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The aim of this thesis was to develop and validate squash-specific fitness tests to identify physiological determinants of repeat-sprint ability and performance in sub-elite and elite-standard squash players.

Study one examined the validity of a squash-specific test of endurance capability and $V_{Chmax}$. Trained squash players and runners completed squash-specific and treadmill incremental tests to exhaustion. Squash players achieved greater $V_{Chmax}$ on the squash-specific than the treadmill test while runners did not differ. Squash players exercised longer than runners on the squash-specific test despite similar $V_{Chmax}$. The squash test discriminated endurance capability between squash and non-squash players of similar fitness and elicited higher $V_{Chmax}$ in squash players. The results suggest that it is a valid test of aerobic fitness in squash players.

Study two assessed the reproducibility of physiological and performance measures from the squash specific test in county-standard players. Test-retest variability was low for all measures (Typical error < 5%) though the magnitude varied depending on the metric used.

Studies three and four examined the validity and reproducibility of squash-specific tests of change-of-direction speed and multiple-sprint ability. County-standard squash players and footballers completed squash-specific and equivalent non-specific tests on separate days. Performance time was recorded. Participants repeated the tests seven days later to assess reproducibility. Squash outperformed non-squash players on the squash-specific tests despite similar non-specific capabilities. Squash-specific tests discriminated squash player rank while non-specific tests did not. Test-retest variability was low (Typical Error < 3%) for both tests. Squash-specific tests predicted ability in squash players and discriminated between squash and non-squash players of equal non-specific fitness. The findings suggest that the squash-specific tests are valid for the assessment of high-intensity exercise capabilities in squash players.

Studies five and six explored correlates of multiple-sprint ability and performance in sub-elite and elite squash players. Squash-specific and general tests were performed by regional league players ranging from division three to premier standard and elite players on three tiers of a national performance program. In sub-elites, multiple-sprint ability and endurance capability discriminated performance and multiple-sprint ability was related to change-of-direction-speed, $V_{Chmax}$ and endurance capability. In world-ranked men and women, the ability to perform and sustain rapid changes of direction correlated with multiple-sprint ability and together with multiple-sprint ability discriminated performance. Aerobic fitness was not related to performance or multiple-sprint ability in elite players. Senior elites performed better than players on the talented athlete scholarship scheme (TASS) on all tests except $V_{Chmax}$ and counter-movement jump. Drop-jump power and reactive strength discriminated senior and transition level from TASS players and indices from the multiple-sprint test discriminated seniors from transition and TASS players.

This thesis has validated squash-specific tests of endurance and high-intensity exercise capabilities. These tests have shown that high-intensity exercise capabilities determine performance in elites while sub-elite performance is determined by multiple-sprint ability and endurance capability. The findings can be used to improve assessment of training effects and to inform the design of effective training methods.
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1 INTRODUCTION.

The physiology of exercise can be defined as the study of how the body responds and adapts to exercise. An important aspect of this study is the development of appropriate tests capable of quantifying exercise responses in specific sporting activities. Squash is a game that imposes extreme and diverse physiological stresses on the performer and challenges conventional test procedures to replicate the specific demands and movements of the sport.

The development of appropriate test procedures to quantify exercise necessitates an understanding of exercise and how it can be quantified. Exercise has been defined as any and all skeletal muscle activity (Knuttgen, 1978; Knuttgen and Kraemer, 1987). It should however be acknowledged that cardiac and smooth muscle are also important for generating and distributing the circulation of oxygen and nutrient carrying blood. However, for quantifying exercise, the focus is generally on skeletal muscle because of its force generating capability that can (but does not always) result in movement when applied to the skeletal system.

Measurement of force, torque, mechanical work, power, impulse, metabolic cost and rate of progression are common methods for quantifying exercise though the selection of an appropriate metric can be problematic and necessitates an understanding of the limitations and correct application of each (Winter, 1990, 1991, 2005). These challenges are particularly evident when attempting to quantify the exercise intensity of sports and in particular variable-direction, multiple-sprint sports such as squash. Knowledge of the intensity of exercise in sports can be used to characterise the activity and in turn inform
the development of specific testing and training with the goal of enhancing sports performance (Muller et al., 2000).

1.1 Applied physiology of exercise - the historical perspective

Quantifying the acute demands placed upon the body during exercise and the adaptations to chronic exercise exposure means that the physiology of exercise can explain rather than simply describe aspects of exercise performance (Winter et al, 2007). As such, an exercise physiologist is ideally placed to assist sports performers attain their goal of performance improvement. Indeed, the application of physiology to the enhancement of athletic endeavour has long been recognised. Greek and Roman physicians of antiquity had keen interests in the benefits of exercise for health and the prevention of disease (McArdle et al., 2007) and also applied this knowledge to the enhancement of sports performance. The Roman physician Galen (cAD 129-216) in addition to his duties as personal physician to emperor Marcus Aurelius, also attended to the medical needs of gladiators training at schools part-funded by the emperor and advised other staff on issues of diet, training and therapeutic massage (Porter, 1999). The primary goal of this involvement was the improved performance of the gladiators that fulfilled secondary goals of an improved spectacle for the crowds and a subsequent increase in the political image of the ruling emperors (Winter, 2008). The Greek physician Hippocrates (c460-370BC) fulfilled an identical role with athletes competing in the ancient Olympic games. In modern times, English physiologist and Nobel laureate Archibald Vivien Hill made landmark discoveries about factors limiting exercise tolerance in high-intensity running that withstood refutation for more than eighty years (Hill et al, 1924) and informed the training of athletes he worked with.
The current model for the scientific support of sport in the UK is in essence identical to that described above, with state-funded training facilities and specialists in physiology, performance analysis, conditioning, nutrition and physiotherapy striving to optimise athlete performance through characterisation of sports performance and subsequent specific testing and training. Despite two and half thousand years of evolution, the optimisation of sports performance remains a challenge. This is particularly the case in multiple-sprint activities like squash that impose diverse physiological demands.

1.2 Squash

Squash is believed to have started at Harrow public school where boys waiting their turn for the rackets court warmed-up using a soft, hollow ball rather than the bouncier solid rackets ball, though there are historical references and evidence to suggest that the game might have originated at the London debtors prison (Bellamy, 1987). The dimensions of a standard-singles court (shown in Figure 1) and game rules were formalised in 1911.

Singles squash is played between two players with the most basic rule being that the ball must be struck alternately to hit and rebound off the front wall above the tin and below the out-of-court line before it bounces twice. The ball can hit the front wall directly or via the side or back walls. A point is won when a shot is so placed that the opponent cannot satisfy these two requirements. In elite-standard squash a point is scored at the conclusion of every rally with the winner of the rally gaining a point and the right to serve (or retain serve) to begin the next rally. Games are won by the first player to reach eleven points and matches comprise the best of five games. Squash is unique from the other major racket sports (tennis and badminton) because players share
the same territory. This requires unique movement patterns to avoid interference with the swing of the opponent’s racket and the incoming player’s view of and path to and from the ball. Rules regarding interference and associated penalties are complex and result in many heated debates between players and referees and markers during match play.

![Diagram of a standard-singles squash court]

**Figure 1.** Dimensions of a standard-singles squash court.
1.3 Physiological responses to squash play

Squash is characterised by an intermittent activity pattern where the physiological demand is largely determined by the activity of the opponent, the exercise-to-rest ratio and environmental factors (Lees, 2003). However, physiological demands on players can be modified by the application of particular tactics (Sharp, 1998). Match play imposes diverse physiological demands on cardio-pulmonary endurance, muscle endurance, explosive strength, speed, and flexibility (Sharp, 1998). At elite standard, squash is a high-intensity intermittent activity with mean rally lengths of 16-21 s and recovery times of 8 - 12 s between rallies (Montpetit, 1990; Hughes and Robertson, 1998; Girard et al., 2007). Professional matches can last for 3 hr during which players are active for up to 70% of the time (Girard et al., 2007; Montpetit, 1990). This makes marked challenges to energy supply.

Data from elite match play show heart rate quickly reaches a steady state equivalent to > 90% of predicted maximum (Brown and Winter, 1995; Girard et al., 2007). Mean oxygen uptake values of 54 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ («86 %V0₂max) and mean lactate concentrations of 8.3 mmol·L⁻¹ have been recently reported (Girard et al., 2007). These data suggest that elite match play places high demands on aerobic and glycolytic energy supply. The VChmax values of 62 - 66 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ and Wingate peak powers of 12.5 - 13.5 W·kg⁻¹ in elite male players confirm the importance of both high aerobic and non-oxidative power for successful performance (Chin et al., 1995; Brown et al., 1998; Girard et al., 2007).

The specific movement patterns and physiological demands of squash challenge the requirements for sport-specific fitness assessment (Winter et al., 2007). Previous tests
developed to assess specific fitness in squash, while addressing some of the criteria for sport-specific procedures, have focussed on maximum and sub-maximum cardio-pulmonary responses and have involved movement patterns that, although specific to squash, are performed at intensities to assess aerobic capabilities. Furthermore, the complexity of existing squash-specific tests is likely to limit their widespread use for the tracking of training-induced adaptations.

Despite the multiple-sprint nature of squash and the documented importance of qualities such as explosive strength and speed and the rapid accelerations, decelerations and direction changes that characterise squash movement (Vuckovic et al., 2004), there appear to be no published squash-specific tests of multiple-sprint capabilities or change-of-direction speed. This is surprising as the specificity of change-of-direction speed has been demonstrated (Young et al., 1996; Young et al., 2001) and a sport-specific test of multiple-sprint capability has been shown to discriminate ability in a field-based multiple-sprint sport (Boddington et al., 2004).

In summary, squash is a sport where multiple-sprint, endurance and high-intensity exercise capabilities are challenged within unique movement patterns. However, it is unclear which of these factors best relate to performance or which fitness components relate to squash-specific multiple-sprint capability. Links between endurance capability and performance have been explored but need to be re-explored in light of recent changes to scoring and court dimensions. The importance of this and other fitness factors to performance needs to be explored using sport-specific procedures.
1.4 Broad Aims and importance of achieving aims
The aim of the thesis is to develop and validate squash-specific procedures to examine indicators of performance and multiple-sprint capability in sub-elite and elite-standard squash players. It is hoped that achieving this aim could lead to more effective training methods and assessment of the effects of training. It is intended that the development of sport-specific procedures will improve the practices of players and coaches in this regard.

1.5 Research questions
1. Which measures of fitness are most related to squash performance?

2. What are key indicators of squash-specific multiple-sprint capability?
2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE.

2.1 Exercise
Exercise has been defined as any and all skeletal muscle activity (Knuttgen, 1978; Knuttgen and Kraemer, 1987). A notable feature of this definition is that movement is not a necessary outcome of the muscular activity for exercise to be performed. As skeletal muscle activity constitutes exercise in this definition, a thorough understanding of skeletal muscle function is an appropriate starting point from which to derive appropriate means of quantifying muscle activity and thus exercise.

2.1.1 The role of muscle in exercise
It is commonplace in physiological literature to see the purpose of muscle labelled as contraction. Indeed, many classic exercise physiology texts define muscle function in this way (Woledge et al., 1985; Astrand and Rodahl, 1986; Brooks et al., 1996). The literal meaning of the word contract in the context of skeletal muscle activity is “to make or become smaller; to draw together” implying a reduction in volume and a shortening in length (HarperCollins, 1998). The use of the term “contraction” to describe skeletal muscle activity is widespread and deeply entrenched. It is however inappropriate for describing what an activated skeletal muscle actually does (Knuttgen, 1978; Cavanagh, 1988; Winter, 1990). Experiments as early as the mid-seventeenth century provided clear evidence that muscle volume does not change upon activation. Danish anatomist Jan Swammerdam and later English physician John Goddard, both demonstrated no alteration in the volume of activated muscle using in vitro and in vivo methods respectively as described by Needham (1971).

Further evidence for the unsuitability of the term contraction can be seen when it is applied to the description of different types of muscle activity. Knuttgen (1978) stated
that when a muscle cell is stimulated, the interaction of actin and myosin filaments produce a force that acts to shorten the muscle cell along its longitudinal axis. Whether the muscle actually shortens depends on the relationship between the opposing force resulting from activation and the force acting on the muscle’s attachments through a joint lever system. Depending on this balance, the muscle cell might shorten (muscle force > external force), lengthen (muscle force < external force), or have no change in length (muscle force = external force). To describe the active state of muscle as a “contraction” (implying shortening) when the muscle might be shortening, lengthening, or remaining at a constant length is clearly inappropriate and inaccurate. Knuttgen (1978) offers a more accurate and logical description of muscle function suggesting that when stimulated, muscle produces force and does so by attempting to shorten. The outcome of this attempt might be shortening, lengthening or no change in length. Cavanagh (1988) offers a solution by proposing the term “muscle action” to describe the process of muscle activation and subsequent force production.

2.1.2 Quantifying Exercise

2.1.2.1 Force
So far it has been established that exercise refers to skeletal muscle activity, and that the outcome of muscle activation is force. It follows then, that the measurement of skeletal muscle force provides at least one means of quantifying exercise. Force is defined as “that which changes or tends to change the state of rest or motion in matter” (Knuttgen and Kraemer, 1987) and is measured in newtons (N). As it is difficult to assess the actual force produced by an active muscle in vivo, it is common instead to quantify the effect of the application of the muscular force on the motion of a body part or other external object. A simple example of this is the lifting of a free weight by an athlete. The mass of the object is acted upon by gravity, and the force developed by the
involved muscle groups is accepted as that which is necessary to oppose the gravitational force on the object’s mass with the outcome normally reported in units of mass (kg) of the object moved. This immediately poses a problem, as a measure of the force produced should always be quantified in newtons. Furthermore, there are confounding factors in interpreting the outcome of such a test as a true representation of the actual forces generated in vivo. Specifically, 1) the force required to accelerate the involved limbs and the mass lifted at the beginning of the movement is not accounted for (Knuttgen, 1995); and 2) the changing mechanical advantage of the joint or joints involved, because of alterations in the angle and distance of the point of force action to the axis of rotation, and length-tension relations are also not accounted for (Knuttgen, 1978).

2.1.2.2 Torque
In most cases, the force generated by an activated skeletal muscle results in movement of skeletal parts about a joint and torque is generated. The prime purpose of an intact skeletal muscle is indeed to generate torque about the joint of the skeleton over which it is inserted (Knuttgen, 1995). Torque is defined as “a measure of the tendency of a force to produce rotation of an object about an axis” (Knuttgen and Kraemer, 1987) and is the product of force and the perpendicular distance in meters from the line of action of the force to the axis of rotation (newton-meter, N·m). If the torque results in skeletal movement, the muscle activity is said to be dynamic (Knuttgen, 1978). To describe the dynamic activity, the type of muscle action must also be specified as either a shortening or lengthening action for reasons outlined previously.
2.1.2.3 Mechanical work.
Where torques about joints result in movement of body parts or external objects, then mechanical work is done. Mechanical work is the product of force expressed and the distance of displacement of an object, with no consideration of time. The unit of work is the joule (J) which is equivalent to one newton causing a displacement of one meter. Mechanical work is often said to be interchangeable with energy and heat (Knuttgen and Kraemer, 1987; Knuttgen, 1995). Whilst there are relationships between the three variables, the concept of work and energy being synonymous can be misleading. The misapplication of the mechanical principles underlying the relationships has been reported in key exercise physiology texts. For example “energy is the capacity to do work” (Brooks et al., 1996). This innocuous statement is in fact incorrect and as recognised by Winter (1990) poses real problems in the case of static muscle actions where no displacement occurs i.e. force x 0 m = 0 J of mechanical work. If work is synonymous with energy, then in this example, a high-force static muscle action appears to require no energy. A further misapplication of this mechanical principle is that mechanical work is often used to quantify exercise intensity (Knuttgen, 1978). The problem here is that exercise might involve in isolation or in combination shortening, lengthening, and static muscle actions. So the muscle could produce external mechanical work (shortening), have work performed on it (lengthening), or produce no external mechanical work (static) a situation which is clearly confusing and inappropriate (Knuttgen, 1978). Furthermore, there is no limitation on time inferred in the expression of mechanical work which does not lend itself to most sporting or exercise testing situations. As such, the use of the term work to quantify exercise has been recognised as inappropriate (Knuttgen, 1978; Knuttgen and Kraemer, 1987; Winter, 1990). In fact when the term work is used to describe an exercise intensity over
a particular duration, what is really of interest is the rate of work production or absorption i.e. power.

2.1.2.4 Power
Power is the rate of doing mechanical work, or alternatively the rate of transformation of metabolic energy to work and / or heat (Knuttgen, 1995). It is expressed in watts (W) with one watt equal to one joule of work being performed in one second. Power has been considered to be the most important concept determining sports performance as it is the interaction of muscle force, displacement, and time that determine success in many athletic performances (Knuttgen, 1995). However, Winter (1990, 1991) points out that unless the performance in question can be quantified in watts, which necessitates some measure of external work done per unit of time, it cannot be expressed in terms of power.

2.1.2.5 Impulse/ momentum
Exercise tasks of an explosive nature such as throwing and jumping activities and the explosive bursts of movement characteristic of multiple sprint sports are often discussed in terms of power. High-intensity, short-duration exercise like this is however better described by Newton’s second law of motion (Winter, 1990). Newton’s second law shows that the change in momentum of an object (calculated as final velocity minus initial velocity multiplied by the mass of the body) is proportional to the impulse applied to it. Impulse is the product of force and the time for which the force is applied (Ft). Maximising the impulse will in turn produce the largest change in momentum of the object (i.e. maximise the object’s velocity) and this is independent of power output (Winter, 1990). This being the case, it is more accurate to describe an explosive sprint start in terms of the impulse generating capability of the muscle rather than its capacity for producing power. Start or take-off velocity is the decisive quality that influences
explosive maximal and high-intensity exercise (Winter, 2005). As it is a vector, velocity applies also to rapid changes-of-direction that are common in field and racket sports. One caveat to this is that impulse is not easily measured as the necessity for accurate readings of force imparted and duration of force application generally require the use of force platforms or other similar transducers. Nevertheless, it is important to be aware of the correct way in which various forms of exercise should be described as accuracy, clarity, and precision are cornerstones of scientific measurement (Knuttgen, 1978).

2.1.2.6 Rate of progression, velocity and performance time.
All forms of exercise involving locomotion (such as running), do not lend themselves easily to accurate calculations of external mechanical work because of complications of combinations of muscle action types and difficulty calculating the displacement of all moving body parts. Similarly, accurate real-time measurements of force make calculations of impulse and torque problematic. In such cases, exercise performance can be more simply expressed as rate of progression (speed) in m-s"1, or velocity if direction is important (Winter, 1990). Where the exercise tasks involves frequent changes in speed or velocity whereby neither mean nor peak values accurately represent the exercise intensity, time taken to complete a set distance can be used to indicate the intensity of exercise.

2.1.2.7 Metabolic cost.
The production of force, work and power all require energy. The energy requirement of a task is a combination of the intensity (rate of ATP supply needed) and the duration of muscular activity. As such all tasks can be placed on a spectrum of metabolic cost from very high ATP demand for a short time (anaerobic systems) to low ATP demand for extended duration (aerobic).
The ATP regenerating pathways that respond to the range of energy demands from skeletal muscle ensure that muscle [ATP] remains remarkably constant and allow for an extended duration of energy supply to continue muscle activity. Two important features of these energetic processes are the maximum rate at which ATP can be regenerated (power of the process) and the amount of ATP that can be produced (capacity of the process) (Sahlin, 1996). The three major ATP regenerating pathways differ markedly in their powers and capacities for ATP supply. The energy pathways differ on a spectrum from the high power, very low capacity hydrolysis of phosphocreatine (PCr) to the low power, very high capacity oxidation of carbohydrate (CHO) and triacylglycerols (TAG). The anaerobic and incomplete breakdown of CHO to lactate and associated protons termed glycolysis provides an intermediate pathway for ATP regeneration both in terms of power and capacity. The differences in power and capacity of the three pathways are illustrated in Figure 2.
Figure 2. Power and capacity of energy yielding processes in human skeletal muscle. Power values are based on observations in the following conditions: PCr breakdown, 1.3 s electrical stimulation; glycolysis, 10 s cycling; CHO oxidation (filled bar), calculated from O₂ extraction during two-leg cycling assuming 72% of VO₂max is utilised by a 20 kg mass of active muscle; CHO oxidation (unfilled bar), one-leg knee extension; FFA oxidation, assumed to be 50% of that of CHO oxidation. Capacity values have been derived from muscle content of PCr, glycogen, maximal muscle lactate accumulation and an exercising muscle mass of 20 kg (Sahlin, 1996).

### 2.2 Applications of the physiology of exercise.

Understanding the acute demands placed upon the body during exercise and how the body adapts to chronic exercise exposure means that the physiology of exercise can explain rather than simply describe aspects of exercise performance (Winter et al., 2007). As such, a practitioner is ideally placed to assist sports performers attain their goal of performance improvement. Indeed, the application of physiology to the enhancement of athletic endeavour has long been recognised. The central role of athletics in the culture of ancient Greece produced specialists in exercise training, diet and massage therapy who worked with the early Olympians (Porter, 1999).
versions of state-funded sport-science support schemes were evident in the gladiator training schools of Rome as depicted in recent modern box office films and acknowledged in textbooks on the history of science (Sarton, 1944).

As standards of performance in sport continue to rise, greater emphasis is placed on the optimisation of athlete training and preparation. Only when training is optimised can physiological adaptations be maximised while incidences of injury and mal-adaptation are reduced. The study of the physiology of exercise provides the knowledge and understanding of physiological demands placed on performers and of mechanisms that underpin improvements in performance with training that can be used to move towards optimisation of training (Winter et al., 2007).

2.3 Physiological profiling.
The following model of the development of optimal training for performance improvement has been proposed by Muller et al. (2000):

Stage 1 - Characterisation of the sport
Understanding of factors relevant to performance.

Stage 2 - Physiological profiling
Development and implementation of tests to assess and track changes in factors relevant to performance.

Stage 3 - Training
Implementation of sport-specific training methods.
2.3.1 Stage 1- Characterisation of the sport

Advances in technology have resulted in subjective observations and interpretations of the coach (once common-place at this stage of the process) being replaced by objective recordings of performance and physiological responses to match play. The evolution of computerised notational analysis systems has resulted in rapid and accurate statistical analyses of performance parameters. The four main objectives of notational analysis are (Hughes, 1997):

- Analysis of movement - type, velocity / speed, acceleration, patterns, time-motion.
- Tactical evaluation.
- Technical evaluation.
- Statistical compilation.

Similarly, technological developments have resulted in real-time collection of heart rate and oxygen uptake responses by light-weight, portable analysers that transmit telemetrically to computers for immediate analysis. Fast-response, hand-held electrochemical analysers have also facilitated the collection of blood-borne markers of metabolism.

Together, analyses of these data can provide a detailed picture of the physiological demands experienced by the performer and thus the factors that determine performance.
2.3.2 Stage 2 - Physiological testing

The general purpose of physiological assessment is to understand human exercise capabilities (Winter et al., 2007). Specifically, according to Bird and Davison (1997), physiological testing aims to:

1. Evaluate strengths and weaknesses of performers in relation to the factors known to be important for performance.

2. Evaluate the effectiveness of training interventions.

3. Evaluate the health status of an athlete.

4. Provide a source of motivation for the performer in the form of short-term goals for improvement before re-testing and positive feedback of improvements in fitness since a prior assessment.

5. Assist in talent identification or demonstrate readiness to recommence training following injury or layoff.

6. Provide knowledge and understanding of a sport to assist coaches, athletes and scientists.

7. Provide data that can be used to address research questions.

The extent to which physiological assessment can achieve these benefits depends heavily on the selection of appropriate test procedures. Criteria have been published to guide both the choice of appropriate tests from existing batteries and the development of new procedures (N.C.F., 1995; Winter et al., 2007).

2.4 Criteria for sport-specific tests.

It is acknowledged that tests should be specific, valid, reproducible and sensitive enough to detect meaningful changes in performance that result from training-induced
adaptations (Winter et al., 2007; Muller et al., 2000; N.C.F., 1995). This presents severe challenges particularly in multiple-sprint activities such as squash.

2.4.1 Specificity.
Multiple-sprint sports such as squash challenge tests to replicate the specific and varied movements and changes-of-direction and speed that characterise match play. This necessitates the development of valid and reproducible sport-specific procedures capable of assessing fitness components important for performance. Factors for consideration in the design of such tests (Winter et al., 2007) are:

1. Muscle groups involved, types of muscle action and range of motion required.
2. Intensity and duration of activity.
3. Energy systems recruited.
4. Resistive forces encountered.

These requirements question the utility of laboratory-based exercise testing for sports such as squash because of difficulties in characterising the movement patterns. This suggests a move away from laboratory-based procedures to those that are field-based and specific to sport-related activities (N.C.F., 1995). However, it must be noted that there is usually a trade-off between increased specificity and reduced precision and control that must be carefully considered in test selection (Winter et al., 2007).

2.4.2 Validity
Validity is defined as “the extent to which a test, measurement or other method of investigation possesses the property of actually doing what it has been designed to do” (Kent, 1994). The validation of tests can be a complex task and that might explain why many field-based sports tests have not been scientifically validated. However, the
National Coaching Foundation (N.C.F.) (1995) offers useful criteria with which the validity of field-based tests can be established. A test procedure can be deemed valid if it demonstrates:

1. Logical or face validity
2. Criterion validity
3. Construct validity

### 2.4.2.1 Logical validity
Logical validity is demonstrated when the test procedure is capable of assessing key physiological components known to be of importance for performance. For example a test of vertical jump height would be a logically valid test for a rugby line-out.

### 2.4.2.2 Criterion validity
Criterion validity is usually assessed by comparison of the new test procedure to an established and recognised *gold standard* test procedure. If there is agreement between the test scores, or the tests are correlated, the new test is said to possess criterion validity. An example of this approach is the validation of the 20-m Multiple Shuttle Test (Leger and Lambert, 1982) to predict maximal oxygen uptake ($V_{\text{chmax}}$). This test was validated against directly determined $V_{\text{chmax}}$ from a laboratory-based treadmill incremental test.

### 2.4.2.3 Construct validity
Construct validity is demonstrated by the ability of a test to discriminate between groups of performers from sports with different characteristics or between abilities within a group of performers. An example here would be the ability of a twelve-minute-run test to discriminate between a group of distance runners and a group of sprinters. It
would be anticipated that distance runners would out-perform sprinters on this test.

Similarly, elite-standard distance runners would probably out-perform non-elite distance runners (N.C.F., 1995).

2.4.3 Reproducibility and sensitivity
A reproducible test is one that produces similar results on two or more occasions in the absence of any change in fitness (Kent, 1994) and is an important requirement for data to be considered meaningful (Winter et al., 2007). The variability present in all measurements (Hand, 2004) is a combination of systematic and random error components. The former encompasses learning effects and the accuracy and precision of instrumentation and its operation, whereas the latter comprises random and cyclical biological fluctuations (Winter et al., 2007). Accurate interpretation of test results is dependent on quantifying the error inherent in the measurement.

Precisely which metric of reproducibility to use is the subject of enthusiastic debate because each has its detractors and supporters (Atkinson and Nevill, 1998; Hopkins, 2000a). However, a factor common to all metrics is that their interpretation requires the scientist to judge (based on proposed use of the test) whether or not the test-retest error is small enough for the test to be of practical use (Atkinson and Nevill, 1998). To make this judgement, the researcher must possess knowledge of the smallest worthwhile change in a performance or physiological variable, then assess the extent to which the test is sensitive enough to detect such a change (Hopkins, 2000a).

2.5 Physiological demands of squash play
Squash is characterised by an intermittent activity pattern where the physiological demand is largely determined by the activity of the opponent, the exercise-to-rest ratio and environmental factors (Lees, 2003). However, physiological demands on players
can be modified by the application of particular tactics (Sharp, 1998). In common with multiple-sprint activities such as soccer, basketball and other racket sports, specific movement patterns and demands of squash provide a unique challenge to physiologists in their attempts to produce valid and reliable assessments of physiological factors relevant to squash performance. The challenge is to combine the control of laboratory procedures with the ecological validity of tests carried out in the specific movement patterns of the sport.

2.5.1 Characterising squash
Recent changes in rules (2007) have resulted in a change to scoring in elite-standard men’s competitions from point-a-rally to fifteen points (PAR - 15), to PAR to eleven points (PAR -11), with matches still comprising the best of five games. In addition, senior-male elite-players compete on courts with a tin height of 43.18 cm rather than the standard 48.26 cm tin used at all other standards of play. These points are raised because data from the studies that follow were largely collected from male players prior to these rule changes. To-date, only one study has reported the nature of play and physiological demands of elite-standard squash played with PAR - 11 and the lower tin of professional events (Girard et al., 2007).

2.5.2 Time and motion analysis.
Analyses of time-motion characteristics of a sport can be used to estimate the physical demands of match play (Montpetit, 1990) and in tandem with measures of physiological responses, guide the selection of appropriate fitness tests. Table 1 summarises the available published temporal data for elite squash.
Table 1. Temporal structure variables for elite squash match play. Values are mean ± SD (where reported).
Despite the time span over which the data were collected and the accompanying advances in equipment and scoring system changes, the temporal nature of squash appears largely unchanged at the highest standards of play. The intermittency of play is clearly depicted and is characteristic of racket sports in general (Lees, 2003). In contrast with other racket sports, mean rally duration and effective playing time in squash are longer (4-5 s, 35% and 4-8 s, 10-30% in badminton and tennis respectively) (Pluim, 2004). Mean recovery times between rallies are similar in squash and badminton (6-11 s), but recovery durations in tennis are greater (15-25 s) (Pluim, 2004).

Studies characterising the movement patterns of squash are limited. Vuckovic et al. (2004) stated that movements can be characterised in terms of quantity and intensity. The former is measured as distance covered (m) for cyclic movements, or frequency of execution for acyclic movements (such as lunge, side-step, turn etc.). The latter is measured as speed of movement (m-s') or frequency of movements for cyclic and acyclic movements respectively. As the playing area in squash is small, movements in one direction are short and acyclic movements are frequent (Vuckovic et al., 2004).

Mean distances per rally of 12 m (Hughes, 1998) and 26 m (Vuckovic et al., 2004) have been reported for good club-players and national-standard players respectively though distances of 152 m in a single rally have been recorded (Vuckovic et al., 2004). Most movements occur within 1 m of the central T position and players can cover up to 2 km in a single game (Vuckovic et al., 2004).

Only one study has attempted to characterise types and frequencies of movement that occur in squash play. Using fourteen county-and regional-standard players, Eubank and Messenger (2000) reported 2866 ± 464 steps per match and 580 ± 104 steps in rallies per game. A high percentage of these movements (74.4%) included a flight phase
leading the authors to conclude that this dynamic nature indicated the high-intensity nature of squash. Table 2 displays the variety and frequency of steps used and Table 3 shows common double-and triple-step patterns recorded (Eubank and Messenger, 2000).

Table 2. Percentage breakdown of steps made during squash matches (italics represent the mean number of a particular movement per match) (Eubank and Messenger, 2000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forward Step</th>
<th>Sidestep</th>
<th>Crossover</th>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Stretch</th>
<th>Lunge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total %</strong></td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180°</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forward</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sideways</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Commonly occurring double-and triple-movement links in squash (Eubank and Messenger, 2000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Move 1</th>
<th>Move 2</th>
<th>Move 3</th>
<th>Occurrence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step with 90° turn</td>
<td>Forward step</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidestep</td>
<td>Forward step</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>Forward step</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward step</td>
<td>Sidestep</td>
<td>Forward step</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward step</td>
<td>Step with 90° turn</td>
<td>Forward step</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward step</td>
<td>Crossover sidestep</td>
<td>Forward step</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In summary, the temporal and movement pattern data show that squash is characterised by repeated high-intensity movements over short distances and short durations in different directions, with short recovery periods between rallies and a high percentage of effective playing time. This analysis justifies claims that squash is the most demanding of the racket sports (Lees, 2003; Pluim, 2004) and emphasises the importance of fitness at elite standards of play.

2.5.3 Physical and physiological characteristics of elite squash players.
Time-motion data suggest that aerobic and high-intensity exercise capabilities are important characteristics for elite squash players (Eubank and Messenger, 2000). Anthropometric and physiological data from elite standard squash players can be used to support this assumption. Available data are summarised in Tables 4 and 5 and the following text.

Table 4. A summary of characteristics for senior, elite-standard squash players. Values are mean ± SD (where reported).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Stature (m)</th>
<th>Body Mass (kg)</th>
<th>Body fat (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mercier et al. (1987)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.05</td>
<td>80.7 ± 9.6</td>
<td>16.8 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillam et al. (1990)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.04</td>
<td>67.7 ± 6.9</td>
<td>7.4 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25 ± 4</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.03</td>
<td>73.4 ± 7.2</td>
<td>12.5 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Clair Gibson et al. (1999)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22 ± 2</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.03</td>
<td>73.5 ± 3.6</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girard et al. (2005)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26 ± 1.7</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.01</td>
<td>64.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>24.7 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With the exception of the data of Mercier et al. (1987), the characteristics of male elite players appear consistent across studies with regard to body mass and low percentage body fat. As squash is a weight bearing activity, low body mass and body fat are desirable characteristics particularly as the movement patterns and intermittent activity profile require repeated, rapid acceleration and deceleration (see section 2.5.2). The elite players in the study of Mercier et al. (1987) comprised three French national standard and two others classed as ‘high-standard’. The two high-standard players might not have been of comparable ability to the national players in the study or in the other studies reported. As such, their results could have affected the group mean and might account for the discrepancies between studies.

Table 5. A summary of aerobic physiological profile data for senior elite-standard squash players. Values are mean ± SD (where reported).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>( V_{\text{Chmax}} ) (ml·kg(^{-1})·min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Maximum heart rate (beats·min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Lactate Threshold (% ( V_{\text{Chmax}} ))</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mercier et al. (1987)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>56 ±6.1</td>
<td>182 ± 11</td>
<td></td>
<td>ICT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steininger &amp; Wodick (1987)</td>
<td>M + F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>58.5 ±8.1</td>
<td>195 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>ITT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillam et al. (1990)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>ITT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chin et al. (1995)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>61.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>191 ± 7</td>
<td>80 ±4.9</td>
<td>ITT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Clair Gibson et al. (1998)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>63.4 ±6.1</td>
<td>188 ± 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>ITT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girard et al. (2005)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>54.9 ±2.5</td>
<td>195 ± 9</td>
<td>83.2 ±8.3</td>
<td>ITT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63.6 ±3</td>
<td>193 ± 8</td>
<td>84.5 ±5.7</td>
<td>1ST</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICT, incremental cycle test; ITT, incremental treadmill test; 1ST, incremental squash-specific test.
The data in Table 5 show that elite squash players possess moderate to high maximal oxygen uptakes that are greater than those reported in elite badminton players (51.5 ml-kg\(^{-1}\)-min\(^{-1}\)) (Hughes, 1995) but comparable with elite tennis players (54 - 65 ml-kg\(^{-1}\)-min\(^{-1}\)) (Christmass et al., 1995; Bemardi et al., 1998). Lactate thresholds are generally high and comparable to trained distance runners (Midgley et al., 2006). Comparable data from other racket sports are not available.

Brown et al. (1998) reported the \(\text{VCh}_{\text{max}}\) of senior male \((n = 5)\) and female \((n = 7)\) players from England’s national squads. However, the data were expressed in litres per minute \(4.86 \pm 0.16, 3.50 \pm 0.12\) for males and females respectively) and relative to body mass as a power function ratio standard, with body mass raised to the power 0.67 \(274 \pm 4, 215 \pm 5\) for male and female players. This facilitated comparison with the \(\text{VCh}_{\text{max}}\) of elite junior players who were also tested but makes comparison with data from other studies difficult. Nevertheless, the study provided a useful cross-sectional comparison showing elite senior players had a 7 - 9 % greater aerobic power than elite juniors and suggesting that, in addition to differences in technical and tactical skills, physiological factors also determine successful transition to senior elite-standard.

Data profiling the high-intensity exercise capabilities of elite squash players are limited. This is surprising as time-motion analysis identifies short-duration, explosive activity as a key feature of match play. The lack of data from measures of high-intensity exercise capability might reflect the fact that characterisation of these elements of match play has not been undertaken until recently (Eubank and Messenger, 2000; Vuckovic et al., 2004).
Grip strength is a commonly reported factor in the studies that have measured high-intensity exercise capabilities and has obvious value in relation to racket control. Sharp (1998) reported values as high as 600 N in male elite players while scores from other studies are comparable but lower than this (507 ± 16 N, Todd and Mahoney (1994); 493 N, Gillam et al. (1990); 479 ± 56 N, Chin et al. (1995)). In female players, values ranging from 300 - 450 N have been reported (Sharp, 1998; Gillam et al., 1990). Sharp (1988) suggested that grip strength scores ranging from 400 - 450 N and 300 - 350 N for males and females respectively are required to ensure racket control during match play. These ranges were derived from extensive testing of elite-standard players.

