

# Six simple steps towards making GEES fieldwork more accessible and inclusive

LAWRENCE, Anya and DOWEY, Natasha <a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9231-4781">http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9231-4781</a>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/29656/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

#### Citation:

LAWRENCE, Anya and DOWEY, Natasha (2021). Six simple steps towards making GEES fieldwork more accessible and inclusive. Area. [Article]

## Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

This is an accepted manuscript before typesetting. The final version of this manuscript will soon be published with the journal Area. Please feel free to contact any of the authors; we welcome feedback.

# 1 Six simple steps towards making GEES fieldwork more accessible and

### 2 inclusive

- 3 Anya Lawrence<sup>1\*</sup>, Natasha Dowey<sup>2</sup>
- 4 <sup>1</sup>School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham,
- 5 Birmingham, UK, B15 2TT
- 6 <sup>2</sup>Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK, S1 1WB
- 7 \*AXL921@student.bham.ac.uk
- 8

9 Fieldwork is a defining aspect of Physical Geography, Earth and Environmental

- 10 Science programme curricula. At its best, fieldwork offers students valuable
- 11 opportunities to develop independent research skills in real-world situations, examine
- 12 analogues for a range of scientific concepts, and socialise with peers. It offers
- 13 experiences that are challenging to replicate using virtual/remote learning. However,
- 14 at its worst, traditional fieldwork practice and culture can present barriers to access
- 15 and hostile environments that epitomise the broader equality, diversity and inclusivity
- 16 problems faced by GEES disciplines. With the role of fieldwork increasingly being
- 17 called into question, here we promote simple adaptations that can make fieldwork
- 18 more accessible and enjoyable for all.
- 19

## 20 Keywords

21 GEES, Fieldwork, equality, diversity, inclusivity, accessibility

## 22 Introduction

- 23 Fieldwork is a key aspect of most Physical Geography, Earth and Environmental Science
- 24 (GEES) degrees. From sampling Caledonian granites in Ireland, to measuring water turbidity
- 25 in the Zambezi River, to logging sedimentary sequences in Utah, to using drones to analyse
- 26 glaciers in Iceland; fieldwork means so many different things to geographers, earth and
- 27 environmental scientists.
- 28 Yet this diversity of field environments is not mirrored by the wider GEES community itself.
- 29 Numerous publications have now demonstrated that these disciplines have alarmingly poor
- 30 representation of disabled, ethnic minority and LGBTQ+ scientists (e.g. Stokes et al., 2015;
- Bernard and Cooperdock, 2018; Dutt, 2020; Dowey et al. 2021). This growing awareness of
- 32 the need for improved equity, diversity and inclusivity (EDI), together with the unprecedented
- travel disruption wrought by COVID-19, has led to the role of fieldwork in GEES education
- increasingly being the subject of debate (e.g. Dzombak, 2020a; Pickrell, 2020; Giles et al.,
- 35 2020).
- 36 Fieldwork has been the subject of significant criticism for its "masculine, eurocentric origins,
- 37 assumptions and languages" (Bracken and Mawdsley, 2004). In their 2014 survey of field

