

# The identification of reasons, solutions, and techniques informing a theory-based intervention targeting recreational sports participation

ST QUINTON, Tom <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5014-4729> and BRUNTON, Julie <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5808-0168>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/20874/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

## Citation:

ST QUINTON, Tom and BRUNTON, Julie (2018). The identification of reasons, solutions, and techniques informing a theory-based intervention targeting recreational sports participation. Research quarterly for exercise and sport, 89 (2), 255-264. [Article]

## Copyright and re-use policy

See <a href="http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html">http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html</a>

1		

## Abstract

2	Purpose: This study is the third piece of formative research utilizing the Theory of
3	Planned Behavior to inform the development of a behavior change intervention. Focus
4	groups were used to identify reasons and solutions to previously identified key beliefs
5	in addition to potentially effective behavior change techniques. Method: A purposive
6	sample of 22 first-year undergraduate students ( $n = 8$ males; $M = 19.8$ years, $SD = 1.3$
7	years) attending a university in the North of England, UK, was used. Focus groups
8	were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, analyzed thematically and coded for
9	recurrent themes. Results: Fourteen reasons were given regarding enjoyment, eleven
10	reasons for friends' approval, eleven reasons for friends' own participation, fourteen
11	reasons for the approval of family members, and ten solutions to time constraints.
12	Eleven distinct techniques were suggested to attend to these reasons and solutions.
13	Conclusion: This qualitative research will be used to inform the development of a
14	theory-based intervention to increase students' participation in university recreational
15	sport.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	Keywords: behavior change methods; theory of planned behavior; intervention development;

27 recreational sport

28 Sport refers to physical activities with certain rules and organized conditions (Coakley, 2009). Students participating in university recreational sport may see an 29 improvement in academic studies (Huesman, Brown, Lee, Kellogg, & Radcliffe, 2009), a 30 31 reduction in stress (Kanters, 2000), and greater rates of retention (Kampf & Teske, 2013). Despite these benefits, rates of participation decrease when students begin higher education 32 (Gucciardi & Jackson, 2015). Despite investing millions of pounds to increase participation 33 rates in the UK, the interventions funded by Sport England (Sport England, 2012) have 34 demonstrated limited success to date. For example, although the Active Universities Project 35 36 showed a 2% increase in sports participation within the first year, no change was found in the following two (Sport England, 2014). One explanation for these modest findings could be the 37 lack of theory used in intervention development. As theoretical designs outperform 38 39 atheoretical approaches (Webb, Joseph, Yardley, & Michie, 2010), theory should be included within interventions attempting to change behavior. More specifically, theory-based 40 interventions could prove useful in increasing participation rates in university recreational 41 42 sport.

### 43 **TPB and Behavior Change**

With a number of theories developed to understand behavior, one of the most widely 44 used is the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB; Ajzen, 1985). According to the TPB, intention 45 is the proximal determinant of behavior and is determined by three factors; attitude, 46 subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control. Attitude refers to the evaluation of 47 behavior, subjective norm concerns the social pressure to perform the behavior, and 48 perceived behavioral control refers to the amount of control over the behavior. These 49 determinants are influenced by behavioral, normative, and control beliefs, respectively. 50 51 Behavioral beliefs are the perceived consequences of behavior and the evaluation of these consequences. Normative beliefs are the perceived expectations of important referents and 52

#### REASONS, SOLUTIONS & TECHNIQUES FOR SPORT

the motivation to comply with such referents. Control beliefs are the evaluation of factors that
may facilitate or impede behavior. To identify suitable intervention targets, formative work
comprising of belief elicitation and belief measurement should be undertaken (Ajzen, 2011).
Those critical beliefs should then be the focus of behavior change interventions.

**Reasons and solutions.** Despite offering robust guidelines on the identification of 57 what to change, the TPB is relatively silent on how to do so. As such, interventions 58 successfully changing the psychological processes have been scarce, with some even 59 suggesting a retirement of the theory (Sniehotta, Presseau, & Araújo-Soares, 2014). To 60 address this gap, two studies have attempted to identify the 'reasons' and 'solutions' to 61 previously identified key beliefs to provide avenues for the shaping of health messages (e.g., 62 Epton et al., 2015; Vayro & Hamilton, 2016). Using open-ended questions within a 63 64 questionnaire, Epton et al. (2015) asked participants to provide up to three reasons for each key belief in addition to rating the importance of these responses. The behaviors under 65 investigation were fruit and vegetable uptake, binge drinking, smoking, and physical activity 66 67 within a first-year university sample. For example, participants stated 'reducing the risk of disease' as the salient reason for physical activity improving health. Vayro and Hamilton 68 (2016) identified the reasons and solutions to key beliefs pertaining to healthy eating within 69 truck drivers. One solution relating to a belief concerning time constraints, for example, was 70 to have the food already prepared. The additional information from these reasons and 71 72 solutions were then used to form the content of theory-based messages.

