

Multi-domain quantitative recovery following Radical Cystectomy for patients within the iROC (Robot Assisted Radical Cystectomy with intracorporeal urinary diversion versus Open Radical Cystectomy) Randomised Controlled Trial: The first 30 patients

CATTO, James W.F., KHETRAPAL, Pramit, AMBLER, Gareth, SARPONG, Rachel, POTYKA, Ingrid, KHAN, Shamim, TAN, Melanie, FEBER, Andrew, BOURKE, Liam http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6548-4603, NOON, Aidan P., DIXON, Simon, GOODWIN, Louise, WILLIAMS, Norman R., ROWE, Edward, KOUPARIS, Anthony, MCGRATH, John, BREW-GRAVES, Chris and KELLY, John D.

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at: https://shura.shu.ac.uk/21932/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

CATTO, James W.F., KHETRAPAL, Pramit, AMBLER, Gareth, SARPONG, Rachel, POTYKA, Ingrid, KHAN, Shamim, TAN, Melanie, FEBER, Andrew, BOURKE, Liam, NOON, Aidan P., DIXON, Simon, GOODWIN, Louise, WILLIAMS, Norman R., ROWE, Edward, KOUPARIS, Anthony, MCGRATH, John, BREW-GRAVES, Chris and KELLY, John D. (2018). Multi-domain quantitative recovery following Radical Cystectomy for patients within the iROC (Robot Assisted Radical Cystectomy with intracorporeal urinary diversion versus Open Radical Cystectomy) Randomised Controlled Trial: The first 30 patients. European Urology, 74, 531-534. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

- 1 Multi-domain quantitative recovery following Radical Cystectomy for patients within the
- 2 iROC (Robot Assisted Radical Cystectomy with intracorporeal urinary diversion versus
- 3 Open Radical Cystectomy) Randomised Controlled Trial: The first 30 patients
- 4 James W.F. Catto ^{1,2*}, Pramit Khetrapal ^{2*}, Gareth Ambler ⁴, Rachael Sarpong ³, Ingrid Potyka
- 5 ³, Shamim Khan ⁵, Melanie Tan ³, Andrew Feber ², Liam Bourke ⁶, Aidan P. Noon ¹, Simon
- 6 Dixon ⁶, Louise Goodwin ¹, Norman R. Williams ³, Edward Rowe ⁸, Anthony Kouparis ⁸, John
- 7 McGrath ⁹, Chris Brew-Graves ³ and John D. Kelly ²

8

9

10

11

14

17

18

19

20

21

* Joint first authors

- 1. Academic Urology Unit, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2RX, UK
- 2. Division of Surgery & Interventional Science, University College London, London
- 3. Surgical & Interventional Trials Unit (SITU), Division of Surgery & Interventional Science, University College London, London, NW1 2FD, UK
 - 4. Department of Statistical Science, University College London, WC1E 6BT.
- 5. Department of Urology, Guys and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, SE19RT.
 - 6. Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK
 - 7. Health Economics and Decision Science, NIHR Research Design Service Yorkshire and the Humber, University of Sheffield, S1 4DA, UK
 - 8. Department of Urology, North Bristol NHS Trust
 - 9. Department of Urology, Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Trust

2223

24

Correspondence to;

- 25 James Catto, Academic Urology Unit, The Medical School, University of Sheffield,
- 26 Beech Hill Road, Sheffield, S10 2RX, United Kingdom
- 27 Tel: +44 (0)114 226 1229
- 28 Fax: +44 (0)114 271 2268
- 29 Email: j.catto@sheffield.ac.uk

30

- 31 **Keywords:** Bladder cancer, Cystectomy, Robotic surgery, Radical Cystectomy, RARC, Activity
- 32 trackers, Complications, Recovery, Enhanced Recovery
- 33 Abstract: 194 word
- 34 Word count: 994 words

35

36

Conflicts of Interest

- 37 The authors declare no conflicts of interest with this work. This work is funded by a grant
- 38 from The Urological Foundation and The Champniss Foundation. The iROC RCT is sponsored
- 39 by University College London. Robotic consumables are supplied at no cost by Intuitive

Surgical within the iROC trial. This company had no role in the design, implementation or interpretation of the data.

Take Home Message

We analysed recovery after radical cystectomy using multiple domains reflecting mobilisation (steps per day), exercise capacity (chair to stand), disability, HRQOL and health economics. We found most patients recovered most of their physical capacity by 12 weeks of surgery.

