

**Gridshell as Formwork: Proof of Concept for a New
Technique for Constructing Thin Concrete Shells
Supported by Gridshell as Formwork**

TANG, Gabriel <<http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0336-0768>> and PEDRESCHI, Remo

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:
<https://shura.shu.ac.uk/27073/>

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

TANG, Gabriel and PEDRESCHI, Remo (2020). Gridshell as Formwork: Proof of Concept for a New Technique for Constructing Thin Concrete Shells Supported by Gridshell as Formwork. *Journal of Architectural Engineering*, 26 (4), 04020036. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

1 Journal of Architectural Engineering, ASCE

2

3

4

5 Paper title:

6 **GRIDSHELL as FORMWORK:**

7 Proof of Concept for a New Technique for
8 Constructing Thin Concrete Shells supported by
9 Gridshell as Formwork.

10

11 Dr Gabriel Tang (Corresponding author)

12 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0336-0768>

13 Ph.D., MBA, DipArch, BA(Hons), ARB

14 Senior Lecturer in Architecture,

15 Sheffield Hallam University

16 Howard Street

17 Sheffield S1 1WB

18 email: g.tang@shu.ac.uk

19 Dr Remo Pedreschi

20 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2785-5987>

21 Ph.D., BSc(Hons), MICE, CEng

22 Professor of Architectural Technology

23 University of Edinburgh

24 Minto House

25 20 Chambers Street

26 Edinburgh

27 EH1 1JZ

28 email: r.pedreschi@ed.ac.uk

29

30 Abstract

31 This paper documents empirical experiment conducted in August 2014 as proof of concept for a new
32 method of constructing concrete shells. An idea initially presented by the first author in 2012, it uses
33 re-deployable gridshells onto which fabric is pre-stressed and concrete applied. Primarily, this system
34 addresses key issues that led to their decline in use: construction methods/ formwork systems were
35 not re-usable, nor were they easily customisable to create different shapes. Employing 27 man hours
36 over seven days, two concrete shells were achieved using the same re-usable and re-configurable
37 formwork. Lightweight (0.6kg) pvc gridshell formwork supported 106.92 kg of concrete to create a
38 concrete shell that covered 1.11 sqm (floor area). The construction verifies a low-cost (£6.06/sqm)
39 efficiency and material utilisation in the construction of very strong wide-spanning thin concrete
40 structures. Detailed analysis of formwork behaviour during construction and detailed measurements
41 of resultant shell the results prove this new method of deployable gridshells as re-usable and re-
42 configurable formwork to construct very strong concrete shells very quickly. Whilst the emphasis of

43 the research focussed on the construction process, the vaults were tested and sustained a failure
44 load of 4.2kN (4.32 times their deadweight), applied as a point load at the crown.

45 Fig. 1

46 1. Introduction:

47 This paper documents empirical experiment conducted in August 2014 as proof of concept for a new
48 method of constructing concrete shells. An idea initially presented by the first author in 2012 (Tang,
49 2012a, 2012b, 2012c), it uses re-deployable gridshells onto which fabric is pre-stressed and concrete
50 applied.

51 Concrete shells gain strength from their doubly-curved geometries to achieve impressive span to
52 thickness ratios with relatively minimal material. For example, the Cosmic Ray Pavilion 1951 by Felix
53 Candela registered an impressive span/ thickness ratio of 367:1 where its hyperbolic parabolic
54 geometry provided clear spans without the need for intermediate supports (Garlock and Billington,
55 2008).

56 Fig 2

57 Concrete shells are popularly designed as communal venues such as sports stadia and even
58 churches as they offer clear sight-lines and used little material to cover large areas. With many
59 attributes to concrete shell's credit, the current state of concrete shell activity remains low. Recent
60 examples in Japan, at Teshima Island Art Shells (by Ryue Nishizawa, 2010) and Kakamigahara
61 Crematorium (by Toyo Ito 2006), demonstrate their architectural possibilities. Paradoxically, despite
62 their potential as efficient structures with aesthetics and material economy benefits, concrete shell
63 construction has declined.

64 The problem lies with the formwork - sometimes single-use and often time-consuming to prepare, the
65 formwork negates environmental value offered by concrete (such as thermal mass in exposed
66 interiors) and material economy derived from their double curved shaping. A survey of past and
67 present concrete shell construction methods has identified concrete shell formwork as neither re-
68 usable nor re-configurable. This new method resolves these problems by employing deployable
69 gridshells as re-usable, re-configurable and intuitive-to-erect formwork.

70 **2. Background : Challenges faced by Concrete Shells**

71 **2.1 Design difficulties**

72 At the turn of the century, curved structures such as concrete shells and their curved formwork were
73 difficult to design. In addition to spatial planning, pure shells acting in compression require force/form
74 understanding. In the early days, this difficulty was overcome by shells designers developing through
75 physical experimentation using models, as seen in the works of Eduardo Torroja (1899 - 1961) and
76 Pier Luigi Nervi (1891-1979). Heinz Isler (1926-2009) also used physical models to find structurally
77 appropriate free form shell geometries (Pedreschi, 2008).

78 Historically, structural design of shells involved complex mathematical analysis. However, with digital
79 advancement, shell forms can now be form-found and analysed with computational analysis
80 softwares. In the early days, these tools were specialised and difficult to use. However, current
81 development in formfinding software such as Rhino-vault (Rippmann, Lachauer and Block, 2012) or
82 Kiwi3D has made this process comparatively easier and more intuitive.

83 **2.2 Formwork Challenges**

84 Demanding a sound technical understanding of formwork construction, concrete casting and de-
85 centring (formwork removal), concrete shell construction is intensive on formwork and labour. Hence,
86 concrete shell design expects a good understanding of material behaviour and system assembly (i. e.
87 both of concrete and formwork construction).

88 Difficulties in formwork fabrication, design methods and construction techniques also contributed to
89 their decline. The consistent lack of construction ease, a limiting one-off form, and a system not
90 immediately reconfigurable/ re-useable resulted in very cumbersome erection sequence/ procedure.

91 In the quest to address these challenges, institutions such as TU Vienna's pneumatic 2013 wedge
92 system (Kromoser & Kollegger, 2015) and at ETH's use of cable nets as formwork (Veenendaal,
93 Bakker & Block, 2015) sought to address these difficulties in designing concrete shells.

94 **2.3 Critical Analysis of Concrete Shell Formwork technologies**

95 **2.3.1 Timber Planks**

96 Traditional shell formwork consisted of rigid timber planks arranged to produce a curved pourable
97 surface. Timber formwork was suitable and effective in supporting the weight of construction workers

98 as well as shoring concrete (Bechthold, 2008). The first concrete shell in 1922 by Franz Dischinger
99 (1887-1953) for the Zeiss Planetarium in Jena, Germany with Walter Bausersfeld (1879-1959) used
100 timber as a backing to prevent concrete from falling behind the shell (Addis, 2007). The exposed
101 interior surface offers this option to be reflected in the imprints of timber planks. This characteristic is
102 much valued by Felix Candela (1910-1997) as he retained them to express this process of their
103 formation (Lee and Garlock, 2009). Candela designed his shells with hy-pars/ruled geometry. Ruled
104 geometries are curved surfaces that are formed of straight lines. These are manifested through forms
105 such as hyperbolic parabolas, conoids, hyperboloids, cylinders and cones. Candela was keenly aware
106 that ruled geometries also meant loading could be calculated and analysed (Faber and Candela
107 1963). Most significantly, from a design point of view, ruled surfaces allowed Candela to simplify
108 formwork as complex doubly-curved surfaces were formable from straight boards, which were also
109 reusable, making them economical. For example, in his famous umbrella structures, falsework were
110 reused several times. Specifically in the Rio's Warehouse, Mexico, he concreted four concrete
111 umbrella structures so that one week later he could decentre the forms and concreted another set of
112 four (Garlock and Billington, 2008).

