

The identification of salient beliefs concerning university students' decision to participate in sport

ST QUINTON, Tom and BRUNTON, Julie <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5808-0168>>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/21003/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

ST QUINTON, Tom and BRUNTON, Julie (2018). The identification of salient beliefs concerning university students' decision to participate in sport. *Recreational Sports Journal*, 42 (1), 48-63.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

1 Thomas St Quinton and Julie Brunton

2
3 Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, UK

4
5 Author Note

6
7 Thomas St Quinton, Department of Sport, Health and Nutrition, Leeds Trinity
8 University, Leeds, United Kingdom; Julie Brunton, Department of Sport, Health and
9 Nutrition, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, United Kingdom

10
11 Julie Brunton is now at the School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Leeds,
12 Leeds, United Kingdom

13
14 This research was supported by a Leeds Trinity University PhD Studentship Award.
15 The authors would like to thank Andrew Bradshaw for his role in inter-rater reliability.

16
17 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Thomas St Quinton,
18 Department of Sport, Health and Nutrition, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, United
19 Kingdom. Email: t.stquinton@leedstrinity.ac.uk

20

21 Abstract

22

23 The aim of this study was to identify salient beliefs towards university provided recreational
24 sport in first year undergraduate students. A purposive sample of 76 students (36 males, 40
25 females; mean age: 19.2 ± 1.7 years) undertaking various degree subjects at a higher
26 education institution in the North of England, UK, was used in the study. The instrument was
27 a theory-based open-ended questionnaire informed by the Theory of Planned Behavior
28 addressing behavioral, normative and control beliefs. Thematic content analysis and coding
29 was conducted on 30 randomly selected questionnaires followed by a frequency count to
30 identify the modal salient beliefs. The modal set revealed 17 beliefs from a possible 53; six
31 behavioral, five normative, and six control. These beliefs were related to health benefits,
32 enjoyment, friendships, time constraints, study workloads, awareness, and the perception of
33 family, friends, and academics. The results highlight the factors that should be targeted for
34 intervention and provide data to be utilized for a second main quantitative study which will
35 identify more specific belief targets. Due to equivocal intervention success, this formative
36 research can serve to help increase the number of students participating in university
37 recreational sport.

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 *Keywords:* Theory of Planned Behavior, recreational sports, physical activity, intervention

45 With a decline in various health related behaviors often seen in late adolescence,
46 higher education settings provide great opportunities to target improvements (Hensley, 2000;
47 Kwan, Bray, & Martin Ginis, 2009; Leslie, Sparling & Owen, 2001). The provision of sport
48 and recreation activities has demonstrated numerous benefits within academia including an
49 increase in success rates (Huesman, Brown, Lee, Kellogg, & Radcliffe, 2009) and a reduction
50 in stress (Kanters, 2000). Furthermore, the greater sense of campus community promoted
51 through such activities (Elkins, Forrester, & Noël-Elkins, 2011) can contribute to the
52 improvement of retention rates (Kampf & Teske, 2013). Scott and Willits (1998) also found
53 that the performance of various leisure activities, including sport, continued to be performed
54 in adulthood when done so during adolescence.

55 Despite these benefits, participation in sport usually decreases when students begin
56 university (Gucciardi & Jackson, 2015). Similar results have been found in physical activity
57 (PA) (Bray & Born, 2004; Romaguera et al., 2011). Bray and Born (2004) found a 22%
58 decrease in the numbers who performed PA prior to university compared to the first two
59 months of life in higher education (66% were active prior compared to the 44% during).
60 Although sport and PA may share similarities, there are differences between the two. Sport
61 includes some amount of physical exertion, but it also includes organized conditions and
62 rules (Coakley, 2009). As such, this paper uses sport to refer to those organized activities
63 provided by the university.

64 Another important distinction concerns the nature of sport offered in higher education.
65 In the UK, higher institutions offer both organized formal competitions and recreational
66 activities. Regarding the former, British Universities and Colleges Sport (BUCS) provide
67 institutions with the opportunity to compete with one another in a variety of sports. However,
68 similar to the interscholastic model used in the United States, this approach limits the number
69 of students that can participate (Kanters, Bocarro, Edwards, Casper, & Floyd, 2013). As less

70 *sporty* students may be put off participating or may withdraw due to its competitive nature
71 (Wechsler, Devereaux, Davis, & Collins, 2000; Weiss & Ferrer-Caja, 2002), ensuring that
72 participation is not based around athletic ability is important (Barnett, Morgan, van Beurden,
73 Ball, & Lubans, 2011). As an alternative, UK institutions also offer additional informal and
74 intramural activities promoted using a noncompetitive process, lack of membership
75 subscriptions, and flexible timetabling. Despite catering to include all students (Tsigilis,
76 Masmanidids, & Koustelios, 2009), a limited number of students participating in these
77 recreational sporting activities has been found (Sport England, 2012).

78 Upon recognizing the important role institutions have in developing and maintaining
79 interest in sporting activities, Sport England committed itself to involving over 75% of
80 university students in sport as part of the 2012-2017 Sport England Youth and Community
81 Strategy (Sport England, 2012). Specifically, the organization has recently made considerable
82 investments into two large projects with the aim of establishing a sporting habit for life by
83 attracting school and college leavers to participate in sport at least once a week for thirty
84 minutes. The Active Universities showed a 2% increase in participation across three years,
85 with the majority of change seen during the first year (2011-2012). As such, during the
86 remaining two years there was no increase in sporting participation (Sport England, 2014).
87 Similar modest gains have been observed in the Sport Activation Fund to date. These limited
88 affects could be explained by the neglect of psychological behavior change theory in the
89 development of the interventions, especially as interventions underpinned by theory have
90 been shown to demonstrate effectiveness above atheoretical approaches (Taylor, Conner, &
91 Lawton, 2012). From the plethora of behavior change theories available, one of the most
92 cited, utilized and critiqued is the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB; Ajzen, 1985).

