

## Developing Players' Tactical Knowledge Using Combined Constraints-Led and Step-Game Approaches—A Longitudinal Action-Research Study

RAMOS, A., COUTINHO, P., DAVIDS, Keith <a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1398-6123">http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1398-6123</a>> and MESQUITA, I.

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/26919/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

### Citation:

RAMOS, A., COUTINHO, P., DAVIDS, Keith and MESQUITA, I. (2020). Developing Players' Tactical Knowledge Using Combined Constraints-Led and Step-Game Approaches—A Longitudinal Action-Research Study. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 1-15. [Article]

#### Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

1	Developing players' tactical knowledge using combined Constraints-led and Step-Game
2	Approaches – A longitudinal action-research study
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Ana Ramos <sup>1</sup> , Patrícia Coutinho <sup>1</sup> , Keith Davids <sup>2</sup> , & Isabel Mesquita <sup>1</sup>
8	<sup>1</sup> CIFI2D, Faculty of Sport, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal
9	<sup>2</sup> Centre for Human Performance and Sport, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK
10	
11 12 13 14 15	Ramos, A., Coutinho, P., Davids, K. & Mesquita, I. (Accepted peer reviewed version). Developing players' tactical knowledge using combined Constraints-led and Step-Game Approaches – A longitudinal action-research study. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport.

16

#### Abstract

Purpose: Using an action-research design, this study examined the impact of 17 combining concepts from two contemporary pedagogical approaches, the Constraints-led 18 19 Approach (CLA) and Step-Game Approach (SGA), on the development of youth volleyballers' tactical knowledge, as expressed in performance, throughout a full competitive 20 season. Method: Fifteen players and one coach participated in this study, which involved 21 three action-research cycles, each including the processes of planning, acting and monitoring, 22 reflecting, and fact-finding. The first author, who had the role of coach-researcher, collected 23 24 data using a reflexive diary and field notes between September 2017 and June 2018, as well as eight semi-structured focus-group interviews. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis, 25 in which inductive procedures deepened understanding of the development of the 26 27 participants' tactical knowledge. Results: Findings suggested that combining CLA with SGA improved tactical knowledge in specific ways. Players progressed from a starting point where 28 they were only able to describe game scenarios, and act without tactical criteria or 29 30 considering contextual game constraints, to a point where their intentions during tactical actions were shaped by their ability to think strategically and guide their attention to 31 recognize and interpret different constraints. Conclusions: Results suggested that the 32 development of players' tactical knowledge benefited from a mutual integration of different, 33 yet complementary, pedagogical approaches. By integrating SGA and CLA it was possible to 34 35 enhance players' adaptable thinking using learning tasks involving the manipulation of meaningful constraints that afforded variable repetition and the resolution of tactical 36 problems. 37

38

39 Keywords: player development; sports pedagogy; qualitative analysis; volleyball

40

41

In recent decades, research has suggested that team sports can be conceived as a 42 dynamical system (e.g., Davids, Araújo, & Shuttleworth, 2005) comprised of a set of 43 interdependent elements (i.e. cooperating and competing players) that self-organize and self-44 regulate to satisfy competitive performance constraints over time. From this perspective, the 45 players can be viewed as being informationally-coupled to the dynamic performance 46 environment. As such, the outcomes of competing and cooperating players' coupled actions 47 cannot be completely predicted in advance because they are dependent on dynamic 48 49 environmental conditions which, in turns, continuously emerge and change as a consequence of players' actions at each game moment. This perspective is aligned with insights of 50 researchers who have highlighted the importance of developing tactical knowledge (TK) for 51 52 game-problem resolution (McPherson, 2008). Specifically, TK refers to the decision-making process and choices made related to the organization of functional tactical behaviors when 53 performers interact with specific dynamically-changing circumstances of unpredictable 54 55 performance contexts (McPherson & Thomas, 1989). Indeed, the relationship between tactical needs and movement (re)organization is such that *knowing* (tactical skill) facilitates 56 perceiving and doing (movement (re)organization and adaptations to changing performance 57 conditions) and vice versa. 58

The constraints-led approach to coaching and teaching emphasizes how, through task constraint manipulations, athletes can gain a profound, adaptive relationship(i.e., development of a specialized comprehension underpinning tactical configurations of play under the effects of different, interacting environmental constraints (Davids & Araújo, 2010 )). This type of 'knowledge of' a performance environment facilitates a deeply entwined and integrated relationship between knowledge, perception, and action to support learning and performance in sport (Araújo, Hristovski, Seifert, Carvalho, & Davids, 2019). In ecological

psychology, a performer's contact with a performance environment is based on knowledge of 66 the environment, which is deeply integrated with processes of perception and action that 67 support decision-making. Gibson (1966) advocated a functionalist approach by arguing that 68 knowledge about the environment involves perception - mediated by language, symbols, 69 pictures, and instructions - that can all help analogical reasoning, problem solving, decision-70 making, and cognitive processes to verbally describe what an information source means. In 71 contrast, knowledge of the environment involves perceiving the layout of the performance 72 environment as it relates to an individual's body and action capabilities (Turvey & Shaw, 73 74 1999). According to Gibson (1966), *knowledge of* the environment leads to knowing how to (re)organize actions because it involves the perception of information used to regulate actions 75 needed to utilize affordances. *Knowledge of the environment involves acquiring perceptual* 76 77 information to directly constrain functional behaviors, such as perceiving the flight of a ball in space and the movements of an opponent in preparing an action. The development of 78 players' knowledge of the environment can be achieved by practice under meaningful and 79 representative learning designs. 80 Through exploratory behaviors, during practice and performance, the perceptual 81

systems of a performer become progressively more "attuned" to the invariant information in 82 the performance environment through direct experience in specific contexts (Silva, Garganta, 83 Araújo, Davids, & Aguiar, 2013). Information picked up by a performer becomes more 84 85 subtle, elaborate, and precise with task-specific experience and when it is coupled successfully to actions. The key point is that, although performers can learn knowledge about 86 a performance environment, which can allow them to describe the decisions and actions they 87 88 may undertake, coaches need to ensure that during representative tasks learners are using *knowledge of* the environment to (self)regulate using tactical behaviors and decisions and to 89

# 90 support the perception of information to continuously (re)organize actions (Araújo, Davids,

#### 91 & McGivern, 2018).

Furthermore, previous research on TK has consistently supported the idea that 92 93 players with a higher quality of TK are more able to perceive relevant surrounding information for action, reducing the time needed to interact with a performance environment 94 (Kolman, Kramer, Elferink-Gemser, Huijgen, & Visscher, 2019). Indeed, they are better able 95 to act during performance to perceive information to regulate further actions. Because the 96 game rules in volleyball forbid players to carry (hold) the ball, fast play is encouraged 97 98 (Mesquita & César, 2007). Therefore, the speed with which players interpret and respond to the dynamically-changing informational constraints of the performance environment is vital. 99

