HIIT: Current evidence and future application in cardiovascular rehabilitation

NICHOLS, Simon <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0377-6982>

Sheffield Hallam University

National Centre for Sport & Exercise Medicine
Working for Health & Wellbeing

Member of the IOC Medical Research Network 2015-18

BACPR
British Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation

HIIT: Current evidence and future application in cardiovascular rehabilitation

Dr Simon Nichols

✉ s.j.nichols@shu.ac.uk
@nichols87simon

BACPR Annual Conference 2017

Conflicts of Interest: None

Outline

- Brief background of HIIT
- The aerobic fitness benefits(?) of HIIT
- HIIT in cardiac populations
- Emerging UK evidence

What is HIIT?

Repeat bouts of short duration high intensity exercise interspersed with short duration low intensity active recovery periods

Some disagreement in intensity zones - typically submaximal efforts >80% of maximal HR/>80 peak work rate

Variations in exercise volume/programme length



Where did HIIT come from?

Reported as early as the 1920s in athletic populations

1954 - Sir Rodger Bannister used HIIT during as a medical student during his lunch break - achieved the 4 minute mile

1960's peer-reviewed literature begins to emerge in healthy/athletic populations

1970/80's– Evidence for interval and high intensity interval training in clinical populations begins to emerge

1996 – Katerina Meyer found that interval training in CHF was safe – Assessed catecholamine, cardiac/metabolic stress, & dyspnoea - CHF patients can tolerate HIIT.

Today – A vast volume of literature on the efficacy of HIIT in health and disease

Is it safe?

Table 1. The number of patients, exercise-hours and the corresponding number of cardiovascular events associated with moderate- and high-intensity exercise, respectively.

Center	Patients (n)	Total training (hours)	Moderate-intensity (hours)	High-intensity (hours)
Ålesund	775	25 720[1]	15 232	10 488[1]
Feiring	2629	85 208[2]	63 032[1]	22 176[1]
Røros	1442	64 892	51 192	13 700
Total	**4846**	**175 820**	**129 456**	**46 364**
Event rates:				
Cardiac arrest, fatal			1	0
Cardiac arrest, non-fatal			0	2
Myocardial infarction			0	0
Risk of events		**1/58 607**	**1/129 456**	**1/23 182**

The likelihood of a cardiac event in high risk individuals appears to be low when conducting either moderate, or high intensity exercise

Rognmo Ø *et al,* (2012)

Is it Effective?



Is it Really Effective?

Higher Vol. – Bacon '13



Bacon et al (2013)

Favours HIIT 0.51 L/min (43 to 0.60 L/min) up to 0.9 L for longer studies

Lower Vol. – Weston '14

	Effect on VO_{2max} (%)		Inference
	Mean	±90 % CL	
Effect on treatment groups[a]			
Sedentary males	10.0	±5.1	Possibly moderate ↑
Sedentary females	7.3	±4.8	Likely small ↑
Active non-athletic males	6.2	±3.1	Likely moderate ↑
Active non-athletic females	3.6	±4.3	Possibly moderate ↑
Athletic males	2.7	±4.6	Unclear
Controls	1.2	±2.0	Unclear

Weston et al (2014)

The effects of low vol. HIIT appear to favour less fit populations

There is no definitive consensus on whether HIIT is superior to *Well Prescribed* endurance exercise training apparently populations

HIIT and Cardiometabolic Health

The effects of short-term HIIT also favour less fit populations

Batacan ‘17



Similar to VO_{2peak}, HIIT appears more effective at improving cardiometabolic health when individuals are unfit or sedentary

Adaptations in sedentary individuals

Type	Frequency	Time	Intensity	Result
MISS	Exercise 3 x p/week for 8 weeks	Exercise progressed from 20 to 35 minutes	~60% PPO	VO_{2peak} - MISS 9% : HIIT 15% a-VO_2 diff – MISS ↑: HITT ↑ Q_{max}: MISS ~ : HITT ↑ VO_2 Kinetics - MISS~ : HIIT: ↑ Exhaustion Time - MISS↑: MISS↑ ↑ Capillary/Fibre R - MISS↑: MISS↑
HIIT			4 min low / 1 minute 90% PPO	

Effect of interval versus continuous training on cardiorespiratory and mitochondrial functions: relationship to aerobic performance improvements in sedentary subjects

Frédéric N. Daussin,[1] Joffrey Zoll,[1] Stéphane P. Dufour,[1] Elodie Ponsot,[1] Evelyne Lonsdorfer-Wolf,[1] Stéphane Doutreleau,[1] Bertrand Mettauer,[1,2] François Piquard,[1] Bernard Geny,[1] and Ruddy Richard[1]

[1]CHRU of Strasbourg, Physiology and Functional Explorations Department, Civil Hospital, Strasbourg, France and University Louis Pasteur, Faculty of Medicine, Physiology Department, Strasbourg, France; and [2]Cardiology Department, Civil Hospital, Colmar, France

So what might this mean for cardiovascular rehabilitation programmes?