113

114

115

116 Designing constructible shapes opened up form possibilities. Construction complexity could now be
117 managed by varying and repeating the hy-par form. Candela led the way in developing shells in
118 responding to analytical limitations at that time. His design process and concrete shell forms were
119 therefore developed using method and technology available at that time and he succeeded with great
120 acclaim.

121

122 **2.3.2 Glulam timber formwork**

123 Whilst the stable weather of Mexico allowed concrete shells to stay uninsulated and impressively thin,
124 concrete shells in areas with harsh winters required thermal insulation. In Switzerland, Heinz Isler
125 used insulation panels as permanent shuttering. In the 1962 Wyss Garden Centre shell, thin timber
126 boards were placed at regular intervals across the timber trusses. Over this, insulation boards were
127 positioned and served as permanent shuttering onto which concrete was poured.

128

129 Isler's work used bespoke timber formwork, precisely profiled to follow bespoke curvatures. Having
130 built up a long-standing working relationship with his long collaborating contractor, W. Bösigler, to
131 achieve economy, he adapted his designs to recycle pre-used timber sections for new designs with
132 similar geometries (Chilton, 2000). Evidently, the more successful shell builders were resourceful in
133 addressing constructional economics by varying the way they were built. Any successful system
134 should also address challenges of cost, amongst many other factors. Clearly, Isler was aware of
135 building economics and was effective in addressing these concerns.

136

137 Historic photographs of Candela's shell construction depicted complex scaffolding-intensive method
138 where dense "forests" of timber scaffolding supported a casting surface. The following decades saw
139 concrete shells gaining popularity in Mexico (1960 GDP per capita: US\$342) where labour and
140 building material was cheap. One wonders how shells constructed this way would perform in a more
141 developed economy such as Switzerland (1960 GDP per capita: US\$1787.40). External social and
142 economic factors such as the low labour cost in Mexico provided fertile testing ground to encourage
143 an architectural acceptance but the Swiss also seemed to embrace new shapes in the construction of
144 concrete shells in cities and along motorways.

145

146 **2.3.3 Fabric**

147 In 1955, James Waller patented a method of constructing concrete shells by using fabric as formwork
148 by applying concrete manually or by spray application (gunite). Using this method, shells were
149 constructed easily and quickly. The system relied on fabric being draped to form stiffening
150 corrugations between pre-fabricated and reusable rigid arches conforming to funicular curves. This
151 allowed Waller to eliminate complicated and difficult use of metal mesh reinforcements.
152 Unfortunately, cracking was reported at the top of the shell (anon, 1963) and observed poor thermal
153 quality (Naidu, 1963) which consequently led to this technique's decline.

154

155 **2.3.4 Moveable and Repeated Formwork**

156 In 1942, concrete shells were designed as a double hangar at the airport of Marignane, France. The
157 two units were covered by six 101.5m waves, 9.80m in width and 12.10m for the sag. They comprised
158 concrete shells, each 6 cm in thickness, with steel reinforcement. The pre-fabricated pivoting timber
159 sections were attached on roller rails pushed along rolling blocks. To create a continuous surface for

160 concrete pour, these arches were pieced together and craned into position. In these hangars, wire
161 netting also served as reinforcements. The first roof of 60m x 100m was constructed in 38 days
162 (including overtime and Sundays) whilst the second hangar was constructed in 23 days. (Motro and
163 Maurin, 2011).

164

165 **2.3.5 Pre-cast concrete panels**

166 Seen earlier, the innovative use of precast panels stemmed from the need to construct with speed
167 and efficiency. Similar to Heinz Isler, Pier Luigi Nervi (1891-1979) was aware of post-war construction
168 economics. His construction innovation responded directly to the economic situation of the inter-war
169 period in Italy. A contractor working in war-time Italy, he designed and built eight aircraft hangars by
170 pre-casting concrete trusses instead of casting them in-situ. To simplify structural analysis and to
171 make their structural behaviour more predictable, Nervi made these hangar supports symmetrical
172 (Billington, 1983).

173

174 Nervi's construction method involved concrete panels known as *travelloni* pre-cast with *ferrocemento*
175 on ground level, then raised piece by piece and set into position. With reinforcement bars tying them
176 together, concrete was poured into the grooves to secure individual pre-cast panels. Not only did this
177 method used readily available materials, it was also fast, making it affordable and expressing
178 structural logic. His Salone Agnelli in Turin (1947-1954) and the later Olympic buildings in Rome
179 remain strong affirmations of this ethos (Billington, 1983). Although his idea of repetition was
180 progressive and aligned with mass production at an industrial scale, Nervi's shells, celebrated this on
181 the inside exuded attractive aesthetical tectonics. His shells were highly economical. In particular, the
182 repeated hemispheres and barrel-vaults encapsulated that exact spirit driven by economy and
183 construction speed.

184

185 **2.3.6 CNC-Milling Technologies**

186 CNC-milled foam formworks for reinforced concrete shells were investigated by Professors
187 Dombernowsky and Asbjørn Sondergaard of Aarhus School of Architecture and other researchers
188 including Professor Arno Pronk at The University of Technology in Eindhoven. Large-scale
189 architecture projects constructed from these technologies included Der Neue Zollhoff in Dusseldorf by

190 Frank Gehry Architects (Kolarevic, 2001) and CNC-milled timber moulds used to form the floor/ roofs
191 of the Rolex Learning Centre in Lausanne, Switzerland by SANAA (Scheurer, 2010).

192

193 **2.3.7 Earth Mounds:**

194 Earth mound formwork was experimentally by Heinz Isler in early projects (Chilton 2000). This
195 method involved the preparation of earthworks to the shape of the shell upon which concrete was
196 poured. Once set, the earthwork was removed to reveal a self-supporting concrete shell.

197 Ulrich Muther's (1934-2007) shells used earth mounds to reduce cost. For the Binz lifeguard rescue
198 towers (1975 and 1981), sections of the shells were cast in sand. The shell halves were then lifted
199 and positioned on location and mounted on the main columnar support.

200 More recently, the Tokyo-based architect Ryue Nishizawa designed the Teshima Art Museum shell at
201 Teshima Island in Japan used this method in 2010.

202 **2.3.8 Vacuumatics**

203 In the Netherlands, Dutch architects and engineers are developing vacuumatics formworks for the
204 construction of thin concrete shells. Developed by Huijben, van Herwijnen and Nijesse by creating a
205 vacuum in an enclosed membrane envelope with unbound particles within, three dimensional forms
206 are created to form temporary surface formwork for concrete (Huijben, van Herwijnen and Nijesse,
207 2012).

208 **2.3.9 Pneumatic formwork**

209 The principles of pneumatic formwork are based on a formwork which is supported by air. With
210 membrane tightly fastened to the ground, air is pumped to inflate the formwork. Variations and
211 development on this have evolved over the years with varying success as exemplified by Dante Bini
212 (1932-) (Bini, 1969) and David and Bary South (Bechthold, 2008).

213

214 In 2014, TU Vienna developed a system to create concrete shells from flat plates. The Pneumatic
215 Wedge Method of shell construction consists of a concrete slab resting on a pneumatic cushion. The
216 flat formwork tray slab was wedged with spaces in between the segments so when the middle section
217 is lifted, the segments will fit together perfectly. A shell of a height of 2.9m could be achieved within a
218 lifting period of 2 hours (Kromoser & Kollegger, 2015).

