

What makes long-term resistance-trained individuals so strong? A comparison of skeletal muscle morphology, architecture, and joint mechanics.

MADEN-WILKINSON, Thomas M <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6191-045X>, BALSHAW, Thomas Grant, MASSEY, Garry and FOLLAND, Jonathan P

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/25683/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

MADEN-WILKINSON, Thomas M, BALSHAW, Thomas Grant, MASSEY, Garry and FOLLAND, Jonathan P (2019). What makes long-term resistance-trained individuals so strong? A comparison of skeletal muscle morphology, architecture, and joint mechanics. Journal of Applied Physiology. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

1	
2	What makes long-term resistance-trained individuals so strong? A
3	comparison of skeletal muscle morphology, architecture, and joint
4	mechanics.
5	
6 7	Authors:
8	Thomas M Maden-Wilkinson ^{1,2} . Thomas G. Balshaw ^{2,3} , Garry J. Massey ^{2,3} , Jonathan P. Folland. ^{2,3}
9	
10	
11	Affiliations:
12	¹ Academy of Sport and Physical Activity, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, Collegiate Campus,
13	Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK.
14	² School of Sport, Exercise, and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Leicestershire, UK.
15	³ Versus Arthritis Centre for Sport, Exercise and Osteoarthritis, Loughborough University,
16	Leicestershire, UK.
17	
18	Corresponding author:
19	Professor Jonathan P. Folland, School of Sport, Exercise, and Health Sciences, Loughborough
20	University, Loughborough Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, UK
21	
22	Email: j.p.folland@lboro.ac.uk
23	
24	Keywords
25	Skeletal Muscle, Strength Training, Regional Hypertrophy, Muscle Architecture
26	
27	Word Count
28	
29	Total – 6725 without References/Figure Legends
30	Abstract- 249
31	New and Noteworthy- 75
32	Number of references- 80
33	Tables-2
34	Figures-5
35	

36 New and Noteworthy

Here we demonstrate that the larger muscle strength (+60%) of a long-term (4+years) resistance-trained group compared to untrained controls was due to their similarly larger muscle volume (+56%), primarily due to a larger physiological cross-sectional area and modest differences in fascicle length, as well as modest differences in maximum voluntary specific tension and patella tendon moment arm. In addition, the present study refutes the possibility of regional hypertrophy, despite large differences in muscle volume.

70 List of Abbreviations

- 71 Θ_{P} Pennation Angle
- 72 ACSA- Anatomical Cross-Sectional Area
- 73 QACSA_{MAX-} Sum of maximal anatomical cross-sectional areas
- 74 CSA- Cross-sectional area
- 75 EMG- Electromyography
- 76 F_L- Fascicle Length
- 77 HEMG_{MAX-} Hamstrings EMG amplitude
- 78 IPAQ- International Physical Activity Questionnaire
- 79 KF MVT- Knee Flexor maximal voluntary torque
- 80 LTT- Long term resistance trained
- 81 MRI- Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- 82 MVC- Maximal Voluntary Contraction
- 83 MVT- Quadriceps Maximal Isometric Voluntary Torque
- 84 PTMA- Patella Tendon Moment Arm
- 85 PCSA- Physiological Cross-Sectional Area
- 86 EFFPCSA- Effective Physiological Cross-Sectional Area
- 87 Q_{EFF}PCSA- Sum of Effective Physiological Cross-Sectional Area
- 88 Q_{VOL}- Quadriceps Volume
- 89 QF_L- Mean Quadriceps fascicle Length
- 90 $Q \Theta_{P_2}$ Mean Quadriceps pennation angle
- 91 RT- Resistance Training
- 92 RF- Rectus Femoris
- 93 ST- Maximal Voluntary Specific Tension
- 94 UT- Untrained
- 95 VI- Vastus Intermedius
- 96 VL- Vastus Lateralis
- 97 VM- Vastus Medialis
- 98
- 99
- 100
- 101
- 102
- 103

106 Abstract

108	The greater muscular strength of long-term resistance-trained (LTT) individuals is often
109	attributed to hypertrophy but the role of other factors, notably maximum voluntary specific tension
110	(ST), muscle architecture and any differences in joint mechanics (moment arm) have not been
111	documented. The aim of the present study was to examine the musculoskeletal factors that might
112	explain the greater Quadriceps strength and size of LTT vs untrained (UT) individuals. LTT ($n = 16$, age
113	21.6 \pm 2.0 years) had 4.0 \pm 0.8 years of systematic knee extensor heavy-resistance training
114	experience, whereas UT ($n = 52$; age 25.1 ± 2.3 years) had no lower-body resistance training
115	experience for > 18 months. Knee extension dynamometry, T1-weighted magnetic resonance images
116	of the thigh and knee and ultrasonography of the Quadriceps muscle group at 10 locations were
117	used to determine Quadriceps: isometric maximal voluntary torque (MVT), muscle volume (Q_{VOL}),
118	patella tendon moment arm (PTMA), pennation angle (Q \mathcal{O}_P) and fascicle length (QFL), physiological
119	cross-sectional area (QPCSA) and ST. LTT had substantially greater MVT (+60% vs UT, P<0.001) and
120	Q _{VOL} (+56%, P<0.001) and QPCSA (+41%, P<0.001) but smaller differences in ST (+9%, P<0.05) and
121	moment arm (+4%, P<0.05), and thus muscle size was the primary explanation for the greater
122	strength of LTT. The greater muscle size (volume) of LTT was primarily attributable to the greater
123	QPCSA (+41%; indicating more sarcomeres in parallel) rather than the more modest difference in F_L
124	(+11%; indicating more sarcomeres in series). There was no evidence in the present study for
125	regional hypertrophy after LTT.
126	
107	
127	
128	
129	
130	
131	
122	
192	
133	
134	

142 Introduction

143 Muscular strength is integral to athletic performance (21), helps to reduce injury risk (19) 144 and the likelihood of developing musculoskeletal disorders such as osteoarthritis (84), and also 145 facilitates independence and functional mobility (18, 53) with ageing. Participation in resistance 146 training (RT) is well known to increase strength and therefore is widely recommended on an on-147 going/continuous (i.e. long-term) basis for individuals of all ages as well as numerous patient groups 148 (3, 49, 51, 72). Hence long-term RT individuals are known to be substantially stronger than untrained controls (UT; (9, 56), a functional difference that is often attributed to their larger muscle size (i.e. 149 150 greater volume or cross-sectional area [CSA] due to hypertrophy). However, the role of other 151 morphological and mechanical differences that may also influence strength, notably specific tension 152 (i.e. force per unit area), muscle architecture and joint moment arm have been poorly documented.

153 In fact, long-term systematic RT (i.e. multiple years) has been shown to result in substantially 154 greater muscle size compared to untrained controls (+70-76% greater Biceps Brachii anatomical CSA 155 [ACSA; (9, 52)]; +85% greater Quadriceps volume; (38)), but whether an increase in muscle size is 156 accompanied by similar, smaller or no changes in maximum voluntary specific tension (ST) remains 157 unknown. Furthermore, the extent to which increases in overall muscle size (volume) after long-158 term RT are due to increases in either sarcomeres in parallel (i.e. increased physiological CSA; PCSA) 159 and/or in series (i.e. fibre/fascicle length) has not been examined. Finally, the extent of region-160 specific hypertrophy, both between constituent muscles and along their length, after long-term RT 161 remains to be elucidated. Therefore, a rigorous assessment of muscle size (ACSA, PCSA and Volume), 162 ST and architectural contributions to enhanced strength after long-term RT appears warranted.

163 ST during maximum voluntary contractions (MVCs) is a widely suggested adaptation to RT 164 (24) that encompasses the functional consequences of any changes in neuromuscular activation of 165 the agonist muscle, as well as any changes in intrinsic contractile ST (e.g. perhaps due to a shift in 166 fibre type composition, decreases in antagonist activation, increase in lateral force transmission or 167 reduced fat infiltration)(10). Whilst ST has been quantified using a relatively crude calculation of 168 external force/torque divided by ACSA, a more valid approach involves accounting for antagonist 169 torque and moment arm in order to calculate agonist muscle force that can be expressed in 170 proportion to PCSA to determine the ST of the agonist muscle. This more rigorous approach has only 171 been used over 9 weeks of RT (30) demonstrating an increase in ST of 17%, therefore the ST of 172 individuals who have completed several years of regular systematic heavy RT, and thus the 173 contribution of this variable to their greater strength remains unknown.

175 Short-term RT (2-6 months) appears to result in non-uniform hypertrophy both along and 176 between muscles (25, 44, 61). For example, within the Quadriceps numerous studies have found 177 greater hypertrophy of the Rectus Femoris compared to the Vastii (26, 43, 44, 58, 61, 69, 75, 81). 178 Short-term RT studies have also reported the greatest increases in anatomical cross-sectional area 179 (ACSA) to occur at surprisingly diverse points along the muscle: at maximal ACSA (ACSA_{max}; 24, 28, 180 30, 56), in the proximal (63) or distal (26, 35, 58), or even proximal and distal (4, 61) regions of the 181 muscle. These diverse findings could potentially be due to the differences in the prescribed training 182 task or be contraction mode dependent (33, 35, 68) or may in part reflect difficulties in accurately 183 replicating measurement sites along the muscle/limb in studies that typically used a limited number 184 of MRI slices (e.g. 3-7 slices; (43, 44, 61)) or ultrasound measures (26, 58, 67). In which case careful 185 description of ACSA along the whole muscle in relation to definitive anatomical landmarks (i.e. the 186 ends of the underlying bone) are required. Moreover, if region specific hypertrophy resulting from 187 RT does exist it would be expected to be pronounced in long-term RT individuals that exhibit 188 substantially larger muscles, however, this has not been examined.