93 According to the TPB, an individual's intention is the proximal determinant of their
94 behavior and represents a person's motivation of their conscience plan or decision to exert

95 effort to perform the behavior (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1980). Intention is determined by three
96 factors, namely attitude, subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control. The attitude
97 component refers to the individual's perception toward the behavior, whether it be favorable
98 or unfavorable (Fishbein & Ajzen, 2009). Subjective norm concerns perceptions of social
99 pressure from significant others to perform the behavior (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980). Perceived
100 behavioral control relates to the perceptions of the ease and difficulty of actually performing
101 the behavior. Just as intentions are held to have determinants, attitude, subjective norm, and
102 perceived behavioral control are also held to have determinants in the form of beliefs. As
103 individuals hold a large number of beliefs relevant to a specific behavior and can only attend
104 to a relatively small number at any given time (Miller, 1956), the TPB postulates that it is
105 these salient behavioral, normative and control beliefs that govern behavior (Ajzen, 2002).
106 Behavioral beliefs are the perceived consequences of engaging in behavior, and people's
107 evaluation of these consequences (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980). Normative beliefs are the
108 perceived expectations of important referents such as family members, friends, and doctors,
109 and by a person's motivation to comply with the wishes of these important others (Ajzen,
110 1985). Finally, control beliefs are people's evaluation about the presence of factors that may
111 facilitate or impede performance of the behavior (Ajzen & Madden, 1986).

112 One of the most important recommendations of the TPB is that belief elicitation must
113 be conducted, which highlights beliefs important for change and identifies suitable
114 intervention belief-based targets (Ajzen, 2002). As beliefs vary from population to population
115 (Fishbein & Manfredo, 1992), elicitation should be conducted specific to each behavior. To
116 define behavior precisely, Ajzen (1988) asserts that the *target*, *time*, *action* and *context* must
117 be taken into consideration (TACT). Although this process is arbitrary, the purpose of this
118 strict procedure is a consequence of a change in one of these elements will redefine the
119 behavior. Although it is more effective to elicit individual beliefs and deliver tailored

120 interventions, it is more practical to gain the beliefs held most commonly amongst the
121 population through the identification of the modal set. The elicitation study is then followed
122 by a main quantitative study which highlights those specific beliefs to target. Elicitation
123 studies are conducted using open-ended questions within a questionnaire, focus groups, or
124 interviews (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980), with questionnaires more commonly used due to the
125 time taken to transcribe and identify key themes. There is no definitive sample size (Epton et
126 al., 2015), with ranges varying considerably (Downs & Hausenblas, 2005a). Despite this, a
127 small convenience sample within the target population is appropriate as long as a
128 comprehensive range of salient beliefs are captured (Francis et al., 2004). Saturation
129 techniques are employed whereby additional data yields little further information (Ajzen &
130 Fishbein, 1980).

131 As the modal set is not based on idiosyncratic beliefs (Ajzen, 1991) and may therefore
132 include beliefs not relevant to each participant (Francis et al., 2010), Sutton (2002) suggests
133 there must be a trade-off between maximizing the number of the person's salient beliefs that
134 fall in the modal set and minimizing the number of beliefs that aren't salient to the individual.
135 Various methods have been used to conduct this. For example, Chatzisarantis and Hagger
136 (2005) selected the three to five most salient beliefs whereas Ungar, Sieverding, Ulrich and
137 Wiskemann (2015) and Rowe et al. (2016) included those beliefs that a minimum of three
138 participants had identified. A widely used procedure has been the use of a percentage
139 criterion whereby beliefs mentioned between 20-30% of the sample are identified as being
140 modal (e.g., Epton et al., 2015; Spinks & Hamilton, 2015; Vayro & Hamilton, 2016).
141 According to Vayro and Hamilton (2016), this number ensures that a wide range of
142 underlying beliefs are included for the main study.

143 The theory has received a huge amount of attention with hundreds of cross-sectional
144 studies attesting to the predictive validity of attitude, subjective norm, and perceived

145 behavioral control (Downs & Hausenblas, 2005b; Hagger, Chatzisarantis, & Biddle, 2002).
146 Compared to the plethora of prediction studies, there has been a surprisingly small number
147 undertaking the elicitation procedure (Fishbein & Middlestadt, 1995). Although prediction
148 studies are useful, the information gained is insufficient for intervention development. For
149 example, Gucciardi and Jackson (2015) found attitude and perceived behavioral control to
150 explain intention to continue participation in sport. However, it is unclear as to the beliefs
151 influencing these determinants and to therefore target. As a consequence, interventions are
152 often created on intuition (Quine, Rutter, & Arnold, 2001) or by targeting beliefs that have
153 been identified to be similar to their own target behavior (Curtis, Weiler, & Ham, 2010).
154 However, guessing influential beliefs or utilizing beliefs from another study involving a
155 different context may not represent the perceptions of those under investigation (de Leeuw,
156 Valois, Ajzen & Schmidt, 2015). A meta-analysis by Webb, Joseph, Yardley and Michie
157 (2010) examining online interventions to change various health behaviors found that although
158 many were based on the TPB, none of them correctly conducted the elicitation process. The
159 targeting of non-salient beliefs (Hardeman et al., 2002) could, perhaps, explain why
160 interventions using the TPB have demonstrated limited effectiveness to date (Ajzen, 2015).

