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Abstract

Optical and structural properties of float-type soda lime silicate (SLS) glasses doped with 0.2 mol % TiO$_2$, ZrO$_2$, HfO$_2$, Nb$_2$O$_5$, Ta$_2$O$_5$, MoO$_3$ or WO$_3$ have been studied. Under UV excitation all $d^0$ doped glasses exhibit broadband visible emission centred between 19,000 cm$^{-1}$ and 25,000 cm$^{-1}$ (400nm – 525nm) due to a transition from the 2$p$ orbital of O$^{2-}$ to the metal $d^0$ orbital. Dopant additions lead to shifts in the UV absorption edge to lower energies, with doped glasses having an absorption edge 2,000 cm$^{-1}$ (~20nm), and in the case of MoO$_3$, 4,000 cm$^{-1}$ (~40nm), lower than the corresponding undoped glass. Combined UV-Vis absorption and X-band EPR spectroscopy analyses confirm that dopant cations occur in the studied glasses in the expected oxidation states of Ti$^{4+}$, Zr$^{4+}$, Hf$^{4+}$, Nb$^{5+}$, Ta$^{5+}$, Mo$^{6+}$ and W$^{6+}$, although very low levels of Mo$^{5+}$ are also observed, as demonstrated by the EPR resonance at $g$=1.92 (3.7T). The incorporation of the studied dopants into SLS glasses may find applications as cover glasses in photovoltaic (PV) applications, providing UV protection of polymers and solar cell materials in PV units whilst enhancing solar cell efficiency through downconversion / fluorescence of absorbed UV photons with re-emission as visible photons, available for absorption and conversion by the solar cell material.
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1. Introduction
As solar energy contributes a growing proportion of the energy mix in many countries, there remains a worldwide drive to reduce the cost per Watt of photovoltaic (PV) energy [1]. There are three primary methods of achieving this: (i) increase the service lifetime of the PV cell or module; (ii) increase the efficiency of the PV cell or module; or (iii) decrease the total cell or module cost [2].

Figure 1 demonstrates a typical crystalline silicon (c-Si) solar cell module construction. This study focuses on modifying the SLS glass front sheet and thus the technology is also applicable to PV modules incorporating other cell materials such as amorphous silicon (a-Si), GaAs, CdS/CdTe, perovskite or dye sensitised solar cells. Various glues are utilised for the encapsulant layer, notably polyvinyl butyral (PVB), thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU), and ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA), whilst the back sheets are either aluminium or polyvinyl fluoride (e.g. Tedlar®) [3]. These backsheets are selected for a combination of aesthetics, protection from the environment and reflectivity.

![Diagram of solar cell module construction](image)

**Figure 1.** Typical c-Si solar cell construction (reproduced with permission - Solar Capture Technologies)

PV modules have a desired service lifetime of 20-30 years, however, in high-UV localities this may be substantially reduced due to polymeric damage [4]. During their service lifetimes, c-Si PV module performance degrades by 0.6 - 2.5% per year.
depending on service conditions and manufacturer [4,5]. A major cause of failure within the expected service lifetime of PV modules is delamination caused by UV-induced degradation of the encapsulant layers and polymeric backsheets [3,6], allowing water to ingress and corrode the materials within [7]. Even before delamination occurs, the EVA layer can become discoloured, reducing light transmission and contributing to reduced module efficiency [8]. Absorption of damaging UV photons within the glass front sheet can thus increase the service lifetimes of PV modules. Whilst Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ in glass strongly shift the UV absorption towards the visible, beneficially protecting the polymeric layers from UV damage, there are also visible and IR absorptions which parasitically absorb photons that could otherwise be converted by the photovoltaic material. Absorptions in the UV to visible from 27,250cm$^{-1}$ (366nm) to 21,550cm$^{-1}$ (464nm) corresponding to Fe$^{3+}$, and strong absorptions within the IR between 10,380cm$^{-1}$ (963nm) and 7490cm$^{-1}$ (1335nm) corresponding to Fe$^{2+}$ [9], limit the effectiveness of doping with iron for solar control. Absorptions such as these from 0.01mol% Fe$_2$O$_3$ in silicate glass can cause a 1.1% loss in module output power, and a 9.8% loss for a 0.1mol% Fe$_2$O$_3$ doped silicate glass encapsulant [10]. Reduction of the concentration of Fe in glass is therefore a requirement to facilitate more effective photovoltaic panels, and this approach has been employed industrially. UV control for the protection of the polymeric materials can be achieved through $d^0$ transition metal ions doped into the glass front sheet.