189 The structural remodelling of muscle morphology in response to RT can be observed by 190 examining muscle architecture, specifically Pennation Angle (Θ_P) and Fascicle Length (F_L). Numerous 191 studies have found Θ_P to: increase after RT (1, 10, 57), and after RT interventions (12, 15, 16, 67); or 192 be higher in resistance-trained vs. untrained individuals on a cross-sectional basis (39, 47, 70). An 193 increase in O_P may facilitate an increase in the contractile material attaching to the 194 tendon/aponeurosis, independent of any change in ACSA. However, the increase Θ_P also has a 195 negative effect on force generating capacity by reducing the transmission of force between the 196 fibres and the tendon/aponeurosis (8). These contrary effects of Θ_P on the force generating capacity of the muscle are theoretically best reflected by effective PCSA (Q_{EFF}PCSA) that accounts for both the 197 198 number of sarcomeres in parallel and force transmission to the aponeurosis/tendon.

199

200 The changes in F_{L} after short-term RT remain controversial with reports of no change in F_{L} 201 (isometric RT: (6); or conventional isoinertial RT [lifting and lowering]: (14, 26, 29, 30, 80) and 202 increased F_L (isometric: (65); isoinertial: (7, 78). One study of long-term heavy RT individuals (RT 203 history: 12.4 \pm 5.4 yrs [mean \pm SD]) observed no difference in F_L compared to controls (70). The 204 controversy surrounding the architectural changes, especially F_L, after RT could in part be due to 205 heterogenous architectural changes throughout the muscle after RT (14, 59) in a similar manner, and 206 potentially linked to region specific hypertrophy. Therefore, comprehensive architectural 207 measurements throughout the muscle may clarify whether F_L changes after long-term RT.

Moment arm has been found to have a weak, but significant, association with maximal torque production (17, 77) in untrained controls (74, 79). For some muscles it has been suggested that muscle growth after RT may cause an advantageous increase in the moment arm by positioning the tendon further from the joint centre (79). Although the anatomy of the patella and patella tendon wrapping around the distal femur, mean that this may be unlikely for the Quadriceps, the contribution of any differences in moment arm to the strength in long term RT individuals compared to untrained individuals is unknown.

216

217 The aim of the present study was to determine the factors that explain the greater strength 218 and larger muscle size (volume) of long-term RT individuals (LTT) vs untrained (UT) individuals. This 219 involved a comprehensive comparison of Quadriceps morphology and mechanics, specifically: 220 measures of muscle size (QvoL, QACSAMAX, QPCSA, QEFFPCSA) and regional hypertrophy/muscle mass 221 distribution (between and along the Quadriceps muscles) with MRI, agonist muscle ST (accounting 222 for antagonist co-activation, moment arm and $Q_{EFF}PCSA$), muscle architecture (F_L and Θ_P) at 10 sites 223 throughout the Quadriceps with ultrasound imaging, and moment arm also assessed with MRI. It 224 was hypothesised that: (i) the anticipated greater strength of LTT vs UT would be due to both their greater muscle size (Q_{VOL}, QACSA_{MAX}, QPCSA, Q_{EFF}PCSA) and higher ST; (ii) the greater muscle volume 225 226 of LTT would be due to higher PCSA rather than greater F_{L} (i.e. sarcomeres in parallel not in series); 227 and (iii) there would be marked regional hypertrophy between and along constituent Quadriceps 228 muscles for LTT vs UT.

229

230

231

232 Materials and Methods

233

234 Participants and Ethical Approval

235

236 Sixty-eight young men provided written informed consent before completing this 237 study, which was approved by the Loughborough University Ethical advisory committee and was 238 conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants were 239 healthy and free from musculoskeletal injury. Physical activity levels of all participants were assessed 240 with the International Physical Activity Questionnaire [IPAQ, short format (22)]. The untrained 241 control group (UT, n=52, age 25 ± 2 years; IPAQ: 2286 ± 1312 metabolic equivalent min/wk) had no 242 lower-body RT experience for >18 months. The long-term resistrance trained group (LTT, n=16, age 243 22 ± 2 years; IPAQ: 5383 \pm 1495 metabolic equivalent min/wk) reported (via a detailed questionnaire 244 and follow-up oral discussion) systematic, progressive heavy RT of the quadriceps $\sim 3 \text{ x/wk}$ for ≥ 3 245 years (mean \pm SD, 4 \pm 1 years; range, 3-5 years), involving completion of several knee extensor 246 exercises (e.g. squat, lunge, step-up, knee extension and leg press) within an individual session, and 247 with the primary aim of developing maximum strength. The RT of this group had not been 248 experimentally supervised although some of these participants had received variable coaching 249 (technique and programming) support. Participation in weight classified or predominantly 250 endurance sports was an exclusion criteria to avoid these potential confounders of morphological 251 adaptation. Of the LTT group, resistance training was the only systematic physical activity of 50% 252 (n=8), 38% (n=6) were national level rugby union players, with the remaining 12% (n=2) competing in 253 powerlifting/body building. Use of androgenic-anabolic steroids was an exclusion criterion for all 254 participants. Many individuals in the LTT group reported regular use of nutritional supplements (e.g. 255 whey protein and creatine).

- 256
- 257
- 258

259

260 Experimental Design

261

Participants completed a familiarisation session, involving practice of all voluntary contractions performed during subsequent measurement sessions, followed by two duplicate strength measurement sessions separated by 7-10 days. The duplicate strength measurement sessions were typically averaged (for 66 out of 68 participants) to enhance the reliability of criterion measurements. Due to availability or injury occurring between sessions two participants completed only one measurement session (both in the LTT group).

268

Strength measurement sessions were performed at a consistent time of the day for each individual participant, and all sessions started between 12:00-19:00 hours. Participants were instructed not to participate in strenuous physical activity or consume alcohol for 36 hours, and refrain from caffeine consumption for 6 hours, before strength measurement sessions. These strength measurement sessions involved a series of incremental warm-up contractions followed by MVCs in order to establish maximum voluntary torque (MVT) for both the knee extensors and flexors of the dominant limb.

276

On a separate occasion, musculoskeletal imaging measurements (B-mode ultrasonography and MRI) were performed. Magnetic resonance T1-weighted axial plane images of the thigh were acquired to measure Quadriceps muscle size (Q_{VOL} and $QACSA_{MAX}$) with sagittal scans of the knee used to assess patella tendon moment arm (PTMA). Ultrasonographic images were captured at ten locations throughout the four constituent muscles of the Quadriceps (i.e. 2 or 3 locations per muscle) to comprehensively quantify F_L and Θ_P of the whole muscle group.

- 283
- 284

285 Torque and Electromyographic Measurements

286

Participants were positioned in an isometric dynamometer with knee and hip angles of 115° and 125° (180° = full extension), respectively. Adjustable straps were tightly fastened across the pelvis and shoulders to prevent extraneous movement. An ankle strap (35 mm width reinforced canvas webbing) was placed ~15% of tibial length (distance from lateral malleolus to knee joint space) above the medial malleolus and positioned perpendicular to the tibia and in series with a calibrated S-Beam strain gauge (Force Logic UK, Berkshire, UK). The analogue force signal was amplified (x370; A50 amplifier, Force Logic UK, Berkshire, UK) and sampled at 2,000 Hz using an A/D converter (Micro 1401; CED, Cambridge, UK) and recorded with Spike 2 computer software (CED). In offline analysis, force signals were low-pass filtered at 500 Hz using a fourth order zero-lag Butterworth filter (54), gravity corrected by subtracting baseline force, and multiplied by lever length, the distance from the knee joint space to the centre of the ankle strap, to calculate torque.

300

301 Surface electromyography (EMG) of the hamstring muscles (Biceps Femoris Long Head and 302 Semitendinosus) was recorded using a wireless EMG system (Trigno; Delsys Inc., Boston, MA). Skin 303 preparation (shaving, abrading, and cleansing with 70% ethanol) was conducted before single 304 differential Trigno Standard EMG sensors (Delsys Inc., Boston, MA; fixed 1-cm interelectrode 305 distance) were placed on the Biceps femoris long head and Semitendinosus at 45% of thigh length 306 above the popliteal fossa. Sensors were placed parallel to the presumed orientation of the 307 underlying fibres. EMG signals were amplified at source (x300; 20 to 450-Hz bandwidth) before 308 further amplification (overall effective gain, x909), and sampled at 2,000 Hz via the same A/D 309 converter and computer software as the force signal, to enable data synchronization. In offline 310 analysis, EMG signals were corrected for the 48-ms delay inherent to the Trigno EMG system.

311

312 Knee Extension and Flexion Maximum Voluntary Contractions

313

Following a brief warm-up (3 s contractions at 50% [x3], 75% [x3] and 90% [x1] of perceived maximum), participants performed 3-4 MVCs of the knee extensors for 3-4 s duration interspersed with \geq 30 s rest and were instructed to 'push as hard as possible'. A horizontal cursor indicating the greatest torque obtained within the session was displayed for biofeedback and verbal encouragement was provided during all MVCs. The highest instantaneous torque recorded during any MVC was defined as knee extension MVT. Tendon force was calculated as MVT divided by moment arm.

321

Using the same set up and warm-up protocol as for the knee extensors participants performed 3-4 knee flexion MVCs and were instructed to "pull as hard as possible" for 3-4 s and rest for \geq 30 s between efforts. A torque-time curve with a horizontal cursor indicating the greatest torque obtained within that session was displayed for biofeedback and verbal encouragement was

provided during all MVCs. Knee flexion MVT was the greatest instantaneous torque achieved duringany MVC during that measurement session.