161 Despite the paucity of elicitation studies, a few studies have informed the
162 development of PA interventions for undergraduate students (Cowie & Hamilton, 2014;
163 Epton et al., 2015; Riecken, Mark, & Rhodes, 2013). For example, Epton et al. (2015) found
164 that a behavioral, normative, and control belief concerned 'health', 'family', and 'time
165 restrictions' respectively. Although studies concerning PA and sport may identify
166 overlapping beliefs, participation in sport could be underpinned by different perceptions and
167 would thus require alternative interventions. In line with Henderson's (2009, p. 64)
168 suggestion that 'the motivators for sports participation are likely quite different than the
169 motivators to exercise for most people', Kilpatrick, Hebert, and Bartholomew (2005) found

170 that exercise participation was influenced by perceptions of appearance whereas sport
171 participation was governed by enjoyment factors. In a study more closely related to sport,
172 Sniehotta (2009) conducted an experimental study to change elicited behavioral, normative,
173 and control beliefs concerning the use of university sport and recreation services. Although
174 the belief elicitation was not reported separately, some of the beliefs targeted during the
175 interventions included 'health', 'family', 'time', and 'feelings of discomfort or
176 embarrassment.' However, as this study concerned a wide range of sports available, including
177 both competitive and recreational, as well as use of the gym facilities, different beliefs may
178 be required for intervention design where gym facilities are not included. It could therefore,
179 be more beneficial to conduct an elicitation study regarding recreational sport in isolation.

180 In summary, there has been a lack of elicitation studies conducted concerning
181 participation in university sport, with the majority of studies focusing on PA. This subtle, yet
182 important distinction could result in the identification of different beliefs, meaning alternative
183 interventions would be necessary. Furthermore, those that have targeted sport have done so
184 without distinguishing between the recreational and competitive sports offered which, again,
185 fail to differentiate between different beliefs. As far as the authors are aware, no study has
186 conducted elicitation with first year university students concerning participation in university
187 provided recreational sports. Given the minimal success of interventions to date (Sport
188 England, 2012) and the encouragement to use theory in the development of interventions
189 (Michie, Johnston, Francis, Hardeman, & Eccles, 2008), it is important that such research is
190 conducted concerning the behavior within this subpopulation.

191 Due to the lack of research in the UK examining participation in higher education
192 sport, the purpose of the study was to conduct an elicitation study specifically aimed at
193 highlighting the salient behavioral, normative, and control beliefs to participate in
194 recreational sport provided by a university. This formative work is crucial as it identifies

195 potential targets for intervention and also informs a proceeding quantitative study which will
196 highlight more specific beliefs to be altered during intervention.

197 **Method**

198 **Sample**

199 A sample of 80 students was selected at a small sized higher education institution in
200 the North of England (36 males, 40 females; mean age = 19.2 ± 1.7 years). The response rate
201 was 76 with 4 non-attendees at class during the time the survey was administered.
202 Participants from different programs of study were selected in order to generalize to the wider
203 first year population. The number of participants recruited and their respective degree courses
204 were as follows: Nutrition, Food and Health (n=20), Secondary Physical Education and
205 Sports Coaching (n=20), Child and Family Welfare Studies (n=18), and English (n=18). First
206 year students were selected due to the decline in activity that this demographic has previously
207 shown (Kwan et al., 2009) and the various benefits that can be seen. The study was
208 undertaken in the second semester to allow ethical clearance to occur.

209 **Procedure**

210 As the study aimed to generalize to the first year population, a purposive sampling
211 technique was used to ensure the inclusion of different subject areas. Prior to data collection,
212 ethical approval was gained from the University board in Semester 1 (September –
213 December), hence the study was undertaken in Semester 2 (January – June). The researcher
214 made prior contact with academic lecturers via email to establish participant availability and
215 lecture times. As recruitment was seen as being potentially problematic, this strategy was
216 seen to ensure a higher response rate. Once teaching times and locations were established, the
217 researcher approached the participants in class, after lectures and tutorials had finished. The
218 researcher gave a brief overview of the study purpose and their potential involvement in it.

219 Students who were happy to participate were asked to read the participant information sheet
220 and sign the consent form. The participant information sheet explained the study in more
221 detail and included a definition of the behavior. This definition was formed using the TACT
222 principle, which was explained more within the detailed description of the instrument. To
223 emphasize the importance of this principle, the definition was also stated verbally by the
224 researcher prior to questionnaire initiation. Furthermore, to ensure that participants
225 understood what was meant by 'participation in sport', similar to Sutton et al. (2003),
226 examples of the behavior were given by the researcher. For example, the researcher provided
227 examples of university recreational sports such as 'tennis' and 'squash' that were explained
228 to be part of the university recreational sports offered outside of the BUCS competitive sport
229 leagues at this university. It was also explained that university sport concerned the sports that
230 the university provided both on and off campus and was not targeting those offered by
231 governing bodies (i.e., BUCS), nor did it relate to elite sports participation. This was due to
232 the difference between competitive and non-competitive sport previously highlighted.
233 Participants were therefore clear regarding the behavioral definition and were instructed to
234 follow this definition throughout the questionnaire. The researcher explained to participants
235 that participation was optional and that they were under no pressure to partake. Participants
236 were assured of confidentiality and anonymity and were given the opportunity to ask any
237 questions. Participants were asked to complete the questionnaire without interacting with
238 other participants. The questionnaire took approximately 15 minutes to complete. Upon
239 completion, participants were thanked for their involvement in the study.

240 **Instrument**

241 The study developed a questionnaire to assess behavioral, normative and control
242 beliefs towards participating in recreational sport at university. This was done using
243 recommended guidelines of Ajzen (2002) and questions utilized in prior elicitation studies

244 (e.g., Rhodes, Blanchard, Courneya, & Plotnikoff, 2009; Vayro & Hamilton, 2015). Using
245 the TACT principle (Ajzen, 1991), the study followed the recommendations of Sport England
246 (2014) to define the behavior as the following: sports (target), participation (action), at
247 university (context), once a week, for 30 minutes (time). The definition was provided within
248 the questionnaire and, as already highlighted, was emphasized verbally by the researcher.