Because of the repeated short-duration, high-intensity movements involved in match play (Eubank and Messenger, 2000; Vuckovic et al., 2004), high-intensity exercise capabilities of the lower limbs are important factors determining court coverage (Behm, 1992). In a study with county-standard squash players, Brookes and Winter (1985) compared performance in two types of vertical jump and the Wingate cycle test. Jump heights of 0.5 ± 0.057 m and 0.3 ± 0.075 m and peak power of 11.94 ± 1.07 W-kg'1 (924 ± 178 W) were reported for the Sargent jump, Power jump and Wingate tests respectively. Vertical jump height data have been reported by Gillam et al. (1990) and Todd and Mahoney (1994) where mean values of 0.47 and 0.56 m respectively were produced by elite-standard-male players. However, the precise methods for the jump tests used by Gillam et al. (1990) and Todd and Mahoney (1994) are unclear which makes comparisons between studies problematic. In contrast, Chin et al. (1995) reported mean Wingate peak power of 15.5 ± 1.8 W-kg'1 for ten male elite Asian players offering a direct comparison with the Wingate peak power scores reported by Brookes and Winter (1985). The higher scores reported by Chin et al. (1995) might reflect the difference in standard of players between the two studies, though Todd and Mahoney
reported mean Wingate peak power similar to Brookes and Winter (1985) in 12 elite Irish squash players (898 ± 36.1 W).

Other measures of lower limb high-intensity exercise capabilities reported include isokinetic peak torque (Chin et al., 1995), 30-m sprint and 10 x 5-m sprint times (Todd and Mahoney, 1994). Unfortunately, there are no comparable data for these tests.

2.5.4 Physiological responses to match play in squash.
The evaluation of squash player performance in general tests of sub-maximal and high-intensity exercise capabilities is useful for cross-sectional and longitudinal comparison. However, for physiological assessment to be valid and specific and for interpretation to be meaningful, tests should attempt to mimic the demands of match play. Moreover, specific training should aim to impose similar physiological stress on the player to that experienced in actual play. To achieve this, physiological responses to match play must be characterised. Table 6 summarises the available physiological response data from elite players collected during match play. In this context, elite refers to players of county / regional standard up to international.
Table 6. Physiological responses to match play in elite squash players.

<p>| | | | | | | | | |</p>
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Note: The table contains data on physiological responses such as heart rate, oxygen consumption, and others. The exact values are not legible due to the image quality.
Telemetric measurement of on-court heart rate is common to all of the studies in Table 6 and mean heart rates are comparable between studies with the exception of Girard et al. (2007). Similarly, relative exercise intensity expressed as percentage of maximum heart rate is comparable between studies with the exception of Montpetit et al. (1987) whose players were at the lower end of the elite category and Girard et al. (2007) whose data were collected during match play with current lightweight rackets, the PAR - 11 scoring system and a lower tin height.

Only two studies report measurements of on-court VO$_2$ and discrepancies between them are large. Gillam et al. (1990) used Douglas bags mounted on the backs of two players to collect expired air for subsequent analysis of volume and fractions of expired CO$_2$ and O$_2$. Following a thirty-minute warm-up, one-to two-minute samples were taken when player heart rates reached their usual playing range. However, to adjust for the effect of the equipment on mobility and peripheral vision, game rules were modified to allow the ball to bounce twice thus altering the nature of play. As such, the oxygen cost calculated is unlikely to reflect that of play under normal rules. Furthermore, the responses of two players might not be representative of the population of elite-standard players. In contrast, Girard et al. (2007) were able to make use of recent technological advances collecting breath-by-breath data with a light-weight portable, telemetric system worn by players in a small shoulder harness. Participants played three competitive games against an opponent of similar standard under Professional Squash Association rules with PAR - 11 scoring and the lower tin height used in professional men’s competition. The participants were members of the French national men’s squad and included the world number one and reigning world champion (at the time of data collection). The higher oxygen cost reported by Girard et al. (2007) is more likely to represent demands of modern match play because of the methods, equipment and
layers used and the rules applied in data collection. Notably, the post-game blood lactate concentrations reported in the recent study of Girard et al. (2007) are higher than those in earlier studies despite similar methods applied to collection and analysis. This suggests that advances in racket and ball technology, combined with scoring and court changes, have increased the intensity of exercise in match play. The higher mean heart rate and relative VO$_2$ scores reported by Girard et al. (2007) support this. It is notable that the relative exercise intensity in this study was expressed as a percentage of maximum heart rate and maximal oxygen uptake derived from an incremental test performed on-court using squash-specific movement patterns at controlled speeds, adding to the value of the study’s findings.

2.5.5 Summary of important physiological factors for squash.
The data presented show that squash is a high-intensity, multiple-sprint sport involving explosive movements over short distances with frequent directional changes. Time-motion analyses, physiological profiling data and responses to match play confirm the importance of endurance capability and high-intensity exercise capabilities, in particular, explosiveness of the lower limbs and change-of-direction-speed.

2.6 Specific fitness testing in squash.
Specificity, validity, reproducibility and sensitivity are the criteria that fitness tests should satisfy (Winter et al., 2007; Muller et al., 2000; N.C.F., 1995). Sport-specific procedures should reflect intensities of exercise and their duration, involved muscles, muscle activity, forces and metabolic demands of match play (Winter et al., 2007). Use of non-specific procedures can produce inaccurate physiological profiles and misinterpretations of strengths and weaknesses.
The importance of sport-specific testing of physiological factors relevant to squash has been previously demonstrated. St Clair Gibson et al. (1998) reported that maximal oxygen uptake estimated from a field-based 20-m shuttle test correlated more highly with laboratory-determined $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ in runners ($r = 0.71, P < 0.05$), than in squash players ($r = 0.61, P < 0.05$) indicating sport-specific differences in the prediction of $V_{\text{Chmax}}$. The test specificity of $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ in squash players has also been demonstrated elsewhere. Two studies compared responses of elite squash players in squash-specific incremental tests and laboratory-based treadmill incremental tests and showed that higher $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ was achieved by squash players on the squash-specific tests (Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Girard et al., 2005).

The use of on-court sprint drills that encompass multiple-direction-changes and simulated stroke making (ghosting) by elite-standard squash players reflects the recognition that training for the short-duration, explosive movements of match play must be undertaken in sport-specific movement patterns (Sharp, 1998). The specificity of this aspect of fitness has been demonstrated by Young et al. (1996) and Young et al. (2001). The earlier study reported a common variance of just 7% between performance on a straight 20-m sprint and a 20-m sprint with three 90° changes-of-direction in trained Australian rules footballers. The later study investigated changes in performance on 30-m sprint tests with 0-7 direction changes after no training (control), a six-week period of straight sprint training (speed group) or change-of-direction sprint training (change-of-direction speed group). Training was matched for total distance covered, total volume and intensity. Speed training resulted in improvements in straight sprint speed and speed on the test with two 160° direction changes ($P < 0.05$), but produced no changes in performance on the other five tests where changes-of-direction became more frequent ($P > 0.05$). Conversely, the change-of-direction speed training resulted in
improvements in all change-of-direction speed tests \( P < 0.05 \) but no improvement in straight sprint performance \( P > 0.05 \). The authors concluded that straight sprinting speed and change-of-direction speed were separate qualities and each with specific training adaptations that had limited transfer to the other.

The specific nature of test responses and of training adaptations necessitates the development of sport-specific procedures. The specific movement patterns of squash provide a unique challenge to physiologists attempting to assess squash-specific fitness and suggest that tests should encompass the ability repeatedly to change direction at speed.

2.6.1 Game-simulation protocols
While there have been attempts to produce controlled tests to replicate squash-specific physiological demands (Todd \textit{et al.}, 1998; Sherman \textit{et al.}, 2004; Kingsley \textit{et al.}, 2006), these tests were designed to simulate match play rather than assess squash-specific fitness components. The study of Kingsley \textit{et al.} (2006) describes a squash-specific incremental test procedure that could be used to assess squash-specific fitness. However, the study focused on the use of the procedure in the development of a squash simulation protocol and does not address the validity of the incremental test for assessment of squash-specific fitness.

2.6.2 Incremental tests
Although several groups are working in this area, only two previous studies designed to assess the validity of on-court squash-specific protocols for assessment purposes have been published (Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Girard \textit{et al.}, 2005). The procedure of Steininger and Wodick (1987) was devised to mimic physiological demands and
techniques specific to squash movement, but in clearly defined increments to allow the assessment of squash-specific endurance fitness.

With thirteen nationally ranked players as participants, six lamps were suspended to hang just below the height of the ‘out’ line on the side walls of a squash court (three on each side). The first pair was positioned near to the front corners of the court (numbers one and two), the second pair (lamps three and four) level with mid-court, and the third pair (five and six), were located mid-way between lamps three and four and the rear wall. Squash balls were suspended on string under the pairs of lamps, at knee-height for one and two, eye-height for lamps three and four, and at hip-height for lamps five and six.

The lamps were connected to a sequencing device off-court which altered the frequency of light flashes. When a lamp lit, the player moved from the T position to strike the ball below the lamp in a technically appropriate manner, and returned to the T before the next lamp was lit. The first intensity level began with 12 light pulses per minute, equivalent to 36 dashes over the three-minute stage. Intensity was increased by six pulses (or moves) per three-minute level (two moves per minute) until volitional exhaustion was reached.

In the original protocol, 45-second rest intervals between stages were used to collect blood samples for lactate determination and for measurement of final-stage heart rate by 3-lead ECG. Table 7 summarises the results of the study.
Table 7. Physiological responses to the squash test for individual players (adapted from Steininger and Wodick, 1987)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test person</th>
<th>Max. heart rate (beats-min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Heart rate 5 min after step end (beats-min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Max. blood lactate (mmol/L)</th>
<th>Max performance Last stage</th>
<th>Performance at the anaerobic threshold (light pulses per min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ranked performance data from the test correlated with ranked playing fitness coefficients estimated from competitive results and a coach’s subjective estimates of match fitness \((r = 0.90, P < 0.05)\). There was a modest correlation \((r = 0.50, P < 0.05)\) between ranked playing fitness coefficients and ranked performance on a laboratory incremental treadmill test (Steininger and Wodick, 1987). This finding highlighted the ability of the test to assess physiological capacities in squash specific movements.

Steininger and Wodick (1987) used a fixed blood lactate of 4 mmol/L to determine anaerobic threshold and reported that most players (who were national standard) had surpassed this value by intensity level 2 of the test. Chin et al. (1995) used the test with the Hong Kong men's national squad and showed similarly high lactate responses early in the test. This suggests that either the initial intensity is too high, that the step increase in intensity is too great, or both. It brings into question the value of the test for assessing
layers of a lower standard and fitness such as elite junior players, as it is likely that the
test will be too difficult to gain any useful data.

While movement patterns created by the test clearly replicated those of squash play,
account for the stochastic (random) characteristic of squash movement in match play
was not made. The predictable sequence of movements used means the player must
only travel through the T area en-route to the next court position. In contrast, match
play is characterised by uncertainty about movement direction (Vuckovic et al., 2004).
The muscular demands of random movement are likely to be much greater and different
from movement that is predictable. The ability of muscle to accommodate to
unanticipated changes in direction and speed is a crucial performance characteristic in
squash (Behm, 1992) but is likely to go undetected by a test in which movement
sequences are predictable.

The squash-specific test described by Girard et al. (2005) overcomes the limitations of
Steininger and Wodick’s test by including uncertainty of movement direction. It does
so by means of specialised software on a computer placed at the front centre of the
court. A visual stimulus directs players to a particular location on court. However, it
should be acknowledged that squash players also make use of auditory stimuli during
match play such as the sound of the ball from the wall and an opponent’s racket to judge
movement direction and speed. In Girard et al.’s (2005) study, seven male players
ranked in the world top fifty completed incremental treadmill and squash-specific tests
wearing a portable gas analyser for assessment of VO$_2$. The squash-specific
incremental test comprised stages of increasing intensity of exercise that involved two
bouts of nine shuttle runs from the central ‘T’ position to one of six numbered targets
located around the court (two front, two mid-court and two rear court). The nine
displacements comprised two to the front-court targets, three to the mid-court targets and four to the back-court targets performed randomly. On reaching a target, players were instructed to mimic a forceful shot to the front wall before returning to the T position in time for the next displacement. The intensity of movement was increased by decreasing the time to complete the nine displacements and the test ended when the participants reached volitional exhaustion or failed to reach the specified target in time. Speed and direction of movement were controlled by visual stimuli on the screen of a computer placed at the front of the court.

Maximal oxygen uptake, ventilatory threshold and respiratory compensation point were calculated from breath-by-breath measurements of expired air. Heart rate and relative exercise intensity (\( \% \text{VChmax} \)) at ventilatory threshold and respiratory compensation point were similar between the incremental treadmill and squash-specific tests but \( \text{VChmax} \) was higher in the squash-specific test \((P < 0.01)\). Furthermore, player rank correlated with performance time in the squash-specific test but not in the treadmill test \((r = -0.96, P < 0.01)\). The findings demonstrate the validity of the squash-specific test for the assessment of endurance performance and \( \text{VChmax} \) in elite-standard players. However, the requirements for specialist software, computers and gas analysers should be accounted for when considering the practical aspects of test administration.

2.6.3 Areas for development

Previous tests developed to assess specific fitness in squash, while addressing some of the criteria for sport-specific procedures (Winter et al., 2007), have focussed on maximum and sub-maximum cardio-pulmonary responses and have involved movement patterns that, although specific to squash, are performed at intensities to assess aerobic capabilities. However, match analysis has revealed that players cover a mean distance of
only 12 m during rallies lasting 16-21 s and recent physiological analysis has reported mean post-match blood lactate concentrations of 8 mmol-l¹ indicating marked contributions from glycolysis (Vuckovic et al., 2004; Girard et al., 2007).

Despite the multiple-sprint nature of squash and the documented importance of qualities such as explosive strength and speed and the rapid accelerations, decelerations and direction changes that characterise squash movement, there appear to be no published squash-specific tests of multiple-sprint capabilities or change-of-direction speed. This is surprising as the specificity of change-of-direction speed has been demonstrated (Young et al., 1996; Young et al., 2001) and a sport-specific test of multiple-sprint capability has been shown to discriminate ability in a field-based multiple-sprint sport (Boddington et al., 2004). Furthermore, the complexity of existing squash-specific tests is likely to limit their widespread use for training prescription and tracking of training-induced adaptations.

Future research should focus on the development of squash-specific fitness tests that:

1. Are simple to administer
2. Can assess fitness factors of importance to squash performance
3. Are reproducible
4. Are sensitive enough to detect training-induced adaptations
5. Can discriminate ability within and between standards of play

The development of procedures that satisfy these criteria would allow detailed description of physiological factors that relate to squash performance.
2.7 General aims
The aim of the thesis is therefore to develop and validate squash-specific procedures to identify indicators of performance and multiple-sprint capability in sub-elite and elite-standard squash players.

2.7.1 Objectives
The objective of the thesis is to identify physiological factors related to squash performance and multiple-sprint capability and so lead to the design of more effective training methods and more sensitive assessment of training effects. It is intended that the development of sport-specific procedures will improve the practices of players and coaches.

2.7.2 Research questions
1. Which measures of fitness are most related to sub-elite and elite-squash performance?
2. What are key indicators of squash-specific-multiple-sprint capability in sub-elite and elite players?
3 GENERAL METHODS

This chapter will provide details of the methods adopted for the determination of outcome measures used in this thesis. Specific details of how validity and reproducibility of new squash-specific procedures were addressed are discussed in detail in specific chapters that follow. Similar metrics for reproducibility were used on all other outcome measures and their reproducibility is reported here.

3.1 Pre-test preparation and habituation

All participants were instructed to report for testing well-rested, well-hydrated, well-nourished and to have refrained from eating at least two hours prior to testing. Participants were also instructed to abstain from drinking alcohol and avoid stimulants such as caffeine for at least eight hours prior to testing.

Habituation for all tests comprised two practice trials of the test procedure in question performed on separate days. Each visit involved completion of an abridged version of the full test while wearing any equipment that was required for actual test sessions. Participants were requested to wear the same footwear and similar clothing for habituation and all subsequent testing sessions.

3.2 Testing methods

This section will describe the methods used to administer the tests and secure outcome measures adopted in the studies that follow including procedures for zero adjustment, calibration and post-test verification of equipment. Where reproducibility is reported, it was assessed between two measurement occasions separated by seven days with tests conducted at the same time of day in similar environmental conditions.
3.2.1 Body Mass

Body mass was assessed to the nearest 0.05 kg before the start of test sessions using balance-beam scales (SECA, Vogel and Halke, Hamburg, Germany). Before each measurement, the scales were set to zero and adjusted via the turn screw until perfectly balanced. Linearity was then checked using known masses of 50 and 100 kg. Participants were required to remove footwear then stand as still as possible on the scales while the sliding weights were adjusted to bring the scales into balance.

Typical Error of Measurement (TEM) and the 90% confidence interval thereof (90% Cl) and Limits of Agreement (LOA) were calculated to assess reproducibility. Both metrics showed small test-retest variation (TEM 0.56 kg, 0.7%, 90% Cl 0.4 - 1.0 kg; LOA -0.53 ± 1.47 kg).

3.2.2 Stature

Prior to the test session, stretch stature was measured using a Holtain stadiometer (Holtain Ltd., Crymych, Dyfed, Wales) and according to the guidelines laid down by the International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (Marfell-Jones et al., 2006). Before each measurement, accuracy of the stadiometer was checked using a one-meter ruler and was adjusted if required. With footwear removed, participants were instructed to stand with heels, buttocks and shoulder blades in contact with the back board of the stadiometer with heel together. The position of the participants head was then adjusted so that it lay in the Frankfort plane (orbit and tragus horizontally aligned) when viewed from the side. Participants were asked to inspire and hold their breath while the headboard was lowered (compressing the hair) to contact the head. The same reproducibility metrics were applied as with body mass and test-retest error was low (TEM < 0.01 m 0.3%, 90% Cl 0.00 - 0.01 m; LOA 0.00 ± 0.01 m).
3.2.3 Gas analysis

Breath-by-breath oxygen uptake \((\text{VO}_2)\) was recorded using a portable telemetric system (Metamax 3B, CORTEX Biophysik, Leipzig, Germany) that was calibrated according to manufacturer’s guidelines prior to each test. The manufacturer’s recommendation for gas concentration was for a two-point calibration using room air (with assumed oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations of 20.93% and 0.03 % respectively) and a span gas with known oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations (15% and 5% respectively) and nitrogen balance (Cranlea and Company, Boumville, Birmingham, England). A similar two-point calibration was applied for the triple-V volume transducer using zero flow and a known flow rate delivered by a 3-L syringe where expired and inspired flow were determined by filling and emptying of the syringe in time with a visual stimulus from the gas analyser software (Metasoft v3, CORTEX Biophysik, Leipzig, Germany). Before starting the gas calibration, barometric pressure was entered into the software read from an electronic barometer (Oregon Scientific, Oregon, USA). Following each test, the accuracy of gas concentration was verified by running a sample of span gas through the analyser. Expired and inspired volume measurements were also verified using the 3-L syringe.

Following calibration, the analyser was placed into a lightweight shoulder harness that was secured to the participant using Velcro straps. The participant was then fitted with an appropriately sized nose and mouth mask to ensure the best seal possible while maximising comfort. The triple-V volume transducer and gas sample line were inserted into the front of the mask and the mask was firmly secured to the participant’s face using a head net with elasticated, adjustable straps. A one-minute period of baseline data collection took place with the participant standing still after the warm-up and before any exercise test began.
Reproducibility of steady-state $VO_2$ at a fixed sub-maximal exercise intensity (below lactate threshold) and of $V_{Chmax}$ on a squash-specific incremental test were assessed in eight trained squash players of county standard with seven days between tests. The results of this analysis are reported in Chapter five.

3.2.4 Heart rate measurement
Heart rate was recorded via telemetry from a chest belt transmitter directly into the gas analyser software and a wrist watch worn by the participant (Polar S625X, Polar Electro OY, Finland).

3.2.5 Analysis of blood lactate concentration
Blood lactate concentration was assessed by an electrochemical method in triplicate using 25 pi samples (YSI 1500, Yellow Springs Instruments, Yellow Springs, Ohio, USA). Samples of capillary blood were obtained from a finger prick using a sterile lancet and an automatic lancet delivery device (Safe-T-pro, Accu-Chek, Boehringer Manneheim Corporation, Maryland, USA). Blood was collected into heparinised glass capillary tubes and drawn for ejection into the analyser using an automatic pipette. Prior to testing, the analyser was calibrated with a lactate standard of known concentration (5 mmol-L’1) and linearity was checked with standards of 15 and 30 mmol-L’1. When analysis was complete, accuracy of the instrument was verified using the 5 mmol-L’1 standard. Blood lactate concentration was taken as the mean of the three samples at each measurement occasion.

3.2.6 Incremental treadmill test
Participants underwent a standardised five-minute warm-up on a motorised treadmill (Pulsar, H/P/ Cosmos Sports and Medical GMBH, Nussdorf-Traunstein, Germany) at
10 km-h'1 and 0 % grade prior to the incremental test. Prior to testing, treadmill belt speed was confirmed at 10, 12, 14 and 16 km-h'1 by recording the number of belt revolutions in one-minute and multiplying this by the belt length. Treadmill zero gradient was confirmed using a spirit level.

The treadmill test comprised an initial speed of 13 km-h'1 at 0 % grade followed by an increase in speed of 1 km-h'1 every minute up to 16 km-h'1. Thereafter, speed remained constant but treadmill grade was increased by 1 % every minute until volitional exhaustion. The test was designed to cater for the range of habitual running speeds of distance runners recruited for the study detailed in Chapter four, while allowing both runners and squash players to reach volitional fatigue without the inability to run at higher speeds becoming a factor leading to premature ending of the test (Jones, 2007).

Breath-by-breath VO2 and heart rate were determined continuously using the methods described in sections 3.2.3 and 3.2.4. Participants ended the test by either lifting their feet astride the moving treadmill belt or depressing the emergency stop button. Standardised verbal encouragement was provided during the test and the condition of the participant was monitored throughout and after the test. At the end of the test, the gas analyser, face mask and heart rate chest belt transmitter were immediately removed and the participant remained on the treadmill to complete a five minute cool down at a self-selected walking speed. Figure shows a participant undertaking the incremental treadmill test.
3.2.7 Squash-specific incremental test
The squash-specific incremental test involved squash-specific movement patterns, to and from four marked positions (two front corners, and two back corners) on a squash court floor (Figure 4). Movements began from a central ‘T’ position performed in one-minute stages and were performed randomly with the order and frequency controlled by an audio signal of a number corresponding to one of the four marked and numbered targets. While individual movements were administered randomly, proportions of movements to particular court areas minute-by-minute reflected those seen in match play as identified from match analysis (74% back corner movements, 26% front corner movements) (Hughes and Robertson, 1998). Movement distances and mean movement speeds involved were encompassed in the ranges reported in previously published match analysis studies (Hughes and Robertson, 1998; Vuckovic et al., 2004).

Upon hearing a signal, the participant was required to move to that court position from the T position, place one foot on the marked target, "ghost" i.e. mimic a forceful shot
down the nearest side wall of the court and return to the T position in time for the next audio signal. Participants were instructed to keep pace with the audio signals so that they were arriving back at the T just as the next audio signal was given and not before, or after the signal. This was to ensure that the mean movement speed for the stage corresponded to that dictated by the audio signal. No specific or technical instructions about stroke technique or movement were provided, but care was taken to ensure that all participants adhered to the requirement to place one foot on the target and mimic a forceful shot on each displacement. Stage one of the test comprised fourteen movements per minute with the intensity of exercise increasing by one extra movement per minute in each subsequent stage with twenty possible test levels.

Figure 4. Set-up and dimensions of the squash-specific incremental test. Numbered court positions are indicated (1-4). Arrows indicate distances for the location of the numbered court positions relative to court markings not the route to the court positions.
The validity of the squash-specific incremental test and the reproducibility of performance and physiological responses from the test are reported in Chapters four and five respectively. Figure 5 shows a participant undertaking the test.

3.2.8 Field-based tests of change-of-direction speed.
Following a standardised warm-up, each test session comprised three trials of either a non-sport specific test (Illinois Agility Run) or a squash-specific test of change-of-direction speed with the best performance time recorded to the nearest one hundredth of a second using a hand-held electronic stop clock (FastTime 1, Click Sports, Cambridge, UK). The standardised warm-up required participants to perform five minutes of jogging, followed by four runs through the test being performed at approximately 50, 60, 70 and 80 % of perceived maximum effort to warm-up the specific muscle groups...
required for the movements involved. Each run through was separated by 60-s recovery. A four-minute period of static stretching of the quadriceps, hamstrings, gastrocnemius and soleus muscle groups was carried out following the sub-maximal runs and prior to the three experimental trial runs. This was the preferred standard practice of the squash players recruited and was therefore used for all participants to standardise pre-test conditions. Participants were instructed to perform each of the three experimental trial runs on both tests ‘all-out’ following a ‘3-2-1’ countdown. Two-minute recovery periods were allowed between each trial run to minimise the effects of fatigue on subsequent attempts.

3.2.8.1 Squash-specific change-of-direction speed test.
A participant under test and the dimensions, layout and movement path through the squash-specific test are shown in Figures 6 and 7 respectively. From the start line, participants were required to move between and around the large cones (denoted by crosses on Figure 7) to reach out and touch the smaller cones (denoted by circles) with either hand depending on their preference. No instructions were given as to the most effective movement technique. Participants were simply encouraged to complete the course as fast as possible. The movement patterns and distances were designed using match analysis data and through consultation with an England Squash advanced coach (Eubank and Messenger, 2000; Vuckovic et al., 2004).

3.2.8.2 Illinois Agility Run
The dimensions, layout and movement path through the Illinois Agility Run are shown in Figure 8. Participants began from a standing start, and following a ‘3-2-1’ countdown ran the course with maximum effort without knocking over any cones. Participants were encouraged to complete the course as fast as possible.
In both tests, timing commenced when the hips of the participant broke an imaginary line at hip height and ceased when the participant’s hips broke the imaginary line for a second time at the end of the looped test courses. The Illinois Agility Run was performed in a non-slip sports hall and the squash-specific test was carried out on a marked-out squash court. Validity of the squash-specific test and reproducibility of both tests are reported in Chapter six.

Figure 6. The author completing the squash-specific change-of-direction-speed test.
START POINT

Figure 7. Dimensions and route for the squash-specific test of change-of-direction-speed. T - position of the timer adjacent to the cones where timing started and finished.
3.2.9 Field-based tests of multiple-sprint ability.
Participants performed Baker’s 8 x 40-m sprints (Baker et al., 1993) and a squash-specific multiple-sprint test on separate days (separated by at least 48 hours). Habituation was as described in section 3.1. A standardised warm-up was completed as described in section 3.2.8. Participants were instructed to perform each sprint effort on both tests ‘all-out’ and to avoid adopting any pacing strategy.
### 3.2.9.1 Baker's 8 x 40 m Sprint Test

The dimensions, layout and route of completion are shown on Figure 9. Participants began from a standing start and following a ‘5-4-3-2-1’ countdown ran the course with maximum effort changing direction at the two end cones. Participants were encouraged to complete the course as fast as possible and performance time for each sprint effort was recorded. Participants were allowed twenty seconds recovery between sprints. Sprint and recovery time were recorded using electronic stop clocks (FastTime 1, Click Sports, Cambridge, UK) in the manner described in section 3.2.8.2. Performance was recorded as the sum of the eight individual sprint times.

![Start / finish line](image)

![Timer](image)

Figure 9. Layout, dimensions and route of completion for Baker’s 8 x 40 m sprint test.

### 3.2.9.2 Squash-Specific Multiple-sprint Test

The dimensions, layout and movement path through the squash-specific test are shown in Figure 10. It should be noted that one complete repetition comprised two laps of the test course with the participants changing direction for the second lap at the start cone shown on Figure 10. A participant completing the course (the layout being the same as the change-of-direction-speed test) was shown previously in Figure 6. Although participants started each sprint effort at the start / change-of-direction cone, timing of each sprint did not commence until participants passed between the first set of cones (marked start / finish on Figure 2) hence they had a running start. From the start line,
participants were required to move between and around the large cones (denoted by crosses on Figure 10) and to reach out and touch the smaller cones (denoted by circles) with either hand depending on their preference. No instructions were given as to the most effective movement technique. Participants were simply encouraged to complete the course as fast as possible. The test comprised ten sprint efforts, each separated by twenty seconds recovery. Multiple-sprint ability was recorded as the sum of the ten sprints. Performance time for the fastest repetition was also recorded. Although similar to the change-of-direction speed test, the duration of a repetition comprising two laps of the course is closer to the mean duration of a squash rally in modern match play (Girard et al., 2007). The movement patterns and distances between directional changes were derived from match analysis data (Eubank and Messenger, 2000; Vuckovic et al., 2004) and through consultation with an England Squash advanced coach. The recovery duration between sprint efforts was initially chosen to approximate the rest periods between rallies reported in elite standard match play (mean 8-12 seconds) (Hughes, 1998; Vuckovic et al., 2004; Girard et al., 2007), but during pilot testing participants indicated that performing ten ‘all-out’ sprint efforts with such short recovery was too difficult. As such the recovery between sprints was extended to twenty seconds approximating the recovery durations of other non-specific multiple-sprint protocols (Baker et al., 1993; Bangsbo, 1994; Boddington et al., 2004).

The validity of the squash-specific multiple-sprint test and the reproducibility of performance in both tests are examined in Chapter seven. Baker’s test was completed in a non-slip sports hall and the squash-specific test was completed on a marked-out squash court.
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Figure 10. Squash-specific multiple-sprint test dimensions and route of completion. One repetition is two laps of the course. T1 and T2 indicate the positions of the timers with the start/finish line for timing between the pair of adjacent cones.
3.2.10 Drop jump, reactive strength index (RSI) and counter-movement jump.

Reactive strength index is a ratio of jump height to ground contact time during a drop jump test. The RSI is considered to be a measure of explosive ability of the leg extensors in fast stretch-shortening cycle actions under high stretch loads (Young, 1995).

Following individual warm-up that comprised five minutes jogging followed by dynamic stretching of the lower limb musculature, participants completed three drop jumps from a 0.3-m high box onto a force platform with a sampling frequency of 1000 Hz (Fitech, Melbourne, Australia). The force plate was zeroed prior to each test. The RSI was calculated as jump height / contact time (with jump height being calculated from flight time). It should be acknowledged that the calculation of jump height from flight time is valid only if the participant’s take-off and landing position are identical. It has been assumed that this was the case. Participants were instructed to step off the box, land with both feet simultaneously and rebound from the force plate for maximum height while minimising ground contact time. Arms were akimbo throughout the jump. The highest RSI from three attempts was recorded. Highest mean power output from the three drop jump trials was also recorded. Participants also completed three counter-movement jumps on the same equipment. From a standing start with hands placed on the hips, participants were instructed to bend at the hips, knees and ankles to a comfortable depth before propelling the body upwards for maximum jump height. Highest mean power output from the three attempts was recorded. Power in each jump (power = work / time) was calculated from the highest force immediately prior to take-off, with distance estimated from flight time and assumed to represent the distance travelled by the body’s centre of mass. Time in the equation was half of flight time. The
use of power as a measure in impulsive, explosive activities like jumping has been questioned (Winter, 2005). In this particular instance, it should be noted that the mechanical principles involved in the calculation are at best weak and at worst inapplicable.

These tests were conducted at the National Squash Centre, Manchester under the supervision of an England Institute of Sport strength and conditioning coach working with the elite English squash players. Because of time constraints and availability of the England elite squash players, it was not possible to assess reproducibility of performance on this test, nor was it possible to perform habituation trials. However, all participants had prior experience of the jump test procedures and RSI assessment and had undertaken previous test on the same equipment, though these tests were generally on an annual basis.

3.2.11 Multi-stage fitness test
This test was conducted in a non-slip sport hall at the National Squash Centre, Manchester. Participants completed twenty-metre shuttle runs in a continuous fashion in time with an audio signal. Exercise intensity was increased by shortening the duration between audio signals at regular intervals. Participants were required to keep pace with the audio signal for as long as possible until volitional fatigue. Test level and shuttle within the test level were used to estimate $v_{chmax}$ using a regression equation. Details of the test procedure and derivation of the regression equation are detailed in Leger and Lambert (1982). As with assessment of RSI, time constraints and player availability prevented habituation or assessment of reproducibility. Moreover, this test was
performed on the same day as and following on from assessment of RSI, squash-specific change-of-direction speed and multiple-sprint ability.

3.2.12 Racket accuracy test
Participants were required to hit ten under-arm forehand volleys from a self-feed aimed to hit a target on the front wall of the squash court. Players had to stand on the T position, 5.49 m from the target that was placed at the height of the cut line. The target consisted of three concentric circles with diameters of 0.58 m, 0.4 m and 0.2 m drawn on a sheet of white Al paper. Hits to the centre circle scored fifty points, with hits to the second and third circles out scoring twenty five and ten points respectively. Hits outside the outer circle but still on the Al sheet scored five points, but no points were scored for shots missing the paper. The shots were taken at the participants self-selected pace and force. No technical instructions were given and no practice shots were allowed. Points were recorded after each shot by examining the mark left on the paper by the black rubber squash ball and test performance was taken as the sum of points scored for the ten attempts. Reproducibility of test performance was not examined but was probably weak.

3.3 Summary
This chapter has described the methods for administering all outcome measures used in this thesis. Where reproducibility and validity for the measures described are not reported here, precise details can be found in specific chapters that follow.
4 VALIDITY OF A SQUASH-SPECIFIC TEST OF ENDURANCE FITNESS

In common with multiple-sprint activities such as soccer, basketball and other racket sports, the specific movement patterns and demands of squash provide a unique challenge to physiologists in their attempts to produce valid and reliable assessments of physiological factors relevant to squash performance, such as aerobic power. The challenge is to combine the control of laboratory procedures with the ecological validity of tests carried out in specific movement patterns of the sport. Muller (2000) stated that improvements in elite sport performance arise mainly from an increase in the quality of training and that this is best improved through the development of sport-specific tests. Valid and reliable squash-specific-yet controlled-tests are likely to provide more useful data for the design of training programmes and tracking of sport-specific training adaptations that might otherwise go undetected by conventional non-specific procedures.

The validity of field-based tests can be determined by: a) comparison of a new test with a ‘gold standard’ procedure (criterion validity); b) the ability of a test to discriminate between groups of performers from sports with different characteristics or between abilities within a group of performers (construct validity); and c) a test's ability to assess components of fitness known to be important for performance (logical validity) (N.C.F., 1995). Endurance capability is such a component for squash players and a contributory factor to this is maximal oxygen uptake (Girard et al., 2007; Brown et al., 1998; Chin et al., 1995).

The importance of sport-specific testing of $\dot{V}O_2\max$ has been previously demonstrated. St Clair Gibson et al. (1998) reported that maximal oxygen uptake estimated from a field-based 20-m shuttle test correlated more highly with laboratory-determined $\dot{V}O_2\max$.
in runners ($r = 0.71$) than in squash players ($r = 0.61$). This indicates sport-specific
differences in the prediction of $V_{Chmax}$. The test specificity of $V_{Chmax}$ in squash players
has also been demonstrated elsewhere. Two studies compared responses of elite squash
players in squash-specific incremental tests and laboratory-based treadmill incremental
tests and showed that higher $V_{Chmmax}$ was achieved by squash players on the squash-
specific tests (Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Girard et al., 2005). Furthermore, both
studies also reported strong correlations between player rank and maximum
performance on the squash-specific tests, thus demonstrating both the construct and
logical validity of the sport-specific protocols.

While there have been attempts to produce controlled tests to replicate squash-specific
physiological demands, (Todd et al., 1998; Sherman et al., 2004; Kingsley et al., 2006)
these tests were designed to simulate match play rather than assess squash-specific
fitness components. Although several groups are working in this area, only two
previous papers designed to assess the validity of on-court squash protocols for
assessment purposes have been published, the findings of which are summarised above.
However, the complexity of these procedures probably limits their widespread use for
assessment and tracking of training-induced changes in fitness.

In summary, maximal aerobic power is a key aspect of fitness in squash and is known to
be specific to sporting background (continuous activity performers vs intermittent
activity performers) and to test procedures (St Clair Gibson et al., 1998; Steininger and
Wodick, 1987; Girard et al., 2005). A valid assessment of squash-specific aerobic
power should therefore discriminate between performers of sports with continuous and
mostly linear movements and squash players whose activity profile is intermittent and
whose movement is stochastic in nature (St Clair Gibson et al., 1998). Furthermore,
maximum values of squash players from a squash-specific test are likely to differ from those measured using non-specific tests of aerobic power (Girard et al., 2005). Accordingly, the purpose of this study was twofold: first, to examine the validity of a squash-specific incremental test that comprised randomised movements and auditory stimuli, by determining the endurance capability and $V_{Chmax}$ of trained squash players (intermittent activity profile) and distance runners (continuous activity profile) using a squash-specific incremental test; and second, to compare the $V_{Chmax}$ values with those determined on an incremental treadmill protocol.

4.1 Methods

4.1.1 Participants
With institutional ethics approval, eight trained squash players age (mean ± SD) 30.0 ± 11.2 years, stature 1.80 ± 0.04 m, body mass 81.3 ± 10.2 kg and eight trained distance runners age (mean ± SD) 29.6 ± 9.4 years, stature 1.77 ± 0.05 m, body mass 69.4 ± 6.7 kg who had previously undergone two visits to habituate to the procedures, participated. Habituation procedures and standard pre-test preparation instructions are described in Chapter three. Squash players were all regular and current competitors in the premier or first division of regional leagues, with at least five years' playing experience at this standard. Distance runners were good club-or county-standard athletes training and competing with a similar frequency to the squash players (three to five times per week).