219

220 **2.3.10 Cable Net as concrete formwork**

221 Researchers in The Netherlands and Switzerland are also exploring ways to construct concrete shells
222 using cable nets as formwork. This is based on cable nets concrete upon which concrete is poured.
223 The use of tensile formwork to support a fabric surface was explored for the construction of the NEST
224 Hi-LO project at ETH Switzerland (Veenendaal and Block, 2014) where a shell concrete roof
225 constructed by applying concrete on a fabric stretched over a net of tensile cables. Although
226 constructions to date have been successful as proof of concept, the primary need for a frame to be
227 constructed to suspend the cable net remains a key critique.

228

229 **3. Hypothesis: Gridshell as Formwork**

230 The demise of concrete shell is hence a result of construction, design method and formwork
231 shortcomings. Primarily, they are often single-use to particular geometry, and become less economic.
232 Deployed and actively-bent gridshells are proposed as a new formwork system to offer re-usability
233 and re-configurability, something past and present construction methods lack.

234

235 Gridshells can be largely categorized into two main families: Deployable (actively-bent or strained)
236 ones or non-deployable ones (Adriaenssens, Block, Veenendaal and Williams, 2014; Chilton and
237 Tang, 2017; Tang, 2018).

238

239 Fig 3

240

241 **3.1 Non-deployable Gridshells**

242 These are rigidized by fastening discrete straight members or bespoke pre-curved and/ or rigid two-
243 dimensionally curved sections together to form a three-dimensional structure. Accurate sections,
244 some with precise curvatures developed through CAD/ CAM/ robotic milling timbers, are assembled
245 together. Examples include the Pompidou Metz (2010), Haesley Nine Bridges Golf course (2010) both
246 by the Japanese architect Shigeru Ban. Others include the Kreod Pavilion, London (2014) and the
247 SUTD marine plywood gridshell in Singapore. More details about these examples can be found in
248 Timber Gridshells: Architecture, Structure and Craft (Chilton and Tang, 2017).

249

250 **3.2 Deployable Gridshells**

251 Deployable (actively-bent/ strained) gridshells are based on the idea of deploying a lattice grid
252 allowed to slide, deploy, deformed and braced into shape. These have appeared since the first
253 engineered timber gridshell in 1962 measuring 15m x 15m developed by Frei Otto for The German
254 building exhibition in Essen, Germany (Otto, F., Schauer, E., Hennicke, J., & Hasegawa 1974). This
255 technology developed into increasingly sophisticated forms culminating in the Mannheim Multihalle
256 1976 (by Carlfried Mutschler and Partner with Frei Otto), Weald and Downland gridshell 2003 (by
257 Studio Cullinan with Buro Happold) and Savill Garden gridshell 2005 (by Glenn Howells Architects
258 with Buro Happold). Bending-active deployable gridshells however posed practical construction
259 problems such as:

- 260 • jointing of timbers
- 261 • intersection joints without excessive removal of timber material or weakening fibre severance
- 262 • structural deterioration through weather and water attack
- 263 • roof covering and enclosure
- 264 • knots which weaken the structure (Chilton and Tang, 2017)

265 Non-wood-based material such as flexible glass fibre reinforced plastic (GFRP) with active bending
266 was used by Olivier Baverel for the 2011 Solidays pavilion et al (2010, 2012) and Cretail pavilions
267 (Tayeb, Baverel, Caron, & Du Peloux, 2013). This re-configurable nature of deployable gridshells is
268 useful and could fill in a gap in the construction of concrete shells. Actively-bent gridshells constructed
269 from GFRP and carbon fibre also offered re-usability and a return to flat mat readily. These are
270 intuitive as a design tool for designers not familiar with specialist software or complicated force
271 mechanics.

272 Deployable gridshells are flexible and responsive to loading conditions. Deformation is difficult to
273 control and will depend on materials. Mannheim Multihalle timber gridshell (1976) initially experienced
274 vertical displacement of 200mm (2.2%) between 9m temporary supports during construction (Liddell
275 and Happold, 1975). The 2003 Weald and Downland gridshell experienced a deviation of +/-50 mm
276 (4%) over a 15 m span and 8.5m height (Harris et al, 2003). The vertical displacements of loading
277 tests on a gridshell constructed of GFRP glass fibre reinforced plastic registered a range between
278 5mm (symmetrical loading) and 135mm (asymmetrical loading) when braced representing a
279 comparatively smaller deflection of 0.0624% to 1.69% (Douthe, Caron, & Baverel, 2010).

280

281 **4. Methodology**

282 This hypothesis is tested out by physical construction experiments as a means to prove the concept
283 and understand the construction process

284

285 Fig 4

286

287 Realistic prototypes were developed. Analysis is not limited to the finished concrete shell, but the
288 construction process is also recorded and studied. Three key aspects are investigated:

- 289 1. Construction Process – formwork behaviour and movement
- 290 2. Aesthetic/ geometry (Concrete shell) – dimension, upper and lower surface geometry, shell
291 thickness and edge conditions
- 292 3. Structural Performance (Concrete shell) – static loading loading to failure.

293

294 **5. Construction**

295 Concrete shells were constructed at the architectural research workshop at The University of
296 Edinburgh in August 2014. These were scaled to suit the space and facilities of the workshop. The
297 gridshell was developed and proportioned using previous experience of larger scale prototypes
298 (Tang) with timber lathes. Simple plastic tubing was found to be appropriate for the curvatures
299 necessary in this study.

300 Two concrete shells of different dimensions were built using the same deployable gridshell as proof of
301 concept. Specifically, this provided empirical insight to:

- 302 • understand formwork behaviour and concrete shell behaviour of a single curvature
- 303 • verify reusability and re-configurability of gridshell formwork
- 304 • understand behaviour of gridshell formwork i.e. formwork movement when concrete is
305 applied.
- 306 • understand structural behaviour, within elastic range and loading capacity of the shell
- 307 • explore tectonic and structural implications of undulating surface developed by construction
308 methods.

309 **5.1 Materials and relevant mechanical properties:**

- 310 1. Type Q3323 woven polyester fabric supplied by JD Wilkie Ltd (weight 232g/m². nominal
311 thickness 0.35 mm with tensile strength 3750 N/50mm in the warp direction and 2350
312 N/50mm in the weft direction)

- 313 2. 20 m length PVC plastic piping oval profile (16mm x 10mm 3m lengths) with 1.5mm walls,
314 normally used for electrical installations.
- 315 3. PVC binding screws (5mm in 20mm, 30mm 40mm lengths), usually used in bookbinding.
- 316 4. 12mm thick plywood base upon which shell was constructed and raised from the floor for
317 manoeuvrability.
- 318 5. Concrete was mixed at 1 part cement to 2.5 parts coarse sand. Synthetic fibre reinforcement,
319 Strux 90/40 was added. The concrete was mixed in batches of 25kg cement, 62.5kg sand
320 and 150g of 40mm of Strux 90/40, and nominal 8.4 litres of water (modified slightly for each
321 batch to ensure adequate application. A number of concrete cubes and cylinders .Test
322 samples were taken. The average density of the concrete was 2100 kg/m³, cube strength
323 38.1 MPa, cylinder strength 30.5 MPa and tensile strength 6.4MPa.)
- 324

325 5.2 Construction Principles

326

327 Fig 5

328

- 329 A. A gridshell is made from PVC pipes bolted together with plastic binding screws to create free-
330 rotating scissor joints allowing the flat mat to deform/ expand. Once the required form is
331 obtained, geometry is locked in place by adding additional struts to triangulate the gridmat to
332 temporarily restrain the gridshell formwork.
- 333 B. This flat mat is now bent and propped against 2 prefabricated abutments affixed to a pre-
334 made timber platform base. The magnitude of displacement during concrete loading for the
335 gridshell will be recorded to understand the degree and nature of movement during concrete
336 loading.
- 337 C. A poly-propylene woven fabric was then stretched over the gridshell to support concrete.
- 338 D. Concrete was then applied in layers directly to the fabric by hand using steel trowels.
- 339 E. Once the concrete has set, the gridmat was then removed from under the concrete shell.
- 340 F. To create the next shell, the bracing that triangulates the structure and therefore fixes in their
341 dimensions were removed from the gridmat. With the joints free again, the same gridmat was
342 deformed into a flat mat with different geometry to produce a shell that was longer and
343 narrower than the first. The second taller shell was constructed on a different set of

344 abutments. After this, the steps of casting and assembling and disassembling are again
345 repeated to test the viability of this method of construction.

