

A mixed-methods systematic review of post-viral fatigue interventions: Are there lessons for long Covid?

FOWLER-DAVIS, Sally http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7284-9183, THELWELL, Michael http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0145-0452, WOODWARD, Amie and HARROP, Deborah http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6528-4310

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at: http://shura.shu.ac.uk/29308/

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

FOWLER-DAVIS, Sally, PLATTS, Katharine, THELWELL, Michael, WOODWARD, Amie and HARROP, Deborah (2021). A mixed-methods systematic review of post-viral fatigue interventions: Are there lessons for long Covid? PLOS ONE, 16 (11).

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

S2 File

11 different self-report scales were used to measure fatigue in the studies assessed in the statistical analysis. All instruments reported in these papers were subjective/self-report scales - there were no objective or physiological measures reported - raising questions around accuracy and consistency as people with fatigue may not self-assess well. Table x compares the characteristics of the self-report fatigue scales used by studies in the statistical analysis.

Table 1. Comparison of fatigue measurement scales

Fatigue measure	Purpose	Content/Constructs	Scoring	Functional disability
Chalder fatigue scale	To assess the severity of fatigue in	Seven items related to physical	Scoring using a bimodal response system or a	The items related to physical
(11-item).	general practice settings.	symptoms of fatigue (e.g., Do you feel	Likert score with weights assigned to each	fatigue would appear to describe
		weak? Do you need to rest more?). Four	response choice. This measure uses either Likert	functional disability.
		items related to mental symptoms of	rating scales with 4 response options, or bimodal	
		fatigue (e.g., Do you have difficulty	responses: 'Yes' meaning positive for this	
		concentrating? How is your memory?).	symptom and scoring 1 point, and 'No' meaning	
			negative for this symptom and scoring 0 point.	
			Score range is 0–11 for bimodal response format;	
			0–33 for Likert Scale.	
Fatigue questionnaire	The fatigue questionnaire scale (FS-	FS-14 consists of 14 items, including 6	Scoring using a bimodal response system or a	The items related to physical
scale (FS-14)	14) is used to	items reflecting mental fatigue and 8	Likert score with weights assigned to each	fatigue would appear to describe
	evaluate the fatigue level of patients.	items reflecting physical fatigue.	response choice. This measure uses either Likert	functional disability.
			rating scales with 4 response options, or bimodal	
			responses: 'Yes' meaning positive for this	
			symptom and scoring 1 point, and 'No' meaning	
			negative for this symptom and scoring 0 point.	
			Score range is 0–14 for bimodal response format;	
			0–33 for Likert Scale.	
Checklist Individual	CIS was intended to be a	20 items relating to subjective fatigue,	Items are rated on a seven-point scale. For the	The subscale fatigue severity has
Strength (CIS) – fatigue	questionnaire to test the "behavioural,	concentration, motivation, physical	subjective experience of fatigue dimension, the	been shown to be correlated with
severity subscale	emotional, social, and cognitive	activity – for studies of fatigue, often	score range is 8–56. Higher scores indicate more	activity levels measured by an
	aspects" of ME/CFS and to identify	only the subjective experience of	fatigue. A cut-off point of 35 on the subjective	Actometer and so could be thought
		fatigue dimension is used.		to assess functional disability.

