

# Process evaluation of the Bridging the Age Gap in Breast Cancer decision support intervention cluster randomized trial [abstract only]

BURTON, Maria <a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5411-8181">http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5411-8181</a>, LIFFORD, Kate J., WYLD, Lynda, ARMITAGE, Fiona, COLLINS, Karen <a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4317-142X">http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4317-142X</a>, REED, Malcolm, BRAIN, Kate and EDWARDS, Adrian

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/26703/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

## Citation:

BURTON, Maria, LIFFORD, Kate J., WYLD, Lynda, ARMITAGE, Fiona, COLLINS, Karen, REED, Malcolm, BRAIN, Kate and EDWARDS, Adrian (2019). Process evaluation of the Bridging the Age Gap in Breast Cancer decision support intervention cluster randomized trial [abstract only]. FUTURE ONCOLOGY, 15 (7S), p. 14. [Article]

# Copyright and re-use policy

See <a href="http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html">http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html</a>

Title: Process evaluation of the Bridging the Age Gap in Breast Cancer decision support intervention cluster randomised trial.

**Authors:** Maria Burton\*a, Kate J Lifford\*b, Lynda Wyldc, Fiona Armitagec, Karen Collinsa, Malcolm Reedd, Kate Brainb, Adrian Edwardsb on behalf of the Age Gap Trial Team.

- <sup>a</sup> Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK,
- <sup>b</sup> Division of Population Medicine, Cardiff University, Neuadd Meirionnydd, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4YS. UK.
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Oncology & Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK,
- <sup>d</sup> Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Brighton, UK, m.reed@bsms.ac.uk

# **Background**

The Age Gap cluster randomised trial sought to test "complex" decision-support interventions (DESIs) consisting of an online decision tool, a brief decision aid (BDA) and a booklet for patients facing a treatment choice of either:

- 1. surgery + endocrine therapy (ET) versus primary endocrine therapy (PET), for frail older women; or,
- 2. adjuvant chemotherapy or no chemotherapy for women having had surgery for high recurrence risk breast cancer.

A process evaluation (PE) was undertaken to identify how the interventions worked and aid interpretation of the trial results. **The primary aims** of the PE were to understand how the DESIs were implemented, the barriers and facilitators, and how acceptable and useful they were to staff and patients.

### Methods

Multiple methods of data collection were used: questionnaires, interviews, case report forms (CRFs) and audio-recording of consultations. Of 46 trial recruiting sites, 16 were PE sites. Interviews were analysed using a Framework approach. Numeric data were analysed using SPSS.

## **Results**

The trial included 1321 participants, 176 from PE sites were invited to participate; 77 patients provided data for the PE. Ten healthcare professionals (HCPs) took part in a telephone interview and seven in audio-recorded consultations. The online tool was used by surgeons in ways personalised to the patient and their own practice and, provided structure to guide treatment options. The booklets were introduced predominantly by nursing staff, with the BDA used as a summary sheet rather than to stimulate discussion as intended. The information provided encouraged more active involvement in decision making. Barriers to implementation were seeing the DESIs as time consuming as well as logistical problems e.g. access to computers.

# Discussion

Patient and HCPs feedback on the tools was positive but utilisation was variable both between centres and clinicians. Adoption was hindered by other information materials commonly provided to patients, time and IT constraints.