- 38 scientists from some 30 different countries, Clancy et al. found mistreatment of female early
- 39 career academics to be widespread, with 71% of female respondents having experienced
- 40 sexual harassment during fieldwork and over a quarter reporting sexual assault. Frequently
- 41 encountered fieldwork cultures, including heavy drinking, partying and 'banter' (Nairn, 1996),
- 42 have served to exclude those with markers of difference, especially LGBTQ+ and disabled
- 43 scientists (Nairn, 2003; Hall et al., 2004; Pickrell, 2020).
- 44 GEES fieldwork involves inherent barriers to individuals from minority groups, including
- 45 expense, physical exertion, alcohol culture, and access to toilets (Greene et al., 2019;
- 46 Dowey et al., 2021; Abeyta et al., 2021). Even where fieldwork costs are covered, the
- 47 expense of field equipment and clothing is a problem for researchers from low
- 48 socioeconomic upbringings, who are disproportionately from minority ethnic backgrounds in
- 49 Global North countries like the UK (Office for National Statistics, 2020). For some cultures,
- 50 residential stays in mixed accommodations (Nairn, 2003), or the scheduling of field courses
- 51 with regards to the religious calendar, may cause concern. Furthermore, fieldwork
- 52 traditionally takes place in remote, rural, racially homogenous locations, where ethnic
- 53 minority researchers may be more likely to face racism (Anadu et al., 2020).
- 54 In taught fieldwork, these barriers may be heightened by a lack of flexibility to adapt field
- 55 locations or sites to be more inclusive for all and by employing the standard 'transmission'
- 56 model of teaching, which is not conducive to active participation of students and student-
- 57 centered learning (Barr and Tagg, 1995; Michael, 2006).
- 58 Many authors maintain that fieldwork is a defining and indispensable aspect of GEES
- 59 curricula; whilst it may be supplemented through virtual or non-field based activities
- 60 (particularly during the current conditions of the Covid-19 pandemic), these alternatives
- 61 cannot replicate the learning experiences from outdoor fieldwork (Stokes et al., 2019; Giles
- et al., 2020; Sima, 2020). Fieldwork is a compulsory part of GEES curricula; in the UK, the
- 63 Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education Benchmark Statements for these disciplines 64 refer to fieldwork as 'core' and 'essential'(QAA, 2019a, 2019b). We cannot, therefore, make
- 65 GEES disciplines more inclusive by simply removing outdoors working, or by converting it
- 66 wholesale into something different. We instead must adapt our practice in the field to create
- 67 more inclusive outdoor working experiences. Embedding EDI considerations into fieldwork is
- 68 ethical best practice, and a crucial step in removing the barriers that fieldwork poses to
- 69 access, participation and retention of minority researchers in GEES.
- In this contribution we draw on our lived experiences as a mixed ethnicity autistic non-binary
   early career geoscientist and a white female physical geography lecturer with experience in
   industry, respectively. We do not seek to focus on any particular minority or marginalised
- identity but rather to offer straightforward, holistic recommendations for removing some
- frequently overlooked barriers and stressors that can occur in the field. Our hope is that
- these proposed adaptations will help make fieldwork a more accessible and rewarding
- 76 experience for all.
- 77
- 78
- 79 Planning is key

80 Fieldwork has always been prone to challenges. Poor weather, unexpected lack of access to 81 outcrops, vehicle breakdown, a lack of toilets. Overcoming such setbacks is arguably part of the 'hidden curriculum' (Jackson, 1968), building resilience and transferable skills in students 82 83 that they can draw upon in future careers. However, for some students, simply participating in a field trip can be challenging, due to pre-existing financial, physical, racial and/or 84 85 emotional barriers (Stokes et al., 2019). It follows that if participants experience further 86 adversity in the field, it may impact confidence and be a deterrent to undertaking future fieldwork or pursuing careers in field-based disciplines. 87

- 88 GEES educators are trained to undertake broad planning for health and safety scenarios in
- the field. However, more can be done to ensure preparedness for a broad range of other
- challenging situations, some of which have serious health and safety implications. For
   example, Prior-Jones et al. (2020) recommend embedding inclusion into risk assessments
- 92 so that greater consideration is given to hazards and appropriate mitigations that
- 93 particularly affect those from minorities with protected characteristics such as disability,
- 94 gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, religion or belief, sex, and sexual
- 95 orientation. Anadu et al. (2020) provide detailed recommendations to protect fieldwork
- 96 participants from racism and prejudice, including racial risk assessments and
- 97 antidiscrimination training for field leaders.
- 98 Kingsbury et al. (2020) advocate creating daily schedules that factor in non-academic
- aspects of working in the field, such as taking breaks from working in groups to precludeoverstimulation and related meltdowns/ shutdowns in autistic individuals.
- 101 Considered logistical preparation, involving lists of alternative accessible outcrops, digital
- 102 resources and plans B, C and D, may be crucial in avoiding demoralisation and
- 103 disengagement, particularly for students with disabilities. Although devising backup plans
- 104 may require creativity and additional effort on the trip organiser's part, having alternatives
- such as wet-weather activities can be beneficial for entire student cohorts and can easily be
- 106 reused for each presentation of the field course (Houghton et al. 2020; Figure 1).
- 107 Field leaders can be open about their mitigations and planning, promoting measures made
- 108 to improve accessibility and also training students on how to problem-solve when planning
- 109 their own independent field activities.