Absorption of high-energy UV photons can give two effects; (i) the energy converting to phonons (heat), (ii) fluorescence / downconversion to visible photons. To generate electrical current in a solar cell semiconductor an electron-hole pair must be generated by the absorption of photons equal or slightly greater in energy than the bandgap, in the case of silicon 1.11 eV. However, if the energy of the incoming photon is much greater than the bandgap energy, the excess energy is lost as heat which reduces solar cell efficiency [11]. Photons with energy lower than the bandgap energy cannot induce an electron hole pair. Since a single-junction silicon solar cell has a theoretical maximum limit of 30%, known as the Shockley-Quiesser limit [12], modification of the solar spectrum through downconversion, upconversion or fluorescence may be employed as a means of increasing the flux of photons with energies at or just above the bandgap
There are two related, but distinct, methods of converting higher energy UV photons to lower energy visible photons: photoluminescence and downconversion. Photoluminescence is characterised by a non-radiative step after excitation, leading to one photon of lower energy being emitted. Downconversion may have a quantum efficiency of greater than 1 as after excitation there is a stepwise radiative relaxation, resulting in two or more photons of lower energy being emitted. The two processes are schematically illustrated in Figure 2.

**Figure 2. Schematic of photoluminescence and downconversion, CB = Conduction band, IB = Intermediate band, VB = Valance band**

Some of the recent research in spectral modification for photovoltaics has been focussed on glasses doped with lanthanide elements [16–19]. This interest has been predominantly in upconversion of IR wavelengths to visible wavelengths. Transition metals are not normally used as dopants in PV module glasses as many transition metals produce $d$-$d$ absorption bands at visible and near-IR energies, which would have a deleterious effect on solar cell efficiency. However, certain transition metals in certain oxidation states, specifically those with a $d^0$ configuration [20–22], have a full outer electron shell, which has traditionally been thought to hamper electronic transitions, and they produce no $d$-$d$ absorption visible or near-IR bands [23,24] but fluoresce in the visible under UV excitation [25–27]. Consequently we hypothesised that such dopants may prove beneficial for doping PV module cover glasses, in that visible and near-IR absorption would be minimised whilst UV absorption would be increased; and the glasses may demonstrate downconversion or fluorescence at visible energies. Only a few studies [20–22,27] have investigated the phenomenon of downconversion and
fluorescence of \( d^0 \) ions in silicate glasses. There is extensive literature concerning \( d^0 \) fluorescence in crystalline materials [28–32] and other glass systems. However, glasses produced using a standard melt quench procedure doped with various \( d^0 \) transition metal ions have been demonstrated to fluoresce under UV excitation (Figure 10) [20]. The low doping levels used within this study potentially confer two benefits: (i) relatively low additional raw materials cost due to the low level of additions; and (ii) may enable technologically achievable melting in float glass plants due to minimal changes in composition. By modifying the cover glasses in PV modules, an efficiency increase can be envisaged, along with protecting the polymeric glues from UV light degradation. In this work, the optical and structural effects of adding small doping levels of \( d^0 \) transition metal ions in a representative soda-lime-silicate (SLS) float glass system have been investigated.

