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While ideas from long-term athlete development (LTAD) models have been adopted and integrated across different sports, issues related to early specialization, such as increased risk of injury and burnout, are still common. Although some benefits may be associated with early sport specialization, sports sampling is purported to be a more effective approach to the long-term health and wellbeing of children. Furthermore, the concept of developing what are commonly referred to as “fundamental movement skills” (FMS) is central to the rationale for delaying single sports specialization. However, in place of sports sampling, it appears that the practice of strength and conditioning (S&C) has become a driving force behind developmental models for youth athletes, highlighted by the growing body of literature regarding youth athletic development training. In this perspective piece, we explore how conventional S&C practice may insufficiently develop FMS because typically, it only emphasizes a narrow range of foundational exercises that serve a limited role toward the development of action capabilities in youth athletic populations. We further discuss how this approach may limit the transferability of physical qualities, such as muscular strength, to sports-specific tasks. Through an ecological dynamics lens, and using basketball as an example, we explore the potential for parkour-based activity within the LTAD of youth basketball players. We propose parkour as a training modality to not only encourage movement diversity and adaptability, but also as part of an advanced strength training strategy for the transfer of conventional S&C training.
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INTRODUCTION

The notion of developing basic movement skills to provide foundations for more advanced and specialized forms of movement is not new (Hulteen et al., 2018). However, a concern in the development of youth sports has been the lack of emphasis on generalized fundamental movement skills (FMS) in favor of early specialization (Bridge and Toms, 2013; DiStefano et al., 2017; Liefeith et al., 2018). Although alternative terms exist (e.g., foundational movement skills, functional movement skills, and basic movement skills), typically, FMS encompass locomotor (e.g., running and jumping) and object control (e.g., catching, throwing, and kicking; Morgan et al., 2013; Barnett et al., 2016). Accordingly, FMS are considered foundational for...
the development of sports-specific skills, which if left undeveloped may limit future performance (Barela, 2013; Arede et al., 2019; Jukic et al., 2019). Indeed, the development of FMS ahead of specific sports skills is promoted within the long-term athlete development model (LTAD; Balyi, 2001), which has served as an influential framework for the training of young athletes in sporting organizations for over two decades (Collins and Bailey, 2012; Liefeith et al., 2018; Perreault and Gonzalez, 2021).

Through the development of FMS as well as participation in multiple sports-related activities throughout childhood, the premise of the LTAD model is to avoid early specialization and the associated risks relating to injury and burnout (Ford et al., 2011; Pichardo et al., 2018; Perreault and Gonzalez, 2021). However, despite recognition by sports organizations of the need for an LTAD strategy, the prevalence of injuries in youth sports, such as soccer and basketball, remains high (e.g., Read et al., 2016, 2018; Owose et al., 2020). While the original intention of the LTAD model was to be used as a framework for sports organizations to adapt and implement to suit their specific needs (Dowling et al., 2020), it has been argued that the development of FMS and general physical qualities remains marginalized in favor of sports-specific training (Liefeith et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2021).

Problematically, much debate exits with respect to FMS (e.g., Barnett et al., 2016; Hulteen et al., 2018; Newell, 2020). Indeed, youth-level basketball coaches have been found to have differing notions of FMS, as well as varying ideas as to whom might be responsible for their development (Williams et al., 2021). Consequently, sports organizations may have become reliant on the field of strength and conditioning (S&C) to develop FMS and general physical qualities. For example, within Basketball England’s version of the LTAD model, the Player Development Framework, the S&C domain is responsible for the development of “all round quality of movement literacy.” In relation to this, the meta-analysis by Collins et al. (2019) found that resistance training, which targets muscular strength, positively impacts FMS through neural adaptations (e.g., motor unit recruitment and firing). However, despite the benefits of youth-based S&C training, which includes reducing risk factors for injury and life-long engagement in physical activity (e.g., Faigenbaum et al., 2013; Zwolski et al., 2017; McQuilliam et al., 2020), conventional youth-based S&C practices may lead to the development of movement skills with limited relevance outside of the S&C domain. For example, the development of athletic movement skills, such as the overhead squat, hip hinge, and lunge patterns (Woods et al., 2017). Consequently, FMS may not be developed with sufficient diversity to provide underpinning movement capabilities for sports-specific skill development (Young, 2006; Young et al., 2015).