328

329 Hamstrings EMG amplitude during knee flexor MVCs was calculated as the root mean square 330 (RMS) of the filtered EMG signal of the Biceps Femoris Long Head and Semitendinosus over a 500ms 331 epoch at knee flexion MVT (250ms either side) and averaged across the two muscles to give 332 HEMG_{MAX}. Biceps Femoris Long Head and Semitendinosus (antagonist) EMG amplitude during a 500 333 ms window surrounding knee extension MVT (250 ms either side) was normalized to HEMG_{MAX} from 334 the corresponding EMG sensor. Normalized antagonist EMG amplitude was multiplied by the knee 335 flexor MVT to estimate antagonist knee flexor torque during the knee extension MVCs (assuming a 336 linear relationship between EMG amplitude and torque).

337

338 MRI measurements of Quadriceps muscle size and patella tendon moment arm

339

340 Participants reported to the MRI scanner (1.5 T Signa HDxt, GE) having not engaged in 341 strenuous activity in the prior 36 hours and were instructed to arrive in a relaxed state having eaten 342 and drunk normally and sat quietly for 15 min prior to their MRI scans. T1-weighted MR images of 343 the dominant leg (thigh and knee) were acquired in the supine position at a knee angle of 163° (180° 344 = full extension; due to constraints in knee coil size) and analysed using OsiriX software (Version 6.0, 345 Pixmeo, Geneva, Switzerland). Using a receiver 8-channel whole body coil, axial images (image 346 matrix 512 x 512, field of view 260 x 260 mm, pixel size 0.508 x 0.508mm, slice thickness 5 mm, 347 inter-slice gap 0 mm) were acquired from the anterior superior iliac spine to the knee joint space in 348 two overlapping blocks. Oil filled capsules placed on the lateral side of the thigh allowed alignment 349 of the blocks during analysis.

350

351 The Quadriceps muscles (Vastus Lateralis (VL), Vastus Intermedius (VI) Vastus Medialis 352 (VM), and Rectus Femoris (RF)) were manually outlined to determine ACSA in every third image (i.e. 353 every 15 mm; Figure 1A) starting from the most proximal image in which each muscle appeared. This 354 equated to the following number of slices being analysed per muscle (VM, 23-26; VI, 24-27; VL, 24-355 27; and RF, 23-26 slices). The volume of each muscle was calculated using cubic spline interpolation 356 of the measured ACSA values/slices (1000 interpolated points/ACSA values per muscle; GraphPad 357 Prism 6; GraphPad Software) and expressed relative to % Femur Length. Femur Length was defined 358 by the number of slices between the proximal greater trochanter and the knee joint space,

359 multiplied by the slice thickness. For muscle mass distribution, interpolated ACSA for each individual 360 muscle at 5% intervals of femur length were used and expressed relative to ACSA_{MAX}. Total 361 Quadriceps volume (Qvol) was the sum of the individual muscle volumes. QACSAMAX was calculated 362 by the summation of the maximal ACSA from each individual muscle. Previous data from our group 363 has demonstrated a mean within-participant coefficient of variation for repeat Quadriceps muscle 364 volume measurements using the same protocol 12 weeks apart with a control group to be 1.7% (11). 365 Inter- and intra-rater reliability for Q_{VOL} calculated from the repeated analysis of five MRI scans was 366 1.2 and 0.4%, respectively.

367 Sagittal plane images of the knee joint were acquired from the lateral to medial condyles of the

368 femur using an 8-channel knee coil (image matrix 384 x 224, field of view 512 x 512 mm, slice

thickness 2 mm, inter-slice gap 0 mm) in order to determine patella tendon moment arm (PTMA),

defined as the perpendicular distance from the patellar tendon line of action to the tibio-femoral

371 contact point (TFCP, the midpoint of the contact between the tibial and femoral condyles; Figure

1B). For maximal voluntary specific tension measurements PTMA length for the MVT specific knee

angle was estimated from previously published data fitted with a quadratic function (48) scaled to

each participant's measured moment arm length at 163° as previous (56).

375

376 Muscle Architecture and calculation of PCSA/ Q_{EFF}PCSA

377

378 Architecture of all four Quadriceps constituent muscles (VM, VL, VI, and RF) was examined in 379 using B-mode ultrasonography (EUB-8500, Hitachi Medical Systems UK Ltd, detail 380 Northamptonshire, UK) and a 92mm, 5-10 MHz linear-array transducer (EUP-L53L). The participant 381 sat in the same isometric dynamometer used for strength measurements whilst images were 382 captured at rest at 2-3 sites per constituent muscle, for a total of 10 Quadriceps architecture 383 measurements sites. Specific sites were over the mid muscle belly (median longitudinal line, i.e. 50% 384 of superficial medio-lateral width) at the following percentages of thigh length proximal to the knee 385 joint space: VM 20% (VM_{DIS}) and 40% (VM_{PRX}), VL and VI at 30% (VL_{DIS}, VI_{DIS}), 50 (VL_{MID}, VI_{MID}) and 70% 386 (VL_{PRX}, VI_{PRX}), RF 55% (RF_{MID}) and 75% (RF_{PRX}). The transducer (coated with water soluble transmission 387 gel) was positioned parallel to the long axis of the thigh (femur), and perpendicular to the skin such 388 that an image with the aponeuroses and the perimysium trajectory of several fascicles was clearly 389 identifiable with no visible fascicle distortion at the edge of the image, and with minimal pressure 390 applied on the dermal surface. Video output from the ultrasound machine was transferred to a 391 computer (via an S-video to USB converter) and images recorded using ez-cap video capture

software. Images were later imported into public domain software (Image J, v1.48, National
 Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA) for analysis.

394

395 Θ_P was measured as the angle of insertion of the muscle fascicles into the deep aponeurosis, 396 taken as a mean of 3 individual fascicles per ultrasound site. Muscle fascicle length was used as an 397 index of fibre length and sarcomeres in series, and was measured as the length of the fascicular path 398 between the insertions into the superficial and deep aponeurosis, where the fascicular path 399 extended beyond the acquired image the missing portion of the fascicle was estimated by 400 extrapolating linearly the fascicular path and the aponeurosis (48). Due to the long 92 mm 401 ultrasound probe the extrapolation typically consisted of $\leq 10\%$ of F_L. Θ_P and F_L were averaged over 402 each individual muscle, before calculating an overall Quadriceps mean averaged over the four 403 constituents (Q Θ_P and QF_L).

404

405 PCSA (PCSA) was calculated per constituent muscle as individual Muscle Volume divided by 406 F_L (mean of sites for that constituent), then summed to give Quadriceps Physiological Cross-Sectional 407 Area (QPCSA). Theoretically PCSA is the best index of contractile material (sarcomeres and cross-408 bridges) arranged in parallel. In order to correct for force transmission to the tendon _{EFF}PCSA was 409 calculated as this theoretically the best index of muscular force/torque production. Specifically, 410 individual muscle _{EFF}PCSA was calculated by multiplying PCSA by Cosine of mean Θ_P (28), before 411 summing the four constituent muscles to give Quadriceps Effective PCSA (Q_{EFF}PCSA).

412

413 Calculation of ST

414

415 ST was determined first by the calculation of maximal tendon force, this was done by 416 correcting knee extension MVT for antagonist torque (normalized HEMG at knee extensor MVT as a 417 proportion of HEMG_{MAX} [i.e. at KF MVT]) to provide torque from the knee extensors only (66). This 418 knee extensor muscle torque was divided by corrected PTMA (see above) and the subsequent 419 muscle force divided by Q_{EFF} PCSA to calculate ST.

420

503 Statistical Analysis

504

505 Muscle strength measured during the duplicate laboratory sessions was averaged to 506 produce criterion values for statistical analysis. An a priori significance level of P<0.05 was set for all 507 statistical tests which were performed using SPSS Version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Descriptive 508 data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and percentage differences between groups 509 calculated from group means. The influence of group (UT, LTT) on all muscle architecture and muscle 510 size variables was examined by independent t-tests. To examine if the architectural differences 511 between the groups varied with constituent muscle a 4 x 2 ANOVA (constituent muscle [VL, VM, VI, 512 RF] x group [LLT, UT]) was performed, and if interaction effects were found then post-hoc analysis 513 (pairwise ANOVA contrasting only two muscles) was also performed. Effect Size (ES) for absolute 514 difference data was calculated as previously detailed for between-subject study designs (50) and 515 classified as follows: <0.20 = "trivial," 0.20-0.49= "small," 0.50-0.79 = "moderate," or ≥ 0.80= 516 "large." P values were corrected for multiple tests using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure (13) 517 with a false detection rate of 5%, and significance was defined as adjusted P<0.05. For the whole 518 cohort (i.e. data pooled from both LTT and UT groups, n=68) the relationships between 519 musculoskeletal variables and MVT were first assessed with independent Pearson's product moment 520 correlations, and then stepwise multiple regression analysis was performed, with only the significant 521 predictors entered into the model. 522

523 Results

524 Participant Characteristics and Strength

525

526 LTT were taller and heavier than UT (183 ± 6 vs 176 ± 2 cm; 91 ± 10 vs 73 ± 10 kg; both 527 P<0.001). MVT was 60% greater in LTT than UT (388 ± 70 vs 245 ± 43 Nm; P<0.001, ES= 2.5).