Despite providing greater information on the content of interventions, this work was undertaken using questionnaires, thus neglecting the opportunity for valuable information to be gained from other qualitative approaches, such as focus groups. Indeed, such an approach allows for a detailed account of participants' perceptions that would be less accessible in quantitative approaches (Krueger & Casey, 2014). Furthermore, as Vayro and Hamilton (2016) acknowledge, these reasons and solutions do not specifically identify how change can
be achieved. As an example, Epton et al. (2015) found 'Aids concentration' as a solution to 'a
lack of time'. Although providing additional information countering the key belief, it is still
not clear how one should use this information to target this belief within an intervention. That
is, how should one specifically integrate the idea that physical activity 'Aids concentration'
within a theory-based message? To answer this type of question, one must refer to the
literature on techniques.

Behavior change techniques and taxonomies. The changing of behavior depends 85 heavily on the 'active ingredients' within interventions, commonly known as behavior change 86 techniques (BCTs; Michie et al., 2013). Taxonomies of BCTs were recently developed to 87 standardize intervention ingredients and thus provide a common language for interventionists 88 89 to utilize techniques. For example, the CALO-RE taxonomy (Michie et al., 2011), which targets change in physical activity and eating behaviors, includes 40 BCTs such as 'Provide 90 normative information about others' behavior', 'Action planning', and 'Time management'. 91 92 Similarly, the BCT taxonomy (v1; Michie et al., 2013), which is aimed at behavior in general, includes 93 distinct BCTs, organized into 16 groupings. In addition to standardizing scientific 93 language, these classifications enable interventionists to understand the different types of 94 BCTs available to potentially alter specific psychological targets. To gain a greater 95 understanding of this, researchers linked BCTs to psychological processes (e.g., Cane, 96 97 Richardson, Johnston, Ladha, & Michie, 2015; Michie, Johnston, Francis, Hardeman, & Eccles, 2008). Due to the number of constructs used in health psychology, these studies used 98 the Theoretical Domains Framework (Cane, O'Connor, & Michie, 2012) to categorize 99 determinants. As an example of specific links, the experts within the Michie et al. (2008) 100 study agreed that the domain 'Beliefs about consequences' could be targeted with the BCTs 101 'Self-monitoring', 'Persuasive communication', 'Information regarding the behavior', 102

#### REASONS, SOLUTIONS & TECHNIQUES FOR SPORT

103 'Feedback', 'Self-talk', and 'Motivational interviewing'. Similarly, using techniques within the BCT taxonomy (v1), Cane et al. (2015) linked ten BCTs to the domain 'Social 104 Influences' including 'Information about others' approval' and 'Modelling'. 105 106 The benefit of linking BCTs to determinants is that it allows intervention designers to select potentially useful mechanisms of change. Despite this, there are a couple of potential 107 issues relating to the mapping of BCTs. First, the identification of appropriate BCTs through 108 this process was conducted through an expert consensus approach, rather than an evidence 109 base. As such, there could be BCTs included that do not change the theorized determinants or 110 111 BCTs not included that do in fact have the potential to change such processes. Indeed, Prestwich et al. (2014) found no support for the use of setting graded tasks to increase self-112 efficacy, despite Michie et al. (2008) suggesting this BCT would be useful. Although 113 114 research is in its infancy regarding technique effectiveness, failure to link BCTs to determinants using evidence is problematic (de Bruin, Crutzen, & Peters, 2015). Second, due 115 to the number of BCTs applicable to each domain, it can be difficult to identify which 116 specific technique, amongst many, will be appropriate to the behavior under investigation. 117 Taking these two issues into consideration, the identification of the most appropriate 118 BCTs may be facilitated by gaining the opinions of the population under study. More 119 specifically, BCTs provided by a sample of the population may result in the identification of 120 techniques not linked to certain domains. If BCTs mirror those previously suggested, the 121 122 specific techniques elicited from the population may have an enhanced likelihood of success, particularly due to the number of techniques linked to each domain. 123 In summary, studies have helped shape the content of health messages by identifying 124 reasons and solutions to relevant psychological targets. These studies, however, do not make 125 use of mapping approaches that provide explicit links to potentially effective BCTs. Even 126

127 when these approaches are used, there may be BCTs lacking utility that are mapped onto

5

domains or effective BCTs that are missing altogether. The number of BCTs relevant to each
domain also adds confusion to those most appropriate. Although such issues are a product of
the early stages of BCTs and taxonomies, the problems are nevertheless apparent. Gaining
information on these issues from the participant perspective could be an important avenue to
pursue. Making use of a qualitative approach may provide an ideal methodology to answer
such research questions.

#### 134 Purposes

The study was designed to (a) highlight the reasons and solutions to the key beliefs 135 identified in a previous study (Author citation, in press) and (b) identify potentially effective 136 BCTs to attend to these beliefs from the participant perspective. To the authors' knowledge, 137 this is the first study qualitatively targeting previously identified salient beliefs concerning 138 university students' recreational sports participation using focus groups. With this, such work 139 140 will add to this field of study by identifying the reasons, solutions, and BCTs that can help facilitate the development of a tailored behavior change intervention attempting to modify 141 critical beliefs. 142

#### 143

### Method

### 144 Study Design and Participants

The study used a qualitative design to investigate students' views and experiences of university recreational sport. Participants were first-year students attending a university in the North of England, UK, and were eligible if they were enrolled on a full-time degree course.
Demographics of study participants are summarized in Table 1.

