

Which aspects of the therapeutic alliance are valued by people with aphasia in speech and language therapy? A Q-methodology study

LAWTON, Michelle <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2399-6643>>, HADDOCK, Gillian, CONROY, Paul, SERRANT, Laura <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9382-9859>> and SAGE, Karen <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7365-5177>>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/22367/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

LAWTON, Michelle, HADDOCK, Gillian, CONROY, Paul, SERRANT, Laura and SAGE, Karen (2018). Which aspects of the therapeutic alliance are valued by people with aphasia in speech and language therapy? A Q-methodology study. *Aphasiology*, 32 (S1), 122-123.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

Which aspects of the therapeutic alliance are valued by people with aphasia in Speech and Language Therapy? A Q methodology study

MANCHESTER
1824

The University of Manchester

Michelle Lawton*, Gillian Haddock*, Paul Conroy*, Laura Serrant[§], Karen Sage[§]

*University of Manchester; [§]Sheffield Hallam University

michelle.lawton@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk  @MLawton21


Sheffield
Hallam
University

Stroke
association

Background

The **quality of the therapeutic relationship**, also known **therapeutic alliance**, affects treatment **adherence, depressive symptoms, engagement and treatment efficacy**.

However, **little is known** about which aspects of the **therapeutic alliances are valued by people engaging in** aphasia rehabilitation.



Aim

To identify which elements of the therapeutic alliance are important to people with aphasia engaging in speech and language therapy following a stroke

Methods


- **Statements** relevant to the therapeutic alliance were extrapolated from the literature (n=453)
- A **representative sample** of statements was identified (n=38)

Phase 1

Phase 2

- People with aphasia (n=23) **sorted statements hierarchically** on a grid (Fig.1) in terms of importance.
- Data were **analysed using a by-person factor analysis**

Figure 1: Response grid



Results

Five distinct viewpoint emerged from analysis:



Conclusions

- The findings highlight the importance of adopting a **flexible approach** to meet the relational needs of a heterogeneous population
- **Q methodology** is a both **viable and valuable tool** for measuring subjectivity in this population