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Abstract

It is rightly argued that pedagogic research is crucial in underpinning the practice and development of teaching in the HE sector. The focus of this article, as part of that endeavour, is a reflection on how discipline-based research and its findings can be made accessible for other academics to utilise in their teaching. The aim of the project was, through the dissemination of empirical research, to provide business and management students with a greater understanding of both the theoretical underpinning of but also practical solutions to managing workplace conflict. To that end the discussion highlights the potential efficacy of developing a tutor manual to accompany a research report to share as common resources online.

Introduction and background to the project

As Ridley-Duff and Bennett note (2011), effective dispute resolution in the workplace remains a key element of successfully managing the employment relationship and as such is a key area of study and understanding for all business students aspiring to take up a role in management. Further from the perspective of successfully delivering that learning, valuable literature exists on the centrality of pedagogic research in enhancing teaching in HE, and the case for explicating the ‘teaching-research nexus’ (Jenkins and Healey, 2005) has been particularly well made. The aim of this article is to build on this knowledge by reflecting on the process through which discipline focussed research and its findings can be effectively disseminated as a resource for teaching colleagues and their students via the medium of a tutor guide.

The ‘learning and conflict project’ research, on which this article is based, was carried out in 2012 as a jointly supported study for the British Universities’ Human Resource Development (HRD) Forum³ and TUC Unionlearn² in the North West. Its objective was to investigate a little studied element of employee relations and employee development:
To what degree do learning partnerships between trade unions and management have an impact on managing conflict in those organisations?

In addition, a further objective of the research was to provide a tutor guide to accompany the research report that academic colleagues accessing the learning bank of the HRD Forum could utilise in their subsequent teaching of employment development. This article thus outlines the industry-set research project but also, crucially, the pedagogic strategy adopted in order to develop the teaching aid for those colleagues to effectively disseminate those research findings to students.

The article is structured to first critically review the existing relevant literature on pedagogic research in higher education, highlighting the absence of a specific focus on developing a tutor’s guide for disseminating subject related research findings. The discussion turns then to the research methodology. This is followed by a section that outlines the key research findings of the project. From this point the discussion moves to a consideration of the pedagogic strategy adopted in designing and evaluating the methods for utilisation of the tutor guide in relation to effectively and critically disseminating industrial research findings.

**Pedagogic research**

‘Research informed teaching’, or ‘teaching informed research’, is key element of the pedagogic process of enhancing the learning experience of HE students. Appleby and Barton’s (2009) work on the reflective learning of trainee teachers, for instance, is an excellent example of the effectiveness of pedagogic research. Similarly, the value of engaging students in research and its findings is well made (Gurbutt, 2012; Brewer, 2012). This may be either in terms of it being more ‘research-led’ or led more by the students as active participants (Jenkins and Healey (2005: 22).

The value of the case study has also been noted, even while recognising that its perceived efficacy as a learning medium can vary depending on the type of student (Brennan and Ahmad, 2005). Crucially whilst the case study, simulations and placements have all been rightly cited as key vehicles for enhancing student skills and understanding (Beaty, 2003; Fallows, 2003), in terms of the focus of this study,
less has been reported on the pedagogic process of building discipline-related research findings into specific teaching materials and instruments.

Interestingly, James (2011) questions the whole notion of whether ‘excellence’ in research actually impacts to any degree on the quality of teaching in HE. In contrast, Ottewill highlights the on-going challenges for business school academics to ‘keep up to date with business trends’ and also ‘balancing theory with practice’ (2003: 4). Lucas and Milford (2003) offer further valuable counsel in terms of the target group of students related to this study. From their research they conclude that business teaching is enhanced by seeking to balance the need to both deliver learning ‘about business’ as a theoretical and academic discipline whilst also recognising the demand to develop technical skills amongst students ‘for business’. Similarly, Knowles and Horsch proffer the need to balance avoiding the charge of ‘academic irrelevancy’ with the perceived imperative to develop more ‘practical business skills’. As they argue, ‘by sharing research with students through a carefully designed curriculum academics are able to impart the complex knowledge and research skills that practitioners need (even if the students may not recognise it)’ (2005: 5). Furthermore, reporting for the HEA, Jenkins and Healey (2005) offer a comprehensive critical review of how ‘research-led’ teaching can effectively enrich the learning experience. This was to be a key objective of the design of the pedagogic intervention under discussion. Furthermore, little of the existing literature made specific reference to the process of dissemination of research results, certainly with respect to the practical support of a medium such as a tutor manual. The second key objective of the research was, therefore, in small part to offer a template to address this pedagogic deficit.

