

Ammonia removal from water using sodium hydroxide modified zeolite mordenite

SOETARDJI, Jennifer Pieter, CLAUDIA, Jeannete Cindy, JU, Yi-Hsu, HRILJAC, Joseph A., CHEN, Tzu-Yu, SOETAREDJO, Felycia Edi, SANTOSO, Shella Permatasari, KURNIAWAN, Alfin and ISMADJI, Suryadi

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/21636/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

SOETARDJI, Jennifer Pieter, CLAUDIA, Jeannete Cindy, JU, Yi-Hsu, HRILJAC, Joseph A., CHEN, Tzu-Yu, SOETAREDJO, Felycia Edi, SANTOSO, Shella Permatasari, KURNIAWAN, Alfin and ISMADJI, Suryadi (2015). Ammonia removal from water using sodium hydroxide modified zeolite mordenite. RSC Advances, 5 (102), 83689-83699. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

RSC Advances

1	Ammonia removal from water using sodium hydroxide modified
2	zeolite mordenite
3	
4	Jennifer Pieter Soetardji ^{1,§} , Jeannete Cindy Claudia ^{1,§} , Yi-Hsu Ju ² , Joseph A Hriljac ³ , Tzu-Yu
5	Chen ³ , Felycia Edi Soetaredjo ^{1,*} , Shella Permatasari Santoso ² , Alfin Kurniawan ² , Suryadi
6	Ismadji ^{1,*}
7	
8	¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University,
9	Kalijudan 37, Surabaya 60114, Indonesia
10	² Department of Chemical Engineering, National Taiwan University of Science and
11	Technology, No. 43, Sec. 4, Keelung Rd., Taipei City 106, Taiwan (R.O.C)
12	³ School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT UK
13	
14	[§] These authors contribute equally.
15	* Corresponding authors: e-mail address: felyciae@yahoo.com, Tel.: +62 31 389 1264, Fax:
16	+62 31 389 1267; suryadiismadji@yahoo.com
17	
18	

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

19

Abstract

20 Natural and modified mordenite zeolites were used to remove ammonium ions from aqueous 21 solution and Koi pond water. The zeolite modification was conducted using sodium hydroxide solutions of different strengths at 75°C for 24 h. Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips, and 22 Toth equations with their temperature dependent forms were used to represent the adsorption 23 24 equilibria data. The Langmuir and its temperature dependent forms could represent the data 25 better than the other models. The pseudo-first order has better performance than pseudo-26 second order in correlating the adsorption kinetic data. The controlling mechanism of the adsorption of NH_4^+ from aqueous solution onto the natural zeolite and the one treated with 27 28 6M sodium hydroxide solution was dominated by physical adsorption. The competition with 29 other ions occurred through different reaction mechanisms so it decreases the removal 30 efficiency of ammonium ions by the zeolites. For the treated zeolite, the removal efficiency 31 decreased from 81% to 66.9%. A Thomas model can represent the experimental data for both 32 adsorption of ammonia from aqueous solution or from Koi pond water. 33

33

34

- 35
- 36

37

38 Keywords: Zeolite; Mordenite, Sodium hydroxide; Ammonium removal; Adsorption
39 isotherm; Kinetic; Breakthrough

40 **INTRODUCTION**

The presence of ammonia in aquatic environments causes a serious problem for aquatic biota, especially fish. In water, the ammonia can be present in the ionized form (NH_4^+) and unionized form (NH_3) , and both of these substances are present in equilibrium condition according to the following equation ¹:

45
$$NH_3 + H_2O \leftrightarrow NH_4^+ + OH^-$$

46 The total concentration of the ionized ammonia (NH_4^+) and un-ionized ammonia (NH_3) in 47 water is defined as the total ammonia nitrogen (TAN), and at a certain concentration the unionized ammonia (NH₃) is lethal for fish. The equilibrium concentration of ammonia in the 48 49 water is affected by both the pH and temperature. At high pH, the equilibrium condition 50 (equation 1) will shift towards the formation of ammonia, while at low pH the formation of ammonium ion (NH_4^+) is dominant. For aquatic biota such as fish, the ammonium ion is 51 52 relatively non-toxic compared to the ammonia. Ammonia also predominates when 53 temperature is high while the ammonium ion predominates at low temperature.

54

55 In the aquaculture industry the quality of water is the most important parameter for the 56 continuation of the industry. One of the important parameters for the quality of water is TAN 57 as it is the major nitrogenous waste product of fish and also results from the decomposition of 58 organic matter. As a natural byproduct of fish metabolism, ammonia can accumulate easily in an aquatic system and it has the tendency to block the transfer of oxygen from gills to the 59 60 blood nerve system and cause gill damage. The excess ammonia in water also destroys the 61 mucous producing membrane in fish and damages the internal intestinal surfaces. The 62 presence of excessive amounts of ammonia in the aquatic environment causes eutrophication.