249 Behavioral beliefs were assessed using three questions; 'What do you see as the
250 advantages of you participating in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for
251 the next month?', 'What do you see as the disadvantages of you participating in sport at
252 University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month?', and 'What else comes to
253 mind when you think about participating in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a
254 week for the next month?' Normative beliefs were assessed by asking the following; 'Please
255 list the types of individuals or groups who would approve or think you should participate in
256 sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month', 'Please list the
257 individuals or groups who would disapprove or think you should not participate in sport at
258 University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month' and 'Are there any other
259 individuals or groups who come to mind when you think about participating in sport at
260 University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next month?' Control beliefs were
261 accessed by asking; 'Please list any factors or circumstances that would make it easy or
262 enable you to participate in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the
263 next month', 'Please list any factors or circumstances that would make it difficult or prevent
264 you from participating in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the next
265 month?' and 'Are there any other issues that come to mind when you think about the
266 difficulty of participating in sport at University for at least 30 minutes, once a week for the
267 next month?'

268 The questionnaire also included items concerning the following demographics: age,
269 gender, and course of study.

270 **Data analysis**

271 From the 76 questionnaires obtained, 30 questionnaires were selected at random to be
272 analyzed. This is a number within the range of those typically used in elicitation studies, with
273 that number specifically used by Belanger-Gravel, Godin, Bilodeau, Poirier and Dagenais
274 (2013). To ensure that saturation had been reached, the study followed the analysis of the
275 initial 30 questionnaires with the analysis of another three (i.e. the 31st, 32nd, and 33rd). Thus,
276 thirty questionnaires were analyzed first, followed by a subsequent three. This consecutive
277 rule has been used in a prior study (Robertson, Mullan, & Todd, 2014) and is suggested to be
278 effective (Francis et al., 2010). To select the questionnaires randomly, they were first divided
279 into the four degree programs and each third questionnaire was selected. In total, this
280 procedure led to the analysis of the following numbers from the various degree courses;
281 Nutrition, Food and Health (n=8), Secondary PE and Sports Coaching (n=7), Childhood and
282 Welfare Studies (n=7), and English (n=8). An additional questionnaire from the first three
283 programs were selected as the saturated questionnaires.

284 Data were analyzed using an iterative deductive-inductive approach. Thematic content
285 analysis initially identified broad categories which were then refined into themes. This was
286 attained by identifying frequently cited words and phrases. For example, the belief
287 “enjoyment” was created from responses such as “have fun” and “it’s a laugh.” This
288 represented the inductive approach. Following the analysis of thirty questionnaires, no new
289 beliefs were added beyond this number as the following three questionnaires only yielded
290 repetitive information (Glaser & Strauss, 1967). With saturation reached, categories were
291 developed from the responses of 30 participants. These categories were then placed under the
292 TPB belief-based headings (behavioral, normative, and control). The utilization of this

293 deductive approach allowed for the development of a coding frame which was used to
294 identify the frequency of responses. A frequency count was used to identify the number of
295 responses for each category. To ensure reliability of the frequency count, a second coder
296 assisted with this procedure. Specifically, the second coder analyzed fifteen randomly
297 selected questionnaires from the thirty analyzed by the main researcher. A similar procedure
298 to the above provided the randomization. The results of the coder matched those of the
299 researcher, thus inter-rater reliability was achieved (100% agreement). Finally, the modal set
300 was gained by arranging the number of responses per belief in descending order under their
301 respective category (behavioral, normative and control) and applying the 30% criterion
302 (Spinks & Hamilton, 2015). That is, those beliefs mentioned by at least 30% of the sample
303 were selected as the modal set and those mentioned by less than 30% of participants were not
304 retained.

305 **Results**

306 A total of 53 beliefs were elicited; 18 behavioral, 11 normative, and 24 control. When
307 the 30% rule was applied, 17 beliefs remained; six behavioral, five normative, and six control
308 (see Table 1). This is consistent with prior elicitation studies, with a mean of seven
309 behavioral, four normative and six control found in a systematic review (Downs &
310 Hausenblas, 2005a).

311

312 [Table 1 near here]

313

314 **Behavioral beliefs**

315 As can be seen in Table 1, four behavioral beliefs were elicited relating to the

316 advantages of performing recreational sport at university and two beliefs relating to the
317 disadvantages. Thus, six behavioral beliefs were mentioned in total by a minimum of 10
318 participants (30%). The advantage mentioned most frequently was 'health and fitness',
319 followed by 'enjoyment', 'opportunities to meet new people' and 'improves mental well-
320 being'. The disadvantages were that sport can be 'time consuming' and the 'attention taken
321 away from University studies'.

322 **Normative beliefs**

323 Table 1 shows the normative beliefs elicited by at least 30% of the sample. Two
324 referents were highlighted as being approving and three seen to be disapproving. Both of
325 those that were seen to approve the behavior were also seen to disapprove of it. Specifically,
326 the influence of friends was seen as being equally the most salient positive (80%) and
327 negative normative referent (53.3%). Family members were also seen to largely approve and
328 disapprove of the behavior. Academic staff was the only referent mentioned in one of the
329 categories, with 40% stating that this particular referent would not be supportive of their
330 decision to participate in recreational university sport.

331 **Control beliefs**

332 As shown in Table 1, six control beliefs were elicited from the sample when the 30%
333 criterion was applied. Having 'less time constraints' was the main belief that would make
334 sports participation easier with 76.7% sharing this view. Following this, 11 participants
335 (36.7%) stated that 'awareness' would ease participation and 33.3% had concerns relating to
336 'study'. Issues regarding academic study were also mentioned as an inhibitor with 56.7% of
337 the sample claiming that this made sports participation more difficult. 'Time restrictions' was
338 the next salient belief pertaining to difficulty (46.7%), followed by a lack of motivation
339 (43.3%).