4.1.2 Experimental design
In a randomised order participants performed incremental treadmill (TT) and squash-specific (ST) tests to volitional exhaustion separated by at least 48 hours. Squash players also performed a second trial of the ST seven days after the first to assess the reproducibility of $V_{Chmax}$, $HRmax$ and time-to-exhaustion. The results of this
reproducibility analysis are reported in Chapter five. Tests were conducted under similar environmental conditions (temperature 18.9 ± 3.4 °C, relative humidity 49 ± 8 %, barometric pressure 1016 ± 11 mBar) at the same time of day and in the same footwear and clothing. Details of test procedures are described in section 3.2.6. For the squash-specific test, continuous one-minute exercise stages were used with the intensity of movement being increased by one movement per minute for each new stage.

4.1.3 Physiological and performance measures
During both tests, breath-by-breath oxygen uptake \( (\text{VO}_2) \) and heart rate \( (HR) \) were continuously recorded using a portable telemetric system. Detailed description of the procedures, calibration and verification are given in sections 3.2.3 and 3.2.4. In both tests, 30-s means were calculated for cardiopulmonary variables and the highest values for \( \text{VO}_2 \) and \( HR \) over 30 s, during the final stages, were regarded as \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \) and \( \text{HR}_{\text{max}} \). Time to exhaustion on each test was recorded using an electronic stopclock (FastTime 1, Click Sports, Cambridge, UK). A post-test finger prick blood sample was taken five-minutes after completion of each test for subsequent determination of lactate concentration (procedures are detailed in Chapter three). With due acknowledgement to recent criticisms, (Midgley et al., 2007) attainment of a plateau in \( \text{VO}_2 \) \(< 2.1 \text{ml-kg}^{-1}\text{·min}^{-1} \) rise with an increase in exercise intensity), \( RER > 1.1 \), post-test blood lactate concentration \( > 8 \text{mmol·L}^{-1} \), \( HR \) within 10 beats·min\(^{-1} \) of age-predicted maximum and participant subjective reporting of maximal effort were used as criteria to judge whether or not test performances were truly maximal. If a participant failed to satisfy three or more of these criteria the test result was deemed to be a peak rather than a maximum value.
4.1.4 Ranking of squash players
Two England Squash qualified coaches (part three - advanced level), located in the area where the study was performed, independently assigned a rank to each squash player using personal knowledge of the players and recent performances in local regional league matches. Where independent ratings differed, a resolution was obtained through discussion between the two coaches.

4.1.5 Statistical analysis
Data were analysed using SPSS® v 12 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) statistical software package. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for each measure. Following verification of underlying assumptions such as normality of distributions (using Shapiro-Wilk's procedure) and homogeneity of variance (using Levene’s procedure), independent t-tests compared the squash players and runners in VChmax, HRm and time to exhaustion, both on the ST and TT. Paired-sample t-tests were used to compare VO2 max and HRm scores between the TT and ST. Paired sample t-tests were also used to compare end-test RER, change in VO2 in response to the final intensity increase and post-test blood lactate concentration between the TT and ST. Cohen’s d effect size was calculated for significant differences and interpreted against effect size categories of f < 0.2 = small effect, ~ 0.5 = moderate effect and > 0.8 large effect (Cohen, 1969). Spearman’s rho examined the relationship between maximum scores on the ST and subjective ranking of the squash players' ability. Pearson’s correlation examined relationships between VChmax scores on the ST and TT in squash players and runners. Statistical significance for all tests was accepted at P < 0.05.
4.2 Results

4.2.1 Achievement of criteria for maximal oxygen uptake.
All squash players satisfied three or more of the criteria for attainment of $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ in both the TT and ST. TT and ST (mean ± SD) RER (1.31 ± 0.1 and 1.23 ± 0.8, $P = 0.16$), post-test blood lactate concentration (9.8 ± 2.4 and 9.0 ± 1.3 mmol-L\(^{-1}\), $P = 0.25$) and final $\dot{V}O_2$ increase (0.71 ± 0.7 and 1.02 ± 0.8 ml-kg\(^{-1}\)-min\(^{-1}\), $P = 0.43$) for squash players did not differ between the tests. Similarly, all runners also satisfied three or more of the criteria for attainment of $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ both in the TT and ST. The TT and ST (mean ± SD) RER (1.29 ± 0.2 and 1.24 ± 0.1, $P = 0.45$), post-test blood lactate concentration (9.4 ± 2.2 and 9.3 ± 1.2 mmol-L\(^{-1}\), $P = 0.95$) and final $\dot{V}O_2$ increase (1.0 ± 0.8 and 1.28 ± 1.2 ml-kg\(^{-1}\)-min\(^{-1}\), $P = 0.38$) for runners did not differ between the tests.

4.2.2 Comparisons between groups on the ST.
Squash players had greater time to exhaustion on the ST than the runners (mean ± SD 775 ± 103 v 607 ± 81 s, $t_n = 3.638$, $P < 0.01$, effect size = 1.83). There was no difference in $\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$ or $HR_{\text{max}}$ between the squash players and runners on the ST ($V_{\text{Chmax}}$ 52.2 ± 7.1 v 56.6 ± 4.8 ml-kg\(^{-1}\)-mm\(^{-1}\), $P = 0.17$; $HR_{\text{max}}$ 190 ± 7 v 182 ± 11 beats-min\(^{-1}\), $P = 0.12$ respectively).

4.2.3 Comparisons between groups on the TT.
Runners had greater time to exhaustion (mean ± SD 521 ± 135 v 343 ± 115 s, $t_n = -2.84$, $P = 0.01$, effect size = 1.42) and also achieved higher $\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$ than the squash players on the TT (58.6 ± 7.5 v 49.6 ± 7.3 ml-kg\(^{-1}\)-min\(^{-1}\), $t_t = -2.43$, $P = 0.03$, effect size = 1.22). There were no differences in $HR_{\text{max}}$ between the runners and squash players on the TT (183 ± 10 v 191 ± 13 beats-min\(^{-1}\), $P = 0.17$ respectively).
4.2.4 Within-group comparisons between the ST and the TT.
Squash players achieved higher VO\textsubscript{2 max} on the ST than on the TT (mean ± SD 52.2 ± 7.1 v 49.6 ± 7.3 ml-kg\textsuperscript{−}min\textsuperscript{−}1, \(t = 3.105, P = 0.02\), effect size = 0.4). There were no between-test differences in HR\textsubscript{max} in the squash players (190 ± 7 v 191 ± 13 beats-min\textsuperscript{−}1, \(P = 0.71\)). There were no differences in HR\textsubscript{max} and VO\textsubscript{2 max} in the runners between the ST and TT (182 ± 11 v 183 ± 10 beats-min\textsuperscript{−}1, \(P = 0.90\) and 56.6 ± 4.8 v 58.6 ± 7.5 ml-kg\textsuperscript{−}min\textsuperscript{−}1, \(P = 0.45\) respectively).

Between- and within-group differences in physiological responses and performance capability are shown in Figures 11 and 12, respectively. Figure 13 shows the mean oxygen uptake and heart-rate responses of the squash players to the ST including the linear regression equations for oxygen uptake and heart rate against exercise time (and thus exercise intensity) on the test.

Figure 11. Physiological variables in squash players (n = 8) and distance runners (n = 8) corresponding to the greatest intensity of exercise for incremental treadmill (TT) and squash-specific tests (ST). Values are mean ± SD. \(\triangledown\) = difference (\(P = 0.03\)) between runners and squash players, \(\triangledown\) = difference (\(P = 0.02\)) between scores on the TT and ST.
Figure 12. Time to exhaustion recorded for the incremental treadmill (TT) and the squash-specific (ST) incremental tests in squash players ($n = 8$) and distance runners ($n = 8$). Values are mean ± SD. $t = \text{difference between squash players and runners } (P < 0.01)$ in time to exhaustion on the ST; $J = \text{difference between squash players and runners } (P = 0.01)$ in time to exhaustion on the TT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Squash Players</th>
<th>Runners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Oxygen Uptake</td>
<td>Mean Heart Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squash Test Exercise Time (s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13. Mean oxygen uptake and heart rate responses of eight trained squash players on the squash-specific incremental test (ST). Linear regression equations for mean heart rate and oxygen uptake are shown at the upper left and lower right of the figure respectively.
4.2.5 Correlation of ST performance with player rank in squash players.

Spearman’s rho indicated moderate but non-significant negative correlations between time to exhaustion (p = -0.60, P = 0.12) and $v_{chmax}$ (p = -0.70, P = 0.07) on the ST and player rank for the squash players.

4.2.6 Correlation of ST and TT maximal oxygen uptake in squash players and runners.

Pearson’s correlation showed relationships between $v_{chmax}$ scores from the ST and TT both in squash players (r = 0.94, P < 0.01) and runners (r = 0.88, P < 0.01).

4.3 Discussion

The results indicate that the squash players outperformed the trained distance runners on the squash-specific incremental test despite there being no difference in aerobic power between the groups on the squash-specific test. The extent to which participants met the criteria for a true maximal effort did not differ between the ST and TT for squash players and runners, with all participants meeting three or more of the criteria in both tests. As such, differences in time to exhaustion between squash players and runners could not be explained by runners not exercising maximally. Moreover, the attainment of the criteria for a maximum effort in the ST suggests that it possesses logical validity, given the aerobic demands of high-standard squash (Girard et al., 2007; Brown et al., 1998; Chin et al., 1995). The similarity in achievement of $v_{chmax}$ criteria in the ST and TT is in contrast to the findings of St Clair Gibson et al. (1999). They showed that the extent to which $v_{chmax}$ criteria were satisfied differed between a progressive-incline treadmill test and a progressive-speed treadmill test indicating that achievement of $VO_2 max$ criteria can be test specific.
The endurance performance advantage of the squash players on the ST is probably the result of specific adaptations to the movement patterns involved, and together with the similarity of aerobic power suggests superior movement economy of the squash players in their habitual exercise mode. The poorer time to exhaustion of the runners on the ST could also be a reflection of their lack of skill in the techniques of squash movement, racket swing and the blending of these two skills characteristic of high-standard squash players. However, differences in racket and movement technique and the combination of the two are likely to contribute to test performance in squash players too, so the inclusion of technical aspects in the ST procedures is integral to the specificity of the test. The strong correlations between player rank and maximum performance on the squash-specific incremental tests of Steininger and Wodick (1987), and of Girard et al. (2005) and the moderate though non-significant correlations found in this study suggest that this is the case. However, the low number of participants and homogeneity in the sample of squash players in this study could explain the reduced and non-significant relationships (Sale, 1990). Moreover, endurance capability (with $V_{Chmax}$ as a contributory factor to this) is only one component of the game (Lees, 2003) and perhaps a less important component for sub-elite participants such as those used in the present study. The suggestion that adaptations to specific movement patterns are the cause of the findings of this study was supported by the performance of the runners versus the squash players on the treadmill incremental test. The superior performance capability of the runners in their habitual exercise mode mirrored that of the squash players on the squash-specific test.

Another key finding of this study was that the squash players attained higher $V_{Chmax}$ on the squash-specific test than on the treadmill test. These findings agree with those of Girard et al. (2005). In the present study, the higher $V_{Chmax}$ of the squash players on the
ST than on the TT despite no differences in HRmax could be attributable to a larger active muscle mass in the ST, commensurate with the upper body actions of swinging a racket and the high demands placed on the lower leg musculature.

The greater time to exhaustion of squash players than runners with similar test-specific \( V_{Ch_{max}} \) demonstrates the construct validity of the squash test for the assessment of squash-specific endurance capabilities (N.C.F., 1995). The higher \( V_{Ch_{max}} \) scores of the squash players on the sport-specific test, with all participants meeting the criteria for attainment of \( V_{Ch_{max}} \), suggests that the ST also possesses logical validity, (N.C.F., 1995) given the importance of this fitness component at higher standards of play (Girard et al., 2007; Brown et al., 1998; Chin et al., 1995; Steininger and Wodick, 1987). Moreover, the strong correlations between \( V_{Ch_{max}} \) scores on the ST and the TT is evidence that the ST demonstrates criterion validity (i.e. it relates well to another recognised method of \( V_{Ch_{max}} \) assessment) (N.C.F., 1995).

### 4.3.1 Test specificity and assessment

Laboratory-based exercise tests are challenged by the need to reflect the specific muscular, metabolic and technical demands of a particular sport. In common with other racket sports, success in squash depends on technical, tactical and motor skills (Lees, 2003). However, because of the nature of the game at the highest standard, endurance fitness is an essential attribute (Brown et al., 1998; Chin et al., 1995; Steininger and Wodick, 1987). Non-specific exercise tests such as treadmill running, do not account for the movement patterns and muscle actions involved in squash, for example the frequent changes in direction and speed and the high eccentric, isometric and concentric loads required to accomplish these. This study and others (Girard et al., 2005) have demonstrated the test specificity of maximum oxygen uptake in squash players who
achieved lower values in treadmill running compared with squash-specific testing. Treadmill testing, therefore, is less discriminating for the assessment of $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ in squash players.

Endurance-performance capability is another important variable that could indicate positive training adaptations in the absence of changes in maximal oxygen uptake. The superior times to exhaustion of trained squash players over runners of similar test-specific (ST) $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ shown in this study is further evidence for the ability of the squash test to detect sport-specific capabilities.

4.3.2 Applications to squash-specific testing

Sport-specific training is recognised as essential for improvement and success in any sport (Muller et al., 2000). A large part of a squash player’s training takes place on court, and the efficacy of on-court training as preparation for match play has been demonstrated (Todd et al., 1998). However, appropriate training intensities based on prior physiological assessment are integral to the success of training (Muller et al., 2000). It is a recent recommendation common in other endurance-based sports, such as running, to train at heart rates and at speeds that correspond to directly determined $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ to bring about improvements in this physiological variable (Midgley et al., 2006). Both the test described in this study and the incremental test described by Girard et al. (2005) are capable of providing training speeds for on-court movement training equivalent to an individual player’s $V_{\text{Chmax}}$. Accordingly, they can be used to improve $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ in movements specific to the demands of match-play.

The ST could also be used to track training-induced adaptations in $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ and endurance capability. Furthermore, given the important role of $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ in the prediction
of successful transition from junior to senior elite ranks, the ST could be used to identify performers whose $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ is sufficiently high in their age group and meeting the physiological demands of senior match play (Brown et al., 1998).

Although not performed in this study, by the nature of its linear increase in the intensity of exercise (as shown in Figure 13), the ST described might also be useful for identification of sub-maximal metabolic thresholds using blood lactate analysis or ventilatory markers of metabolic acidosis. This practice was reported by Girard et al. (2005) and allowed the prescription of sub-maximal on-court training that corresponded to match-play intensities that have been recently reported. For example, on-court training speeds that correspond to blood lactate concentrations of 8 mmol-L$^{-1}$ could be used to recreate the intensity of match play (Girard et al., 2007). Further research is required to explore this possibility with the test described here.

4.4 Conclusion

The squash-specific incremental test described resulted in higher $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ values in squash players than a non-specific treadmill incremental test. In addition, squash players demonstrated superior time to exhaustion over runners of similar $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ on the squash-specific test. Furthermore, ST $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ scores correlated strongly with those achieved on a standard lab-based treadmill incremental test. The results suggest that the squash test is a more valid means to assess maximum oxygen uptake and endurance capability of squash players than a treadmill test.
The specific movement patterns of squash provide a unique challenge to physiologists attempting to assess elements of fitness relevant to squash performance. The previous chapter demonstrated that aerobic power (measured as $V_{\text{chmax}}$) was protocol specific in squash players and higher in a test using squash-specific movements. Improvements in elite sport performance arise mainly from increased training quality which it would be beneficial to assess with sport-specific tests (Muller et al., 2000). This necessitates the development of valid and reproducible sport-specific procedures that can assess players' strengths and weaknesses and monitor training adaptations that might be missed by less sensitive non-specific procedures.

As described in the literature review, the physiological responses to match play in squash and the $V_{\text{chmax}}$ values of 62 - 64 ml-kg$^{-1}$min$^{-1}$ in elite male players (Chin et al., 1995; Girard et al., 2005) confirm the importance of high aerobic power at the highest standards of play. Despite the importance of $V_{\text{chmax}}$ and endurance capability, only two previous papers describing on-court squash protocols developed for assessment purposes have been published (Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Girard et al., 2005). The validity and limitations of these procedures has been detailed in Chapter two and the validity of a new, simpler procedure was examined in the previous chapter. However, the evaluation of reproducibility for these squash-specific protocols is lacking and requires further investigation.

Previous attempts to develop valid and controlled tests of squash-specific fitness are challenged by the stochastic nature of match play and the need for reproducibility of scores. Any valid sport-specific test devised for assessment purposes must also be
reproducible if it is to be of value in tracking improvements in fitness and performance with training (Alricsson et al., 2001; Atkinson and Nevill, 1998; Hopkins, 2000a). Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to assess reproducibility of measures from a squash-specific incremental test that comprised randomised movements and was designed to assess endurance fitness.

5.1 Methods

5.1.1 Participants
With institutional ethics approval, eight trained squash players (age mean ± SD) 29.6 ± 9.4 years, stature 1.77 ± 0.05 m, body mass 69.4 ± 6.7 kg who were fully habituated to the procedures participated. The players were regular and current competitors in the premier or first division of their regional leagues, with at least five years playing experience at this standard. Habituation procedures and pre-test preparation instructions are described in Chapter three.

5.1.2 Experimental design
Participants performed an incremental squash test (ST) to volitional exhaustion on two occasions, seven days apart to assess reproducibility of performance and physiological measures. Tests were conducted under similar environmental conditions (temperature 18.9 ± 3.4 °C, relative humidity 49 ± 8 %, barometric pressure 1016 ± 11 mBar) at the same time of day and in the same footwear and clothing.

5.1.3 Overview of the incremental squash-specific test
The ST involved squash-specific movement patterns to and from four marked positions (two front comers, and two back comers) on a squash court floor beginning from a
central ‘T’ position (see Figure 4, p63). A detailed description of the test procedure is given in Chapter three and validity of the test is reported in Chapter four.

5.1.4 Assessment protocol
Testing was separated into two phases. Phase one was used to determine lactate threshold and movement economy. Participants completed between six and ten four-minute stages with one-minute rest intervals between stages for collection of capillary blood from a finger tip. The movement speed was held constant for the duration of each stage but was increased by one movement from the T to a corner and back per minute for each subsequent stage. Breath-by-breath oxygen uptake (VO$_2$) and heart rate (HR) were continuously determined and recorded using a portable telemetric system (Metamax 3B, CORTEX Biophysik, Leipzig, Germany).

Lactate threshold was identified from visual inspection of lactate values plotted against test stage and was taken as the test stage prior to the first sudden rise in blood lactate concentration. Once participants reached a blood lactate concentration of > 4 mmol-L$^{-1}$, phase one ceased and participants were allowed a 10- to 15-minute rest period before beginning phase two of testing. Calibration and verification procedures for the gas and lactate analysers and for the collection and analysis of capillary blood samples were as described in Chapter three.

Following the determination of lactate threshold, phase-one VO$_2$ data were used to determine movement economy that was taken as the 60-s mean of VO$_2$ in the final minute of the fourth stage (below lactate threshold for all participants).
Following recovery from phase one, participants completed incremental one-minute stages on the squash-specific test in a continuous manner commencing at stage one ($10$ movements per minute), with speed increased by one movement per minute every minute until volitional exhaustion for the determination of maximal oxygen uptake and performance time. Breath-by-breath oxygen uptake ($V_O_2$) and heart rate (HR) were continuously determined as previously described. This phase of testing ended when the participant voluntarily stopped exercising or was stopped by the experimenter if after two warnings they were unable to place a foot on the correct court mark in time with the audio signals.

The $V_{Ch max}$ was calculated using 30-s retrograde, stationary time mean with $V_{Ch max}$ taken as the highest 30-s mean during the final stages of each test. The $HR_{max}$ was taken as the highest 30-s mean during the final stages of each test. Attainment of a plateau in $v_o_2$ ($< 2.1 \text{ ml-kg}^{-1}\text{-min}^{-1}$ rise with an increase in exercise intensity), RER $> 1.1$, post test blood lactate concentration $> 8 \text{ mmol-L}^{-1}$, HR within 10 beats-$\text{min}^{-1}$ of age-predicted maximum and participant subjective reporting of maximal effort were used as criteria to judge whether or not test performances were truly maximal (Midgley et al., 2007). If a participant failed to satisfy three or more of these criteria the test result was deemed to be a peak rather than a maximum value. Performance time to exhaustion was recorded to the nearest second using an electronic stopclock (FastTime 1, Click Sports, Cambridge, UK).

5.1.5 Statistical analysis
Precisely which metric of reproducibility to use is the subject of enthusiastic debate because each has its detractors and supporters (Atkinson and Nevill, 1998; Hopkins, 2000a). As such, the following methods were used: Typical Error of Measurement
(TEM) (Hopkins, 2000a), Limits of Agreement (LOA) (Bland and Altman, 1986), Least
Products Regression (LPR) (Ludbrook, 1997) and paired-sample t-tests. SPSS® v 12
(SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) statistical software package was used to generate descriptive
statistics and undertake the analysis for LPR. The TEM (and the 90% confidence
intervals thereof) and LOA were calculated using the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet of
Hopkins (Hopkins, 2000b) and together with LPR, were used to assess the
reproducibility of scores. Paired sample t-tests were used to assess systematic bias
between test and re-test scores. Prior to LOA analysis, the assumption of
homoscedasticity was confirmed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient to examine
relationships between the individual mean of scores on each trial and the absolute
individual difference between scores on consecutive trials for each variable.

5.2 Results
Movement speed at lactate threshold varied between players in a range from stage 4 (13
moves per minute) to stage 8 (17 moves per minute). However, each player achieved the
same movement speeds at lactate threshold across both test sessions so movement speed
at the lactate threshold was not subjected to reproducibility analysis. The descriptive
statistics and reproducibility of other physiological and performance measures are
shown below in Tables 8 and 9.
Table 8. Performance time, $V_{\text{Chmax}}$, $HR_{\text{max}}$ and economy from two trials of the ST performed seven days apart (values are mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{Chmax}}$ (ml-kg'1-min'1)</th>
<th>$HR_{\text{max}}$ (beats/min'1)</th>
<th>Economy at test stage 4 (ml-kg&quot;1-min&quot;1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test 1 (n = 8)</td>
<td>692 ± 148</td>
<td>50.8 ± 6.5</td>
<td>189 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test 2 (n = 8)</td>
<td>715 ± 168</td>
<td>51.2 ± 6.9</td>
<td>187 ± 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Reproducibility of performance time, $V_{\text{Chmax}}$, $HR_{\text{max}}$ and economy on the ST (n = 8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{Chmax}}$ (ml-kg'1-min'1)</th>
<th>$HR_{\text{max}}$ (b-min'1)</th>
<th>Economy (ml-kg'1-min*1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limits of Agreement</td>
<td>14 ± 62</td>
<td>0.2 ± 5.1</td>
<td>-2 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical Error</td>
<td>27 (4%)</td>
<td>2.4 (4.7%)</td>
<td>2 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Least Products Regression:

- slope: 1.1
- intercept: -71

The data show a small increase in mean $V_{\text{Chmax}}$ (1.2 ml-kg'1-min"1) and mean performance time (23 s) between the first and second test in conjunction with a slight reduction in mean $HR_{\text{max}}$ (2 beats/min'1). There was also an improvement in economy with a reduced mean oxygen cost of movement at stage four of the ST (3.9 ml-kg'1-min*1).
The LOA values for performance time and $V_{Chmax}$ support the trends in the descriptive data showing a small positive systematic bias between test 1 and test 2 though paired t-tests showed these were not significant ($T_1 = -1.69, P = 0.1; T_2 = -0.27, P = 0.8$ for performance time and $V_{Chmax}$ respectively). Similarly, the trend was supported for $HR_{max}$ and economy with small negative systematic biases between test 1 and test 2. Paired t-tests showed that there was no systematic bias for $HR_{max}$ ($T_1 = 2, P = 0.1$), but there was for economy ($T_1 = 4.5, P < 0.01$). The random error component on all variables was low. Relative TEM (%) showed similar test-retest variation for performance time (4%), $V_{Chmax}$ (4.7%), $HR_{max}$(1.3%) and economy (4.1%). The 90% confidence intervals of the TEM scores were narrow for all variables (performance time 19 - 49 s; $V_{Chmax}$ 1.7 - 4.3 ml-kg\(^{-1}\)-min\(^{-1}\); $HR_{max}$ 2 - 4 beats-min\(^{-1}\); economy 1.1 - 2.8 ml-kg\(^{-1}\)-min\(^{-1}\)). The use of LPR showed variation in the assessment of reproducibility compared with other measures. For example using TEM, performance time had low test-retest variation (4 %). However, the LPR values for slope (1.14) and intercept (-71) were some way from the values of 1 and 0 that reflect perfect reproducibility. Bland-Altman and LPR plots for all measures are shown in Figures 14 and 15 respectively.
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5.3 Discussion
This study examined the reproducibility of physiological and performance measures in an incremental squash test devised to mimic squash movement patterns whilst replicating the stochastic nature of movement in match play.

The results show good though varying degrees of reproducibility in performance time, HRmax, VChmax and economy depending on which metric of reproducibility is favoured. The relative TEM (%) for performance time (4 %) was higher than that reported for the Girard et al (2005) protocol (0.9%) and HRmax TEM (1.3 %) was lower in comparison (1.8 %). The TEM of VChmax in this study (4.7 %) was within the range reported in other studies using treadmill running as the exercise mode (3 % (Bagger et al., 2003); 5.6 % (Katch et al., 1982). The results suggest that both endurance performance and physiological measures from the ST are reproducible, though there are no comparative values published for squash-specific economy.

5.3.1 Systematic bias between tests
The positive (though non-significant) bias evident in the LOA values for performance time and Vθ2max suggests improved test two performance especially when viewed in conjunction with the negative (non-significant) bias for HRmax and the negative (significant) bias for economy. This suggests that a learning effect occurred despite two habituation visits. However, the magnitude of these differences should be considered in the light of normal biological variation and the size of the absolute TEM scores for these variables. An examination of these values indicates that the small positive and negative biases in the LOA analyses are well within previously discussed test-retest variability for these measures (Bagger et al., 2003; Katch et al., 1982).
5.3.2 Which reproducibility measure should be favoured?

Methods for assessing reproducibility are a current area of debate with some authors favouring LOA analysis, whilst others recommend test-retest coefficient of variation (TEM) and still others preferring LPR (Atkinson and Nevill, 1998; Hopkins, 2000a; Ludbrook, 1997). Typical error of measurement represents approximately 68% of the error actually present in the repeated measurement of an individual in the sample, whereas LOA represents 95% of the likely variation in scores between repeated tests of a population (Atkinson and Nevill, 1998). Ludbrook (1997) argues for the use of LPR analysis as it minimises the sum of the products both of horizontal and vertical distances of x and y values from the regression line.

However, Atkinson and Nevill (1998) point out that reproducibility analysis does not generally possess a predictor and response variable (an assumption of regression analysis) and that the assumption of a homogenous sample is not always met. The arguments that each of the authors presents for the use of their preferred analysis method all have merits, but it is beyond the scope of this thesis to discuss the statistical benefits of one method over another, or their application to particular study designs. However a common factor in all the methods discussed and used in the present study is that the interpretation of reproducibility requires the researcher to judge (based on proposed use of the test) whether or not the test-retest error is small enough for the test to be of practical use (Atkinson and Nevill, 1998). To make this judgement, the researcher must possess knowledge of the smallest worthwhile change in a performance or physiological variable, then assess whether the test is sensitive enough to detect such a change (Hopkins, 2000a).

It is suggested that TEM analysis best suits this purpose. This is because of the simplicity of interpretation (absolute and % error) and the accompanying confidence
intervals, the upper value of which can be used (if the typical error and size of the CI is small) as an estimate of the lower limit for a meaningful change in a variable with repeat testing (Hopkins, 2000a). Moreover, the anticipated value for TEM is independent of sample size and does not suffer from the bias that can occur when LOA are calculated with small degrees of freedom (i.e. small sample sizes and few repeat tests) (Hopkins, 2000a).

5.3.3 Physiological profiling using the incremental squash test
Laboratory-based exercise tests are challenged by the need to reflect the specific muscular, metabolic and technical demands of a particular sport. Success in squash depends on technical, tactical and motor skills (Lees, 2003). However because of the nature of the game at the highest standard aerobic fitness is an essential attribute (Girard et al., 2007; Brown et al., 1998). The results of the study detailed in Chapter four and those of previous studies have demonstrated the specificity of aerobic fitness in squash players and the efficacy of specific training as preparation for match play (Girard et al., 2005; Todd et al., 1998).

However, measurement error in fitness tests must be quantified to allow accurate assessment of meaningful change in scores with repeat testing. This has been poorly considered in previous studies. The ST described here allows collection of all the data necessary to provide a full aerobic physiological profile of a player ($V_{Chmax}$, lactate threshold, economy, movement speed at $V_{Chmax}$) from which training intensities in squash-specific movement patterns can be derived. Furthermore, the reproducibility reported provides support for the use of the ST as an assessment tool. The confidence intervals reported could also be used to assess whether a training intervention has resulted in a meaningful change in endurance performance or physiological responses
on the ST. However, this should be examined over greater test-retest durations to confirm the usefulness of the test for the tracking of training adaptations in fitness and performance. Test-retest variability should also be established for other samples of squash players such as juniors, females and sub-elite groups.

The importance of aerobic fitness and the value of sport-specific assessment of this attribute for squash are well documented. As such, squash-specific aerobic profiling using a test sensitive enough to track training-induced changes is likely to be a useful addition to the fitness assessment of squash players. It is suggested that the ST described here could provide these benefits.

5.4 Conclusions
The results suggest that the squash-specific incremental test produces reproducible measures for the assessment of squash-specific fitness and performance capabilities. Further testing is required to establish measurement error over greater test-retest durations and thus confirm the value of the test for tracking adaptations over extended training periods.
VALIDITY OF A SQUASH-SPECIFIC TEST OF CHANGE-OF-DIRECTION-SPEED

The importance and specificity of aerobic fitness in squash has been discussed and demonstrated in Chapters two and four respectively. The findings of Chapters four and five demonstrate that this aspect of squash fitness can be assessed using a valid and reproducible squash-specific procedure. However, in common with other racket sports, multiple-sprint ability and the ability to change direction at speed are also important determinants of performance in squash (Lees, 2003; Sharp, 1998; Behm, 1992). Squash movements are characterised by rapid accelerations and decelerations over short distances and involve frequent turning, lunging, and side-stepping (Eubank and Messenger, 2000; Vuckovic et al., 2004). A recent match analysis study reported that more than 40% of squash movements occurred within 1 m of the court's T position and most movements were not in a straight line (Vuckovic et al., 2004).

The use of on-court sprint drills encompassing multiple-direction changes (ghosting) by elite-standard squash players reflects the recognition that speed training must be undertaken in sport-specific movement patterns (Sharp, 1998). This is supported by findings that straight-line sprint training does not improve sprint performance involving changes of direction (Young et al., 2001). The specific movement patterns of squash provide a unique challenge to physiologists attempting to assess squash-specific explosive capabilities and suggest that tests should encompass the ability to change direction at speed. Muller (2000) stated that improvements in elite sport performance arise mainly from an increase in the quality of training and that this is best improved through the development of sport-specific training and testing. Valid and reliable squash-specific, yet controlled, tests are likely to provide more useful data for the design of training programmes and tracking of sport-specific training adaptations that might otherwise go undetected by conventional non-specific procedures.
Field-based, sport-specific tests should satisfy criteria for validity, reproducibility and sensitivity (N.C.F., 1995; Winter et al., 2007). Previous tests developed to assess specific fitness in squash, while addressing these criteria, have focussed on maximum and sub-maximum cardio-pulmonary responses and have involved movement patterns that, although specific to squash, are performed at intensities to assess aerobic capabilities (Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Girard et al., 2005). This is surprising as match analysis has revealed that players cover a mean distance of only 12 m during rallies lasting 16-21 s (Vuckovic et al., 2004) and recent physiological analysis has reported mean post-match blood lactate concentrations of 8 mmol-L⁻¹ indicating marked contributions from glycolysis (Girard et al., 2007).

Despite the documented importance of qualities such as explosive strength and speed (Behm, 1992; Sharp, 1998) and the rapid accelerations, decelerations and direction changes that characterise squash movement (Eubank and Messenger, 2000; Vuckovic et al., 2004), there appear to be no published squash-specific tests of sprint capabilities or change-of-direction speed. Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to examine the validity of a squash-specific sprint-based test designed to assess such change-of-direction speed.

6.1 Methods

6.1.1 Participants
With institutional ethics approval 10 trained male squash players (mean ± SD age 23 ± 4 years; stature 1.8 ± 0.05 m; body mass 79.7 ± 5.3 kg), and 10 non-squash players (trained association-football and rugby-union players) (age 24 ± 3 years; stature 1.8 ± 0.08 m; body mass 85.9 ±11.8 kg) who were fully habituated to the procedures participated. The squash players were English county-standard, had a competitive
playing frequency of at least three times per week and had been competing at county
standard for at least three years. The non-squash players were matched to the squash
players for playing standard and frequency of participation in their respective sports. All
participants were given standard instructions for pre-test preparation that are detailed in
Chapter three.

6.1.2 Experimental design
Participants performed two test sessions on a squash-specific change-of-direction-speed
test (SCODS) separated by twenty four hours and two test sessions on the Illinois
Agility Run (IAR), also twenty four hours apart. The IAR was chosen for comparison as
it is a popular non-specific field-based test of change-of-direction speed that has been
used previously in the validation of other similar tests (Sale, 1990). Following a
standardised warm-up, each test session comprised three trials of the test in question
with the best performance time recorded to the nearest one hundredth of a second using
a hand-held electronic stop clock (FastTime 1, Click Sports, Cambridge, UK). Details
of the warm-up and timing procedures for both tests are provided in section 3.2.8. Tests
were performed in a randomised order at the same time of day and in the same footwear
and clothing and under similar environmental conditions (temperature 21 ± 2.4 °C,
relative humidity 50 ± 8 %, barometric pressure 1002 ± 11 mBar). The IAR was
performed in a non-slip sports hall because of the test dimensions and the SCODS was
carried out on a marked-out squash court to increase the validity of the movement
patterns. The sprung wooden flooring was the same at both test locations.
6.1.3 Experimental procedures
The dimensions, layout and movement path through the SCODS and the IAR are shown in Figures 7 and 8, detailed procedure for both tests are described in sections 3.2.8.1 and 3.2.8.2 in the general methods chapter.

6.1.4 Ranking of squash players
Two England Squash qualified coaches (part three - advanced level), located in the area where the study was performed, independently assigned a rank to each squash player using personal knowledge of the players and recent performances in local regional league matches. Where independent ratings differed, a resolution was obtained through discussion between the two coaches.

6.1.5 Statistical analysis
Data were analysed using SPSS® v 12 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) statistical software package. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for performance time on the SCODS and IAR. Following verification of underlying assumptions such as normality and homogeneity of variance, independent t-tests were used to compare the best performance times on both the SCODS and IAR between the squash players and the non-squash players. Spearman’s rho examined the relationship between best scores on the SCODS and IAR and subjective ranking of the squash players' ability. Pearson’s correlation examined relationships between best scores on the SCODS and IAR in squash players. Statistical significance was accepted at $P < 0.05$. Typical Error of Measurement (TEM) and the 90% confidence interval thereof (90% CI), Limits of Agreement (LOA) and Least Products Regression (LPR) were calculated and used to assess reproducibility of performance time on both the SCODS and the IAR (Hopkins, 2000b; Bland and Altman, 1986; Ludbrook, 1997).
6.2 Results

6.2.1 Differences in performance on the IAR and SCODS tests between squash and non-squash players.

The results are illustrated in Table 10. There were no differences in performance time between squash and non-squash players on the IAR \((P = 0.86)\). However, squash players were faster than non-squash players on the SCODS \((t_{ig} = -7.38, P = 0.001, \text{effect size} = 3.33)\).

Table 10. Performance times from two trials of the SCODS and the IAR tests performed on separate days (values are mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Squash-specific Change-of-Direction-Speed test</th>
<th>Illinois Agility Run test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test 1 (s)</td>
<td>Test 2 (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squash (n = 10)</td>
<td>10.99 ± 0.44</td>
<td>10.97 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-squash (n = 10)</td>
<td>12.37 ± 0.47</td>
<td>12.49 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.2 Reproducibility of performance scores on the IAR and SCODS tests.