63

(1)

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

A number of processes are currently available for the removal of TAN from the aquatic 64 65 environment, and the most widely used process is the adsorption process. This process offers several advantages over other available processes, such as high removal efficiency, the 66 adsorbent can be re-used, it can be applied for a wide range of concentrations, and is a cost 67 effective process. One of the available natural adsorbents which is widely employed for the 68 69 removal of ammonia from aquatic environment is a zeolite. A zeolite is a microporous 70 aluminosilicate mineral which possesses a structure like a three-dimensional honeycomb with an overall negatively charged framework. The presence of hydrated alkali and/or alkaline 71 earth cations (Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+}) in the pores of the aluminosilicate framework stabilizes 72 73 the structure, and in the aquatic condition, these cations are also exchangeable with other cations from the solution 2,3 . 74

75

The disadvantage of using a natural zeolite as an adsorbent for the removal of NH₄⁺ ion from 76 aqueous solution is a low adsorption capacity; most have a value less than 10 mg/g $^{4-10}$. The 77 low adsorption capacity and removal efficiency are still the main problem for industrial 78 application of natural zeolites in aquaculture, water and wastewater processes. In order to 79 improve the adsorption capacity, a modification using a chemical treatment processes is 80 necessary such as using an acid, alkali or salt ¹¹⁻¹⁴. Microwave irradiation ¹⁵ and heat 81 treatment ¹⁶ methods have also been employed to increase the adsorption capacity of natural 82 zeolites. Leyva-Ramos et al.¹⁴ modified natural zeolite chabazite with sodium chloride to 83 84 remove ammonium from aqueous solution and the result clearly indicates that chabazite enriched with Na^+ is more preferentially exchanged by NH_4^+ than the other alkali cations. 85 The modification using acid solution is seldom used because acid treatment causes de-86 alumination, the removal of Al³⁺ ions from the zeolite structure degrades it and decreases the 87 ion exchange capacity 1 . 88

89

90 In this study a modification of natural mordenite with sodium hydroxide combined with a 91 thermal treatment is investigated. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first of the use of 92 such a modified zeolite as the adsorbent for removal of the ammonium ion from aqueous solution in an aquaculture system (Koi pond). Since the final goal of this study was to treat 93 94 the ammonia from the Koi pond, therefore all of the adsorption experiments were conducted 95 at a pH similar to the water of Koi pond system (6.5). The adsorption isotherms of 96 ammonium ion onto natural and modified mordenite were obtained at three different 97 temperatures (303.15, 308.15, and 313.15 K). The temperature-dependent forms of the 98 Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips, and Toth equations were used to correlate the experimental 99 adsorption data. The adsorption kinetics of ammonium ions onto the natural and modified 100 zeolite was also studied. Well known pseudo first- and second-order kinetic models were 101 employed to represent the kinetic data. The removal of ammonium ion from the Koi pond 102 system was conducted in dynamic mode. The breakthrough adsorption performances were 103 correlated by a Thomas equation.

104

105 MATERIAL AND METHOD

106 *Materials*

The natural zeolite used in this study was obtained from Ponorogo, East Java, Indonesia. The zeolite was crushed in a mortar and sieved using a Retsch Haan vibrator screener to particle size of about 0.85 - 1.70 mm (- 12 + 20 US mesh). All of the chemicals used in this study were obtained as pure analysis reagents from Sigma Aldrich Singapore and used without any further treatment or purification.

114 The modification of the natural zeolite was performed under alkaline condition using sodium hydroxide solution at concentrations of 1 M, 3 M, and 6 M at 75°C for 24 h. Subsequently the 115 modified zeolite was washed using tap water to remove excess sodium hydroxide solution. 116 117 Then, the solid sample was dried at 110°C for 24 h.

118

119 *Characterization of solid samples*

120 The characterization of the natural (NatZ) and modified zeolites (1M-Z, 3M-Z, and 6M-Z) 121 used scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and nitrogen sorption. 122 The SEM analysis was conducted to study the surface topography and texture of the 123 adsorbents. The SEM analysis was conducted on a JEOL JSM-6390 field emission SEM 124 operated at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. Prior to analysis the samples were coated with 125 ultra-thin layer of conductive platinum on the specimens using an auto fine coater (JFC-1200, 126 JEOL, Ltd., Japan) for 120 s in an argon atmosphere. The X-ray diffraction analysis was 127 conducted on a Philips PANalytical X'Pert powder X-ray diffractometer with 128 monochromated high intensity Cu K α_1 radiation ($\lambda = 0.15406$ nm). The diffractograms were 129 obtained at 40 kV, 30 mA and with a step size of 0.05° /s. The elemental compositions of the 130 adsorbents were analyzed using a Bruker S8 Tiger X-ray fluorescence spectrophotometer.

131

132 The pore structures of NatZ, 1M-Z, 3M-Z, and 6M-Z were characterized by nitrogen sorption method. The nitrogen sorption measurements were carried out at boiling point of liquid 133 134 nitrogen (77 K) on automated Micromeritics ASAP2010 sorption equipment. Prior to the 135 analysis, the solid samples were degassed at 473.15 K for 24 h. The specific surface area of 136 the samples were calculated by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method at a range of 137 relative pressure of 0.05 to 0.3, while the total pore volume was determined at a relative 138 pressure of 0.995.

140 Adsorption isotherm study

The adsorption isotherm study was conducted in batch mode at three different temperatures 141 142 (303, 308, and 313 K) and pH of 6.5. A known amount of adsorbent (0.1 to 1.0 g) was added 143 in a series of Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 mL ammonium chloride solution with a 144 concentration of 10 mg/L. The flasks were moved to a Memmert type WB-14 thermostatic 145 shaker water bath. The temperature of the thermostatic shaker water bath was adjusted to a 146 desired temperature and then the system was shaken at 100 rpm for 24 h (equilibrium 147 condition). The equilibrium condition was determined at temperature of 303 K, pH of 6.5, 148 and initial solution concentration of 10 mg/L. After the equilibrium time was reached, the 149 solid adsorbent was removed from the solution by centrifugation. The concentration of 150 ammonium in the solution was measured quantitatively at maximum wavelength (699.5 nm) based on Nessler method ¹⁷ using Shimadzu UV/VIS-1700 Pharma Spectrophotometer. The 151 152 amount of ammonium ion adsorbed by the adsorbent at equilibrium condition was calculated 153 by the following equation:

154
$$q_e = \frac{\left(C_o - C_e\right)}{m}V$$
 (2)

Where q_e is the equilibrium condition (mg/g), C_o (mg/L) and C_e (mg/L) are the initial and equilibrium concentration of ammonium in the solution, respectively. The amount of adsorbent (g) and the volume of solution (L) are represented by symbols *m* and *V*. The adsorption isotherm experiments were conducted in triplicate.