A potential strategy to enrich young athletes’ FMS education is the implementation of parkour-related activities (Strafford et al., 2018, 2020). Parkour is an acrobatic sport incorporating a broad range of movement skills and motor abilities, which has been proposed as an activity to develop FMS and general athletic abilities for youth team sports (Strafford et al., 2018, 2020; Wormhoudt et al., 2018). Obtaining transferable athletic capabilities through the implementation of parkour derives from the concept of donor sports, which are purported to develop and facilitate the transfer of general movement skills and physical qualities to actions typically performed in a target sport (Travassos et al., 2018; Wormhoudt et al., 2018). Given that basketball is characterized by multidirectional movements (Montgomery et al., 2010), the development of youth basketball players would seemingly benefit from the running, jumping, vaulting, and climbing activities that characterize parkour (DeMartini, 2014).

Thus, in this perspective article, we explore the potential for parkour as a donor sport for the development of youth basketball players. In the next sections, we discuss the role of conventional youth-based S&C practice and its limitations, and present alternative perspectives on the development of movement capabilities through an ecological dynamics lens. It is through this lens that we propose parkour as a donor sport for the enriched development of FMS, as well as forming an advanced strength training strategy to facilitate transfer to basketball performance.

THE ROLE OF STRENGTH AND CONDITIONING IN LTAD

A body of research (e.g., DiStefano et al., 2010; Myer et al., 2011; Ayala et al., 2017; Pomares-Noguera et al., 2018) has demonstrated the efficacy of neuromuscular training programs on reducing risk factors for injury in youth populations. Furthermore, other forms of S&C training in youth populations are also supported empirically (Moran et al., 2018a,b, 2019). This includes evidence of windows of trainability for strength, speed, and plyometrics (Moran et al., 2018a,b, 2019). Collectively, this has resulted in the publication of position papers, such as the National Strength and Conditioning Association’s LTAD position statement and the British Journal of Sports Medicine’s position statement on youth resistance training, both of which recommend the concurrent development of muscular strength and movement skills in children and adolescents (Lloyd et al., 2014, 2016). Therefore, the role of S&C within the LTAD strategies of sports organizations should be regarded as highly important in reducing risk factors for injury as well as increasing physical performance capabilities (Faigenbaum et al., 2013; Zwolski et al., 2017; Pichardo et al., 2018).

Notwithstanding the aforementioned benefits, a concern relating to the conventional approach to youth-based S&C is the lack of representative movement dynamics for team sports, such as basketball. Indeed, when considered in the context of “open-skill” games that require decision making and a vast array of movement dynamics (Smith, 2016), athletic movement skills may not sufficiently reflect the requirements. To illustrate this, in basketball, offensive players require a large repertoire of action capabilities to evade their opponents, as do defending players who are required to react (Montgomery et al., 2010). Accordingly, it has been argued that to be effective, S&C programs for basketball players need to better represent the diversity of movement demands of the sport (Taylor et al.,
The potential of parkour