2. Experimental Methods

Raw materials of \( \geq 99.9\% \) purity of sand (SiO\(_2\)), alumina (Al(OH)_3), magnesium carbonate (MgCO\(_3\)), calcium carbonate (CaCO\(_3\)), sodium carbonate (Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\)), sodium sulphate (Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)) and zinc oxide (ZnO) and iron oxide (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) were dried at 110°C for at least 24 hours to remove moisture, then weighed and mixed before melting. Batches to produce 100g of glass of the nominal compositions listed in Table 1 were melted in a zirconia grain stabilised platinum (ZGS-Pt) crucible at 1450°C for 5 hours, before pouring into moulds on a steel plate and annealing at 530°C for 1 hour then cooling within the furnace to room temperature to remove thermal stresses. Samples were polished with decreasing SiC grit sizes to 1µm, before a final polish of 1µm CeO\(_2\) for optical measurements; all other measurements were carried out using powdered glass, prepared in a vibratory disc mill. A base glass was produced using the same method with the 0.20 mol% of dopant replaced by SiO\(_2\). Three iron oxide doped glasses were produced with the doping levels of 0.01mol%, 0.05mol% and 0.10mol% Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), with the iron replacing SiO\(_2\) as per the base glass.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out using a Philips X-Pert X-ray diffractometer, with Cu K\(_\alpha\) radiation = 1.5405980Å, working at 40kV and 40mA on a spinner stage with a step size of 0.001 °2θ. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements were
obtained using a Brucker EMX Premium X EPR spectrometer. Powder samples were measured in silica capillary tubes at room temperature (20°C) at X-Band frequencies (~9.80GHz). Magnetic power was adjusted to collect convenient signal-to-noise ratios without saturation. An empty capillary tube was also measured to enable background correction of sample spectra. EPR measurements were undertaken for qualitative purposes to determine the oxidation state of dopants preponderantly in $d^0$ configuration. UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured on a Varian Cary 50 scan UV visible spectrophotometer over the range 200-1000nm, at a scan rate of 60nm/min with a data interval of 0.5nm. Fluorescence measurements were collected on a Varian Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer. Samples were held at 30$^\circ$ to the excitation source and scanned at 240nm to 330nm in 10nm intervals, with the 360-1100nm filter to remove excitation interference. All samples were scanned with 120nm/min scan rate, with a data interval of 1nm, and slit widths of 20nm for excitation and 20nm for emission; the detector voltage was set to 400V. The chemical composition of the prepared base glass was analysed using a Phillips Magix Pro X-Ray fluorescence spectrometer and a Panalytical Axios Fast fluorescence spectrometer in a 1:10 sample to lithium tetraborate flux ratio as a fused bead. Beads were melted in a Pt/5%Au crucible at 1065$^\circ$C for 15 minutes before being air cooled. Scans were carried out on the SuperQ 3-IQ+ software in the oxide setting. Uncertainties in XRF analysis results are conservatively estimated to be ±2% of the measured concentrations. Sample densities were measured on samples of 10-30g bulk glass using the Archimedes method in deionised water. Results shown in table 1 are averages of at least 3 independent measurements, corrected for the density of water at the measurement temperature. Uncertainties in measured densities are estimated to be ± 0.005 g cm$^{-3}$. 
Table 1 Sample nominal and analysed compositions (mol %) and measured densities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample Nominal</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>SO₃</th>
<th>ZnO</th>
<th>Dopant</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base SLS (XRF)</td>
<td>70.51 (72.00)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.48)</td>
<td>5.48 (5.01)</td>
<td>9.25 (9.13)</td>
<td>13.95 (13.20)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.00 (&lt;0.10)</td>
<td>0.00 (&lt;0.10)</td>
<td>2.484</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ SLS</td>
<td>70.31</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂ SLS</td>
<td>70.31</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.497</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HfO₂ SLS</td>
<td>70.31</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.501</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb₂O₅ SLS</td>
<td>70.31</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.504</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta₂O₅ SLS</td>
<td>70.31</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.518</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO₃ SLS</td>
<td>70.31</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO₃ SLS</td>
<td>70.31</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.510</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃ SLS</td>
<td>66.10 (68.52)</td>
<td>5.00 (5.03)</td>
<td>5.48 (5.22)</td>
<td>9.25 (8.94)</td>
<td>13.95 (13.55)</td>
<td>0.22 (&lt;0.10)</td>
<td>0.00 (&lt;0.10)</td>
<td>0.00 (&lt;0.10)</td>
<td>2.511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃ / TiO₂ SLS</td>
<td>65.90</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃ / Nb₂O₅ SLS</td>
<td>65.90</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO SLS</td>
<td>70.51 (70.37)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.52)</td>
<td>4.48 (3.12)</td>
<td>9.25 (8.89)</td>
<td>13.95 (14.30)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.31)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.82)</td>
<td>0.00 (&lt;0.10)</td>
<td>2.521</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO / TiO₂ SLS</td>
<td>70.31</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.523</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO / Nb₂O₅ SLS</td>
<td>70.31</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.521</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01% Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>70.50</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.491</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05% Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>70.46</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.492</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10% Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>70.41</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.494</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Results

Table 1 presents the nominal compositions of all studied glasses. The XRF analysis results for the base (undoped) glass are also presented; as are the measured densities for all glasses. As expected, densities of all doped glasses are slightly greater than the density of the base glass, reflecting the effects of the heavier added constituents on glass densities [33–35].