Whilst the field party is drawing a graphic log of a rock succession, unexpected torrential rainfall occurs

The field leaders instruct the participants to carry on as normal as there is no alternative activity. The rain soaks their notebooks and makes their digital tablet screens unusable. The participants are unable to complete the graphic logging activity and return to their accommodation at the end of the day cold, wet and dejected. The field leaders instruct the participants to return back to the accommodation during the rainstorm. After getting warm and dry the participants complete their graphic logs indoors using existing photographs and literature on the succession and hand specimens collected by the field trip organisers in a reconnaisance trip.

The field party visits a fluvial section that has been used for teaching on previous field trips. Upon arrival they find it destroyed by erosion

The field leaders don't know what to do as they have always used this particular fluvial section for their teaching and debate what they should do next. The participants become bored and disengaged as they have nothing to do. No consensus is reached by the field leaders and the planned activity doesn't take place.

The field leaders have a backup list of fluvial sections in the locality that can be used for the same activity. The field party visits the nearest downstream fluvial section and the participants begin the planned activity with minimal disruption and time lost. 2

2

Whilst doing a vegetation survey on an open hillside with little cover, a participant needs a toilet break, but is too embarrassed to ask

The field leaders haven't really considered toilet breaks in their planning as these are usually handled on an ad-hoc basis. The participant tries to find a discrete place, but feels so distressed that they reduce how much water they drink for the rest of the trip, risking dehydration, to avoid having to go to the toilet in the outdoors again.

The field leaders have scheduled regular breaks at toilet facilities and have shared this information beforehand in the trip itinerary so that all participants know what to expect on a daily basis. All field localities are within driving distance of a toilet facility and participants are encouraged to ask for extra toilet breaks if they need them.

110

- 111
- **Figure 1** Example problems in the field and outcomes. Outcome 1 results from
- 113 rudimentary fieldwork planning while outcome 2 reflects more considered planning
- 114

115

116

#### 117 Fun not fear

- 118 Experiences shared on social media in recent years have revealed a glimpse of the
- discrimination faced by minority geoscientists in the field (e.g. Larson, 2020; Litchfield, 2020;
- 120 Anadu, 2020). Even where comprehensive fieldwork planning and preparation is undertaken,
- 121 such experiences could understandably discourage some to forgo fieldwork altogether
- 122 because of fear. For LGBTQ+ geographers, fear of travelling to countries where their status
- is criminalized (Olcott and Downen, 2020; Mackay, 2021); for ethnic minority geoscientists,
- 124 fear of experiencing racism (Anadu et al., 2020); for disabled environmental scientists, fear
- of being in unfamiliar and poorly accessible areas far from the safety net of home and
- 126 professional healthcare support (Hall and Healy, 2005; Tucker and Horton, 2019; Stokes et 127 al., 2019).
- Although these concerns may be difficult to fully address, a good first step is to remove
- 129 problematic destinations, such as countries that criminalise homosexuality, from field
- 130 itineraries altogether (Murphy, 2020; Jackson, 2021). To further ensure that all participants
- can feel safe and find fun in their field experiences a complete package of support should be
- 132 offered, that goes beyond the standard field trip leader, health and safety officer, or informal
- 133 buddy schemes.
- 134 Assigning personal assistants or mentors to vulnerable individuals can enhance inclusion
- and access in field environments, especially if the mentor is someone with shared
- experiences that allow them to relate well to their mentees (Olcott and Downen, 2020). This
- 137 mentor/assistant can liaise with their mentees prior to the field course, to gain understanding
- of their personal and cultural circumstances and abilities. As well as ensuring that all
- prospective mentors and field staff are offered general training in allyship (Anadu et al.
- 140 2020), institutions must adopt a flexible approach to fieldwork mentoring, working on a case-
- by-case basis. Institutional disability service providers may not have the pedagogic
- experience or familiarity with GEES disciplines required to provide appropriate mentoring
- support for academics outside of traditional workplace settings (Feig et al. 2019). For
- example, the first author of this study is provided assistance by their parents in the field as,
- being full-time carers, they have the greatest insight into the author's disability, including how it affects them on a day-to-day basis and their specific needs in the context of geoscience
- 140 fieldwork.
- 148 Upon arrival at the field locality, mentors could offer a meet-and-greet service for their
- 149 mentees to replace any initial feelings of trepidation and anxiety with a sense of welcome
- and belonging. During the fieldwork itself, mentors should maintain regular communication
- 151 with their mentees to assess how much support they require to feel comfortable and
- 152 effectively engage in the learning experience (Hendricks et al., 2017).
- 153 Whilst universities and colleges may not consider it their responsibility to provide off-campus 154 support (Anadu et al., 2020), they do have a duty of care to ensure the safety and wellbeing 155 of all staff and students, and it is important that individuals who need it have the safety net of
- 156 a designated person that can listen and respond to their concerns as they arise.
- 157
- 158