Tweet

Activity trackers help measure recovery after major surgery

Letter

Many patients develop complications after Radical cystectomy (RC) [1]. Reductions in morbidity have occurred through centralization, technical improvements [2] and perhaps through Robot-assisted RC (RARC). Whilst RARC is gaining popularity, there are concerns about oncological safety [3], extra-corporeal reconstruction [4] and RCTs find little difference [5]. We are conducting a prospective RCT comparing open RC and RARC with mandated intra-corporeal reconstruction (iROC [6]). Within this trial we quantify recovery using multiple domains: personal activity trackers, the 30 second Chair Stand Test (CST30), and qualitative questionnaires of disability (WHODAS 2.0), HRQOL (EORTC QLQ-C30 and QLQ-BLM30 [6]) and health economics (EQ-5D-5L).

Given that little is known of these tools in this setting, we included an internal analysis when the first 30 patients reached the primary outcome (90 days after RC). This was reached 209 days after the first recruitment and included 28/30 who underwent their allocated RC (supplementary figures, supplementary table 1). The average time to discharge was 11.0 days (st dev. \pm 5.7), and following discharge 20/28 (71%) patients visited their GP or A&E, and 5/28 (18%) were readmitted to hospital. Within 90 days of surgery, the average duration out of healthcare was 76.6 \pm 6.7 days. Post-operative complications were seen in 15/28 patients, including; Clavien-Dindo Grade 1 in 5/28, Grade 2 in 7/28 and grade 3a/3b in

3/28 (11%, supplementary tables). Baseline compliance varied from 22/28 (79%) for activity trackers, 24/28 (86%) for CST30, 27/28 (96%) for WHODAS 2.0, 27/28 (96%) for QLQ-C30, to 28/28 (100%) for EQ-5D-5L. The observed values (figure 1) matched the general population (e.g. average WHODAS 2.0 score (15%) was within 78% of general population, CTS30 (average 13) was similar to that for >65 year old males and >60 year old females [7]) or were slightly lower (age matched Canadian men and women walked 7,869 and 6,970/steps per day, respectively [8]). Compliance with activity trackers and CTS30 improved during recruitment as the trial staff became experienced with collection during the perioperative period.

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

Each measure deteriorated after surgery (figure 2). At day 5 (POD5) the average number of daily steps was 1840 ± 1348 (32±22% baseline) and CTS30 was 8.3±5.3 (62.0±38% baseline). Activities levels improved such that by week 5 walking reached 74±32% of the baseline (4294±2370 steps/day) and CTS30 reached 96±35% baseline (12±4.3/30 seconds). By week 12 many patients had returned to their baseline level of activity (average steps/day 6375±3246, 99±47% baseline and CTS30 13±5, 108±33%). Patient reported qualitative disability scores contrasted activity levels. At week 5, WHODAS 2.0 disability reached 26±22% (which was 2.9±3.3 fold higher than at baseline), before returning to pre-operative levels in most patients by week 12 (0.9±1.1 fold baseline). Changes in EQ-5D-5L scores rating 'health today'(Q6) and QLQ-C30 (Q29: overall health and Q30: QOL in past week) questionnaires mirrored activity levels with lower scores in week 5 (EQ-5D-5L 84±17%, QLQ-C30(Q29) 80±22% and QLQ-C30(Q30) 78±23% of baseline) that recovered to baseline by week 12 (93±17%, 98±16% and 93±16%, respectively). Patients seeking medical review after discharge (GP, A&E or hospital admission) averaged fewer daily steps at week 5 (medical review: 4069±2526 vs. no review: 4743±2132) and week 12 (5535±1786 vs. 6724±3703), and had lower absolute CTS30 numbers at the same times (week 5: 11.2±4.3 vs. 13.0±4.4 and week 12: 13.2±5.5 vs. 13.5±3.1), although the low sample size precluded meaningful statistical comparison. We hypothesised that multiple domains are needed to robustly measure recovery after RC and that accurate measurement will allow a meaningful comparison between open RC and RARC. Correlation of baseline data revealed no significant associations between measures of activity, qualitative disability or QOL data (Pearson correlation all p>0.08). Average daily steps was not correlated to CTS30 (r=-0.08, p=0.7 in 20 patients) and was closest to the QLQ-C30 domain reflecting QOL (r=0.41, p=0.08). In this small sample size, one could hypothesise that daily steps reflect actual activity whilst CTS30 is a measure of lower limb strength and exercise capacity (which may not be used).

In conclusion, we report multi-domain measurements of recovery after RC. Our measures appear well tolerated by patients, are applicable to routine practice, are likely to be useful within our RCT and in the RC pathway.