XRD patterns for three of the studied samples, representative of all of the studied glasses, are shown in Figure 3. All patterns are consistent with glasses, with no sharp diffraction peaks and a broad amorphous hump centred at ca. 25°2θ. The doped systems were analysed through XRD to confirm no diffraction peaks were present (not presented). All diffraction patterns of the doped systems were the indistinguishable from that of the base glass, and confirm the X-ray amorphous nature of all samples.

![X-Ray diffraction patterns of undoped (base) glasses](image)

*Figure 3. X-Ray diffraction patterns of undoped (base) glasses*

Raman spectra of doped and undoped float glass samples are shown in
Figure 4. Each trace is composed of five main peaks, consistent with other float glass samples [33,34]. The Nb$_2$O$_5$ and MoO$_3$ doped samples have an additional peak as labelled by ● and ■ respectively. In Figure 4 the Nb$_2$O$_5$ doped sample displays an additional peak centered at 875 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to NbO$_6$ octahedra [36,37], which have a higher Raman cross section relative to the glass matrix. [MoO$_3$]$_2^-$ complexes in soda lime silicate glasses give rise to the peak at 925 cm$^{-1}$ [38,39]. The results indicate no major structural changes occur upon small modifications to the base glass matrix. This is consistent with the low doping levels and the XRD analyses in Figure 3.
Figure 4. Normalised Raman spectra of base and doped glasses, ● = NbO$_6$ octahedra (875 cm$^{-1}$), ■ = [MoO$_4$]$^{2+}$ tetrahedra (925 cm$^{-1}$)
Figure 5 shows EPR spectra of powdered glass samples, measured in silica tubes at X-band frequencies. Two paramagnetic signals, $g=4.3$ (1.6T) and $g=2.0$ (3.4T), correspond to Fe$^{3+}$ in isolated and clustered environments respectively [40], though this is highly contested. Whilst Mn$^{2+}$ results in resonances at $g=4.3$ and $g=2.0$ [41,42], the lack of hyperfine structure at $g=2.0$ further indicates that the observed resonances are due to Fe$^{3+}$. Fe$_2$O$_3$ was not deliberately added to the glass melts and is present in impurity levels. Doped samples display the same peaks as the base glass, indicating the dopants are in the expected oxidation states of Ti$^{4+}$, Zr$^{4+}$, Hf$^{4+}$, Nb$^{5+}$, Ta$^{5+}$, W$^{6+}$, and Mo$^{6+}$. In the case of MoO$_3$ doped glass there is an additional weak resonance at $g=1.92$ (3.7T) corresponding to Mo$^{5+}$, shown in the inset of Figure 5.
UV VIS absorption spectra of base and doped glasses are shown in Figure 6. These spectra all show strong UV absorption edges arising from the Si-O network and network modifying cations. Importantly this band is modified strongly by transition metals including Fe-O bonds. The MoO$_3$ doped spectra is 4,000 cm$^{-1}$ (~40nm) shifted towards the visible region relative to the base glass. The dotted line is reproduced from Yang et al. [43] and extended with data from Fix et al. [44], giving the absorbance of EVA glue. This value changes depending on the composition and age of the glue [45], with older, more irradiated glue having an absorption shifted towards the visible. The AM1.5 solar spectrum shows that high energy photons (>25,000 cm$^{-1}$, <400nm) have lower spectral irradiance, however these photons are particularly damaging to the polymer layers. The UV absorption profiles of the doped glasses absorb significant portions of these high energy, damaging, photons, particularly in the case of MoO$_3$. 