528

529 Total Quadriceps and constituent muscle size, and muscle mass distribution between and along the 530 Quadriceps muscles

531

532 Q_{VOL} was 56% greater in LTT than UT (P<0.001; ES=3.7), QACSA_{MAX} was 50% greater (P<0.001, 533 ES=3.3) and Q_{EFF} PCSA 41% greater in LTT compared to UT (P<0.001, ES= 4.1). LTT had greater volume 534 of all the individual constituent muscles of the Quadriceps (54-58%, P<0.001, ES=2.3-3.7; Table 1). 535 Likewise, LTT had greater ACSA_{MAX}, PCSA and _{EFF}PCSA of all the individual constituent muscles of the 536 Quadriceps (ACSA_{MAX}, 46-52%, all P<0.001, ES=1.9 to 2.9; PCSA, +39-45%, all P<0.001, ES=1.9-2.6; 537 EFFPCSA, +38-44%, all P<0.001, ES=2.2 to 2.7) than UT. However, the proportional volume, and 538 ACSA_{MAX}, of the individual constituent muscles (to total Quadriceps muscle volume and QACSA_{MAX}, 539 respectively) were similar for LTT and UT (P=0.56-0.94; Volume data shown in Table 1) and the 540 percentage of femur length where ACSA_{MAX} of each constituent muscle occurred was also similar for 541 both groups (VM: 28% vs 29%; VI: 58% vs. 58%; VL: 57% vs. 56% and RF: 68% vs 68% Femur Length for UT and LTT respectively; P=0.26-0.80; Figure 3). To further assess regional hypertrophy, the relative distribution of muscle mass along the thigh was examined by plotting relative ACSA (%ACSA_{MAX}) against femur length for each constituent muscle (Figure 3). No differences in relative ACSA were observed between UT and LTT at any position along the femur for any of the constituent muscles (adjusted P>0.21).

547

548 Muscle Architecture

549

550 QF_L , based on the mean of 10 sites, was 11% greater in LTT than UT (P<0.001, ES= 1.2; Table 551 2), and mean F_{l} of each individual muscle was longer (VM: +12%, ES=0.7; VL: +13%, ES=1.0; and RF: 552 +12%, ES=0.8; all P<0.05) or showed a tendency to be longer (VI: +7%; P=0.06, ES=0.8) for LTT than 553 UT. The outcome of the ANOVA revealed a constituent muscle (VL, VM, VI, RF) x group (LTT, UT) 554 interaction effect (i.e. bigger differences between groups for some muscles than others; P=0.03), 555 and post-hoc analysis showed larger differences between UT and LTT in the VM, VL and RF 556 compared to VI (pairwise ANOVA with only two muscles; group x muscle interaction; All P≤0.008). Considering the specific measurement sites, 6 out of 10 sites showed greater F_L of LTT vs UT (VM_{PRX}, 557 558 VI_{PRX}, VI_{MID}, RF_{MID}, VL_{DIS} and VL_{PRX} sites; all P<0.001), with a tendency to be longer for RF_{PRX} (P=0.06) 559 and no differences at the remaining 3 measurement sites (all P>0.15; Figure 4A).

560

561 $Q\Theta_P$ was 13% greater in LTT than UT (P<0.001, ES=0.7; Table 2), and reflected a greater 562 mean Θ_P in the VL (15%, P=0.02, ES=0.8) and RF (15.5%, P=0.01, ES=0.9) but not the VM (9%, P=0.21, 563 ES=0.4) or VI (13%, P=0.07, ES=0.7). There were no group x constituent muscle interactions 564 (P=0.826). LTT had greater Θ_P than UT at 3 out of 10 sites (VM_{PRX}, VI_{PRX}, VL_{DIS}; P<0.05), with a 565 tendency to be greater observed at four further sites (VL_{PRX}, VL_{MID} and both RF sites; adjusted 0.05≤" 566 P≤"0.07).

- 567
- 568

569 Patella Tendon Moment Arm (PTMA) and Maximum Voluntary Specific Tension (ST)

570

571 LTT had a 4% greater PTMA than UT (4.17 \pm 0.28 cm vs 4.33 \pm 0.24 cm; P=0.03; ES=0.6: 572 Figure 5A). However, when normalized to participant's height, there was no difference in PTMA 573 between groups (PTMA/Height ratio: UT, 0.0237 \pm 0.0017 vs. LTT, 0.0236 \pm 0.0009; *P=0.92; ES=0.2*). 574 Tendon force was 54% greater in LTT than UT (5576 \pm 905 N vs 8564 \pm 1410 N; P<0.001, ES= 2.6). 575 There was 8% greater ST of the Quadriceps in LTT than UT (33.3 \pm 4.5 N.cm² vs 36.1 \pm 5.3 N.cm²; 576 P=0.04, ES=0.6; Figure 2) when accounting for antagonist co-activation, corrected PTMA and 577 Q_{EFF} PCSA.

578

579 Factors that explain the greater strength and muscle mass (volume) of Long-term RT individuals.

580

581 The difference in strength between LTT and UT (+60%) in comparison to the differences 582 between the groups in a range of underpinning musculoskeletal variables, specifically those 583 variables that were each significantly greater in LTT than UT, are shown in Figure 5. Of the 584 musculoskeletal variables, the largest differences were in the muscle size indices (Q_{VOL} +56%; 585 QACSA_{MAX} +50%) which therefore provide the primary explanation for the greater strength of LTT. 586 This greater muscle size of LTT in combination with a more modest difference in $Q\Theta_{P}$ (+12%) resulted 587 in a difference in Q_{EFF}PCSA (+40%), which alongside other smaller contributions from ST (+8%) and 588 moment arm (+4%) appears to explain the strength difference. The greater muscle volume of LTT vs 589 UT (Q_{VOL} +56%) appeared to be primarily due to increased QPCSA (+41%) with a much smaller 590 contribution of QF_{L} (+11%; Figure 5). Bivariate correlations for the whole cohort (i.e. both groups, 591 n=68) were found between all musculoskeletal variables and MVT (Q_{VOL} r= 0.90 (Figure 6); QACSA_{MAX} 592 r= 0.87; Q_{FFF}PCSA r=0.87; QØ_P r= 0.47; QF_L r= 0.61; ST r= 0.56; PTMA r=0.41; all P<0.01). Stepwise 593 multiple regression analysis revealed that the only variable to contribute to the explained variance in 594 MVT was Q_{VOL} (R²=0.81; P<0.001).

595

596

597 Discussion

598

599 The aim of the present study was to determine the musculoskeletal factors that explain the 600 greater strength and larger muscle size (volume) of long-term RT individual's vs untrained 601 individuals. Previous RT studies have typically been short-duration interventions or examined a 602 limited range of musculoskeletal factors, and thus our knowledge of the adaptations to prolonged RT 603 have been limited. In accordance with our first hypothesis the greater muscle strength of LTT (+60%) 604 was accompanied by both a greater quantity of skeletal muscle and higher ST. However, the 605 differences between LTT vs UT for the indices of muscle size (e.g. ranging from volume +56% to 606 Q_{EFF} PCSA 41%) were substantially larger than was the case for ST (+8%), or in fact PTMA (+4%), and 607 thus muscle size was the primary explanation for the greater strength of LTT. For the second 608 hypothesis the greater Q_{VOL} (+56%) of LTT was due primarily to enhanced QPCSA (41%), indicating 609 more sarcomeres in parallel, although we also found convincing evidence for greater QF_1 (+11%),

610 indicating a modest difference in sarcomeres in series. Finally, despite the large differences in Q_{VOL} , 611 and contrary to our third hypothesis, we found no evidence for regional hypertrophy / muscle mass 612 distribution between or along the constituent Quadriceps muscles.

613

614 The difference in MVT of LTT vs UT in the current study was substantial (+60%), but 615 somewhat lower than observed in one previous study (+77%: (70)). The greater MVT of LTT was 616 accompanied by both a greater quantity of skeletal muscle and higher specific tension, although it 617 was clear from the magnitude of the differences that the indices of muscle size (e.g. volume +56%, 618 Q_{EFF} PCSA +41%) were substantially larger than was the case for ST (+8%), or in fact PTMA (+4%), and 619 thus muscle size was the primary explanation for the greater strength of LTT. The importance of 620 muscle volume for strength was reinforced by our regression analysis of the whole cohort that found 621 muscle volume was the only determinant of MVT, alone explaining 81% of the variance in strength. 622 Several other studies have found substantially greater muscle size of long-term resistance-trained 623 participants (70% to 86% (9,37,46,49), but none have previously examined maximum voluntary 624 specific tension to investigate the contribution of force per unit area to the enhanced strength of 625 LTT.

626

627 We found modest differences in specific tension (+8%), even after the average 4 years of 628 regular, heavy RT of LTT. Whilst no previous studies have examined the specific tension of LTT 629 individuals, after short-term (9 weeks) RT maximum voluntary specific tension has been reported to 630 increase by 20% (30), which is clearly somewhat contrary to the more modest 8% difference we have 631 found for LTT vs UT. However, it is notable that Erskine et al., (30) reported average isometric 632 strength gains ~2-fold greater than we have found (31% vs 11.5-18.2%, (31, 32)) with almost 633 identical training regimes and the same number of training sessions, and this discrepancy likely 634 explains the large increase in specific tension they have reported. Nonetheless, numerous short-635 term RT studies have shown greater increases in strength/force than cross-sectional area, indicating 636 an increase in the specific tension (23, 27, 29, 42, 45, 61, 69, 83). Increased specific tension could be 637 attributable to changes in neuromuscular activation (e.g. increased agonist activation (10, 60)) or an 638 increase in the intrinsic contractile specific tension, perhaps due to a shift in muscle fibre phenotype 639 (20) or alterations in muscle architecture (24). Moreover, the modest difference we have found in 640 specific tension after LTT suggests that increases in specific tension that occur with RT may be 641 relatively limited, and thus the underpinning mechanisms for increased maximum voluntary specific 642 tension (i.e. increased agonist neuromuscular activation or intrinsic contractile specific tension) are 643 also relatively small.