149 [Table 1 near here]

150

#### 151 **Procedures**

**Recruitment.** After gaining ethical approval from the university ethics board (Ref: 152 SSHS/2016/023), a purposive sampling strategy was used to recruit participants from various 153 degree courses. Participants were approached within lectures where a study outline, 154 information sheets and contact details were provided. Once contact was made, interested 155 participants were given a more detailed information sheet which outlined their potential 156 involvement in the study. Snowball sampling was also used, with interested participants 157 asked to inform others of the study within their cohort. To ensure a varied range of degree 158 159 subjects within each focus group, participants were arranged under degree programs and assigned to a group based on their time of acceptance (i.e., the first confirmed student from 160 six different degree courses were assigned to focus group one). 161

**Focus groups**. Focus groups were used to gain a deeper understanding of the research 162 163 question (Krueger & Casey, 2014). The researcher booked a quiet room within the university at a date and time convenient to the participants. Participants gave full consent and completed 164 165 a self-report questionnaire of demographic characteristics (e.g., age, sex, year of study, degree course). A semi-structured focus group schedule was employed consisting of open-166 ended questions and specific areas of interest (see Table 2 for example questions). The design 167 and content of the schedule was developed based on formative research and the researchers' 168 past experience with qualitative research. Post-it notes and a white board were used to 169 highlight responses and generate further discussions. Participants were probed to reveal more 170 in-depth information throughout the session when appropriate. Upon completion, participants 171 were thanked for their participation and were given the opportunity to add any additional 172 information. Focus groups were audio-recorded and anonymity was ensured with 173 pseudonyms used both during the focus groups and data transcription. With the study being 174

heavily reliant on data from a formative study undertaken during semester one, focus groups
were undertaken during the second semester of the 2016/2017 academic year (March-April).

177 [Table 2 near here]

178 Analysis

Thematic analysis was conducted by both authors of the paper using NVivo 10 (TSQ 179 and JB). The first author had previous experience with qualitative research and had 180 undergone additional training prior to the analysis. The second author had extensive 181 knowledge and experience in qualitative methodologies. The analysis followed the thematic 182 analysis specifications outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). First, data were transcribed 183 verbatim by the first author, printed and read several times over for familiarity. Transcripts 184 185 were inductively analyzed into initial codes by both authors independently and these codes were analyzed thematically into recurrent themes. For example, phrases such as 'be with 186 friends' and 'hang out with mates' were placed within the theme 'Socializing'. Themes were 187 188 then placed deductively under the respective question heading (i.e., see example exploration and technique questions within Table 2). Comparisons were then made between these themes 189 and those identified initially within the printed copies. 190

191

192

### **Results and Discussion**

#### 193 Participants

194 Participants were 22 first-year undergraduate students (n = 8 males; M = 19.8 years, 195 SD = 1.3 years) who attended one of four focus groups. Two groups consisted of five 196 participants and two groups included six participants.

**Reasons and Solutions** 

198 Fourteen reasons were given as to why university recreational sport is enjoyable (see

Table 3), eleven reasons were given for why friends may approve of participation (see Table
4), fourteen reasons were given for the approval of family members (see Table 5), and eleven
reasons were given for why friends might themselves participate in university recreational
sport (see Table 6). With regards to time constraints, ten solutions were offered (see Table 7).
Participants also identified the most important reasons and solutions to identified beliefs.

204 [Table 3 near here]

205 [Table 4 near here]

206 [Table 5 near here]

207 [Table 6 near here]

208 [Table 7 near here]

209

210 **BCTs** 

Twelve distinct BCTs were identified; three influencing enjoyment, two for the approval of friends, one for the approval of family members, three for the participation of friends themselves, and five for time constraints (see Table 8).

214 [Table 8 near here]

215 Discussion

The study used a qualitative approach to identify the reasons and solutions relating to key beliefs previously found to influence student participation in university recreational sport. The study also identified BCTs that could be effective in targeting these beliefs if included within a theory-based intervention to increase participation rates. These findings and their implications will now be discussed.

