, 3*(1-2), 103-119. https://doi.org/10.1300/J200v03n01_06
- Tribe, H. C., Harris, A., & Kneebone, R. (2018). Life on a knife edge: using simulation to engage young people in issues surrounding knife crime. *Advances in Stimulation, 3*, 20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41077-018-0079-0>
- Vulliamy, P., Faulkner, M., Kirkwood, G., West, A., O'Neill, B., Griffiths, M. P., . . . Brohi, K. (2018). 'Temporal and geographic patterns of stab injuries in young people: a retrospective cohort study from a UK major trauma centre'. *BMJ Open, 8*: e023114. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023114>
- Walton, G., & Niblett, B. (2013). Investigating the problem of bullying through photo elicitation. *Journal of Youth Studies, 16*(5), 646-662. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2012.733810>
- Ward, M. (2019). The knife crime epidemic: In defence of schools. *British Journal of School Nursing, 14*(6), 298-299. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjsn.2019.14.6.298>
- Wells, J. (2008). Knife crime education. *Emergency Nurse, 16*(7), 30-32. <https://doi.org/10.7748/en2008.11.16.7.30.c6784>

- Williams, D. J., Currie, D., Linden, W., & Donnelly, P. D. (2014). Addressing gang-related violence in Glasgow: A preliminary pragmatic quasi-experimental evaluation of the Community Initiative to Reduce Violence (CIRV). *Aggression and Violent Behavior, 19*(6), 686-691. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2014.09.011>
- Wilson, D., Sharp, C., & Patterson, A. (2006, December). *Young People and Crime: Findings from the 2005 Offending, Crime and Justice Survey*. Retrieved from Home Office: <https://aashaproject.files.wordpress.com/2008/08/young-people-and-crime-home-office.pdf>
- Wood, R. (2010). Youth deaths: the reality behind the 'knife crime' debate. *Institute of Race Relations Briefing Paper, 5*.
- Wortley, E., & Hagell, A. (2021). Young victims of youth violence: using youth workers in the emergency department to facilitate 'teachable moments' and to improve access to services. *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Education and Practice, 106*, 53-59. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2019-318251>
- Youth Justice Board. (2010, January 1). *Exploring the needs of young Black and Minority Ethnic offenders and the provision of targeted interventions*. Retrieved from Youth Justice Board: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/354686/yjb-exploring-needs-young-black-minority-ethnic-offenders.pdf

Table 1:*Participant characteristics*

Group 1: Community Sample			
Pseudonym	Gender	Age	Ethnicity
Isaac	Male	19	White Scottish/ British
Sarah	Female	23	White Scottish/ British
Tina	Female	24	White Scottish/ British
Emily	Female	20	White Scottish/ British
James	Male	22	White Scottish/ British
Cassie	Female	21	White Scottish/ British
Max	Male	19	White Scottish/ British
Ivy	Female	18	Caribbean/ Black
Skye	Non-binary	25	White Scottish/ British
May	Female	20	White Scottish/ British
Group 2: Comparative Sample			
Lina	Female	23	Other White background
Eden	Female	21	White Scottish/ British
Rachael	Female	23	White Scottish/ British
Amy	Female	21	White Scottish/ British
Megan	Female	21	White Scottish/ British
Susan	Female	22	Mixed Ethnic Group
Abbie	Female	24	White Scottish/ British

Hannah	Female	23	White Scottish/ British
Shaun	Male	22	White Scottish/ British
Ryan	Male	23	White Scottish/ British

Figure 1:

Schematic diagram of themes for community (G1) and comparative groups (G2)