645 The larger volume of muscle of LTT was primarily due to their greater PCSA (+41%; i.e. sarcomeres in parallel) rather than QF_{l} (+11%; i.e. sarcomeres in series). To our knowledge this is the 646 647 first report to quantify the contribution of these different aspects of muscle morphology to the 648 enhanced muscle mass of substantially hypertrophied human muscle, and it is clear that muscle 649 growth primarily occurs due to an increase in the contractile material arranged in parallel with a 650 smaller contribution from increased sarcomeres in series. To provide a comprehensive assessment 651 of Quadriceps muscle architecture we measured Θ_P and F_L at 10 sites within the Quadriceps, which 652 revealed LTT to have a greater Q O_P (+13%) and QF_L (11%) than UT. A greater Q O_P facilitates the 653 attachment of more contractile material, and thus the application of more force, to the 654 tendon/aponeurosis (i.e. as reflected by PCSA; (40, 45, 47, 61)), independently from any increase in muscle ACSA or volume, although force transmission to the tendon is increasingly compromised 655 656 (according to the cosine of Θ_P). Overall a greater $Q\Theta_P$ is thought to be beneficial for isometric force 657 production up to an optimum angle of 45° (8). Resistance-trained individuals/bodybuilders have previously been found to have much higher Θ_P in both the triceps brachii (33° vs 15°; +120%; (47), 658 mid-point Vastus Lateralis (20.4° vs 15.5°; +31%; (39)) and Medial Gastrocnemius (24.6° vs 18.4°; 659 660 +34%: (39)), which are clearly a larger difference than we found in the present study ($Q\Theta_{P}$: +11%). 661 This contrast may indicate an anatomical specificity to muscle architectural changes after RT or site-662 specific differences. Furthermore, the findings of the present study are surprisingly similar to the 663 increases in Θ_{P} observed following short-term lower body RT (2, 10, 26, 35); perhaps suggesting that 664 changes in lower body Θ_P may not continue to adapt with prolonged RT and could predominantly 665 occur in the early phase of a training program (i.e. first 3 months).

666

667 The possibility of F_L increases after RT, largely based on short-term RT studies, has been 668 controversial (7, 16, 26, 29, 30, 64, 78, 82). Using architecture measurements at 10 sites throughout 669 the Quadriceps we found the LTT group to have an 11% greater QF_L compared to UT. One previous 670 study of LTT vs UT reported no differences between their groups (39), however they assessed F_L at 671 only one site, equivalent to the VL_{MID} site of our experiment, where we also observed no differences 672 between LTT and UT (Figure 4A). In contrast, we found a clear difference for 3 out of 4 of the 673 individual muscles (VM, VL, and RF) a tendency for a difference in the fourth (VI), and over the whole 674 muscle group QF_{L} showed a highly significant difference with a large effect size (+11%, P<0.01 ES 675 1.2). We also found quantitative evidence for a training group (LTT vs UT) by constituent muscle 676 interaction for F_L, demonstrating inhomogeneous adaptations to LTT. Thus, it seems likely that the 677 regional variability in F_L changes, the error associated with a single measurement site, the 678 differences in the mode of resistance training used and the short duration of previous reports 679 contribute to the equivocal findings in the literature (34). The current study using a comprehensive 680 assessment at 10 sites throughout the Quadriceps muscle group indicates that QF₁ does increase 681 with prolonged RT. Interestingly, based on geometric modelling it has recently been argued that 682 relatively modest changes in F₁ can have disproportionately large effects on ACSA and muscle 683 volume (46). In essence, longer (extended) fascicles due to the addition of sarcomeres in parallel 684 appears to result in a disproportionately larger increases of sarcomeres in parallel and therefore 685 could be a key explanation for the differences in muscle size (ACSA, PCSA and volume) we have 686 observed.

687 Whilst Θ_P did not show such strong evidence for inhomogeneous adaptations to LTT (no 688 training group x muscle interaction effect) there were a range of differences when comparing the 689 four constituent muscles (Θ_P 8-15%; F_L 6-13%). Therefore, this study further highlights the need for 690 multiple sites to comprehensively quantify architectural differences or changes after training as 691 single sites may be difficult to replicate (36) and as seen in the present study and others, a single site 692 measurement similar to VL_{MID} is not reflective of overall architecture differences across the 693 Quadriceps muscle group following RT (26, 35).

694

695 Despite the 56% greater muscle volume of LTT vs UT we found no evidence for regional 696 hypertrophy either between the constituent Quadriceps muscles or along their length. Previous 697 short-term RT studies, documenting relatively limited hypertrophy, have however, repeatedly 698 reported non-uniform regional hypertrophy, both between and along the individual Quadriceps 699 muscles, although curiously the pattern of regional hypertrophy has been surprisingly diverse (i.e. 700 which muscles and locations had the greatest hypertrophy (26, 35, 76, 37, 43, 44, 57, 58, 61, 69, 701 75)). In the current study, we scanned the entire length of the thigh to accurately identify the ends 702 of the bone and subsequently define the precise position of each of a large number of axial images 703 (slices per muscle: VM, 23-26; VI, 24-27; VL, 24-27; RF, 23-26) relative to those absolute landmarks in 704 order to carefully quantify regional differences in muscle size. In addition, we recently found a mean 705 within-participant coefficient of variation for repeat Quadriceps muscle volume measurements using 706 the same protocol 12 weeks apart with a control group to be 1.7%, indicating the reliability of our 707 measurements (11). In contrast, previous studies typically used a small number of slices and 708 positioned slices based on relatively imprecise surface anatomical measurements. Therefore, 709 previous reports of regional hypertrophy may have been confounded by the inconsistent location of 710 the images. Alternatively, as the LTT individuals in the current study had been doing a range of 711 different training practices it is conceivable that this may have resulted in diverse individual hypertrophic responses that cumulatively cancelled out and led to no overall regional hypertrophy. However, inspection of the variability (between participant standard deviation) indicates that the proportional size of the individual Quadriceps' muscles (Table 2) and distribution of muscle mass along the femur (Figure 3) were no more variable for LTT than UNT groups. In summary, given the careful methods and large difference in muscle volume in the current study without any evidence for regional hypertrophy it seems likely that this phenomenon may have been overestimated by previous studies.

719 In addition to morphological changes in the muscle, joint mechanical properties such as 720 PTMA may make a small contribution to maximal torque production (17, 77). In the present study, 721 PTMA was 5% greater in LTT compared to UT. In other muscle groups it has been suggested that 722 muscle hypertrophy may result in biomechanically advantageous increases in leverage of muscular 723 force application (5, 73, 74, 79). However, for the Quadriceps the anatomy of the patella and patella 724 tendon wrapping around the distal femur, mean that this is unlikely to be the case. In addition, when 725 PTMA was normalized to height there was no difference between the groups indicating that the 4% 726 greater height of LTT group was in large part responsible for their greater PTMA.

727

728 There are a number of limitations within the current study that should be recognized. Whilst 729 the current cross-sectional study design provided a pragmatic approach to examining the substantial 730 adaptations that occur after LTT. However, due to the cross-sectional nature of the current study 731 and the extensive, retrospective RT background (mean 4 years RT) of these participants we have 732 relatively limited information regarding their exact training (e.g. precise loads, types of contractions, 733 periodization). Nonetheless these participants all had the primary goal of increasing maximum 734 strength, were demonstrably stronger than controls (+60%) and we excluded participants involved in 735 activities (e.g. weight category and endurance sports) that might compromise morphological 736 A repeated measurement design on the same participants before, potentially adaptations to RT. 737 during, and after a prolonged period of RT is clearly a stronger design. Although this approach would 738 be practically challenging, there are very few supervised RT studies of ≥ 6 months duration, it would 739 facilitate an in-depth examination of the time course of adaptations to prolonged RT and could be 740 informative for a number of the measures investigated in the current experiment (e.g. specific 741 tension, architecture, regional hypertrophy). The acquisition of clear T1 MR images along the whole 742 thigh (~25 minutes) is not compatible with measurements during contraction, and in our experience, 743 it is also challenging to record clear ultrasound images of all the constituent muscles during MVCs 744 (55). Thus, the imaging measurements of muscle size, architecture, and moment arm within the 745 current experiment were made at rest in order to facilitate precise measurements. In addition, due 746 to the constraints of the bore within the MRI scanner, muscle size and moment arm measurements 747 were also taken at a different knee joint angle to the strength measurements. These discrepancies 748 could potentially confound the comparison of strength and morphological variables. For example, 749 Quadriceps femoris CSAs and architecture are known to change substantially between rest and 750 maximum contraction (55). Whilst we have recently found LTT to have a stiffer patella tendon 751 compared to UT, the greater strength of this group appears to produce similar muscle shortening, 752 and thus presumably architectural changes, at MVC (56). Therefore, we are not aware of any 753 systematic effects that might interact with these potential confounders and influence the 754 comparison of LTT and UT groups within the current study.