159

160 Adsorption kinetic study

The adsorptions kinetic of ammonium from aqueous solutions onto natural and modified
zeolites were also conducted isothermally at three different temperatures (303, 308, and 313
K) and pH of 6.5. A similar procedure to the adsorption isotherm study was employed for the

kinetic study. In the kinetic study, the fixed amount of adsorbent (1 g) was added to each Erlenmeyer containing 100 mL ammonium solution (10 mg/L). At a certain interval of time (1 h) one of the available flasks was taken from the thermostatic water bath. The amount of the ammonium adsorbed by the adsorbent at time *t* was determined by the following equation $q_t = \frac{(C_o - C_t)}{m} V$ (3) Where C_t is the concentration of ammonium in the solution at time interval of *t*. The adsorption kinetic experiments were conducted in triplicate.

171

172 *Continuous adsorption experiment*

173 Continuous adsorption of ammonium ion from aqueous solution and Koi pond onto modified 174 zeolites were conducted as follow: The modified zeolites were packed in glass columns of 1 175 cm diameter and 16.5 cm height. Synthetic ammonium chloride solution and fish pond 176 wastewater were pumped into the column using a Masterflex 7550-62 peristaltic pump. This 177 experiment was performed to obtain breakthrough curves of ammonium from aqueous 178 solution and real aquaculture system (in this case Koi pond). The flowrate of the solution 179 entering the column was 6.5 mL/min and the height of modified zeolite in the column was 5 180 cm. The solution was collected at the outlet of the column after certain intervals of time and the concentration of ammonium was measured spectrophotometrically using the Nessler 181 method¹⁷. 182

183

184 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

185 *Characterization of natural and modified zeolite*

The SEM micrographs of the surface morphology of NatZ and 6M-Z are depicted in Figure 1. It can be seen that the modification using a strong sodium hydroxide solution (6 M) did not affect the surface topography of the zeolite. The breakdown of some of the particles from a

needle-like shape into smaller and less uniform particles is attributed to the mechanical forceused during the grinding of the zeolite.

191

192 The XRD patterns of NatZ and 6M-Z are given in Figure 2. The identification of the mineral 193 content by comparing to the standard of JCPDS 80-0642 indicates it consists mainly of 194 mordenite. The modification of the natural zeolite using sodium hydroxide solution did not 195 change or degrade the mordenite as seen in the XRD patterns in Figure 2. This evidence 196 clearly indicates that the sodium hydroxide modification exerted little or no influence on the 197 crystallinity of the mordenite. The chemical composition of the natural zeolite and its 198 modified form obtained from XRF analysis are summarized in Table 1. The increase of Na₂O 199 composition in modified zeolite indicates that the incorporation of exchangeable sodium ions to the natural zeolite occurred during the modification process. Partial exchange of several 200 cations such as Ca^{2+} , K^+ and, to a lesser extent, Mg^{2+} with Na^+ was observed. With increasing 201 202 NaOH concentration, the amount of CaO decrease from 2.43 to 0.11%, while the composition 203 of Na₂O increase from 1.87 to 3.85%. The modification using 6M NaOH almost completely 204 transformed the Ca-zeolite into a Na-zeolite with, as stated earlier, no obvious change in 205 crystallinity.

206

As illustrated in Figure 3, the modification of the natural zeolite using sodium hydroxide solution improved the porosity. The hysteresis loops in NatZ, 1M-Z, 3M-Z, and 6M-Z confirms the presence of mesopores in the pore structure. The BET surface area, micropore volume and total pore volume of the zeolites are summarized in Table 2. It can be seen that the micropores did not have significant contribution to the total pore since the values were almost equal to zero. The modification of zeolite using sodium hydroxide at 75°C brought the formation of more mesopores due to the clearing of the pore channels and voids of the natural

214 zeolite. The increased NaOH concentration also increased the formation of pores, leading to

the increase of BET surface area and total pore volume as indicated in Table 2 and Figure 3.

216

217 *Effect of sodium hydroxide concentration to adsorption capacity*

218 Initial adsorption experiments showed that the adsorption of ammonium ions had reached 219 equilibrium after 24 h. For subsequent adsorption experiments, 24 h was chosen as the equilibrium time. Figure 4 shows the removal efficiency of NH₄⁺ from the solution using 220 natural and NaOH modified zeolites as the adsorbents. This figure clearly indicates that 221 222 NaOH modification effectively improved the adsorption capability of the zeolite for removal of NH_4^+ from aqueous solution. According to Table 1, the removal efficiency of NH_4^+ is 223 closely related to the content of Na and Ca; the zeolite with higher Na and less Ca content 224 removed more NH₄⁺. Since the 6M-Z has the highest removal efficiency; this modified 225 226 zeolite was used for subsequent adsorption experiments.