Figure 6. UV-VIS absorption of base and doped glasses
Figure 7 shows UV Vis absorption spectra of the d⁰ doped glasses, and Fe₂O₃ doped glasses. Increasing quantities of Fe₂O₃ in silicate glasses shift the UV edge towards the visible. The prominent peak at 26,220cm⁻¹ (381nm) corresponds to the \(^6\)A₁(S) → \(^4\)E(D) transition of Fe³⁺ [9] which is present in all samples, in a lower intensity in the d⁰ doped samples. MoO₃ doped SLS glass has a UV edge of similar position to that of 0.05mol% Fe₂O₃, with lower intensity of bands at 26,220cm⁻¹ (381nm). Absorption in the IR region corresponds to Fe²⁺ [9] and prevents the transmission of photons close to the bandgap of c-Si solar cells, deleteriously impacting efficiency. All sample were 8.0±0.1mm thickness and were normalised to 10mm thickness.
The fluorescence emission spectra from 41,666 cm\(^{-1}\) (240 nm) excitation of \(d^0\) doped glasses are presented in Figure 8. Each demonstrates broadband emission between 19,000 cm\(^{-1}\) and 25,000 cm\(^{-1}\) (400 nm to 525 nm). The colour photograph in Figure 10 shows the variation in colour and emission intensity upon UV excitation. ZrO\(_2\) and MoO\(_3\) doped glasses weakly emit at these excitation wavelengths [20]. Figure 9 shows the maximum intensity of emission at various excitation wavelengths, all dopants have stronger emission at higher wavenumber excitation. The glasses doped with Nb\(_2\)O\(_5\) and Ta\(_2\)O\(_5\) contain twice the quantity of active ions relative to TiO\(_2\), ZrO\(_2\), HfO\(_2\), MoO\(_3\) andWO\(_3\). This may, in part, explain the greater emission intensity of the 0.20mol% doped SLS glasses with Nb\(_2\)O\(_5\) and Ta\(_2\)O\(_5\). Modification of the host matrix affects the emission of TiO\(_2\) as presented in Figure 11, with the addition of 5.00mol% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) into the glass increasing emission intensity by a factor of 2. The effect is also present in Nb\(_2\)O\(_5\) doped glasses with a modified matrix, the addition of 1.00mol% ZnO increases emission intensity by a factor of 2.5, as shown in Figure 12.
Figure 9. Variation of emission intensity as a function of excitation wavelength and dopant type.

Figure 10 shows the visible fluorescence from the singularly doped $d^0$ glasses. As sample thicknesses and an excitation beam size of 1cm$^2$ are common to all samples and measurements, the total cross sectional area which is excited is consistent, minimising sample differences. While at sea level there are few photons with energies within the deep UV ($\geq 33,000\text{cm}^{-1}$, $< 300\text{nm}$) that would induce high fluorescence emission from the doped glasses, the effect, albeit at lower intensity, still occurs from excitation in the near UV region (33,000cm$^{-1}$ to 30,300cm$^{-1}$ or $300\text{nm}$ to $300\text{nm}$).
Figure 10. Visible fluorescence from d⁰ doped SLS glasses. Photograph taken under 39370cm⁻¹ (254nm) UV light.
Figure 11. Fluorescence emission of doped TiO\textsubscript{2} glasses

Figure 12. Fluorescence emission of doped Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} glasses
4. Discussion

The XRD patterns in Figure 3 show no sharp peaks or lines associated with crystalline phases, thus confirming the amorphous nature of the representative samples considered. The diffraction patterns are consistent with other oxide glasses, showing the amorphous "hump" typical of oxide glasses [46]. Whilst transition metal dopants can induce crystallisation in glass melts, the low doping concentrations in this study are below the thresholds observed in other studies [47,48]. Differences in the profile of XRD patterns are not readily detected in SLS glasses with increasing Al$_2$O$_3$ content below ca. 7.0mol% Al$_2$O$_3$ [49]. The replacement of SiO$_2$ with Al$_2$O$_3$ favours the formation of Q$^3$ structural units [50]. The amorphous nature of the glasses studied here reduces fluorescence intensities but broadens emission peaks, by comparison with crystalline equivalents [51]. Since both ZnO and Al$_2$O$_3$ demonstrate intermediate glass forming characteristics [46] they both can integrate and act as network formers. Densities are presented in Table 1 and are consistent with both the Fluegel model [33] and other experimental values [34], indicating that glass compositions, for all samples, are close to their nominal compositions based on batch calculations.