221 Reasons and Solutions

To convince students that university recreational sport is enjoyable, a theory-based message should seek to include the reasons identified in Table 3. For example, it could be 224 fruitful to emphasize that university recreational sport has a relatively low cost and provides a number of benefits relating to mental well-being. As three focus groups identified 225 'Socializing' as being an important reason, this reason could offer the greatest utility. Thus, 226 rather than merely stating 'recreational sport is enjoyable', a health-related message should 227 also justify this statement with other social benefits. Targeting the reason 'a lack of 228 competition' may also be a more appropriate reason due to one group stating its importance. 229 Furthermore, 'Health and fitness benefits' was also mentioned as the most important reason 230 by one participant, thus may also be a suitable reason to promote the enjoyable nature of 231 university recreational sport. 232

Regarding the approval of friends, the reasons outlined in Table 4 could provide an 233 intervention with useful additional information. Amongst other reasons, participants stated 234 that friends would approve of their participation due to the health benefits that can be 235 achieved and the sensible nature of the behavior. Including such benefits within health 236 messages could persuade students that friends are supportive of their participation in 237 238 recreational sport. In terms of the most important reason, all four groups stated to 'Socialize' as that with most significance. That is, friends would approve of their participation because it 239 enables them to be sociable. This reason implicates a health message such as 'Friends may 240 support your decision to play sports because it provides you with an opportunity to socialize', 241 rather than merely stating 'Friends may support your decision to play sports'. 242

Concerning the approval of family members, Table 5 shows the different reasons elicited from the focus groups that could increase the utility of interventions targeting this belief. Regarding the reasons potentially offering greater promise, two focus groups stated the most important related to happiness, one group suggested it was to make friends, and one group stated it was to socialize. As such, there are a number of reasons that a theory-based message could include to persuade students of the approval of family members. 249 As can be seen in Table 6, a total of eleven reasons were mentioned as to why friends may themselves participate in recreational sport. Incorporating reasons such as 'study relief' 250 or to 'improve sport-specific skills' within a theory-based message may provide students with 251 252 appropriate reasons for them to also engage in the behavior. Concerning the most important reason, all four groups agreed on the reason 'to socialize' as being the most important. This 253 reason may thus offer the greatest utility in altering this belief. For example, a theory-based 254 message could include 'your friends participate in recreational sport because it provides them 255 with an opportunity to socialize'. 256

257 Finally, Table 7 shows the ten solutions that could be used within an intervention to address time constraints. All four focus groups suggested a solution relating to greater 258 organization or preparation as the most important. This suggests that participants felt being 259 260 better at organizing their time would enable them to participate in recreational sport. Although the majority of participants within each group agreed with this solution, one 261 participant did state 'prioritize' as being the most important. Three groups also suggested 262 commitment as being a solution to time constraints, although this was not the most important. 263 The implications here are that a number of solutions can be used to attend to issues of time. 264 These solutions, as is highlighted below, can be attended to using a number of BCTs. 265 Although there is a lack of research identifying the reasons and solutions to 266 previously elicited beliefs, particularly in reference to university recreational sports 267 participation, the study does share similarities with the PA study conducted by Epton et al. 268 (2015). For example, in their study, participants mentioned that friends would want them to 269 engage in the behavior because they could 'Do it together'. Furthermore, a solution to time 270 constraints was 'Plan it into your day'. Such similarities suggest students possess some 271

similar explanations for certain beliefs (i.e., why friends may want them to participate and

how time constraints can be overcome). However, the lack of research conducted within thisarea prevents any further comparisons.

275 **BCTs** 

In terms of potential BCTs to deliver these messages, a number of strategies were 276 identified by the focus groups to address each belief (see Table 8). As the participants did not 277 have experience or knowledge of the BCTs, they were stated in lay language. This discussion 278 will therefore link those that were expressed with either a technique or one closely related. 279 From the perspective of the participants, 'Information about emotional consequences 280 [5.6]' could be used to promote the important reasons concerning enjoyment. More 281 specifically, it was suggested that the consequences of participation could be specifically 282 endorsed using posters and flyers. Participants also suggested that such messages could be 283 delivered by friends and the sport development team within the university. Technique 284 285 specific, this relates to a 'Credible source [9.1]' as the information provided (i.e., that sport is enjoyable) is presented by those identified as being trustworthy. It was also suggested that 286 287 experiencing participation could facilitate the enjoyment of sport. The BCT 'Behavioral experiments [4.4]', whereby individuals' knowledge is shaped by testing beliefs, could be 288 applied here. In this way, positive experiences could result in the realisation that sports 289 participation is enjoyable. 290

291 Concerning friends' approval, participants suggested that friends should explicitly 292 communicate the message that they approve of their participation. This response can relate to 293 the BCT 'Information about others' approval [6.3]' whereby information is provided about 294 what others think. To increase its likelihood of success, the most important reason identified 295 (i.e., socialization) could be included within these messages. Participants also suggested that 296 actually experiencing participation with their friends could convey the message of approval. 297 This suggestion can be linked with the technique 'Social support (practical) [3.2]' whereby 298 practical help is provided by significant others.

Similar to the approval of friends, responses concerning the approval of family
members primarily concerned the technique 'Information about others' approval [6.3]'. To
improve its effectiveness, this message should include the reasons elicited from the focus
groups. For example, encouraging students that family members would want them to play
because they would be happy, making friends and socializing could increase the effectiveness
of the message.

