

Mass spectrometry imaging of 3D tissue models

RUSSO, Cristina, LEWIS, Emily, FLINT, Lucy and CLENCH, Malcolm
<<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0798-831X>>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/20994/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

RUSSO, Cristina, LEWIS, Emily, FLINT, Lucy and CLENCH, Malcolm (2018). Mass spectrometry imaging of 3D tissue models. *Proteomics*, 18 (14).

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

Viewpoint**Mass Spectrometry Imaging of 3D Tissue Models**Cristina Russo¹, Emily E.L. Lewis^{1,2}, Lucy Flint¹ and Malcolm R Clench^{1*}¹Centre for Mass Spectrometry Imaging, Biomolecular Sciences Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University, Howard Street, Sheffield S1 WB UK²Innovenn UK Ltd., National Agri-Food Innovation Campus, Sand Hutton, York, YO41 1LZ, UK

Corresponding author: Malcom R. Clench, e-mail: M.R.Clench@shu.ac.uk

Abstract

A 3D cell culture is an artificially created environment in which cells are permitted to grow/interact with their surroundings in all three dimensions. Derived from 3D cell culture, organoids are generally small-scale constructs of cells that are fabricated in the laboratory to serve as 3D representations of *in vivo* tissues and organs. Due to regulatory, economic and societal issues concerning the use of animals in scientific research it seems clear that the use of 3D cell culture and organoids in for example early stage studies of drug efficacy and toxicity will increase. The combination of such 3D tissue models with mass spectrometry imaging provides a label free methodology for the study of drug absorption/penetration, drug efficacy/toxicity and drug biotransformation. In this article, some of the successes achieved to date and challenges to be overcome before this methodology is more widely adopted are discussed.

A 3D cell culture is an artificially created environment in which cells are permitted to grow/interact with their surroundings in all three dimensions. Derived from 3D cell culture, organoids are generally small-scale constructs of cells that are fabricated in the laboratory to serve as 3D representations of *in vivo* tissues and organs. The phenotypic analysis of organoids by proteomics has recently been reviewed by Gonneaud *et al* [1]. Due to regulatory, economic and societal issues concerning the use of animals in scientific research it seems clear that the use of 3D cell culture and organoids in for example early stage studies of drug efficacy and toxicity will increase. The combination of such 3D

Received: 03 16, 2018; Revised: 04 12, 2018; Accepted: 04 20, 2018

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/pmic.201700462](https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201700462).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

tissue models with mass spectrometry imaging provides a label free methodology for the study of drug absorption/penetration, drug efficacy/toxicity and drug biotransformation.

An organ that has been successfully modelled via 3D cell culture techniques is human skin. A range of commercially available models have been become established for toxicological and pharmaceutical studies. These include models of human reconstructed epidermis (HRE), 3D differentiated epidermis cultures derived from human keratinocytes, i.e. EpiSkin (Epskin, Lyon, France) and EpiDerm (Mattek, Ashland USA) and full thickness living skin equivalents (LSE) e.g.. EpiDermFT (Mattek Ashland USA), T-skin (Episkin, Lyon, France) and Labskin (Innovenn(UK) Ltd York UK). The full thickness LSEs comprise a differentiated epidermis supported by a dermal component consisting of fibroblasts in a 3D scaffold. A comprehensive review into their use in drug development has been published by Mathes et al. [2]

The combination of 3D tissue models of human skin with mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) potentially provides an elegant label free methodology for the study of both drug absorption and drug biotransformation in skin. The earliest work in this area was reported by Avery *et al* [3] who examined the absorption of the drug imipramine into a commercial 3D tissue model of the epidermis “Straticel”. Other studies of a similar type have been reported by Francese *et al* [4] and Mitchell *et al* [5]. In the work of Francese *et al* [4] MSI was used to map the distribution of the drug acetretin within a commercial living skin equivalent model with the purpose of investigating the efficiency of curcumin as matrix compared to CHCA. MSI data of Labskin 4 hours post treatment samples showed the penetration of acetretin into the epidermal layer. In further development of this work reported by Harvey *et al* [6], the localisation of the same drug was analysed using MALDI-MSI, after the creation of a LSE exhibiting psoriatic like properties by treatment of the commercial product with the pro-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-22. In this modified model, the distribution of acetretin was studied at 24 hours and 48 hours post treatment and the data obtained demonstrated that after 48 hours it was possible to observe the drug penetration into the dermal region, whereas after 24 hours it was still localised in the epidermal layer only [6].