111 Figure legends 112 Figure 1. Distribution of multi-domain measurements at recruitment (baseline). Figure 2. Multi-domain measurements of RC recovery over the first 26 weeks after RC. 113 114 Supplementary figure 1. Recruitment within iROC. a). Consort diagram of iROC feasibility 115 phase recruitment and b). histogram of length of stay and primary outcome measure (days 116 alive out of hospital/healthcare). 117 Supplementary figure 2. Recruitment within iROC. 118 Supplementary Table 1. Patients and tumours within the iROC feasibility phase. 119 Supplementary table 2. Complications seen after surgery. 120 121 122

123 References

- 124 [1] Leow JJ, Cole AP, Seisen T, Bellmunt J, Mossanen M, Menon M, et al. Variations in the
- 125 Costs of Radical Cystectomy for Bladder Cancer in the USA. Eur Urol. 2018;In Press.
- 126 [2] Pang KH, Groves R, Venugopal S, Noon AP, Catto JWF. Prospective Implementation of
- 127 Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Protocols to Radical Cystectomy. Eur Urol. 2018;73:363-
- 128 71.
- 129 [3] Ng CK, Kauffman EC, Lee MM, Otto BJ, Portnoff A, Ehrlich JR, et al. A comparison of
- postoperative complications in open versus robotic cystectomy. Eur Urol. 2010;57:274-81.
- [4] Desai MM, Gill IS. "The devil is in the details": randomized trial of robotic versus open
- 132 radical cystectomy. Eur Urol. 2015;67:1053-5.
- 133 [5] Tan WS, Khetrapal P, Tan WP, Rodney S, Chau M, Kelly JD. Robotic Assisted Radical
- 134 Cystectomy with Extracorporeal Urinary Diversion Does Not Show a Benefit over Open
- 135 Radical Cystectomy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomised Controlled
- 136 Trials. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0166221.
- [6] Catto JWF, Khetrapal P, Ambler G, Sarpong R, Khan S, Tan M, et al. Robot Assisted
- Radical Cystectomy with intracorporeal urinary diversion versus Open Radical Cystectomy
- 139 (iROC): protocol for a randomised controlled trial with internal pilot phase. BMJ Open. 2018;
- 140 In revision
- [7] Jones CJ, Rikli RE, Beam WC. A 30-s chair-stand test as a measure of lower body strength
- in community-residing older adults. Res Q Exerc Sport. 1999;70:113-9.
- [8] Colley RC, Garriguet D, Janssen I, Craig CL, Clarke J, Tremblay MS. Physical activity of
- 144 Canadian adults: accelerometer results from the 2007 to 2009 Canadian Health Measures
- 145 Survey. Health Rep. 2011;22:7-14.

146147

Supplementary table 1. Patient features within the iROC feasibility phase.

| | n | % |
|--------------------|-------|--------|
| Sex | | |
| Male | 23 | 76.7% |
| Female | 7 | 23.3% |
| Age | | |
| Average ± st. dev. | 67.9 | ± 11.7 |
| >75 | 10 | 33.3% |
| ASA | | |
| 1 | 5 | 16.7% |
| 2 | 12 | 40.0% |
| 3 | 4 | 13.3% |
| Missing | 9 | 30.0% |
| Reconstruction | | |
| Ileal conduit | 22 | 73.3% |
| Neobladder | 5 | 16.7% |
| Missing | 1 | 3.3% |
| BMI | | |
| Average ± st. dev. | 27.01 | ± 3.4 |

Supplementary table 2. Complications seen after surgery.

| 1 | -5 | 6 |
|---|----|---|
| 1 | J | v |

| Patient | Grade of complication | Detail |
|---------|-----------------------|---|
| 2 | Grade II | Systemic sepsis, ileus, blocked catheter |
| 3 | Grade II | Infection of unknown origin |
| 6 | Grade I | Wound - Hernia |
| 7 | Grade I | Gastrointestinal - ileus and emesis |
| 8 | Grade IIIb | Surgical - Incisional hernia. Small bowel obstruction |
| 10 | Grade II | Wound - Wound infection |
| 11 | Grade II | Genitourinary - Urosepsis and renal failure |
| 13 | Grade I | Gastrointestinal - Diarrhoea |
| 19 | Grade II | Cardiac - Arrhythmia |
| 20 | Grade I | Scrotal swelling. Anaemia not requiring transfusion |
| 21 | Grade IIIb | Obstructed common Bile Duct. Urinary infection. |
| 26 | Grade I | Gastrointestinal - Constipation |
| 27 | Grade IIIb | Cardiac - Myocardial infarction |
| 31 | Grade II | Ileus. TPN line. |
| 34 | Grade II | Oral Thrush |