227

228 Adsorption equilibria

229 The equilibrium relation between the ammonium ion on the surface of the adsorbent and in 230 the solution could be related through an adsorption isotherm. Different kind of adsorption 231 models have been developed and are currently used for the interpretation of liquid phase 232 adsorption experimental data. The adsorption of chemical compounds onto the surface of 233 adsorbents is affected by temperature. For physical adsorption, the temperature gives a 234 negative effect on the adsorption capacity of adsorbent, while for chemical adsorption the 235 uptake increases with the increase of temperature. The influence of temperature on the 236 amount uptake can be represented in the adsorption models through the inclusion of temperature dependent forms ¹⁸⁻²⁰. In this study, the Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips, and Toth 237

models with their temperature dependent forms were employed to correlate the adsorption equilibria of NH_4^+ onto NatZ and 6M-Z.

240

The Langmuir equation is one of the most widely used adsorption equations to correlate liquid phase adsorption experimental data of various systems. Based on the theory of the adsorption on a flat surface, Langmuir developed an adsorption model which has the form as follows

245
$$q_e = q_{\max} \left(\frac{K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e} \right)$$
(4)

Where q_{max} is the maximum amount of adsorbate adsorbed by the adsorbent to achieve complete monolayer coverage of the adsorbent surface (mg/g), and K_L is the adsorption affinity (L/mg). The parameters q_{max} and K_L are affected by temperature, and the mathematical forms of these parameters as function of temperature are as follow:

250
$$q_{\max} = q_{\max}^{o} \exp(\delta(T_o - T))$$
(5)

251
$$K_L = K_L^0 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-E}{R \cdot T_0}\right)$$
(6)

Parameter q^o_{max} represents the maximum adsorption capacity at a reference temperature T_o , while temperature coefficient of expansion of the adsorbate is represented by parameter δ . The affinity constant of Langmuir equation at reference temperature and heat of adsorption are given by symbols K_L^o and E, respectively.

256

The second equation used in this study to represent the adsorption equilibria data is the Freundlich isotherm. This equation is the earliest known empirical adsorption equation and widely used for heterogeneous systems and reversible adsorption processes. The Freundlich isotherm has the form

$$q_e = K_F \cdot C_e^{1/n}$$

262 (7)

Where K_F ((mg/g)(mg/L)⁻ⁿ) and *n* are parameters represent adsorption capacity and the adsorption intensity, respectively. Parameter *n* also indicates the heterogeneity of the system. The temperature dependent forms of Freundlich equation are

266
$$K_F = K_F^{0} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-\alpha \cdot R \cdot T}{A_0}\right)$$
(8)

$$267 \qquad \frac{1}{n} = \frac{R \cdot T}{A_0} \tag{9}$$

268 Where K_F^{o} is the adsorption capacity at the reference temperature, α/A_o is a constant.

269

The Sips equation was developed for predicting adsorption in heterogeneous systems, and this model is a combination of the Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherm. The advantage of Sips equation is it has a finite limit. The Sips equation can be written as follows:

273
$$q_e = q_{\max} \cdot \left[\frac{(K_s \cdot C_e)^{1/n}}{1 + (K_s \cdot C_e)^{1/n}} \right]$$
(10)

Where K_s (L/mg)^{*n*} is the adsorption affinity of Sips model, and *n* characterizes the heterogeneity of the system. When the value of *n* become unity, Eq (10) reduces to Eq (4). The temperature dependent forms of Sips equation are represented by parameter q_{max} , K_s and *n*. The temperature dependent of q_{max} follows Eq (5) while for K_s and *n* are as follow

278
$$K_{s} = K_{s}^{0} \cdot \exp\left[\frac{E}{R \cdot T_{0}}\left(1 - \frac{T_{0}}{T}\right)\right]$$
(11)

279
$$n = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n_0} + \eta \cdot \left(1 - \frac{T_0}{T}\right)}$$
(12)

The parameter K_s^{o} is a measure of the affinity between the adsorbate and the adsorbent at the reference temperature, while n_o characterizes the heterogeneity of the system at reference temperature. The parameter η is a constant of Sips temperature dependent form.

283

The last model used in this study is the Toth equation. This equation was developed on the basis of potential theory and provides a good description of many systems with submonolayer coverage²¹. Similar to Langmuir equation, Toth equation has finite saturation limit for high concentration and follows Henry's law at very low concentration¹⁹.

288
$$q_{e} = \frac{q_{\max} \cdot C_{e}}{(K_{Th} + C_{e}^{\ t})^{1/t}}$$
(13)

The adsorption affinity of the Toth equation is given by parameter K_{Th} (mg/L)^t, and t is a parameter represents the system heterogeneity. Both of these parameters are affected by temperature and can be written as:

292
$$K_{Th} = K_{Th}^{0} \cdot \exp\left[\frac{E}{R \cdot T_0} \left(\frac{T_0}{T} - 1\right)\right]$$
 (14)

293
$$t = t_0 + \eta \cdot \left(1 - \frac{T_0}{T}\right)$$
 (15)

Where K_{Th}^{o} and t_{o} are adsorption affinity constant and parameter characterizes system heterogeneity at reference temperature, respectively.

296

Temperature has a pronounced effect on the removal capacity of the zeolite as shown in Figure 5 for NatZ and 6M-Z. The uptake of NH_4^+ ions by both of the adsorbents decreased as the temperature increased. The main mechanism of the adsorption of NH_4^+ ions by the zeolite is ion exchange and the process can be written as

301
$$\operatorname{Na}_{x}Z + x \operatorname{NH}_{4}^{+} \leftrightarrow (\operatorname{NH}_{4})_{x}Z + x \operatorname{Na}^{+}$$
 (16)

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

In most cases, ammonium exchange onto a zeolite is an exothermic process 5,8,22 , therefore the increase of temperature will shift the equilibrium condition towards endothermic, and less NH_4^+ ions adsorbed by the NatZ and 6M-Z.