The Raman spectra in
Figure 4 show only small variations between the base and doped glasses, with only Nb$_2$O$_5$ and MoO$_3$ showing significant changes highlighted with the circle • at 875 cm$^{-1}$ and the square ■ at 925 cm$^{-1}$ respectively. The six Raman bands in the base glass spectrum correspond to different structural motifs, the most intense band centred on 1093 cm$^{-1}$ is due to the stretching mode of Si-O-Si in Q$^3$ arrangements [52–55] indicating a highly polymerised silicate network. The broad band centred at 990 cm$^{-1}$ is consistent with the Si-NBO stretching mode (i.e. Q$^2$) [53,54]. The band centred at at ca. 944 cm$^{-1}$ is due to Q$^2$ speciation. The band centred at 796 cm$^{-1}$ arises from Si-O-Si symmetric stretching modes between Si-O tetrahedra [52,54,56]. The bands at 450 cm$^{-1}$ and 556 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to Si-O-Si symmetric stretching of Q$^4$ and Q$^3$ species, respectively [57]. The SLS glass sample doped with 0.20 mol% Nb$_2$O$_5$ exhibits an extra band relative to the base glass, at around 875 cm$^{-1}$. This is attributed to Nb-O symmetric vibrations in NbO$_6$ octahedra [58]: due to the high Raman cross section of niobium octahedra the peaks are detectable at low (>0.10 mol%) concentrations in SLS glasses [36,37]. Molybdate tetrahedra also present strong Raman cross sections, thus giving rise to a stronger signal relative to the corresponding network [59]. The peak at 925 cm$^{-1}$ in
Figure 4 corresponds to symmetric stretching of [MoO$_4$]$^{2-}$ tetrahedral entities in the glassy phase [38,39]. Bands associated with the $d^0$ transition metals, Ti$^{4+}$ (937 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to internal vibrations of TiO$_4$ tetrahedra, and 1100 cm$^{-1}$ to symmetric stretches of TiO$_4$) [60], Zr$^{4+}$ (642 cm$^{-1}$ tetragonal ZrO$_2$) [58], Hf$^{4+}$ (680 cm$^{-1}$ tetragonal HfO$_2$), Ta$^{5+}$ (786 cm$^{-1}$ octahedral TaO$_6$) [61] and W$^{6+}$ (916 cm$^{-1}$, 958 cm$^{-1}$, 1017 cm$^{-1}$ octahedral WO$_6$) [62], were expected due to their high polarisability relative to Si. However, these were not observed through a subtraction of the base glass spectrum from the doped glass’ spectra. The high polarisability of the transition metals confer a higher Raman cross section relative to the silicate network, however, the low doping concentrations used may result in low intensity peaks which are not readily detected.

EPR detects unpaired electrons, hence the resonances at $g=4.3$ (1.6T) and $g=2.0$ (3.4T) shown in Figure 5 correspond to Fe$^{3+}$ which occurs as an impurity in the raw materials used to produce all sample glasses. Both resonances have been widely observed, even in spectra for highly dilute glasses [40]. Fe$^{2+}$ cannot be directly measured though room temperature X-band EPR due to its short spin-lattice relaxation time and lack of unpaired electrons [40]. The resonance at $g=4.3$ (1.6T) corresponds to Fe$^{3+}$ in an isolated environment [41,63]. The resonance at $g=2.0$ (3.4T) is due to exchange-coupled Fe$^{3+}$ ions [63–65]. It occurs even at impurity concentrations, but has also been attributed to octahedral Fe$^{3+}$ [40,66]. Since EPR does not detect unpaired electrons and $d^0$ ions have no unpaired electrons, the lack of additional EPR peaks is consistent with the dopants being present in the expected oxidation states of Ti$^{4+}$, Zr$^{4+}$, Hf$^{4+}$, Nb$^{5+}$, Ta$^{5+}$, Mo$^{6+}$ and W$^{6+}$ [20,23,24]. However, the EPR spectrum for the MoO$_3$ doped glass (Figure 5) shows an additional weak resonance at $g=1.92$ (3.7T) which corresponds to Mo$^{5+}$ [23]. This reduced form of Mo ($d^1$) can give rise to a yellow colour in oxide glasses due to the $^4A_2$-4$^4T_2$ absorption band centred at 28,500 cm$^{-1}$ (350nm) and 22,700 cm$^{-1}$ (440nm) [23,67,68]. This may partly explain the shifted UV edge in the optical absorption spectra shown in Figure 6. However, given the weakness of the Mo$^{5+}$ EPR resonance, it can be concluded that the proportion of Mo present in this oxidation state is very small and the vast majority of Mo is present as Mo$^{6+}$. The oxidation state of Fe in soda lime silica glasses is affected by batch constituents and redox conditions during melting. The oxidation state/s of $d^0$ transition metal oxides dissolved in molten
glasses can thus be influenced / controlled by redox conditions, affecting the absorbance and emission properties of the glasses [23]. Redox control is essential for any commercial glass manufacture. Using current float glass manufacturing technologies, typical Fe^{2+}/ΣFe redox ratios of ~0.2 are common. Whilst the glasses produced in this study did not utilise commercial glassmaking raw materials or melting atmospheres, they were melted at broadly similar temperatures and thus, according to Van t’Hoff’s Law, it is estimated that the Fe^{2+}/ΣFe redox ratios in the glasses studied were not greatly dissimilar to those obtained in many commercial float glasses, although it is likely they were more oxidised than float glasses. It was not possible to quantitatively measure the iron content from the EPR spectra as the measurements were made to qualitatively determine the valance of the dopants. The weakness of the Fe^{3+} resonances are qualitatively consistent with Fe^{3+} contents in the ppm range [69]. The Fe_{2}O_{3} content was below the limit of detection for the program used for XRF (ca. 200ppm). For some of the dopants studied here (Ti, Mo), redox potentials developed by Schreiber et al [70,71] indicate that, under all but very strongly reducing conditions, these dopants will occur in soda-lime-silica glasses as Ti^{4+} and as, predominantly, Mo^{6+}. No comparable glass redox potential data was identified for the other dopants studied here, however, based on aqueous redox potentials it can reasonably be assumed that these dopants will occur in soda-lime-silica glasses prepared under oxidising melting conditions, predominantly as Nb^{5+}, Ta^{5+}, Zr^{4+}, Hf^{4+} and W^{6+}. The results of this study are consistent with this view.

