

**Does lower-limb asymmetry increase injury risk in sport? A systematic review.**

HELME, Mark <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7293-7498>>, TEE, Jason, EMMONDS, Stacey and LOW, Chris

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<https://shura.shu.ac.uk/28290/>

---

This document is the Supplemental Material

**Citation:**

HELME, Mark, TEE, Jason, EMMONDS, Stacey and LOW, Chris (2021). Does lower-limb asymmetry increase injury risk in sport? A systematic review. *Physical Therapy in Sport*, 49, 204-213. [Article]

---

**Copyright and re-use policy**

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

Study quality assessment of cohort studies, using the CASP-cohort checklist

Study	CASP-Cohort question												Total score
	Did the study address a clearly focused issue?	Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?	Was the exposure accurately measured to minimise bias?	Was the outcome accurately measured to minimise bias?	Have the authors identified all important confounding factors?	Have they taken account of the confounding factors in the design and/or analysis?	Was the follow up of subjects complete enough?	Was the follow up of subjects long enough?	How precise are the results?	Do you believe the results?	Can the results be applied to the local population?	Do the results of this study fit with other available evidence?	
Attwood et al., [16]	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	9 (75%)
Brumitt et al., [31]	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4 (33%)
Brumitt et al., [32]	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	5 (42%)
Chalmers et al., [17]	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	9 (75%)
Chalmers et al., [5]	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	9 (75%)
Colston et al., [18]	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	5 (42%)
Croisier et al., [36]	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3 (25%)
Duke et al., [19]	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	6 (50%)
Fousekis et al., [37]	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4 (33%)
Fousekis et al., [38]	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	7 (58%)
Hartley et al., [21]	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	6 (50%)
Gonnell et al., [20]	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	4 (33%)
Izovska et al., [39]	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3 (25%)
Kiesel et al., [22]	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	5 (42%)
Knapik et al., [40]	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	6 (50%)
Maulder [33]	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (17%)
Mokha et al., [23]	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	7 (58%)
Moran et al., [24]	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	8 (67%)
O'Connor et al., [25]	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	7 (58%)
Opar et al., [41]	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	9 (75%)
Plisky et al., [26]	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10 (83%)
Räsänen et al., [27]	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3 (25%)

Read et al., [34]	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	9 (75%)
Šiupšinskas, et al., [25]	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	5 (42%)
Smith et al., [29]	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	7 (58%)
Steidl-Müller et al., [35]	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	9 (75%)
Stiffler et al., [30]	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	6 (50%)
Sugiura et al., [39]	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	5 (42%)

*1 = criteria met, 0 = not met or unclear*