305

Figures 6 and 7 depict the adsorption equilibria of ammonium ions onto NatZ and 6M-Z at 306 307 three different temperatures. The experimental data were fitted by temperature dependent 308 forms of Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips, and Toth equations. The parameters of each model 309 were obtained by the non-linear least-squares method, and the fitting was conducted for all the experimental data at various temperatures simultaneously using $T_o = 298$ K. The Toth 310 311 equation with its temperature dependent forms failed to correlate the adsorption equilibria 312 data of ammonium onto NatZ. The values of parameters of Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips, and 313 Toth equations obtained from the fitting of the adsorption experimental data are summarized 314 in Table 3. Since the Toth equation failed to represent the adsorption equilibria data of 315 ammonium onto NatZ, it will be excluded for further discussions of the validity of the 316 adsorption equations in representing the adsorption experimental data.

317

318 Visually (Figures 6 and 7), Langmuir, Freundlich, and Sips isotherm equations could represent the experimental data well with good value of R^2 (Table 3). However, the decision 319 of the suitability of the models in representing the experimental data should not be based on 320 the visual appearance of the model or the value of R^2 but should be based on the physical 321 meaning of the parameters obtained through the fitting of the data. The parameter q_{max}^0 in the 322 Langmuir and Sips models and the parameter K_F^0 in the Freundlich model represent the 323 adsorption capacity of the adsorbent at 298 K. Since the values of adsorption capacity of 324 NatZ and 6M-Z were in the range of the adsorption capacity of common zeolites ^{15,23}, 325

therefore, the value of parameter q_{\max}^0 and K_F^0 of those models were physically consistent and reasonable.

328

The affinity parameter in the Langmuir and Sips models is expressed as K_L^0 and K_S^0 , 329 respectively. This parameter measures how strong the adsorbate (ammonium ion) is attracted 330 331 to the adsorbent (zeolite) surface. A higher value of the affinity parameter means more 332 adsorbate molecules cover the adsorbent surface. The experimental results revealed that 6M-333 Z zeolite has better adsorption capability than NatZ as seen in Figures 6 and 7. It indicates 334 that 6M-Z zeolite had higher affinity value than NatZ. Based on the affinity parameter values 335 listed in Table 3, all of three model used still capable to correlate the adsorption experimental 336 data.

337

The parameter δ in the Langmuir and Sips equations is the temperature coefficient of adsorbate expansion. The value this parameter is specific for different component and independent with type of adsorbent ²⁴. From Table 5, the fitted values of parameter δ of ammonium ion obtained from both adsorbents and equations were essentially constant and consistent with the value of most liquids and independent on the type of adsorbent. Therefore, the Langmuir and Sips models still had plausible reason for further discussion.

344

In the Freundlich and Sips models, the heterogeneity of a given system is represented by A_0 (Freundlich) and n_0 (Sips). The attachment and exchange of the sodium ion into the zeolite framework would increase the system heterogeneity, and therefore increase the A_0 and n_0 value. The inconsistency of the heterogeneity parameter values with the physical meaning of this parameter is observed as indicated in Table 3. Since both of the Freundlich and Sips

350 models failed to predict a correct value, both of these are excluded in the subsequent 351 discussion.

352

Figures 6 and 7 show that the temperature had a negative effect on the amount of ammonium 353 354 ion uptake by both of NatZ and 6M-Z. This phenomenon indicates that physical adsorption is 355 more dominant than chemisorption. Comparing the heat of adsorption value (E) with adsorption bonding type is necessary to verify the adequacy of Langmuir isotherm model. An 356 357 adsorption process can be classified into physical adsorption if the adsorption energy is less 358 than 40 kJ/mol and chemisorption when the adsorption energy is between 40-80 kJ/mol. In 359 physical adsorption, increasing temperature would weaken the interaction between adsorbate 360 and adsorbent therefore less amount of ammonium ion adsorbed onto zeolite. The fitted 361 adsorption heat value in Langmuir model was found to be consistent with the theory. 362 Accordingly, Langmuir model can represent the adsorption data better than any other models.

363

364 Adsorption kinetic study

The adsorption kinetic information is important for the design of an adsorption system. The rate of ammonium ion adsorbed into NatZ and 6M-Z are represented by pseudo-first order ²⁵ and pseudo-second order ²⁶⁻²⁸ models. The pseudo-first order has the form

368
$$q(t) = q_e \cdot (1 - \exp(-k_1 \cdot t))$$
 (17)

369 While the pseudo-second order has the following form

370
$$q(t) = q_e \cdot \left(\frac{q_e \cdot k_2 \cdot t}{1 + q_e \cdot k_2 \cdot t}\right)$$
 (18)

While k_1 (1/hour) and k_2 (g/mg.hour) are time scaling factor for pseudo-first and pseudo second order, respectively. Time scaling factor describes how fast the system reaches the equilibrium.

374

The adsorption kinetic data of NH_4^+ onto NatZ and 6M-Z are given in Figures 8 and 9. Figure 375 376 8 depicts the experimental data and plots of pseudo-first order while the plots of pseudo-377 second order are given in Figure 9. The fitted parameters of pseudo-first and pseudo-second 378 order are given in Table 4. From Figures 8 and 9 and Table 4, it can be seen that pseudo-first 379 order gave better performance in representing the experiment kinetic data than pseudo-second 380 order. The deviation of q_e obtained from the fitting and experimental data in the pseudo first order is smaller than the pseudo-second order. Based on this evidence, the controlling 381 mechanism of the adsorption of NH_4^+ from aqueous solution onto NatZ and 6M-Z was 382 383 dominated by physical adsorption.