Performance times of squash players and non-squash players combined on the IAR were reproducible \(\text{TEM} 0.27 \text{ s}, 1.8 \%, 90 \% \text{ CI} 0.21 - 0.37 \text{ s}; \text{LOA} -0.12 \text{ s} ± 0.74; \text{LPR slope} 1, \text{intercept} -2.8\), as were times on the SCODS \(\text{TEM} 0.18 \text{ s}, 1.5 \%, 90 \% \text{ CI} 0.14 - 0.24 \text{ s}; \text{LOA} 0.05 \text{ s} ± 0.49; \text{LPR slope} 0.95, \text{intercept} 0.5\). For squash players alone, test-retest variability of performance on both tests was reduced \(\text{SCODS TEM} 0.13 \text{ s}, 1.2 \%, 90 \% \text{ CI} 0.09 - 0.21 \text{ s}; \text{IAR TEM} 0.21 \text{ s}, 1.7 \%, 90 \% \text{ CI} 0.15 - 0.34 \text{ s}\). Bland-Altman and LPR plots for performance time on the SCODS for all participants are shown in Figure 13a and b.
Figure 16. Bland - Altman (a) and LPR plot (b) for performance time measured in two trials of the SCODS test performed 24 hours apart.

6.2.3 Correlation of SCODS and IAR performance with player rank in squash players.

Spearman’s rho indicated a positive correlation between performance time on the SCODS and player rank for the squash players ($p = 0.77, P < 0.01$). There was no
correlation between performance time of squash players on the IAR and rank \( p = 0.43, \)
\( P = 0.21 \).

6.2.4 Correlation of performance on the IAR and SCODS tests.
Pearson’s correlation showed no relationship between performance time on the IAR and
SCODS tests in the squash players \( r = 0.32, P = 0.37 \).

6.3 Discussion
The purpose of the study was to examine the validity and reproducibility of a squash-
specific test of change-of-direction speed. Despite similar non-sport specific change-of-
direction speed measured on the IAR, squash players outperformed non-squash players
on a change of direction speed test that used squash-specific movements. Moreover, the
positive correlation between squash player rank and performance on the SCODS
showed that the test discriminated ability in a group of squash players. The ability of the
squash-specific test to discriminate both between groups with similar non-sport-specific
change-of-direction speed and in squash players suggests that it possesses construct
validity (N.C.F., 1995).

The difference in SCODS performance between groups suggests that squash training
and the associated skill in squash-specific movements conferred a performance
advantage on a test involving repeated changes of direction at speed over short distances
as is required in squash (Vuckovic et al., 2004). Young et al. (2001) previously
demonstrated improvements in the performance of change-of-direction-speed tests with
specific training and also showed no improvements in such performance with non-
specific training that consisted of straight-line sprinting. Results from the IAR showed
that the squash players and non-squash players possessed similar capabilities in this test
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that involved four straight sprints of 10 m and weaving around four cones. The SCODS in contrast possesses no straight sprints, but instead comprises several lateral movements of short distances requiring rapid and forceful changes of direction. Squash movements are characterised by rapid accelerations and decelerations over short distances and involve turning, lunging, and side-stepping (Eubank and Messenger, 2000; Vuckovic et al., 2004). Squash players spend much time training in these movement patterns to improve court coverage and movement speed (Sharp, 1998; Sherman et al., 2004; Todd et al., 1998). The superior performance of the squash players in their habitual movement patterns shown in this study provides evidence for the specific nature of change-of-direction-speed and also for the logical validity of the SCODS test. The lack of correlation between performance of the squash players on the IAR and SCODS tests is further evidence for the specificity of change-of-direction speed.

6.3.1 Applications to squash-specific testing.

Sport-specific testing is important for accurate prescription of training, talent identification and tracking of training-induced adaptations (Muller et al., 2000). Multiple-sprint capability and the ability to change direction at speed are important determinants of performance in squash (Lees, 2003; Sharp, 1998; Behm, 1992). As such, a valid and reliable squash-specific test that examines these capabilities is a useful addition to existing test batteries for squash players. The ability of the SCODS test to discriminate ability in a group of squash players confirms the construct validity of the test and suggests that it could be used for screening purposes. Moreover, the reproducibility reported provides further support for the use of the SCODS as an assessment tool for the tracking of squash players. The confidence intervals reported for the squash players could be used to assess the extent to which a training intervention has
resulted in a meaningful alteration in change-of-direction speed, with the upper confidence interval representing the lower boundary for a meaningful change. However, future studies should examine reproducibility over greater test-retest durations to confirm the usefulness of the test to track training-induced adaptations in fitness and performance. Test-retest variability should also be established for other samples of squash players such as juniors, females and elite groups.

6.3.2 Conclusions
The results suggest that the squash-specific change-of-direction-speed test is a better measure of sport-specific capability than an equivalent non-specific field test and that it is a valid and reliable field based assessment that could be used for fitness testing and athlete tracking. However, further studies should be carried out using squash players of different age, sex and ability and across greater test-retest durations to confirm these findings.
The temporal and movement pattern data summarised in the literature review (section 2.5.2) confirm that squash is a high-intensity, multiple-sprint activity. Multiple-sprint ability is acknowledged as an important component of squash fitness along with endurance and the ability to change direction at speed (Lees, 2003; Sharp, 1998; Vuckovic et al., 2004). The studies described in Chapters four, five and six have examined the validity and reproducibility of squash-specific tests designed to assess aerobic fitness and change-of-direction speed and have also demonstrated the specificity of these factors. Physiological profiling requires that all aspects of fitness important for performance are assessed to build a complete picture of player strengths and weaknesses. Therefore it is important to develop valid and reproducible sport-specific procedure capable of assessing squash-specific multiple-sprint ability.

Various field-based tests of multiple-sprint ability have been developed (Tumilty et al., 1988; Dawson et al., 1991; Baker et al., 1993; Fitzsimons et al., 1993; Wadley and Le Rossignol, 1998) including procedures specific to soccer (Bangsbo, 1994), basketball (Castagna et al., 2007) and hockey (Boddington et al., 2004). However, with the exception of the 5-m MST of Boddington et al. (2004), the validity of these multiple-sprint ability tests has been poorly considered. Furthermore, the specificity of change-of-direction speed (Young et al., 2001), an important element in a test of multiple-sprint ability, questions the application of these procedures to sports other than those for which they were designed.
Despite the multiple-sprint nature of squash (Vuckovic et al., 2004) there are no published squash-specific tests of multiple-sprint ability. Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to examine the validity and reproducibility of a test designed to assess multiple-sprint ability using patterns of movement specific to squash.

7.1 Methods

7.1.1 Participants
With institutional ethics approval eight trained male squash players (age mean ± SD 25 ± 5 years; stature 1.77 ± 0.04 m; body mass 72.8 ± 7.8 kg, \( V_{Chmax} \ 56.8 ± 5.5 \text{ ml-kg'} \) ‘min’l) and eight non-squash players (trained footballers) (age 22 ± 3 years; stature 1.79 ± 0.09 m; body mass 82 ± 12 kg, \( V_{Chmax} \ 51.4 ± 5.1 \text{ ml-kg'} \) ‘min’l) who were habituated to the procedures participated. Habituation and pre-test preparation were as detailed in Chapter three. Squash players were regular and current competitors in the premier or first division of the regional leagues, with at least five years’ playing experience at this standard. The footballers were all members of Northumbria University’s first team and had a similar weekly playing and training frequency to that of the squash players.

7.1.2 Experimental design
Participants performed Baker’s 8 x 40-m sprints (Baker et al., 1993) and a squash-specific multiple-sprint test on separate days (separated by at least 48 hours). Six squash players and six footballers repeated the tests seven days later to assess reproducibility of measures using Typical Error and associated 90% confidence intervals. Baker’s 8 x 40-m sprints was chosen for comparison because it is a popular non-specific field-based
test of multiple-sprint ability and has been shown to possess criterion validity (Baker et al., 1993).

Following a standardised warm-up (see section 3.2.8), each test session comprised a single trial of the test in question with the performance time of each sprint recorded to the nearest one hundredth of a second using a hand-held electronic stop clock (FastTime 1, Click Sports, Cambridge, UK). The timer was positioned at the middle cone (the start / finish line) on Baker’s test and directly beside the first pair of cones on the squash-specific test (shown in Figure 9 and Figure 10 respectively). Tests sessions were performed in a counterbalanced manner at the same time of day, in the same footwear and clothing and under similar environmental conditions (temperature 19 ± 2.8 °C, relative humidity 45 ± 7 %, barometric pressure 998 ± 13 mBar). Baker’s test was performed in a non-slip sports hall because it requires a 20-m course and the squash-specific test was conducted on a marked-out squash court to add to the validity of the movement patterns. The sprung wooden flooring was the same in both venues.

### 7.1.3 Experimental procedures

Baker’s and the squash-specific multiple-sprint test were carried out as detailed in chapter 3. Participants were fitted with a telemetric heart rate monitor and chest strap (Polar S625X, Polar OY, Finland) that recorded maximum heart rate attained during each test. Post-test blood lactate concentration was measured by an electrochemical method from a sample of capillary blood obtained from a finger prick. Sampling technique and calibration and verification of the blood lactate analyser are as described in Chapter three.
7.1.4 Ranking of squash players
Two England Squash qualified coaches (part three - advanced level), located in the area where the study was performed, independently assigned a rank to each squash player using personal knowledge of the players and recent performances in local regional league matches. Where independent ratings differed, a resolution was obtained through discussion between the two coaches.

7.1.5 Statistical analysis
Data were analysed using SPSS® v 15 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) statistical software package. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for performance time, maximum heart rate and post-test blood lactate scores on the squash-specific and Baker’s tests. Following verification of underlying assumptions such as normality and homogeneity of variance, independent t-tests were used to compare total performance times both on the squash-specific and Baker’s test between the squash players and the non-squash players. Paired-samples t-test were used to compare maximum heart rate and post-test blood lactate scores between the squash-specific and Baker’s test in squash and non-squash players. Pearson’s correlation examined the relationship between performance on the squash-specific and Baker’s test in both groups. Spearman’s rho examined relationships between rank and performance on both tests in the squash players. Statistical significance was accepted at \( P < 0.05 \). Typical Error of measurement (TEM) and the 90% confidence interval thereof (90% CI) assessed reproducibility of performance time on both tests.
7.2 Results

7.2.1 Differences in performance on Baker's and the squash-specific test between squash and non-squash players.

The results are illustrated in Figure 17. Performance time on Baker’s test did not differ between squash (72.9 ± 3.9 s) and non-squash (72.9 ± 2.8 s) players (t\(4\) = 0.04, \(P = 0.97\), effect size = 0). However, squash players (232 ± 32 s) outperformed non-squash players (264 ± 14 s) on the squash-specific test (t\(4\) = 2.56, \(P = 0.02\), effect size = 1.4).

![Figure 17](image-url)
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7.2.2 Differences in physiological responses to Baker's and the squash-specific test in squash and non-squash players.

The results are illustrated in Figure 18. Squash players had higher maximum heart rates on the squash-specific (mean ± SD 180 ± 8 beats-min\(^{-1}\)) than the Baker’s (172 ± 8 beats-min\(^{-1}\)) test (t\(7\) = 3.33, \(P = 0.01\), effect size = 1.0). Post-test blood lactate concentration was also higher after the squash-specific test (5.5 ± 1.9 mmol-L\(^{-1}\)) than Baker’s (4.1 ± 1.2 mmol-L\(^{-1}\)) test in the squash players (t\(7\) = 3.11, \(P = 0.01\), effect size = 0.9). Maximum heart rate did not differ between the squash-specific and Baker’s test
(187 ± 13 and mean 190 ± 17 beats-min’1 respectively) in non-squash players ($t_r = -0.50, P = 0.63$, effect size = 0.2). Blood lactate concentration after the squash-specific test (7.1 ± 1.4 mmol-L’1) also did not differ from that after Baker’s test (7.3 ± 2.9 mmol-L’1) in non-squash players ($t_r = -0.17, P = 0.87$, effect size 0.09).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Squash Players</th>
<th>Football Players</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 18. Differences in physiological responses to a squash-specific multiple-sprint test (ST) and Baker’s 8 x 40-m sprint test (BT) in trained squash players (n = 8) and trained footballers (n = 8). Values are mean ± SD. $t_r$ = difference ($P = 0.01$) between scores on the ST and BT in squash players.

### 7.2.3 Reproducibility of performance scores on Baker’s and the squash-specific test.

Performances on the squash-specific (TEM 6 s, 2.2%, 90% CI 4 - 13 s; TEM 6 s, 2.3%, 90% CI 4 - 12 s) and Baker’s test (TEM 1 s, 1.6%, 90% CI 1 - 2 s; TEM 1 s, 1.7% 90% CI 1 - 3 s) were reproducible in squash players and footballers respectively.
7.2.4 Correlation of Baker's and squash-specific test performance with player rank in squash players.

Spearman’s rho indicated a moderate positive correlation between performance time on the squash-specific test and player rank for the squash players (Spearman's $p = 0.79$, $P = 0.02$). There was no correlation between performance time of squash players on Baker’s test and rank (Spearman's $p = 0.55$, $P = 0.16$).

7.2.5 Correlation of performance on Baker's and the squash-specific test.

Performance on the Baker’s and squash-specific tests were related in squash players (Pearson's $r = 0.98$, $P < 0.01$) but not in non-squash players ($r = -0.08$, $P = 0.87$).

7.3 Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the validity and reproducibility of a squash-specific test designed to assess multiple-sprint ability. Despite similar non-sport specific multiple-sprint ability assessed on Baker’s test, squash players outperformed non-squash players on a test of multiple-sprint ability that used squash-specific movements. Moreover, the positive correlation between squash player rank and performance on the squash-specific test showed that the test discriminated ability in a group of squash players. The absence of correlation between squash-player rank and performance on Baker’s test suggests it was an insensitive measure for squash players. The ability of the squash-specific test to discriminate both between groups with similar non sport-specific multiple-sprint ability and in squash players suggests that it possesses construct validity (N.C.F., 1995).

Performance on the squash-specific and Baker’s tests was related in squash players suggesting that both assessed multiple-sprint ability though the squash-specific test was
more sensitive to sport-specific ability. However, test performances were not related in
the non-squash players suggesting that they were unable to demonstrate their multiple-
sprint ability on a test involving squash-specific movements. The correlation between
test performances suggests that the squash-specific test possesses criterion validity
when used with squash players. In addition, the physiological response to the squash-
specific test was different to that in Baker’s test in squash players, with higher
maximum heart rates and post-test blood lactates in the squash-specific test. It is notable
that the physiological responses of the non-squash players did not differ between the
two tests. That the squash-specific test elicited different responses than Baker’s test in
squash players only is further evidence of the specific nature of the test. Moreover, the
heart rate and blood lactate scores recorded from the squash players in the squash-
specific test approximate those reported from actual match play (Girard et al., 2007).

The difference in performance on the squash-specific test between groups suggests that
squash training and the associated skill in squash-specific movements conferred a
performance advantage on a test involving repeated short-duration sprints characterised
by repeated changes-of-direction at speed over short distances and with short recoveries
as is required in squash (Vuckovic et al., 2004; Eubank and Messenger, 2000). The test-
specific physiological response in squash players also suggests that squash play and
training allowed squash players to exercise at higher intensities in multiple-sprint
activity involving movement patterns to which they were accustomed.

Currently there are no comparable investigations of multiple-sprint ability in squash,
however studies described in Chapters four and six have confirmed the specificity of
endurance performance and change-of-direction speed in squash players and showed
that a squash-specific test of change-of-direction speed discriminated ability in trained squash players when an equivalent non-specific field-based test could not. In addition, Boddington et al. (2004) reported that a hockey-specific test of multiple-sprint ability was able to discriminate playing standard in women hockey players. The superior performance and specific physiological responses of the squash players in their habitual movement patterns shown in this study provides evidence for the specific nature of multiple-sprint ability and also for the logical validity of the squash-specific test.

7.3.1 Application.
Sport-specific testing is important for the optimisation of training prescriptions and tracking of training-induced adaptations (Winter et al., 2007; Muller et al., 2000). Multiple-sprint capability and the ability to change direction at speed are important determinants of performance in squash (Lees, 2003; Sharp, 1998; Behm, 1992). As such, a valid and reliable squash-specific test that examines these capabilities is a useful addition to existing test batteries for squash players. The ability of the squash-specific multiple-sprint test to discriminate ability in a group of squash players confirms the construct validity of the test. Moreover, the reproducibility of scores suggests that the squash-specific test could be used as an assessment tool for the tracking of squash players’ fitness. However, reproducibility should be examined over greater test-retest durations to confirm this suggestion. In addition, test-retest variability should also be established for other samples of squash players such as elite and junior groups.

7.4 Conclusion
The squash-specific test discriminated both between groups with similar non sport-specific multiple-sprint ability and in squash players. In conjunction with the relationship between test performances and the specific physiological responses of
squash players on the squash test, the results suggest that the squash-specific test is a valid and reproducible field-based assessment of multiple-sprint ability in squash players that could be used for optimising training and athlete tracking. However, in addition to exploring test-retest variability over longer durations, further studies should examine different ages, sex and standards of play to confirm these findings.
8 CORRELATES OF MULTIPLE-SPRINT ABILITY AND PERFORMANCE IN SUB-ELITE SQUASH PLAYERS

Squash is a multiple-sprint sport, where success depends on physical, technical, tactical and motor skills (Lees, 2003). As described previously, match play imposes diverse physiological demands on cardio-pulmonary endurance, muscle endurance, explosive strength, speed and flexibility (Sharp, 1998). The assessment of player capabilities in these physiological factors is a challenge because of the unique and varied movement patterns of the game. However, test specificity is important to ensure validity and sensitivity of procedures and to better enable coaches and scientists to build accurate profiles of player strengths and weaknesses and for subsequent assessment of the success of interventions (Muller et al., 2000; Winter et al., 2007).

Match analysis studies have modelled tactical approaches common to elite-standard players that can discriminate between standards of play (Hughes and Robertson, 1998). Moreover, it has been reported that a player’s tactical profile can be used to predict success in future matches within a tournament (McGarry and Franks, 1995). Differences in movement patterns and the tactical use of the T area have also been found to discriminate winning and losing players (Hughes and Franks, 1994; Vuckovic et al., 2004). Less is known about the physiological and skill factors that discriminate squash ability. This might be, in part be attributable to the lack of specific test procedures to assess players of different standards on factors important for performance. The need for sport-specific procedures has been acknowledged and two studies have validated squash-specific tests of endurance capability where test performance correlated with player rank (Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Girard et al., 2005). However, both test procedures are complex and require specialist equipment and computer software. The validity and reproducibility of a simpler procedure has been reported in Chapters four
and five. Chapters six and seven also report the validity and reproducibility of squash-specific tests of change-of-direction speed and multiple-sprint capability, both important fitness factors for squash performance (Eubank and Messenger, 2000; Vuckovic et al., 2004).

It has been acknowledged that success in squash is determined by a multitude of factors including various aspects of fitness, technique and tactics (Sharp, 1998; Lees, 2003). Sport-specific procedures are now available that can quantify player capabilities in these characteristics. However, it is unclear which of these factors best relate to performance or which fitness components relate to squash-specific multiple-sprint ability.

Accordingly, the purpose of this study was twofold: 1) to examine relationships between player rank and performance on a battery of squash-specific tests of racket accuracy and fitness and 2) to investigate fitness components associated with squash-specific multiple-sprint capability.

### 8.1 Method

#### 8.1.1 Participants

With institutional ethics approval eighteen male squash players (mean ± SD age 32 ± 12 years; stature 1.79 ± 0.05 m; body mass 77 ± 10 kg) who were fully habituated to procedures (see Chapter three) participated. Participants were all regular competitors in the Northumberland regional squash leagues. Playing standard ranged from premier to third division with all participants having at least two years' experience at their present level. Pre-test preparation was as previously described in Chapter three.
8.1.2 Experimental Design and procedures
Following a standardised warm-up, participants completed squash-specific tests of shot
accuracy, $V_{O_2 \text{max}}$(from a squash-specific incremental test using squash movements and
breath-by-breath determination of $VO_2$), endurance capability (performance time on the
squash-specific incremental test), change-of-direction speed and multiple-sprint ability.
Tests sessions were performed in a randomised manner at the same time of day, in the
same footwear and clothing and under similar environmental conditions. Detailed
descriptions of these procedures are given in Chapter three.

8.1.3 Ranking of players
Two England Squash qualified coaches independently ranked the squash players using
knowledge of the players and recent performances in local leagues.

8.1.4 Statistical analysis
Data were analysed using SPSS® v 15 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) statistical software
package. Following verification of underlying assumptions such as normality and
homogeneity of variance, relationships between test scores, player rank and multiple-
sprint ability were examined using Spearman’s rho and Pearson’s correlations
respectively. Statistical significance was accepted at $P < 0.05$.

8.2 Results
8.2.1 Correlations between test scores and player rank.
Player rank was negatively correlated with endurance capability (time to fatigue) on the
squash-specific incremental test ($p = -0.71, P = 0.007$) and positively related with
squash-specific multiple-sprint capability ($p = 0.82, P = 0.001$). Squash-specific
change-of-direction speed \((p = 0.54, P = 0.06)\), \(v_{Chm \text{ax}}\) \((p = -0.41, P = 0.17)\) and shot accuracy \((p = -0.48, P = 0.09)\) did not correlate with rank.

8.2.2 Correlations between fitness tests and multiple-sprint ability.
Squash-specific \(v_{Chm \text{ax}}\) \((r = -0.57, P = 0.04)\), endurance capability \((r = -0.63, P = 0.02)\) and change-of-direction speed \((r = 0.84, p < 0.01)\) correlated with squash-specific multiple-sprint ability.

8.3 Discussion
The purpose of the study was to examine relationships between player rank and performance on a battery of squash-specific tests of racket accuracy and fitness and to investigate fitness components associated with squash-specific multiple-sprint capability.

Squash-specific endurance and multiple-sprint capability were related to player rank. There were moderate but non-significant correlations between rank, \(VChm \text{ax}\), change-of-direction speed and shot accuracy. The relationship between squash-specific endurance capability and player rank supports the findings of Steininger and Wodick (1987) who reported a strong correlation \((r = 0.9, P < 0.05)\) between player rank and ranked performance on a squash-specific incremental test in national standard players. It is also in agreement with Girard et al. (2005) who showed player rank to be correlated with performance time on their incremental, squash-specific test \((r = -0.96, P < 0.01)\) in players ranked in the world top fifty. The strength of the correlations in the previous studies in comparison to the findings of this study might reflect the greater importance of endurance capability for performance at national and international standard.
The association between player rank and multiple-sprint ability is not a surprising one as repeated sprinting is characteristic of match play and underpins the ability to reach the ball and therefore make use of the technical and tactical skills at the player’s disposal (Vuckovic et al., 2004). Although there are no previous studies of this relationship in squash, multiple-sprint ability has been shown to discriminate performance standard in hockey, a sport that shares the multiple-sprint characteristics of squash (Boddington et al., 2004).

The lack of relationship between \( V_{\text{Chmax}} \) and rank suggests that it is not the rate of energy supply that is of importance as much as how that energy is converted to movement about the court, highlighting the possible role of economy in squash movement. Similarly, the lack of correlation between change-of-direction speed and player rank suggests that change-of-direction speed is not as important to performance as the ability to repeat changes-of-direction at speed after short recoveries. Change-of-direction speed was, however, associated with multiple-sprint ability and is probably an important component of such ability. The correlation of \( V_{\text{Chmax}} \) and endurance capacity with multiple-sprint ability supports what is known about the energetics of multiple-sprint exercise, in particular the contribution of aerobic metabolism to resynthesis of phosphocreatine and replenishment of glycolytic substrates during recoveries between sprints (Glaister, 2005).

Lees (2003) acknowledges that success in squash is a combination of technical, tactical and fitness factors. As such it is reasonable to expect a correlation between a test of racket skill and player rank. In this study, the relationship between a test of ball-striking accuracy and rank was weak \((P = 0.09)\). This might be because of the validity and or
reproducibility of the racket accuracy test. Neither of these issues were addressed in this study.

In summary, player rank (a proxy for performance ability) was related to endurance in an incremental test using squash-specific movements and to multiple-sprint ability also assessed in a test using squash-specific movements in sub-elite squash players up to county standard. The results suggest that the squash-specific incremental and multiple-sprint ability tests could be used as indicators of playing ability. Further research is required to explore this ability of these tests to discriminate ability in elite players, female players and juniors.

### 8.4 Conclusion

Squash-specific endurance and multiple-sprint capability were related to player rank. There were no correlations between rank, $VCh_{\text{max}}$, change-of-direction speed and shot accuracy. Multiple-sprint ability was associated with change-of-direction speed, $VCh_{\text{max}}$ and endurance capacity. The results suggest that both endurance capability and multiple-sprint ability are important for success in squash. Future studies should address these relationships in players of elite standard and in female and junior players.
The previous chapter examined the elements of fitness that discriminated ability in sub-elite squash players. Success in squash at elite standard requires high levels of technical, tactical and motor skills (Lees, 2003) and challenges a number of aspects of fitness (Sharp, 1998). The challenge for scientists and coaches aiming to improve performance is to identify those factors that determine performance which might well be different at elite rather than sub-elite standard.

Previous studies have profiled the endurance fitness of elite-standard players using squash-specific procedures and found endurance capability to discriminate playing ability (Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Girard et al., 2005). However, recent changes to the dimensions of the court and the scoring system for professional competitions have altered the physiological demands of match play with data showing increased contributions from glycolysis (Girard et al., 2007). As such, aspects of fitness other than endurance capability might be of greater importance to performance in elite match play under the new rules. The rule changes described have not been applied to sub-elite competition and it is notable that the findings of the previous chapter support those of Steininger and Wodick (1987) and Girard et al (2005) showing that endurance capability discriminated playing ability. The study described in Chapter nine also profiled the high-intensity exercise capabilities of sub-elite players using squash-specific procedures and found that multiple-sprint ability discriminated playing ability in addition to endurance capability. Currently however, there are no published investigations profiling the high-intensity exercise capabilities of elite squash players using sport-specific procedures.
In summary, it is acknowledged that elite squash performance is a combination of tactical, technical and fitness factors (Lees, 2003; Sharp, 1998). Tactical determinants of success and the importance of endurance fitness have been explored. However, in the light of altered game demands resulting from rule changes and in the absence of specific tests of high-intensity exercise capabilities, it is not known what elements of fitness discriminate performance in elite squash players, or which factors are related to multiple-sprint capability. Accordingly, the purpose of this study was threefold and to investigate: 1) differences in performance on a battery of fitness tests between elite squash players on different tiers of a national performance program; 2) relationships between test scores and player rank in elite squash players; and 3) fitness factors that relate to squash-specific multiple-sprint ability in elite squash players. It is hypothesised that fitness scores will increase with performance programme tier, that measures of high-intensity exercise capability will correlate most with player rank, reflecting the recent increase in the intensity of elite match play and that high-intensity exercise capability will correlate better with multiple-sprint ability than endurance fitness.

**9.1 Methods**

**9.1.1 Participants**

With institutional ethics approval, thirty one (twenty men, eleven women) squash players from the England Squash performance program participated. The performance programme comprises three tiers: 1) Senior squad players are established full-time professionals competing on the Professional Squash Association world tour and are in the highest funding bracket (n = 12, 5 women and 7 men, mean ± SD body mass was 62.5 ± 3.1 kg for women and 79.5 ± 6 kg for men); 2) Transition squad players are new full-time professionals on the world tour and receive reduced and variable funding (n = 7, 3 women and 4 men, mean ± SD body mass was 58.4 ± 1.7 kg for women and 69.9 ±
2.8 kg for men); 3) Talented Athlete Scholarship Scheme (TASS) players are full-time university students who play part-time on the Professional Squash Association tour and have been identified as having world class potential. They receive limited funding towards the costs of training, physiotherapy support and travel to competitions (n = 12, 3 females and 9 males, mean ± SD body mass 66.2 ±9.1 kg for females and 69.5 ± 6.8 kg for males). Among the men, world rank ranged from 3 to 364 with six of the seven senior players falling in the top thirty. Women world ranks ranged from 6 to 241. All senior women players were ranked in the world top thirty at the time of testing.

9.1.2 Experimental design
Testing of transitional and TASS players took place on separate days at the National Squash Centre, Manchester as part of the England Squash annual summer training camps. Senior squad players were tested on one day at Lilleshall National Sports Centre because the National Squash Centre facilities were not available at the time of testing. Following individual warm-ups comprising jogging, side-stepping, controlled lunging and dynamic stretching, participants completed a battery of tests to assess high-intensity exercise capabilities and endurance fitness. Timing of tests was similar for all tiers. Because of time constraints (two hours were allocated for fitness testing on one day of two-day training camps), all tests were conducted in one test session and in a fixed order. An England Institute of Sport strength and conditioning coach working with the squash performance program assisted in the testing of the transition and TASS players and at the request of the national coaches, conducted all tests on the senior squads.
9.1.3 Experimental procedures
In one test session, participants completed counter-movement and drop jump tests, squash-specific tests of change-of-direction speed and multiple-sprint ability and a general field test of endurance fitness (multi-stage fitness test). Reactive strength index was calculated from the drop jump test. Short recovery intervals were allowed between each procedure and all participants walked and jogged through the course of the squash-specific tests to habituate themselves with the route of completion prior to data collection. Procedures for each test are described in Chapter three except that wireless electronic timing gates were used to record performance time in the squash-specific tests (Brower wireless sprint system, Brower Timing Systems, Utah, USA). Drop jump and counter-movement tests took place on a portable force platform, squash-specific tests were administered on a marked-out squash court and the endurance fitness test took place in a non-slip sports hall.

Because of a technical fault with electronic timing gates, hand-timing was used for four of the transition players on the squash-specific tests. In subsequent test sessions with other participants, discrepancies between hand-timing and timing gate recordings were noted and found to be negligible (Typical error 0.4 s, 0.9%).

9.1.4 Ranking of squash players
World rank at the time of testing was obtained from the Professional Squash Association website for all senior and transition players. As not all TASS players had a world rank, their national rank at the time of testing was obtained from the England Squash website. Where TASS players did have a world rank, it was also recorded.
9.1.5 Statistical analysis
Data were analysed using SPSS® v 15 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) statistical software package. Following verification of underlying assumptions such as normality and homogeneity of variance (using the < threefold difference rule) (van Belle, 2002), two-way fully between-groups ANOVA’s were used to compare senior, transition and TASS players by sex on all outcome measures. Where main effects occurred, post-hoc pairwise comparisons (Least Significantly Different) were used to identify differences. Pearson’s correlation examined relationships between test scores and multiple-sprint ability and Spearman’s rho correlated test scores with player rank in men and women separately. Statistical significance was accepted at P < 0.05.

9.2 Results
9.2.1 Counter-movement jump
There was no difference in counter-movement jump performance between the tiers of the performance programme (F₂ = 2.68, P = 0.08) and no interaction effect (F₂ = 1.86, P = 0.18). Men outperformed women on the counter-movement jump test (Fj = 51.76, P <0.01). Sex-based differences in counter-movement jump performance at each tier of performance are shown in Figure 19.
9.2.2 Drop jump

Drop-jump performance differed between the senior, transition and TASS players ($F_2 = 5.35, P = 0.01$). Post-hoc tests showed that senior and transition players produced higher power than TASS players ($P < 0.01$, and $P = 0.03$ respectively). Men produced higher power in the drop jump than women ($F_i = 21.72, P < 0.01$) in all performance tiers as indicated by the lack of interaction between sex and performance tier ($F_2 = 0.44, P = 0.65$). The results are shown in Figure 20.
Figure 20. Mean power output from a drop jump test in men (n = 20) and women (n = 11) elite squash players on different levels of the England Squash performance programme. Bars are mean and SD.

9.2.3 Reactive strength index
Reactivity different across the performance tiers (F2 = 5.23, P = 0.01) with senior and transition players having greater reactivity than TASS players (P = 0.01 and P = 0.02 respectively). Men had higher reactivity than women players (Fj = 5.82, P = 0.02) and this was the case at all performance tiers (F2 = 0.02, P = 0.98). Figure 21 illustrates these differences.
Figure 21. Differences in reactive strength index between men (n = 20) and women (n = 11) squash players from three tiers of the England Squash elite performance programme. Bars are mean and SD.

9.2.4 Squash-specific change-of-direction speed

There was a performance tier difference in change-of-direction speed ($F_2 = 7.34, P < 0.01$) with senior players being faster than TASS players ($P < 0.01$). Men were faster than women ($F_1 = 4.79, P = 0.04$) at all performance tiers ($F_2 = 1.71, P = 0.20$). The magnitude of differences are shown in Figure 22.

Figure 22. Change-of-direction speed on a squash-specific test in elite men and women squash player (n = 20 and 11 respectively) from the three tier England Squash performance programme. Bars are mean and SD.
9.2.5 Squash-specific multiple-sprint ability

Findings are illustrated in Figure 23. Multiple-sprint ability (sum of ten sprint efforts) differed between performance tiers ($F_2 = 9.60, P < 0.01$) with senior players outperforming both transition ($P < 0.01$) and TASS players ($P < 0.01$). Men had better multiple-sprint ability than women ($F_i = 15.50, P < 0.01$) and this was the case at all tiers of the performance programme ($F_2 = 1.35, ^* = 0.28$).

Figure 23. Sum of performance time from ten sprints on a squash-specific multiple-sprint test in men ($n = 18$) and women ($n = 10$) players from the three-tier England Squash elite performance programme. Bars are mean and SD.

9.2.6 Fastest repetition from the multiple-sprint test

Fastest repetition time differed between the performance tiers ($F_2 = 9.99, P < 0.01$) with senior players outperforming both transition ($P = 0.04$) and TASS players ($P = 0.01$). Men were faster than women ($F_j = 14.87, P < 0.01$) at all performance tiers ($F_2 = 1.21, P = 0.31$). Differences are shown in Figure 24.
9.2.7 Endurance fitness

Endurance fitness (estimated $v_{Ch_{max}}$ from the Multi-stage Fitness test) did not differ between the performance tiers ($F_2 = 1.80, P = 0.19$). However, there was a sex-based difference with males achieving higher scores than females ($F_1 = 26.4, P < 0.01$). The findings are illustrated in Figure 25.
9.2.8 Correlations between test scores and player rank

In men, counter-movement jump power ($p = -0.78, P < 0.01, n = 14$), change-of-direction speed ($p = 0.59, P = 0.02, n = 14$) multiple-sprint ability ($p = 0.78, P < 0.01, n = 13$) and fastest sprint from the squash-specific multiple-sprint test ($p = 0.86, P < 0.01, n = 13$) correlated with world rank. Drop jump power ($p = -0.48, P = 0.07, n = 14$), reactive strength index ($p = -0.32, P = 0.26, n = 14$) and estimated $V_{Chmax}$ ($p = 0.01, P = 0.97, n = 10$) did not correlate with world rank.

In women, only fastest repetition from the multiple-sprint test correlated with world rank ($p = 0.65, P = 0.04, n = 10$). Multiple-sprint ability was moderately correlated and close to significant ($p = 0.61, P = 0.06, n = 10$), but world rank relationships with counter-movement ($p = -0.04, P = 0.89$) and drop jump power ($p = -0.28, P = 0.39$), reactive strength index ($p = -0.25, P = 0.45$), change-of-direction speed ($p = 0.39, P = 0.23$) and estimated $V_{Chmax}$ ($p = -0.26, P = 0.43$) were weak ($n = 11$ for all variables).
### Correlates of multiple-sprint ability

Correlations between performance on the battery of fitness tests for male and female players are shown in Tables 11 and 12 respectively.

#### Table 11. Pearson’s correlations between multiple-sprint ability and other fitness scores in elite men squash players.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Multiple-sprint ability</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N = 13</td>
<td>N = 13</td>
<td>N = 13</td>
<td>N = 13</td>
<td>N = 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Change-of-direction speed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N = 13</td>
<td>N = 14</td>
<td>N = 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>P = 0.02</td>
<td>P = 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Best sprint of multiple-sprint test</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N = 13</td>
<td>N = 13</td>
<td>M = 13</td>
<td>N = 9</td>
<td>N = 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Reactive Strength Index</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N = 14</td>
<td>N = 14</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.01</td>
<td>P = 0.35</td>
<td>P = 0.02</td>
<td>P = 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Counter-movement jump</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N = 14</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.55</td>
<td>P = 0.33</td>
<td>P = 0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Drop jump</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Maximal oxygen uptake</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 12. Pearson’s correlations between multiple-sprint ability and other fitness scores in elite women squash players.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Multiple-sprint ability</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Change-of-direction speed</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Best sprint of multiple-sprint test</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Reactive Strength Index</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Counter-movement jump</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td>N = 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Drop jump</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change-of-direction speed, fastest repetition time of the multiple-sprint test, reactive strength index and drop jump power output were related to multiple-sprint ability in men. In women, multiple-sprint ability correlated with change-of-direction speed and fastest repetition time of the multiple-sprint test.

### 9.3 Discussion

The purpose of this study was to: 1) examine differences in performance on a battery of fitness tests between elite squash players on different tiers of a national performance program; 2) examine relationships between test scores and player rank; and 3) identify the fitness factors that relate to squash-specific multiple-sprint ability.

#### 9.3.1 Differences between senior, transition and TASS players.

There were differences in test scores across the performance program tiers, but not for all variables and not always in the pattern ‘senior > transition > TASS’. Regardless of
sex, senior players outperformed TASS players in all aspects of fitness except countermovement jump power and $v_{Chmax}$ where no performance tiers differed. This was anticipated as TASS players are younger, less experienced and compete only on a part-time basis. Senior players outperformed the transition players on the test of multiple-sprint ability and had faster best repetition times from the same test. This suggests that the ability repeatedly to change-direction at speed in squash-specific movements discriminates between experienced and less experienced elite players. Given the high-intensity, intermittent nature of movements in match play (Eubank and Messenger, 2000; Vuckovic et al., 2004), this is not surprising.