To communicate the message that friends participate in recreational sport themselves, 305 306 participants suggested this message could come from both friends themselves and facts and figures of participation rates. These suggestions can relate to 'Social comparison [6.2]', 307 which draws attention to the behavior of others to allow comparison with their own behavior. 308 309 Including the most important reason could improve the effectiveness of these messages. For example, friends informing students that they participate to socialize may persuade them to 310 do the same. Participants also suggested that actually observing friends participating could 311 provide the relevant information regarding their actual behavior. Technique specific, this can 312 relate to 'Demonstration of the behavior: modelling [6.1]' whereby the behavior is performed 313 and witnessed either directly (i.e., in person) or indirectly (i.e., using pictures). 314

Finally, a number of BCTs were elicited relating to time constraints. First, participants 315 stated that actually committing to the decision to play sport could negate issues of time. 316 317 Relating to the BCT 'Commitment [1.9]', this could involve students affirming or reaffirming their behavioral decision. Second, participants stated that the ability to plan their time more 318 effectively could help with time constraints. 'Action planning [1.4]', which has been found to 319 be highly successful in facilitating behavior change (Webb & Sheeran, 2007), could be a 320 useful BCT to prompt participation in sport. The same BCT could also be used to develop 321 greater organization. Specifically, if/then plans could provide students with relevant skills 322

323 that foster organization. Next, participants suggested that successfully engaging in the behavior could convince them that time constraints can be overcome. The BCT 'Self-324 monitoring of behavior [2.3]' could be of use here whereby the individual records when the 325 326 behavior has been performed. Thus, successfully engaging in sport could result in the belief that issues of time are not necessarily barriers that cannot be overcome. Finally, participants 327 suggested that being able to manage their time more effectively could be beneficial. Although 328 there is no explicit mention of time management within the BCT taxonomy (v1; Michie et al., 329 2013), the technique is included within the CALO-RE taxonomy (2011). The technique 330 331 attempts to free up times when the behavior could be performed available by teaching individuals how to manage their time. 332

When comparing the studies mapping BCTs to domains with those BCTs suggested 333 334 by the focus groups above, all BCTs have been covered. That is, Michie et al. (2008) and Cane et al. (2015) identified similar BCTs to be influential in changing these types of 335 psychological processes. For example, Michie et al. (2009) agreed that the domain 'Social 336 influences' could be influenced through 'Modelling/demonstration of the behavior by others'. 337 Similarly, Cane et al. (2015) suggested the same domain could be influenced through BCTs 338 such as 'Information about others' approval' and 'Social support (practical)'. The study 339 therefore provides support for the accuracy of these mapping approaches. Furthermore, those 340 elicited from the focus groups could still be useful, particularly due to the number of BCTs 341 342 theorized to influence each domain. Thus, although suggested within prior mapping approaches, those outlined within the study may demonstrate greater utility if integrated 343 within an intervention. Some of these BCTs may also yield further benefits if integrated with 344 345 the reasons and solutions, as outlined above.

#### 346 Strengths

347

The study has a number of strengths. First, the study sought to identify the reasons

#### REASONS, SOLUTIONS & TECHNIQUES FOR SPORT

and solutions to identified beliefs. These explanations provide greater content for an 348 intervention, as opposed to only identifying key beliefs. Second, the study utilized focus 349 groups to gain the reasons and solutions to key beliefs, rather than questionnaires as done 350 351 within the two prior studies. This method allowed for greater introspection and catered for the participant context. Third, the study sought to identify relevant BCTs that may be appropriate 352 for changing psychological processes, particularly from the participant perspective. 353 Understanding participant suggestions may lead to greater intervention utility. Finally, the 354 study was underpinned by a well-established theory of behavior change and informed by two 355 356 pieces of formative research. This rigorous research answers the call for an increase in theoretically informed behavior change interventions. 357

#### 358 Limitations

Despite these strengths, the study also has some limitations. First, due to the number 359 360 of psychological processes, BCTs have been linked to domains rather than specific beliefs. As such, the beliefs were placed within these domains, as opposed to being directly linked to 361 362 BCTs. Nevertheless, the authors placed the identified beliefs within the domains deemed appropriate, which were then used to highlight relevant BCTs. Second, although the 363 researcher strove to recruit participants with different views on sport, for example by using 364 different degree subjects, it could be that those recruited nevertheless demonstrated a 365 preference towards the behavior. Furthermore, the use of snowball sampling and the 366 subsequent small sample size may restrict the generalizability of study findings. Next, BCTs 367 were identified by inferring the meaning behind responses, thus it is possible that the 368 researcher may not have accurately identified the BCTs that participants actually referred to. 369 Finally, it is not guaranteed that those BCTs identified by participants would actually 370 demonstrate effectiveness. Indeed, other BCTs linked to domains but not stated may also 371 successfully change behavior. 372

15

### 373 Conclusion

To conclude, the study followed the work of Epton et al. (2015) and Vavro and 374 Hamilton (2016) by identifying the reasons and solutions to key beliefs previously elicited in 375 376 formative work. These reasons and solutions can help form the content of a theory-based intervention. The study also identified a number of potentially useful BCTs from the 377 participant perspective that could be used within an intervention. These BCTs, in 378 combination with the reasons and solutions, may demonstrate efficacy if developed and 379 implemented within a behavior change intervention. The result of such work could be an 380 381 increase the number of students participating in university recreational sport.