755 Finally, the use of B-mode ultrasound presents a number of methodological issues when 756 quantifying muscle architecture in vivo (For a review see (36)). In the present study by using a 757 relatively long probe (92 mm vs commonly used 40-60 mm) we were able to minimize the need for 758 extrapolation of fascicle trajectory beyond the recorded image (typically <10% of the measured F_1 759 was extrapolated). Architecture measurements were also performed in the knee isometric dynamometer with a knee angle of 115⁰ (i.e. the same knee joint angle as the strength 760 761 measurements), and this longer muscle length relative to rest explains why FL was longer in the 762 present study than in some previous reports (35, 71). However, we are conscious that ultrasound 763 images are a 2-D representation of a complex 3-D structure and recommend that future work utilize 764 more sophisticated 3-D techniques (e.g. diffusion tensor MRI).

765

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that the larger Quadriceps strength of LTT individuals was primarily due to greater muscle size with smaller differences in specific tension and moment arm, and thus muscle size was the primary explanation for the greater strength of LTT. The greater muscle volume (+56%) of LTT was due primarily to enhanced PCSA (41%), indicating more sarcomeres in parallel, although we also found convincing evidence for greater QF_L (+11%), indicating a modest difference in sarcomeres in series. Finally, there was no evidence for regional hypertrophy either between or along the Quadriceps muscles after long-term RT.

- 773
- 774
- 775
- 776
- 777

778 Acknowledgments

779									
780		The authors would like to thank all participants for their time and efforts in completing the							
781	study.	study. In addition, the authors extend thanks to the technical assistance from Mr Matthew Casey							
782	and M	Ir Barnaby Davies during data collection and Miss Karen Voigt and the team at Alliance Medical							
783	for the	eir MRI expertise.							
784									
785									
786	Refere	ences							
787									
788	1.	Aagaard P, Andersen JL, Dyhre-Poulsen P, Leffers a M, Wagner A, Magnusson SP, Halkjaer-							
789		Kristensen J, Simonsen EB. A mechanism for increased contractile strength of human							
790		pennate muscle in response to strength training: changes in muscle architecture. J Physiol							
791		534: 613–23, 2001.							
792	2.	Aagaard P, Anderson J, Dyhre-Poulsen P, Leffers A, Wagner A, Magnusson SP, Halkjaer-							
793		Kristensen J, Simonsen E. A mechanism for increased contractile strength of human pennate							
794		muscle in response to strength training: changes in muscle architecture. J Physiol 15: 613–23,							
795		2001.							
796	3.	ACSM. Progression Models in Resistance Training for Healthy Adults. Med Sci Sport Exerc 41:							
797		687–708, 2009.							
798	4.	Ahtiainen J, Pakarinen A, Alen M, Kraemer W, Hakkinen K. Muscle hypertrophy, hormonal							
799		adaptations and strength development during strength training in strength-trained and							
800		untrained men. Eur J Appl Physiol 89: 555–63, 2003.							
801	5.	Akagi R, Iwanuma S, Hashizume S, Kanehisa H, Yanai T, Kawakami Y. In vivo measurements							
802		of moment arm lengths of three elbow flexors at rest and during isometric contractions. J							
803		Appl Biomech 28: 63–60, 2012.							
804	6.	Alegre L, Ferri-Morales A, Rodriguez-Casares R, Aguado X. Effects of isometric training on							
805		the knee extensor moment-angle relationship and vastus lateralis muscle architecture. Eur J							
806		Appl Physiol 114: 2437–46, 2014.							
807	7.	Alegre L, Jimenez F, Gonzalo-Orden J, Martin-Acero R, Aguado X. Effects of dynamic							
808		resistance training on fascicle length and isometric strength. <i>J Sport Sci</i> 24: 501–8, 2006.							
809	8.	Alexander R, Vernon A. The dimensions of knee and ankle muscles and the forces they exert.							
810		J Hum Mov Stud 1: 115–123, 1975.							
811	9.	Alway SE, Stray-Gundersen J, Grumbt WH, Gonyea WJ. Muscle cross-sectional area and							
812		torque in resistance-trained subjects. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. Occup. Physiol. (1990). doi:							

Downloaded from www.physiology.org/journal/jappl at Loughborough Univ (131.231.070.217) on January 8, 2020.

813		10.1007/BF00846026.
814	10.	Balshaw TG, Massey GJ, Maden-Wilkinson TM, Morales-Artacho AJ, McKeown A, Appleby
815		CL, Folland JP. Changes in agonist neural drive, hypertrophy and pre-training strength all
816		contribute to the individual strength gains after resistance training. Eur J Appl Physiol 117,
817		2017.
818	11.	Balshaw TG, Massey GJ, Maden-Wilkinson TM, Tillin NA, Folland JP. Training-specific
819		functional, neural, and hypertrophic adaptations to explosive-vs. sustained-contraction
820		strength training. J Appl Physiol 120, 2016.
821	12.	Baroni B, Geremia J, Rodrigues R, De Azevedo Franke R, Karamanidis K, Vas M. Muscle
822		architecture adaptations to knee extensor eccentric training: rectus femoris vs. vastus
823		lateralis. Muscle Nerve 48: 498–506, 2013.
824	13.	Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful
825		approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc B 57: 289–300, 1995.
826	14.	Blazevich A, Cannavan D, Coleman D, Horne S. Influence of concentric and eccentric
827		resistance training on architectural adaptation in human quadriceps muscles. J Appl Physiol
828		103: 1565–75, 2007.
829	15.	Blazevich A, Gill N, Bronks R, Newton R. Training-specific muscle architecture adaptation
830		after 5-wk training in athletes. Med Sci Sport Exerc 35: 2013–22, 2003.
831	16.	Blazevich A, Gill N, Deans N, Zhou S. Lack of human muscle architectural adaptation after
832		short-term strength training. Muscle Nerve 35: 78–86, 2007.
833	17.	Blazevich AJ, Coleman DR, Horne S, Cannavan D. Anatomical predictors of maximum
834		isometric and concentric knee extensor moment. Eur J Appl Physiol 105: 869–78, 2009.
835	18.	Brandon L, Gaasch D, Boyette L, Lloyd A. Effects of long-term resistive training on mobility
836		and strength in older adults with diabetes. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 58: 740–745, 2003.
837	19.	Burns JM, Johnson DK, Watts A, Swerdlow RH, Brooks WM. Reduced lean mass in early
838		Alzheimer disease and its association with brain atrophy. Arch Neurol 67: 428–33, 2010.
839	20.	Campos G, Luecke T, Wendeln H, Toma K, Hagerman F, Murray T, Ragg K, Ratamess N,
840		Kraemer W, Staron R. Muscular adaptations in response to three different resistance-training
841		regimens: specificity of repetition maximum training zones. Eur J Appl Physiol 88: 50–60,
842		2002.
843	21.	Comfort P, Haigh A, Matthews M. Are Changes in Maximal Squat Strength During Preseason
844		Training Reflected in Changes in Sprint Performance in Rugby League Players? J Strength
845		Cond Res 26: 772–776, 2012.
846	22.	Craig C, Marshall A, Sjöström M, Bauman A, Booth M, Ainsworth B, Pratt M, Ekelund U,

847		Yngve A, Sallis J, Oja P. International Physical Activity Questionnaire: 12-Country Reliability
848		and Validity. Med Sci Sport Exerc 35: 1381–1395, 2003.
849	23.	Davies J, Parker D, Rutherford O, Jones D. Changes in strength and cross sectional area of the
850		elbow flexors as a result of isometric strength training. Eur J Appl Physiol Occu Physiol 57:
851		667–70, 1988.
852	24.	Degens H, Erskine RM, Morse CI. Disproportionate changes in skeletal muscle strength and
853		size with resistance training and ageing. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 9: 123–9, 2009.
854	25.	Earp JE, Newton RU, Cormie P, Blazevich AJ. Inhomogeneous quadriceps femoris
855		hypertrophy in response to strength and power training. Med Sci Sports Exerc 47: 2389–2397,
856		2015.
857	26.	Ema R, Wakahara T, Miyamoto N, Kanehisa H, Kawakami Y. Inhomogeneous architectural
858		changes of the quadriceps femoris induced by resistance training. Eur J Appl Physiol 113:
859		2691–2703, 2013.
860	27.	Erskine RM, Degens H, Jones DA. Factors contributing to an increase in quadriceps specific
861		tension following resistance training in young men. Proc Physiol Soc 11: C89, 2008.
862	28.	Erskine RM, Jones DA, Maganaris CN, Degens H. In vivo specific tension of the human
863		quadriceps femoris muscle. Eur J Appl Physiol 106: 827–38, 2009.
864	29.	Erskine RM, Jones DA, Maffulli N, Williams AG, Stewart CE, Degens H. What causes in vivo
865		muscle specific tension to increase following resistance training? Exp Physiol 96: 145–155,
866		2011.
867	30.	Erskine RM, Jones DA, Williams AG, Stewart CE, Degens H. Inter-individual variability in the
868		adaptation of human muscle specific tension to progressive resistance training. Eur J Appl
869		Physiol 110: 1117–1125, 2010.
870	31.	Folland J, Irish C, Roberts J, Tarr J, Jones D. Fatigue is not a necessary stimulus for strength
871		gains during resistance training. Br J Sport Med 36: 370–3, 2002.
872	32.	Folland J, Leach B, Little T, Hawker K, Myerson S, Montgomery H, Jones D. Angiotensin-
873		converting enzyme genotype affects the response of human skeletal muscle to functional
874		overload. Expl Physiol 85: 575–9, 2000.
875	33.	Folland JP, Williams AG. The Adaptations to Strength Training. Sport Med 37: 145–168, 2007.
876	34.	Franchi M, Atherton P, Maganaris C, Narici M. Fascicle length does increase in response to
877		longitudinal resistance training and in a contraction-mode specific manner. Springerplus 28:
878		94, 2016.
879	35.	Franchi M, Atherton PJ, Reeves ND, Flück M, Williams J, Mitchell W, Selby A, RM BV, Narici
880		${f M}$. Architectural, functional and molecular responses to concentric and eccentric loading in

881	human skeletal muscle. Acta Physiol 210: 642–54, 2014.
-----	--

Franchi M V., Raiteri BJ, Longo S, Sinha S, Narici M V., Csapo R. Muscle Architecture
 Assessment: Strengths, Shortcomings and New Frontiers of in Vivo Imaging Techniques.