Transition players outperformed TASS players on measures of drop jump mean power and reactive strength index (calculated from drop jump height and ground contact time). Both procedures assess the ability to make fast and explosive counter-movements and are theoretically linked to the ability to perform fast stretch-shortening cycle actions (Young, 1995). The absence of differences between senior and transition players on these measures suggests that the ability to perform fast and explosive countermovements is characteristic of more experienced elite players.

Counter-movement jump performance and $v_{Chmax}$ did not differ between the performance tiers. Young (1995) suggested that counter-movement jump tests assess the ability to perform slow stretch-shortening cycle actions ($>500$ ms) and that this might not be important in sports where explosive counter-movements occur over shorter durations. The similarity of $v_{Chmax}$ across the performance program suggest that it is not an important discriminator within elite players, however given the demands of match play, it is likely a physiological factor that must be present at some threshold
level to be able to compete at this standard in the professional game (Brown et al., 1998).

There were sex-based differences on all tests with males outperforming female players at all levels of the performance program. With the exception of $V_{\text{chmax}}$, there are no normative data for comparison. The mean $V_{\text{chmax}}$ of the male players (56.2 ± 2.1; 59.4 ± 2.8; 55.2 ± 5.3 ml-kg\(^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\) in elite senior, transition and TASS players respectively) approximated values reported in previous studies using direct measurements of VO\(_2\) during incremental treadmill testing (Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Gillam et al., 1990; Girard et al., 2005), but were lower than values reported in studies using the multi-stage fitness test (60.4 ± 4.1 ml-kg\(^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\)) and an on-court, squash-specific test (63.6 ± 3.0 ml-kg\(^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\)) in elite players (St Clair Gibson et al., 1998; Girard et al., 2005). Only one previous study reported mean $V_{\text{chmax}}$ of elite female players (Gillam et al., 1990) and it was higher (53.8 ml-kg\(^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\)) than recorded from any of the players in this study (49.2 ± 3.1; 49.3 ± 3.3; 45.5 ± 5.0 ml-kg\(^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\) in senior, transition and TASS players respectively). However, comparisons with previous studies for both male and female players should be interpreted with caution as this test was the last in a battery conducted in a single session and was preceded by high-intensity exercise tests that could have resulted in fatigue. Moreover, differences in protocol have been shown to influence $V_{\text{chmax}}$ results (St Clair Gibson et al., 1998; Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Girard et al., 2005).

### 9.3.2 Correlations between fitness tests and world rank

As men and women players compete on different professional circuits with separate ranking systems, relationships between test performance and ranks were examined for
each sex separately. It was hypothesised that tests of high-intensity exercise capabilities would better discriminate ability in elite players than aerobic fitness. In men, world rank correlated with multiple-sprint ability, fastest sprint from the multiple-sprint test, change-of-direction speed and counter-movement jump power. There was no correlation between rank and $v_{Ckmax}$ ($p = 0.01, P = 0.97$). In women, world rank correlated only with fastest sprint from the multiple-sprint test, though multiple-sprint ability was moderately correlated and close to significant ($P = 0.06$). As with men players, $v_{Ckmax}$ did not correlate with rank. The poor correlation between aerobic fitness and player rank is in contrast to previous studies of elite players (Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Girard et al., 2005) though it should be noted that both investigations used squash-specific protocols, and Girard et al. (2005) reported that player rank did not correlate with aerobic fitness assessed on a treadmill in the same group of players. These findings suggest that the use of sport-specific procedures is necessary to examine the importance of aerobic fitness for elite squash players. In summary, the correlation analysis suggests that high-intensity exercise capabilities (in particular the ability to sustain changes-of-direction at speed) are more important than endurance capability for success in elite squash although endurance is still a requirement.

9.3.3 Indicators of multiple-sprint ability.
Change-of-direction speed and fastest sprint from the multiple-sprint test correlated strongly with multiple-sprint ability in men and women players. A single repetition of the multiple-sprint test comprised two laps of the test course used to assess change-of-direction speed. Correlations between fastest repetition from the multiple-sprint test and multiple-sprint ability were higher than those with change-of-direction speed for both sexes. The mean duration of the fastest repetition for the multiple-sprint test ($20.41 \pm 1.26$ s in men; $21.64 \pm 1.72$ s in women) approximated the mean duration of rallies from
match play (~ 19-21 s) reported in recent match analysis studies (Hughes and Robertson, 1998; Vuckovic et al, 2004; Girard et al, 2007). In contrast, the mean performance time on the squash-specific change-of-direction speed test was much shorter than mean rally durations from match play (9.12 ± 0.59 s in men, 9.49 ± 0.84 s in women). The similarity of performance time with mean rally duration might explain the stronger correlation with multiple-sprint ability and might also explain why this measure correlated well with player rank in men and women.

In men, multiple-sprint ability also correlated (moderately) with mean power from a drop jump, and with reactive strength index. Both measures are associated with the quick reversal of a lengthening muscle action into a shortening action and are likely related to the change-of-direction-speed aspect of multiple-sprint ability, indicated by the relationship between these variables (Table 14).

9.4 Conclusion
Squash-specific change-of-direction speed, measures from a squash-specific multiple-sprint test, drop jump power and reactive strength index discriminated full-time and part-time elite squash players. Multiple-sprint ability and fastest sprint from a squash-specific multiple-sprint test discriminated experienced and less experienced full-time elite players. In elite men, counter-movement jump power, change-of-direction speed, multiple-sprint ability and best repetition in the multiple-sprint test correlated with world rank, whereas only best repetition from the multiple-sprint test correlated with world rank in elite women. Endurance capability did not discriminate ability in elite men or women. Multiple-sprint ability was related to change-of-direction speed and best repeat-sprint-test repetition in men and women and also to drop jump power and
reactive strength index in men. The results confirm that high-intensity, variable-direction exercise capabilities are important for success in elite squash.
10 GENERAL DISCUSSION
The aim of the thesis was to: 1) develop and validate squash-specific procedures to examine aspects of fitness in squash players and 2) apply these tests to examine indicators of performance and multiple-sprint ability in sub-elite and elite-standard squash players. The thesis was successful in achieving these aims.

10.1 Development and validation of squash-specific fitness tests
Section 2.4 in Chapter three discusses criteria that are used to judge the validity of field-based exercise tests. These criteria were applied in Chapters four to seven to assess the validity of squash-specific procedures.

The aim of study one (Chapter four) was to validate a squash-specific test of endurance capability and $V_{Chmax}$. Trained squash players achieved higher $V_{Chmax}$ scores on the squash-specific test than on a laboratory treadmill test whilst satisfying criteria for attainment of $V_{Chmax}$ to the same extent in both tests. Moreover, $V_{O2max}$ scores were highly correlated between the lab specific and non-specific tests showing they assessed the same aspect of fitness. Because of the nature of the game, aerobic fitness (with $V_{Chmax}$ as a contributory factor) is an attribute for attainment of elite standard performance (Brown et al., 1998; Chin et al., 1995; Girard et al., 2005; Girard et al., 2007). As such the squash-specific test possesses logical validity. Furthermore, the test discriminated endurance performance between squash players and trained distance runners with similar test-specific $V_{Chmax}$ supporting the specificity of $V_{Chmax}$ (St Clair Gibson et al., 1998; Girard et al., 2005) and confirming the construct validity of the squash-specific test.
An essential quality of a valid test is reproducibility of measures. Study two (Chapter five) examined this quality in the squash-specific test of endurance fitness. Test-retest reproducibility of endurance performance, $V_C h_{max}$, maximum heart rate and steady state oxygen consumption were found to possess good reproducibility, though the magnitude of variation differed depending on the metric used.

Studies three and four (Chapters six and seven) assessed the validity and reproducibility of squash-specific tests of change-of-direction speed and multiple-sprint ability. Both studies showed the squash-specific tests discriminated performance within a group of trained county-standard squash players when equivalent non-specific tests could not. Moreover, the squash players outperformed trained footballers of equivalent competitive standard on the squash-specific but not the non-specific tests. The ability of the squash-specific procedures to discriminate performers of multiple-sprint sports demonstrates the specificity of movement patterns used in the squash-specific tests and highlights specific nature of change-of-direction speed and multiple-sprint ability (Young et al., 1996; Young et al., 2001). Both tests demonstrated low test-retest variability.

10.2 Indicators of performance and multiple-sprint ability in sub-elite and elite squash players.

Study five (Chapter eight) examined relationships between player rank and performance on the squash-specific fitness tests validated in studies one to four and also between these tests and repeat-sprint-test performance in male squash players competing in regional leagues from division three to premier. Multiple-sprint ability and endurance capability discriminated performance, with multiple-sprint ability being related to
change-of-direction-speed, \( V_{\text{Chinax}} \) and endurance capability. In world-ranked men and women (study six, Chapter nine), measures associated with the ability to perform and sustain rapid changes-of-direction were related to multiple-sprint ability, with the same measures and multiple-sprint ability discriminating performance. Aerobic fitness was not related to performance or to multiple-sprint ability in elite players. Senior elite players performed better than part-time, younger elites (TASS players) on all aspects of fitness except \( V_{\text{Chinax}} \) and counter-movement jump power. Drop-jump power and reactive strength discriminated senior and transition level from TASS players, and indices from the multiple-sprint test discriminated senior, experienced players from transition and TASS players. The findings suggest that multiple-sprint ability is an essential attribute for squash players (in-keeping with the nature of match play). The difference in importance of aerobic fitness could be explained by the difference in variability of this factor within sub-elite and elite players. The aerobic demand of elite play is known to be high (Girard et al., 2007), but if players possess similar and adequate capabilities, correlations will be poor (Sale, 1990). It should be noted however that aerobic capability of sub-elite players was assessed using the squash-specific procedure whereas a field-based shuttle run test was used with the elites. The sport-specific test might have discriminated elite players better as previous studies suggest (Girard et al., 2005).

In summary, this thesis has developed and validated squash-specific tests of endurance and high-intensity exercise capabilities that have been used to determine the aspects of fitness important for multiple-sprint ability and performance in sub-elite and elite squash players.
11 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

11.1 Summary of findings
This thesis has found that:

1. A squash-specific test of aerobic fitness elicited higher \( V_{\text{Ch}max} \) scores in squash players than an incremental treadmill test demonstrating the specificity of \( V_{\text{Ch}max} \).

2. Endurance capability on a squash-specific test of aerobic fitness discriminated trained squash players and trained distance runners with similar test-specific \( V_{\text{Ch}max} \).

3. Physiological and performance measures from a squash-specific test of aerobic fitness were reproducible in county-standard players.

4. Squash-specific tests of change-of-direction-speed and multiple-sprint ability discriminated between county-standard squash players and non-squash playing multiple-sprint sport performers (footballers) of equivalent standard, with similar non-sport-specific capabilities.

5. Squash-specific tests of change-of-direction-speed and multiple-sprint ability discriminated ability in county-standard squash players where equivalent non-specific tests did not.

6. In sub-elite squash players, multiple-sprint ability and endurance capability in squash-specific tests discriminated performance and squash-specific change-of-direction-speed, \( V_{\text{Ch}max} \) and endurance capability correlated with multiple-sprint ability.

7. In elite squash players, squash-specific multiple-sprint ability indices, change-of-direction-speed and mean power during a counter-movement jump correlated with performance in men and fastest repetition time from a multiple-sprint test discriminated performance in women.
8. Best sprint time from a squash-specific multiple-sprint test and change-of-direction speed correlated with multiple-sprint ability in elite men and women, with drop jump power and reactive strength index also related in men.

11.2 Recommendations for future research

Future studies should:

1. Assess the validity of the squash-specific tests described with juniors and with larger groups of female players.

2. Examine the reproducibility of physiological and performance measures from the squash-specific tests over longer test-retest durations that approximate the period of training interventions.

3. Examine reproducibility of the squash-specific tests in elite, junior and female players.


5. Examine the correlates of multiple-sprint ability and performance in elite and sub-elite junior players.

6. Attempt to replicate the studies examining correlates of performance and fitness with larger numbers of elite and sub-elite players to confirm the findings.

7. Examine the relationship between performance, multiple-sprint ability and $V_{Chmax}$ measured with a squash-specific test in elite players.

11.3 Conclusions

The results of this thesis suggest that squash-specific tests discriminate ability within squash players and between squash and non-squash players better than non-specific
tests. The specific nature of test performances in squash players suggests that procedures replicating the movements and physiological demands of match play are better for assessing player strengths and weaknesses than non-specific procedures. This thesis also suggests that both endurance and high-intensity exercise capabilities are important for performance in sub-elite players, while elite performance is discriminated by fitness factors related to the ability to sustain repeated changes-of-direction at speed. The importance of indices of multiple-sprint ability for elite and sub-elite players is in-keeping with the high-intensity, intermittent nature of match play.

It is hoped that the findings of this thesis will inform the practice of coaches and scientists working with squash players of all standards, not least that traditional non-specific methods of fitness assessment will be disregarded in favour of those shown to be of value in the studies reported here. It is regrettable that as our national success in squash has grown, and as the sport is aggressively lobbying for Olympic status, research interest has waned. If the output of this thesis stimulates even a small increase in interest in the assessment of fitness and determinants of performance in squash, it will have been a worthwhile venture. While this might be the case, it is appropriate to conclude with Wilkie’s (1986) words of caution

“Our predecessors were not fools, and we should take satisfaction from adding a brick or two to an existing edifice, not in imagining that we built the whole thing ourselves”

(page 11)
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In designing research involving humans, principal investigators should be able to demonstrate a clear intention of benefit to society and the research should be based on sound principles. These criteria will be considered by the Ethics Committee before approving a project. ALL of the following details must be provided, either typewritten or word-processed preferably at least in 11 point.

Please either tick the appropriate box or provide the information required.

1. Date of Application 07.11.03

2. Anticipated Date of Completion 31.01.04

3. Title of Investigation Validity of a squash specific movement test for measurement of physiological responses and time to fatigue.

4. Subject Area Physiology of Exercise

5. Principal Investigator Mick Wilkinson

6. Is this

6.1 a research project? Unit Name PhD

6.2 an undergraduate project?

6.3 a postgraduate project?

7. Director of Studies/Supervisor/Tutor Professor Edward M Winter
8. Purpose and benefit of investigation

Statement of the research problem with any necessary background information.
(No more than 1 side of A4)

Squash imposes diverse physiological demands including cardio-pulmonary endurance, muscle endurance, muscle strength, speed, and flexibility (Sharp, 1998). At elite standard, squash has been classed as a high-intensity intermittent activity with mean rally lengths of 16 s and roughly equal recovery times between rallies (Montpetit, 1990). Matches at the elite level can last up to 3 hr in which players are active for up to 67% of the time (Montpetit, 1990). This obviously places extreme demands on energy supply. Studies into heart rate responses have demonstrated that despite the intermittent nature of play, heart rate quickly reaches a steady state which is equivalent to 80-90% of predicted maximum (Blanksby et al., 1980; Docherty, 1982; Mercier et al., 1987; Brown and Winter, 1995). Measurement of oxygen uptake during competitive play has revealed mean values of approximately 60% of individual maxima (Montpetit et al., 1987). When viewed in conjunction with mean lactate levels of between 2-4 mmol-l⁻¹ (Beauchamp and Montpetit, 1980; Noakes et al., 1982; Mercier et al., 1987) there is clear evidence that squash is a predominantly aerobic endurance based activity.

Specificity

It is well known that specific training results in physiological adaptations that are specific to the mode, type and intensity of the training stimulus. As such, the most accurate assessments of an athlete’s physiological capacities will be gained from testing them as near as is practically possible in the mode of exercise in which they train. Previous studies have demonstrated that athletes trained in specific modes of exercise can produce higher \( V_{\text{Chmax}} \) values when tested in this mode compared to tests performed in non-specific exercise modes (Stromme et al., 1977; Hagberg et al., 1978; Faulkener et al., 1985).

The movement patterns involved in squash play are unique and varied. Specific training for squash involves imposing a physiological stress within the movement patterns encountered during match play. The efficacy of on-court movement training regimens has been examined and they have been found to be a good replication of game related physiological demands (Todd et al., 1995).

Research Problem

The efficacy of movement specific training for squash is beyond question, however, specificity is no less important in physiological measurement. This dictates the need for a valid and reproducible squash-specific test that can be used to assess squash-specific physiological capacities, and as a monitoring tool to detect improvements resulting from squash-specific training regimens.

Purpose of the study

The study aims to compare the physiological and performance capacities of trained squash players and trained distance runners in response to an incremental squash-specific movement test, and an incremental treadmill test. Superior performance on the squash-specific test by the trained squash players would provide evidence for the validity of the squash movement test as a means of assessing squash-specific physiological capacities.

9. Is this study

9.1 Collaborative?  
If yes please include appropriate agreements in section 19

9.2.1 Replication of

9.2.2 New
10. Participants

10.1 Number 12

10.2 Rationale for this number: (e.g., calculations of sample size)
Sample size has been estimated from a power analysis using mean and SD values from pilot testing.

10.3 Criteria for inclusion and exclusion:
The squash playing participants will be trained male squash players frequently competing in divisions 1 and 2 of Yorkshire’s County leagues aged between 18-40 yrs. Selection criteria for inclusion in the studies will include similarity of age, a minimum of 5 years playing experience at the specified competitive levels, a frequency of participation of at least 3 sessions weekly, and satisfactory medical pre-screening results. Selection criteria for the male distance running participants will include similarity of age to the squash participants, at least 5 years experience of competitive distance running at county standard, a frequency of training of at least 3 sessions weekly, and a satisfactory medical pre-screening result.

10.4 Are these normally 'pre approved' within Ethics Guidelines
Yes No

11. Details of the design and protocol(s)
11.1 Provide details

All participants will complete an incremental test that is designed to assess maximum physiological capacity ($V_{O2\ max}$), and performance time to exhaustion, using squash-specific movement patterns. This will be based on the procedure developed and used by Damon Leedale-Brown with the England squash program (personal communication). With modifications, the test will allow squash-specific movement patterns to be performed at controlled intensities whilst oxygen uptake is continuously measured using a Metamax 3B portable gas analyser. In addition, heart rate (via telemetry), and a post exercise blood lactate sample (from a finger prick) will be used as secondary criteria for verification of maximum effort in the test. The squash test movements are performed in a ‘semi-random’ manner, with the pattern of movement to each portion of a simulated court floor repeated nominally every minute. This element of randomness is essential to replicate specific squash demands.

The test is performed in time with audio signals in the form of numbers which correspond to the four positions marked on the floor. On the audio signal, a participant must move to place one foot on the appropriate floor mark and return to a central marked position before the next audio signal. Exercise intensity is controlled by shortening the time between audio signals, thereby increasing average movement speed. Following a specific warm-up, the test will begin at the 3rd of 13 test levels with an increase in the exercise intensity (average movement speed) every minute.

Participants will also complete a second incremental test to assess maximum physiological capacity ($V_{O2\ max}$), and performance time to exhaustion on a motorised treadmill. Following a standardised warm-up, the participants will run at a constant speed with the treadmill gradient increasing by 1% every minute until volitional exhaustion. $V_{O2\ max}$ will be measured online and continuously during the test using the same 3B portable gas analyser used in the squash test. Termination heart rate and blood lactate will also be collected as described above.

All participants will be habituated with the squash movement and treadmill test procedures, as well as the data collection methods to ensure valid data are collected. The maximal tests will be separated by at least 48 hours to ensure full recovery. Test time, footwear, and clothing will be standardised between tests. Test environment will be controlled as far as is possible.

11.2 Are these normally ‘pre approved’ within Ethics Guidelines

Yes

12. Indicative methods of analysis

Differences in $V_{O2\ max}$ and performance time to exhaustion between the two participant groups in the two exercise tests will be examined using two factorial ANOVA with appropriate post hoc tests. Data will also be analysed using a MANOVA. Both methods will be employed due to uncertainty about the best method given the probable relationship between the dependent variables.
13. Intended duration and timing of project
Habituation sessions are planned for late December, with the exercise tests planned for early January 2004.

14. Location of project
All testing will take place in the Physiology laboratory of Hull Universities Sport Science department.

15. Substances to be administered
State their potential hazards, if any, and the precautions to be taken

16. Degree of discomfort that participants might experience
There will be minimal discomfort associated with finger prick blood sampling. Participants will experience generalised discomfort associated with maximal exertion in the assessment of maximal oxygen uptake.

17. Your experience and that of those testing and your supervisor/other investigator in this type of investigation
The principal investigator has undergone BASES physiology supervised experience and is in the process of generating an accreditation portfolio for science support. This investigator also regularly takes and analyses bloods and assesses maximal oxygen uptake in a variety of protocols and exercise modes. The study supervisor is a BASES accredited exercise physiologist. The study assistant is a BSc qualified senior laboratory technician who has undergone 2 years of supervised experience in sport science support, is qualified first in aid and is also the department deputy health and safety officer. He is fully competent in the administration of maximal exercise stress tests, and is a trained venepuncturist.

17.1 Who will be present? Please indicate their skills.
Principal investigator and senior lab technician (see above for skills).

18. Signature

19. Attachments

19.1 Risk Assessment(s)

19.2 Participant Information Sheet

19.3 Informed Consent Form

19.4 Pre-Test Medical Questionnaire

19.5 Collaboration evidence/support (see 9)

19.6 Collaboration facilities (see 14)

(Place a tick in the appropriate description)
School of Sport and Leisure Management

Research Ethics Committee

Participant Information Sheet

Project Title  
Validity of a squash specific movement test for measurement of physiological responses and performance time to fatigue.

Name of Participant

Supervisor/Director of Studies  
Professor Edward M Winter  
The Centre for Sport and Exercise Science  
Sheffield Hallam University  
Collegiate Hall  
SHEFFIELD S10 2BP

Principal Investigator  
Mick Wilkinson

Purpose of Study and Brief Description of Procedures
Background to the study.
It is well known that different types of training result in different types of fitness that are specific to the type and intensity of the training performed. As such, the most accurate measurements of an athlete’s fitness will be gained from testing them in the type of exercise in which they usually train. The movement patterns involved in squash play are unique and varied. Training for squash involves imposing a physical stress using the movements encountered during match play. As squash players play and train within the unique movements of the game, any measurement of their fitness should be carried out using similar movements. Using such testing should allow any fitness gains from training and playing to be detected. This dictates the need for a squash-specific test that can be used to assess squash-specific fitness, and as a monitoring tool to detect improvements resulting from squash training.

Purpose of the study.
This study aims to compare the physical responses of trained squash players and trained distance runners to a squash-specific fitness test, and a standard treadmill running test (non squash-specific). Superior performance on the squash-specific test by the trained squash players would provide evidence for the usefulness of the squash movement test as a means of testing squash players.

Procedures.
You will be required to attend two practice sessions to experience the squash movement and treadmill running test procedures, and get used to wearing some testing equipment whilst exercising. You will then be required to attend two test sessions separated by at least 48 hours for measures of your fitness to be taken during and immediately after the squash movement test on one occasion, and the treadmill running test on the second occasion. Both tests are designed to assess your maximum physical fitness (\(V_{\text{O}2\text{max}}\)), and the time it takes before you have to stop on each test.

- On arrival, your weight and height will be measured, and you will be asked to complete a pre-test medical questionnaire to ensure you are healthy enough to carry out the testing.
- You will then be fitted with a small shoulder harness holding a portable analyser, a nose and mouth mask for collection of your expired breath during the tests, and a chest belt to record heart rate during the test.
- The squash fitness test consists of repeated movements to and from one of four numbered marks placed on the floor from a central basepoint. Any single movement to and from the base must be completed between the time of audio signals. The audio signals are numbers which correspond to the marks on the floor. The speed of movement required will increase every minute, and you must keep pace for as long as possible until you feel you cannot continue. The latter portion of the test will feel hard, however fatigue will disappear shortly after finishing.
- The treadmill test will take place on a motorised treadmill. Following a standard warm-up, you will be required to run at 13 km/h with the speed increasing every minute up to 16 km/h, then continuing to run at that speed with the treadmill slope increasing by 1% every minute until you feel you cannot continue. Again the latter test stages will feel particularly tough.
- Each test will last no more than 12-14 minutes.
- Immediately after you finish each test, a small blood sample will be collected from a finger prick by a qualified tester for measurement of chemical markers of maximum effort.
- You will be required to complete both tests at approximately the same time of day, and wearing the same footwear and type of clothing.

Your rights as a participant.
Your participation in this study is voluntary. You are free to refuse to start the testing or withdraw at any time in the proceedings without penalty or prejudice and without giving any reason for so doing. No disadvantage will arise from any decision to participate or not. The results of the research may be published, but your name will not be used, and no individual identifying information will be provided. All data collected will be coded to retain anonymity, and any personal details will be stored in a locked filing cabinet with access limited to the investigator. As a participant in this study you will be given confidential feedback about your test results which will be your maximal physical fitness (\(V_{\text{O}2\text{max}}\)) and time to exhaustion on each test. This information may aid you in your training.

*If necessary continue overleaf*
interests are otherwise being ignored, neglected or denied, I should inform Professor Edward Winter, Chair of the School of Sport and Leisure Management Research Ethics Committee (Tel: 0114 225 4333) who will undertake to investigate my complaint.
INFORMED CONSENT FORM

TITLE OF PROJECT:
Validity of a squash specific movement test for measurement of physiological responses and time to fatigue.

The participant should complete the whole of this sheet himself/herself

Have you read the Participant Information Sheet? YES/NO
Have you had an opportunity to ask questions and discuss this study? YES/NO
Have you received satisfactory answers to all of your questions? YES/NO
Have you received enough information about the study? YES/NO
To whom have you spoken?

Do you understand that you are free to withdraw from the study:
• at any time
• without having to give a reason for withdrawing
• and without affecting your future medical care YES/NO

Have you had sufficient time to consider the nature of this project? YES/NO
Do you agree to take part in this study? YES/NO

Signed.................................................... Date......................................

(NAME IN BLOCK LETTERS)............................................................................

Signature of Parent / Guardian in the case of a minor
9 March 2004

Mr M Wilkinson
29 Sycamore Close
Skelton
York
YO30 1YU

Dear Mick

**Title of investigation:** Validity of a squash specific movement test for measurement of physiological responses and time to fatigue.

**Approval Number:** SLM/2003/Physiology/03/02/b

Thank you for providing the information requested.

I am pleased to inform you that full approval has now been granted for this study.

Yours sincerely

Professor Edward Winter
Chair, SLM Research Ethics Committee

**Note:** Approval applies until the anticipated date of completion unless there are changes to the procedures, in which case another application should be made.
13.2 Appendix two - Study one SPSS output
## T-Test

### Group Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>squash TTF</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>774.7500</td>
<td>102.51934</td>
<td>36.24606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>runner</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>606.6250</td>
<td>81.09771</td>
<td>28.67237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squash test peak V02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>52.2287</td>
<td>7.13693</td>
<td>2.52329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>runner</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>56.6063</td>
<td>4.75261</td>
<td>1.68030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squash test peak HR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>189.6250</td>
<td>7.44384</td>
<td>2.63179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>runner</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>181.8750</td>
<td>10.76287</td>
<td>3.80525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treadmill TTF</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>343.0000</td>
<td>114.96583</td>
<td>40.64656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>runner</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>521.2500</td>
<td>135.26139</td>
<td>47.82212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treadmill peak V02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>49.5850</td>
<td>7.27584</td>
<td>2.56603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>runner</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58.5850</td>
<td>7.52746</td>
<td>2.66041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treadmill peak HR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>190.8750</td>
<td>12.98832</td>
<td>4.59206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>runner</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>182.5000</td>
<td>10.21204</td>
<td>3.61050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Independent Samples Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval of the Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval of the Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>squash TTV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal variances assumed</td>
<td>1.527</td>
<td>.237</td>
<td>3.638</td>
<td>'4</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>*68.12500</td>
<td>46.21560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal variances not assumed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squash test peak V02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal variances not assumed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squash test peak HR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal variances not assumed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treadmill TTF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal variances not assumed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treadmill peak V02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal variances not assumed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treadmill peak HR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal variances not assumed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Paired Samples Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Activity 1</th>
<th>Activity 2</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>squash pV02</td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>52.2287</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.13693</td>
<td>2.52329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>treadmill pV02</td>
<td>49.5850</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.25784</td>
<td>2.56603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>squash HRmax</td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>189.6250</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.44384</td>
<td>2.63179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>Treadmill HRmax</td>
<td>190.8750</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.98832</td>
<td>4.59206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>squash pV02</td>
<td>runners</td>
<td>56.6063</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.75261</td>
<td>1.68030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>treadmill pV02</td>
<td>58.5850</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.52476</td>
<td>2.66041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>squash HRmax</td>
<td>runners</td>
<td>181.8750</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.76287</td>
<td>3.80525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>treadmill HRmax</td>
<td>182.5000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.21204</td>
<td>3.61050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Paired Samples Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Activity 1</th>
<th>Activity 2</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>squash pV02</td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.944</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>treadmill pV02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>squash pV02</td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.723</td>
<td>.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>Treadmill HRmax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>squash pV02</td>
<td>runners</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.412</td>
<td>.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>treadmill pV02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>squash HRmax</td>
<td>runners</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.170</td>
<td>.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>treadmill HRmax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Paired Samples Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Activity 1</th>
<th>Activity 2</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval of the Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>squash pV02</td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>2.44357</td>
<td>2.40703</td>
<td>-6.3087 - 6.5627</td>
<td>.63135</td>
<td>3.105</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>treadmill pV02</td>
<td>2.40133</td>
<td>2.40703</td>
<td>-6.3087 - 6.5627</td>
<td>.63135</td>
<td>3.105</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>squash HRmax</td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>-1.82600</td>
<td>4.17683</td>
<td>-9.92203 - 6.29603</td>
<td>2.64357</td>
<td>-3.35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>Treadmill HRmax</td>
<td>4.82450</td>
<td>4.17683</td>
<td>2.69203 - 9.5963</td>
<td>.63135</td>
<td>3.105</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>squash pV02</td>
<td>runners</td>
<td>-1.87875</td>
<td>7.05333</td>
<td>-9.73381 - 6.97631</td>
<td>.63135</td>
<td>-3.85</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>treadmill pV02</td>
<td>5.82500</td>
<td>7.05333</td>
<td>2.69203 - 9.5963</td>
<td>.63135</td>
<td>3.105</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>squash HRmax</td>
<td>runners</td>
<td>-6.82500</td>
<td>4.78068</td>
<td>-10.7951 - 4.87951</td>
<td>.63135</td>
<td>-3.35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>players</td>
<td>treadmill HRmax</td>
<td>4.82500</td>
<td>4.78068</td>
<td>2.69203 - 9.5963</td>
<td>.63135</td>
<td>3.105</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONFIDENTIAL

Sheffield Hallam University
Faculty of Health and Wellbeing
Sport and Exercise
Research Ethics Committee

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF RESEARCH

In designing research involving humans, principal investigators should be able to demonstrate a clear intention of benefit to society and the research should be based on sound principles. These criteria will be considered by the Ethics Committee before approving a project. ALL of the following details must be provided, either typewritten or word-processed preferably at least in 11 point font.

Please either tick the appropriate box or provide the information required.

1. Date of Application January 2005

2. Anticipated Date of Completion August 2005


4. Subject Area Physiology of Exercise

5. Principal Investigator Mr Michael Wilkinson

   Email address M.wilkinson@hull.ac.uk

   Telephone/mobile number 01482 465168 wk 07754 870997 mb

6. Is this

   6.1 a research project?

   6.2 an undergraduate project? [ ]

   6.3 a postgraduate project? [X ]
8. Intended duration and timing of project

Begin data collection mid July for completion by the end of August 2005

PhD

7. Director of Studies/ Supervisor/Tutor

Professor Edward M Winter

9. Location of project

Data will be collected at the University of Hull.

(If parts are external to SHU, provide evidence in support in section 19)

10. Is this study

10.1 Collaborative? [ ]

If yes please include appropriate agreements in section 19

10.2.1 Replication [ ] of

10.2.2 New [X ]

11. Participants

11.1 Number

24 (12 squash players and 12 distance runners)

11.2 Rationale for this number:
(eg calculations of sample size)

Calculation of sample size using a method described by Vincent (1999) and Samuel and Witmer (1999), and SD and SE values of previous studies.

11.3 Criteria for inclusion and exclusion for example age and gender:

Squash players will be frequently competitive (> 3 times per wk), and playing in division 1 or 2 of the regional county leagues. Selection criteria for inclusion will be age 18-40 yrs, a minimum of 5 yrs experience at the specified level, and satisfactory medical pre-screening results. All players will be males.

Selection criteria for the male distance running participants will include similarity of age to the squash participants, at least 5 years experience of competitive distance running at county standard, a frequency of training of at least 3 sessions weekly, and a satisfactory medical pre-screening result.

11.4 Procedures for recruitment for example location and methods:

Participants will be volunteers through contacts at local squash and athletics clubs. The nature and aims of the study will be explained in a group presentation to the players at each club.
11.5 Does the study have *minors or Vulnerable adults as participants? Yes [ ] No [X]

11.6 Is CRB disclosure required for the Principal Investigator? (To be determined by risk assessment) Yes [ ] No [X]

If yes, is standard [ ] or enhanced [ ] disclosure required?

*Minors are participants under the age of 18 years. Vulnerable adults are participants over the age of 16 years who are likely to exhibit:

a) learning difficulties
b) physical illness/impairment
c) mental illness/impairment
d) advanced age
e) any other condition that might render them vulnerable

12. Purpose and benefit of investigation

Statement of the research problem with any necessary background information. (No more than 1 side of A4)
Squash imposes diverse physiological demands including cardio-pulmonary endurance, muscle endurance, muscle strength, speed, and flexibility (Sharp, 1998). At elite standard, squash has been classed as a high-intensity intermittent activity with mean rally lengths of 16 s and roughly equal recovery times between rallies (Montpetit, 1990). Matches at the elite level can last up to 3 hr in which players are active for up to 67% of the time (Montpetit, 1990). This obviously places extreme demands on energy supply. Studies into heart rate responses have demonstrated that despite the intermittent nature of play, heart rate quickly reaches a steady state which is equivalent to 80-90% of predicted maximum (Blanksby et al., 1980; Docherty, 1982; Mercier et al., 1987; Brown and Winter, 1995). Measurement of oxygen uptake during competitive play has revealed mean values of approximately 60% of individual maxima (Montpetit et al., 1987). When viewed in conjunction with mean lactate levels of between 2-4 mmolL⁻¹ (Beauchamp and Montpetit, 1980; Noakes et al., 1982; Mercier et al., 1987) there is clear evidence that squash is a predominantly aerobic endurance based activity.

Importance of Movement Economy in Squash.
Match analysis data have shown that a common tactic employed to win squash rallies is speed of movement to take the ball early and so attempt to put the opponent under pressure thereby forcing errors (Hughes and Franks, 1994). The ability to sustain high speed movement with minimum expenditure of energy points to the importance of movement economy. As stated by Cooke (2001), economy of energy expenditure is important in any activity that stresses aerobic energy supply. An athlete who consumes less oxygen for a given exercise intensity can sustain performance longer, or can maintain a higher speed for the same period. This has obvious advantages in the employment of the ‘early ball’ tactic shown to be the preference of elite squash players.

Research Problem.
In light of the theoretical and practical significance of the problem, and the lack of such investigations in squash, there is a need to develop ways of quantifying economy in squash movement. There are no scientific investigations of movement economy in squash to date. The principle of specificity for squash training is well understood and reported (Todd et al., 1995). Specificity is no less important in physiological measurement and dictates the need for a valid and reproducible squash-specific test of movement economy.

13. Details of the research design and protocols
13.1 provide details.
If a Mode B support project is being proposed please state the protocols under the following headings: a. needs analysis; b. potential outcome; c proposed interventions.

All participants will complete a test that is designed to assess movement economy in an on-court procedure. This will be based on the procedure developed and used by Damon Leedale-Brown with the England Squash program (personal communication). Unpublished data suggest the on-court test is a valid replication of game specific physiological demand. With modifications, the test will allow squash-specific movement patterns to be performed at controlled intensities whilst oxygen uptake is measured using the Cortex Metamax 3B portable gas analyser. In addition, blood lactate will be measured in duplicate from finger prick samples, and heart rate will be recorded online via a chest belt transmitter. The movements are performed in a ‘semi random’ manner with the pattern of movement to each portion of the court repeated nominally every minute. This element of randomness is essential to replicate specific squash demands.

On arrival at the test venue, body weight and stature will be measured using calibrated balance beam scales and a stadiometer respectively.

The on-court test will consist of 6-8 x 4 minute exercise intervals performed at increasing submaximal intensities in a discontinuous manner. Finger prick blood samples will be taken in short rest periods between stages, with oxygen uptake and heart rate monitored continuously using portable gas analysis and heart rate telemetry respectively. The submaximal stages will end when a blood lactate value of > 4 mMolL⁻¹ is obtained in an end stage measurement, and the lactate curve will be used to calculate lactate threshold. Following a 10-15 minute rest interval, participants will complete further 1 minute stages beginning from level 2 of the test with a speed increase every minute until volitional fatigue for assessment of maximal oxygen uptake. A finger prick blood sample will be taken again 3 minutes after the end of the test.