Despite popular media portraying parkour as an extreme sport consisting of only large-scale movements that are of high injury risk, such as jumping from buildings or between train carriages (Strafford et al., 2018), expert Traceurs have highlighted how contemporary parkour consists of a range of events (e.g., speed-runs and freestyle) which can be performed both in indoor and outdoor environments (Strafford et al., 2020). Hence, parkour is characterized by a variety of movements utilized to navigate obstacles and is practiced in various forms and contexts (Aggerholm and Højbjerg Larsen, 2017). The potential of parkour to enrich FMS is based upon the concept of donor sports, which is derived from the Athletic Skills Model (ASM; Wormhoudt et al., 2018). The ASM, which adopts an ecological dynamics perspective, purports that exposure to activities that share common characteristics (e.g., skills and abilities) can be transferred to other sports. For example, the use of obstacle courses, termed speed-runs, which require the participant to navigate as efficiently as possible, can be used to encourage transferable agility skills (Strafford et al., 2021). Indeed, irrespective of the target sport, exposure to parkour-based activities, such as speed-runs, may be particularly pertinent during pre-adolescence, which is regarded as a period of sensitivity for developing FMS due to high levels of neural plasticity (Myer et al., 2015; Ng and Button, 2018). However, for the purposes of fine tune existing neural pathways and muscle synergies, and to take advantage of the still high levels
of neural plasticity retained in adolescence (≈13 years of age and above; Myer et al., 2013, 2015), parkour-based activities may continue to play an important role in athletic development.

Although currently, evidence directly examining the benefits of parkour training on basketball is limited, significant correlations between performance tests typically used in basketball (e.g., vertical jump and T-test) and performance in a parkour speed-run has been demonstrated (Strafford et al., 2021). Furthermore, Abellán-Aynés and Alacid (2016) present parkour as an effective training method for developing agility, horizontal, and vertical jump abilities. Alongside jumping and agility, parkour training interventions have also demonstrated improved cardiorespiratory fitness with increases in peak oxygen uptake, oxygen uptake at anaerobic threshold, heart rate at anaerobic threshold, and running speed at anaerobic threshold (Dvorak et al., 2017).

Regarding basketball, owing to similarities between actions, parkour-based activities may also be considered for their potential as a donor for the specific development of action capabilities in youth players. For example, in parkour, the tic tac action, which is characterized by pushing off of a wall with the ball of the foot to gain height (Witfeld et al., 2011), requires spatial orientation and use of perceptual information from the foot contact to determine the subsequent phase of the movement (Strafford et al., 2018). Therefore, this action may present developing basketball players with the opportunity to explore their capabilities to decelerate, propel, land, and then, move in a new direction. Furthermore, through what has been termed “synergistic adaptation,” the introduction of strength training to youth basketball players will likely augment changes to force production that naturally occur as a result of growth and maturation (Moran et al., 2017; Peitz et al., 2018). In turn, this will alter the players’ effectivities (force capabilities), which necessitates the continued exploration of the affordance landscape with respect to their action capabilities. To illustrate this, the use of plyometric training, which has been found to enhance the jumping capabilities of youth basketball players (Gonzalo-Skok et al., 2019), logically, enables players to express improved jumping capabilities within the game. For example, in the execution of rebounding the ball. Rebounding involves an offensive or defensive player aerially competing for possession of the ball after a missed shot attempt. However, depending upon the specific scenario presented, the player may be required to use various jumping actions to successfully rebound the ball (Krause and Nelson, 2018). Therefore, despite a player’s enhanced force characteristics, in the absence of the players exploring their jump action capabilities beyond the plyometric regimen, there may be a limited transfer of the adaptations to sport-specific contexts. In this regard, parkour-based actions need not be advanced beyond those identified as relevant to the affordance landscape. Instead, the actions remain efficacious for the process of recalibration, which represents an updating of the mapping of the contributing units to the execution of a movement skill (Davids et al., 2012).