884 Ultrasound Med Biol 44: 2492–2504, 2018.

- Franchi M V, Ruoss S, Valdivieso P, Mitchell KW, Smith K, Atherton PJ, Narici M V., Flück M.
 Regional regulation of focal adhesion kinase after concentric and eccentric loading is related
 to remodelling of human skeletal muscle. *Acta Physiol* 223, 2018.
- Fukutani A, Kurihara T. Tendon cross-sectional area is not associated with muscle volume. J
 Appl Biomech 31: 176–80, 2015.
- Fukutani A, Kurihara T. Comparison of the muscle fascicle length between resistance-trained
 and untrained individuals: cross-sectional observation. *Springerplus* 4: 341, 2015.
- 40. Gans C, Bock W. The functional significance of muscle architecture--a theoretical analysis. *Ergeb Anat Entwicklungsgesch* 38: 115–42, 1965.
- Hakkinen K, Alen M, Kraemer W, Gorostiaga E, Izquierdo M, Rusko H, Mikkola J, Hakkinen
 A, Valkeinen H, Kaarakainen E, Romu S, Erola V, Ahtiainen J, Paavolainen L. Neuromuscular
 adaptations during concurrent strength and endurance training versus strength training. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 89: 42–52, 2003.
- Häkkinen K, Kallinen M, Izquierdo M, Jokelainin K, Lassila H, Malkia E, Kraemer WJ, Newton
 R, Alen M. Changes in agonist-antagonist EMG , muscle CSA , and force during strength
 training in middle-aged and older people. *J Appl Physiol* 84: 1341–1349, 1998.
- Häkkinen K, Pakarinen A, Kraemer WJ, Häkkinen A, Valkeinen H, Alen M. Selective muscle
 hypertrophy, changes in EMG and force, and serum hormones during strength training in
- 903 older women. *J Appl Physiol* 91: 569–580, 2001.
- 44. Housh DJ, Housh TJ, Johnson GO, Chu WK. Hypertrophic response to unilateral concentric
 isokinetic resistance training. *J Appl Physiol* 73: 65–70, 1992.
- Jones D, Rutherford O. Human muscle strength training: the effects of three different
 regimes and the nature of the resultant changes. *J Physiol* 391: 1–11, 1987.
- 908 46. Jorgenson K, Hornberger T. The overlooked role of fiber length in mechanical load-induced
 909 growth of skeletal muscle. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 47: 258–259, 2019.
- 910 47. Kawakami, Y., Abe T, Fukunaga T. Muscle-fiber pennation angles are greater in
- 911 hypertrophied than in normal muscles. *J Appl Physiol* 74: 2740–2744, 1993.
- 48. Kellis E, Baltzopoulos V. In vivo determination of the patella tendon and hamstrings moment
 arms in adult males using video fluoroscopy during submaximal knee extension. *Clin Biomech*14: 118–124, 1999.
 - Downloaded from www.physiology.org/journal/jappl at Loughborough Univ (131.231.070.217) on January 8, 2020.

915	49.	de Labra C, Guimaraes-Pinheiro C, Maseda A, Lorenzo T, Millán-Calenti J. Effects of physical
916		exercise interventions in frail older adults: a systematic review of randomized controlled
917		trials. BMC Geriatr. 15:154. BMC Geriatr : 154, 2015.
918	50.	Lakens D. Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a practical
919		primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. Front Psychol 26th Novem, 2013.
920	51.	Liu C, Latham N. Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical function in
921		older adults (Review). Cochra. Cochr Datab Syst
922	52.	MacDougall J, Sale D, Alway S, Sutton J. Muscle Fiber number in Biceps Brachii in
923		bodybuilders and control subjects. J Appl Physiol Respir Env Exerc Physiol 57: 1399–403, 1984.
924	53.	Maden-Wilkinson TM, McPhee JS, Jones DA, Degens H. Age-related loss of muscle mass,
925		strength, and power and their association with mobility in recreationally-active older adults in
926		the United Kingdom. J Aging Phys Act 23, 2015.
927	54.	Maffiuletti NA, Aagaard P, Blazevich AJ, Folland J, Tillin N, Duchateau J. Rate of force
928		development : physiological and methodological considerations. Eur J Appl Physiol 116:
929		1091–1116, 2016.
930	55.	Massey G, Evangelidis P, Folland J, Massey G. Experimental Physiology Influence of
931		contractile force on the architecture and morphology of the quadriceps femoris. Exp Physiol
932		(2015). doi: 10.1113/EP085360.
933	56.	Massey GJ, Balshaw TG, Maden-Wilkinson TM, Folland JP. Tendinous tissue properties after
934		short- and long-term functional overload: Differences between controls, 12 weeks and 4
935		years of resistance training. Acta Physiol. (2018). doi: 10.1111/apha.13019.
936	57.	Matta T, Nascimento F, Fernandes I, Oliveria L. Heterogeneity of rectus femoris muscle
937		architectural adaptations after two different 14-week resistance training programmes. Clin
938		Physiol Funct Imag 35: 210–5, 2015.
939	58.	Matta T, Nascimento F, Trajano G, Simao R, Willardson J, Oliveria L. Selective hypertrophy of
940		the quadriceps musculature after 14 weeks of isokinetic and conventional resistance training.
941		Clin Physiol Funct Imag 37: 137–142, 2017.
942	59.	McMahon G, Morse C, Burden A, Winwood K, Onambélé G. Impact of range of motion
943		during ecologically valid resistance training protocols on muscle size, subcutaneous fat, and
944		strength. J Strength Cond Res 28: 245–55, 2014.
945	60.	Moritani T, DeVries H. Neural factors versus hypertrophy in the time course of muscle
946		strength gain. Am J Phys Med;58:115-30. Am J Phys Med 58: 115–30, 1979.
947	61.	Narici M, Hoppeler H, Kayser B, Landoni L, Claassen H, Gavardi C, Conti M, Cerretelli P.
948		Human quadriceps cross-sectional area, torque, and neural activation during 6 months

949		strength training. Acta Physiol Scand 157: 175–86, 1996.
950	62.	Narici M, Maganaris CN, Reeves N, Capodaglio P. Effect of aging on human muscle
951		architecture. J Appl Physiol 95: 2229–34, 2003.
952	63.	Narici M, Roi G, Landoni L, Minetti A, Cerretelli P. Changes in force, cross-sectional area and
953		neural activation during strength training and detraining of the human quadriceps. Eur J Appl
954		Physiol Occu Physiol 59: 310–9, 1989.
955	64.	Noorkoiv M, Nosaka K, Blazevich A. Effects of isometric quadriceps strength training at
956		different muscle lengths on dynamic torque production. J Sport Sci 33: 1952–61, 2015.
957	65.	Noorkoiv M, Stavnsbo A, Aagaard P, Blazevich AJ. In vivo assessment of muscle fascicle
958		length by extended field-of-view ultrasonography. J Appl Physiol 109: 1974–1979, 2010.
959	66.	Reeves ND, Maganaris CN, Narici M V. Ultrasonographic assessment of human skeletal
960		muscle size. Eur J Appl Physiol 91: 116–8, 2004.
961	67.	Scanlon T, Fragala M, Stout J, Emerson N, Beyer K, Oliveira L, Hoffman J. Muscle architecture
962		and strength: adaptations to short-term resistance training in older adults. Muscle Nerve 49:
963		584–92, 2014.
964	68.	Seger J, Arvidsoon B, Thorstensson A. Specific effects of eccentric and concentric training on
965		muscle strength and morphology in humans. Eur J Appl Physiol Occu Physiol 79: 49–57, 1998.
966	69.	Seynnes O, de Boer M, Narici M. Early skeletal muscle hypertrophy and architectural changes
967		in response to high-intensity resistance training. J Appl Physiol 102: 368–73, 2007.
968	70.	Seynnes OR, Kamandulis S, Kairaitis R, Helland C, Campbell E-L, Brazaitis M, Skurvydas A,
969		Narici M V. Effect of androgenic-anabolic steroids and heavy strength training on patellar
970		tendon morphological and mechanical properties. J Appl Physiol 115: 84–9, 2013.
971	71.	Seynnes OR, Kamandulis S, Kairaitis R, Helland C, Campbell E, Brazaitis M, Skurvydas A,
972		Narici M V. Effect of androgenic-anabolic steroids and heavy strength training on patellar
973		tendon morphological and mechanical properties. (2018). doi:
974		10.1152/japplphysiol.01417.2012.
975	72.	Stamatakis E, Lee I, Bennie J, Freeson J, Hamer M, O'Donovan G, Ding D, Bauman A, Mavros
976		X. Does Strength-Promoting Exercise Confer Unique Health Benefits? A Pooled Analysis of
977		Data on 11 Population Cohorts with All-Cause, Cancer, and Cardiovascular Mortality
978		Endpoints. Am J Epidemiol 187: 1102–1112, 2018.
979	73.	Sugisaki N, Wakahara T, Miyamoto N, Murata K, Kanehisa H, Kawakami Y, Fukunaga T.
980		Influence of muscle anatomical cross-sectional area on the moment arm length of the triceps
981		brachii muscle at the elbow joint. <i>J Biomech</i> 43: 2844–2847, 2010.
982	74.	Sugisaki N, Wakahara T, Murata K, Miyamoto N, Kawakami Y, Kanehisa H, Fukunaga T.