384

Depending on the adsorption mechanism, the time scaling parameter k_1 in pseudo-first order and k_2 in pseudo-second order is also as a function of temperature. At a temperature higher than 30°C, the physical adsorption gave a quite dominant effect in the adsorption of NH₄⁺ onto NatZ and 6M-Z. In both kinetic models, the value of this time scaling parameter decreased with increasing of temperature, obviously, the higher temperature of the system, the longer time was needed for the system to reach equilibrium state.

391

Adsorption of ammonia from real aquaculture water

In order to test the effectiveness of the modified zeolite for removal of NH_4^+ from an aquatic environment, an adsorption study using a real aquaculture system, a Koi pond, was also conducted. The water capacity of the Koi pond was 2 m³ and it was equipped with a filtering and biological system. The number of Koi in the pond was 45 Koi carp with an average weight of 2.0 kg/Koi. With this high density of Koi, the average ammonia concentration in the Koi pond after 1 h feeding was 4.2 mg/L. The pH in the Koi pond was 6.5. The zeolites used for the adsorption of NH_4^+ from the Koi pond were NatZ, 1M-Z, 3M-Z, and 6M-Z. The adsorption experiments were conducted at 30°C in a batch mode.

401

402 The water analysis of the Koi pond before and after zeolite adsorption is given in Table 5. It 403 can be seen that the adsorption in this real system also involved the adsorption of other ions. 404 The competition with other ions occurred through different reaction mechanisms so it 405 decreases the removal efficiency of ammonium ions by the zeolites. For 6M-Z zeolite, the 406 removal efficiency decrease from 81% to 66.9%. The comparison of the adsorption capacity 407 of sodium hydroxide modified zeolite mordenite with other zeolite adsorbents toward the 408 ammonium ion is given in Table 6. From this table it can be seen that the sodium hydroxide 409 modified zeolite mordenite has better ammonium adsorption capacity than other zeolites.

410

411 Continuous adsorption experiment

A breakthrough curve for ammonium provides the performance of adsorption in a packed bed
column system. A number of models with different kinds of assumptions have been
developed and tested for various adsorption systems. One of the models is the Thomas
equation:

416
$$\frac{C_t}{C_o} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left[\left(\frac{K_{Th} \cdot q_{max} \cdot x}{Q}\right) - K_{Th} \cdot C_o \cdot t\right]}$$
(19)

417 Where K_{Th} is Thomas rate constant (mL/min.mg) and q_{max} is maximum adsorption capacity 418 (mg/g).

419

420 The zeolite used for the breakthrough curve experiments was 6M-Z. The breakthrough 421 curves of the adsorption of NH_4^+ from aqueous solution and from Koi pond water are given 422 in Figure 10. The symbols represent the adsorption data while the solid lines represent the

Thomas model. From this figure it can be seen that the Thomas model can represent the experimental data well for both system. The values of parameters K_{Th} and q_{max} for adsorption of NH₄⁺ from the aqueous solution are 0.0082 mL/min.mg and 45.47 mg/g, respectively, while for the real system (Koi pond water) the values are K_{Th} and q_{max} of 0.0080 mL/min.mg and 38.40 mg/g, respectively.

428

The Thomas parameter K_{Th} for both systems is essentially the same, this parameter represents 429 430 the interaction between adsorbent and adsorbate in a dynamic system. Since the breakthrough 431 experiments for both systems were conducted at the same operating conditions (temperature, 432 initial concentration, column diameter, and amount of adsorbent) it is not surprising that the 433 parameter of K_{Th} for both systems should be the same. As mentioned before, the parameter q_{max} represent the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent, the fitted value of q_{max} for adsorption 434 of NH_4^+ from aqueous solution is higher than from the Koi pond water. As seen in Table 5, 435 the Koi pond water contains other ions besides NH_4^+ . During the adsorption of NH_4^+ in the 436 437 packed bed column the competition for active sites or for exchangeable cations (especially Na^+) occurred; therefore less NH_4^+ could be adsorbed/exchanged on the surface of 6M-Z. The 438 439 breakthrough condition was achieved after 800 min.

440

441 CONCLUSIONS

The modification of natural zeolite from Ponorogo, predominantly mordenite, using NaOH as a modifying agent has been successfully conducted. The natural zeolite and its modified forms were used for the removal of ammonium ions from aqueous solution and Koi pond water. The adsorption and kinetic experiments were conducted at three different temperatures at static mode conditions. Temperature-dependent forms of Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips, and Toth adsorption equations were used to analyse the experimental data and among these

448 models the Langmuir model could best represent the data with reasonable values of the fitted 449 parameters. For the kinetic study, well-known pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order 450 equations were used to represent the kinetic data. Pseudo-first order gave better performance 451 than pseudo-second order model. The Thomas model also successfully represents the 452 dynamic adsorption data.

453

454 Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge financial support for this work provided by Directorate of Higher Education, Indonesia Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education through Competency Research Grant with project number 003/SP2H/P/K7/KM/2015.