**The research methodology**

The research stage of the project commenced with an initial study of existing TUC reports. Subsequent discussions with officers at TUC Unionlearn in the North West helped identify a number of potential case study organisations and union projects which were seen as representative of successful learning partnerships in the region. From this initial research, the project design was based on semi-structured interviews with a cross-section of thirty managers and union learning co-ordinators from the case study organisations, and union project workers and TUC officers who
have supported those organisations. The majority of meetings were face-to-face with a smaller number conducted by telephone. Interviews averaged an hour in duration.

All interviews were recorded and fully transcribed. The interview data were analysed through the use of template analysis (King, 2004). This process entailed the construction of an initial template, whereby early categorisation could be made based on the initial questions put to the respondents. Key areas of discussion with respondents included:

- The causes and consequences of conflict
- The state of employee relations pre and post establishing the learning agreement
- The type of learning initiatives taking place in the organisation
- The impact of learning on individual conflict in the organisation
- The impact of learning on collective issues around conflict in the organisation

Template analysis allowed additional themes to be developed. For instance, a strategy utilised by union reps to identify members’ learning needs and then address them to prevent performance issues otherwise arising, was not a specific question in the original schedule. However, subsequent analysis highlighted this as a key issue for the interviewees and became, therefore, a category within the analytic template. Crucially, the aim of the research was to address two key questions:

- How does union-led learning impact specifically on managing individual conflict?
- How does union-led learning impact specifically on managing collective conflict?

The key research findings

Overall, the findings supported earlier research that learning partnerships can have positive outcomes, both in terms of ‘staff morale’ and ‘employee commitment’ and in ‘improving levels of trust between management and unions’ (Stuart et al., 2010).
Significantly, the research produced an additional number of key findings, in that a majority of respondents reported:

- The reduction or pre-empting of performance and discipline issues by prior support for worker skills and knowledge acquisition through learning and development
- The impact of learning on the ‘well-being’ of the individual and its consequential positive impact on individual conflict (for instance, improved health and safety and the more effective management of stress).
- The impact of learning on other key measures of individual conflict reduction through increased morale, commitment, trust and managing job loss (in addition to more formal measures like absence and resignation).
- The impact of learning on the collective elements of conflict: managing change, better communication, more extensive consultation, managing redundancy and redeployment and building greater trust and understanding between management and the unions through partnership

**Pedagogic strategy**

To recap, the key aim of the research was to not only offer new insight into how learning partnerships impact on the employee relations climate within an organisation, but also how those findings could usefully inform the teaching of human resource development within business schools via dissemination on the UFHRD on-line learning resource bank. To this end the final report was supplemented with a separate teaching guide with suggestions on how best to utilise the report as a medium for learning. The report is underpinned by a detailed critical review of the literature on the causes and consequences of conflict and, specifically in relation to the core element of the study, the role of trade unions in promoting learning in the workplace and its concommitant impact on workplace conflict. This knowledge for students would then provide the context for the research and its findings. It was the next stage of the project that provided the pedagogical challenges to which the article now turns.
Facilitating the teaching and research nexus

The project had been sponsored by the UFHRD. Therefore, a key objective of the final write up of material was to adhere to the format they use to provide learning materials for their users. From a pedagogic perspective, this raised the interesting question of how the nexus between teaching and research in the context of this study could best be managed in order to embed greater understanding of empirical research and its utilisation for the students. Healey’s influential model, as seen in figure one, proved a useful vehicle.