Despite these important insights, few investigations have focused on development of 100 101 TK in volleyballers, with much of that assessing the impact of different pedagogical approaches. In recent years, several emerging, second-generation pedagogical models (e.g. 102 Teaching Games for Understanding, among others) (Ennis, 2014) have gained support. 103 104 Conceived as player-centred practices, these contemporary models provide an applied basis for the development of skillful and tactically astute players who are engaged in actively 105 building their own learning programs. The idea to place players at the centre of the learning 106 process emerged simultaneously from constructivist and ecological learning principles. Such 107 principles state that players develop their own performance understanding through the 108 connection between their prior experience and knowledge that mediates their perception-109 action coupling in representative learning contexts (Mahoney, 2002; Mckay & O'Connor, 110 2018). The acquisition of TK from constructivist and ecological perspectives implies that 111 performers enhance their deep tactical understanding (e.g., acquiring specific game-related 112 'knowledge of' a performance environment, in Gibsonian terms, to support perception and 113 action) by engaging in practice designs shaped by the specific task constraints of each sport. 114

From a constructivist viewpoint, the Step-Game Approach (SGA) was didactically conceived 115 according to the specificities of non-invasion team sports (Mesquita, Graca, Gomes, & Cruz, 116 2005). Based on the didactical assumptions from the Teaching Games for Understanding 117 (Bunker & Thorpe, 1992) and the Skill Development Approach (Rink, 1993), this player-118 centred approach advocates the specific development of performance abilities in volleyball, 119 in which players are presented with step-by-step game tactical problems during practice 120 designs which allow them to develop a functional coupling between tactical behaviors and 121 movement skills (see Table 1 for further details). Here, the question arises whether these 122 123 practice designs are an important tool that s guide the players towards self-reflection, selfregulation and adaptation, and problem solving through posing open-ended questions in 124 practice (Metzler, 2011). The SGA approach has typically been applied in physical education 125 126 contexts, indirectly assessing children's TK using GPAI measures (e.g., Araújo, Mesquita, Hastie, & Pereira, 2016). 127

Without disregarding the constructivist assumptions, but particularly highlighting the 128 importance of ecological learning designs, a Constraints-led Approach (CLA) emphasises a 129 performer-environment centred approach focused on the mutual interaction between players 130 and the task constraints of a specific performance environment (Chow, Davids, Button, 131 Shuttleworth, & Araújo, 2007). This approach advocates the building of meaningful and 132 representative learning contexts, through the manipulation of different constraints, that 133 provide opportunities for players to act (i.e., affordances) (Gibson, 1979). Despite the 134 importance of each approach, to date there have been no investigations about TK 135 development using CLA and SGA pedagogical principles. Furthermore, there few studies 136 have explored the teaching-learning process when there has been a combination of context 137 representativeness (CLA) and the provision of sport-specific, didactical (SGA) augmented 138 informational constraints. 139

140

Therefore, research suggests that improvements in performance dimensions, including 141 higher quality TK (based on 'knowledge of' a performance environment), is important for the 142 development of experienced and skilled sport performers (Araújo et al., 2016). Despite the 143 undeniable scientific contribution, the investigations have typically relied on quantitative 144 assessments of the effects of learning interventions. In contrast, a qualitative analysis using 145 an Action-Research (AR) design could offer a deep, contextualized, and continuous analysis 146 and assessment of the teaching-learning process. Moreover, an AR-intervention could also 147 148 promote an expansion of the comprehension about how the design of representative learning contexts (through manipulation of environmental and task constraints), might influence the 149 development of players' TK. Moreover, an AR design facilitates reflective monitoring and 150 151 systematic manipulations of the task constraints in practice designs. There is an important emphasis in AR on the rich insights and experiences of athletes and practitioners during the 152 practice process (Cooke & Wolfram Cox, 2005). Recently, an AR approach to assessing the 153 work of practitioners has been applied in educational contexts such as physical education 154 lessons (e.g. Farias, Hastie, & Mesquita, 2018), although the implementation of AR in sports 155 training contexts remains scarce. 156

157

#### 158 Purpose of the study

In the present paper we sought to explore whether a combination of constructivist (SGA) and ecological (CLA) approaches might serve as a useful framework for understanding how to improve the development of players' TK. Indeed, practice programs based on a manipulation of meaningful constraints that affords variable repetition and stimulates the ability to resolve tactical game-problems may help learners to enhance the adaptability of their thinking and tactical skills.

Accordingly, using an AR design, this study examined the impact of combining CLA and SGA on the development of youth volleyballers' tactical knowledge (knowledge of a performance environment) throughout a competitive season. Through this interventive design we sought novel insights about the *processes* underlying TK development, rather than merely adopting an *end-product* perspective, that is, merely gaining information on differences between levels of TK in learners at the beginning of the season, compared to the end.

171

#### 172 Method

#### 173 *Context and Participants*

The study took place in a volleyball club located in the North of Portugal, which is 174 one of the most prestigious in the male and female Portuguese National Premier Volleyball 175 Leagues. The club philosophy follows a long-term vision of developing young players for 176 integration into senior teams. Purposive and convenience sampling criteria (Sarstedt, Bengart, 177 Shaltoni, & Lehmann, 2018) were applied to select the fifteen female volleyballers who took 178 part in the study. Players, aged between 14 and 15 years old, had experience of at least one 179 year of specialized volleyball training and performance. Participants were chosen for the 180 study because they were considered 'information-rich' because: (i) they were at the beginning 181 of their development pathway, and (ii) of their ability and willingness to participate in the 182 study. The first author assumed the dual role of coach-researcher. As a researcher, she 183 completed a Masters course in Sports Training Sciences and a Masters degree in High 184 Performance Sport. As a coach, she holds the highest level of coaching certification in 185 Portugal (level III) and has accrued 6 years of competitive coaching experience with teams 186 187 winning two national championships and one cup competition.