In a second test seven days later, the participants will complete all levels of the test that fell below their lactate threshold with stages lasting four minutes in a discontinuous fashion as in the first test. Again, oxygen uptake and heart rate will be monitored continuously, and blood [lactate] will be assessed by finger prick at the end of each stage.

Movement economy will be assessed for all sub-lactate threshold test levels by averaging oxygen uptake breath by breath data for minutes 3 - 4 of each level, then calculating A economy (increase in oxygen uptake from consecutive sub-lactate threshold test levels). Sub-lactate threshold oxygen cost, and blood [lactate] values from the two test days will be used to assess reproducibility.

Squash participants will be ranked by their A economy scores, and the most, least, and mid economical participants will be required to perform a squash specific test of Maximal Accumulated Oxygen Deficit (MAOD) on two separate test occasions. A modified MAOD test will be performed where the players will be required to complete a single exhaustive trial on the squash movement test at a speed equal to that which would elicit - 120% of their maximal oxygen uptake. This speed will be calculated by deriving a linear regression equation for oxygen uptake against movement speed from the sub-lactate threshold oxygen uptake values, and solving for test speed using 120% of the maximal oxygen uptake measured from the 1 minute incremental phase of the first test. Oxygen uptake will be measured continuously during the exhaustive trial, and time to exhaustion will be recorded using a digital stopclock. The MAOD will be added to actual oxygen cost to give an estimate of total oxygen (energy) cost of high intensity squash movement. This will be used to assess the relationship of sub-lactate threshold energy expenditure (economy measure) to the total energy cost (aerobic plus anaerobic, expressed in units of oxygen cost) of squash movement at high intensities such as those commonly experienced in match play.

All participants will be habituated with the movement test and data collection methods to ensure valid data are collected. Test time, footwear, and clothing will be standardised between that first and second trials. Test environment will be controlled as far as is possible.

13.2 Are these "minor" procedures as defined Yes [ ] No [X] in Appendix I of the ethics guidelines?
13.3 If you answered 'No' in Section 13.2, list the procedures that are not minor. 

\[ V_{\text{Cmax}} \] assessment, second phase of MAOD (i.e. above \( V_{02\max} \) continuous bout)

14. Indicative methods of analysis

14.1 Provide details of the quantitative and qualitative analysis to be used. Data will be analysed using Limits of Agreement, test-retest coefficient of variation, Technical Error of Measurement, least products regression, pearson’s correlation coefficient, Bland-Altman plots, and T-tests for paired samples, with the most appropriate statistic being used to ascertain reproducibility of anthropometric, submaximal and maximal physiological measures. Currently, there is no agreement about which of the above tests it is best to use. Similarly, allometric scaling of the \( VO_2 \) scores to remove any influences of body size differences between the subjects will occur using the procedures described by Winter and Nevill (2001). On the basis of economy measures, players will be separated into low and high economy groups by rank for later studies.

15. Substances to be administered (Refer to Appendix V of the ethics guidelines)

15.1 The protocol does not involve the administration of pharmacologically active substances or nutritional supplements. \( \text{(Please tick the box if this statement applies and go to section 16)} \) [ ]

15.2 Name and state the risk category for each substance. If a COSHH assessment is required state how the risks are to be managed.

N/A

16. Degree of discomfort that participants might experience

16.1 To consider the degree of physical or psychological discomfort that will be experienced by the participants. State the details which must be included in the participant information sheet to ensure that the participants are fully informed about any discomfort that they may experience.

There will be minimal discomfort associated with finger prick blood sampling. Participants will experience generalised discomfort associated with maximal exertion in the assessment of \( V_{\text{Cmax}} \) and MAOD.

17. Outcomes of Risk Assessment
17.1 Provide details of the control measures arising out of the assessment of risk including the nature of supervision and support required during the experimental phase of the project.

General control measures for capillary blood sampling (for details see risk assessment docs).

1. Pre-screening medical questionnaire. 2. Investigator trained in blood sampling and handling. 3. Documented procedures for disposal of contaminated waste products are followed. 4. Venepuncturist is inoculated against Hep B.

General control measures for maximum intensity squash movement assessment.

1. Pre-screening medical questionnaire. 2. Strict adherence to the agreed protocol which includes a warm-up and cool-down. 3. The participant is monitored by a trained first aider following the test. 4. Heart rate is continually monitored to identify when the participant is exercising maximally. 5. Visual communication is maintained between investigator and participant throughout the exercise test. 6. All breathing apparatus are sterilised prior to and after use. 7. Shoe laces are secured. 8. At least 2 people are present at the exercise test.

18. Safe System of Work

18.1 Indicate how the control measures outlined in section 17.1 will be implemented to minimise the risks in undertaking the research protocol (refer to 13.1). State the technical skills needed by the Principal Investigator to ensure safe working.

The principal investigator is a trained first aider and a qualified venepuncturist, they are also aware of the correct implementation of control measures stated in the risk assessment documentation.

19. Attachments

(Place a tick in the appropriate description)
19.1 Risk Assessment(s)  
(Include CRB risk assessment)  [X]

19.2 COSHH Assessment  [ ]

19.2 Participant Information Sheet  [X]

19.3 Informed Consent Form  [X]

19.4 Pre-Test Medical Questionnaire  [X]

19.5 Collaboration evidence/support (see 10)  [ ]

19.6 Collaboration facilities (see 9)  [ ]

19.7 Clinical Trials Form (FIN 12)  [ ]
20. Signature
Principal Investigator

Once this application is approved, I will undertake the study as approved. If circumstances necessitate that changes are made to the approved protocol, I will discuss these with my Project Supervisor. If the supervisor advises that there should be a resubmission to the Ethics Committee, I agree that no work will be carried out using the changed protocol until approval has been sought and formally received.

21. Approval
Project Supervisor to sign off EITHER box A OR box B as applicable.

(refer to Appendix 1 and the flowchart in appendix VI of the ethics guidelines)

Box A:
I confirm that the experimental protocol contained in this proposal is based solely on 'minor' procedures, as outlined in Appendix 1 of the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Committee Procedures for the Use of Humans in Research document, and therefore does not need to be submitted to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Committee.

In terms of ethics approval, I agree the 'minor' procedures proposed here and confirm that the Principal Investigator may proceed with the study as designed.

Project Supervisor.................................................................Date .....................

Box B:
I confirm that the experimental protocol contained in this proposal is not based solely on 'minor' procedures, as outlined in Appendix 1 of the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Committee Procedures for the Use of Humans in Research document, and therefore must be submitted to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Committee for approval.

I confirm that the appropriate preparatory work has been undertaken and that this document is in a fit state for submission to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Committee.

Project Supervisor.................................................................Date .....................

22. Signature
Technician

I confirm that I have seen the full and approved application for ethics approval and technical support will be provided.

Technician.................................................................Date .....................
Faculty of Health and Wellbeing
Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Committee

Participant Information Sheet

Project Title
Reproducibility of physiological measures in a squash-specific movement test

Name of Participant

Supervisor/Director of Studies
Professor Edward M Winter
The Centre for Sport and Exercise Science
Sheffield Hallam University
Collegiate Hall
Sheffield, S10 2BP

Principal Investigator
Mick Wilkinson
Division of Sport Science
Northumbria University
Newcastle-Upon-Tyne, NE1 8ST
0191 2273717

Purpose of Study and Brief Description of Procedures
(See overleaf)
Squash is a high-intensity, intermittent activity with short recovery intervals in which players are active for around 67% of play. Despite this intermittent nature, physiological responses such as heart rate quickly rise to 80-90% of maximum and remain steady for the remainder of play. This and other physiological measures provide clear evidence that squash is a predominantly aerobic, endurance-based activity.

A common tactic used to win squash rallies is to employ fast movement to take the ball early and so attempt to pressure an opponent into errors. The ability to sustain high-speed movement with minimum expenditure of energy highlights the importance of movement economy for successful players, as economy of energy expenditure is important in any endurance-based activity. Essentially, a player who uses less energy to move at a given speed can sustain this speed for longer, or can move at a higher speed for the same period of time. This has obvious advantages for use of the ‘early ball’ tactic shown to be the preference of elite squash players.

**Purpose of the study.**
To determine which players are economical and uneconomical movers, and to understand what makes a player an economical mover, an accurate and reliable test that mimics the movements involved in squash must be developed. The purposes of this study are to:

1. Examine various physiological measures of squash players and distance runners (for comparison) undertaking a squash movement test on two occasions to assess reproducibility of test scores.
2. To assess the movement economy and maximum physiological capacity of each player on the squash test.

**Procedures.**
As a participant in this study, you will be required to attend two habituation sessions to experience the on-court movement test and become accustomed to the wearing of some test apparatus whilst moving on court. You will then be required to attend two test sessions separated by 7 days for various physiological measures to be taken during and immediately after the on-court squash movement test.

- On arrival at the test venue, your weight and height will be measured, and you will be asked to complete a pre-test medical questionnaire to ensure you are healthy enough to carry out the testing.
- Following this procedure, you will be prepared for the on-court test by being fitted with a small shoulder harness holding a portable gas analyser, a nose and mouth mask for collection of your expired breath during the test, and a chest belt transmitter to record heart rate during the test.
- The test will consist of 5 - 8 * 4 minute bouts of submaximal court movement at progressively faster speeds with short recovery intervals (less than 2 minutes) in between.
- After a 10 - 15 minute recovery following the submaximal stages, you will be asked to begin the test again. But this time the speed will be increased every minute without rest intervals between stages. You must keep pace with the test for as long as possible until you feel you cannot continue. This portion of the test will feel particularly strenuous, however fatigue will disappear shortly after finishing.
- During the short recovery interval between submax stages, and at completion of the test, small blood samples will be collected from a finger prick by a qualified investigator for analysis of chemical markers of physiological effort.
- 7 days later, you will be required to complete a second test comprising a number of (not more than) the submaximum stages you completed in the first test, followed by a repeat of the one minute increment portion of the test, but this time starting at the level at which the submax portion of the test finished. The test will occur at the same time of day as the first, and you must wear the same footwear and type of clothing.

**Squash player only:**
Depending on your results, you may be asked to complete a third test which will comprise continuous movement on the squash test at a speed higher than the final speed you attained at the end of the first exercise visit until you cannot sustain the speed anymore. You will need to wear the portable analyser and heart rate transmitter again during the test. This test will feel very strenuous from beginning to end but will last only a few minutes with fatigue disappearing soon afterwards.
Your rights as a participant.
Your participation in this study is voluntary. You are free to refuse to start the testing or withdraw at any time in the proceedings without penalty or prejudice and without giving any reason for so doing. No disadvantage will arise from any decision to participate or not.
The results of the research may be published, but your name will not be used, and no individual identifying information will be provided. All data collected will be coded to retain anonymity, and any personal details will be stored in a locked filing cabinet with access limited to the investigator.
As a participant in this study you will be given confidential feedback about your test results which will be your maximal physical fitness ($\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$) and your movement economy score. This information may aid you in your training.
If you have any questions concerning the procedures or any other aspects of the project, feel free to contact the principal investigator in person or by telephone on the number given.
Some test sessions may be video taped however the video will only be used for further analysis by the investigator and study supervisors. Access to the tapes will be limited to the investigator and the study supervisor. Any further use of the video taped tests will not be undertaken without the express permission of the participant filmed who will of course remain anonymous.

It has been made clear to me that, should I feel that these Regulations are being infringed or that my interests are otherwise being ignored, neglected or denied, I should inform Professor Edward Winter, Chair of the Faculty of Health and Wellbeing Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Committee (Tel: 0114 225 4333) who will undertake to investigate my complaint.
INFORMED CONSENT FORM

TITLE OF PROJECT:

The participant should complete the whole of this sheet himself/herself

Have you read the Participant Information Sheet? YES/NO

Have you had an opportunity to ask questions and discuss this study? YES/NO

Have you received satisfactory answers to all of your questions? YES/NO

Have you received enough information about the study? YES/NO

To whom have you spoken?

Do you understand that you are free to withdraw from the study:

• at any time
• without having to give a reason for withdrawing
• and without affecting your future medical care YES/NO

Have you had sufficient time to consider the nature of this project? YES/NO

Do you agree to take part in this study? YES/NO

Signed............................................... Date..........................................

(NAME IN BLOCK LETTERS)............................................................................

Signature of Parent / Guardian in the case of a minor
21 January 2005

Mr M Wilkinson
29 Sycamore Close
Skelton
York
YO30 1YU

Dear Mick

**Title of investigation:** Reproducibility of physiological measures in a squash-specific movement test.

**Application Number:** SLM/2004/Physiology/03/06

Thank you for providing the information requested.

I am pleased to inform you that full approval has now been granted for this study. However, please note that the risk assessments should be signed by yourself on the front page.

Yours sincerely

CVW$CVAS M T$

Professor Edward Winter
Chair, HWB Sport & Exercise Research Ethics Committee

**Note:** Approval applies until the anticipated date of completion unless there are changes to the procedures, in which case another application should be made.
13.4 Appendix four - Study two SPSS output

Movement economy

All the derivatives will be calculated numerically.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Loss funct</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>156.585900</td>
<td>.000000000</td>
<td>1.00000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>22.44414794</td>
<td>.004405436</td>
<td>1.14247165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>18.74297486</td>
<td>-2.1205539</td>
<td>1.20964624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>14.13617274</td>
<td>-6.9386532</td>
<td>1.37353691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>13.30042576</td>
<td>-9.2250766</td>
<td>1.45515110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>13.20556431</td>
<td>-10.057501</td>
<td>1.48596148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>13.20252826</td>
<td>-10.145678</td>
<td>1.48961884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>13.20234558</td>
<td>-10.133165</td>
<td>1.48934618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>13.20233150</td>
<td>-10.122089</td>
<td>1.48894755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>13.20233134</td>
<td>-10.120676</td>
<td>1.48894470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>13.20233134</td>
<td>-10.120610</td>
<td>1.48894206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run stopped after 10 major iterations.
Optimal solution found.

Peak heart rate

All the derivatives will be calculated numerically.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Loss funct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>123.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>77.81371098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>77.81182802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>77.81118298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>77.80958326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>77.80929201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>77.80929201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run stopped after 6 major iterations.
Optimal solution found.
All the derivatives will be calculated numerically.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Loss funct</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14636.0000</td>
<td>0.00000000</td>
<td>1.00000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>9439.2893</td>
<td>-0.00005463</td>
<td>0.954935277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8766.4676</td>
<td>11.7672782</td>
<td>0.941790641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7902.5755</td>
<td>37.3770707</td>
<td>0.910076922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7579.1808</td>
<td>65.6496265</td>
<td>0.876301342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7574.9639</td>
<td>62.3588868</td>
<td>0.880634396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7574.9509</td>
<td>62.4816847</td>
<td>0.880505547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7574.9509</td>
<td>62.4822352</td>
<td>0.880507060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7574.9509</td>
<td>62.4820885</td>
<td>0.880507302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run stopped after 8 major iterations.
Optimal solution found.

Maximal oxygen uptake

All the derivatives will be calculated numerically.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Loss funct</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>81.8074</td>
<td>0.00000000</td>
<td>1.00000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>80.7629</td>
<td>-0.00016071</td>
<td>0.990651014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>80.2874</td>
<td>0.656115222</td>
<td>0.980048177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>79.8203</td>
<td>2.19225033</td>
<td>0.951681123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>79.7590</td>
<td>2.66595114</td>
<td>0.941804795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>79.7546</td>
<td>2.70679907</td>
<td>0.940601833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>79.7545</td>
<td>2.69907997</td>
<td>0.940730427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>79.7545</td>
<td>2.69875227</td>
<td>0.940736531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>79.7545</td>
<td>2.69875137</td>
<td>0.940736550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run stopped after 8 major iterations.
Optimal solution found.
1. Project Title: Validity of a squash-specific speed and agility test

2. Name of Applicant: Andrew Sutherland

3. Who is conducting the project (delete as appropriate)?
   □ UNDERGRADUATE □ POSTGRADUATE

4. If a student please state your programme of study: Applied sport and exercise science
   State your supervisor: Mick Wilkinson

5. Where will the research be conducted?
   □ on University property □ outside of the University

If the study is being conducted at a different institution (e.g. another University, a School etc) then you must produce proof that you have received appropriate permission (e.g. a letter, an email) from the relevant institution(s), before your submission can be approved. Give this to your supervisor when you submit your final documentation.

If the study is being conducted outside of the University but not in an institution (e.g. someone’s home, a public place) then you must ensure that you have conducted an appropriate risk assessment and submitted this with your application (see item 16).

6. Rationale for the study or programme (approx 300 words):
Squash places demands on multiple elements of fitness including endurance, speed, agility, flexibility and strength (Sharp, 1998). Squash is a high-intensity, intermittent sport involving frequent and rapid changes of direction (Vuckovic, 2004). As such, speed and agility are recognised as important elements of performance in squash (Behm, 1992). Despite the importance of speed and agility in squash, there are currently no specific tests of this aspect of fitness. This surprising as the importance of specific testing is recognised (Muller, 2000) and agility is known to be specific quality that does not transfer to movement patterns that differ from those used in training (Young, 2001).

Squash-specific fitness tests have been developed (Steininger and Wodick, 1987) but only to assess endurance fitness. Furthermore, with the exception of Brookes and Winter (1985) and Chin et al (1995), few studies on squash have quantified the high-intensity exercise capabilities of squash players. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to validate a squash-specific test designed to assess speed and agility.

7. Detailed description of the proposed methodology (e.g. procedure, materials,
On arrival at the test venue, body mass and stature will be measured using calibrated balance beam scales and a stadiometer respectively.

Participants will attend two habituation visits prior to data collection where they will perform two speed and agility tests (one squash-specific and the other a general field-based test) without data being collected. Following habituation, participants will attend four test sessions in total. In random order, participants will complete two test sessions on a squash-specific speed and agility test, 24 hours apart and two test sessions of the Illinois agility again separated by 24 hours. Tests will be performed at approximately the same time of day and in the same footwear and clothing.

All participants will complete a standardised warm-up comprising five minutes of jogging, followed by four runs through the test being performed that day at approximately 50, 60, 70 and 80 % of perceived maximum effort to warm-up the specific muscle groups required for the movements involved. Each run through will be separated by 60 s recovery. A four-minute period of static stretching of the quadriceps, hamstrings, gastrocnemius and soleus muscle groups will follow the sub-maximal runs. Participants will then perform three all-out efforts on each test with two minutes recovery between efforts. Performance time will be recorded using an electronic stopwatch.

The squash-specific test will involve squash-specific movements around a set of cones on a squash court. The precise movements patterns will be devised through consultation with a qualified coach and from match analysis studies. The Illinois test will be carried out in accordance with the original test description by Cureton (1951).

Independent T-tests will be used to examine differences in test performance on both tests between a group of trained squash players and a group of trained footballers. Pearson’s correlation will examine the association between test performances. Spearman’s rank will examine relationships between squash player rank and performance on both tests. Typical error will be used to assess reproducibility of scores.

8. Will an undergraduate be involved in data collection, e.g. as a research assistant? YES
    NO
    If so it is the supervisor’s responsibility to ensure that they are fully aware of all ethical procedures and issues.

9. Is approval required from another Ethics Committee (e.g. NHS)? YES
    NO
    If approval is required from another Ethics Committee what is the current status of your application?

10. Is the proposed study a continuation of an existing study that has already received ethical approval? YES- NO

11. Participant information (number, age, sex, and whether vulnerable):
    If more than one study is proposed provide separate information for each.
    Approximately 10 men county-standard squash players and 10 men University first team football players aged 18-30 will be recruited for the study. No vulnerable persons will be sought.
12. In the case of healthy volunteers how and from where will they be sought?
Participants will be sought through contacts in the Northumbria University sports clubs and via posters in the University sports centre.

13. Will participants receive any payments/expenses? YES NO
If so please describe: __________________________________________________________

14. What significant discomfort (physical, social, or psychological), inconvenience, or danger may be caused?
All-out sprints will result in transient feelings of general exertion but these will disappear after completion of the tests.

15. What measures will be adopted to protect participant anonymity, and where appropriate confidentiality?
All data will be coded to retain anonymity. Paper copies will be stored in a locked filing cabinet and electronic data will be password protected. Only the supervisor and investigator will have access to the data.

16. Have you consulted the appropriate Risk Assessment Form(s)? YES NO
If YES, which document(s) (insert the relevant code numbers): Exercise_04
What is the overall risk rating? Moderate
What are the main risks and their control measures for the Risk Assessment(s) referred to above? (list below)

Musculoskeletal injury
Minor. (C2xL1=R2) Extra demand is placed on the musculoskeletal system when performing all-out physical activity. Control measures: pre-screening for old/existing injuries and a thorough warm up prior to exercise.

Cardiovascular complications
Acceptable. (C3xL1=R3) Extra strain is placed on the cardiovascular system when exercising. Control measures: pre-screening questionnaire to assess the participant’s current level of fitness and status of health. At least one trained first aider to be present during the test.

Subject vomiting
Moderate. (C2xL2=R4) When exercising maximally a participant may vomit. Control measures: A bucket and spillage kit are present to collect any vomit and clean any spillage.

Subject fainting or feeling nauseous
Moderate. (C2xL2=R4) Following maximal intensity exercise a participant may feel faint/nauseous. Control measures: the participant is closely monitored after the test and is instructed to lie prone with feet elevated if they feel nauseous.

Subject stumbling during the test Moderate. (C2xL2=R4) The participant will be moving at high speeds during and when changing direction. Control measures: allow the participant accommodation trials before the exercise test.

If NO, you will need to complete a new Risk Assessment Form and include it with
17. Proposed start date(s) and approximate duration: February 2006 - March 2006

Declaration by the researcher

I confirm that the information provided in this form is accurate. I have considered the ethical/risk issues and I am satisfied that the project does not violate the ethical guidelines of the University or cause undue harm to investigator and participants. I understand that I may not proceed with data collection until this form has been formally approved, and until all participants have provided written first-person informed consent (where appropriate). I understand that I may not make any changes to the project without prior approval from the Chair of the SEC.

Signature of proposer. Date: 

This submission has been assessed by two independent reviewers, and all ethical issues have been addressed. A Risk Assessment has been conducted. This submission has now been passed by the Division of Sport Science Ethics Committee

Signature of Supervisor: Date: 

Signature of 2nd Reviewer: Date:
PARTICIPANT INFORMATION.

TITLE OF PROJECT:

Participant ID Number:

Principal Investigator: Andrew Sutherland
Investigator contact details: Email: a.sutherland@unn.ac.uk

INFORMATION TO POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS

1. What is the purpose of the project?
The aim of this project is to examine the usefulness of a new test of speed and agility designed for squash players.

2. Why have I been selected to take part?
You are either a male county-standard squash player or University first team footballer (comparison group) aged 18-30 and are regularly training and competing.

3. What will I have to do?
You will be required to attend the university two times to be shown and experience two speed and agility tests that you will undertake, then you will be required to make another four visits to perform each of two tests twice. The two test sessions on each test will be 24 hours apart. Following a standard warm-up, your height and body weight will be measured. You will then have three attempts at the test being performed that day. Your time to complete the test course will be recorded and your best score used for analysis. Both tests involve short sprints with changes of direction around sets of cones. Both tests will be performed indoors. One of these tests is a general agility test (that will be performed in a sports hall), the other has been designed to replicate the movements patterns used in squash (this will be performed on one of the University squash courts).

Each test session will last approximately 30 minutes.

4. What are the exclusion criteria (i.e. are there any reasons why I should not take part)?
If you are injured or have any other medical condition that will prevent you from performing all-out, short-duration exercise with rapid direction changes.

5. Will my participation involve any physical discomfort?
You will experience general feelings of exertion during the tests, but these feelings will disappear after completion.

6. Will my participation involve any psychological discomfort or embarrassment?
No
7. Will I have to provide any bodily samples (i.e. blood, saliva)?
   No

8. How will confidentiality be assured?
   Your data will be coded with the key code accessible only to the investigator and stored separately from your data.

9. Who will have access to the information that I provide?
   The investigator and the supervisor

10. How will my information be stored / used in the future?
    The data might be published in a peer reviewed journal and / or presented at a conference but no individual identifying information will be used. Data and consent forms will normally be stored for three years then destroyed.

11. Has this investigation received appropriate ethical clearance?
    Yes

12. Will I receive any financial rewards / travel expenses for taking part?
    No

13. How can I withdraw from the project?
    You can withdraw at any time simply by contacting the investigator on the email provided below.

14. If I require further information who should I contact and how?
    Andrew Sutherland email: a.sutherland@unn.ac.uk
INFORMED CONSENT FORM

TITLE OF PROJECT: Validity of a squash-specific speed and agility test

Participant ID Number:

Please read and complete this form carefully.

____________________________________________________________________________
I have read and understood the Participant Information Sheet. □

I have had an opportunity to ask questions and discuss this study and have received satisfactory answers. □

I understand I am free to withdraw from the study at any time, without having to give a reason for withdrawing, and without prejudice. □

I agree to take part in this study. Q

I would like to receive feedback on the overall results of the study at the email address given below. I understand that I will not receive individual feedback on my own performance. Q

Email address...........................................................................................................

Signature of participant......................................................... Date

(NAME IN BLOCK LETTERS)...........................................................................

Signature of Parent / Guardian in the case of a minor

Signature of researcher............................................................... Date.

(NAME IN BLOCK LETTERS)..................................................................................
PARTICIPANT DEBRIEF

TITLE OF PROJECT: Validity of a squash-specific speed and agility test
Principal Investigator: Andrew Sutherland

Investigator contact details: Email: a.sutherland@unn.ac.uk

Participant Identification Number:__________

1. What was the purpose of the project?
   To assess the usefulness of squash-specific test of speed and agility

2. How will I find out about the results?
   a summary of the results can be emailed to you if you have requested this on the consent form

3. Will I receive any individual feedback
   No

4. What will happen to the information I have provided?
   The data will be used in an undergraduate dissertation and might also be published and / or presented at a conference but there will be no way of linking the output to you

5. How will the results be disseminated?
   Peer reviewed journal and / or conference presentation

6. Have I been deceived in any way during the project?
   No

7. If I change my mind and wish to withdraw the information I have provided, how do I do this?
   Contact the investigator on the email provided above within one month of your final test session

If you have any concerns or worries concerning the way in which this research has been conducted, or if you have requested, but did not receive feedback from the principal investigator concerning the general outcomes of the study within a few weeks after the study has concluded, then please contact Professor Kenny Coventry via email at kenny.coventry@unn.ac.uk, or via telephone on 0191 2437027.
Least Products Regression output.

Performance time to exhaustion - squash-specific change-of-direction speed test.

All the derivatives will be calculated numerically.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Loss funct</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.220100000</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
<td>1.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.169537254</td>
<td>-.00045417</td>
<td>.994366154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.154973792</td>
<td>.105854790</td>
<td>.986565411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.141741011</td>
<td>.331765984</td>
<td>.968095001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.137008499</td>
<td>.496688011</td>
<td>.953835267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.136454287</td>
<td>.526514798</td>
<td>.950960106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.136438731</td>
<td>.522970563</td>
<td>.951194158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.136438671</td>
<td>.522342978</td>
<td>.951245353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.136438671</td>
<td>.522326672</td>
<td>.951246765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run stopped after 8 major iterations.
Optimal solution found.

Performance time to exhaustion - Illinois Agility Run.

All the derivatives will be calculated numerically.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Loss funct</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.009400000</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
<td>1.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.719484266</td>
<td>.000525903</td>
<td>1.00807148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.632591598</td>
<td>-.79293610</td>
<td>1.06123344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.614925817</td>
<td>-1.1630031</td>
<td>1.08804119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.549885271</td>
<td>-2.7564600</td>
<td>1.19339383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.549743114</td>
<td>-2.7869107</td>
<td>1.19560241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.549740833</td>
<td>-2.7930686</td>
<td>1.19603708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2.549740829</td>
<td>-2.7933737</td>
<td>1.19605743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.549740829</td>
<td>-2.7934087</td>
<td>1.19605973</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run stopped after 8 major iterations.
Optimal solution found.
## Group Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois squash</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.7450</td>
<td>.66078</td>
<td>.20896</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois non squash</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.7880</td>
<td>.41421</td>
<td>.13099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec squash</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.9020</td>
<td>.43749</td>
<td>.13835</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec non squash</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.1960</td>
<td>.34069</td>
<td>.10774</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Independent Samples Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois squash</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.7450</td>
<td>.66078</td>
<td>.20896</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois non squash</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.7880</td>
<td>.41421</td>
<td>.13099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec squash</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.9020</td>
<td>.43749</td>
<td>.13835</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec non squash</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.1960</td>
<td>.34069</td>
<td>.10774</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### t-test for Equality of Means

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Difference Lower</th>
<th>Difference Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec squash</td>
<td>1.332</td>
<td>.264</td>
<td>-7.380</td>
<td>16.981</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>-1.29400</td>
<td>.17535</td>
<td>-.92402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec squash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 95% Confidence Intervals of the Difference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-.92402</td>
<td>.92402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Levene's Test for Equality of Variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois squash</td>
<td>.360</td>
<td>.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois non squash</td>
<td>-.174</td>
<td>.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec squash</td>
<td>1.332</td>
<td>.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec non squash</td>
<td>-.174</td>
<td>.864</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Independent Samples Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois squash</td>
<td>.360</td>
<td>.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois non squash</td>
<td>-.174</td>
<td>.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec squash</td>
<td>1.332</td>
<td>.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squaspec non squash</td>
<td>-.174</td>
<td>.864</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CORRELATIONS
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## Correlations

[DataSet1] C:\Documents and Settings\Mic\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Nov 08\Study 3 - squash speed and agility test\test data.sav

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Illionois squash player best score</th>
<th>Squash player suash tst best</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illionois squash player best score</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation 1 .321</td>
<td>Squash player suash tst best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.365</td>
<td>N 10 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

202
Nonparametric Correlations

[DataSet] C:\Documents and Settings\Mic\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Nov 08\Study 3 - squash speed and agility test\test data.sav

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spearman's rho</th>
<th>Illinios squash player best score</th>
<th>Squash player best score</th>
<th>Squash player rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinios squash player best score</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.673**</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squash player best score</td>
<td>.673**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.770**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.033</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
SPORT AND EXERCISE RESEARCH ETHICS
REVIEW GROUP

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF RESEARCH

In designing research involving humans, principal investigators should be able to demonstrate a clear intention of benefit to society and the research should be based on sound principles. These criteria will be considered by the Ethics Committee before approving a project. ALL of the following details must be provided, either typewritten or word-processed preferably at least in 11 point font.

Please either tick the appropriate box or provide the information required.

1. Date of Application 12th November 2007

2. Anticipated Date of Completion January 2008

3. Title of Investigation Validity and reproducibility of a squash-specific repeated sprint test.

4. Subject Area Physiology of exercise

5. Principal Investigator
   Name Mick Wilkinson
   Email address Mic.wilkinson(a)unn.ac.uk
   Telephone/mobile number 0191 2437097 / 07754 870997
   Student number 10044067

6. Is this
   6.1 a research project? [ ]
   6.2 an undergraduate project? [ ]
8. Intended duration and timing of project

Data collection is planned to commence in December 2007 for completion by the end of January 2008. Subsequent analysis and write-up are planned for completion by February 2008 with intended submission in May 2008.

6.3 a postgraduate project? [ √ ]

7. Director of Studies/ Supervisor/Tutor

Professor Edward M Winter

9. Location of project

(If parts are external to SHU, provide evidence in support in section 19)

All testing will be carried out in the exercise physiology laboratories at Northumbria University.

10. Is this study

10.1 Collaborative? [ √ ]

If yes please include appropriate agreements in section 19

For facilities only

10.2.1 Replication [ ] of

10.2.2 New [ √ ]

11. Participants

11.1 Number

16-20

11.2 Rationale for this number:

(eg calculations of sample size)

A power calculation based on effect size of performance time differences between squash and non-squash players on a squash-specific speed and agility test revealed an ES of 3.33. The squash specific repeated sprint test is simply the speed and agility test repeated. The resulting estimation of sample size for a power of 0.8 was 4 subjects per group which clearly will not be a representative sample. A such group sizes of between 8-10 will be sought

11.3 Criteria for inclusion and exclusion for example age and gender:

Inclusion: male, 18-35 yrs of age, University 1st team standard in squash or football, a minimum of 5 years playing experience at the specified competitive levels, a frequency of participation of at least 3 sessions weekly, and satisfactory medical pre-screening results.

Exclusion: participants of the required standard in both sports, non-satisfaction of any of the above inclusion criteria.
11.4 Procedures for recruitment for example location and methods: Participants will be sought via contacts with the University sports teams. Teams will be given a verbal presentation of the purpose and procedures of the study. Participant information sheets and informed consent forms will be disseminated with a seven day cooling off period allowed between signature and commencement of testing.

11.5 Does the study have *minors or Vulnerable adults as participants? Yes [ ] No [ V ]

11.6 Is CRB disclosure required for the Principal Investigator? Yes [ ] No [ V ]

(To be determined by risk assessment) If yes, is standard [ ] or enhanced [ ] disclosure required?

11.7 If you ticked 'Yes' in 11.5 and 'No' in 11.6 please explain why:

*Minors are participants under the age of 18 years. Vulnerable adults are participants over the age of 16 years who are likely to exhibit:
  a) learning difficulties
  b) physical illness/impairment
  c) mental illness/impairment
  d) advanced age
  e) any other condition that might render them vulnerable

12. Purpose and benefit of investigation
Statement of the research problem with any necessary background information.
(No more than 1 side of A4) ____________________________
Intermittent activity pattern with mean rally lengths of 16-21 s and recovery times of 10-16 s between rallies (Montpetit, 1990; Hughes and Robertson, 1998). Heart rate quickly reaches a steady state equivalent to 80-90% of predicted maximum (Brown and Winter, 1995), mean $\text{VO}_2$ values are approximately 42 mlkg$^{-1}$min$^{-1}$ (74% $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$) (Gillam et al., 1990; Todd et al., 1998), and mean blood lactate concentrations are between 2 and 4 mmol-l$^{-1}$ (Beauchamp and Montpetit, 1980; Noakes et al., 1982; Mercier et al., 1987). These responses suggest that intramuscular phosphates and $\text{O}_2$ stores are used during the short duration, high intensity rallies and are replenished by oxidative metabolism during the short recovery periods. The $\text{VChmax}$ values of 62—66 mlkg$^{-1}$min$^{-1}$ and Wingate peak powers of 12.5—13.5 W kg$^{-1}$ in elite male players confirm the importance of both high anaerobic and aerobic power for successful performance (Chin et al., 1995; Brown et al., 1998).

In common with multiple-sprint activities such as soccer, basketball, and other racket sports, the specific movement patterns and demands of squash provide a unique challenge to physiologists in their attempts to produce valid and reliable assessments of physiological factors relevant to squash performance. Only specific yet controlled tests can provide truly useful data from which to assess players’ strengths and weaknesses for training purposes and to track sport-specific training adaptations that might otherwise go undetected by conventional non-specific laboratory procedures (Muller et al., 2000).

**Research problem.**

While there have been published protocols for the assessment of squash-specific aerobic capabilities (Steininger and Wodick, 1987; Girard et al., 2005), currently there appear to be no published squash-specific tests for repeated sprint capabilities that might be a more powerful performance predictor due to similarity with game specific movement patterns, rest: exercise ratios, and energy systems interplay. A repeated sprint test developed for hockey showed significant and strong relationships to performance measures from match play and could also discriminate between players of different standards (Boddington et al., 2004). An appropriate test for assessment of squash-specific repeated sprint capability needs to consider the multi-directional, short distance nature of squash movements. Vuckovic et al. (2004) reported that more than 40% of movements in a rally occurred within 1 m of the T position, and the maximum single movement distance is unlikely to be more than 3.5 m (the diagonal distance from T to one racquet length from the court corner). In fact most squash movements are not in a straight line, so a truly specific test would also need to encompass an element of agility or the ability to control multiple changes of direction at speed.

**Purpose of the study.**

The purpose of the study is to examine the validity and reproducibility of a squash-specific repeated sprint test.

**Benefits.**

The test can be examined for its ability to predict performance and could also be used as an outcome measure in studies to investigate physiological determinants of squash-specific repeated sprint ability.
13. Details of the research design and protocols

13.1 Provide details.
Following habituation with test procedures, participants (8-10 trained squash players and 8-10 trained footballers) will undertake three tests including a treadmill assessment of $V_C$, Bakers $8 \times 40$ m shuttle test (BST), and the squash-specific repeated sprint test (SSRST). All tests will be performed on separate days with the treadmill test occurring first and the order of the other two tests randomised and counterbalanced. Approximately 7 days later, participants will undertake the BST and the SSRST a second time to examine reproducibility of test performance.

On arrival at the test venue, body mass and stature will be measured using calibrated balance beam scales and a stadiometer respectively.

**Treadmill Test.**
After a standardised warm-up, participants will run on a motorised treadmill at a starting speed of 13 km/h with the speed increasing every minute up to 16 km/h after which the speed remains constant but the gradient of the treadmill increases by 1% every minute. The participant continues on the test until they reach volitional fatigue. Throughout the test expired air is collected breath by breath for subsequent calculation of $V_{C\text{max}}$ using the Quark B2 (Cosmed, Italy) and heart rate is recorded beat by beat using a heart rate telemetry (Polar OY, Finland). Performance time for the test is also recorded using an electronic timer. $V_{C\text{max}}$ will be used to characterise the general training status of the participants.