The project could be seen primarily as ‘research led’ teaching by the tutor; in the sense that its main aim was to also enable the tutor, through both the report and guide, to enhance the understanding of their students of an empirically based study that provided both practical and theoretical outcomes. Nonetheless, it could be argued that the design of the materials also allowed an element of ‘research oriented’ learning; in that the UFHRD format and a detailed study of the report, guided by their tutor, also opened up students’ understanding to ‘how’ the findings were made available and not simply their interpretation. Furthermore, although this
Figure 1: Curriculum design and the research-teaching nexus


might not explicitly lead to a ‘research-based’ learning outcome, it is significant that in the evaluation element of the project outlined below, it did aid the students in developing ideas and techniques for how they could subsequently undertake a very practical assignment – designing a workshop guide which was underpinned by their own inquiry-based learning.

Design of the tutor guide

Guided by Lucas and Milford’s counsel (2003) that business teaching is in some ways a ‘unique’ discipline, in that it must satisfy both the need to develop technical and theoretical skills and impart knowledge within its student groups, the tutor guide was designed specifically to achieve this end. That is, whilst signposting tutor, and therefore students, to the research objectives, the review of the appropriate literature, the findings and their critical review in the report, the activities in the guide were also intended to equip students, with a clear understanding of the strategies and practices available to managers when seeking to actually resolve workplace disputes, and the central role of learning in that objective. Appendix A outlines the guidance notes for the use of the tutor guide.

Primarily, the guide allows the tutor to set the context of the study in terms of workplace conflict, its relationship with learning and the role of the unions, and then to cross reference the activities sequentially to sections of the report to iteratively develop the students' understanding and knowledge and the application of that knowledge in a practical sense. To this end, firstly the causes and consequences of conflict are discussed through the particular activity. Then the second activity facilitated by the tutor identifies solutions to that conflict. Thirdly referring specifically to the research findings in the report, how trade union and management learning partnerships could offer an alternative solution can be critically discussed. Fourthly, how this is related to individual and collective disputes is facilitated by a further activity.
In this way the report and its tutor guide, with associated activities, offers more than a simple reading to be assigned to students for discussion the following week. But rather it becomes a resource to use, for instance, over a couple of weeks in critically discussing key elements of managing conflict in the workplace. So with respect to appendix 1, for each of the key stages 1-7 the guide offers an activity referring to the specific part of the report and then provides a set of possible answers to guide the tutor in their discussion with their students. Appendix B illustrates an example of the type of activities contained in the guide. In this particular activity, the students, having previously identified in an earlier discussion the causes and consequences of workplace conflict, are encouraged to identify possible solutions. This becomes then the basis for context and prior understanding for the tutor to then specifically introduce the findings of the research, and critically discuss with them the degree to which union–management learning partnerships offer a further solution to the resolution of such conflict

**Evaluation and feedback**

Following completion of the guide, the aim was then to test its fitness for purpose with respect to its relevance to the target student group, their understanding of the topic, the coherence of the activities in terms of achieving their learning objectives, and also building an overall understanding for the students of why workplace conflict management is a key part of their study of employee development.

This element of the methodology, which arguably can be the most challenging, involved trialling the material in my own and also another two HE institutions. Former colleagues from those institutions were able to first review then utilise the teaching material, reporting back that they had been well received by their students and offering suggestions that were then built into the final version of the tutor guide.

At UCLAN, the material was further trialled in February 2013 with a fairly typical cohort of students on the fulltime MSc HRD degree in the Business School. The students were undertaking the module ‘designing, delivering and evaluating learning and development provision’.

The feedback from both the tutor and the students was very positive in terms of how the activities brought the link between learning, conflict and the role of the trade
unions together. The tutor suggested that also utilising the TUC Unionlearn website, which has accessible films on their work, would be a good way of summarising the role of the union. This idea was subsequently added to the tutor guide. The students also commented that as one of their module assessments is based on critically analysing the role of stakeholders in promoting training and development, the workshop had been very instructive. Furthermore, their second assignment was on designing, delivering and writing a guide on a learning intervention. Therefore, a discussion on the process of how the manual was designed was also very helpful for them.