#### 159 Skills over hills

A key aim of field training is to allow scientists to gain practical, transferable skills that theycan reuse in the future when independently gathering primary data.

162 However, skills development can be undermined not only by safety concerns but also by fieldwork cultures. It is well-reported that a machismo culture of 'we must visit that outcrop 163 164 because it's there' pervades fieldwork in many physical science disciplines (e.g. Maguire, 1998; Hall et al., 2004; Fitzpatrick, 2020). This may lead to a focus on who can 'bag' the 165 166 most locations, rather than who is able to accurately conduct the analyses necessary. Where 167 fieldwork grades into adventure tourism rather than a purposeful, educative experience, it 168 reinforces casual ableism and excludes people with disabilities (Tucker and Horton, 2018; 169 Pickrell, 2020).

- 170 To create more inclusive instructional environments and equitable access to the field, these
- 171 traditional attitudes and hostile climates must be dismantled. Part of this effort involves
- institutions reviewing materials used to promote fieldwork, which routinely depict white,
- 173 physically fit scientists in rugged outdoor settings (Hall et al., 2004; Atchison and Libarkin,
- 174 2016; Dowey et al., 2021).
- 175 Careful and considered field work design is also needed (Gilley et al., 2015). For example,
- 176 where a field locality presents accessibility issues, the trip organisers should endeavour to
- 177 find an alternative that is easily reachable for those with mobility issues or lower levels of
- 178 physical fitness. Where this isn't feasible, portable Wi-fi networks and mobile technology can
- provide students remote access to the inaccessible field site and thereby create an
- 180 environment conducive to active participation and social inclusion, as demonstrated through
- the Enabling Remote Activity (ERA) approach pioneered by the Open University (Collins et al., 2016).
- 102 al., 2010).
- 183 In this way, no students need to miss out on experiencing outdoor field activity and related
- 184 opportunities to build skills just because they are unable to reach the outcrop at the end of a 185 slippery, rocky beach or atop the highest, most difficult hill.
- 186

## 187 One size never fits all

- Another factor which can deter GEES scientists from participating in outdoor field activities is
   the course length. The prospect of spending many days or even weeks in the field can raise
   significant anxieties not just for students but for scientists at various different levels within the
- 191 academic hierarchy (Tucker and Horton, 2018).
- 192 Whereas postgraduates and more senior academics often have independence to determine
- 193 the duration of fieldwork that they undertake for their own research, undergraduates and
- teaching staff involved in field courses rarely have the autonomy to decide.
- 195 Therefore, institutions should be open to creating more flexible fieldwork timetables that
- allow for several shorter field courses or daytrips. This may be preferable to the
- 197 concentrated social environment, typically long working hours and intense nature of a

multiday residential trip, which for some is an "ordeal" rather than a constructive experience
(Tucker and Horton, 2018). This has the added advantage of providing thinking and planning
time in between field trips; students and staff can reflect on what worked well for them on a
trip and whether this can be replicated during their next field visit. Likewise, they can
consider what didn't work so well and how this could be improved next time.

203 Another consideration here is financial (Abeyta et al., 2021). Field skills training in overseas

locations, where not fully subsidised, is an expensive and inaccessible option for many

- researchers. The feasibility of offering domestic trips, that offer the same degree of
- 206 pedagogic rigour and generate similar learning outcomes (Figure 2), should be considered
- for those with caring responsibilities, on a restricted budget and/or not wanting to stray too
- 208 far from home.