188 The study was conducted across the full length of a competitive season, which lasted 189 from September 2017 to June 2018 and included two separate competitions: The Regional

(September-January) and National championships (February-May). Both competitions are
divided into two phases: the qualifying stage and the finals. A total of 143 training sessions
and 32 official matches (18 and 14 matches from Regional and National championships,
respectively) were completed during the research period. On average, each week, the players
undertook four x two-hrs training sessions and participated in one official competitive match.
The study followed the guidelines stated in the Declaration of Helsinki and was

approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee of the first author's institution. In addition, players and their parents were informed about the research scope, as well as the possibility to withdraw from the investigation at any time. After this, informed consent forms were signed by parents and players. Guarantees of confidentiality and anonymity were also explained, hence all names mentioned in the study are pseudonyms.

201

#### 202 Study Design

The study followed an AR design where the coach systematically and critically 203 reflected about her own practice, and then changed it according to her own reflections 204 (Bodner & MacIsaac, 1995). Specifically, an insider action-research paradigm was used, 205 offering a privileged standpoint from inside the coaching process in competitive sport 206 (Coghlan, 2007). Due to its reflexive, collaborative, and interventionist nature (Cooke & 207 Wolfram Cox, 2005), the AR format offers the ability to monitor, control, assess, and adapt 208 the coaching intervention designed to developed players' TK, whilst endorsing its 209 reconstruction and transformation over a sustained and longitudinal competitive performance 210 period. 211

A total of three AR-cycles were completed as Figure 1 depicts. Each cycle included the processes of planning, acting and monitoring, and reflection. As suggested by Gilbourne (1999), the first AR cycle addressed context exploration by players. Additional diagnostic

analyses were undertaken by the coach in order to identify the main tactical problems of the 215 players and team, that needed to be resolved. Aligned with the study's purpose, the remaining 216 two AR cycles focused on combining the key principles of CLA and SGA to support 217 development of the participants' TK. At the end of each AR-cycle, the reflections and 218 identification of unresolved issues, in both training sessions and competitive performance, 219 guided the re-framing of ongoing pedagogical practice. Considering the complexity of the 220 coaching process and the inherent unpredictability of a competitive season, the ecological 221 learning designs and coaching intervention were regularly adjusted depending on the daily 222 223 challenges and problems faced by the coach. \*\*\*please insert Figure 1 around here\*\*\* 224

225

#### 226 Coaching Practice Protocol

Each training session used an ecological representative learning design according to the principles of CLA (Chow, Davids, Shuttleworth & Araújo, 2020). The learning tasks followed principles relevant to tactical, instructional, and didactical constraints relevant to the specific demands of volleyball (i.e., SGA). Table 1 provides a description of the main CLA and SGA instructional constraints manipulated.

232

\*\*\* please insert table 1 around here \*\*\*

233

#### 234 Instructional and treatment validity

In order to ensure that an integration of CLA and SGA was achieved, the coaching protocol was validated by one researcher of the present study, and an externally-trained observer not associated with the study. The external observer had extensive research in pedagogical approaches and analyzed the documented training plans and video records of training sessions. The few disagreements were discussed and resolved among the research

team (first author and coauthors). A ten-item checklist was adapted from the studies of 240 Práxedes, Álvarez, Moreno, Gil-Arias, and Davids (2019) and Pereira, Graça, Blomqvist, and 241 Mesquita (2011) to test the behavioral fidelity of the coaching intervention. Accordingly, 18 242 training sessions - more than 10% of the total sample - were randomly examined by both, the 243 external observer and one of the coauthors for the presence of items included in Table 2 244 (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007). Items 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 are characteristics of SGA, while items 3, 245 7, 8, 9, and 10 are related to CLA. The external researcher and one of the coauthors, both 246 pedagogical experts, confirmed that all key aspects of CLA and SGA content were used in 247 248 each observed training session. A 100% agreement between these observers confirmed the absence of doubt regarding to the integration of both pedagogical approaches. 249

250

#### \*\*\* please insert table 2 around here \*\*\*

251

#### 252 Data Collection

The study used multiple sources of evidence to gain an in-depth understanding of the coaching process from all participants' perspectives. The coach's perceptions were recorded by a written Reflexive Diary (RD) and Field Notes (FN). Players' insights were recorded during Focus Group sessions (FG). A total of 39 coach reflections and 8 semi-structured focus group interviews were conducted.

258 *Reflexive Diary* 

The RD was written by the coach (first author) and contained information from a critical and emotional perspective about the events, providing evidence of context comprehension, self-awareness, and professional judgment (Thomas, Morgan, & Mesquita, 2013). A total of 39 coach reflections were collected. The RD's purposes were: (i) to think critically about the influence of the coaching intervention applied on development of players' TK, (ii) to inform the training process, (iii) to generate useful questions that guide focus

group sessions, and (iv), to create new insights derived from data. The FN, collected during
training sessions, guided the writing of the RD, and reflected the most critical observations,
perceptions, personal experiences and events that occurred.

268 Focus-Group

A total of eight FG sessions were conducted. For each interview, the team was divided 269 into two groups of seven and eight players respectively (Sparkes & Smith, 2014). Throughout 270 the first and second AR-cycles, four FG sessions were conducted (two for each AR-cycle). 271 The third AR-cycle included the remaining four sessions. The interviews took place 272 273 throughout the training session, in a quiet location inside the volleyball club. Interviews lasted approximately 60 minutes. All FG sessions were audio recorded and transcribed 274 verbatim by the first author. The purpose of FG interviews was to elicit players' perspectives 275 276 about their TK development. Being semi-structured in nature, such interviews supported the players' reflections and evaluations about a specific framework (i.e., individual and team 277 tactical behaviors during competitive environments, tactical purposes of the learning tasks 278 conducted during the training sessions), offering the opportunity to gain deeper information 279 about crucial issues. Accordingly, FG sessions enhanced data quality by encouraging 280 interactions among participants which, in turn, allowed the researcher to differentiate 281 consistent themes from extreme views (Patton, 2015). 282

283

#### 284 Data Analysis

The data were analyzed throughout each AR-cycle (see figure 1). A thematic analysis was used to analyze the data from RD and FG. Thematic analysis was chosen because it enabled the researcher to identify, analyze, and report patterns (themes) within the data set (Braun & Clarke, 2012). Based on the proposals of Charmaz (2014), the first stage of this process comprised a repeated reading of the data from RD and FG interviews followed by an

inductive line-by-line open coding of the data to capture thoughts, ideas, and meaning as well 290 as to search for patterns. The second stage of this processes involved analyzing the defined 291 codes and testing possible combinations that guided the construction of themes and 292 subthemes (focused coding). Such a process included an attempt to interpret potential 293 relationships between codes and themes by adding a chronological perspective on the data. 294 The next level of analysis included working back and forth between data and theory. Issues 295 about coaching pedagogies, a tactical framework for players' tactical development in team 296 sports (Mitchell, Oslin, & Griffin, 2013), and building ecological learning designs (Chow et 297 298 al., 2007) were used to examine, clarify, and reflect sensitively about the data. Nevertheless, an explicit effort was made not to force data to fit the theory, but rather to search for patterns 299 and comprehend how it might support or oppose current conceptualizations. 300