Is HIIT Effective in Cardiac Populations?



Mean difference favours HIIT by 3.03 mL/kg/min (95% CI 2.00 to 4.07; p<0.001)

Six out of 10 studies conducted by the same research group

Only 273 patients included

Other systematic reviews/meta-analyses show similar results

Heart Failure Only

Mean difference favours HIIT by 2.14 mL/kg/min (95% CI 0.66 to 3.63)



Haykowsky et al (2013)

The Clinical Significance?

VO_{2peak} is one of the strongest clinical prognosticators. Improvements in VO_{2peak} are consistently associated with improved survival:

- Kodama *et al.* (2009): ~103,000 patients; demonstrate 1 MET improvement in aerobic fitness confers 13% survival advantage
- Myers *et al.* (2002): ~6200 patients: 1 MET improvement in aerobic fitness confers 12% survival advantage
- Vanhees *et al.* (1995): 1% improvement in exercise training induced VO_{2peak} confers a 2% survival advantage in patients with CHD

Low aerobic fitness indicates poor cardiometabolic health - Unpublished

- Lean body mass
- Android/gynoid ratio
- Ventilatory anaerobic threshold
- Heart rate recovery (1,2,3 and 6 minutes)
- Haemoglobin/Haematocrit

- BMI
- Waist to hip ratio
- Left ventricular ejection fraction
- Previous MI
- Lipid profiles

- NT-proBNP
- hs-CRP
- Glucose (non-fasting)
- VE/VCO_2 slope
- Oxygen uptake efficiency slope
- Resting heart rate



The Role of HIIT in Cardiac Populations

Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease (Review)

Heran BS, Chen JMH, Ebrahim S, Moxham T, Oldridge N, Rees K, Thompson DR, Taylor RS

Exercise-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation for Coronary Heart Disease

Cochrane Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Lindsey Anderson, PHD,* Neil Oldridge, PHD,† David R. Thompson, PHD,‡ Ann-Dorthe Zwisler, MD,§ Karen Rees, PHD,‖ Nicole Martin, MA,¶ Rod S. Taylor, PHD*

Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness in cardiac rehabilitation patients: A meta-analysis

Gavin Sandercock *, Valentina Hurtado, Fernando Cardoso

Rehabilitation after myocardial infarction trial (RAMIT): multi-centre randomised controlled trial of comprehensive cardiac rehabilitation in patients following acute myocardial infarction

Robert R West,[1] Dee A Jones,[2] Andrew H Henderson[3]

The minimum clinically important improvement in the incremental shuttle walk test following cardiac rehabilitation

Linzy Houchen-Wolloff, Sally Boyce and Sally Singh

Cardiorespiratory fitness changes in patients receiving comprehensive outpatient cardiac rehabilitation in the UK: a multicentre study

Gavin R H Sandercock,[1] Fernando Cardoso,[1] Meshal Almodhy,[1] Garyfallia Pepera[2]

The Role of HIIT in Cardiac Populations

- Evidence for the application of HIIT in clinical practice is evolving
- There remains a lack of high quality 'pragmatic' real-world evidence
- A major research/logistical challenge in the UK? Prescribing HIIT when maximal exercise testing is not widely available.

@HIITorMISSUK - Study update

Pragmatic multi-centre RCT – 510 patients
Eight weeks – 2 x per week
10 x high intensity bouts at 85 - 90% PPO
10 x low intensity bouts at 20 - 25% PPO
Control group – standard care at 40-70% HRR
Assessed following intervention ~8 weeks and at 12 months
Primary outcome measure - VO_{2peak}
Also assessing other physiological, psychosocial and economic outcomes

BMJ Open

High-intensity interval training versus moderate-intensity steady-state training in UK cardiac rehabilitation programmes (HIIT or MISS UK): study protocol for a multicentre randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation

Gordon McGregor,[1,2] Simon Nichols,[3] Thomas Hamborg,[4] Lucy Bryning,[5] Rhiannon Tudor-Edwards,[5] David Markland,[6] Jenny Mercer,[2] Stefan Birkett,[3] Stuart Ennis,[1,2] Richard Powell,[1] Brian Begg,[2,7] Mark J Haykowsky,[8] Prithwish Banerjee,[1,9] Lee Ingle,[3] Rob Shave,[2] Karianne Backx[2]

@HIITorMISSUK

@HIITorMISSUK



Have Your Say!