- 459
- 460
- 461
- 462

463

465	REFERENCES
466	1. Canadian Environmental Protection Act, Ammonia in the Aquatic Environment Book,
467	1999.
468	2. A. Arslan and S. Veli, J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng. 2012, 43, 393-398.
469	3. M. Rozic, S. Cerjan-Stefanovic, S. Kurajica, V. Vancina, and E. Hodzic, Water Res.,
470	2000, 34 , 3675-3681.
471	4. R. Malekian, J. Abedi-Koupai, S. S. Eslamian, S. F. Mousavi, K. C. Abbaspour, and
472	M. Afyuni, Appl. Clay Sci., 2011, 51, 323-329.
473	5. K. Saltali, A. Sari, and M. Aydin, J. Hazard. Mater., 2007, 141, 258-263.
474	6. H. Huo, H. Lin, Y. Dong, H. Cheng, H. Wang, and L. Cao, J. Hazard. Mater., 2012,
475	229-230 , 292-297.
476	7. L. Zhou, and C.E. Boyd, Aquaculture, 2014, 432, 252-257.
477	8. A. Alshameri, A. Ibrahim, A.M. Assabri, X. Lei, H. Wang, and C. Yan, Powder
478	Technol., 2014, 258 , 20-31.
479	9. G. Moussavi, S. Talebi, M. Farrokhi, and R.M. Sabouti, Chem. Eng. J., 2011, 171,
480	1159-1169.
481	10. M. Li, X. Zhu, F. Zhu, G. Ren, G. Cao, and L. Song, Desalination, 2011, 271, 295-
482	300.
483	11. Y.P. Zhao, T.Y. Gao, S.Y. Jiang, and D.W. Cao, J. Environ. Sci. 2004, 16, 1001-
484	1004.
485	12. Y. Watanabe, H. Yamada, J. Tanaka, and Y. Moriyoshi, J. Chem. Technol.
486	Biotechnol. 2005, 80, 376–380.
487	13. H.B. Wang, Y.M. Bao, J. Zhang, H.Y. Chen, L.Z. Ma, and M. Yang, Energy
488	<i>Procedia</i> , 2011, 11 , 4236–4241.

21

- 489 14. R. Leyva-Ramos, J. E. Monsivais-Rocha, A. Aragon-Pina, M. S. Berber-Mendoza, R.
- M. Guerrero-Coronado, P. Alonso-Davila, and J. Mendoza-Barron, J. Environ.
 Manage., 2010, 91, 2662-2668.
- 492 15. Z. Liang, and J.R. Ni, J. Hazard. Mater., 2009, 166, 52–60.
- 493 16. L. Lei, X.J. Li, and X.W. Zhang, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2008, 58, 359–366.
- 494 17. APHA, *Water Environment Federation, Washington DC, USA,* 1998.
- 495 18. I. K. Chandra, Y.-H. Ju, A. Ayucitra, and S. Ismadji, *Int. J. Env. Sci. Technol.*, 2013,
 496 10, 359-370.
- 497 19. Yesi, F. P. Sisnandy, Y.-H. Ju, F. E. Soetaredjo, and S. Ismadji, *Ads. Sci. Technol.*,
 498 2010, 28, 846-868.
- 499 20. A. C. Suwandi, N. Indraswati, and S. Ismadji, *Desal. Wat. Treatment*, 2012, 41, 342500 355.
- 501 21. Do, D.D. (1998) *Adsorption Analysis: Equilbria and Kinetics*, Imperial College Press,
 502 London, U.K.
- 503 22. M. Zhang, H. Zhang, D. Xu, L. Han, D. Niu, B. Tian, J. Zhang, L. Zhang, and W. Wu,
 504 *Desalination*, 2011, 271, 111-121.
- 505 23. V.K. Jha, and S. Hayashi, J. Hazard. Mater., 2009, 169, 29-35.
- 506 24. S. Ismadji and S. K. Bhatia, *Langmuir*, 2001, **17**, 1488-1498.
- 507 25. S. Lagergren, *Handlingar*, 1898, **24**, 1-39.
- 508 26. Y.C. Sharma, G.S. Gupta, G. Prasad, and D.C. Rupainwar, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 1990,
 509 49, 69-79.
- 510 27. M. Essandoh, B. Kunwar, C.U. Pittman Jr, D. Mohan, and T. Misna, *Chem. Eng. J.*,
 511 2015, 265, 219-227.
- 512 28. C. Gan, Y. Liu, X. Tan, S. Wang, G. Zeng, B. Zheng, T. Li, Z. Jiang, and W. Liu,
 513 *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 35107-35115.

514	29. Y. Zhao, B. Zhang, X. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Liu, and R. Chen, J. Hazard. Mater., 2010,
515	178 , 658-664.
516	30. H. Zheng, L. Han, H. Ma, Y. Zheng, H. Zhang, D. Liu, and S. Liang, J. Hazard.
517	Mater., 2008, 158, 577-584.
518	
519	
520	
521	
522	
523	
524	
525	
526	
527	
528	
529	
530	
531	
532	
533	
534	
535	
536	
537	
538	
539	
540	

Table 1. Chemical composition of natural and NaOH modified zeolites as determined by

Element		% w	eight	
-	NatZ	1M-Z	3M-Z	6M-Z
SiO ₂	60.85	60.14	62.05	58.47
Al_2O_3	11.78	12.03	12.6	13.41
CaO	2.43	1.92	0.93	0.11
Fe ₂ O ₃	2.07	2.02	2.01	1.78
Na ₂ O	1.87	2.34	3.05	3.85
K ₂ O	1.05	0.95	0.92	0.51
MgO	0.52	0.51	0.51	0.42
Other	19.43	20.09	17.93	21.45

XRF.