- 209 Figure 2 Different destinations, same
- 210 aims; The authors of this study photographing field exposures of igneous rocks in overseas
- 211 (left) and domestic (right) destinations
- 212

## 213 Embracing diversity – and being actively anti-prejudice

As well as offering flexibility in terms of field work destinations and course lengths, when working with those from diverse backgrounds it is important to show open-mindedness and acceptance towards different personal needs and requirements.

- 217 For example, autistic individuals may need to carry a tactile object like a blanket, engage in
- stimming or use toys such as fidget spinners, to help maintain focus and cope with the
- 219 myriad of external sensory stimuli in field environments (Kingsbury et al., 2020).
- 220 Researchers who are practising religious fasting may have eating patterns that differ from
- the traditional meal times that many field courses are structured around. In these cases,
- 222 leaders should avoid scheduling trips during religious fasting periods such as Ramadan
- where possible. If this is unavoidable, and students practising fasting are participating in the
- fieldwork, leaders must ensure that suitable food is available at the appropriate times
- 225 (including suitable gluten-free, vegetarian, vegan, halal and kosher options), and that the trip

does not involve an unnecessarily high level of strenuous physical exertion. The risk
assessment of the trip should be adapted to include the possibility of students experiencing
acute dehydration or fainting. Furthermore,to promote the positive wellbeing of those from
various religious groups, it is essential that the accommodation in residential field courses
contains quiet, suitable spaces for worship and prayer that can be used in between field
activities (ECU, 2009; Advance HE, 2018).

Research indicates that 40% of trans and 52% of non-binary people in the UK feel they must
adjust the way they dress to avoid discrimination or harassment (Stonewall, 2017). Being
given the freedom to dress in outdoor gear and clothing that they feel comfortable and
confident wearing is essential for trans and non-binary individuals (Dzombak, 2020b).
Furthermore, careful consideration of toilet and changing facilities on fieldtrips is necessary
to ensure that everyone can safely use these spaces. Fieldwork leaders should avoid

238 unnecessary gender divisions (e.g. girls queue on the left), ensure that there is universal

provision available at the accommodation, and bear in mind that not all trans people feelcomfortable using public conveniences (Gendered Intelligence, 2020).

Whatever the difference, tolerance must be extended towards those from underrepresented groups to make the field a more welcoming and diverse place.

## 243 Carry on the conversation

244 It is imperative that the critical thought provoked by the coronavirus pandemic about field

245 work accessibility and inclusion remains at the forefront of our minds long after lockdowns

and travel restrictions have ceased. The momentum must continue in order to improve

equity, diversity and accessibility within GEES and associated field work (Sima, 2020).

248 To see positive, lasting change take effect, those who have been previously excluded should 249 be included in the dialogue and lead the conversation going forward (Scarpelli, 2017). Social 250 media, focus groups and workshops (Pickrell, 2020) are powerful forums through which academics, fieldwork leaders and those in management roles can be educated on the 251 252 challenges faced by minority groups. Conferences, such as those held on accessible virtual platforms during the pandemic (see Batty and Worsfold, 2021; iCRAG, 2021) can enable 253 254 solution-focussed discussions to take place within the wider GEES community and provide 255 opportunities for disseminating information on best practice to broad, international 256 audiences.

# 257 Summary

258 The six steps outlined here (Figure 3) have the potential to create meaningful change and 259 transform the nature of fieldwork. They can also form part of broader conversations about 260 ethical code of conduct within departments. Increasing accessibility of GEES subjects is very 261 much aligned to the Cape Town Statement of Geoethics, one of the fundamental values of 262 which is to "promote geoduction for all" (Di Capua et al., 2017). Our suggestions are broad, 263 and what is perceived as 'ethical practice' may differ amongst different groups and 264 individuals. For that reason, it is important that we listen to diverse groups, and are flexible to 265 actioning their thoughts and ideas where possible. If we do not act on their concerns, we risk 266 losing unique and irreplaceable geographers, earth scientists and environmental scientists 267 not only from the field, but from our disciplines altogether.



Figure 3 Summary of the Six simple steps towards making fieldwork more accessible and inclusive

-...

\_...

