

Structural, electrical and photocatalytic properties of iron-containing soda-lime aluminosilicate glass and glass-ceramics

ALI, Ahmed, KHAN, Irfan, ZHANG, Bofan, RAZUM, Marta, PAVIC, Luka, SANTIC, Ana, BINGHAM, Paul <<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6017-0798>>, NOMURA, Kiyoshi and KUBUKI, Shiro

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/27513/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

ALI, Ahmed, KHAN, Irfan, ZHANG, Bofan, RAZUM, Marta, PAVIC, Luka, SANTIC, Ana, BINGHAM, Paul, NOMURA, Kiyoshi and KUBUKI, Shiro (2020). Structural, electrical and photocatalytic properties of iron-containing soda-lime aluminosilicate glass and glass-ceramics. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

Table 1 ^{57}Fe Mössbauer fitted parameters for room temperature analyses of as collected slag (not heat-treated), melted slag at 1400 °C; heat treated at 800 °C for 100 min; and samples with different Fe_2O_3 and basicity content and the modified salag melted at 1400 °C; heat treated at 800 °C for 100 min

Sample	Species	A (%)	δ (mm s^{-1})	Δ (mm s^{-1})	Γ (mm s^{-1})
F-18	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	78.8	$0.32_{\pm 0.01}$	$0.77_{\pm 0.02}$	$0.50_{\pm 0.02}$
	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	21.2	$0.26_{\pm 0.02}$	$1.65_{\pm 0.07}$	$0.45_{\pm 0.05}$
F-12	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	60.0	$0.28_{\pm 0.01}$	$1.00_{\pm 0.03}$	$0.53_{\pm 0.04}$
	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	40.0	$0.24_{\pm 0.01}$	$1.78_{\pm 0.05}$	$0.54_{\pm 0.06}$
F-6 (B-1.00)	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	58.1	$0.21_{\pm 0.02}$	$0.93_{\pm 0.07}$	$0.50_{\pm 0.08}$
	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	41.9	$0.23_{\pm 0.02}$	$1.67_{\pm 0.11}$	$0.53_{\pm 0.11}$
B-1.75	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	50.0	$0.19_{\pm 0.02}$	$0.84_{\pm 0.05}$	$0.39_{\pm 0.07}$
	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	50.0	$0.12_{\pm 0.03}$	$1.73_{\pm 0.09}$	$0.54_{\pm 0.12}$
B-1.50	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	76.4	$0.14_{\pm 0.02}$	$0.92_{\pm 0.04}$	$0.56_{\pm 0.05}$
	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	23.6	$0.12_{\pm 0.03}$	$1.88_{\pm 0.07}$	$0.37_{\pm 0.08}$
B-1.25	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	58.9	$0.20_{\pm 0.01}$	$0.84_{\pm 0.03}$	$0.48_{\pm 0.05}$
	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	41.1	$0.12_{\pm 0.02}$	$1.88_{\pm 0.04}$	$0.44_{\pm 0.06}$
B-0.75	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	60.6	$0.25_{\pm 0.02}$	$0.98_{\pm 0.07}$	$0.51_{\pm 0.05}$
	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	39.4	$0.26_{\pm 0.02}$	$1.61_{\pm 0.13}$	$0.51_{\pm 0.12}$
M-1.5	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	53.8	$0.26_{\pm 0.02}$	$0.82_{\pm 0.06}$	$0.51_{\pm 0.08}$
	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} T_d$	46.2	$0.18_{\pm 0.02}$	$1.78_{\pm 0.07}$	$0.52_{\pm 0.10}$
Melted slag	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} O_h$	52.1	$0.36_{\pm 0.01}$	$0.60_{\pm 0.06}$	$0.43_{\pm 0.06}$
	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} O_h$	47.9	$0.35_{\pm 0.01}$	$1.08_{\pm 0.09}$	$0.51_{\pm 0.06}$
As collected slag	$\text{Fe}^{\text{II}} O_h$	70.2	$1.01_{\pm 0.01}$	$1.81_{\pm 0.02}$	$0.47_{\pm 0.03}$
	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} O_h$	29.8	$0.48_{\pm 0.06}$	$1.32_{\pm 0.09}$	$0.53_{\pm 0.09}$

T_d tetrahedral, O_h octahedral, A absorption area, δ isomer shift, Δ quadrupole splitting, Γ line width

Table 2 XRF compositional analysis of combustible waste slag (weight %) collected July 2018 and nominal composition of the model slag B-1.50

	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	CaO	Na ₂ O	TiO ₂	MgO	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O	MnO	Others
As collected slag	23.90	20.80	18.33	24.61	4.69	2.14	3.12	1.45	0.21	0.36	0.39
B-1.5	27.6	20	6	41.4	5	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3 DC conductivity at RT for the samples of basicity 0.75 to 1.75 and the modified slag heat-treated at 800 °C for 100 min

Sample	$\sigma_{DC}^a / (\Omega \text{ cm})^{-1}$
B-0.75	2.2×10^{-12}
B-1.00	3.2×10^{-11}
B-1.25	7.0×10^{-10}
B-1.50	2.2×10^{-8}
B-1.75	6.5×10^{-9}
M-1.50	5.6×10^{-9}

^a DC conductivity obtained from equivalent circuit modeling.