- 382
- 383

#### What Does This Article Add?

384 This qualitative study helps facilitate the content of a future intervention through the identification of reasons and solutions to beliefs previously found to influence participation in 385 recreational sport. We found a number of reasons and solutions that could be integrated 386 within messages to provide important information in favor of the behavior. The study also 387 identifies a number of BCTs that can be specifically utilized within the intervention. These 388 BCTs could be effective in bringing about change, particularly due to the limited success of 389 previous interventions. We recommend that future studies also seek the opinion of the target 390 population when developing theory-based messages. In doing so, the effectiveness of 391 behavior change interventions may be significantly improved. 392

393	References
394	Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to action: A theory of planned behavior. In J. Kuhl & J.
395	Beckman (Ed.), Action control: From cognitions to behaviours (pp. 11-39). New
396	York, NY: Springer.
397	Ajzen, I. (2011). Behavioral interventions: Design and evaluation guided by the theory of
398	planned behavior. In M. M. Marks, S. I. Donaldson & B. Campbell (Eds.), Social
399	psychology for program and policy evaluation (pp. 74-100). New York, NY:
400	Guilford.
401	Author citation (In press)
402	Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research
403	in Psychology, 3, 77-101.
404	Cane, J., O'Connor, D., & Michie, S. (2012). Validation of the theoretical domains
405	framework for use in behavior change and implementation research. Implementation
406	Science, 7, 1-17.
407	Cane, J., Richardson, M., Johnston, M., Ladha, R., & Michie, S. (2015). From lists of
408	behaviour change techniques (BCTs) to structured hierarchies: Comparison of two
409	methods of developing a hierarchy of BCTs. British Journal of Health Psychology,
410	20, 130-150.
411	Coakley, J. J. (2009). Sport in society: Issues and controversies. New York, NY: McGraw-
412	Hill.
413	de Bruin, M., Crutzen, R., & Peters, G. J. Y. (2015). Everything should be as simple as
414	possible, but this will still be complex: A reply to various commentaries on IPEBA.
415	Health Psychology Review, 9, 38-41.
416	

- 417 Epton, T., Norman, P., Harris, P., Webb, T., Snowsill, F. A., & Sheeran, P. (2015).
- 418 Development of theory-based health messages: Three-phase programme of formative
  419 research. *Health Promotion International*, *30*, 756-768.
- Gucciardi, D. F., & Jackson, B. (2015). Understanding sport continuation: An integration of
  the theories of planned behaviour and basic psychological needs. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 18, 31-36.
- Huesman Jr, R., Brown, A. K., Lee, G., Kellogg, J. P., & Radcliffe, P. M. (2009). Gym bags
  and mortarboards: Is use of campus recreation facilities related to student success?.
- 425 *NASPA Journal*, *46*, 50-71.
- 426 Kampf, S., & Teske, E. J. (2013). Collegiate recreation participation and retention.
- 427 *Recreational Sports Journal*, *37*, 85-96.
- Kanters, M. A. (2000). Recreational sport participation as a moderator of college stress. *NIRSA Journal*, *24*, 10-23.
- 430 Krueger, R. A., & Casey, M. A. (2014). Focus Groups: A practical guide for applied

431 *research* (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

- 432 Michie, S., Ashford, S., Sniehotta, F. F., Dombrowski, S. U., Bishop, A., & French, D. P.
- 433 (2011). A refined taxonomy of behaviour change techniques to help people change
- their physical activity and healthy eating behaviours: The CALO-RE taxonomy.
- 435 *Psychology & Health*, 26, 1479-1498.
- 436 Michie, S., Johnston, M., Francis, J., Hardeman, W., & Eccles, M. (2008). From theory to
- 437 intervention: Mapping theoretically derived behavioral determinants to behavior
  438 change techniques. *Applied Psychology*, 57, 660-680.
- 439 Michie, S., Richardson, M., Johnston, M., Abraham, C., Francis, J., Hardeman, W., ...
- 440 Wood, C. E. (2013). The behavior change technique taxonomy (v1) of 93