#### 288 References

- Abeyta, A., Fernandes, A.M., Mahon, R.C, & Swanson, T.E. (2021) The True Cost of Field
- 290 Education is a Barrier to Diversifying Geosciences. EarthArXiv.
- 291 https://doi.org/10.31223/X5BG70
- Advance HE (2018) *Religion and belief: supporting inclusion of staff and students in higher education and colleges.* https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/knowledge-hub/religion-and-beliefsupporting-inclusion-staff-and-students-higher-education-and
- Anadu, J. (2020, 22 June). *Black Thoughts: Hazards of Field Work While Black* [Video].
  Youtube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W0B7xwGkl00
- Anadu, J., H. Ali, & C. Jackson (2020). Ten steps to protect BIPOC scholars in the field. Eos,
  101, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EO150525
- Atchison, C. L. & Libarkin, J. C. (2016). Professionally held perceptions about the
- accessibility of the geosciences, *Geosphere*, 12(4), 1154–1165,
- 301 https://doi.org/10.1130/ges01264.1
- Barr R.B. & Tagg J. (1995) From teaching to learning–a new paradigm for undergraduate
  education. Change: 27(6) 13–25.
- 304

Batty, L. & Worsfold (2021, March 17). Post-COVID teaching in ecology – reflections from our BES Festival of Ecology workshop. *BES Teaching and Learning*.

307 https://besteachingandlearning.wordpress.com/2021/03/17/post-covid-teaching-in-ecology 308 reflections-from-our-bes-festival-of-ecology-workshop/

- Bracken, L. & Mawdsley E. (2004). 'Muddy glee': rounding out the picture of women and
  physical geography fieldwork. *Area*, 36(3), 280-286. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0004-
- 311 0894.2004.00225.x
- Bernard, R.E. & Cooperdock, E.H.G. (2018). No progress on diversity in 40 years. Nature
  Geoscience, 11, 292–295, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0116-6
- Clancy K.B.H., Nelson R.G., Rutherford J.N. & Hinde K. (2014). Survey of Academic Field
  Experiences (SAFE): Trainees Report Harassment and Assault. PLoS ONE 9(7), Article
  e102172. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102172
- Collins, T., Davies, S., & Gaved, M. (2016). Enabling remote activity: widening participation
  in field study courses. In: D. Kennepohl (ed.) *Teaching Science Online: Practical Guidance for Effective Instruction and Lab Work*. Sterling, VA, USA: Stylus Publishing, 183–195.
- Di Capua G., Peppoloni S. and Bobrowsky P.T. (2017). The Cape Town Statement on
  Geoethics. *Annals of Geophysics*, Vol. 60, Fast Track 7, doi: 10.4401/ag-7553
- Dutt, K. (2020). Race and racism in the geosciences. *Nature Geoscience*, 13, 2–3
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0519-z

