

Correction: Metric-based vs peer-reviewed evaluation of a research output: lesson learnt from UK's national research assessment exercise

KOYA, Kushwanth http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7718-1116 and CHOWDHURY, Gobinda

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

http://shura.shu.ac.uk/23542/

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

KOYA, Kushwanth and CHOWDHURY, Gobinda (2017). Correction: Metric-based vs peer-reviewed evaluation of a research output: lesson learnt from UK's national research assessment exercise. PLOS ONE, 12 (12), e0190337.

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html



CORRECTION

Correction: Metric-based vs peer-reviewed evaluation of a research output: Lesson learnt from UK's national research assessment exercise

Kushwanth Koya, Gobinda Chowdhury

In the Methods section, there is an error in the fourth equation in the subsection titled "Example of the calculation". Please view the complete, correct equation here:

(265.09 * 14639.38) + (395.51 * 3659.84) = £5328256.5 [£5328295 approx.]

Reference

 Koya K, Chowdhury G (2017) Metric-based vs peer-reviewed evaluation of a research output: Lesson learnt from UK's national research assessment exercise. PLoS ONE 12(7): e0179722. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0179722 PMID: 28700651





Citation: Koya K, Chowdhury G (2017) Correction: Metric-based vs peer-reviewed evaluation of a research output: Lesson learnt from UK's national research assessment exercise. PLoS ONE 12(12): e0190337. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190337

Published: December 20, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Koya, Chowdhury. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.