### REASONS, SOLUTIONS & TECHNIQUES FOR SPORT

- 441 hierarchically clustered techniques: Building an international consensus for the
- reporting of behavior change interventions. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, *46*, 81-95.
- 443 Prestwich, A., Kellar, I., Parker, R., MacRae, S., Learmonth, M., Sykes, B., ... Castle, H.
- 444 (2014). How can self-efficacy be increased? Meta-analysis of dietary
- 445 interventions. *Health Psychology Review*, 8, 270-285.
- 446 Sniehotta, F. F., Presseau, J., & Araújo-Soares, V. (2014). Time to retire the theory of
- 447 planned behaviour. *Health Psychology Review*, 8, 1-7.
- 448 Sport England. (2012). *Creating a sporting habit for life*. Retrieved from
- 449 https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/creating-a-sporting-habit-for-life-a450 new-youth-sport-strategy.
- 451 Sport England. (2014). *Higher Education Sport Participation and Satisfaction Survey*.
  452 *National Report. Year Three*. TNS BMRB: England.
- 453 Vayro, C., & Hamilton, K. (2016). Using three-phase theory-based formative research to
  454 explore healthy eating in Australian truck drivers. *Appetite*, 98, 41-48.
- 455 Webb, T. L., Joseph, J., Yardley, L., & Michie, S., (2010). Using the internet to promote
- 456 health behavior change: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of
- 457 theoretical basis, use of behavior change techniques, and mode of delivery on

458 efficacy. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 12, e4.

- 459 Webb, T. L., & Sheeran, P. (2007). How do implementation intentions promote goal
- 460 attainment? A test of component processes. *Journal of Experimental Social*
- 461 *Psychology*, *43*, 295-302.

463	Participant demo	graphics including age,	, sex, and degree program
-----	------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------

Demographic		N
Age (years)	M = 19.8	(22)
8- ())	SD = 1.3	
Sex	Male	8
	Female	14
Degree program	Primary Physical Education & Sports Coaching	2
	Secondary Physical Education & Sports Coaching	2
	Sport & Exercise Sciences	1
	Exercise, Health & Nutrition	2
	Early Childhood Studies	4
	Business & Management	2
	History & Philosophy	1
	Counselling Psychology	1
	Forensic Psychology	1
	Film & Television Production	2
	Television Production	1
	Media & Marketing	3

464 *Note*. All data is from self-report.

466	Example of questions used in focus group discussion	S
-----	---	---

7

66	Example of	of questions	used in focus	group discussions	
----	------------	--------------	---------------	-------------------	--

Type of questions	Example of questions asked		
Engagement questions	In your opinion, what are the good and bad things about sport?		
	Describe what you think about the recreational sport that the university offers		
Exploration questions	What are the reasons your friends would want you to participate in sport?		
	What are some of the solutions to sport being time consuming?		
	Which of these reasons do you think is the most important?		
Technique questions	What are some of the ways university sport can be made more enjoyable?		
	Can you name some strategies that can be used to attend to issues of time?		
Exit questions	Describe any other things that could be done to increase participation rates?		
	Are there any other things that you think should be included within an		
	intervention to increase participation rates?		

469	Reasons wh	y university	recreational	sport is	enjoyable	
-----	------------	--------------	--------------	----------	-----------	--

Reason given	Focus group(s)	Example quote
	stating the reason	
Socializing	$1, {}^{(1)}2, *3, {}^{(1)}4$	"You'll find it much more enjoyable if you are
-		playing with people that you get on with"
Lack of competition	*1, 3	"is good for those who don't want to play in a
		competitive environment"
Health & fitness benefits	1, 2, 3, <sup>(2)</sup> 4	"perceive it to be enjoyable if you are getting healthier"
Improves mental well-being	<sup>(2)</sup> 2, 3, 4	"if you can improve mentally, and by that I mean you
		just feel better from exercising and playing, then the chances are you'll enjoy it"
Make friends	3, 4	"You might not intend to go and make friends, you
		might just want to play because you enjoy it but you still end up meeting new people"
Stress relief	1, 4	"relaxes your mind and gives stress relief"
Improve sport-specific skills	1, 4	"If you can improve your sports skills and get better then that will make you enjoy it more"
Low cost	2, 4	"If you pay just a couple of quid then you aren't worrying about money"
No commitment needed	2, 3	"you aren't tied to anything or you don't have to do it. You have the option of turning up"
Low number due to university size	1,4	"It's smaller so you know the people who go there"
Potential avenues to sports	3	"a way to maybe get into a team so if that happens or
teams		if you feel that it could happen then that could be a reason for making it more enjoyable"
Improves academic performance	4	"improves studies because you're relaxed"
Undertaking a new sport	2	"playing a sport that you aren't familiar with, one that you haven't done before"
Opportunity to impress	2	"the opportunity to show off with your skills, like showing people how good you are"

\*represents the most important reason stated by a focus group (1)represents the most important reason when a focus group were not in agreement (2)represents the second most important reason when a focus group were not in agreement 

474 *Reasons why friends approve of participation in university recreational sport* 

475

Reason given	Focus group(s) stating the reason	Example quote
Socializing	*1, *2, *3, *4	"want you to play cos you'll be with them"
Health & fitness benefits	1, 3, 4	"They'd want you to get the physical benefits and be fitter"
You would be happy	1, 2	"you would be with them whilst you're
		playing. And from the perspective of your friends, the fact you're with them would make you happy"
Improve sport-specific skills	3, 4	"They might encourage you to play to get better, or want you to play because you can get better"
Create competitiveness	1, 4	"I know we're talking about non- competitive sport, but you could make it competitive with your friends"
Study relief	2, 3	"if like playing sport takes the pressure off university work then your friends would like be happy with that"
Sensible activity	2, 4	"approve of you doing something productive, like playing sport"
Money saving	2,4	"saving money by playing because it's not expensive"
To win a bet	4	"if I beat you then you owe me a drink or if we beat you then you have to do something"
To meet a partner	1	"They also might want you to meet someone. It's quite a slim chance but they could have that reason"
Opportunity to discuss studies	3	"you have the chance to catch up and maybe talk about work together"