340

Discussion

341 The aim of this study was to identify the modal salient behavioral, normative, and
342 control beliefs to participate in recreational sport at university within a sample of first year
343 undergraduate students. This is the first study, to our knowledge, that has done so using the
344 elicitation procedure outlined within the TPB. As such, similarities and differences will be
345 discussed in relation to elicitation studies concerning sports and recreation facilities, and PA.

346 Behavioral beliefs

347 A salient behavioral advantage concerned health and fitness which is unsurprising,
348 particularly as students are educated individuals and both the short and long-term benefits are
349 well known (Lumpkin, 2011). This belief has also been elicited within PA studies (Cowie &
350 Hamilton, 2014; Epton et al., 2015). What is surprising, however, is that this belief was
351 mentioned more frequently than the enjoyable nature of sport. Such a finding is not in line
352 with those of Kilpatrick et al. (2005) who found such affective beliefs to be related to sport.
353 Although the belief wasn't the most modally salient, it is interesting to note that enjoyment
354 was included within the modal set whereas perceptions of the tangible, competitive nature of
355 sport were not. This supports the notion that perceptions vary between the nature of sport
356 offered (Kanters, Bocarro, Greenwood, Casper, Suau, & McKenzie, 2012; Weiss & Fener-
357 Caja, 2002). Specifically, the results suggest that recreational sport is attributed to factors of
358 enjoyment as opposed to competition. The improvement of mental well-being has been
359 supported by Sniehotta (2009) and it is well-documented that sport participation can reduce
360 stress (Kanters, 2000). The opportunity of friendship gains is also common amongst the
361 university sample (e.g., Cowie & Hamilton, 2014; Epton et al., 2015; Riecken et al., 2013).
362 The time that sport takes alongside potential impacts on academic study were seen as
363 disadvantages of participation. Such findings may be attributed to the life transitions and
364 increased responsibilities that first year students contend with (Bray & Bom, 2004). Such

365 concerns are also common within PA elicitation studies (Cowie & Hamilton, 2014; Epton et
366 al., 2015). Together this suggests that engaging in behaviors concerning recreational sport
367 and PA are perceived to be a hindrance in that they may interfere with study.

368 The elicited behavioral beliefs suggest that the physical and mental health related
369 benefits of recreational sport should be emphasized alongside the opportunities to make new
370 friendships and have fun. Furthermore, the time that participation takes up and the negative
371 influence that it can have on academic studies should also be downplayed. If successfully
372 performed, a resulting positive attitude, intention and behavior should ensue (Fishbein &
373 Ajzen, 2009).

374 **Normative beliefs**

375 Due to the opportunities recreational sport provides for social groups, particularly
376 amongst those students adjusting to life in their first academic year, it is not surprising that
377 friends were mentioned as the most influential referent. The encouragement of friends has
378 been found within sports recreational facilities (Sniehotta, 2009). With time spent away from
379 family, it may be surprising that family members have an influence on students' perceptions.
380 Nevertheless, due to the adaption process of first year study and as has been highlighted
381 within a number of PA studies (Cowie & Hamilton, 2014; Epton et al., 2015), contact with
382 family members is often maintained. Finally, academic staff were seen to be discouraging of
383 the behavior. Within extra-curricular classes, it is common for such referents to be perceived
384 as being negative (Anderson, Layland, & Ling, 2013). Although these referents were
385 identified within the modal set, the prediction study of Gucciardi and Jackson (2015) failed to
386 find support for the subjective norms construct, thus suggesting its role is limited in sports
387 participation. However, as the study focused on competitive sports, it could be that normative
388 referents do not necessarily approve of such competitive environments and play a more
389 significant role in recreational sports, as demonstrated in the present study. A sense of

390 campus community developed from such recreational sports (Elkins et al., 2011) rather than
391 pressures from referents such as teammates or gym users (Sniehotta, 2009) suggests that
392 different normative beliefs underpin recreational university sport.

393 These results suggest that interventions should focus particularly on the perceptions
394 that friends, family members, and academic staff have towards students participating in
395 recreational sport.

396 **Control beliefs**

397 Two facilitators were also identified as inhibitors with beliefs concerning time and
398 study mentioned in both categories. Time constraints were found as a control belief within
399 university sports facilities (Sniehotta, 2009) as well as undergraduates' decision to perform
400 PA (Epton et al., 2015; Riecken et al., 2013). The similarities between those and the present
401 study suggest that first year students perceive they lack the time to perform these types of
402 behaviors. Similar to this belief, over half of the sample put forth a barrier relating to that of
403 academic studies. Cowie and Hamilton (2014) found study commitments were the most
404 salient control belief in new students' decision to participate in PA. The final belief elicited
405 by at least 30% of the sample concerning the ease of participation was 'awareness', which
406 was not found in other elicitation studies. A lack of knowledge has been highlighted in
407 literature away from TPB research however, with the suggestion that organizers should
408 'effectively advertise and promote their programs/activities' (Masmanidis Gargalianos &
409 Kosta, 2009, p. 164). Finally, a lack of motivation was also mentioned as a barrier. Similar to
410 Cowie and Hamilton (2014), it could be that the transition to university leaves students
411 feeling demotivated to participate in recreational sport. It is interesting to note that feelings of
412 embarrassment identified in Sniehotta's (2009) study were not found here. This may be due
413 to the nature of recreational sport participation, with students not too concerned about how
414 they are perceived.

415 In summary, the results concerning control beliefs suggest that time constraints,
416 academic study, awareness, and motivation should all be targets for intervention. In doing so,
417 there is a potential to increase sporting participation.