	the multiple dimensions of ME/CFS		experience of fatigue dimension is usually used to	
	patients' disability.		define a clinical level of fatigue.	
Fatigue severity scale	To measure fatigue severity in a	Nine statements concerning	Scale is a 7-point Likert scale where 1 = Strongly	Items are related to the
(FSS)	manner that facilitates research in the	respondent's fatigue, e.g., how fatigue	Disagree and 7 = Strongly	consequences of fatigue.
	experience of fatigue in a variety of	affects motivation, exercise, physical	Agree. Sum responses and divide by number of	
	medical and neurologic disorders	functioning, carrying out duties,	items for scale score.	
		interfering with work, family, or social	Score range is 1–7, though sometimes raw scores	
		life.	are reported.	
Global fatigue index	The MAF was originally developed to	The MAF is a self-administered	Numerical rating scale (1–10) for items 1, and 4–	Higher scores indicate more severe
(GFI) - Measured	measure self-reported fatigue in	questionnaire to measure 4 dimensions	14 (1 = not at all, 10 = a great deal), item 2 (1 =	fatigue, fatigue distress, or
within the	adults with rheumatoid arthritis (RA)	of self-reported	mild to $10 = \text{severe}$), item $3 (1 = \text{no distress}, 10 =$	interference with activities of daily
Multidimensional	but subsequently has	fatigue: degree and severity, amount of	a great deal of distress), Categorical response (1–	living.
Assessment of Fatigue	been used to measure fatigue in adults	distress it causes, its timing (how often	4) for Timing items 15 and 16. For GFI, score	
(MAF) questionnaire	with other	it occurs and if it changed over the past	range is $1-50$ (1 = no fatigue, 50 = severe	
	chronic conditions.	week), and the degree to which fatigue	fatigue).	
		interferes with activities of daily living.		
Fatigue Assessment	The FAI was developed in order to	It has four sub-scores: severity,	The fatigue severity score is the mean of 11 items	Some of the questions in the FAI
Instrument (FAI)	assess fatigue across a range of	specificity, consequences of fatigue and	among the 29 constituting the FAI, quoted	relate to physical functioning and so
	medical conditions and has been	responsiveness to rest/sleep.	between 1 and 7 by the patient, 1 representing a	will give some indication of an
	validated in different diagnoses. It		total disagreement and 7 representing a total	individual's physical disability
	may be valuable for screening		agreement with the written statements. Higher	
	individuals in clinical practice and		scores are indicative of greater problems with	
	may also be useful for research		fatigue.	
	endeavours.			
FIQ VAS Fatigue scale	To quantify global fibromyalgia	FIQ VASs performed as well as the full	The VAS for fatigue included in the	The fatigue scale on the FIQ VAS
(0-100)	severity and identify patients with	FIQ in assessing global disease	Fibromyalgia Impact	is one-dimensional focusing on how
	significant symptoms of fatigue, poor	severity, and cut-off scores on	Questionnaire (FIQ) is a one-dimensional	tired an individual has felt and
	sleep, depression or anxiety with	individual FIQ VASs could be	measure of fatigue, ranging from 0 mm (no	therefore may not capture physical
	brevity, enabling rapid patient	established to identify patients with	tiredness) to 100 mm (very tired).	disability.
	assessment and informing treatment	significant symptoms of fatigue, poor		
	decisions in busy clinics.	sleep and depression		

Multidimensional	The Multidimensional Fatigue	The MFI is a 20-item scale designed to	Respondents use a scale ranging from 1 to 7 to	Some of the questions in the MFI-
fatigue inventory (MFI-	Inventory (MFI) is a 20-item self-	evaluate five dimensions of fatigue:	indicate how aptly certain statements regarding	20 relate to physical functioning
20)	report instrument designed to measure	general	fatigue represent their experiences. Several	and so will give some indication of
	fatigue.	fatigue, physical fatigue, reduced	positively phrased items are reverse-scored.	an individual's physical disability
		motivation, reduced activity, and	Higher total scores correspond with more acute	
		mental fatigue.	levels of fatigue.	
Profile of fatigue	To measure symptomology	Each item lists a symptom typical of	Responses are given in a seven-point Likert-scale	Unclear how sensitive this scale is
related states (PFRS)	specifically related to ME/CFS.	ME/CFS and respondents are asked to	format ranging from 0 (not at all) through 3	to functional disability.
		indicate how intensely they have	(moderately) to 6 (extremely). Average item	
		experienced that symptom over the past	scores are then computed for four separate	
		week.	factors: Emotional Distress, Fatigue, Cognitive	
			Difficulty, and Somatic symptoms – though in	
			F021 scores are given as total.	
Brief fatigue inventory's	To assess the severity of fatigue and	Severity of fatigue and the impact of	This symptom assessment tool measures nine	Some of the questions in the BFI
(BFI)	the impact of fatigue on daily	fatigue on daily functioning in the past	items on 10-point numeric scales for fatigue level	relate to physical functioning and so
	functioning	24 hours. The six interference items	and interference with daily life. A global fatigue	will give some indication of an
		(general activity, mood, walking ability,	score can be obtained by averaging all the items	individual's physical disability
		normal work, relations with other	on the BFI. Cut points for fatigue level suggested	
		people, enjoyment of life) correlate	are 1–3 (mild), 4–7 (moderate), and 8–10 (severe)	
		with standard quality-of-life measures.	(Chang, 2007). Levels of 4 or greater suggest a	
			need for intervention beyond activities for	
			prevention.	
Functional assessment	To assess specific quality of life	Designed to assess fatigue/ tiredness	The level of fatigue is measured on a five-point	Some of the questions in the
of chronic fatigue	concerns related to fatigue in cancer	and its impact on daily activities and	Likert-type scale (0 = not at all; $1 = a$ little bit; 2	FACIT-F relate to physical
(FACIT-F)	patients. The FACIT-F was originally	functioning in a number of chronic	= somewhat; $3 =$ quite a bit; and $4 =$ very much).	functioning and so will give some
	developed to assess the fatigue	diseases. The instrument includes items	All items contribute to the sum score with equal	indication of an individual's
	associated with anemia.	such as tiredness, weakness,	weight. The scale range is 0 to 52, with 0 being	physical disability
		listlessness, lack of energy, and the	the worst possible score and 52 being the best	
		impact of these feelings on daily	possible score indicating no fatigue - *however,	
		functioning (e.g., sleeping, and social	F035 scored this the opposite way, i.e. total score	
		activities).	ranges from 0 (no fatigue) to 52 (maximum	
			degree of fatigue).	