A concern that has been expressed in the use of 3D cell culture models for absorption studies relates to the difference in the absorption properties of such models compared to human skin [7]. It was found in a large-scale validation study carried out in Germany that the permeation of chemicals was overestimated when using 3D models [7]. This seems to be an instance where a discussion of the philosophy of the use of tissue models is appropriate. For acceptance of the use of these models in absorption studies what is required is an acknowledgement that the models are “models”, not human skin. In order for the models to be used to predict absorption behaviour in human skin what is therefore required is for their absorption behaviour to be fully characterised for substrates with a range of physio-chemical properties so that conversion/scaling factors can be derived.

Similar issues surround the use of 3D models of skin for the study of drug biotransformation. This is a complex area where there is not at present even a consensus on the range and distribution of metabolising enzymes present in human skin itself [8-10]. Nevertheless given the difficulties in reliably obtaining human skin for metabolism studies (and sufficient skin for a representative study given issues including, race, gender, age and genetic polymorphisms) there has been interest in the use of 3D models in this area. In the UK the NC3Rs (National Centre for the Replacement, Refinement and Reduction of Animals in Research) instigated in 2016 a challenge to researchers "To establish, both qualitatively (which metabolites are produced) and quantitatively (concentration of the metabolites produced), the extent to which skin metabolism determines xenobiotic availability in human skin" (<https://crackit.org.uk/challenge-20-metaboderm>). Working towards this aim, we became interested in examining the use of MSI to localise where in human skin and a commercial full thickness skin model the presence of functional metabolising enzymes could be detected. In order to achieve this we developed the idea of "Substrate Based Mass Spectrometry Imaging" (SBMSI) [11]. Here the surface of the skin or model was treated with a known substrate for a specific metabolising enzyme, left to incubate for 48hrs before a section through the skin model was examined by MSI. Figure 1 shows the results of such an experiment carried out to detect the presence of esterase activity in a full thickness skin model using methyl paraben as a probe [12]. In these data the biotransformed methyl paraben (shown in green) is clearly detected in the epidermal layer, hence indicating esterase activity in this region. Quantitative mass spectrometry imaging of the amounts of such metabolites formed could be used to give a measure of the amount of enzyme present in different regions of the skin model and human skin. Derivation of scaling factors would then allow the building of robust *in-silico* models to predict skin metabolism [13].

In a recent study Lewis *et al* [14] have utilised MSI to study wound healing in a full thickness 3D skin model. The aims of this experiment were to develop a project for the testing wound-healing products. Sections of skin wounded by incision were examined by MSI after 4 days. It was observed that the wound in the skin had healed and migration of epidermal cells into the wound bed could be observed. Using a multivariate statistics approach signals associated with the wound bed were highlighted and then identified by a combination of accurate mass measurement and MS/MS. All of the 14 metabolites highlighted as having elevated levels within the wound bed were associated with biochemical pathways associated with wound-healing including re-epithelialization, reduction of inflammation and cell proliferation.

An area where use of 3D models might be expected to increase is in the study of chemical toxicity. Most countries in the world require comprehensive toxicity testing of newly created chemicals, which may be used as/in industrial chemicals, pesticides, food additives, biotechnology products or pharmaceuticals. Legislation requires the conduction of specific tests depending on whether the

chemicals are for example, mono-constituent or multi-constituent substances, mixtures of chemicals, pesticide formulations or cosmetic products. There are several legislative organisations in the world, including the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), which provides regulatory frameworks to assess the safety of any chemicals, which have to be agreed by government, industry and independent laboratories (<http://www.oecd.org/>). One aspect of the legislation studies the effect of chemicals on human health through assessing toxicokinetics, topical toxicity and systemic toxicity. There is clearly a role for 3D tissue models in this area and indeed an increased use of 3D tissue models of human skin in toxicology was stimulated by European legislation, Directive 76/768 EEC which detailed with the upcoming prohibition of the use of animal models for the toxicity testing of cosmetics and cosmetic ingredients. It has to be considered in risk management strategies carried out by industry that societal pressure could lead to similar legislation being drafted in other areas of chemical/pharmaceutical safety and hence research now into the use of 3D models for toxicokinetic studies in these other areas seems essential. Indeed 3D models would seem to be ideal platforms for investigations into adverse outcome pathways (AOP) and associated molecular initiating events (AOP) widely proposed as the route to large scale toxicity screening of chemicals [15].

Mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) has also emerged as a powerful analytical tool to visualise specific species and drug therapeutics in three-dimensional (3D) cell culture models of cancer: tumour spheroids. Spheroids, have become essential tools for *in vitro* research in this area due to their ability to replicate the tumour microenvironment [16]. These spheroid models mimic the complexity and structure of *in vivo* tumours that is not possible by traditional 2D cell culture [17]. Additionally, spheroids are a cost effective way of modelling the intricate processes of tumour environments, with added benefits of higher throughput results and avoiding ethical issues associated with animal models [18]. For the analysis of drug penetration, spheroids are of a particular interest due to the formation of a pathophysiological gradient within its structure [19]. Spheroids consist of three layers, a necrotic core encapsulated by a quiescent layer and an outer proliferating region. The structure of spheroids mimics the physiological barrier for drugs to penetrate making it a highly representative model of a solid tumour [19]. By understanding the molecular composition and structure of spheroids, the distribution of drugs and the responses from treatment can be further understood. Therefore an MSI approach to monitor drug penetration is a highly desirable method for pharmaceutical development.

Conventional methodologies including fluorescent microscopy [20] and magnetic resonance imaging [21] have previously been used to measure drug distribution in 3D tissues. These methods, however, require the addition of labels or probes which can impact the efficacy of drug distribution, and possibly alter the biological composition of 3D samples. MSI has the ability to directly map a variety of molecular species, drugs and metabolites within 3D culture models without the use of any labels or probes [22]. Spheroids and drug treatment are therefore not compromised when analysed by MSI.

Li and Hummon were the first to examine the molecular composition within spheroids using MSI [23]. MALDI-MSI was used to determine the spatial distribution of predominant species in 3D colon cancer cells, HCT116. Specific species including cytochrome C and histone H4 were identified and validated by protein sequencing and LC-MS/MS. This approach successfully located and identified specific peptides without prior knowledge or labelling, proving it to be a valuable methodology to analyse the true genetic make-up within spheroids. An alternative study also utilised the MALDI-MSI approach to examine lipid metabolism of 3D primary colon cancer cells [24]. Identification of phospholipids in the surface region of spheroids gave a further understanding of the importance of colon cancer progression. These studies revealed the benefits of spatial distribution of specific species in spheroids to distinguish possible biomarkers and potential targets for cancer therapeutics.

The ability of MALDI-MSI has expanded to the analysis of drug distribution and molecular responses in spheroids. Liu *et al* demonstrated time-dependent distribution of a chemotherapeutic, irinotecan, and its metabolites by MALDI-MSI on colon spheroids, validated by LC-MS/MS [25]. A more recent study used this technique in combination with iTRAQ MS/MS for the identification of proteomic changes in response to a combinational chemotherapeutic drug, FOLFIRI [26]. These projects have demonstrated that MSI to be an excellent methodology for exploring pharmaceutical distribution within spheroids. Subsequently, further studies have utilised this approach to determine the spatial distribution of other therapeutics. Liu and Hummon quantitatively tracked the penetration and metabolism of platinum-based drugs in colon cancer spheroids by MALDI-MSI in combination with UPLC-MRM [27]. Results from this study show great potential as a pre-clinical methodology for the analysis of metal-based drugs. The Lukowski group have applied the MSI-spheroid approach for analysing the efficacy of liposomal drug delivery [28]. The study, however, showed slower metabolic rates compared to free-drug delivery and suggested expanding the approach to actively quantify the amount of drug in spheroids for future work.

This multiplex nature of MSI creates an advantage for analysing the efficacy of chemotherapeutics for treatment of different cancers. Further studies, however, could be achieved to evaluate alternative cancers and representative therapeutics due to current research primarily focussed on colon cancer cell lines. Additional benefits of this approach also allow for high throughput analysis of representative biological models that also impacts the usage of animal models in such experiments. MSI could also be further expanded to actively quantify drug concentrations within spheroids; however methods including LC-MS can still be utilised for this [27, 28]. Nonetheless, MSI of spheroids offers a valuable approach for pre-clinical screening of therapeutics for the pharmaceutical industry.

MSI has therefore emerged as an attractive tool for the analysis of drug distribution within 3D cell cultures models, such as skin and spheroids. MSI techniques have the ability to localise drugs and metabolites within the structure of the models and in addition biological changes in response to treatment/exposure can be observed.

Acknowledgements

Emily Lewis is supported by an Innovate UK Funded Knowledge Transfer Partnership KTP010322; Cristina Russo is supported by a Croda International PLC