**Baker's $8 \times 40$ m shuttle test.**
Participants complete a standardised warm-up of four minutes jogging the shuttle course at 50% of maximum effort, then a final minute containing one completion of the course at 70% maximum effort and one completion of the course at 90% maximum effort with jog recoveries. The Baker's shuttle course comprises two cones placed 20 m apart with a central cone at 10 m between them. Participants start at the central cone and after a 3-2-1 countdown sprint all-out to one end cone, change direction and sprint to the opposite end cone before again changing direction to finish at the central cone. Twenty seconds recovery is allowed before commencing the next sprint. Time to complete each sprint is recorded to the nearest 100th of a second using an electronic timer.

**Squash-specific repeated sprint test.**
After a standardised warm-up as stated above, participants complete a repetition of the SSRST course which comprises two laps of the course marked out using cones. The layout and path through the SSRST course are shown on the appended diagram. Participants must move between and around the large inner cones to reach out and touch the smaller outer cones with the fingers of one hand. Participants are allowed twenty seconds recovery between each sprint and must complete 10 sprints. Each sprint is performed all-out with performance time to the nearest 100th of a second recorded using an electronic timer.

**Physiological measures.**
Heart rate will be recorded continuously during each repeated sprint test and blood lactate concentration will be measured pre and post each test from a finger prick blood sample.

13.2 Are these "minor" procedures as defined in Appendix I of the ethics guidelines? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ V ]

13.3 If you answered 'No' in Section 13.2, list the procedures that are not minor. All-out SSRST and BST runs, $V_{C\text{hr}}$ assessment.
14. Indicative methods of analysis

14.1 Provide details of the quantitative and qualitative analysis to be used.

\( \dot{V}O_2\max \) will be calculated as the highest 30-s stationary retrograde time mean of the breath-by-breath data from the treadmill test. Fatigue index from the SSRST and the BST will be calculated as the percentage change from the mean of the first two sprints and the mean of the final two sprints. Following verification of underlying assumptions, independent samples T-tests will be performed between squash and non-squash players to examine differences between total performance time, fatigue index, peak and mean heart rate and pre and post test blood lactate on both the SSRST and the BST. Differences in peak and mean heart rate and pre and post test blood lactate concentration within groups across the two tests will be examined using paired samples T-tests.

15. Substances to be administered (Refer to Appendix V of the ethics guidelines)

15.1 The protocol does not involve the administration of pharmacologically active substances or nutritional supplements. *(Please tick the box if this statement applies and go to section 16)* ✔

15.2 Name and state the risk category for each substance. If a COSHH assessment is required state how the risks are to be managed.

16. Degree of discomfort that participants might experience

16.1 To consider the degree of physical or psychological discomfort that will be experienced by the participants. State the details which must be included in the participant information sheet to ensure that the participants are fully informed about any discomfort that they may experience.

Participants will experience feelings associated with maximal exertion near the end of \( \dot{V}O_2\max \) testing which will dissipate quickly after completion. There will also be local muscular and general sensations of fatigue associated with maximal exertion in the SSRST and the BST however these tests are of short duration and fatigue will dissipate quickly after completion. Participants may also experience slight discomfort associated with the finger prick blood sampling.

17. Outcomes of Risk Assessment
17.1 Provide details of the control measures arising out of the assessment of risk including the nature of supervision and support required during the experimental phase of the project.

General control measures for \( V\text{Ch}_{\text{max}} \) and all-out repeated sprint tests.

1. Pre-screening medical questionnaire. 2. Strict adherence to the agreed protocol which includes a warm-up and cool-down. 3. The participant is monitored by a trained first aider following the test. 4. Heart rate is continually monitored. 5. Visual communication is maintained between investigator and participant throughout the exercise test. 6. All breathing apparatus are sterilised prior to and after use. 7. Shoe laces are secured. 8. At least 2 people are present at the exercise test. 8. Capillary blood sampling is performed by a trained phlebotomist in accordance with the risk assessed and approved procedures for the correct handling of human blood.

18. Safe System of Work

18.1 Indicate how the control measures outlined in section 17.1 will be implemented to minimise the risks in undertaking the research protocol (refer to 13.1). State the technical skills needed by the Principal Investigator to ensure safe working.

The principal investigator is a trained first aider and phlebotomist, he is also aware of the correct implementation of control measures stated in the risk assessment documentation.

19. Attachments

(Place a tick in the appropriate description)

19.1 Risk Assessment(s) (Include CRB risk assessment) N]

19.2 COSHH Assessment [ ]

19.2 Participant Information Sheet [V]

19.3 Informed Consent Form [V]

19.4 Pre-Test Medical Questionnaire [V]

19.5 Collaboration evidence/support (see 10) [ ]

19.6 Collaboration facilities (see 9) [V]

19.7 Clinical Trials Form (FIN 12) [ ]
20. Signature
Principal Investigator

Once this application is approved, I will undertake the study as approved. If circumstances necessitate that changes are made to the approved protocol, I will discuss these with my Project Supervisor. If the supervisor advises that there should be a resubmission to the Ethics Committee, I agree that no work will be carried out using the changed protocol until approval has been sought and formally received.

21. Approval
Project Supervisor to sign off EITHER box A OR box B as applicable.

(refer to Appendix 1 and the flowchart in appendix VI of the ethics guidelines)

Box A:
1 confirm that the experimental protocol contained in this proposal is based solely on 'minor' procedures, as outlined in Appendix 1 of the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Operating Group Procedures for the Use of Humans in Research document, and therefore does not need to be submitted to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Operating Group.

In terms of ethics approval, I agree the 'minor' procedures proposed here and confirm that the Principal Investigator may proceed with the study as designed.

Project Supervisor.................................................................Date......................

Box B:
I confirm that the experimental protocol contained in this proposal is not based solely on 'minor' procedures, as outlined in Appendix 1 of the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Operating Group Procedures for the Use of Humans in Research document, and therefore must be submitted to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Operating Group for approval.
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Participant Information Sheet

Project Title: Validity and reproducibility of a squash-specific repeated sprint test.

Supervisor/Director of Studies: Professor Edward M Winter

Principal Investigator: Mick Wilkinson

Principal Investigator telephone/mobile number: 0191 2437097 / 07754 870997

Purpose of Study and Brief Description of Procedures  
(Not a legal explanation but a simple statement)
Background to the Study.
In common with multiple-sprint activities such as soccer, basketball and other racket sports, the specific movement patterns and demands of squash provide a unique challenge to physiologists in their attempts to produce valuable and consistent assessments of elements of fitness relevant to squash performance. Only sport-specific yet controlled tests can provide truly useful data from which to assess players' strengths and weaknesses for training purposes and to track sport-specific training adaptations that might otherwise go undetected by conventional non-specific fitness tests. Currently there are no squash-specific tests for repeated sprint ability that might be a powerful performance predictor due to similarity with movement patterns and rest to exercise ratios of actual game play.

Purpose of the Study.
Therefore, the purpose of this study is to examine the value and consistency of a squash-specific repeated sprint test.

Procedures.
You will be required to make 5 visits in total to the University for laboratory testing (3 visits in one week then 2 visits in the following week). On arrival for your first visit, your height and body weight will be measured. Following these basic measures and a standardised warm-up the following tests will be completed in this order:

Visit 1.
You will be required to complete a treadmill test to assess your maximum aerobic fitness level. The treadmill test will take place on a motorised treadmill. Following a standard warm-up, you will be fitted with a nose and mouth mask for collection of your expired breath during the tests, and a chest belt to record heart rate during the test. You will then be required to run at 13 km/h with the speed increasing every minute up to 16 km/h, then continuing to run at that speed with the treadmill slope increasing by 1% every minute until you feel you cannot continue. The latter test stages will feel particularly tough but fatigue will disappear quickly afterwards.

Visits 2 and 3.
In the second and third visits you will be required to complete a squash-specific repeated sprint test and a non-squash specific repeated sprint test. You will only perform one of these on each day.
The squash-specific repeated sprint test involves all-out squash-related movements around a course of cones with frequent and rapid changes of direction. The course is very short and takes around than 20 seconds to complete. You are allowed twenty seconds recovery between each sprint and must complete 10 sprints. Your time to complete each sprint will be recorded.
The non-squash specific test has two cones placed 20 m apart with a central cone at 10 m between them. Starting at the central cone and after a 3-2-1 countdown you sprint all-out to one end cone, change direction and sprint to the opposite end cone before again changing direction to finish at the central cone. Twenty seconds recovery is allowed before commencing the next sprint. Time to complete each sprint is recorded.
Prior to and immediately after each test a small blood sample will be taken from a finger prick by a qualified blood sampler to examine levels of a physiological marker of fatigue. You will also be required to wear a chest strap and wrist watch receiver that will record your heart rate during each test.

Visits 4 and 5.
You will be required to perform the tests described in visits 2 and 3 a second time approximately 7 days later and at the same time of day, with all the same measurements being taken in order to assess consistency of test results.

There will be local muscular and general sensations of fatigue associated with maximal exertion in the repeated sprint tests however these tests are of short duration and fatigue will dissipate quickly after completion. You may also experience slight discomfort associated with the finger prick blood samples.
Your rights as a participant.
Your participation in this study is voluntary. You are free to refuse to start the testing or withdraw at any time in the proceedings without penalty or prejudice and without giving any reason for so doing. No disadvantage will arise from any decision to participate or not.
The results of the research may be published, but your name will not be used, and no individual identifying information will be provided. All data collected will be coded to retain anonymity, and any personal details will be stored in a locked filing cabinet with access limited to the investigator.

If you have any questions concerning the procedures or any other aspects of the project, feel free to contact the principal investigator in person or by telephone on the number given. Some test sessions may be video taped however the video will only be used for further analysis by the investigator and study supervisors. Access to the tapes will be limited to the investigator and the study supervisor. Any further use of the video taped tests will not be undertaken without the express permission of the participant filmed who will of course remain anonymous.

It has been made clear to me that, should I feel that these Regulations are being infringed or that my interests are otherwise being ignored, neglected or denied, I should inform Professor Edward Winter, Chair of the Faculty of Health and Wellbeing Research Ethics Committee (Tel: 0114 225 4333) who will undertake to investigate my complaint.
Faculty of Health and Wellbeing Research Ethics Committee
Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group

INFORMED CONSENT FORM

TITLE OF PROJECT: Validity and reproducibility of a squash-specific repeated sprint test.

The participant should complete the whole of this sheet himself/herself

Have you read the Participant Information Sheet? YES/NO

Have you had an opportunity to ask questions and discuss this study? YES/NO

Have you received satisfactory answers to all of your questions? YES/NO

Have you received enough information about the study? YES/NO

To whom have you spoken?

Do you understand that you are free to withdraw from the study:

• at any time
• without having to give a reason for withdrawing
• and without affecting your future medical care YES/NO

Have you had sufficient time to consider the nature of this project? YES/NO

Do you agree to take part in this study? YES/NO

Signed........................................ Date........................................

(NAME IN BLOCK LETTERS).................................................................

Signature of Parent / Guardian in the case of a minor
Principal Investigator: Mick Wilkinson

Title: Validity and reproducibility of a squash-specific repeated sprint test.

Checklist:

- Application form ✓
- Informed consent form ✓
- Risk assessment form ✓
- Participant information sheet ✓
- Pre-screening form ✓
- Pre-screening form (under 18) n/a
- Collaboration evidence/support ✓
- CRB Disclosure form n/a

Recommendation:

Acceptable:

Not acceptable, see comments:

Acceptable, but see comments: L___

Comments:

1. We advise you to include a group of racket sport players, preferably tennis players as part of the validation.

Signature : ........................................................   Date:

Professor Edward Winter, Chair
Faculty of Health and Wellbeing Research Ethics Committee
Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group

Note: Approval applies until the anticipated date of completion unless there are changes to the procedures, in which case another application should be made.

Name of Tutor / Director of Studies / Supervisor: Edward Winter
13.8 Appendix eight - Study four SPSS output
**T-TEST**

GROUPS = group (1 2)  
/MISSING = ANALYSIS  
/VARIABLES = BTT STTT BHRmax STHRmax Blac STlac Bmass height  
/CRITERIA = CI (.95) .

T-Test

[DataSetO] C:\Documents and Settings\User\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Dec 07\Study 4 - repeated sprint test\Independent T-test data.sav

### Group Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error of Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bakers total time</strong></td>
<td>footballers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72.9575</td>
<td>2.78662</td>
<td>.98522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72.8913</td>
<td>3.88577</td>
<td>1.37383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Squash test total time</strong></td>
<td>footballers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>264.0625</td>
<td>14.43756</td>
<td>5.10445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>232.1050</td>
<td>32.28528</td>
<td>11.41457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BHRmax</strong></td>
<td>footballers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>189.5000</td>
<td>17.40279</td>
<td>6.15282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>171.7500</td>
<td>7.94175</td>
<td>2.80783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STHRmax</strong></td>
<td>footballers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>264.0625</td>
<td>14.43756</td>
<td>5.10445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>232.1050</td>
<td>32.28528</td>
<td>11.41457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blac</strong></td>
<td>footballers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.2750</td>
<td>2.86444</td>
<td>.43994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.1625</td>
<td>1.24434</td>
<td>.43994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STlac</strong></td>
<td>footballers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.1375</td>
<td>2.90808</td>
<td>.49783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.5500</td>
<td>1.94569</td>
<td>.68791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bmass</strong></td>
<td>footballers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>81.9375</td>
<td>11.75438</td>
<td>4.15580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72.8125</td>
<td>7.85883</td>
<td>2.77852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>footballers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.7938</td>
<td>.09471</td>
<td>.03348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>squash players</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.7725</td>
<td>.03536</td>
<td>.01250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Independent Samples Test

#### Levene's test for Equality of Variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bakers total time</strong></td>
<td>1.434</td>
<td>.186</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.969</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
<td>-1.0805</td>
<td>-1.57466, 3.69216</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Squash test total time</strong></td>
<td>8.599</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>2.556</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>31.95750</td>
<td>12.50406</td>
<td>5.13450, 56.77572</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BHRmax</strong></td>
<td>4.626</td>
<td>.054</td>
<td>2.624</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>17.75500</td>
<td>6.70321</td>
<td>3.24535, 32.25545</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blac</strong></td>
<td>3.455</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>2.818</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>3.11250</td>
<td>1.10466</td>
<td>.74351, 5.48069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STlac</strong></td>
<td>1.172</td>
<td>.297</td>
<td>1.970</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.083</td>
<td>1.58750</td>
<td>.44945</td>
<td>-2.23374, 3.44964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bmass</strong></td>
<td>2.787</td>
<td>.122</td>
<td>1.925</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.089</td>
<td>9.12500</td>
<td>4.90988</td>
<td>-1.59687, 19.84687</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>1.720</td>
<td>.231</td>
<td>.995</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.342</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>.03574</td>
<td>.05841, .0470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### t-test for Equality of Means

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bakers total time</strong></td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td>.186</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.969</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
<td>-1.0805</td>
<td>-1.57466, 3.69216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Squash test total time</strong></td>
<td>8.599</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>2.556</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>31.95750</td>
<td>12.50406</td>
<td>5.13450, 56.77572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BHRmax</strong></td>
<td>4.626</td>
<td>.054</td>
<td>2.624</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>17.75500</td>
<td>6.70321</td>
<td>3.24535, 32.25545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blac</strong></td>
<td>3.455</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>2.818</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>3.11250</td>
<td>1.10466</td>
<td>.74351, 5.48069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STlac</strong></td>
<td>1.172</td>
<td>.297</td>
<td>1.970</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.083</td>
<td>1.58750</td>
<td>.44945</td>
<td>-2.23374, 3.44964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bmass</strong></td>
<td>2.787</td>
<td>.122</td>
<td>1.925</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.089</td>
<td>9.12500</td>
<td>4.90988</td>
<td>-1.59687, 19.84687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>1.720</td>
<td>.231</td>
<td>.995</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.342</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>.03574</td>
<td>.05841, .0470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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T-TEST
PAIRS = SHRSt slst fhrst fist WITH shrbt slbt fhrbt flbt (PAIRED)
/CRITERIA = CL (.95)
/MISSING = ANALYSIS.

Paired Samples Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>sq max HR ST</td>
<td>180.0000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.55929</td>
<td>2.67261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>sq max HR BT</td>
<td>171.7500</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.94175</td>
<td>2.80783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sq lactate ST</td>
<td>5.5500</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.94569</td>
<td>.68791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>sq lactate BT</td>
<td>4.1625</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.24434</td>
<td>.43994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ftb max HR ST</td>
<td>186.5000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.95046</td>
<td>4.57868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ftb max HR BT</td>
<td>189.5000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.40279</td>
<td>6.15282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ftb lactate ST</td>
<td>7.1375</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.40808</td>
<td>.49783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ftb lactate BT</td>
<td>7.2750</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.86444</td>
<td>1.01273</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paired Samples Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>sq max HR ST &amp;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.593</td>
<td>.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sq max HR BT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>sq lactate ST &amp;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.773</td>
<td>.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sq lactate BT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ftb max HR ST &amp;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.408</td>
<td>.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ftb max HR BT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ftb lactate ST &amp;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.623</td>
<td>.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ftb lactate BT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paired Samples Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>sq max HR ST -</td>
<td>8.2500</td>
<td>7.00510</td>
<td>2.47668</td>
<td>2.39359</td>
<td>14.10641</td>
<td>3.331</td>
<td>.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sq max HR BT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>sq lactate ST -</td>
<td>1.3875</td>
<td>1.26201</td>
<td>.44619</td>
<td>.33243</td>
<td>2.44257</td>
<td>3.110</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sq lactate BT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ftb max HR ST -</td>
<td>-3.0000</td>
<td>16.93686</td>
<td>4.98808</td>
<td>-17.15957</td>
<td>11.15957</td>
<td>-501</td>
<td>.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ftb max HR BT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ftb lactate ST -</td>
<td>-1.3750</td>
<td>2.27152</td>
<td>.80311</td>
<td>-2.03654</td>
<td>1.76154</td>
<td>-.171</td>
<td>.869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ftb lactate BT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

219
Nonparametric Correlations

[DataSet1] C:\Documents and Settings\User\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Dec 07\Study 4 - repeated sprint test\Spearmans data - study 4.sav

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spearman's rho rank</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
<th>rank</th>
<th>SRST1</th>
<th>BK1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rank</td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.786*</td>
<td>.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRST1</td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>.786*</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.833*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK1</td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>.548</td>
<td>.833*</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.160</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
### Correlations

[DataSetO] C:\Documents and Settings\User\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Dec 07\Study 4 - repeated sprint test\paired sample and correlation data.sav

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>sq total time ST</th>
<th>sq total time BT</th>
<th>ftb total time ST</th>
<th>ftb total time BT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sq total time ST</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.978**</td>
<td>-.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.615</td>
<td>.758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sq total time BT</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.978**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.741</td>
<td>.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ftb total time ST</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.211</td>
<td>-.140</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.615</td>
<td>.741</td>
<td>.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ftb total time BT</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.130</td>
<td>-.179</td>
<td>-.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.758</td>
<td>.672</td>
<td>.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
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APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF RESEARCH

In designing research involving humans, principal investigators should be able to demonstrate a clear intention of benefit to society and the research should be based on sound principles. These criteria will be considered by the Ethics Committee before approving a project. ALL of the following details must be provided, either typewritten or word-processed preferably at least in 11 point font.

Please either tick the appropriate box or provide the information required.

1. Date of Application 8th January 2007

2. Anticipated Date of Completion 30th March 2007

3. Title of Investigation Physiological determinants of squash-specific movement economy

4. Subject Area Physiology of exercise

5. Principal Investigator Name Mick Wilkinson
   Email address Mic.wilkinson@unl.ac.uk
   Telephone/mobile number 0191 2437097
   Student number 10044067

6. Is this

6.1 a research project? [ ]

6.2 an undergraduate project? [ ]
8. Intended duration and timing of project

Data collection is planned to commence in February 2007 for completion by the end of March 2007. Subsequent analysis and write-up are planned for completion by May 2007 with intended submission in June 2007.

6.3 A postgraduate project? [ V ]

PhD

7. Director of Studies/Supervisor/Tutor

Professor Edward M Winter

9. Location of project

(If parts are external to SHU, provide evidence in support in section 19)

All testing will be carried out in the exercise physiology laboratories at Northumbria University.

10. Is this study

10.1 Collaborative? [ V ]

If yes please include appropriate agreements in section 19

For facilities only

10.2.1 Replication [ ] of

10.2.2 New [ V ]

11. Participants

11.1 Number

6-10

11.2 Rationale for this number:

Power calculation based on means and SD for poor and good squash-specific economy from previous unpublished study data. The estimated sample n for a two group design was calculated using the equation of Vincent (1999). The lower estimated n is derived using the smaller of the two group standard deviations from the previous study data, the higher estimated n is derived from a calculation using the largest SD.

11.3 Criteria for inclusion and exclusion for example age and gender:

Inclusion: Male squash players aged 18-40 with at least 5 years playing experience in division one of local leagues or higher, and a competitive playing frequency of at least 3 times per week. Satisfactory pre-test medical screening results

Exclusion: absence of any of the above.
11.4 Procedures for recruitment for example location and methods:

Participants will be volunteers through contacts at local squash clubs. The nature and aims of the study will be explained in a group presentation to the players at each club.

11.5 Does the study have *minors or *vulnerable adults as participants?  
Yes [ ]  No [ V ]

11.6 Is CRB disclosure required for the Principal Investigator?  
(To be determined by risk assessment)
If yes, is standard [ ] or enhanced [ ] disclosure required?

Yes [ ]  No [ V ]

11.7 If you ticked 'Yes' in 11.5 and 'No' in 11.6 please explain why:

*Minors are participants under the age of 18 years. 
Vulnerable adults are participants over the age of 16 years who are likely to exhibit:

a) learning difficulties 

b) physical illness/impairment 

c) mental illness/impairment 

d) advanced age 

e) any other condition that might render them vulnerable

12. Purpose and benefit of investigation

Statement of the research problem with any necessary background information. 
(No more than 1 side of A4)
are similar to that of a continuous endurance type performance. The high and steady heart rates (Brown and Winter, 1995) and oxygen uptakes (VO\textsubscript{2}) (Gillam et al., 1990) together with the low concentrations of blood lactate (Mercier et al., 1987) confirm that despite the intermittent activity pattern, squash is an endurance-based activity.

As such, physiological determinants should be the same as for other continuous endurance activities and with professional matches lasting in excess of 90 minutes, economy could well be a crucial performance determinant.

Movement economy is a well recognised determinant of endurance-based sports performance and has been shown to be a powerful predictor of performance in homogenous groups of elite endurance athletes (Conley and Krahenbuhl, 1980). However most research has focussed on distance running that is continuous in nature. Although the relative importance of mechanical, anthropometric and physiological factors cannot be agreed, it is generally accepted that the ability to use stored elastic energy on each foot strike is a determinant of economy in running and in fact in most types of weight bearing locomotion (Martin and Morgan, 1992; Craib et al., 1996; Jones, 2002; Alexander and Goldspink, 1977; McMahon, 1984). There are also findings supporting explosive strength as a factor leading to improved running economy (Paavolainen et al., 1999).

Movement patterns in squash are varied and unique. When viewed in conjunction with the intermittent nature of activity, the assessment of squash-specific economy becomes a challenging task, however pilot studies have indicated this is possible. Given the importance of economy as a performance determinant in endurance sports there is a need to devise a method of measuring squash-specific movement economy so that physiological factors that underpin economy in squash can be determined.

Research problem.
There is currently no literature examining either economy or physiological determinants of economy in squash or any other multiple sprint activity. As such it is unclear if those factors related to running economy will also be important in high intensity intermittent activity. However, as squash movement is a weight bearing activity, there is at least a theoretical basis for examining the utilisation of elastic energy as potential determinant. The explosive nature of squash movements often from a static start also suggests that muscular factors related to impulse generation are also important. Furthermore, the rapid and regular changes of direction involved in squash movement suggest that neuromuscular factors such as speed and agility are also important. The determination of physiological factors underlying squash-specific economy could lead to specific training to improve this aspect of performance.

Research questions.
Can economy be measured in squash-specific movements with an intermittent activity pattern? Pilot work has suggested it is measurable, but this needs confirming.
Is the ability to utilise the stretch-shortening cycle related to squash-specific economy?
Is explosive strength related to squash-specific economy?
Are speed and agility related to squash-specific economy?

Benefits
Identification of factors determining squash movement economy could lead to more effective training methods and could also be used for talent identification.
13. Details of the research design and protocols
Following habituation with test procedures, participants will undertake tests of factors speed, explosive strength, repeat-sprint ability and reactive muscle strength (ability to use the stretch shortening cycle) and one test of squash-specific economy including assessment of $\dot{V}O_{2 \text{max}}$.

On arrival at the test venue, body mass and stature will be measured using calibrated balance beam scales and a stadiometer respectively. Percentage body fat will be estimated using skinfold callipers and the procedures of Durnin and Wormesley (1974).

**Squash Speed and Agility Test (SSAT).**
Following a suitable standardised warm-up, participants will complete three all-out runs through the SSAT. The SSAT is designed to assess speed and agility in squash specific movements. Unpublished data suggest that the SSAT is a valid and reliable test of squash-specific agility and speed. The best / fastest time through the course will be recorded. Performance time will be measured with a laser timing gate.

**Squash repeat-sprint test (SRST).**
This is similar to the SSAT and is performed on the same course. However, one repetition comprises two laps of the course and a twenty-second recovery is allowed between efforts. The test comprises ten efforts in total. Timing is as described above.

**Explosive strength testing.**
Using protocols described by Young (1995), participants will complete three drop jumps onto a force plate from three different heights (30, 45 and 60 cm). A reactive strength performance score will calculated from maximum jump height (estimated from flight time) divided by contact time on the force plate. Subjects will be instructed to jump for maximum height but with minimum contact time. This test is reported to measure the ability to utilise the SSC reflex in fast SSC activities (< 250 ms) (Young, 1995).

Following a suitable recovery, participants will be required to complete 3 static vertical jumps on a force plate from a standardised starting position for maximum jump height (best score recorded), and 3 counter movement jumps (again best score recorded). Counter movement jump height minus static vertical jump height is another reported measure of SSC ability but in slow SSC activities (> 500 ms) (Young, 1995).

**Economy and $\dot{V}O_{2 \text{max}}$ testing.**
All participants will complete a test that is designed to assess movement economy and $\dot{V}O_{2 \text{max}}$ in an on-court procedure. This will be based on the procedures developed and used by Damon Leedale-Brown with the England Squash program (personal communication). Unpublished data suggest the on-court test is a valid replication of game specific physiological demand. With modifications, the test allows squash-specific movement patterns to be performed at controlled intensities whilst oxygen uptake is measured using the Cortex Metamax 3B portable gas analyser. In addition heart rate will be recorded online via a chest belt transmitter. The movements are performed in a ‘semi random’ manner with the pattern of movement to each portion of the court repeated nominally every minute. This element of randomness is essential to replicate specific squash demands.

Participants will complete two stages of the on-court test. The first stage (level 7) will be performed in a continuous fashion for four minutes. Following a short rest (approx 30 s to allow change of test CD), participants will complete a six minute stage at level 8 of the test (representing the average movement speed of competitive match play). This stage will be performed in an intermittent fashion with 20 s of movement followed by 10 s of rest repeated for the duration of the stage. The continuous exercise stage at level 7 prior to the intermittent stage was adopted as pilot testing showed it attenuated a delayed achievement of steady state ($\dot{V}O_2$) otherwise present in the intermittent exercise stage. It also allows a comparison of economy predictors in squash movement performed continuously and intermittently. Economy will be taken as the mean of the final minute $\dot{V}O_2$ in each exercise stage. Following completion of level 8, the speed of movement (test level) will be increased every minute until volitional failure for the assessment of $\dot{V}O_{2 \text{max}}$. 

13.2 Are these "minor" procedures as defined in Appendix I of the ethics guidelines? Yes [ ] No [ V ]

13.3 If you answered 'No' in Section 13.2, list the procedures that are not minor. All-out SSAT and SRST runs, \( V_{\text{max}} \) assessment, all-out vertical jumps.

14. Indicative methods of analysis

14.1 Provide details of the quantitative and qualitative analysis to be used.

A median split will be performed on the predictor variables (strength SRST and SSAT scores) separating participants into high and low scoring groups. ANCOVA's will then be carried out with high vs low score on the predictors as the independent variables, movement economy as the dependent (outcome) variable, and the actual strength, SRST and SSAT scores as covariates. If the covariate does predict the outcome variable, the adjusted means will differ from the non-adjusted means.

The possibility of performing a multiple linear regression analysis with economy as the dependent variable and strength and SSAT scores as independent variables was excluded as a power analysis estimated a sample size of 66 which was deemed unattainable.

15. Substances to be administered (Refer to Appendix V of the ethics guidelines)

15.1 The protocol does not involve the administration of pharmacologically active substances or nutritional supplements. (Please tick the box if this statement applies and go to section 16) [V ]

15.2 Name and state the risk category for each substance. If a COSHH assessment is required state how the risks are to be managed.

16. Degree of discomfort that participants might experience
16.1 To consider the degree of physical or psychological discomfort that will be experienced by the participants. State the details which must be included in the participant information sheet to ensure that the participants are fully informed about any discomfort that they may experience.

Participants will experience moderate feelings of exertion associated with the economy and V̇\textsubscript{O}\text{\textsc{max}} testing which will dissipate quickly after completion. There will also be local muscular sensations of fatigue associated with maximal exertion in the SSAT, SRST and the maximum jumping tests, however these tests are of very short duration and fatigue will dissipate quickly after completion.

17. Outcomes of Risk Assessment

17.1 Provide details of the control measures arising out of the assessment of risk including the nature of supervision and support required during the experimental phase of the project.

General control measures for sub-maximum and maximum intensity squash movement tests.

1. Pre-screening medical questionnaire. 2. Strict adherence to the agreed protocol which includes a warm-up and cool-down. 3. The participant is monitored by a trained first aider following the test. 4. Heart rate is continually monitored. 5. Visual communication is maintained between investigator and participant throughout the exercise test. 6. all breathing apparatus are sterilised prior to and after use. 7. shoe laces are secured. 8. at least 2 people are present at the exercise test.

General control measures for explosive strength and speed and agility testing.

1. pre-screening medical questionnaire. 2. Strict adherence to the agreed protocol which includes a warm-up and cool-down. 3. The participant is monitored by a trained first aider following the test. 4. shoe laces are secured. 5. at least 2 people are present at the exercise test.

18. Safe System of Work

18.1 Indicate how the control measures outlined in section 17.1 will be implemented to minimise the risks in undertaking the research protocol (refer to 13.1). State the technical skills needed by the Principal Investigator to ensure safe working.

The principal investigator is a trained first aider, he is also aware of the correct implementation of control measures stated in the risk assessment documentation

19. Attachments

(Place a tick in the appropriate description)
19.1 Risk Assessment(s)  
   (Include CRB risk assessment) [V]

19.2 COSHH Assessment  [ ]

19.2 Participant Information Sheet [V]

19.3 Informed Consent Form [V]

19.4 Pre-Test Medical Questionnaire [V]

19.5 Collaboration evidence/support (see 10) [ ]

19.6 Collaboration facilities (see 9) [V]

19.7 Clinical Trials Form (FIN 12) [ ]
20. Signature
Principal Investigator

Once this application is approved, I will undertake the study as approved. If circumstances necessitate that changes are made to the approved protocol, I will discuss these with my Project Supervisor. If the supervisor advises that there should be a resubmission to the Ethics Committee, I agree that no work will be carried out using the changed protocol until approval has been sought and formally received.

21. Approval
Project Supervisor to sign off EITHER box A OR box B as applicable.
(refer to Appendix 1 and the flowchart in appendix VI of the ethics guidelines)

Box A:
I confirm that the experimental protocol contained in this proposal is based solely on 'minor' procedures, as outlined in Appendix 1 of the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Operating Group Procedures for the Use of Humans in Research document, and therefore does not need to be submitted to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Operating Group.

In terms of ethics approval, I agree the 'minor' procedures proposed here and confirm that the Principal Investigator may proceed with the study as designed.

Project Supervisor.................................................................Date....................

Box B:
I confirm that the experimental protocol contained in this proposal is not based solely on 'minor' procedures, as outlined in Appendix 1 of the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Operating Group Procedures for the Use of Humans in Research document, and therefore must be submitted to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Operating Group for approval.

I confirm that the appropriate preparatory work has been undertaken and that this document is in a fit state for submission to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Operating Group.

Project Supervisor.................................................................Date....................

22. Signature
Technician

I confirm that I have seen the full and approved application for ethics approval and technical support will be provided.

Technician.................................................................Date....................
Faculty of Health and Wellbeing Research Ethics Committee
Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group

Participant Information Sheet

**Project Title**  
Physiological determinants of squash-specific movement economy

**Supervisor/Director of Studies**  
Professor Edward M Winter

**Principal Investigator**  
Mick Wilkinson

**Principal Investigator telephone/mobile number**  
0191 2437097 / 07754 870997

**Purpose of Study and Brief Description of Procedures**  
(Not a legal explanation but a simple statement)
Background to the Study.
Although the activity pattern of squash is intermittent, the body responses to play are similar to other continuous type endurance sports. In endurance sports, movement economy or the ability to minimise the energy cost of movement is recognised as a powerful predictor of performance and seems to be related to (among other things) aspects of explosive muscle strength.

There have been no investigations of movement economy in squash, probably due to the difficulty of actually measuring it with the unique movements involved in the sport. However, finding a way to measure squash-specific movement economy and aspects of explosive muscle performance could result in the design of specific forms of training to improve this aspect of performance.

Purpose of the Study.
The purpose of this investigation is to measure movement economy in controlled squash-specific movements and to assess links between economy and various measures of speed and explosive muscle strength.

Procedures.
You will be required to make one visit to the University for laboratory testing. On arrival, your height and body weight will be measured
Following these basic measures and a standardised warm-up the following tests will be completed in this order:

1. A squash-specific test of speed and agility - This test involves all-out squash-related movements around a course of cones with frequent and rapid changes of direction. The course is very short and takes less than 15 seconds to complete. This test will be repeated 3 times with appropriate recovery between each attempt and your best score will be recorded.

2. Squash-specific movement economy and maximum endurance fitness - for this test you will be required to perform ghosting type movements (movements without a ball) to four marked corners from a T position. The corners are numbered and a CD calls out the numbers of the corners you must move to. You need to move to the specified corner and return back to the T before the next number is called. During this test you will be wearing a small shoulder harness carrying an analyser to measure the carbon dioxide and oxygen concentrations of your exhaled breath. Your breath is collected by wearing a latex mask that fits over your nose and mouth. You will also be wearing a chest strap against your skin to record your heart rate.
   The ghosting exercise has two parts. In part 1 of testing you will be required to move in a continuous fashion for four minutes while your oxygen use is analysed as a measurement of your economy.
   In part 2, movements will be continuous and the speed of movement will be increased every minute until you cannot continue. This portion of the test will commence one test level above part 1, and following a short recovery from part one. It will feel very strenuous near the end of the test though this will not be different from feelings of exertion you will have experienced during very hard rallies or training. The feelings of fatigue will disappear shortly after test completion.

3. A racket accuracy task that requires you to hit a squash ball to a target on the wall from a self-hand feed ten times.

4. Completion of a questionnaire that assesses your attitude towards and preference for exercising at different intensities.

5. A squash-specific repeated sprint test - This test involves all-out squash-related movements around a course of cones with frequent and rapid changes of direction. The course is very short and takes around 20-30 seconds to complete. You are allowed twenty seconds recovery between each sprint and must complete 10 sprints. Your time to complete each sprint will be recorded. This test is very strenuous and you will feel out of breath for a short while after you finish.
Your rights as a participant.
Your participation in this study is voluntary. You are free to refuse to start the testing or withdraw at any time in the proceedings without penalty or prejudice and without giving any reason for so doing. No disadvantage will arise from any decision to participate or not. The results of the research may be published, but your name will not be used, and no individual identifying information will be provided. All data collected will be coded to retain anonymity, and any personal details will be stored in a locked filing cabinet with access limited to the investigator.

As a participant in this study you will be given confidential feedback about your test results which will be your maximal physical fitness (VO₂max) your movement economy score, and your speed and repeated sprint scores. This information may aid you in your training.

If you have any questions concerning the procedures or any other aspects of the project, feel free contact the principal investigator in person or by telephone on the number given. Some test sessions may be video taped however the video will only be used for further analysis by the investigator and study supervisors. Access to the tapes will be limited to the investigator and the study supervisor. Any further use of the video taped tests will not be undertaken without the express permission of the participant filmed who will of course remain anonymous.

It has been made clear to me that, should I feel that these Regulations are being infringed or that my interests are otherwise being ignored, neglected or denied, I should inform Professor Edward Winter, Chair of the Faculty of Health and Wellbeing Research Ethics Committee (Tel: 0114 225 4333) who will undertake to investigate my complaint.
INFORMED CONSENT FORM

TITLE OF PROJECT: Physiological determinants of squash-specific movement economy

The participant should complete the whole of this sheet himself/herself

Have you read the Participant Information Sheet? YES/NO

Have you had an opportunity to ask questions and discuss this study? YES/NO

Have you received satisfactory answers to all of your questions? YES/NO

Have you received enough information about the study? YES/NO

To whom have you spoken?