346

347 **5.2.1 Baseboard**

348 Each shell sits within its own baseboard raised off the ground with timber struts for access and
349 transported with a pallet truck when necessary.

350

351 **5.2.2 Abutment**

352 Abutments are needed to attach the gridmesh and contain the horizontal thrusts of the concrete shell,
353 The detail of the abutments were carefully considered to allow the gridmesh to be lowered once the
354 concrete had hardened and angled to effectively collect the thrust from the vault. The abutments were
355 bolted to the base board, which acted as a horizontal tie. The abutments were cast in prismatic
356 moulds using 5mm thick acrylic plastic sheets taped together. The concrete for the abutments
357 consisted of three parts 10 mm aggregate, two part sharp sand and one part cement.

358

359 **5.2.3 Fabric**

360 A woven polyester geotextile manufactured by JD Wilkie was used. It was sewn and hemmed to the
361 width of each shell with a piping detail to thread pvc pipes through easily and prestress the fabric
362 when attached onto the gridshell frame.

363

364 **5.2.4 Edge Detail**

365 The ends of the formwork have to follow the geometry of the gridshell and rating the concrete to the
366 required thickness. An edge detail was developed using pvc sewn into hem in the fabric. Attached
367 with pvc binding screws, an additional pvc conduit pipe on each side acted as side rails and formed a
368 neat edge with a consistent depth.

369

370 Fig 6

371

372 **5.3 Constructing the grid-shell:**

373

374 Fig 7

375

376 **5.3.1 Gridshell Preparation**

377 The pvc conduits were drilled with 5mm diameter holes spaced 200mm apart. Using 20mm long pvc
378 binding screws, the flat deployable grid-mat was assembled.

379 This gridmat was then pulled to an overall extended length of 1640mm. This elongated gridmat was
380 temporarily locked into position by securing cross bracing in position at intersection points. At each
381 intersection, 30mm long binding screws secured the gridmat through pre-drilled holes. This
382 triangulated gridmat was propped between the concrete abutments to create an arching formwork.

383

384 **5.3.2 Casting Test Shell 1**

385 A 10mm concrete coat was first trowelled onto the fabric working upwards from abutments, towards
386 the apex. Concrete was gradually pushed from the apex towards the quarter spans until they met.

387 Following a couple of hours curing, a further top concrete coat was applied in the same sequence.

388 Concrete filled in the lenticular spaces between braced gridshell formwork to produce an undulating
389 cushion-like surface on the underside.

390

391 **5.3.3 De-centring**

392 After 2 days, the gridshell formwork was removed, following that, side rails were removed, followed by
393 timber props (which prevented concrete from flowing out at the concrete and abutment interface).

394 Lastly, the fabric was removed in a process taking 15 minutes from start to finish.

395

396 **5.3.4 Casting Test Shell 2**

397 Casting and Decentering

398 De-centered from shell 1, to cast shell 2, the gridshell formwork was re-assembled onto a second
399 base board and abutments. This second shell (width 846mm) was longer and narrower than shell
400 (width of 470 mm). The shell was then cast following the same order but in one single cast.

401 **5.3.5 Labour**

402

403 Table 1: Time scale and schedule of construction

404

405 The construction of two concrete shells took 27 direct man hours in a period of 7 days including 2
406 weekend days for concrete shell curing.

407

408 Table 2: Breakdown of labour (time) over duration of 7 days excluding curing time) to nearest half
409 hour

410

411 Fig 8

412 **5.4 Gridshell movement during casting**

413 To understand loading behaviour during the casting process, steel plumb lines were hung at 18 points
414 50mm directly above corresponding measuring boards (datum). 18 corresponding measuring boards
415 A1 to C6 (fig 9) were made from laser cut 2mm MDF boards. Displacements in 3 axes define
416 movements at specific points in the shell to denote point movement of the structure during concreting.

417

418 Fig 9.

419

420 **5.4.1 Results of movement study for Shell 1 during casting**

421

422 Fig. 10

423 Fig. 11

424 **5.4.2 Results of movement study for Shell 2 during casting**

425

426 Fig 12

427 **5.4.3 Discussion**

428 In both test shells, resultant movement exhibited similar movements during the casting process –,
429 areas A in both shells lowered (-0.98% drop for shell 1 and -1% for shell 2), whilst areas C rose
430 (+0.3% for Shell 1 and +0.1% for Shell 2). The apex (B) rose too. As the two shells were designed as
431 singly-curved structures, the vertical movements of points along transverse line A, B and C was
432 averaged for expedience.

433 Notably, the movement corresponded with the concreting sequence— area A, area C, then area B in
434 both cases. The method and sequence of applying concrete onto a free-standing gridshell framework
435 is significant on the eventual curvature of the resultant concrete shell.

436

437 Fig 13:

438 **5.5 Dimension**

439

440 Fig 14

441

442 Table 3: Span to rise ration of Shells 1 and 2

443 Shell 1 spanned 1300mm and 492mm tall giving a span to rise ratio of 2.64. Shell 2 spanned 1350mm
444 and 620mm tall, giving a span to rise ration of 2.17.

445

446 **6 Aesthetics**

447 **6.1 Geometry and dimension**

448 Although appearing to be symmetrical, a visual inspection uncovered a lowering across the
449 transverse apex line. To fully understand the significance and implication of construction sequence on
450 shaping the resultant shell, without expensive photogrammetry, a jig was set up to measure and plot
451 the top surfaces directly and precisely.

452 **6.2 Patterning**

453 A distinct and unique appearance of festooning concrete cushions was created by concrete
454 suspending on the polyester fabric between grid laths on the underside of the shell. Deeper dominant
455 lines were observed to run in the direction of the uppermost grid laths. These ridges imply the
456 sectioning of shell into diagonal bands of increased thicknesses and indent lines of weakness,
457 suggesting zones assumed most prone to structural failure.

458

459 Fig 15

460

461 **6.3 Edges**

462 Shell edges are crucial in giving an illusion of shell thinness. The artful treatment of the free edges
463 imparts a visual reading of shell thinness - a key concern of Felix Candela. At the 1960 Bacardi Rum

464 Factory he pulled back structural stiffening arches from the edges to make the shell appear thinner
465 and more elegant. This is an improvement from his earlier work such as Bolsa de Valores (1955)
466 where stiffening arches were thickened at their edges giving an impression of solidity and heft.

467

468 In this experimental construction, the use of a gridshell from elliptically profiled hollow pvc tubes
469 allowed the edges to appear sharply-defined. The use of pvc pipes of the same dimensioned profiles
470 fashioned a crisp and sharply-defined free edge thus illustrating what could be achieved at this scale.