418 **Limitations of the Present Study**

419 Although the study highlights salient beliefs in a university sample, it is not without
420 limitations. First, the beliefs elicited may not be representative of the whole university
421 population and may also not be generalizable to other institutions. Second, the study was
422 cross-sectional meaning that it is possible that beliefs were a result of behavior rather than a
423 causal role of behavior. Next, the study utilized a 30% cut off criteria to highlight modal
424 salient beliefs, therefore a number of beliefs were not included within the final set. However,
425 as there is no specific way to select modal beliefs, it is difficult to include the beliefs of all
426 participants. Further, the omitted beliefs could still prove useful by being introduced in
427 intervention. Although intervention targets were highlighted utilizing the TPB framework, the
428 theory is silent in how to actually achieve change. As such, it can be difficult to know which
429 methods and techniques should be used. The recently developed taxonomy of change (Michie
430 et al., 2013) aims to classify behavior change techniques and can be used to facilitate
431 practitioners in altering identified cognitive processes. For example, planning strategies can
432 be used to negate issues of time (Gollwitzer, 1996). Finally, the study did not identify
433 whether there were any meaningful differences between the courses studied. As the study
434 aimed to provide a generalized number of beliefs representative of the student population,
435 analysis of individual degree courses was not deemed important. If, however, the researcher
436 is interested in identifying beliefs relating to a specific course of study, it would be best to
437 elicit from those within that population.

438 **Conclusions and Future Prospects**

439 Using the TPB, the present study highlighted seventeen modal salient beliefs relating

440 to participation in recreational university sport. This research provides two avenues for future
441 research. First, beliefs identified within the study could be target for intervention. Second, the
442 results can inform the development of a quantitative study highlighting more specific key
443 beliefs to target (Ajzen, 2006). Undergoing such rigorous formative work may lead to
444 significant improvements in the number of students participating in university recreational
445 sport.

References

- 446
447
- 448 Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. In J. Kuhl & J.
449 Beckman (Eds.), *Action control: From cognitions to behaviours* (pp. 11-39).
450 Heidelberg: Springer.
- 451 Ajzen, I. (1988). *Attitudes, personality and behavior*. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.
- 452 Ajzen, I. (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human*
453 *Decision Processes*, 50, 179-211.
- 454 Ajzen, I. (2002). Perceived Behavioral Control, Self-Efficacy, Locus of Control, and the
455 Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 32, 665-683.
- 456 Ajzen, I. (2006). *Behavioral interventions based on the Theory of Planned Behavior*.
457 Retrieved from <http://people.umass.edu/aizen/pdf/tpb.intervention.pdf>.
- 458 Ajzen, I. (2015). The Theory of Planned Behaviour is alive and well, and not ready to retire:
459 a commentary on Sniehotta, Pesseau, and Araújo-Soares. *Health Psychology Review*,
460 9, 131-7.
- 461 Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). *Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior*.
462 Englewood-Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- 463 Ajzen, I., & Madden, T. J. (1986). Prediction of goal-directed behaviour: Attitudes,
464 intentions, and perceived behavioral control. *Journal of Experimental Social*
465 *Psychology*, 22, 453-474.
- 466 Anderson, S. D., Leyland, S. D., & Ling, J. (2013). UK adolescent school pupils' beliefs
467 about participation in extra-curricular dance. *Sport and Art*, 1, 75-81.
- 468 Barnett, L. M., Morgan, P. J., Van Beurden, E., Ball, K., & Lubans, D. R. (2011). A reverse
469 pathway: Actual and perceived skill proficiency and physical activity. *Medicine &*
470 *Science in Sports & Exercise*, 43, 898-904.

- 471 Belanger-Gravel, A., Godin, G., Bilodeau, A., Poirier, P., & Dagenais, G. R. (2013). Physical
472 activity beliefs among overweight/obese older adults: Results from a theory of
473 planned behavior elicitation study. *International Journal of Sport Psychology, 44*,
474 145-159.
- 475 Bray, S. R., & Born, H. A. (2004). Transition to university and vigorous activity:
476 Implications for health and psychological well-being. *Journal of American College*
477 *Health, 52*, 181-188.
- 478 Chatzisarantis, N. L. D., & Hagger, M. S. (2005). Effects of a brief intervention based on the
479 theory of planned behavior on leisure time physical activity participation. *Journal of*
480 *Sport and Exercise Psychology, 27*, 470-487.
- 481 Coakley, J. J. (2009). *Sport in society: Issues and controversies*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 482 Cowie, E., & Hamilton, K. (2014). Key beliefs related to decisions for physical activity
483 engagement among first-in-family students transitioning to university. *Journal of*
484 *Community Health, 39*, 719-726.
- 485 Curtis, J., Weiler, B., & Ham, S. (2010). Identifying beliefs underlying visitor behaviour: A
486 comparative elicitation study based on the Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Annals of*
487 *Leisure Research, 13*, 564-589.
- 488 de Leeuw, A., Valois, P., Ajzen, I., & Schmidt, P. (2015). Using the Theory of Planned
489 Behavior to identify key beliefs underlying pro-environmental behavior in high-
490 school students: Implications for educational interventions. *Journal of Environmental*
491 *Psychology, 42*, 128-138.