301

#### 302 **Trustworthiness**

To enable a change to occur, an insider action-research design requires the researcher 303 to strike a balance between closeness and distance (Coghlan, 2007). The first author tried to 304 deal with the consequences of their presence by building trust and a caring environment, as 305 well as showing impartiality during the process (McNiff, Lomax, & Whitehead, 2003). Three 306 additional procedures were undertaken. First, data triangulation involved the cyclical and 307 iterative collection of data from different sources (i.e., FN, RD and FG interviews). The 308 frequent interpretations from the coaching intervention and team events were continuously 309 validated through additional data generated throughout each AR-cycle (Denzin, 2012). 310 Second, in order to verify the accuracy of the coach-researcher's interpretations, players were 311 frequently asked about both the implicit meaning of their verbal reports and their tactical 312 actions, not only about the implicit meanings of their actions, but also about their verbal 313 interventions. Third, regular peer debriefings with the research team (first author and 314

coauthors, who are currently volleyball coaches and/or experts in sport pedagogy research)
were held to minimize individual research bias in the interpretational analysis (Patton, 2015).

211

#### 318 **Results**

Data analysis generated three main themes representative of players' TK 319 development: "tactical awareness", "emerging understanding", and "playing tactically". The 320 ability of players to adapt tactically to the game context reveals tactical awareness. Emerging 321 understanding captures the players' ability to establish a connection between the purpose of a 322 323 constrained game-form and the formal competitive game-form, in other words, understanding the relationships between the informational constraints of each environment (practice and 324 performance). Finally, playing tactically relates to the use of tactics during competitive 325 326 performance. This implies the recognition of an opposition's strengths and weaknesses, as well as teammates' strengths and weaknesses. 327

328

## 329 1<sup>st</sup> AR-cycle [September 2017 - December 2017] – What is the baseline of players' TK?

330 *Tactical Awareness* 

At the beginning of the season, players were able to verbally describe their *knowledge about* their opponents' tactical behaviors, knowing what (declarative knowledge) and how (procedural knowledge) they could perform an action. However, they were not yet capable of verbally describing actual the tactical perceptions, decisions and actions undertaken (based on *knowledge of* opposition behaviors perceived and acted upon ). The following excerpt supports this idea.

"At this point of game analysis, I think they can see what's going on, but cannot interpret it
or build an appropriate strategy that is based on the opponents features, because when I
asked where they thought that they should serve to, all the team agreed they should perform a
diagonal service, but no one was able to explain to me why"
5<sup>th</sup> RD, 16<sup>th</sup> October

Moreover, players were capable of perceiving opposition placements (an environmental constraint), but were not able to identify critical information sources, and consequently anticipate opposition defensive moves, due to the inherent unpredictability of the competitive performance context. Accordingly, players frequently adopted an attempterror strategy, revealing a preliminary ability to vary and adapt their offensive options.

347 *"Rose: After some rallies we understood where we should have attacked."* 

348 *Researcher (R): But did you change your attack trajectory for any reason?* 

Rose: Sometimes we have a purpose, others not... sometimes we just want to try new actions
 for instance, when we aren't able to score through a line-attack, we try the diagonal-attack.
 I<sup>st</sup> FG, 23<sup>rd</sup> November

#### 352 *Emerging Understanding*

Initially, players were not able to identify or comprehend how the manipulation of 353 tasks constraints could create representative opportunities for them to act (i.e., affordances), 354 355 or guide their perceptual awareness of the relevant information offered by the context (e.g., providing 'knowledge of' the location of the smaller blocker). To allow players to develop 356 adaptable skills within a representative tactical task setting, the coach consequently 357 constrained practice tasks with the purpose of providing opportunities for varied actions or 358 'repetition without repetition' (Bernstein, 1967). During practice, the coach guided the 359 players' attention to the most relevant informational constraints to make the practice more 360 meaningful and to stimulate the players' understanding. The next excerpt emphasizes this 361 process: 362

"I clearly explained why we were doing each task. For instance, in a structured task I
explained that we were training the line-attack because we needed to attack against the
smaller blocker [...] Indeed, I feel that players' performances improved when they
understood the purpose of the task"
5<sup>th</sup> RD, 16<sup>th</sup> October

368 *Playing Tactically* 

369 The players' use of tactics in competitive performance environments was found to be 370 simplistic and mostly associated with a pre-planned strategy. Indeed, players could not

. 11 **,** 1.1

	Developing players' tactical knowledge
371	describe a focused and intended goal-oriented action. Moreover, the contextual constraints
372	(e.g., emerging match status) seemed to inhibit variability in the setters' tactical choices, that
373	is, the ability for the players to coordinate actions to take advantage of perceived opposition
374	weaknesses. As the next excerpt depicts, at decisional set moments, the setters tended to set
375	to their best attacker without considering contextual performance constraints such as who was
376	in the opposition block, or which offensive combination could generate a greater advantage.
377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384	<ul> <li>"R: In your opinion, which is the best zone to serve to? Why? Elizabeth: Zone 1, because I serve with my right arm, so I have a larger area to serve Kate: And the ball arises on the back of the opponent's setter []</li> <li>R: Kate, do you feel that your setting options changed since 20 points? Kate: I cannot vary the game, I only set to the player who I know is going to score more." I<sup>st</sup> FG, 23<sup>rd</sup> November</li> </ul>
385	2 <sup>nd</sup> AR-cycle [January 2018 – March 2018] – interpreting constraints to evolve TK
386	Tactical Awareness
387	The players perceived that increases in learning task complexity, via the introduction
388	of different task constraints, stimulated their thinking and focus. In fact, practice in complex
389	representative scenarios helped the players to become perceptually attuned to the emergence
390	of important affordances. In turn, these perceptions led players to consider the purpose of
391	their own tactical actions based on what they perceived to emerge during game play, and to

392 not merely rely on the coach's recommendations. The next excerpt supports this observation:

393 "R: You said that you are currently better able to understand the game. Is there any 394 connection with the increase in game complexity?