References

1. Gonneaud A, Claude A, Boudreau François, Boisvert François-Michel (2017). *Proteomics* 17:1700023
2. Mathes S. H., Ruffner H, Graf-Hausner U (2014). *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 69-70:81
3. Avery J. L., McEwen A, Flinders B, Francese S, Clench MR (2011). *Xenobiotica* 41:735
4. Francese S., Bradshaw R, Flinders B, Mitchell C, Bleay S, Cicero L, Clench MR (2013). *Anal Chem* 85:5240
5. Mitchell C. A., Donaldson M, Francese S, Clench MR (2016). *Methods* 104:93
6. Harvey A., Cole LM, Day R, Bartlett M, Warwick J, Bojar R, Smith D, Cross N, Clench MR (2016). *Proteomics* 16:1718
7. Schäfer-Korting M., Bock U, Diembeck W, Dösing H-, Gamer A, Haltner-Ukomadu E, Hoffmann C, Kaca M, Kamp H, Kersen S, Kietzmann M, Korting HC, Krachter H-, Lehr C-, Liebsch M, Mehling A, Möller-Goymann C, Netzlaff F, Niedorf F, Robbelke MK, Schöfer U, Schmidt E, Schreiber S, Spielmann H, Vuia A, Weimer M (2008). *ATLA Altern Lab Anim* 36:161
8. Nenad Manevski, Piet Swart, Kamal Kumar Balavenkatraman, Barbara Bertschi, Gian Camenisch, Olivier Kretz, Hilmar Schiller, Markus Walles, Barbara Ling, Reto Wettstein, Dirk J Schaefer, Peter Itin, Joanna Ashton-Chess, Francois Pognan, Armin Wolf, Karine Litherland (2015). *Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals* 43:126
9. van Eijl S., Zhu Z, Cupitt J, Gierula M, Gotz C, Fritsche E, Edwards RJ (2012). *PLoS ONE* 7
10. Oesch F., Fabian E, Guth K, Landsiedel R (2014). *Arch Toxicol* 88:2135
11. Couto N, Newton Jillian, Bojar Richard et al (2017) *Proteomics and Substrate Based MS Imaging of Xenobiotic Metabolising Enzymes in ex vivo Human Skin and a Human Skin Model*. In: Anonymous 65th ASMS Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics, June 4th-8th 2017. ASMS

12. Suzanne A., Helene G, Nancy K, Marie-Helene P, Patrick N, Jacques M (2010). Drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics 25:568
13. Madden J. C., Webb S, Enoch SJ, Colley HE, Murdoch C, Shipley R, Sharma P, Yang C, Cronin MTD (2017). Computational Toxicology 3:44
14. Lewis Emily E., Freeman-Parry Louise, Bojar Richard A, Clench Malcolm R (2018) International Journal of Cosmetics Science (Article in Press) doi: 10.1111/ics.12446
15. Committee on Toxicity Testing and Assessment of Environmental Agents, Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Institute for Laboratory Animal Research et al (2007) Toxicity testing in the 21st century: A vision and a strategy. In: Anonymous Toxicity Testing in the 21st Century: A Vision and a Strategy, pp 1-196
16. Klimkiewicz K., Weglarczyk K, Collet G, Paprocka M, Guichard A, Sarna M, Jozkowicz A, Dulak J, Sarna T, Grillon C, Kieda C (2017). Cancer Lett 396:10
17. Edmondson R., Broglie JJ, Adcock AF, Yang L (2014). Assay Drug Dev Technol 12:207
18. Nam K., Smith AST, Lone S, Kwon S, Kim D (2015). J Lab Autom 20:201
19. Mehta G., Hsiao AY, Ingram M, Luker GD, Takayama S (2012). J Control Release 164:192
20. Edmondson R., Broglie JJ, Adcock AF, Yang L (2014). ASSAY and Drug Development Technologies 12:27
21. O'Neill E. S., Kaur A, Bishop DP, Shishmarev D, Kuchel PW, Grieve SM, Figtree GA, Renfrew AK, Bonnitche PD, New EJ (2017). Inorg Chem 56:9860
22. Liu X., Hummon AB (2015). Anal Chem 87:9508
23. Haohang Li, Amanda B Hummon (2011). Analytical Chemistry 83:8794
24. Hiraide T., Ikegami K, Sakaguchi T, Morita Y, Hayasaka T, Masaki N, Waki M, Sugiyama E, Shinriki S, Takeda M, Shibasaki Y, Miyazaki S, Kikuchi H, Okuyama H, Inoue M, Setou M, Konno H (2016). Sci Rep 6
25. Liu X., Weaver EM, Hummon AB (2013). Anal Chem 85:6295
26. Labonia G. J., Ludwig KR, Mousseau CB, Hummon AB (2018). Anal Chem 90:1423
27. Liu X., Hummon AB (2016). Sci Rep 6
28. Lukowski J. K., Weaver EM, Hummon AB (2017). Anal Chem 89:8453

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: Substrate Based Mass Spectrometry Imaging (SBMSI): MSI used to detect the presence of esterases. A commercially available full thickness 3D skin model was treated with the esterase probe-Methyl paraben. After 48 hours sections were taken and examined by MSI, the image shows the localisation of methyl paraben (red) and its esterase generated phase 1 metabolite p-hydroxybenzoic acid (green) confirming the presence of esterase activity in the model [11].