- Dowey, N., Barclay, J., Fernando, B., Giles, S., Houghton, J., Jackson, C., Khatwa, A.,
- Lawrence, A., Mills, K., Newton, A., Rogers, S.L. & Williams, R. (2021). A UK perspective on tackling the geoscience racial diversity crisis in the Global North. *Nature Geoscience*,14,
- 327 256–259. https://doi.org/10.31223/osf.io/z4cju
- Dzomback, R (2020a, July 22). It's time to change the geosciences' outdated, exclusionary,
   and ableist field requirements. *Sister*. https://sisterstem.org/2020/07/22/its-time-to-change the-geosciences-field-requirements/
- Dzomback, R. (2020b, 28 October). Queer visibility in geoscience has been almost
- 332 nonexistent for decades. A new generation is working to change that. Speaking of
- 333 *Geoscience*™, https://speakingofgeoscience.org/2020/10/28/queer-visibility-in-geoscience-
- 334 has-been-almost-nonexistent-for-decades-a-new-generation-is-working-to-change-that/
- ECU (2009) *Religious observance in higher education: facilities and services.*www.ecu.ac.uk/publications/religious-obs-facilities.
- 337 Feig, A.D., Atchison, C.L., Stokes, A., & Gilley, B. (2019). Achieving inclusive field-based
- education: Results and recommendations from an accessible geoscience field trip. *The*
- 339 Journal of Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 19(2), 66–87.
- 340 https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v19i1.23455
- 341 Fitzpatrick, A. (2020, 17 March). You Will Never Be Indiana Jones. *Lady Science*.
- 342 https://www.ladyscience.com/essays/you-will-never-be-indiana-jones-toxic-masculinity-343 archaeology
- Greene, S., Ashley, K., Dunne, E., Edgar, K., Giles, S. & Hanson, E. (2020). *Toilet Stops in*
- the Field: An Educational Primer and Recommended Best Practices for Field-based
   Teaching. OSF Preprints. https://doi:10.31219/osf.io/gnhj2
  - 347 Gendered Intelligence. (2020). All gender toilet signs.
  - 348 http://genderedintelligence.co.uk/professionals/resources/toilets
- 349 Giles, S., Jackson, C. & Stephen, N. (2020). Barriers to fieldwork in undergraduate
- 350 geoscience degrees. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 1,77–78.
- 351 https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0022-5
- Gilley, B.H., Atchison, C.L., Feig, A. & Stokes, A. (2015). Impact of inclusive field trips.
   *Nature Geoscience*, 8, 579-580. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2500
- Hall, T., Healey, M., & Harrison, M. (2004). Fieldwork and disabled students: Discourses of
  exclusion and inclusion. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 28(2), 255–280.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/0309826042000242495
- Hall, T., & Healey, M. (2005). Disabled students' experiences of fieldwork. *Area.* 37(4),
  p.446–449, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4762.2005.00649.x.
- Hendricks, J. E., Atchison, C. L., & Feig, A. D. (2017). Effective use of personal assistants for students with disabilities: Lessons learned from the 2014 accessible geoscience field trip.
- 361 *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 65(1), 72–80, https://doi.org/10.5408/16-185.1

- Houghton, J. J., Morgan, D. J., Gordon, C. E., Stokes, A., Atchison, C. L., Collins, T. D.,
  Craven, B., & Willis, K.(2020). Access Anglesey 2018: Lessons from an inclusive field
  course. *Advances in Geosciences*, 53, 183–194, https://doi.org/10.5194/adgeo-53-1832020
- 366 iCRAG. (2021). EDIG Conference. https://www.icrag-centre.org/edig/conference/
- 367 Jackson, P. (1968). Life in Classrooms. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Jackson, C. (2021, March 1). Some barriers are invisible. *Geoscientist*, Spring 202, 16. https://geoscientist.online/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/GEO\_SPRING\_2021\_WEB.pdf
- 370 Kingsbury, C. G., Sibert, E.C., Killingback, Z. and Atchison, C. L. (2020). "Nothing about us
- 371 without us:" The perspectives of autistic geoscientists on inclusive instructional practices in
- 372 geoscience education. Journal of Geoscience Education, 68(4), 302-310,
- 373 https://doi.org/10.1080/10899995.2020.1768017
- 374 Larson, C. (2020, September 15). Calling out racism, Black scientists say they face
- 375 discrimination while doing fieldwork. *Chicago Sun Times*.
- 376 https://chicago.suntimes.com/2020/9/15/21436543/black-scientists-racism
- Litchfield, E. (2020). 'Widespread ableism is exhausting': What it's like being a disabled
- student at Soton. *The Southampton Tab.* https://thetab.com/uk/soton/2020/10/20/how-soton uni-are-failing-disabled-students-84771
- Mackay, A. (2021, 27 April). Out in the Field. *Geography Directions: Pride in the field*.
   https://blog.geographydirections.com/2021/04/27/out-in-the-field/
- 382

385

- Maguire, S. (1998). Gender differences in attitudes to undergraduate fieldwork. *Area*, 30(3),
  207–214. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4762.1998.tb00065.x
- Michael, J. (2006). Where's the evidence that active learning works? *Advances in physiology education*. 30(4), 159–67. https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00053.2006
- 388
  389 Murphy, V. (2020, July 3) *Geoscience course stops running Oman fieldtrip to be more*390 *inclusive*. [Press release]. https://www.imperial.ac.uk/news/198915/geoscience-course391 stops-running-oman-fieldtrip/
- 392
- Nairn, K. (1996). Parties on Geography Fieldtrips: Embodied Fieldwork? *Women's Studies Journal*. 12(2), 86-97.
- 395
- Nairn, K. (2003). What Has the Geography of Sleeping Arrangements Got to Do with the
  Geography of Our Teaching Spaces? *Gender, Place and Culture: A Journal of Feminist Geography.* 10(1), 67-81. https://doi.org/10.1080/0966369032000052667
- 399 Office for National Statistics (2020) Child poverty and education outcomes by ethnicity.
- 400 https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/nationalaccounts/uksectoraccounts/compendium/economic
- 401 review/february2020/childpovertyandeducationoutcomesbyethnicity#child-poverty-and-
- 402 ethnicity