471 Liken to how Candela expressed timber board-markings, the smooth upperside surface contrasted
472 with the under surface imprinted with casting material and cushions.

473

474 Fig 16

475 Fig 17

476

477 Fig 18

478

479 The undulation of the shell is not expressed on the outer and upper surface. Measurements showed
480 cushions thickest at the abutments whilst cushions at mid-span apex are less pronounced and even.

481 A small concentration of air pockets and pvc reinforcement strands were visible, suggesting air not
482 escaping sufficiently from fabric surface, partly due to a dryer concrete mix used.

483

484 Fig 19

485

486 **6.2 Upper Surface: Dimensional variation**

487

488 Fig 20

489 **6.2.1 Measuring procedure:**

490 To take accurate measurements of the upper surfaces, a steel frame was welded from 25mm x 50mm
491 rectangular tubular sections straddles along the shell clamped to a wooden railing secured to edges
492 of the wooden base on the short sides. A Leico disto D510 laser meter was used to measure the
493 distance from the steel rail at 25mm intervals projected onto the shell on plan, yielding distances with
494 high accuracies. The steel frame was moved to record the next data row. These data were then
495 entered into Excel and FE software to understand the exact upper surfaces of the 2 concrete shells.

496 Various shell section measurements confirmed the deviations of the upper surfaces in both concrete
497 shells.

498

499 **6.2.3 Results**

500 Fig 21

501

502 Fig 22

503

504 Fig 23

505

506 Fig 24

507

508 Fig 25

509

510 Fig 26

511

512 **6.3 Discussion:**

513 **Relationship Between Shell Shape and Gridshell Formwork movement.**

514 The measurements of geometry and movements during construction suggested a strong relationship
515 to concreting sequence. The upward movement of gridshell formwork has resulted in the concrete
516 shell displaying a corresponding rise in geometry.

517 The process of concrete construction involved "depressing" concrete onto area adjacent to the
518 abutments first, followed by concrete at the apex of the gridshell which was stiff in both shells, then
519 forming regions that joined the two quarter span regions. In both cases, a dryer concrete mix used to
520 prevent slumping and slipping on the smooth fabric. This resulted in underside surfaces appearing
521 heavily pock-marked. Pressure applied was increased as well, resulting in the upper surface being
522 uneven.

523

524

Fig 27

525

526 Furthermore, fabric formed "air pockets" in the concrete shell as it gets filled with concrete. The height
527 difference showed the upper surface of concrete shell falling away (-60mm) before rising to (+40mm)
528 below datum. The movement at Quarter 2 for the gridshell formwork moved upwards on average.
529 Across Shell 1, significant variations were observed to vary between 4mm and 81mm. Shell 2
530 differences ranged from 2mm to 64mm. Both upper surfaces of the concrete shells exhibited saddle
531 shapes, with Shell 1 more pronounced. In both shells, there appears to be minimal differences at mid-
532 span i.e. at the apex. Mid-span apex had the least difference, resulting in a flat top region. The largest
533 variation is observed at the quarter span region, corresponding with most gridshell movements. This
534 area is least stiff and was most responsive to hand pressing concrete onto the gridshell formwork. As
535 the quarter span areas were the last sections to receive concrete, much concrete was trowelled in a
536 downward motion from higher areas and others were applied upwards from the lower areas. The
537 quarter spans were most difficult to control, resulting in biggest height variations across the concrete
538 shell.

539 Whilst the smallest height differences across the shell were observed at the apex, the largest
540 differences appeared at quarter span regions for both shells. Manual hand trowelling, without
541 propping at key points, the production of a perfectly symmetrical surface was challenging as the
542 gridshell was constantly moving with each stage of concrete application. The use of the flexible
543 gridshell facilitates removal of the formwork but may lead to increased variation in final geometry. In
544 this study it was important to consider the full effect of the grid-shell itself, Additional props at the
545 quarter points would reduce the dimensional variation significantly.

546

547 **6.4 Shell Thickness**

548 **6.4.1 Cushions and indents**

549 **Measuring the cushions and thickness**

550 An aesthetic feature is the patterning of the shell underside with noticeable thickness variation. Shell
551 thickness was further accentuated by the difference between the upper and under-surfaces of the
552 shell. The under-surface exhibited cushioning effects resulting from the sagging fabric under wet
553 concrete. Thickest sections were located in the middle regions of each diagrid and indentations
554 occurred at gridshell positions cutting into the fabric. A visual inspection also showed the regions near
555 the abutments as being thicker, a result of concrete slipping towards the abutments exacerbated by
556 trowelling movements. A further differentiation of concrete depth was observed: deeper lines were

557 created by gridmat in direct contact with the fabric; shallower lines which were marked by bracing
558 members attached at the lowest level.

559

560 Fig 28

561

562 Fig 29

563

564 Direct measurements were taken using a bespoke double-sided calliper was made from laser cut mild
565 steel. Bolted at the centre, the callipers could reach 600mm from the edges adequate for this
566 purpose. With one end of the calliper measuring the thickness at specific positions, the dimension is
567 reflected at the other end and recorded using a micrometre. This procedure required the co-
568 ordination of two persons – the first measuring and the second, reading and recording the dimension.
569 The measurements were taken by points moving across the shell. This method was simple and
570 accurate.

571 Fig 30

572

573 **6.4.1.1 Shell 1**

574 Measurements showed large variations between the thinnest and thickest parts of the shell between
575 9mm and 63mm, representing 7 times difference, highlighting the sensitivity of the construction
576 process, also representing a 4% variation of the span. The first third and the final third averaged
577 62mm and 63mm respectively whilst the middle third recorded an average of 41mm. The regions near
578 abutments (first third and final thirds) are thickest, measuring 60-67mm (table 3).

579

580 The thickest sections appearing at the abutments highlights the sensitive nature of construction
581 through deflections experienced by the gridshell during casting. It is necessary to have thicker
582 abutments to ensure concrete could be built up.

583

584 Fig 31

585

586

587

Table 4. Shell 1: Summary of Thickness variations (courtesy of Walejewska, 2015)

588 **6.4.1.2 Shell 2**

589 A variation between 11-67mm at the maximum was recorded i.e. the thickest cushion of more than 6
590 times between the thickest and thinnest indentation shell thickness with a difference of 56mm,
591 representing a variation to span ratio of 4%. Like shell 1, thickest cushions occur near the abutments
592 measuring a maximum of between 60-67mm. The middle section is comparatively thinner (max
593 40mm).

594

595 Table 5. Shell 2: Summary of Thickness variations (courtesy of Walejewska, 2015)

596 **6.4.1.3 Discussion**

597 Following structural testing, fragments of the concrete at various positions various points were cross -
598 checked with data obtained from the callipers. By measuring particular pieces of the broken shell with
599 thickness measurements produced highly similar results with small discrepancies of less than 3mm.

600 Examining the averages for Shell 1, a symmetrical thickness pattern was exhibited. The shell was
601 thinnest at lines 337.5mm and 1037.5mm at an average thickness of 19.1mm and 19.4mm
602 respectively. Average thicknesses for Shell 1 (cushions and indentations combined) are tabulated
603 below:

604 Fig 32

605

606

607 Table 6 Average dimensions for effective distances away

608 At the cushions of shell 1, again, studying the average figures for shell thickness measured 23.2-
609 28.5mm. Atypically, the thicknesses of the cushions at the ends adjacent to the abutments displayed
610 a larger figure at 39.2mm and 34.5mm. The thickest points occurred at the abutments.