476 \*represents the most important reason stated by a focus group

478	Reasons why family members may approve of participation in university recreational sport
-----	--

Reason given	Focus group(s) stating the reason	Example quote
Happy/enjoyment	*1, *2, 3	"would want you to be happy and enjoying yourself"
Socializing	3, *4	"you'd be with your friends socializing"
Make friends	1, *3	"If they think that you're playing with mates or making new mates then that's another reason for them to approve of it"
Health & fitness benefits	1, 2, 3, 4	"They will encourage it because you are becoming healthier, that's a positive reason"
Productive/sensible activity	2, 3	"you could be doing other social activities like going out drinking or on the sesh. I reckon family members would approve of you more playing sport"
Safety of location	3	"They want you to be safe. They wouldn't be worrying in a way"
Saving money	4	"would want you to have money to use and not waste"
Develop a competitive edge	1	"you might not be competitive at the start but this might develop and from the perspective of the family member this might be seen as good. They might wan this competitive edge to you"
Develop a sporting habit	4	"could be happy if you develop sport into like a habit"
Make them proud	4	"If you aren't active and then all of a sudden you are then you could make them proud"
Study relief	1	"it's taking the pressure off studying"
Aware of your location	3	"they know where you are"
To meet a partner	1	This reason was stated on a post-it note but not discussed. Therefore, there is no direct quote for this reason.
Make new friends	1	"making friends as well"

480 \*represents the most important reason stated by a focus group

482	Reasons why friends participate in university recreational sport themselves

483

Reason given	Focus group(s) stating the reason	Example quote
Socializing	*1, *2, *3, *4	As these reasons had already been covered within prior belief
Health & fitness benefits	1, 2, 4	questions, participants were asked to just rate the most
Enjoyment	1, 2, 4	important. As such, there are no
Improve sport-specific skills	1, 3, 4	quotes relating to the participation of friends.
Improve mental well-being	3, 4	
Study relief	2, 3	
To be active	3, 4	
Make new friends	1, 3	
Something to do	2	
To meet a partner	1	
Lack of competition	4	

484 \*represents the most important reason stated by a focus group

486	Solutions to time constraints influencing participation in university recreational sport
487	

Solution given	Focus group(s) stating the solution	Example quote
Organization	*1, *2, *3, <sup>(1)</sup> 4	"Better organization or preparation"
Prioritize	1, <sup>(2)</sup> 4	"This relates to the priorities of social activities in that we might say we will but we don't because we get distracted or convinced into doing something else"
Commit to decision	1, 2, 3	"we sometimes go into things like this not fully committed and then make out like we don't have enough time"
Plan in advance	3, 4	"planning your time can help"
Set reminders	1,4	"Having a reminder would make sure you don't forget"
Organize with friends	2	"can organize it to go as a collective"
Reduce the number of social activities	4	"the number of social activities, reducing how man you get involved in"
Complete university work quickly	2	"If we do our work quicker then we can free up time to play sport"
Allow greater flexibility	2	"sometimes don't let ourselves enjoy things becaus we think that we have work to do but if we did then we would have more time for sport"
Be encouraged	3	"if we have friends who play and almost force us to go with them. If they are going and drag you along then you almost make the time for it"

\*represents the most important solution stated by a focus group (1)represents the most important solution when a focus group were not in agreement (2)represents the second most important solution when a focus group were not in agreement 

Potential BCTs from the perspective of the focus groups 492

Targeted belief	Focus group response concerning potentially effective BCTs	Focus group(s) stating the BCT	Related technique from the BCT taxonomy (v1)
Enjoyment	Convince students	1	Information about emotional
	Use posters	3	consequences
	Use flyers	4	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Use friends and members of the sports development team	1, 2	Credible source
	Experience participation	2	Behavioral experiments
Friends (injunctive)	Inform students of approval	1, 2, 3, 4	Information about others' approval
(injunetive)	Experience participation as a group	2, 3	Social support (practical)
Friends (descriptive)	Use friends themselves	1, 2, 3	Social comparison
	Provide facts and figures	1, 4	cc >>
	Observe friends participating	4	Demonstration of the behavior/Modelling
Family (injunctive)	Inform students of approval	1, 2, 3, 4	Information about others' approval
Time	Commit to the decision	1, 2, 3	Commitment
constraints	Make use of planning	1, 3, 4	Action planning
	Greater organization	2, 3, 4	Action planning
	Manage time more effectively	1	Time management (within the CALO-RE taxonomy)
	Successfully participate in the behavior	4	Self-monitoring of behavior