- 492 Downs, D.S., & Hausenblas, H. A. (2005). Elicitation studies and the theory of planned
493 behaviour: a systematic review of exercise beliefs. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*,
494 6, 1–31.
- 495 Downs, D. S., & Hausenblas, H. A. (2005). The theories of reasoned action and planned
496 behaviour applied to exercise: A meta-analytic update. *Journal of Physical Activity
497 and Health*, 2, 76-97.
- 498 Elkins, D. J., Forrester, S. A., & Noël-Elkins, A. V. (2011). The Contribution of Campus
499 Recreational Sports Participation to Perceived Sense of Campus Community.
500 *Recreational Sports Journal*, 35, 24-34.
- 501 Epton, T., Norman, P., Harris, P., Webb, T., Snowsill, F. A., & Sheeran,
502 P. (2015). Development of theory-based health messages: **Three**-phase programme of
503 formative research. *Health Promotion International*, 30, 756-768.
- 504 Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1980). *Understanding attitudes and predicting social behaviour*.
505 Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- 506 Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (2009). *Predicting and changing behaviour: The reasoned action
507 approach*. New York: Psychology Press.
- 508 Fishbein, M., & Manfredo, M. J. (1992). A theory of behavior change. In M. J. Manfredo
509 (Ed.), *Influencing human behavior: Theory and applications in recreation, tourism,
510 and natural resources management* (pp. 29-50). Champaign: Sagamore Publishing.
- 511 Fishbein, M., & Middlestadt, S. E. (1995). Noncognitive effects on attitude formation and
512 change: Fact or artifact? *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 4, 181–202.
- 513 Francis, J., Eccles, M. P., Johnston, M., Walker, A. E., Grimshaw, J. M., Foy, R., ... Bonetti,
514 D. (2004). *Constructing questionnaires based on the theory of planned behaviour: A
515 manual for health services researchers*. Newcastle upon Tyne, UK: Centre for
516 Health Services Research, University of Newcastle upon Tyne.

- 517 Francis, J., Johnston, M., Robertson, C., Glidewell, L., Entwistle, V., Eccles, M. P., &
518 Grimshaw, J. M. (2010). What is an adequate sample size? Operationalising data
519 saturation for theory-based interview studies. *Psychology & Health, 25*, 1229-1245.
- 520 Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *Discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for*
521 *qualitative research*. Piscataway, NJ: Transaction Publishers.
- 522 Gollwitzer, P. M. (1996). The volitional benefits of planning. In P. M. Gollwitzer & J. A.
523 Bargh (Eds.), *The psychology of action: Linking cognition and motivation to*
524 *behaviour* (pp. 287-312). New York: Guilford.
- 525 Gucciardi, D.F., & Jackson, B. (2015). Understanding sport continuation: An integration of
526 the Theories of Planned Behaviour and Basic Psychological Needs. *Journal of*
527 *Science and Medicine in Sport, 18*, 31-36.
- 528 Hagger, M. S., Chatzisarantis, N., & Biddle, S. J. H. (2002). A meta-analysis review of the
529 Theories of Reasoned Action and Planned Behaviour in physical activity: Predictive
530 validity and the contribution of additional variables. *Journal of Sport and Exercise*
531 *Psychology, 24*, 3-23.
- 532 Hardeman, W., Johnston, M., Johnston, D. W., Bonetti, D., Wareham, N. J., & Kinmonth,
533 A. L. (2002). Application of the theory of planned behaviour in behaviour change
534 interventions: a systematic review. *Psychology and Health, 17*, 123-158.
- 535 Henderson, K. A. (2009). A paradox of sport management and physical activity interventions.
536 *Sport Management Review, 12*, 57-65.
- 537 Hensley, L. D. (2000). Current status of basic instruction programs in physical education at
538 American colleges and universities. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation &*
539 *Dance, 71*, 30-36.

- 540 Huesman, R., Brown, A. K., Lee, G., Kellogg, J. P., & Radcliffe, P. M. (2009). Gym bags
541 and mortarboards: Is use of campus recreation facilities related to student success?
542 *Journal of Student Affairs Research and Practice, 46*, 50-71.
- 543 Kampf, S., & Teske, E. (2013). Collegiate recreation participation and retention. *Recreational*
544 *Sports Journal, 37*, 85-96.
- 545 Kanters, M. A. (2000). Recreational sport participation as a moderator of college stress.
546 *Recreational Sports Journal, 24*, 10-23.
- 547 Kanters, M. A., Bocarro, J. A., Edwards, M. B., Casper, J. M., & Floyd, M. F. (2013). School
548 sport participation under two school sport policies: comparisons by race/ethnicity,
549 gender, and socioeconomic status. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 45*, 113-121.
- 550 Kanters, M. A., Bocarro, J. N., Greenwood, P. B., Casper, J. M., Suau, L. J., & McKenzie, T.
551 L. (2012). Determinants of middle school sport participation: A comparison of
552 different models for school sport delivery. *International Journal of Sport*
553 *Management and Marketing, 12*, 159-179.
- 554 Kilpatrick, M., Hebert, E., & Bartholomew, J. (2005). College students' motivation for
555 physical activity: Differentiating men's and women's motives for sport participation
556 and exercise. *Journal of American College Health, 54*, 87-94.
- 557 Kwan, M. Y. W., Bray, S. R., Martin Ginis, K. A. (2009). Predicting physical activity of
558 first-year university students: an application of the theory of planned behavior.
559 *Journal of American College Health, 58*, 45-52.
- 560 Leslie, E., Sparling, P. B., & Owen, N. (2001). University campus setting and the promotion
561 of physical activity in young adults. *Health Education, 101*, 116–125.