611 For shell 2: Average thicknesses for Shell 2 (cushions and indentations combined):

612

613 Fig 33

614 Table 7 Average dimensions for effective distances away

615 Averages for shell 2 described an asymmetrical thickness pattern. The shell was thinnest at lines
616 300mm and 1000 mm with an average thickness of 19.0mm and 17.5mm respectively. Like Shell 1,
617 the thickest sections were near the abutments measuring 55.1mm average at 0mm span and 45.6mm
618 average at 1238mm at the opposite end. These thickness observations coincided with the meeting of
619 concrete between the apex and the lower sections nearer the abutments.

620

621 **7. Structural behaviour**

622 **7.1 Distributed Load Testing**

623 **Method**

624 Distributed load tests were carried out to understand shell stiffness. At mid- and quarter- spans, holes
625 were drilled and weights hung at evenly spaced points along mid- and quarter span lines across the
626 shell. Careful drilling was carried out slowly to minimise and avoid vibrating and disturbing the
627 structure.

628

629 Fig 34

630

631 12 mm thick mdf boards were cut into 40mm square blocks, with 8mm diameter holes drilled to pass
632 wires through. Each wire wrapped around a wooden dowel at the top, passing through the same hole
633 to form a ring at the bottom for weights 10kg, 20kg and others which were placed onto 0.5kg and 1 kg
634 hooks.

635

636 **Shell Deflection and Displacement at Point**

637 To check for deflections displacement gauges were attached to specially welded frames clamped to
638 the bottom of the bases to minimise errors during taking of measurements.

639

640 Fig 35

641

642 Loading began with hooks at mid span, first Q2, then Q1 where each position was loaded at 2kg
643 increments. Due to unevenness of the upper surface, beam loading was deemed inappropriate and
644 subsequently point loading at described points used instead.

645 An initial total loading weight of 234kg for shell 1 and 130kg for shell 2 was applied. Gauge positioning
646 are illustrated in fig. 38. The loading conditions did not result in structural failure and soon, even with
647 all available weights at the workshop used failed to produce significant deflections.

648 **Results**

649

650 Fig 36

651 **Shell 2**

652

653 Fig 37

654 **Discussion**

655 With the limited amount of load available, the data displayed a linear load to displacement relationship
656 implying constant stiffness and elastic shell behaviour with small movements. The measurements for
657 Shell 1 ranged from -0.82mm to 0.56mm, representing a variation of 1.38mm equating 0.1% of shell
658 span. For Shell 2, deflection ranged from -1.44mm to +0.51mm representing a deflection range of
659 1.96mm (0.145% of shell span).

660

661

662

663 **7.2 Load test to failure**

664 The vault was tested to failure using a line load applied at the crown of the vault with a hydraulic ram,
665 Line loading of a shell is particularly onerous compared with the application of distributed loads, more
666 suited to its geometry. However it does provide an insight into the strength, Stiffness and failure mode
667 of the shell.

668 Load is applied along the crest of the shell by hydraulic ram. Load spreaders were custom-made to
669 distribute loads between four equally spaced points described in fig. 41 and fixed mid-span. The load
670 spreader sat on 40mm square mdf pads attached atop the shell with plaster to spread the loads.

671 **Results**

672 The steel frames were positioned at quarter spans with 2 gauges secured at each of them. Loading
673 was applied slowly in 0.5kN increments and displacements were recorded at each increment until the
674 shell collapsed. To record and study this displacement, two cameras were set up either side of the
675 shell to record the displacement.

676

677

678 Fig 38

679 A crack at quarter span Q2 (possibly made when it was moved) was observed before the start of the
680 may have influenced failure behaviour. The crack was repaired by gluing epoxy glues to adhere it
681 together.

682

683 **Shell 1**

684 Four deflection gauges were positioned on the shell two at quarter span 1 and the other two at quarter
685 span 2. This was set up to further record deflections whilst load was applied at the apex. All the
686 recordings showed the shell moving downwards with downward deflection of the upper surface to
687 1.11mm before the shell cracked and collapsed. These measurements were taken within the elastic
688 range. When the first crack was observed, the gauges and steel frames were removed and the
689 behaviour of collapse was documented in the photo series below. The data, charts and diagram
690 illustrate the findings of this exercise.

691

692

693 Fig 39

694

695

696 Collapse of Shell 1

697

698 Fig 40

699 **Shell 2**

700 Four gauges were positioned on the shell for the failure test similar to shell 1. The data collected
701 showed the shell deflected downwards at both positions for Quarter 1 but one of the two gauges, the
702 shell gauge 2 moved upward consistently reaching +1.31mm before collapse. For gauge 1, this
703 position moved upwards to 2.75mm before it collapsed. Photos of a time lapse video presented here
704 records the collapse behaviour.

705

706 Fig 41

707

708 **Shell 2**

709 Collapse Stills of Shell 2

710

711

712 Fig 42

713

714 **7.3 Summary and Results:**

715 The critical collapse load was recorded to be 4.2kN i.e. 420kg for Shell 1 which is very high
716 failure loading for a shell 1 (106.92kg) representing a collapse load to self-weight ratio of
717 393%. Critical collapse load for Shell 2 was recorded at 2.7kN (270 kg) for Shell 2 (62.4kg)
718 which is also high representing a collapse load to self-weight ratio of 432%, demonstrating
719 that strong shells can be constructed using this simple method.

720

721 **8. CONCLUSION**

722 **8.1 Deflection / Movement**

723 Construction of test shells demonstrated the flexible nature of the casting process. The formwork was
724 dynamically responsive to the action of applying concrete. The movement/ deflection of the gridshell
725 and sequence of applying concrete affect the concrete shell shape, with greatest movement at the
726 quarter points, the concreting sequence in both cases resulted in asymmetrical shells being formed.
727 Therefore, props at quarter span are suggested as vertical supports to reduce this movement.

728 **8.2 De-mountability**

729 All tests and construction verified the possibility of a new construction method. Test shells
730 construction evidenced the reusability and reconfigurability of the formwork. Additionally, it clearly
731 demonstrated the ease by which the formwork was demounted.

732 **8.3 Variation of Forms**

733 Although this exercise concentrated on two shells of similar geometries (largely single-curved
734 parabolic shape), this method had been used successfully to create shells of more complex double
735 curved geometries, of varying synclasticities and anticlasticities presented in greater detail in (Tang,
736 2018).

737 **8.4 Thinness**

738 Edges are important expressions of shell thinness and require careful design. Test shells 1 and 2
739 demonstrated different ways of forming edges to accentuate this thinness. This construction method
740 further exemplifies fabric formwork use, adding to their application as surface and filled moulds,
741 extrapolating fabric formwork applications as an emergent architectural technology (Hawkins et al,
742 2016). The evenly sharp concrete edge achieved by the use of PVC tubes (which were also used to
743 make the gridshell) defined edges in shells 1 and 2 with a tectonic consistency. Shell thickness of the
744 cushions and indentations can be difficult to check. One possible way of controlling thickness is by
745 inserting pins into the soft concrete during casting.

746

747 Table 8: Material Summary of Test Shells 1 and 2

748 **8.5 Cost**

749 The proposed system offered many benefits that past and contemporary methods could not. Although
750 expensive at the outset, cost will reduce with each shell cast through formwork re-use. Their ability to

751 be re-used and re-configured reduces the cost in the life cycle of a deployable GFRP gridshell
752 formwork. This is particularly useful in comparison with rigid curved timber glulam planks (Heinz Isler's
753 shells), bespoke CNC milled foams or temporary OSB casting tables (used in Rolex Centre by
754 SANAA and Kakamigahara Crematorium by Toyo Ito), or timber planks formworks for Felix Candela's
755 shells. An alternative method will be to apply concrete in layers with gunnite (sprayed concrete), of
756 equal thickness such that undulations of the fabric concrete would become visibly expressed on the
757 outside. However, with concrete sprayed onto a flexible matrix, formwork rebound may become an
758 issue. With this, the rigidity of the fabric formwork and other methods of applying concrete would
759 become further improvements.