Although it may be argued that basketball-specific practice would better facilitate transfer of improved force-related capabilities, problematically, the greater levels of representativeness that basketball-specific practice presents may provide cognitive and decision-making demands that are too high (Farrow and Robertson, 2017). Therefore, youth players may fail to sufficiently explore the affordance landscape in relation their altered physical capabilities. This is not to appear contradictory to the premises of ecological dynamics already considered in regard to the coupling of perception and action; instead, it distinguishes between the effectivities (those impacted by S&C) of the individual player and the more complex environment that represents the sport (Woods et al., 2020). In this regard, affordances are both objective, for example, the properties of a given playing surface, and subjective, which relate to an individual’s perception of their own capabilities (Davids et al., 2008). With reference to the latter, the detection of affordances therefore relates to an athlete’s current effectivities (Wang and Bingham, 2019; Ribeiro et al., 2021). Where the properties of effectivities are enhanced through conventional S&C training, parkour movement training is proposed to sit between conventional S&C training and that of basketball-specific training. However, as with any training modality, caution should be exercised to avoid excessive workload being placed upon youth athletes, especially in the form of repetitive movement patterns (Leppänen et al., 2015). Notwithstanding this, when programmed appropriately, theoretically, the inclusion of parkour-based activities would enable the youth player to perceive their action capabilities and detect new affordances transferable to their sport.

APPLICATION AS AN ADVANCED STRENGTH TRAINING STRATEGY

An important consideration in the development of adolescent basketball players is that the number of basketball-specific practice hours will generally increase proportional to the time spent in other physical activities (Jayanthi et al., 2013). Therefore, the inclusion of parkour activities will likely be dependent on the constraints of time. Accordingly, at this stage of development, the use of parkour activities might form part of a more advanced strength training strategy and adopt a more thoughtful and individually tailored approach. In this regard, parkour activities should be considered by S&C coaches alongside an evaluation of the specific sporting action being targeted.

To account for time constraints, parkour activities could theoretically be embedded within the S&C program itself. For example, this could take the form of a complex training regimen, with parkour actions performed concurrently within the same training session as conventional S&C training exercises. Complex training has previously been shown as an effective method to improve sprint and vertical jump performance in young (<20 years) basketball players (Santos and Janeira, 2008; Freitas et al., 2017). Commonly, this training method requires athletes to perform a strength-oriented exercise, such as a barbell back squat followed by a plyometric-oriented exercise that shares similar mechanics, therefore providing a potentiating effect on the subsequent exercise (Santos and Janeira, 2008). Where the paired exercise in this example would typically include a jumping exercise, such as a countermovement jump (Freitas et al., 2017), vaulting activities...
or tic tac actions could be included in its place, or in combination through alternating sets. With regard to the latter, from an ecological dynamics perspective, this approach would challenge players to explore the affordance landscape under conditions of the post-activation potentiation response from the strength-oriented exercise, augmenting the neural contribution to the subsequent parkour action in each set of the exercise, as is the aim of complex training (Freitas et al., 2017). Moreover, the varied jumping patterns would present players with more varied landing challenges than those in conventional complex training, which may better prepare players for scenarios encountered within the sport. While currently, no known loading parameters exit for parkour-based actions, it would appear prudent to follow the guidelines for contacts that are typical of plyometric and complex training regimens. However, research is required to validate these suppositions.

SAFETY PRECAUTIONS

Parkour UK, the governing body for parkour in the United Kingdom, has developed its own risk-benefit assessment and provides standards relating to equipment and codes of practice. However, its growing popularity is illustrated by the emergence of YouTube videos displaying high-risk maneuvers in urban settings (DeMartini, 2014). Therefore, where parkour actions are being considered within the LTAD programs of young athletes, risk-benefit should be considered, and an emphasis placed on performing parkour safely. Moreover, when introduced, it should be stressed to the young athletes that the parkour activities are to be performed in supervised sessions only.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Given the S&C domain’s influence in the LTAD of youth athletic populations, we propose that the field expands its influence to capture both the decision-making and movement dynamics properties that may better represent the characteristics of sports performance. While the efficacy of conventional S&C is not in question, we have argued that through the adoption of concepts from the ecological dynamics’ framework, the S&C domain might better equip children and adolescents with diverse and adaptable action capabilities. Moreover, this would develop perceptual aspects of performance, and the interdependency of environment and movement dynamics. From this perspective, the implementation of parkour as a donor sport for youth basketball players might enrich their action capabilities and facilitate the transfer of conventional forms of S&C to basketball performance.
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