Katherine: Yes, because this forced us to think. 395

Kate: We are more focused now. 396

400

Katherine: Yes, once the game became more complex we needed to stay focused, think more 397 and this ends up affecting us [...] for instance, during the attack, now I can see the block but, 398

in the past, I only did what the coach told to me, without think about it. 399

2<sup>nd</sup> FG, 20<sup>th</sup> January

Practice task designs also provided the players with opportunities to develop their 401 ability to perceive critical information sources that constrained their technical and tactical 402

actions to adapt to the dynamic performance context. Accordingly, in the first evidence of 403 strategic thinking, the players revealed how they were becoming more aware of opposition 404 play features and future possible moves. The comments of Katherine and Mariah below 405 clearly support this idea. Additionally, as stated by Emily, questions related to specific 406 tactical actions helped players to reflect on their performance and explore various solutions 407 constructively. 408

409 Mariah: [...] For instance, if I saw the block is open, I would attack between the blockers 410 Katherine: yes, likewise, if we saw the defense moves up, we would attack the back of the court 411

412 [...]

- 413 R: Emily, you said that currently you understand the game better... in what actions?
- Emily: Now. I know who I should set to. 414

*R*: [...] what do you think that helped you improve? 415

- Emily: the coach, for example, you [coach] asked me why I set to someone that failed 5 416 consecutive service-receptions." 417 2<sup>nd</sup> FG, 20<sup>th</sup> January
- 418

#### **Emerging Understanding** 419

At this stage, players started to establish a connection between the purpose of a 420 constrained practice task and the tactical demands of competitive volleyball. 421 Manipulation of task constraints in practice seemed to; (i) guide the players' 422 attentional focus, (ii) stimulate their understanding of different game scenarios and 423 increase the repertoire of performance solutions available to them in offensive and 424 defensive game sub-phases, and (iii), facilitate their problem-solving in the 425 competitive performance environment. In this sense, as Katherine later expressed, the 426 training sessions started to gain more practical meaning. 427

428

- "R: What did you think helped you develop your understanding of the game? 429
- Ellen: The coach planning a lot of specific tasks and through them, we can develop our mind 430
- *Emily: And complex tasks [...] conditional game-forms* 431
- Katherine: Where we have to be focused 432

433 [...]

- 434 *R*: *Do you think that the training tasks have a connection to the formal game?*
- Penny: The best players usually attack to the same zones, and we practice this type of defense 435

Liz: Yes! And the block! There are also several block tasks based on the team features of our 436 437 next opponent *R*: *Are these types of tasks important?* 438 *Lisa: Of course!* 439 440 *Loren: It is much more similar, it's identical* [to the official game] *Liz:* And it is much more specific, so then it's easier to solve problems during the game"  $2^{nd} FG, 20^{th} January$ 441 442 "R: Do you think we play more game-forms? 443 Katherine: yes, and we do it at the end of the training session, meaning we can use what we 444 had practiced before [...] For instance, when we are training collective block organization, 445 then during the game we can apply it. We train with a purpose" 446 3<sup>rd</sup> FG, 4<sup>th</sup> April 447 Use of convergent questioning, while supporting the players' awareness of team role 448 449 responsibilities, also contributed to perception of opposition tactical features and weaknesses. This process helped inform players how to instigate a competitive strategy to exploit 450 perceived opposition limitations. 451 "During a constrained game-form situation I stopped the game and asked one of the teams 452 which are the opponents' spikers at that exact moment, and to who the setter will probably 453 set...players started to talk between each other, defining block priorities...Then I said, this is 454 the purpose of the task, Agatha, as a middle-blocker, you have the responsibility to lead the 455 block organization, focus on it." 456 25<sup>th</sup> RD, 18<sup>th</sup> February 457 Throughout this process, where representative learning tasks were designed to 458 promote players' intentionality and to stimulate inherent self-organizing tendencies within the 459 team to satisfy the interacting performance constraints, the coach's learning support 460 461 progressively decreased. Therefore, by developing tactical knowledge, players began to understand some reasons for their errors, and how to change their performance to resolve 462 emerging issues. The players' reflections on their tactical options became essential to 463 inducing their kill adaptation. Emily's example here supports this observation: 464

"When we were training final set moments, that is, a game phase that highlights tactical 465 awareness, Emily failed three consecutive setting options, and I just screamed EMILY!!! She 466 came to me and said that she knew that she should not have set the ball to Jennifer. I asked 467 her why, and she responded that as she had good setting conditions and had Mariah and 468 Kate in attack positions, she should set to one of them." 469 27<sup>th</sup> RD 4<sup>th</sup> March 470 471

**Playing Tactically** 472

473

Because of the players' initial difficulties in identifying opposition weaknesses and 474 tactical application during competitive performance, while considering the contextual 475 performance constraints, the coach increased the use of divergent questioning during training. 476 The main aim was to allow players to make sense and apply meaning to the information that 477 they perceived, as well as induce links between new information, their previous knowledge 478 and experiences. Players were also encouraged to explore different technical skills and to 479 develop diverse tactical performance solutions. The next excerpt clarifies this process: 480

481 "Kate, why did you plan that move with the middle blocker? Why did you set to her? [...] Or Mariah, who is blocking you? What do you think you can do to score? [...] and it was 482 enjoyable because they were answering me, executing different actions, and improving their 483 game understanding" 484 28<sup>th</sup> RD, 11<sup>th</sup> of March)

485

486

Additionally, before each match, the coach started to discuss tactical configurations of

play with players to simulate their strategic thinking. These principles emerging from these 487

discussions were defined according to the shared understanding of the coach and players, as 488

well as specific opposition features. Subsequent training tasks were constrained by these 489

tactical principles, meaning that players had the opportunity to stabilize perceptual-action 490

couplings aligned with the key events of a competitive context. As a result, players started to 491

act intentionally, understanding how exploit perceived opposition weaknesses. 492

"R: What do you think about when we build a game plan? 493

- Rose: In almost all matches this help us, because during the game we know exactly what the 494 weaknesses of the opponent are and where we should attack 495
- Katherine: Now, we did not feel so lost on the court because we had an idea of what they can 496 do 497
- Kate: And we know what we need to do to score 498
- Lilian: For instance, we attack according to the opponents' defensive organization, if they 499 defend using another form, we certainly execute different type of attacks 500
- Kate: and sometimes we cannot remember what the coach told us to do, and so we see the 501 videos again and figure out what we are supposed to do" 502

503

3<sup>rd</sup> FG. 4<sup>th</sup> April

3<sup>rd</sup> AR-cycle [April 2018 – June 2018] – achieving a sustainable and adaptable TK 504