- We would like to understand how UK CR exercise programmes are currently operating.
- At the end of our survey, there is a section relating to the future role that HIIT may have in UK CR programmes.
- We just need one response from each team – Someone directly involved in exercise provision
- https://northumbria.onlinesurveys.ac.uk/an-evaluation-of-exercise-provision-within-uk-cardiac-reha

Sheffield Hallam University

northumbria UNIVERSITY NEWCASTLE

Summary

- Compared to MISS, HIIT appears to provide superior health benefits
- Variation in HIIT protocols and magnitude of benefit
- HIIT appears to be safe and effective in cardiac populations – HIITorMISSUK may provide much needed ‘real-world’ evidence.

BACPR
BRITISH ASSOCIATION FOR CARDIOVASCULAR PREVENTION AND REHABILITATION

NATIONAL CENTRE FOR SPORT & EXERCISE MEDICINE
WORKING FOR HEALTH & WELLBEING

MEMBER OF THE IOC MEDICAL RESEARCH NETWORK 2015-18

Sheffield Hallam University

Thank You

✉s.j.nichols@shu.ac.uk
@nichols87simon

References

Meyer K, Samek L, Schwaibold M, Westbrook S, Hajric R, Lehmann M, Essfeld D and Roskamm H. Physical responses to different modes of interval exercise in patients with chronic heart failure--application to exercise training. *Eur Heart J* (1996); **17**: 1040-1047.

Rognmo Ø, Moholdt T, Bakken H, Hole T, Mølstad P, Myhr NE, Grimsmo J and Wisløff U. Cardiovascular Risk of High- Versus Moderate-Intensity Aerobic Exercise in Coronary Heart Disease Patients. *Circulation* (2012); **126**: 1436-1440.

Daussin FN, Zoll J, Dufour SP, Ponsot E, Lonsdorfer-Wolf E, Doutreleau S, Mettauer B, Piquard F, Geny B and Richard R. Effect of interval versus continuous training on c ardiorespiratory and mitochondrial functions: relationship to aerobic performance improvements in sedentary subjects. *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* (2008); **295**: R264-R272

Bacon AP, Carter RE, Ogle EA and Joyner MJ. VO_{2max} trainability and high intensity interval training in humans: a meta-analysis. *PloS one* (2013); **8**: e73182.

Weston M, Taylor KL, Batterham AM and Hopkins WG. Effects of Low-Volume High-Intensity Interval Training (HIT) on Fitness in Adults: A Meta-Analysis of Controlled and Non-Controlled Trials. *Sports Med* (2014); **44**: 1005-1017.

Weston KS, Wisløff U and Coombes JS. High-intensity interval training in patients with lifestyle-induced cardiometabolic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* (2014); **48**: 1227-1234.

Haykowsky MJ, Timmons MP, Kruger C, McNeely M, Taylor DA and Clark AM. Meta-analysis of aerobic interval training on exercise capacity and systolic function in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fractions. *The American journal of cardiology* (2013); **111**: 1466-1469.

Kodama S, Saito K, Tanaka S and et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a quantitative predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in healthy men and women: A meta-analysis. JAMA (2009); 301: 2024-2035.

Myers J, Prakash M, Froelicher V, Do D, Partington S and Atwood JE. Exercise Capacity and Mortality among Men Referred for Exercise Testing. *New England Journal of Medicine* (2002); **346**: 793-801.

Vanhees L, Fagard R, Thijs L and Amery A. Prognostic value of training-induced change in peak exercise capacity in patients with myocardial infarcts and patients with coronary bypass surgery. *The American journal of cardiology* (1995); **76**: 1014-1019.

Heran BS, Chen J, Ebrahim S, Moxham T, Oldridge N, Rees K, Thompson DR and Taylor RS. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2011); **7**.

Anderson L, Oldridge N, Thompson DR, Zwisler A-D, Rees K, Martin N and Taylor RS. Exercise-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation for Coronary Heart DiseaseCochrane Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* (2016); **67**: 1-12.

Sandercock G, Hurtado V and Cardoso F. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness in cardiac rehabilitation patients: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Cardiology* (2011).

West RR, Jones DA and Henderson AH. Rehabilitation after myocardial infarction trial (RAMIT): multi-centre randomised controlled trial of comprehensive cardiac r ehabilitation in patients following acute myocardial infarction. *Heart* (2012); **98**: 637-644.

Sandercock G, Cardoso F, Almodhy M and Pepera G. Cardiorespiratory fitness changes in patients receiving comprehensive outpatient cardiac rehabilitation in the UK: a multicentre study. *Heart* (2013); **99**: 785-790.

Houchen-Wolloff L, Boyce S and Singh S. The minimum clinically important improvement in the incremental shuttle walk test following cardiac rehabilitation. *European J ournal of Preventive Cardiology* (2014).

McGregor G, Nichols S, Hamborg T, Bryning L, Tudor-Edwards R, Markland D, Mercer J, Birkett S, Ennis S and Powell R. High-intensity interval training versus moderate-I ntensity steady-state training in UK cardiac rehabilitation programmes (HIIT or MISS UK): study protocol for a multicentre randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation. *BMJ open* (2016); **6**: e012843.