Do you understand that you are free to withdraw from the study:

• at any time
• without having to give a reason for withdrawing
• and without affecting your future medical care YES/NO

Have you had sufficient time to consider the nature of this project? YES/NO

Do you agree to take part in this study? YES/NO

Signed………………………………………………….. Date……………………………………

(NAME IN BLOCK LETTERS)…………………………………………………………………….

Signature of Parent / Guardian in the case of a minor
Principal Investigator: Mick Wilkinson

Title: Physiological determinants of squash-specific movement economy.

Checklist:  
- Application form  
- Informed consent form  
- Risk assessment form  
- Participant information sheet  
- Pre-screening form  
- Pre-screening form (under 18) n/a  
- Collaboration evidence/support  
- CRB Disclosure form n/a

Recommendation:

Acceptable:

Not acceptable, see comments:

Acceptable, but see comments:  

Comments:

See reviewers comments attached.

Risk assessment should be signed by yourself.

............................................................. .............................................................  Date: M M , %dT  

Signature: ..........................................................  Date: 

Professor Edward Winter, Chair
Faculty of Health and Wellbeing Research Ethics Committee
Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group

Note: Approval applies until the anticipated date of completion unless there are changes to the procedures, in which case another application should be made.

Comments from the Review Group have been addressed.

Signature of Tutor / Director of Studies / Supervisor

............................................................. .............................................................  Date: 

Name of Tutor / Director of Studies / Supervisor: Edward Winter
13.10  Appendix ten - Study five SPSS output
FILE='C:\Documents and Settings\User\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Dec 07\stud1' + 'y 5 - performance and fitnes determinants\Study 5 data.sav'.
DATASET NAME DataSet1 WINDOW=FRONT.
CORRELATIONS
/VARIABLES=V02max TTF SSAT SRST
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/MISSING=PAIRWISE.

Correlations

Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>V02max</th>
<th>TTF</th>
<th>SSAT</th>
<th>SRST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V02max</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.489</td>
<td>-.436</td>
<td>-.565*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.090</td>
<td>.137</td>
<td>.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTF</td>
<td>.489</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.485</td>
<td>-.629*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.090</td>
<td>.093</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSAT</td>
<td>-.436</td>
<td>-.485</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.840**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.137</td>
<td>.093</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRST</td>
<td>-.565*</td>
<td>-.629*</td>
<td>.840**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Nonparametric Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rank</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V02max</td>
<td>-0.407</td>
<td>.068</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTF</td>
<td>-0.709*</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSAT</td>
<td>-0.527</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRST</td>
<td>-0.419</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raskill</td>
<td>-0.484</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>econ7</td>
<td>-0.504</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretol</td>
<td>-0.760</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepref</td>
<td>-0.459</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
CONFIDENTIAL

I  Sheffield Hcillam University

Faculty of Health  and Wellbeing
Research Ethics Committee

Sport & Exercise Research Ethics Review Group

APPLICATION FOR ETHICS APPROVAL OF RESEARCH

In designing research involving humans, principal investigators should be able to demonstrate a clear intention of benefit to society and the research should be based on sound principles. These criteria will be considered by the Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group before approving a project. ALL of the following details must be provided, either typewritten or word-processed preferably at least in 11 point font.

Please either tick the appropriate box or provide the information required.

1) Date of application
19th June 2008

2) Anticipated date of completion of project
31st August 2008

3) Title of research
Determinants and trainability of squash fitness and performance in elite-standard players

4) Subject area
Physiology of exercise

5) Principal Investigator
Name Mick Wilkinson
Email address @ SHU Mic.wilkinson(5),unn.ac.uk
Telephone/Mobile number 0191 2437097 / 07754 870997
Student number (if applicable) 10044067

6) State if this study is:
[ ] Research
[ ] Undergraduate
[ ] Postgraduate

Module name: PhD
Module number:

7) Director of Studies/Supervisor/
Tutor name Edward M Winter
8) Intended duration and timing of project?

Data collection will take place between July 1st and August 31st 2008. Write-up of the study and completed thesis will be completed by 31st October 2008.

9) Location of project

All testing will be carried out at the National Squash Centre, Manchester,

If external to SHU, provide evidence in support (see section 17)

10) State if this study is:

[ V ] New

[ V ] Collaborative (please include appropriate agreements in section 17)

[ ] Replication of:
11) Purpose and benefit of the research
Statement of the research problem with any necessary background information (no more than 1 side of A4)

Squash is a repeat-sprint sport, where success depends on physical, technical, tactical and motor skills (Lees, 2003). However, it is unclear which of these factors best relate to performance or which fitness components determine squash-specific repeat-sprint capability. Furthermore, there appear to be no studies investigating these factors in elite performers. Also unknown is the malleability of squash-specific fitness in response to the type of training undertaken by world class players.

Recently devised squash-specific fitness tests have been used to examine determinants of fitness and performance in high-standard players but not elite-standard players (Wilkinson et al., 2008a, Wilkinson et al., 2008b). The opportunity to assess the utility of recently validated procedures with international-standard players in England Squash’s squads has been presented only in the last two weeks.

Research questions.
What are key determinants of squash-specific repeat-sprint capability in elite players?
Which measures of fitness are most related to elite squash performance?

Aims of the study.
The aim of the study is to apply squash-specific procedures recently validated and used with high-standard, but non-elite players to elite performers to examine determinants of performance and repeat-sprint capability in elite-standard squash players.

Benefits
Identification of factors determining squash performance and repeat-sprint capability could lead to more effective training methods and could also be used for talent identification. It is intended that use of the recently developed tests will improve the practices of players and coaches.

Dissemination of findings
Results of this study will form part of my PhD thesis and will also be published in a peer reviewed journal.


12) Participants
12.1 Number
20
12.2 Rationale for this number
This is the number of squash players attending
12.3 Criteria for inclusion and exclusion
(eg age and sex)

Inclusion: Male and female elite squash players who are members of the world class transition squads. Satisfactory pre-test medical screening results. All players are aged between 18-25 years. The transition squad comprises Caucasian and ethnic minority players.

Exclusion: absence of any of the above.

12.4 Procedures for recruitment
(eg location and methods)

All players will be attending the national squad training camps which include summer training fitness assessment. All players will complete fitness testing but have the right to choose whether their data are included in the study.

12.5 Does the study have *minors or ^vulnerable adults as participants?

[ ] Yes [V] No

12.6 Is CRB Disclosure required for the Principal Investigator? (to be determined by Risk Assessment)

[ ] Yes [ V] No

If yes, is standard [ ] or enhanced [ ] disclosure required?

12.7 If you ticked 'yes' in 12.5 and 'no* in 12.6 please explain why:

*Minors are participants under the age of 18 years.
^Vulnerable adults are participants over the age of 16 years who are likely to exhibit:
a) learning difficulties
b) physical illness/impairment
c) mental illness/impairment
d) advanced age
e) any other condition that might render them vulnerable

13) Details of the research design

13.1 Provide details of intended methodological procedures and data collection.
(For MSc students conducting a scientific support project please provide the following information: a. needs analysis; b. potential outcome; c proposed interventions).

Following habituation with test procedures, participants will undertake a battery of tests to assess explosive capabilities of the leg musculature, sport-specific change-of-direction speed, squash-specific repeat-sprint capability, tolerance for high-intensity sprint-running and aerobic fitness.

On arrival at the test venue, body mass and stature will be measured using calibrated balance beam scales and a stadiometer respectively. Following a standardised warm-up and suitable recovery intervals, these test will be completed in the following order:

Reactive strength testing.
Using protocols described by Young (1995), participants will complete three drop jumps onto a jump mat from a height of 30 cm. A reactive strength performance score will be calculated from maximum jump height (estimated from flight time) divided by contact time on the jump mat. Subjects will be instructed to jump for maximum height but with minimum contact time. This test is reported to measure the ability to utilise the SSC reflex in fast SSC activities (< 250 ms) (Young, 1995).

Following a suitable recovery, participants will be required to complete 3 counter movement jumps (best score recorded). Counter movement jump height is another reported measure of SSC ability but in slow SSC activities (> 500 ms) (Young, 1995).

**Squash Change-of-Direction-Speed Test (SCODS).**

Following a suitable standardised warm-up, participants will complete three all-out runs through the SCODS test. The SCODS test is designed to assess change of direction speed in squash-specific movements. Data suggest that the SCODS is a valid and reliable test of squash-specific change-of-direction-speed (Wilkinson et al., in review). The best / fastest time through the course will be recorded. Performance time will be measured with a laser timing gate. The layout of the test course is shown in the appended diagram.

**Squash-specific repeat-sprint test (SRST).**

The layout and path through the SRST course are shown on the appended diagram (the course is the same as the SCODS test). Participants must move between and around the large inner cones to reach out and touch the smaller outer cones with the fingers of one hand with one repetition comprising two laps of the course. Participants are allowed twenty seconds recovery between each repetition and must complete ten repetitions. Each repetition is performed all-out with performance time recorded using an electronic timing gate. Unpublished data suggest the SRST is a valid and reliable test of squash-specific repeat-sprint capability (Wilkinson et al., accepted for BASES conference 2008)

**Twenty metre maximal shuttle run test.**

Participants sprint with maximal effort between two cones spaced twenty metres apart continuously for one minute. Total distance covered is recorded.

**Aerobic fitness testing.**

Participants will complete the progressive 20-m shuttle run test (Leger and Lambert, 1982) to volitional exhaustion. Performance time and final shuttle and level will be used to estimate maximal oxygen uptake.

13.2 Are these "minor" procedures as defined in Appendix 1 of the ethics guidelines?

[ ] Yes  [V] No

13.3 If you answered 'no' in section 13.2, list the procedures that are not minor

All-out SCODS runs, SRST, 20-m SRT, all-out vertical jumps, aerobic testing.

13.4 Provide details of the quantitative and qualitative analysis to be used

Pearson’s correlation coefficient will examine relationships between fitness test measures and squash-specific repeat-sprint capability. Spearman’s rho will examine relationships between fitness measures and player national rank. Independent t-tests will examine differences in test performance between male and female players. Separate correlation analyses will also be carried out for transition male and female players as described above.
14) **Substances to be administered (refer to Appendix V of the ethics procedures)**

14.1 The protocol does not involve the administration of pharmacologically active substances or nutritional supplements. ________________
Please tick box if this statement applies and go to section 15) [ V ]

14.2 Name and state the risk category for each substance. If a COSHH assessment is required state how the risks are to be managed._______

15) **Degree of discomfort that participants might experience**
Consider the degree of physical and psychological discomfort that will be experienced by the participants. State the details which must be included in the participant information sheet to ensure that the participants are fully informed about any discomfort that they may experience. ________________

Participants will experience moderate feelings of exertion associated with the aerobic testing that will dissipate quickly after completion. There will also be local muscular sensations of fatigue associated with maximal exertion in the SCODS, repeat-sprint test, 20-m SRT and maximum jumping tests, however these tests are of short duration and fatigue will dissipate quickly after completion.

16) **Outcomes of Risk Assessment**
Provide details of the risk and explain how the control measures will be implemented to manage the risk. ________________

General control measures for all tests.

1. Pre-screening medical questionnaire. 2. Strict adherence to the agreed protocol which includes a warm-up and cool-down. 3. The participant is monitored by a trained first aider following the test. 4. Heart rate is continually monitored. 5. Visual communication is maintained between investigator and participant throughout the exercise test. 6. Shoe laces are secured. 7. At least 2 people are present at the exercise test.

17) **Attachments**

17.1 **Risk assessment** (including CRB risk assessment) ________________

17.2 **COSHH assessment**
17.3 Participant information sheet (this should be addressed directly to the participant (ie you will etc) and in a language they will understand)

17.4 Informed consent form

17.5 Pre-screening questionnaire

17.6 Collaboration evidence/support correspondence from the organisation consenting to the research (this must be on letterhead paper and signed) See sections 9 & 10.

17.7 CRB Disclosure certificate or where not available CRB application form

17.8 Clinical Trails form (FIN 12)
18. Signature
Principal Investigator

Once this application is approved, I will undertake the research study as approved. If circumstances necessitate that changes are made to the approved protocol, I will discuss these with my Project Supervisor. If the supervisor advises that there should be a resubmission to the Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group, I agree that no work will be carried out using the changed protocol until approval has been sought and formally received.

[Signature]

Date
Principal Investigator signature

Name

19. Approval
Project Supervisor to sign either box A or box B as applicable

(refer to Appendix I and the flowchart in appendix VI of the ethics guidelines)

Box A:

I confirm that the research proposed is based solely on 'minor' procedures, as outlined in Appendix 1 of the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group 'Ethics Procedures for Research with Humans as Participants' document, and therefore does not need to be submitted to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group.

In terms of ethics approval, I agree the 'minor' procedures proposed here and confirm that the Principal Investigator may proceed with the study as designed.

[Signature]

Date
Project Supervisor signature

Name

Box B:

I confirm that the research proposed is not based solely on 'minor' procedures, as outlined in Appendix 1 of the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group 'Ethics Procedures for Research with Humans as Participants' document, and therefore must be submitted to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group for approval.

I confirm that the appropriate preparatory work has been undertaken and that this document is in a fit state for submission to the HWB Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group.

[Signature]

Date
Project Supervisor signature

Name

20. Signature
Technician

I confirm that I have seen the full and approved application for ethics approval and technical support will be provided.

[Signature]

Date
Technician signature

Name
Faculty of Health and Wellbeing Research Ethics Committee
Sport and Exercise Research Ethics Review Group

Participant Information Sheet

Project Title
Determinants and trainability of squash fitness and performance in elite-standard players

Supervisor/Director of Studies
Professor Edward M Winter

Principal Investigator
Mick Wilkinson

Principal Investigator telephone/mobile number
0191 2437097/07754 870997

Purpose of Study and Brief Description of Procedures
(Not a legal explanation but a simple statement)
Background to the Study.
Squash is a repeat-sprint sport, where success depends on physical, technical, tactical and motor skills (Lees, 2003). However, it is unclear which of these factors best relate to performance or which fitness components determine squash-specific repeat-sprint capability. Furthermore, there appear to be no studies investigating these factors in elite performers. Also unknown is the malleability of squash-specific fitness in response to the type of training undertaken by world class players.

Purposes of the Study.
The purpose of this investigation is to examine aspects of fitness that predict squash-specific repeat-sprint capability, to examine the ability of a battery of squash and non-squash-specific fitness tests to predict squash performance and to examine the trainability of squash-specific fitness in elite-standard players.

Procedures.
You will be required to make two visits to the National Squash Centre for testing, one before and one after your summer training period. On arrival, your height and body weight will be measured. Following these basic measures and a standardised warm-up, the following tests will be completed in this order:

1. Three drop jumps onto a jump mat from a height of 30 cm. A reactive strength performance score will calculated from maximum jump height (estimated from flight time) divided by contact time on the jump mat. You will be instructed to jump for maximum height but with minimal contact time. Following a suitable recovery, you will be required to complete 3 counter movement jumps (again best score recorded).

2. A squash-specific test of change-of-direction speed - This test involves all-out squash-related movements around a course of cones with frequent and rapid changes of direction. The course is very short and takes less than 15 seconds to complete. This test will be repeated 3 times with appropriate recovery between each attempt and your best score will be recorded.

3. A squash-specific repeated-sprint test - This test involves all-out squash-related movements around a course of cones with frequent and rapid changes of direction. The course is very short and takes around 20 seconds to complete. You are allowed twenty seconds recovery between each sprint and must complete 10 sprints. Your time to complete each sprint will be recorded. This test is very strenuous and you will feel out of breath for a short while after you finish.

4. The 20-m shuttle run test (bleep test). This involves running between cones 20-m apart in time with an audio signal. The speed of running gets progressively faster as the test proceeds and you must keep pace for as long as you can. This test is used to assess your endurance fitness

Your rights as a participant.
Your participation in this study is voluntary. You are free to refuse to start the testing or withdraw at any time in the proceedings without penalty or prejudice and without giving any reason for so doing. No disadvantage will arise from any decision to participate or not. The results of the research may be published, but your name will not be used, and no individual identifying information will be provided. All data collected will be coded to retain anonymity, and any personal details will be stored in a locked filing cabinet with access limited to investigators.

It has been made clear to me that, should I feel that these Regulations are being infringed or that my interests are otherwise being ignored, neglected or denied, I should inform Professor Edward Winter, Chair of the Faculty of Health and Wellbeing Research Ethics Committee (Tel: 0114 225 4333) who will undertake to investigate my complaint.
INFORMED CONSENT FORM

TITLE OF PROJECT: Determinants and trainability of squash fitness and performance in elite-standard players

The participant should complete the whole of this sheet himself/herself

Have you read the Participant Information Sheet? YES/NO

Have you had an opportunity to ask questions and discuss this study? YES/NO

Have you received satisfactory answers to all of your questions? YES/NO

Have you received enough information about the study? YES/NO

To whom have you spoken?

Do you understand that you are free to withdraw from the study:

• at any time
• without having to give a reason for withdrawing
• and without affecting your future medical care YES/NO

Have you had sufficient time to consider the nature of this project? YES/NO

Do you agree to take part in this study? YES/NO

Signed.................................................. Date..........................................

(NAME IN BLOCK LETTERS)...........................................................................................

Signature of Parent / Guardian in the case of a minor
Principal Investigator: Mick Wilkinson

Title: Determinants and trainability of squash fitness and performance in elite-standard players.

Checklist:

- Application form: Y
- Informed consent form: Y
- Risk assessment forms: Y
- Participant information sheet: Y
- Pre-screening form: Y
- Pre-screening form (under 18): n/a
- Collaboration evidence/support: Y
- CRB Disclosure form: n/a

Recommendation:

Acceptable:

Not acceptable, see comments:

Acceptable, but see comments:

Comments:

Signature: 
Date: 

Professor Edward Winter, Chair 
Faculty of Health and Wellbeing Research Ethics Committee

Please remember that an up-to-date project file must be maintained for the duration of the project and afterwards. The project file might be inspected at any time.

Note: Approval applies until the anticipated date of completion unless there are changes to the procedures, in which case another application should be made.

Name of Director of Studies / Supervisor: Edward Winter
DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet2.
CORRELATIONS /VARIABLES=SCODS SRSTtot SRSTbest RSI V02max worldrank natrank CMJ DJ /PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG /MISSING=PAIRWISE.

Correlations

Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Squash-specific &amp; C'arge-of-d'rector-speed</th>
<th>Repeat-sprint test tota</th>
<th>Repeat-sprint test best</th>
<th>Reactive</th>
<th>Max examples</th>
<th>world rank</th>
<th>natrank</th>
<th>CVJ</th>
<th>DJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C'arge-of-d'rector-speed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squash-specific</td>
<td>.902**</td>
<td>.895*</td>
<td>-.607*</td>
<td>.679*</td>
<td>-.779*</td>
<td>-.273</td>
<td>.452</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C'arge-of-d'rector-speed</td>
<td>.902**</td>
<td>.895*</td>
<td>-.607*</td>
<td>.679*</td>
<td>-.779*</td>
<td>-.273</td>
<td>.452</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat-sprint test tota</td>
<td>.902**</td>
<td>.895*</td>
<td>-.607*</td>
<td>.679*</td>
<td>-.779*</td>
<td>-.273</td>
<td>.452</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat-sprint test best</td>
<td>.902**</td>
<td>.895*</td>
<td>-.607*</td>
<td>.679*</td>
<td>-.779*</td>
<td>-.273</td>
<td>.452</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive Strength index</td>
<td>-.607*</td>
<td>-.709**</td>
<td>-.661*</td>
<td>.275</td>
<td>-.475*</td>
<td>.505</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.990*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max examples</td>
<td>-.607*</td>
<td>-.709**</td>
<td>-.661*</td>
<td>.275</td>
<td>-.475*</td>
<td>.505</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.990*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>world rank</td>
<td>-.607*</td>
<td>-.709**</td>
<td>-.661*</td>
<td>.275</td>
<td>-.475*</td>
<td>.505</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.990*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>natrank</td>
<td>-.607*</td>
<td>-.709**</td>
<td>-.661*</td>
<td>.275</td>
<td>-.475*</td>
<td>.505</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.990*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ</td>
<td>-.607*</td>
<td>-.709**</td>
<td>-.661*</td>
<td>.275</td>
<td>-.475*</td>
<td>.505</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.990*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DJ</td>
<td>-.607*</td>
<td>-.709**</td>
<td>-.661*</td>
<td>.275</td>
<td>-.475*</td>
<td>.505</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.990*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation is Significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

NONPAR CORR

Nonparametric Correlations

Nonparametric Correlations
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## Table: Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C/P with</th>
<th>C/P with</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R adjusted</th>
<th>R squared</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P adjusted</th>
<th>P squared</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>F adjusted</th>
<th>F squared</th>
<th>df1</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>F critical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-676</td>
<td>-0.676</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- *Correlation significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed).
Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[DataSet1] C:\Documents and Settings\Mic\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Nov 08\study 5 - performance and fitness determinants\Elites documents \ANOVA\all females data sheet.sav</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Correlations**

```
DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet1.
CORRELATIONS /VARIABLES=SCODS SRSTtot SRSTbest RSI V02max worldr ank natrank CMJ DJ
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/MISSING=PAIRWISE .
```

**Nonparametric Correlations**

```
NONPAR CORR /VARIABLES=SCODS SRSTtot SRSTbest RSI V02max worldrank natrank CMJ DJ
/PRINT=SPEARMAN TWOTAIL NOSIG /MISSING=PAIRWISE .
```

**Nonparametric Correlations**

```
[DataSet1] C:\Documents and Settings\Mic\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Nov 08\study 5 - performance and fitness determinants\Elites documents \ANOVA\all females data sheet.sav
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Replicate 1</th>
<th>Replicate 2</th>
<th>significant broad leaf</th>
<th>linear sugars</th>
<th>repeat</th>
<th>first</th>
<th>second</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VOB+*</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOB-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlates of the CST broad 2lines.
Univariate Analysis of Variance

Between-Subjects Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.00</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Descriptive Statistics

Dependent Variable: Counter Movement Jump

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Playing standard</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>730.4800</td>
<td>172.01124</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>1229.9714</td>
<td>121.50074</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1021.8500</td>
<td>291.48680</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>627.9667</td>
<td>70.30351</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>1065.9500</td>
<td>67.92979</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>878.2429</td>
<td>242.41113</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>728.0000</td>
<td>176.59346</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>988.7444</td>
<td>163.15029</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>923.5583</td>
<td>197.31997</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>701.8455</td>
<td>145.99083</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>1088.6150</td>
<td>169.68322</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>951.3742</td>
<td>246.42781</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances

Dependent Variable: Counter Movement Jump

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F</th>
<th>df1</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>.420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests the null hypothesis that the error variance of the dependent variable is equal across groups,

a. Design: Intercept+Standard+Sex+Standard * Sex
Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: Counter Movement Jump

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>1315830.818a</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>263166.164</td>
<td>13.003</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>21047800.17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21047800.169</td>
<td>1039.974</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>108557.801</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54278.901</td>
<td>2.682</td>
<td>.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1047492.111</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1047492.111</td>
<td>51.757</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard * Sex</td>
<td>74720.233</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37360.117</td>
<td>1.846</td>
<td>.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>505969.221</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20238.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29880298.58</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>1821800.039</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. R Squared = .722 (Adjusted R Squared = .667)

Post Hoc Tests

Playing standard

Multiple Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(I) Playing standard</th>
<th>(J) Playing standard</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>143.6071*</td>
<td>67.65957</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.42597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>282.9546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>98.2917</td>
<td>58.07864</td>
<td>.103</td>
<td>-21.3235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>217.9069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>-143.6071*</td>
<td>67.65957</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>-282.9546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.2597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>-45.3155</td>
<td>67.65957</td>
<td>.509</td>
<td>-184.6630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94.0320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>-98.2917</td>
<td>58.07864</td>
<td>.103</td>
<td>-217.9069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.3235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>45.3155</td>
<td>67.65957</td>
<td>.509</td>
<td>-94.0320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>184.6630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on observed means.

* The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.
UNIANOVA
   DJ BY Standard Sex
   /METHOD = SSTYPE(3)
   /INTERCEPT = INCLUDE
   /POSTHOC = Standard (LSD)
   /PRINT = DESCRIPTIVE HOMOGENEITY
   /CRITERIA = ALPHA(.05)
   /DESIGN = Standard Sex Standard*Sex.

Univariate Analysis of Variance

[DataSet1] C:\Documents and Settings\Mic\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Nov 08\study 5 - performance and fitness determinants\Elites documents\ANOVA\ANOVA data sheet.sav

Between-Subjects Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Label</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Label</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Descriptive Statistics

Dependent Variable: Drop Jump

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Playing standard</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>1782.50</td>
<td>356.71219</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>2683.55</td>
<td>508.50922</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2308.12</td>
<td>634.50176</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>1677.20</td>
<td>348.56041</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>2656.20</td>
<td>597.79286</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2236.63</td>
<td>702.15138</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>1312.77</td>
<td>219.65861</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>1905.35</td>
<td>455.62857</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1757.21</td>
<td>481.22878</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>1625.67</td>
<td>356.80984</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>2327.89</td>
<td>615.92035</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2078.72</td>
<td>631.94056</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>df1</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>.540</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests the null hypothesis that the error variance of the dependent variable is equal across groups,
  a. Design: Intercept+Standard+Sex+Standard*Sex
Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: Drop Jump

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>6847665.362a</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1369533.072</td>
<td>6.670</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>105368841.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>105368841.6</td>
<td>513.213</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2195296.347</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1097648.174</td>
<td>5.346</td>
<td>.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>4460690.667</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4460690.667</td>
<td>21.726</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard * Sex</td>
<td>178861.648</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>89430.824</td>
<td>.436</td>
<td>.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>5132800.666</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>205312.027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>145933764.9</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>11980466.03</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. R Squared = .572 (Adjusted R Squared = .486)

Post Hoc Tests

Playing standard

Multiple Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(I) Playing standard</th>
<th>(J) Playing standard</th>
<th>0 - J</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>71.488</td>
<td>215.49855</td>
<td>.743</td>
<td>-372.3395 - 515.3157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>550.9083</td>
<td>184.98289</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>169.9289 - 931.8877</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>-71.488</td>
<td>215.49855</td>
<td>.743</td>
<td>-615.3157 - 372.3395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>479.4202</td>
<td>215.49855</td>
<td>.356</td>
<td>35.5927 - 923.2478</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>-550.9083</td>
<td>184.98289</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>-931.8877 - 169.9289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>-479.4202</td>
<td>215.49855</td>
<td>.356</td>
<td>-923.2478 - 35.5927</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on observed means.

* The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.
Univariate Analysis of Variance

Between-Subjects Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Label</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Descriptive Statistics

Dependent Variable: Squash-specific change-of-direction-speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Playing standard</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>9.0220</td>
<td>.32492</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>8.8029</td>
<td>.43904</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8.8942</td>
<td>.39530</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>9.3433</td>
<td>1.03751</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>9.1500</td>
<td>.56845</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9.2329</td>
<td>.72874</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>10.4500</td>
<td>.54525</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>9.3589</td>
<td>.64241</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9.6317</td>
<td>.77311</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>9.4991</td>
<td>.84222</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>9.1225</td>
<td>.59154</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9.2561</td>
<td>.70115</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances

Dependent Variable: Squash-specific change-of-direction-speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F</th>
<th>df1</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.248</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>.317</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests the null hypothesis that the error variance of the dependent variable is equal across groups,
a. Design: Intercept+Standard+Sex+Standard * Sex
Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: Squash-specific change-of-direction-speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>6.151a</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>3.577</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>2298.387</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2298.387</td>
<td>6683.549</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>5.049</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.525</td>
<td>7.341</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1.649</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.649</td>
<td>4.796</td>
<td>.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard * Sex</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.589</td>
<td>1.712</td>
<td>.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>8.597</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>.344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2670.702</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>14.748</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. R Squared = .417 (Adjusted R Squared = .300)

Post Hoc Tests

Playing standard

Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: Squash-specific change-of-direction-speed

LSD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(I) Playing standard</th>
<th>(J) Playing standard</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>-.3387</td>
<td>.27890</td>
<td>.236</td>
<td>-1.2306 - .2444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td></td>
<td>.7375*</td>
<td>.23940</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>-.9732 - .1756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>.3387</td>
<td>.27890</td>
<td>.236</td>
<td>-.9131 - .2357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.3988</td>
<td>.27890</td>
<td>.165</td>
<td>-.9732 - .1756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>.7375*</td>
<td>.23940</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>-.1756 - .9732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td></td>
<td>.3988</td>
<td>.27890</td>
<td>.165</td>
<td>-.1756 - .9732</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on observed means.

* The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.
Univariate Analysis of Variance

Between-Subjects Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.00</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Descriptive Statistics

Dependent Variable: Reactive Strength Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Playing standard</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>250.600</td>
<td>30.92410</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>291.4286</td>
<td>45.32055</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>274.4167</td>
<td>43.70450</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>251.6667</td>
<td>56.30571</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>293.7500</td>
<td>50.75677</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>275.7143</td>
<td>53.39386</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>186.0000</td>
<td>20.66398</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>234.7778</td>
<td>53.90681</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>222.5833</td>
<td>51.74669</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>233.2727</td>
<td>44.98909</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>266.4000</td>
<td>56.04359</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>254.6452</td>
<td>54.06943</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances

Dependent Variable: Reactive Strength Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F</th>
<th>df1</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.638</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>.673</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests the null hypothesis that the error variance of the dependent variable is equal across groups,

a. Design: Intercept+Standard+Sex+Standard * Sex
Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: Reactive Strength Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>33385.210a</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6677.042</td>
<td>3.073</td>
<td>.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1659622.582</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1659622.582</td>
<td>763.819</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>22737.813</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11368.907</td>
<td>5.232</td>
<td>.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>12652.656</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12652.656</td>
<td>5.823</td>
<td>.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard * Sex</td>
<td>86.531</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>43.266</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>54319.887</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2172.795</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2097874.000</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>87705.097</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. R Squared = .381 (Adjusted R Squared = .257)

Post Hoc Tests

Playing standard

Multiple Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(I) Playing standard</th>
<th>(J) Playing standard</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>-1.2976</td>
<td>22.16902</td>
<td>.954</td>
<td>-46.4556</td>
<td>-91.0259</td>
<td>44.3089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>51.8333*</td>
<td>19.02978</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>12.6408</td>
<td>91.0259</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>55.1310*</td>
<td>22.16902</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>7.4730</td>
<td>98.7889</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>-51.8333*</td>
<td>19.02978</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>-91.0259</td>
<td>-12.6408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>-53.1310*</td>
<td>22.16902</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>-98.7889</td>
<td>-7.4730</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on observed means.

* The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.
Univariate Analysis of Variance

[DataSetO] C:\Documents and Settings\Mic\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Oct 08\study 5 - performance and fitness determinants\Elites documents \MANOVA\MANOVA data sheet.sav

Between-Subjects Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.00</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Descriptive Statistics

Dependent Variable: Repeat-sprint test total time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Playing standard</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>212.5400</td>
<td>5.90653</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>203.7317</td>
<td>9.31985</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>207.7355</td>
<td>8.86254</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>235.1100</td>
<td>18.90091</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>212.5075</td>
<td>3.11312</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>222.1943</td>
<td>16.42836</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>245.2200</td>
<td>12.99662</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>219.3838</td>
<td>14.47607</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>224.5510</td>
<td>17.33278</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>225.8470</td>
<td>18.00113</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>212.6383</td>
<td>12.76557</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>217.3557</td>
<td>15.87948</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances

Dependent Variable: Repeat-sprint test total time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F</th>
<th>df1</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.151</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests the null hypothesis that the error variance of the dependent variable is equal across groups,

a. Design: Intercept+Standard+Sex+Standard * Sex
Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: Repeat-sprint test total time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>3855.049a</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>771.010</td>
<td>5.744</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1120567.257</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1120567.257</td>
<td>8347.667</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2578.575</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1289.287</td>
<td>9.605</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>2080.780</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2080.780</td>
<td>15.501</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard * Sex</td>
<td>361.419</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>180.710</td>
<td>1.346</td>
<td>.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>2953.218</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>134.237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1329626.449</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>6808.266</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. R Squared = .566 (Adjusted R Squared = .468)

Post Hoc Tests

Playing standard

Multiple Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(l) Playing standard</th>
<th>(J) Playing standard</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>-14.4588*</td>
<td>5.60180</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>-26.0762</td>
<td>-2.8414</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td></td>
<td>-16.8155*</td>
<td>5.06232</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>-27.3142</td>
<td>-6.3169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>14.4588*</td>
<td>5.60180</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>2.8414</td>
<td>26.0762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>16.8155*</td>
<td>5.06232</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>6.3169</td>
<td>27.3142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on observed means.

* The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.
Univariate Analysis of Variance

Between-Subjects Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.00</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Descriptive Statistics

Dependent Variable: Repeat-sprint test best repetition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Playing standard</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>female</td>
<td>20.4900</td>
<td>.84528</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>19.5050</td>
<td>.87267</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19.9527</td>
<td>.96498</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>22.0867</td>
<td>1.56020</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>20.3400</td>
<td>.77816</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21.0886</td>
<td>1.40920</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>23.8600</td>
<td>1.44250</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>21.1238</td>
<td>1.34417</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21.6710</td>
<td>1.72265</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>21.6430</td>
<td>1.72804</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>20.4100</td>
<td>1.26680</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20.8504</td>
<td>1.53875</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances

Dependent Variable: Repeat-sprint test best repetition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>df1</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.079</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22 .399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests the null hypothesis that the error variance of the dependent variable is equal across groups,

a. Design: Intercept+Standard+Sex+Standard * Sex
## Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

### Dependent Variable: Repeat-sprint test best repetition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>35.8503</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.170</td>
<td>5.618</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>10306.121</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10306.121</td>
<td>8074.825</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>25.520</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.760</td>
<td>9.997</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>18.983</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.983</td>
<td>14.873</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard * Sex</td>
<td>3.109</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.554</td>
<td>1.218</td>
<td>.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>28.079</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.276</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12236.577</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>63.929</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a. R Squared = .561 (Adjusted R Squared = .461)*

### Post Hoc Tests

#### Playing standard

#### Multiple Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(I) Playing standard</th>
<th>(J) Playing standard</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval Lower Bound</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>-1.1358*</td>
<td>.54623</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td>-1.5824</td>
<td>-.6946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>1.1358*</td>
<td>.54623</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td>.6946</td>
<td>2.2686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>-.5824</td>
<td>.55675</td>
<td>.307</td>
<td>-1.7370</td>
<td>.5722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>1.7183*</td>
<td>.49362</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.6946</td>
<td>2.7420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on observed means.

* The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.
UNIANOVA
V02max BY Standard Sex
/METHOD = SS TYPE(3)
/INTERCEPT = INCLUDE
/POSTHOC = Standard ( LSD )
/PRINT = DESCRIPTIVE HOMOGENEITY
/CRITERIA = ALPHA (.05)
/DESIGN = Standard Sex Standard*Sex .

Univariate Analysis of Variance

[DataSetO] C:\Documents and Settings\Mic\My Documents\My Safe\PhD Oct 08\study 5 - performance and fitnes determinants\Elites documents \MANOVA\MANOVA data sheet.sav

Between-Subjects Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.00</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Descriptive Statistics
Dependent Variable: Maximal oxygen uptake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Playing standard</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>49.2600</td>
<td>3.15405</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>56.2000</td>
<td>2.12838</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>51.8625</td>
<td>4.45868</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>49.3000</td>
<td>3.30454</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>59.4250</td>
<td>2.88487</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>55.0857</td>
<td>6.09027</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>45.5333</td>
<td>5.02029</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>55.2500</td>
<td>5.32809</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52.6000</td>
<td>6.74626</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>48.2545</td>
<td>3.77607</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>56.5533</td>
<td>4.46972</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53.0423</td>
<td>5.86291</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levene’s Test of Equality of Error Variances3
Dependent Variable: Maximal oxygen uptake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F</th>
<th>df1</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.685</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests the null hypothesis that the error variance of the dependent variable is equal across groups,
a. Design: Intercept+Standard+Sex+Standard * Sex
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Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: Maximal oxygen uptake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>514.5573</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>102.911</td>
<td>5.970</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>62987.334</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>62987.334</td>
<td>3653.704</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>62.317</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31.158</td>
<td>1.807</td>
<td>.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>455.402</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>455.402</td>
<td>26.416</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard * Sex</td>
<td>11.223</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.612</td>
<td>.326</td>
<td>.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>344.786</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74009.990</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>859.343</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. R Squared = .599 (Adjusted R Squared = .498)

Post Hoc Tests

Playing standard

Multiple Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(l) Playing standard</th>
<th>(j) Playing standard</th>
<th>Mean Difference (l-j)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>-3.2232</td>
<td>2.14888</td>
<td>.149</td>
<td>-7.0857 - 1.5593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>-7.375</td>
<td>2.00748</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>-3.2869 - 4.7619</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>5.2232</td>
<td>2.14888</td>
<td>.149</td>
<td>1.6732 - 8.7018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>2.4857</td>
<td>2.00748</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>-1.7018 - 6.6732</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>7.375</td>
<td>2.00748</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>-3.2869 - 4.7619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>-2.4857</td>
<td>2.00748</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>-6.6732 - 1.7018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on observed means.