**Tactical Awareness** 505

By now, players had developed a reasonable technical ability and were able to 506 perceive critical information sources to regulate their actions during performance. Given the 507 crucial role of setters during the game, the coach decided to move a step forward in terms of 508 tactical setting issues. Consequently, a strategic plan specific to setters was discussed 509 between the coach and setters. Setters were invited to identify and interpret the contextual 510 constraints of opposition defenses, as well as to develop a plan to counter such features. 511 Through this process they enhanced their strategic thinking. The next excerpt clarifies this 512 513 process:

"I made setters come early to training sessions as I wanted to discuss a setting plan with 514 them, for the first time [...] I explained everything, in which cases we should play with 515 overlap or reversal, when we would play with middle-blockers [...] during the formal 516 conditioned game the correct setter option was often achieved, with rallies being conducted 517 with a setter's criteria" 518 33<sup>rd</sup> RD. 15<sup>th</sup> April

519

This training process led to a progressive increase in the intentionality underpinning 520 the tactical awareness of setting actions at each moment during performance. Moreover, 521 constraints introduced in thematic training games created a desirable instability (known as 522 'metastability' in dynamical systems theory: instability that promotes different options for a 523 complex system). These practice designs encouraged problem-solving within unpredictable 524 525 learning environments. Consequently, representative affordances emerged from practice task designs which, supported by the coaching intervention, was extremely helpful for the players' 526 tactical development. This was noted by Emily. 527 "R: How do you think the game evolved across the season? 528

Kate: I think we started to understand the game moments better. For example, at 24/24 we 529 will set to a player that is displaying higher consistency across the game. 530

- Emily: Yes, at the beginning I only set to Mariah, now I vary the game, for example, if she 531
- fails, I know that I cannot set to her again, immediately [...] I always look for the opponent 532

blockers', for instance, if Liz is in zone 2, I play a stick with middle-blocker 533

<sup>534</sup> *R*: And do you think that the conditional game-forms helped you?

Emily: Yes [...] and... sometimes I set to the best attacker, but the coach told me she is 535 attacking against the best blocker and let me think about it [...] the coach also helped me 536 [...] and I started to understand. At the beginning I set without looking at the blockers" 537  $4^{th}$  FG.  $2^{nd}$  June 538

At the end of the season, some players also started to reveal their capacity to 539

anticipate emergent, competitive game scenarios. In this sense, players began to act according 540

to the predictions of their own action outcomes. However, such enhancements in tactical 541

awareness and anticipation of events were mostly emphasized for attacking and defensive 542

actions. 543

"Mariah: It depends because I can attack against block or not. I know that block will 544 545 probably close the cross-attack once is my best spike trajectory, therefore I to try the line-546 attack.

Agatha: During the last game, when I was performing the service, I was trying to serve to 547 zone 1 with the purpose of increasing the odds of zone 2 attacks. I'm not able to dig [she digs 548 in zone 5] and I knew that zone 2 only executes cross-attacks, so as I'm digging the line-549 spike, I thought it could be a good strategy [...]"

550 551

4<sup>th</sup> FG, 2<sup>nd</sup> June

**Emerging Understanding** 552

As players improved at satisfying tasks constraints during practice, the thematic 553 games became more specifically constrained by considering key tactical features of specific 554 opponents. In addition, players were praised for their performance of technical or tactical 555 actions required of these specific performance constraints. These specifying learning designs 556 motivated players and encouraged their cooperation and self-organization to achieve specific 557

performance goals. 558

"The training plan was centred on a formal conditional game, constrained according to 559 features of the next opponent [...] this is the most representative and specific task that I could 560 do. Specifically, I introduced many freeballs [i.e., an easy ball played by opponents when 561 they have no attacking options] which only zone 4 and 2 could attack because our next 562 opponent rarely uses the middle-blocker attack" 563

564 565  $36^{th}$  RD,  $5^{th}$  May

"R: Do you think that what we practice during the initial part of the training session is also 566 related? 567

Kate: Yes, because if we trained block and defense, these technical actions give us extra 568 points during the game-form 569

- Penny: For instance, when we train the block action, during the game-form a positive block 570 action is rewarded with an extra point 571  $4^{th}$  FG,  $2^{nd}$  June 572 Such interventions allowed players to perceive and understand performance 573 constraints and attend to critical information sources (e.g. attention on orientation of an 574 opponents' arm and hand, and not only on the ball). This learning design helped players to 575 576 anticipate opposition moves and adapt accordingly. "R: [...] reading the game... what do you mean? 577 Katherine: For instance, when someone is attacking, and we are defensing... now we look to 578 *their arm and we anticipate the attack trajectory* 579 *R*: *Did you think about it initially*? 580 581 Katherine: I did not look so much, and if I looked, I couldn't understand it. Now, we also see the block and the setter, the setter cannot trick me anymore. 582 Mariah: Yes, when I'm attacking, I can see the block and, as a result, I know where I should 583 584 hit [...] *R*: And at the beginning, what did you look for? 585 Rose: ball 586 Agatha: I only stayed in my defense zone 587 *Rose: We were just worried about the purpose, that is, to defend* 588 Liz: Yes, we did not think to look at the hitter's arm" 589 590
  - 4<sup>th</sup> FG 2<sup>nd</sup> June

- **Playing Tactically** 591
- Gradually, the process of recognizing and tactically exploring opposition weaknesses 592
- became more efficient, although this applied mostly to service actions. Here, enhanced 593
- understanding of tactical principles of play, discussed with players throughout the season, 594
- 595 played a critical role. Overall, by the end of the season, the players' performance actions
- clearly showed how they considered ecological constraints underpinning competitive 596
- performance. This is highlighted in the next excerpt: 597
- *R*: *Do you remember how we exploited that weakness?* 598
- *Kate: Through a consistent service and serving on the other side of the team's best attacker.* 599
- *R*: When you are on the service line, do you think about it? 600
- Emily: Sometimes 601
- Mariah: It depends on the game moment 602
- *R*: *And was it always like that*? 603
- 604 Group: NO!!!!
- *R*: So, what happened at the beginning of the season? 605
- Agatha: Initially, we only executed 606

Lisa: We couldn't serve to a specific zone
[...]
R: Do you think that game plans helped you to increase your game understanding?
Group: Yes!
R: But what does that mean?
Ellen: To understand the opponents' weak features and to know how to exploit it."
4<sup>th</sup> FG, 2<sup>nd</sup> June

#### 615 Discussion

Using a three-cycle action-research (AR) design, this study examined the impact of 616 combining methodologies from the CLA and SGA on the development of tactical knowledge 617 of performance in youth volleyballers throughout a competitive season. Overall, results 618 showed how, by combining both approaches, players progressed from an initial start point 619 where they were able to verbally describe game scenarios, relying on knowledge about how 620 to perform (declarative and procedural knowledge, respectively) without considering tactical 621 game constraints. After the intervention they had progressed to an end point where they had 622 begun to think strategically, act intentionally, narrow their attentional focus to perceive 623 different informational constraints, and understand and share affordances to act tactically. By 624 using an AR design, it was possible to closely monitor the entire dynamical coaching process 625 involved in participants' TK development. Indeed, the immersion of the coach-researcher in 626 the context of youth volleyball training allowed for daily adjustments to the design of the 627 628 ecological practice tasks, based on the tactical needs of the players and team.