Table 2. The pore characteristics of natural and modified zeolites

Sample	S_{BET} , m^2/g	$V_{micro}, cm^3/g$	$V_{Total}, cm^3/g$
NatZ	30.2	0.002	0.116
1M-Z	38.9	0.002	0.138
3M-Z	49.5	0.002	0.153
6M-Z	58.6	0.002	0.182

Table 3. The parameters of Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips and Toth equations as fitted to the

adsorption of ammonium onto NatZ and 6M-Z

Isotherm Model	Parameters	NatZ	6M-Z
Langmuir	$q^0 max (mg/g)$	7.9462	53.9169
	$\delta \left(\mathrm{K}^{-1} ight)$	0.00203	0.00218
	K_L^0 (L/mg)	0.1111	0.4044
	E (kJ/mol)	1.575	18.234
	R^2	0.9851	0.9882
Freundlich	K_F^{0} (mg/g)(mg/L) ⁻ⁿ	1.2505	1.676
	α/A_0	0.029	-0.0412
	A_0	37.82	36.63
	R^2	0.9835	0.9925
Sips	$q^0_{\max} (mg/g)$	0.1166	0.5633
	$\delta (\mathrm{K}^{-1})$	0.00251	0.00243
	K_S^{0} (L/mg)	4.0834	7.0279
	E (kJ/mol)	0.8499	10.36
	n_0	1.4735	1.333
	η	-0.3281	-1.8641
	R^2	0.9835	0.9724
Toth	q ⁰ max (mg/g)	-	528.567
	K_{Th}^{0} (L/mg)		5.3859
	E (kJ/mol)		31.327
	tO		0.4155
	η		-2.6879
	R^2		0.929

571 **Table 4.** Fitted parameters for pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order for adsorption

572

583

584

kinetic of NH_4^+ onto NatZ and 6M-Z

		Pseudo-first order		Pseudo-second order			
	T (K)	<i>k</i> ₁	q_e	R ²	<i>k</i> ₂	q_e	R ²
		(1/h)	(mg/g)		(g/mg.h)	(mg/g)	
	Using na	tural zeolite a	s adsorbent				
	303	0.2399	2.9457	0.9785	0.0485	4.0609	0.9691
	308	0.1929	2.9243	0.9602	0.0335	4.2665	0.9525
	313	0.1837	2.7522	0.9656	0.0326	4.0744	0.9580
	Using mo	odified zeolite	e as adsorbent				
	303	0.4102	20.7974	0.9796	0.0167	25.6145	0.9644
	308	0.3764	20.3851	0.9733	0.0147	25.5650	0.9568
	313	0.3480	20.1977	0.9698	0.0130	25.7437	0.9550
573							
574							
575							
576							
577							
578							
579							
580							
581							
582							

Table 5. Water analysis report of Koi pond before and after adsorption using zeolites

	Original	NatZ	1M-Z	3M-Z	6M-Z
pH	6.5 ± 0.0	6.5 ± 0.0	6.5 ± 0.0	6.5 ± 0.0	6.5 ± 0.0
Alkalinity total as	121 ± 4.1	121 ± 3.2	120 ± 5.5	121 ± 3.8	119 ± 2.1
CaCO ₃ , mg/L					
CO_3^{2-} as CaCO ₃ , mg/L	1.21 ± 0.04	1.21 ± 0.06	1.18 ± 0.05	1.11 ± 0.05	1.05 ± 0.03
Fe^{2+} , mg/L	0.12 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.02	$0.08\ \pm 0.01$	0.06 ± 0.0
Mn ²⁺ , mg/L	0.01 ± 0.0	0.01 ± 0.0	-	-	-
Cl ⁻ , mg/L	4.50 ± 0.08	4.42 ± 0.05	4.34 ± 0.07	4.24 ± 0.09	4.14 ± 0.21
SO_4^{2-} , mg/L	3.74 ± 0.14	3.69 ± 0.10	3.61 ± 0.09	3.43 ± 0.11	3.11 ± 0.23
NO ₃ ⁻ , mg/L	0.09 ± 0.0	0.08 ± 0.0	0.07 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.0	-
Total ammonia, mg/L	4.20 ± 0.17	3.91 ± 0.13	3.25 ± 0.20	2.61 ± 0.05	1.39 ± 0.06
NO ₂ ⁻ , mg/L	0.01 ± 0.0	-	-	-	-
$PO_4^{3-}, mg/L$	0.15 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.01

Table 6. Adsorption capacity of sodium hydroxide modified zeolite mordenite and several

zeolites samples toward ammonium ion

Sample	Adsorption capacity, mg/g	References
zeolite mordenite	7.94	This study
sodium hydroxide modified	53.91	This study
zeolite mordenite		
New Zealand mordenite	8.70	7
Natural calcium rich zeolite	9.72	15
Sodium salt modified zeolite	15.44	
NaA zeolite from halloysite	44.30	29
Zeolite 13X	8.61	30









620 Figure 2. XRD diffractograms of natural zeolite (NatZ) and its modified form (6M-Z) with

the pattern reported for mordenite in the ICSD JCPDS database.



....









Figure 3. Nitrogen sorption isotherms of natural and modified zeolites





Figure 5. Effect of temperature on ammonium removal using: a) NatZ, b) 6M-Z





Figure 6. Adsorption experimental data of ammonium ion into NatZ and the model fitted by:

(a) Langmuir, (b) Freundlich, and (c) Sips.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscrip



igure 7. Adsorption experimental data of ammonium ion into 6M-Z and the model fitted by (a) Langmuir, (b) Freundlich, (c) Sips, and (d) Toth.



688



689

691



(b). 6M-Z

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscrip

692

693



694

696



and (b) 6M-Z





0.0

0

Figure 10. Breakthrough curve for NH_4^+ adsorption from aqueous solution and Koi pond

600

time (min)

water

400

200

NH4Cl solution

1200

Wastewater

1000

•

800

701