- 562 Lumpkin, A. (2011). *Introduction to Physical Education, Exercise Science, and Sport*
563 *Studies*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- 564 Masmanidis, T., Gargalianos, D., & Kosta, G. (2009). Perceived constraints of Greek
565 university students' participation in campus recreational sport programs. *Recreational*
566 *Sports Journal*, *33*, 150–166.
- 567 Michie, S., Johnston, M., Francis, J., Hardeman, W., & Eccles, M. (2008). From theory to
568 intervention: Mapping theoretically derived behavioural determinants to behaviour
569 change techniques. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, *57*, 660-680.
- 570 Michie, S., Richardson, M., Johnston, M., Abraham, C., Francis, J., Hardeman, W., Eccles,
571 M. P., Cane, J., & Wood, C. E. (2013). The behavior change technique taxonomy (v1)
572 of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques: building an international consensus for the
573 reporting of behavior change interventions. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, *46*, 81-
574 95.
- 575 Miller, O. A. (1956). The magical number seven plus or minus two: Some limits on our
576 capacity for processing information. *Psychological Review*, *63*, 1-97.
- 577 Quine, L., Rutter, D. R., & Arnold, L. (2001). Persuading school-age cyclists to use safety
578 helmets: Effectiveness of an intervention based on the Theory of Planned Behaviour.
579 *British Journal of Health Psychology*, *6*, 327–45.
- 580 Rhodes, R. E., Blanchard, C. M., Courneya, K. S., & Plotnikoff, R. C. (2009). Identifying
581 belief-based targets for the promotion of leisure-time walking. *Health Education &*
582 *Behavior*, *36*, 381-393.
- 583 Riecken, K. H. B., Mark, R., & Rhodes, R. E. (2013). Qualitative elicitation of affective
584 beliefs related to physical activity. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *14*, 786-792.

- 585 Robertson, A., Mullan, B., & Todd, J. (2014). A qualitative exploration of experiences of
586 overweight young and older adults. An application of the integrated behaviour model.
587 *Appetite, 75*, 157-164.
- 588 Romaguera, D., Tauler, P., Bennasar, M., Pericas, J., Moreno, C., & Martinez, S. (2011).
589 Determinants and patterns of physical activity practice among Spanish university
590 students. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 29*, 989-997.
- 591 Rowe, R., Andrews, E. C., Harris, P. Armitage, C., McKenna, F., & Norman, P., (2016).
592 Identifying beliefs underlying pre-drivers' intentions to take risks: An application of
593 the Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Accident Analysis and Prevention, 89*, 49-56.
- 594 Scott, D., & Willits, F. K. (1998). Adolescent and adult leisure patterns: A Reassessment.
595 *Journal of Leisure Research, 30*, 319-330.
- 596 Sniehotta, F. (2009). An experimental test of the Theory of Planned Behavior. *Applied*
597 *Psychology: Health and Well-Being, 1*, 257-270.
- 598 Spinks, T., & Hamilton, K. (2015). Investigating key beliefs guiding mothers' dietary
599 decisions for their 2-3 year old. *Appetite, 89*, 167-74.
- 600 Sport England. (2012). *Creating a Sporting Habit for Life*. Sport England: England.
- 601 Sport England. (2014). *Higher Education Sport Participation and Satisfaction Survey*.
602 *National Report. Year Three*. TNS BMRB: England.
- 603 Sutton, S. (2002). Using social cognition models to develop health behaviour interventions:
604 Problems and assumptions. In D. Rutter, & L. Quine (Eds.), *Changing health*
605 *behaviour* (pp. 193-208). Buckingham Philadelphia: Open University Press.
- 606 Sutton, S., French, D. P., Hennings, S. J., Mitchell, J., Wareham, N. J., Griffin, S., Hardeman,
607 W., & Kinmonth, A. L. (2003). Eliciting salient beliefs in research on the theory of

- 608 planned behaviour: The effect of question wording. *Current Psychology:*
609 *Developmental, Learning, Personality, Social*, 22, 234-251.
- 610 Taylor, N., Conner, M., & Lawton, R. (2012). The impact of theory on the effectiveness of
611 worksite physical activity interventions: A meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Health*
612 *Psychology Review*, 6, 33–73.
- 613 Tsigilis, N., Masmanidis, T., & Koustelios, A. (2009). University students' satisfaction and
614 effectiveness of campus recreation programs. *Recreational Sports Journal*, 33, 65-77.
- 615 Ungar, N., Sieverding, M., Ulrich, C. M., & Wiskemann, J. (2015). What explains the
616 intention to be physically active in cancer patients? Different determinants for active
617 and insufficiently active patients. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology*, 33, 15-33
- 618 Vayro, C., & Hamilton, K. (2016). Using three-phase theory-based formative research to
619 explore healthy eating in Australian truck drivers. *Appetite*, 98, 41-48.
- 620 Webb, T. L., Joseph, J., Yardley, L., & Michie, S. (2010). Using the internet to promote
621 health behavior change: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of
622 theoretical basis, use of behavior change techniques, and mode of delivery on
623 efficacy. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 12 e4.
- 624 Wechsler, H., Devereaux, R., Davis, M., & Collins, J. (2000). Using the school
625 environment to promote physical activity and healthy eating. *Preventive Medicine*, 31,
626 121–137.
- 627 Weiss, M. R., & Ferrer-Caja, E. (2002). Motivational orientations in sport. In T. Horn
628 (Ed), *Advances in Sport and Exercise Psychology* (pp. 101-183). Champaign, IL;
629 Human Kinetics
- 630

631 Table 1

632 *Modal salient behavioral, normative and control beliefs*

633

	Category	Belief	Total Number of Participants	Percentage of Participants (%)
Behavioral	Advantages	Health and fitness	24	80
		Enjoyment	18	60
		Opportunities to make new friends	9	30
		Improves mental well-being	9	30
	Disadvantages	Time consuming	22	73.3
		Attention taken away from University Studies	10	33.3
Normative	Approve	Friends	24	80
		Family	19	63.3
	Disapprove	Friends	16	53.3
		Academic Staff	12	40
		Family	11	36.7
Control	Easier	Less time Constraints	23	76.7
		More awareness	11	36.7
		Study Related	10	33.3
	Difficult	Study related	17	56.7
		Time restrictions	14	46.7
		Lack of motivation/energy	13	43.3

634