The first AR-cycle diagnosed the players' tactical limitations (essentially based on their lack of tactical awareness). At this initial stage, players displayed the ability to verbally describe scenarios (knowledge about the performance environment) but could not actively identify critical constraints during play. Instead, they merely reacted to affordances (opportunities for action during competition) rather than utilising, sharing, or even anticipating them. These findings suggest it was difficult for players to use tactics in

635 competitive environments because they were not aware of interacting constraints (e.g.636 opponents' weaknesses) and could not focus their attention on key information sources.

To resolve this issue, during the second AR-cycle the complexity of volleyball 637 practice settings was increased via the manipulation of representative task constraints. Here, 638 the purpose was to introduce a desirable instability that encouraged players' problem-solving 639 and intentionality in their actions. During these learning tasks, tactical solutions were built, 640 and assessed constructively, using questioning strategies. Combining ecological and 641 constructivist perspectives, it was interesting to find that the increase in complexity of 642 643 representative learning tasks was accompanied by a growth in players' awareness of their own TK development. Globally, during the second AR-cycle, the players affirmed that the 644 greater task complexity improved their attentional focus, tactical understanding, and strategic 645 646 thinking. This finding aligns with recent work by Shaw et al. (2018) that showed how increases in task demands led to increased mental engagement and consumption of attentional 647 resources. Nonetheless, two possible reasons could also explain the players' perceptions. 648 First, the convergent and divergent questions asked during practice might have invited 649 participants to recognize and think about relationships among sources of information, 650 activating their prior knowledge and helping them to establish connections between the 651 tactical purpose of the task and the competitive game-environment. Second, representative 652 task constraints might have guided participants' attentional focus, thus facilitating the 653 654 perception and utilization of shared affordances.

Also, within the 2<sup>nd</sup> AR-cycle, tactical configurations of play started to be discussed constructively between coach and players. Such discussions were aimed at reducing the difficulties portrayed in perceiving opponent weaknesses. A strategic plan was then built during the study of opposition performance characteristics through video analysis. Afterwards, practice tasks were designed according to emerging tactical principles. This

coaching intervention enabled players to redefine their understanding of the link between
performance constraints and appropriate tactical skills, and thus increased action-oriented and
adaptive gameplay skills (Araújo et al., 2019; Mitchell et al., 2013).

At the end of third AR-cycle, players showed a substantial improvement in TK. For 663 example, in the first AR-cycle, Kate's record revealed that her tactical setting decisions did 664 not consider contextual constraints (e.g., final set moments). However, by the end of the 665 season, Kate was able to consider the match status, the performance of her teammates, and 666 the performance features of immediate opponents during the game. Emily's report also 667 668 showed a significant development in setting using tactical criteria. Overall, she emphasized the crucial role of strategic planning and the manipulation of representative constraints during 669 thematic games. Indeed, as Gréhaigne, Caty, and Godbout (2010) postulated, the process of 670 671 studying predominant configurations of tactical problems seemed to sustain the players' capacity to identify emerging regularities in opposition patterns of play, facilitating an 672 anticipation process. 673

As a result of the strategic plan and manipulation of representative task constraints, by 674 the third cycle, players had increased their perception and understanding of informational 675 constraints of performance (e.g. especially weaknesses of individual opponents). 676 Consequently, they collaborated and self-organized with teammates to intentionally exploit 677 such perceived vulnerabilities. Players had improved their anticipation of opponents' moves, 678 implying tactical knowledge development. These anticipatory capacities were mostly 679 observed in spike and dig actions, possibly because these tactical actions were directly related 680 to opposition tactical moves. Anticipatory responses have greater tactical value in team sports 681 where players are constantly required to make rapid, accurate decisions in a dynamic 682 performance environment play (Gorman, Abernethy, & Farrow, 2018). Here, the building of 683

representative learning designs played an important role in simulating task constraints thatenabled performers to act adaptively, as required in competitive performance environments.

In practical terms, our findings recommend that coaches constrain their learning tasks designs 686 according to: (i) the individual needs of players and the team, (ii) the specificities of the 687 sport, and (iii), the tactical problems that need resolution, identified by performance analytics. 688 Coaches should focus on building representative learning designs in practice. Finally, we 689 endorse the combined use of convergent and divergent questions during the practice training 690 session rather than simply prescribing tactical solutions for the players. Due to the complex 691 692 relationship between perception, cognition and action underlying tactical knowledge development in youth players, we recommend the integration of different yet complementary 693 pedagogical approaches in future investigations. This recommendation is aligned with our 694 695 main findings revealing the development of players' tactical knowledge through the combination of SGA and CLA approaches. The data highlighted that continuous exposure to 696 ecological and meaningful contexts helps players develop a deeper knowledge of a 697 performance environment for constructing novel performance understanding to adapt and 698 evolve tactically. 699

700

#### 701 What does this article add?

This article presents novel, qualitative longitudinal information about the impact of combining two distinct pedagogical approaches in practice designs. The combination of two different approaches that have traditionally been studied in parallel (i.e. the integration of ecological and constructivist ideas), represents a potentially useful way to enhance the cognition, perception and action of developing athletes. This study emphasizes the potential to integrate these approaches in order to address the complexity of practice demands and the individual needs of players and teams. Moreover, the innovative use of an action-research

- design during training sessions offered a deep, contextualized analysis of the coaching
- 710 process throughout a competitive season. There was a specific focus on the continuous
- *process* of TK development, rather than just on the *end product* (i.e., comparing how players
- started and ended a season in terms of TK).
- 713