**Methodology of disseminating learning from a research report**

In summary, from the discussion above the process can be seen as a series of stages:

1. Undertake the research project
2. Satisfy the commitment to key stakeholders
3. From a pedagogic perspective, then decide what should be the key learning outcomes
4. Designing the tutor guide
   - Underpin the study and user guide with a critical review of the appropriate literature to build understanding of the subject and give the findings relevance and context (through signposting to the report)
   - Providing underpinning knowledge and context from the research findings (through signposting to the report)
   - Design activities to facility discussion on the relevance and applicability of that knowledge for students (Offering possible answers for all activities to support the tutor in facilitating those discussions)
   - Ensure that the research findings and delivery methods for sharing those findings appeal to both the tutor and the student
5. Test the effectiveness of the resource and amend accordingly
6. Produce the final teaching resource materials
Conclusion

As academics it is increasingly important that we not only demonstrate our ability to carry out and report on relevant research findings from within our chosen discipline but also to embed that research within our teaching. This article has sought to consider this imperative in the context of designing one particular pedagogic process to achieve this objective. The discussion would suggest that when undertaken in a systematic manner, empirical research and its findings can, through the medium of a bespoke designed tutor guide, offer a valuable resource for dissemination of those results to our students for critical discussion and interrogation.

Can I record my thanks to the reviewers for their advice and guidance on revising an earlier version of the article.
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Appendix A

How to use the guide

The guide offers colleagues suggestions on how students’ knowledge and understanding of a number of key elements of human resource development and management could be enhanced. In this sense it seeks to give greater context to HRD in relation to aspects of the overall management of the employment relationship. The primary areas for consideration are:

1. The causes of conflict
2. Strategies for addressing conflict
3. The role of trade unions
4. The learning challenges facing organisations today
5. The specific role of trade unions in promoting learning
6. The nature of learning partnerships between management and unions
7. How these learning partnerships can also help address conflict in the workplace

It is important to note that whilst the intended target audience is business and management students with a particular interest in HRD, reflecting the reality of managing people in the workplace, the guide and the associated report seek to emphasise that managing people, the role of trade unions, conflict and its causes and learning and development are all intertwined elements of organisational life. Elements, it is argued, that need to be understood both separately but more importantly in how they also interact with one another (ACAS, 2006; Ridley-Duff and Bennett, 2011).

In practical terms, depending on the degree to which you may wish to utilise the report, the complete document might either be given out at the end of the activities or it could be printed off and given out in sections at the end; either way in order that students don’t ‘spot the answers’. It is suggested that all activities are undertaken in groups or in pairs in order to promote critical discussion and debate.

The guide is set out in a number of parts that make specific reference to sections of the report. These are then accompanied with suggested sets of activities, and associated questions, to help students to gain a greater understanding of a number of key areas covered by the report.
Appendix B Example of an activity from the tutor guide
3.3 Solutions for addressing conflict
The discussion so far is valuable (i.e. prior to this activity) as it gets the students to reflect on and discuss the causes and consequences of conflict in the workplace – for many students it is an introduction to the key idea that management practice is actually very much about managing conflict (or ideally pre-empting conflict).

In terms of the overall theme of the report, the discussion (facilitated by this activity) now turns to how that conflict can be addressed – That is different actions and strategies can be considered by the students before, for the purposes of understanding the key findings from the report, a set of activities consider how promoting learning can be seen as a key potential way of addressing that conflict.

The purpose then of this activity is for the learners to list which solutions they might consider when addressing conflict in the workplace. **Section 2.5** gives an overview of and context to this discussion. Box 3 offers points that can be discussed in relation to this activity.

**Box 3 Solutions for managing conflict**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Informal solutions</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A quite word with a colleague</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A quite word from the manager to a member of their team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An informal discussion between a manager and the union rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seek advice off HRM on options available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take absence (‘go on the sick’).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave the organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seek advice off the union</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Formal solutions</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submit a grievance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiate the discipline or performance management procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formalise an individual or collective dispute between the union and management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispute escalated to an employment tribunal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiate the organisation bullying or harassment procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact the health and safety reps for the company and the union</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Alternative dispute resolution</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact ACAS for advice and support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mediation is seen as not quite informal but an alternative to formalising a dispute (It is seen in particular as very useful in relationship or communication based disputes) - For more information see ACAS (2008); Ridley-Duff, R. and Bennett, A. (2011).