Optical samples were polished to 8.0±0.1 mm thickness and, as shown by the transmission spectra in Figure 6, all are of high quality optical polishing as poor polishing leads to large amounts of scattering at the air-glass interface and results in poor transmission of light. The UV absorption edge is characterised by cut off wavelength corresponding to photon energies high enough to induce absorption [47]. In similar silicate glass compositions, Meng et al. showed that 1 mol % MoO_{3} shifts UV absorption to lower wavenumbers more strongly than some other d^{0} ions (Ti^{4+}, Zr^{4+}, Nb^{5+}, Ta^{5+} and W^{6+}) [20], and we find a corresponding result for the glasses studied here. It has been demonstrated the local structure of MoO_{3} has a strong influence on the absorption which can shift the absorption edge towards ca. 24,000 cm^{-1} (415nm)
[72]. However, as shown by our EPR results and the corresponding optical absorption spectra, in the Mo-doped sample studied here, the molybdenum has been partially reduced to Mo$^{5+}$ which could contribute to the shifted absorption. In Figure 7, Fe$_2$O$_3$ doped glasses are shown to shift the UV edge towards the visible region with increasing quantities of iron oxide. It has been demonstrated 0.01mol% Fe$_2$O$_3$ doped silicate glass as a PV encapsulant layer reduces module output by 1.1% due to the visible and IR absorptions at 26,220cm$^{-1}$ and 11,000cm$^{-1}$ (381nm and 909nm) of Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ [10]. Doping silicate glasses with 0.20mol% of d$^0$ ion oxide provides the solar protection, shown in Figure 6, without the deleterious bands shown in Figure 7.

EVA glues absorb strongly above 26,666cm$^{-1}$ (below 375nm) [43] with photons of higher energy inducing greater damage. An NREL study on the yellowing index of EVA glues in silicon based PV panels covered with a standard SLS glass with a UV edge of 295nm was 81.9. PV modules prepared in the same manner with SLS glasses doped with cerium oxide to control the UV edge to 325nm and 330nm had yellowing indexes of 23.8 and 17.8 respectively after 35 weeks of accelerated aging [45]. The glasses in the NREL study were doped with cerium oxide: we postulate that the d$^0$ doped glasses studied here may also be suitable to achieve similar UV protection. As shown in Figure 6, glasses with UV absorption closer to that of the EVA absorption line do not act as 100% effective bandpass filters. Shifting the absorption of the glasses to overlap the EVA absorption would induce a deleterious effect on the module efficiency by absorbing visible photons. An effective balance of the beneficial UV absorption against the negative visible absorption in the glass superstrate requires further study.

As shown in Figure 8 under excitation from 41,666cm$^{-1}$ (240nm) light, there is a large variation in emission intensity as a function of dopant type. The centre of the emission peaks vary up to 5,000cm$^{-1}$ (100nm) between Ta$_2$O$_5$ and Nb$_2$O$_5$. At sea level there are few photons with high energies in the deep UV (> ca. 33,000 cm$^{-1}$, < 300nm), that would be required to induce strong fluorescence emission from glasses containing the dopants described herein. However, the effect, albeit weaker, still occurs from excitation in the near-UV region (ca. 33,000cm$^{-1}$ to 30,300 cm$^{-1}$ or 300 to 330 nm). It has been suggested a possible origin of the emission are from defects in the silicate network.
induced by the addition of the various doped ions, especially Ta$^{5+}$ [22], however, the EPR spectra only show Fe$^{3+}$ impurity. A more convincing mechanism is ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT) [73]. The excited state corresponds to $n\sigma^0$ (n=3, 4, 5) of the transition metal ion, and the ground state is the 2$p^6$ state of the oxide ions surrounding it, as shown in Figure 13.