#### 714 **References**

- Araújo, D., Davids, K., & McGivern, P. (2018). The irreducible embeddedness of action choice in sport. In M. L. Cappuccio (Ed.), *Handbook of Embodied Cognition and Sport Psychology* (pp. 537-556). MIT Press: Cambridge, MA.
- Araújo, D., Hristovski, R., Seifert, L., Carvalho, J., & Davids, K. (2019). Ecological cognition: expert
   decision-making behaviour in sport. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*,
   *12*(1), 1-25. doi:10.1080/1750984X.2017.1349826
- Araújo, R., Mesquita, I., Hastie, P., & Pereira, C. (2016). Students' game performance improvements
   during a hybrid sport education-step-game-approach volleyball unit. *European Physical Education Review*, 22(2), 185-200. doi:10.1177/1356336X15597927
- Bodner, G. M., & MacIsaac, D. L. (1995). A critical examination of relevance in science education
   *research*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Association for Research in
   Science Teaching, San Francisco.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2012). Thematic Analysis. In H. Cooper, P. M. Camic, D. L. Long, A. T.
  Panter, D. Rindskopf, & K. J. Sher (Eds.), *Handbook of Research Methods in Psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 57-71). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- 730 Charmaz, K. (2014). Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide through Qualitative
   731 Research. London, UK: SAGE Publications.
- Chow, J. Y., Davids, K., Button, C., Shuttleworth, R., & Araújo, D. (2007). The Role of Nonlinear
   Pedagogy in Physical Education. *Educational Research*, 7(3), 251-278.
- Coghlan, D. (2007). Insider action research: opportunities and challanges. *Management Research News*, 30, 335-343.
- 736 Cooke, B., & Wolfram Cox, J. (2005). *Fundamentals of Action Research*. London: SAge.
- Davids, K., Araújo, D., & Shuttleworth, R. (2005). Applications of Dynamical Systems Theory to
  Football. In T. Reilly, J. Cabri, & D. Araújo (Eds.), *Science and Footbal* (pp. 539-550).
  London: Routledge, Taylor & Francis.
- 740 Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 80-88.
- Finis, C. D. (2014). What goes around comes around ... or does it? Disrupting the cycle of traditional,
   sport-based physical education. *Kinesiology Review*, *3*, 63-70.
- Farias, C., Hastie, P., & Mesquita, I. (2018). Scaffolding student–coaches' instructional leadership
  toward student-centred peer interactions: A yearlong action-research intervention in sport
  education. *European Physical Education Review*, 24(3), 269-291.
  doi:10.1177/1356336X16687303
- 747 Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*. Boston: Houghton Miffin.
- Gilbourne, D. (1999). Collaboration and reflection: Adopting action research themes and processes
   to promote adherence to changing practice. Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Gorman, A. D., Abernethy, B., & Farrow, D. (2018). Reduced attentional focus and the influence on
  expert anticipatory perception. *Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 80*(1), 166-176.
  doi:10.3758/s13414-017-1429-z
- Gréhaigne, J.-F., Caty, D., & Godbout, P. (2010). Modelling ball circulation in invasion team sports: a
   way to promote learning games through understanding. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 15(3), 257-270.

- Kolman, N. S., Kramer, T., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Huijgen, B. C. H., & Visscher, C. (2019).
  Technical and tactical skills related to performance levels in tennis: A systematic review. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *37*(1), 108-121. doi:10.1080/02640414.2018.1483699
- Mahoney, M. J. (2002). Constructivism and Positive Psychology. In C. R. Snyder & S. J. Lopez
   (Eds.), *Handbook of Positive Psychology* (pp. 745-750). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Mckay, J., & O'Connor, D. (2018). Practicing Unstructured Play in Team Ball Sports: A Rugby
   Union Example. International Sport Coaching Journal, 5(3), 273-280. doi:10.1123/iscj.2017 0095
- McNiff, J., Lomax, P., & Whitehead, J. (2003). *You and your action research project* (2nd ed.). Oxon:
   Routledge Falmer.
- McPherson, S. (2008). Tatics Using knowledge to enhance sport performance. In D. Farrow, J.
  Baker, & C. MacMahon (Eds.), *Developing sport expertise* (pp. 155-171). London:
  Routledge.
- McPherson, S., & Thomas, J. (1989). Relation of knowledge and performance in boys' tennis: age and
   expertise. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 48(2), 190-211.
- Mesquita, I., & César, B. (2007). Characterisation of the opposite player's attack from the opposition
   block characteristics. An applied study in the Athens Olympic games in female volleyball.
   *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 7(2), 13-27.
- Mesquita, I., Graça, A., Gomes, A., & Cruz, C. (2005). Examining the impact of Step Game
   Approach to teaching volleyball on student tactical decision-making and skill execution
   during game play. *Journal of Human Movement Studies, 48*, 469-492.
- 777 Metzler, M. (2011). *Instructional models for physical education* (3rd ed.). Scottsdale: Holcomb
   778 Hathaway Publishers.
- Mitchell, S., Oslin, J., & Griffin, L. (2013). *Teaching sport concepts and skills: A tactical games approach for ages 7 to 18.* Champaign: Human Kinetics.
- 781 Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pereira, F. R. M., Graça, A. B. D. S., Blomqvist, M., & Mesquita, I. M. R. (2011). Instructional approaches in youth volleyball training settings: The influence of players age and gender. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 42(3), 227-244.
- Práxedes, A., Álvarez, F. D. V., Moreno, A., Gil-Arias, A., & Davids, K. (2019). Effects of a nonlinear pedagogy intervention programme on the emergent tactical behaviours of youth footballers. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*. doi:10.1080/17408989.2019.1580689
- Sarstedt, M., Bengart, P., Shaltoni, A. M., & Lehmann, S. (2018). The use of sampling methods in advertising research: a gap between theory and practice. *International Journal of Advertising*, 37(4), 650-663.
- Shaw, E. P., Rietschel, J. C., Hendershot, B. D., Pruziner, A. L., Miller, M. W., Hatfield, B. D., &
  Gentili, R. J. (2018). Measurement of attentional reserve and mental effort for cognitive
  workload assessment under various task demands during dual-task walking. *Biological Psychology*, 134, 39-51. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2018.01.009
- Silva, P., Garganta, J., Araújo, D., Davids, K., & Aguiar, P. (2013). Shared knowledge or shared affordances? Insights from an ecological dynamics approach to team coordination in sports.
  Sports Medicine, 43, 765-772.
- Sparkes, A. C., & Smith, B. (2014). *Qualitative research methods in sport, exercise and health: From process to product:* Abingdon: Routledge.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). Using Multivariate Statistics (5th ed.). New York: Allyn
   and Bacon.
- Thomas, G., Morgan, K., & Mesquita, I. (2013). Examining the implementation of a Teaching Games
   for Understanding approah in junior rugby using a reflective practice design. *Sports Coaching Review*, 2(1), 49-60.
- Turvey, M. T., & Shaw, R. E. (1999). Ecological foundations of cognition: Symmetry and specificity
   of animal-environment systems. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 6(11-12).