760 **8.6 Surface Quality**

761 Surface quality depends on factors such as concrete mix, casting technique and textiles type. This
762 concern can be addressed through technology and construction knowhow. The need to vibrate the
763 concrete to smoothen after application (to reduce blow holes and improve concrete quality) may
764 exacerbate deflection movements during the casting process and cause the concrete to slide away.
765 This in turn may disrupt the eventual shell geometry which may be resolved by vertical props to
766 stabilize the shell during vibration/ smoothing suggested earlier.

767 **8.7 Reuse and Recycle**

768 The system is proposed with re-deployability and re-configurability in mind. Upon decentering, the
769 gridshell could be re-configured or re-erected to the same form and prepared for casting. When the
770 system is not in use, they can be collapsed safely and be stored away.

771 **8.8 Performance: Structural Failure**

772 Test 1 has a failure load to self-weight ratio of 393% whilst Shell 2 has a ratio of 432%. The exercise
773 proves that shells cast this way can be very strong with high failure loads.

774 Re-using and re-configuring the gridshell framework, concrete shells of different dimensions can be
775 built quickly. Primarily, this system address key issues that led to their demise: that previous concrete
776 shell construction methods/ formwork systems not being re-usable, nor easily customisable. In seven
777 days (27 man hours over 7 days), two concrete shells were successfully built using the same re-
778 usable and re-configurable formwork in August 2014. In these experimental exercises, lightweight
779 formwork weighing 0.6 kg was capable of supporting 106.92 kg of concrete to create a concrete shell
780 covering an area of 1.11 sqm floor area. The construction verifies unprecedented cost (£6.06/sqm)

781 evidencing a high rate of material efficiency in the construction of very strong, very thin and wide-
782 spanning concrete shells.

783 Movement analysis of formwork behaviour during construction, measurements of resultant concrete
784 shell geometries and a tested failure load 4.32 times their deadweight again serves to prove of
785 concept as re-usable and re-configurable formwork to construct very strong concrete shells very
786 quickly.

787 **8.9 Scalability**

788 The purpose of these prototypes was to test the concept and obtain insight into the use of a flexible
789 gridshell as formwork. The system presented using the PVC tubes could be developed directly for
790 application in domestic scale buildings say 4-5 metre spans, using larger diameter pipes of perhaps
791 even bamboo. This may find particular benefits in low coast housing. Larger scale constructions
792 should also be possible with further development. Large timber gridshells have been constructed and
793 the structural design is understood. The behaviour and strength required of the textile will be
794 dependent on the geometry and pattern of the grid shell. The nature of fabric formwork is such that
795 the fabric deforms to carry the concrete in the most efficient way. The construction sequence is
796 important and pre-tensioning of the fabric will help reduce sag. With a pattern based on a two metre
797 grid and maximum sag of 5 cm the fabric used in these tests could carry an initial layer of 5-7.5 cm of
798 concrete easily and comfortably. The potential for new fabric to be developed specifically for
799 formworks was discussed in Brennan et al (2013). The feasibility of larger scale construction was
800 considered in Tang 2018.

801 **8.10 Insulation and cushioning control**

802 The shells could be insulated by a number of ways. Once the shell is cast, spray foam insulation can
803 be sprayed onto the upper side of the shell before being covered with a suitable roofing material of
804 choice. This retains the thermal mass benefits and exposes the aesthetics of this unusual cushioning.
805 Alternatively, should there be a requirement to eradicate these cushioning, rigid insulation boards can
806 be placed over the gridshell in sections prior to concrete pour, as Heinz Isler has done. However, this
807 would negate the thermal mass property of the results but offers a preferred aesthetic that may
808 require further work and finishing.

809

810

811 **9. Acknowledgement**

812 The authors would like to acknowledge and thank MEng students Marcin Dawydzik and Marta
813 Walejewska for their thoroughness and diligence in analysing the concrete shells. For the construction
814 of the concrete shells: Ken Smith, Keith Milne and Alex Lavrinec as well as the technical team at
815 Chambers Street workshop (University of Edinburgh): Malcolm Cruickshank, Paul Diamond, Rachel
816 Collie and Lucas Nightingale for preparing, facilitating and recording the stages during construction.

817

818

819 Fig 43

820

821 Some or all data, models, or code that support the findings of this study are available from the
822 corresponding author upon reasonable request.

823

824 **10. References**

825 Addis, W. 2007. *Building: 3000 years of design engineering and construction*. London: Phaidon.

826 Anon: "Building in the Tropics." *The New Scientist*, 25 Aug 1960, p520

827 Bechthold, M., 2008. *Innovative surface structures: technology and applications*. Taylor & Francis
828 Group.

829 Bini, D. 1969. U.S. Patent No. 3,462,521. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

830 Billington, D. P. 1983. *The tower and the bridge*. New York, Basic Books

831 Brennan, J., Pedreschi, R., Walker, P. and Ansell, M., 2013. "The potential of advanced textiles for
832 fabric formwork". *Institute of Civil Engineering (ICE)-Construction Materials Journal*, 166(4), pp.229-
833 237.

834 Cassinello, P., Schlaich, M. and Torroja, A., 2010. Félix Candela. In memorian (1910-1997). From thin
835 concrete shells to the 21st century's lightweight structures. *Informes de la construccion revista de*
836 *informacion tecnica*, 62(519), p.5.

- 837 Chilton, J., 2000. *Heinz Isler (The Engineer's Contribution to Contemporary Architecture)* UK: Thomas
838 Telford.
- 839 Chilton, J. and Tang, G., 2017. *Timber gridshells: architecture, structure and craft*. Oxon, Routledge.
- 840 Douthe, C., Caron, J.F. and Baverel, O., 2010. Gridshell structures in glass fibre reinforced polymers.
841 *Construction and building materials*, 24(9), pp.1580-1589.
- 842
- 843 Faber, C., 1963. *Candela, the shell builder*. US: Reinhold Publishing Corporation.
- 844
- 845 Garlock, M.E.M., Billington, D.P. and Burger, N., 2008. *Félix Candela: engineer, builder, structural*
846 *artist*. US: Princeton University Art Museum.
- 847
- 848 Hawkins, W.J., Herrmann, M., Ibell, T.J., Kromoser, B., Michaelski, A., Orr, J.J., Pedreschi, R., Pronk,
849 A., Schipper, H.R., Shepherd, P. and Veenendaal, D., 2016. Flexible formwork technologies—a state
850 of the art review. *Structural Concrete*, 17(6), pp.911-935.
- 851
- 852 Huijben, F., van Herwijnen, F. and Nijse, R., 2012. 14 Structural Morphology of VACUUMATICS 3D
853 Formwork Systems: Constructing Thin Concrete Shells with 'Nothing'. *In Proc., 2nd International*
854 *Conference on Flexible Formwork; 2012-06-27; 2012-06-29* (pp. 154-163). University of Bath, UK
- 855
- 856 Hennieke, J. and Schaur, E., 1974. *IL 10 Gitterschalen—Gridshells*. Stuttgart, Germany: Institute for
857 Lightweight Structures.
- 858
- 859 Kolarevic, B., 2001. Digital fabrication manufacturing architecture in the information age. *In Proc., The*
860 *Twenty First Annual Conference of the Association for Computer-Aided Design in Architecture*, pp.
861 268-277.
- 862
- 863 Kromoser, B. and Kollegger, J., 2015. Pneumatic forming of hardened concrete—building shells in the
864 21st century. *Structural Concrete*, 16(2), pp.161-171.
- 865