- 403 Olcott, A. N. & Downen, M. R. (2020). The challenges of fieldwork for LGBTQ+ 404 geoscientists. *Eos*, 101. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EO148200
- 405 Pickrell, J. (2020. March 11) Scientists push against barriers to diversity in the field sciences.
  406 *Science*. 374. https://doi:10.1126/science.caredit.abb6887

407 Prior-Jones, M., Pinnion, J., Millet, M.-A., Bagshaw, E., Fagereng, A., & Ballinger, R. (2020,
408 May 4-8) An inclusive risk assessment tool for travel and fieldwork.[Conference
409 presentation]. EGU General Assembly 2020, Online. https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-

- 410 equ2020-7678, 2020
- 411
- 412 Quality Assurance Agency. (2019a). Subject Benchmark Statement: Earth Sciences,
  413 Environmental Sciences and Environmental Studies.
- 414 https://www.gaa.ac.uk/docs/gaa/subject-benchmark-statements/subject-benchmark-
- 415 statement-earth-sciences-environmental-sciences-and-environmental-studies.pdf
- 416
- 417 Quality Assurance Agency. (2019b). Subject Benchmark Statement: Geography.
- 418 https://www.gaa.ac.uk/docs/gaa/subject-benchmark-statements/subject-benchmark-
- 419 statement-geography.pdf
- 420 Scarpelli, A. (2017, 19 October). How I realized that LGBT+ scientists like me can inspire 421 others in their field. *Massive Science*. https://massivesci.com/articles/stem-lgbt-diversity-
- 422 science/
- 423 Sima, R. J. (2020). Accessibility and fieldwork in the time of coronavirus. Eos, 101,
  424 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EO147056
- Stokes, P., Levine, R., and Flessa, K.W. (2015). Choosing the geoscience major: Important
  factors, race/ethnicity, and gender. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 63(3), 250–263.
  https://doi.org/10.5408/14-038.1
- Stokes, A., Feig, A., Atchison, C., & Gilley, B. (2019). Making geoscience fieldwork inclusive
  and accessible for students with disabilities. *Geosphere*, 15(6), 1809–1817.
  https://doi.org/10.1130/GES02006.1
- 431 Stonewall. (2018). *LGBT in Britain Trans report*. https://www.stonewall.org.uk/lgbt-britain-432 trans-report
- 433 Tucker, F. & Horton, J. (2018). "The show must go on!" Fieldwork, mental health and 434 wellbeing in Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences. Area, 51(1), 84–93.
- 435 https://doi.org/10.1111/area.12437
- 436
- 437
- 438
- 439 Dear Sir/ Madam,
- 440
- I am writing to you, as the editor in chief of ...., to make a presubmission inquiry
- about an original piece that we believe would be classed as a 'commentary'
- 443 according to the content types outlined on the ..... journal website.

- 444
- 445 The article is concerned with improving accessibility and inclusivity in geoscience
- 446 fieldwork. It is informed by recent literature and our perspectives and personal
- experiences as a mixed-ethnicity, disabled (autistic) early career geoscientist and a 447
- 448 geoscience lecturer with experience in industry, respectively.
- 449
- 450 Our article focuses on the simple adaptations that can make fieldwork more
- 451 accessible and enjoyable for all. It is written in an accessible and non-technical style
- 452 that would appeal to a diverse, non-specialist readership, including those from
- academic disciplines beyond Geoscience. 453
- 454
- We have attached the short piece here. Could you please let us know if our article 455
- could be of interest to you and if we should submit the manuscript to your online 456 system for your further consideration?
- 457
- 458
- 459 Kind regards,
- 460 Miss Anya Lawrence and Dr Natasha Dowey
- 461
- 462