983		Influence of muscle hypertrophy on the moment arm of the triceps brachii muscle. J Appl
984		Biomech 31: 111–116, 2015.
985	75.	Tesch PA. Hypertrophy of chronically unloaded muscle subjected to resistance exercise. J.
986		Appl. Physiol
987	76.	Tracy B, Ivey F, Hurlbut D, Martel G, Lemmer J, Siegel E, Metter E, Fozard J, Fleg J, Hurley B.
988		Muscle quality. II. Effects Of strength training in 65- to 75-yr-old men and women. J Appl
989		Physiol 86: 195–201, 1999.
990	77.	Trezise J, Collier N, Blazevich A. Anatomical and neuromuscular variables strongly predict
991		maximum knee extension torque in healthy men. Eur J Appl Physiol 116: 1159–1177, 2016.
992	78.	Ullrich B, Holzinger S, Soleimani M, Pelzer T, Stening J, Pfeiffer M. Neuromuscular Responses
993		to 14 Weeks of Traditional and Daily Undulating Resistance Training. Int J Sport Med 36: 554–
994		62, 2015.
995	79.	Vigotsky AD, Contreras B, Beardsley C. Biomechanical implications of skeletal muscle
996		hypertrophy and atrophy: a musculoskeletal model. PeerJ 3: e1462, 2015.
997	80.	Wakahara T, Ema R, Miyamoto N, Kawakami Y. Increase in vastus lateralis aponeurosis width
998		induced by resistance training: implications for a hypertrophic model of pennate muscle. Eur
999		J Appl Physiol 115: 309–16, 2015.
1000	81.	Wakahara T, Ema R, Miyamoto N, Kawakami Y. Inter- and intramuscular differences in
1001		training-induced hypertrophy of the quadriceps femoris: association with muscle activation
1002		during the first training session. Clin Physiol Funct Imag 37: 405–412, 2017.
1003	82.	Wakahara T, Fukutani A, Kawakami Y, Yanai T. Nonuniform muscle hypertrophy: Its relation
1004		to muscle activation in training session. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc</i> 45: 2158–2165, 2013.
1005	83.	Young A, Stokes M, Round J, Edwards R. The effect of high-resistance training on the
1006		strength and cross-sectional area of the human quadriceps. Eur J Clin Invest 13: 411–7, 1983.
1007	84.	Zhang Y, Jordan J. Epidemiology of Osteoarthritis. Clin Geriatr Med 26: 355–369, 2010.
1008		
1009		
1010		
1011		

Tables and Figures 1012

1013

1014 Table 1- Quadriceps muscle size indices, individual constituent muscle volumes and proportional

1015	volumes of untrained	(UT)) and long-term resistance-trained (LTT) me	en.
				-

Muscle and Size Variable	UT	UT (n=52)			LTT (n=16)			% Difference	Effect Size
Quadricens									
Q_{VOL} (cm ³)	1838.2	±	262.9	2881.9	±	308.1	*	56	3.7
QACSA _{MAX} (cm ²)	86.2	±	11.2	135.0	±	15.0	*	50	3.3
QPCSA (cm ²)	174.4	±	19.8	245.7	±	16.8	*	41	3.9
Q _{EFF} PCSA (cm ²)	167.7	±	18.8	236.8	±	15.1	*	41	4.1
Individual Muscle Volume (cm ³)								
VM	441.4	±	67.8	691.2	±	87.0	*	57	3.2
VI	546.9	±	104	846.4	±	124.0	*	55	2.6
VL	609.8	±	98.4	964.3	±	90.6	*	58	3.8
RF	240.2	±	46.7	374.6	±	72.0	*	56	2.3
Proportional Muscle Volume (9	6 Q _{VOL})								
VM	24.0	±	1.7	24.1	±	1.9		0	0.0
VI	29.7	±	2.8	29.3	±	1.6		1	-0.2
VL	33.2	±	2.6	33.6	±	2.3		1	0.2
RF	13.1	±	1.8	13.0	±	1.8		1	-0.1

1016 Data are mean ± SD, Q_{VOL}= Quadriceps volume; QACSA_{MAX} = sum of maximal anatomical cross-

1017 sectional areas from individual muscles; QPCSA = Quadriceps Physiological cross-sectional area;

1018 Q_{EFF}PCSA = Effective physiological cross-sectional area; VM= Vastus Medialis; VI= Vastus Intermedius;

1019 VL= Vastus Lateralis; RF= Rectus Femoris; * indicates adjusted P<0.01

1020	
1021	
1022	
1023	
1024	
1025	
1026	
1027	
1028	
1029	
1030	
1031	
1032	
1033	

- 1034
- 1035

1037	
1038	
1039	Table 2 : Muscle architecture variables, Fascicle Length (F_L) and Angle of Pennation (Θ_P), for
1040	untrained (UT) and long-term resistance-trained (LTT) men. Quadriceps and individual constituent
1041	muscle values are based on the mean of ten or two/three sites respectively.
1040	muscle values are based on the mean of ten or two/three sites respectively.

Variable	Muscle	Sites measured	UT (<i>n</i> =52)			L	.TT (<i>i</i>	ı=16)		% Difference	Effect Size
F∟ (mm)											
	Q	10	106.4	±	9.0	118.0	±	10.0	*	11	1.2
	VM	2	104.6	±	16.4	117.1	±	17.4	+	12	0.7
	VI	3	100.9	±	8.1	107.5	±	7.8	#	7	0.8
	VL	3	111.1	±	11.5	125.7	±	16.8	+	13	1.0
	RF	2	109.0	±	14.8	121.6	±	17.8	+	12	0.8
Θ _P (mm)											
	Q	10	15.4	±	2.9	17.3	±	2.0	*	13	0.7
	VM	2	19.2	±	3.9	20.8	±	3.4		8	0.4
	VI	3	12.9	±	2.6	14.5	±	2.2	#	13	0.7
	VL	3	15.9	±	2.6	18.2	±	3.3	+	15	0.8
	RF	2	13.5	±	2.5	15.6	±	2.4	+	16	0.9

Data are mean ± SD, Q= Mean Quadriceps, VM= Vastus Medialis, VI= Vastus Intermedius, VL= Vastus

Lateralis, RF= Rectus Femoris, Θ_P = Angle of Pennation, F_L = Fascicle Length. Adjusted P values are

indicated by: * p<0.01; † p<0.05; # tendency P=0.05-0.07.

1061

1062 Figure Legends

1063

Figure 1. Representative Axial MR image of the thigh (A); Sagittal MRI image of the knee joint (B) and Muscle Architecture (C): Patellar tendon (PT) moment arm was defined as the perpendicular distance between the tendon line of action and the tibio-femoral contact point (TFCP). (C) demonstrates muscle architecture measurements of Pennation Angle (Θ_P) and fascicle length (QF_L) from the vastus lateralis.

1069 1070

Figure 2. Maximal Voluntary Specific Tension (ST) (A) and Patella tendon moment arm (PTMA) (B) in untrained (UT; \blacksquare , *n*=52) and long-term resistance trained (LTT; \blacksquare , *n*=16) individuals, † Adjusted P<0.05.

1074

Figure 3. Muscle mass distribution (% of ACSAmax) along the femur (at 5% increments from proximal (0%) to Distal (100%)) in untrained men (UT, \blacksquare ; n=52) and long-term resistance-trained men (LTT, \blacksquare ; n=16) for the constituent Quadriceps muscles: (A) Vastus Medialis, (B) Vastus Intermedius, (C) Vastus Lateralis and (D) Rectus Femoris. Data are mean ± SD. There were no differences between groups for muscle mass distribution (% of ACSAmax) for any muscle or 5% increment along the femur (all adjusted P≥0.21).

1081

Figure 4. Differences in (A) Fascicle Length and (B) Pennation Angle between untrained (UT) men (\blacksquare ;*n*=52) and Long-term resistance trained \blacksquare TT ;*n*=16) at two or three sites of each of the constituent Quadriceps muscle. Data are mean ± SD. Symbols indicate adjusted P values: * P<0.01, † P<0.05, # tendency for a difference P=0.05-0.07.

1086

1087 Figure 5. Musculoskeletal variables that appear to contribute to the greater strength and larger 1088 muscle volume of long-term resistance-trained (LTT) compared to untrained (UT) men. Data are 1089 percentage differences in group mean values for maximal voluntary torque (MVT), Quadriceps 1090 volume (Q_{VOL}), sum of maximal anatomical cross-sectional area (QACSA_{MAX}), Quadriceps physiological 1091 cross-sectional area (QPCSA); quadriceps effective physiological cross-sectional area (Q_{FFF}PCSA), 1092 mean Quadriceps angle of pennation ($Q\Theta_P$), mean Quadriceps fascicle length (QF_L); maximum 1093 voluntary specific tension (ST) and patella tendon moment arm (PTMA) between untrained and long-1094 term resistance-trained participants.

- 1095 Figure 6. Scatterplot of the relationship between maximal voluntary torque (MVT; Nm) and
- 1096 Quadriceps volume (Q_{VOL}; cm³) in untrained (UT; n=52: Triangles) and long-term resistance-trained
- 1097 (LTT;n=16: Squares) individual. Regression line is for all participants(r=0.90; P<0.01)





Downloaded from www.physiology.org/journal/jappl at Loughborough Univ (131.231.070.217) on January 8, 2020.







В

Downloaded from www.physiology.org/journal/jappl at Loughborough Univ (131.231.070.217) on January 8, 2020.