- 866 René Motro, Bernard Maurin. Bernard Laffaille, Nicolas Esquillan, Two French Pioneers. in *Proc.*
867 *IASS/IABSE Symposium: Taller, Longer, Lighter*, Sep 2011, London, United Kingdom. 8 p. fhal-
868 00857310
- 869
- 870 Naidu, M. K. S, 1963: Research in Materials and Construction. *Journal of the Institution of Engineers*
871 *(India): Civil Engineering Division*, 1963, vol. 44, p 211.
- 872
- 873 Scheurer, F., 2010. Materialising complexity. *Architectural Design*, 80(4), pp.86-93.
- 874
- 875 Pedreschi, R., 2008. Form, force and structure: a brief history. *Architectural Design*, 78(2), pp.12-19.
- 876
- 877 Rippmann, M., Lachauer, L. and Block, P., 2012. Interactive vault design. *International Journal of*
878 *Space Structures*, 27(4), pp.219-230.
- 879
- 880 Tang, Gabriel. 2018. RE-SURFACE: The Novel Use of Deployable and Actively-Bent Gridshells as
881 Resuable, Reconfigurable and Intuitive Concrete Shell Formwork. 10.13140/RG.2.2.18107.87842.
- 882
- 883 Tang, G. and Pedreschi, R., 2015. "Deployable gridshells as formwork for concrete shells." *In Proc.,*
884 *IASS Annual Symposia (Vol. 2015, No. 1, pp. 1-12)*. International Association for Shell and Spatial
885 Structures (IASS).
- 886
- 887 Tang, G., 2012a. "Deployable gridshells and their application as temporary, re-usable and flexible
888 concrete formwork." *In Proc., 2nd International Conference on Flexible Formwork*. University of Bath,
889 UK
- 890
- 891 Tang, G., 2012b. "The rise and fall of the thin concrete shell." *In Proc., 2nd International Conference*
892 *on Flexible Formwork*. University of Bath, UK
- 893
- 894 Tang, Gabriel, 2012c. "Deployable Gridshells And Their Application As A Physical Form Finding Tool:
895 Constructing An Innovative Life-Size Strained Timber Gridshell." *In Proc., IASS (International*

896 Association of Shells and Spatial Structures) Conference From Spatial Structures To Space

897 Structures, Seoul, South Korea

898

899 Tayeb F., Baverel O., Caron J.F., Du Peloux, L. 2013 Construction of gridshells

900 composed of elastically bended elements and covered by a stretched three-dimensional membrane.

901 *Structural Membranes* 2013, Oct 2013, Munich, Germany. fahal-01219799f.

902

903 Veenendaal, D. and Block, P., 2014. Design process for prototype concrete shells using a hybrid

904 cable-net and fabric formwork. *Engineering structures*, 75, pp.39-50.

905

906 Veenendaal, D., Bakker, J. and Block, P., 2015. "Structural design of the cable-net and fabric formed,

907 ferrocement sandwich shell roof of NEST HiLo." *In Proc., IASS Annual Symposia* (Vol. 2015, No. 28,

908 pp. 1-12). International Association for Shell and Spatial Structures (IASS).

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926
 927
 928
 929
 930
 931
 932
 933
 934
 935

LIST OF TABLES

Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday
Assembling materials to make the plastic gridshell	Fabric stretching and preparation of recording	Casting of concrete Completion	Concrete Shell Curing	Concrete Shell Curing	Stripping and removal of formwork. Preparing of recording instruments	Concrete Shell Curing	Concrete Shell Curing

936 Table 1: Time scale and schedule of construction

937
 938
 939
 940
 941
 942
 943
 944
 945
 946
 947
 948
 949

950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955
 956
 957
 958

Gridshell formwork construction	6 man hours (2 technician x 3 hours)
Fabric preparation	2 man hours (2 people x 1 hour)
SHELL 1	
Abutment construction/ preparation	3 man hours (2 technicians x 1.5 hours)
Casting including concrete mixing	9 man hours (3 technicians x 3 hours)
Decentring	0.12 man hours (1 technician 10 mins)
SHELL 2	
Abutment construction/ preparation	3 man hours (2 technicians x 1.5 hours)
Casting including concrete mixing	3 man hours (2 technicians x 1.5 hours)
Decentring	0.06 man hours (1 technician x 5mins)
TOTAL MAN HOURS	27.18 hours

959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 966

Table 2: Preparation and building times

967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994

Shell	Span/ mm	rise/ mm	Span to rise ratio
1	1300	492	2.64
2	1350	620	2.17

Table 3: Span to rise ration of Shells 1 and 2

995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002

section of a shell (mm)	average (mm)	minimum (mm)	maximum (mm)	Section of a shell
Entire shell	29	9.3	62.9	entire shell
Sec. 1 (0-450)	33	9.3	62.9	first 1/3
Sec. 2 (450-900)	25	9.4	40.9	middle 1/3
Sec. 3 (900-1350)	30	11.4	61.6	last 1/3

1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018

Table 4. Shell 1: Summary of Thickness variations (courtesy of Walejewska, 2015)

1019
 1020
 1021
 1022
 1023
 1024
 1025

section of a shell (mm)	average (mm)	minimum (mm)	maximum (mm)	Section of a shell
Entire shell	31	11	67	entire shell
Sec. 1 (0-425)	34	16	67	first 1/3
Sec. 2 (425-850)	23	13	36	middle 1/3
Sec. 3 (850-1300)	33	11	60	last 1/3
Sec. 1 (0-100)	48	22	67	thickest line of cushions near abutment 1
Sec. 2 (100-1100)	23	11	46	middle section
Sec. 3 (1100-1300)	42	17	60	thickest line of cushions near abutment 2

1026
 1027
 1028
 1029
 1030
 1031
 1032
 1033
 1034
 1035
 1036
 1037
 1038
 1039
 1040

Table 5. Shell 2: Summary of Thickness variations (courtesy of Walejewska, 2015)

1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050

0mm away from right hand free edge	46
75	43.8
200	31.9
337.5	19.1
500	20.9
675	25.2
875	23.6
1037.5	19.4
1162.5	25.1
1287.5	36.3
1350	48.2

1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063

Table 6 Average dimensions for effective distances away

1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071

0	55.1
75	41.7
175	29.0
300	19.0
450	20.6
650	18.6
850	19.2
1000	17.5
1125	33.9
1238	45.6

1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083

Table 7 Average dimensions for effective distances away

1084
 1085
 1086
 1087
 1088
 1089
 1090
 1091

	Test Shell 1		Test Shell 2	
	weight	cost	weight	cost
Pvc tube 20m	0.32 kg	£2.73	0.32 kg	£2.73
binding screws	0.05kg (nominal)	£1	0.05kg (nominal)	£1
fabric	0.2kg	£3	0.2kg	£3
	area	Formwork Price/ sqm	area	Formwork Price/ sqm
Floor area	1.3x 0.846m = 1.11 sqm	£6.06/ sqm	1.35m x 0.47m = 0.63 sqm	£10.51/ sqm
Concrete shell weight	106.92 kg	97.2 kg/ sqm	62.4 kg	98.34 kg/ sqm
Collapse	4.2 kN		2.7 kN	

1092 Table 8: Material Summary of Test Shells 1 and 2

1093