![Diagram](image)

*Figure 13 Schematic mechanism for $n\sigma^0$ fluorescence emission n=3,4,5*

In Figure 9 the variation of emission intensity as a function of excitation wavelength is shown. While at 41,666cm$^{-1}$ (240nm) excitation the Ta$_2$O$_5$ doped sample shows the strongest emission, Nb$_2$O$_5$ and TiO$_2$ were selected for codoping with Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO, in an effort to further increase emission intensity, due to their low cost and high emission intensities over a wide range of excitation ranges. The levels of Nb$_2$O$_5$ and Ta$_2$O$_5$ added contained twice the quantity of active ions relative to the remaining doped systems. Due, at least in part, to the effectively higher doping concentration, the emission intensity is proportionately higher. The glasses were modified to either contain 5.0 mol% Al$_2$O$_3$ (replacing SiO$_2$), or 1.0 mol% ZnO (replacing MgO). Shown in Figure 11, the Al$_2$O$_3$ codoped TiO$_2$ sample exhibits enhanced fluorescence emission without changing $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ due to the matrix having lower total phonon energy [74], resulting in fewer non-radiative losses, and thus a higher fluorescence emission. ZnO codoped glasses induce to a shoulder peak developing around 23,000cm$^{-1}$ (434nm). This is due to the fluorescence emission of Zn$^{2+}$, it is understood the luminescence is due to interstitial
zinc defects, involving a transition from the conduction band edge to a deep acceptor level [75]. It has been shown that codoping with ZnO/Nb$_2$O$_5$ enhances the fluorescence emission relative to singly-doped Nb$_2$O$_5$ samples [76]. This may be due to enhancing the electron-hole recombination effect. Small modifications to the host glass matrix do not significantly change the structure, as evidenced by the XRD and Raman traces, but can have a significant effect on the emission intensity when excited under UV light. Differences in the Raman spectra reflect the high polarisability of the transition metal dopants. Glasses outlined in this article would be particularly suitable for PV modules in locations with high UV such as high altitude locations such as Peru, Chile, Argentina or New Zealand where the EVA and backsheet are more vulnerable and the higher flux of UV photons allows for greater emission intensities.

5. Conclusions

A series of glasses doped with $d^0$ ions was prepared through a standard melt quench technique. Upon excitation by UV light all glasses demonstrate visible fluorescence of different magnitudes centred between 20,000cm$^{-1}$ and 25,000cm$^{-1}$ (400nm – 500nm), with the greatest intensity from 41,666cm$^{-1}$ (240nm) excitation. A shift in the absorption spectra towards the visible region has been demonstrated in all doped samples, with MoO$_3$ doped glass having the strongest effect. This has been attributed to a partial reduction in Mo$^{6+}$ to Mo$^{5+}$ shown by the peak at $g$=1.92 (3.7T) through EPR. Glasses doped with Nb$_2$O$_5$ and MoO$_3$ exhibit additional Raman peaks centred at 875cm$^{-1}$ and 925cm$^{-1}$, respectively, attributed to Nb-O vibrations in NbO$_6$ octahedra and Mo-O stretching modes in [MoO$_4$]$^{2-}$ tetrahedra. Through modification of the glass matrix with Al$_2$O$_3$ or ZnO, the fluorescence emission intensity can be enhanced in the case of TiO$_2$ and Nb$_2$O$_5$. SLS glasses doped with $d^0$ ions confer several potential advantages for PV cover glass applications through absorption of damaging UV light and re-emission as near-UV and visible light, which could simultaneously enhance both PV module lifetimes and efficiencies. The glasses presented in this article are primarily suitable for
absorption of damaging UV photons and hence for the protection of the EVA glue and backsheet layers. Further optimisation is required to fully overlap the absorption profile of the glass cover sheet to that of the EVA glue, whilst remaining transparent to visible photons. Modification of the excitation and emission properties of the dopants to more closely align with that of the particular solar cell is also required.
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